FILED June 4, 2008 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. Missouri Service Con Issue: Regulatory Amortization Witness: Robert W. Sager Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Empire District Electric Case No. ER-2008-0093 Date Testimony Prepared: April 2008

### Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

I

### **Surrebuttal Testimony**

of

**Robert W. Sager** 

April 2008

<u>Enpire</u> Exhibit No. <u>24</u> Case No(s). <u>ER - 2008-009</u> 3 Date <u>5-12-08</u> Rptr <u>45-</u>

### ROBERT W. SAGER SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ROBERT W. SAGER THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2008-0093

| 1  | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.   |
|----|----|--|
| 2  | A. | Robert W. Sager, 602 Joplin Street, Joplin, Missouri 64801.                            |
| 3  | Q. | ARE YOU THE SAME ROBERT W. SAGER THAT PRESENTED DIRECT                                 |
| 4  |    | AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?   |
| 5  | A. | Yes.   |
| 6  | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS TESTIMONY?   |
| 7  | A. | My testimony responds to the rebuttal testimony of Mark Oligschlaeger of the           |
| 8  |    | Missouri Public Service Commission Staff ("Staff").                                    |
| 9  | Q. | WHAT AREAS OF STAFF WITNESS OLIGSCHLAEGER'S TESTIMONY                                  |
| 10 |    | DOES YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY ADDRESS?   |
| 11 | A. | My surrebuttal testimony will discuss one of the differences that remain between       |
| 12 |    | Empire and the Staff concerning the components included in the calculation of the      |
| 13 |    | Regulatory Plan Amortization ("RPA"). At page 11 of his rebuttal testimony, Mr.        |
| 14 |    | Oligschlaeger notes that there are differences between Staff, the Office of the Public |
| 15 |    | Counsel and Empire. In fact, by its very nature, the RPA and related calculations will |
| 16 |    | remain a work in progress at least until Empire's revenue requirement is determined    |
| 17 |    | by the Commission in this case.  |
| 18 | Q. | WERE ALL OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES  |
| 19 |    | CONCERNING THE REGULATORY PLAN AMORTIZATION RESOLVED                                   |

ļ

ļ

1

2

1

# IN THE TENTATIVE AGREEMENT MENTIONED AT PAGE 11 OF MR. OLIGSCHLAEGER'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. No. As Mr. Oligschlaeger indicated, the parties, except for the Industrial Intervener,
had agreed on a tentative format and continue to work toward that end. Staff's
calculation, however, includes recovery of deferred ice storm expenses as a source of
cash in the calculation of the RPA. Empire does not agree with this Staff position.

7 Q. WHY?

8 We do not agree with this position due to the fact that the recovery of ice storm Α. 9 expense represents a direct recovery of an expense that Empire has previously 10 incurred for repairing its system. Unlike the investment in electric plant in service 11 and the depreciation expense related to the plant investment, the deferred ice storm 12 expenses are not included in rate base in this case and Empire does not earn a rate of 13 return on them. This makes the Staff proposal on ice storm recovery inconsistent 14 with the treatment afforded the plant in service and the depreciation related to the 15 plant in service in the calculation of the RPA.

Q. HOW CAN THE STAFF REGULATORY AMORTIZATION
 CALCULATION BE CHANGED TO MAKE THE TREATMENT OF
 DEFERRED ICE STORM EXPENSE AND RELATED RECOVERY
 CONSISTENT WITH THE TREATMENT AFFORDED PLANT IN
 SERVICE.

A. The easiest way to correct the calculation of the RPA is to eliminate ice storm
 expense recovery as a source of cash. Therefore, I suggest that the recovery of ice

2

### ROBERT W. SAGER SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

- 1 storm expense not be considered as a source of cash for purposes of the RPA in this
- 2 case.

į

ł

ł

ļ

1

ţ

1

## **3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

4 A. Yes, it does.

#### AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT W. SAGER

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss COUNTY OF JASPER )

On the \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2008, before me appeared Robert W. Sager, to me personally known, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that he is the Director of Financial Services of The Empire District Electric Company and acknowledges that he has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Robert W Sager

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $\frac{25}{25}$  day of April, 2008.

Marily Ponder Notary Public

My commission expires: June 21, 2009.

MARILYN PUNDER Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri - County of Jasper y Commission Expires Jun. 27. 2736 Commission #0543490

1