Exhibit No.: Issues: 1,117 Fuel Expenses

Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.:

David W. Elliott MO PSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony ER-2004-0034

Date Testimony Prepared:

{

January 26, 2004 as modified February 27, 2004

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

FILED³ MAY 1 0 2004

Misseuri Public F**vice Commissin**n

DAVID W. ELLIOTT

AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS MPS

CASE NO. ER-2004-0034

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2004

Denotes Highly Confidential Information



Exhib	it No1117 NP
Case No(s).	2004-0034
Date <u>)-1-04</u>	Rptr_TU

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In The Matter Of Aquila, Inc. D/B/A Aquila) Networks L&P And Aquila Networks MPS) To Implement A General Rate Increase In) Case No. ER-2004-0034 Electricity)

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID W. ELLIOTT

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
) ss	
COUNTY OF COLE)	

David W. Elliott, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following written testimony, as modified, in question and answer form, consisting of ______ pages of testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the attached written testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

David W. Elliott	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $37^{\text{H}}_{\text{day of February 2004.}}$	
DAWN L. HAKE DUUK G. HAKE Notary Public - State of Missour Notary Public County of Cole My commission expires	Ule

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		DAVID W. ELLIOTT	
4		AQUILA, INC.	
5		D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS	
6			
7		CASE NO. ER-2004-0034	
8			
9			
10	Q.	Please state your name.	
11	A.	David W. Elliott.	
12	Q.	Are you the same David W. Elliott who has previously filed direct	
13	13 testimony in this case?		
14	A.	Yes, I am.	
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?	
16	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to provide the Staff's revised	
17	production co	ost simulation results reflecting three changes made by the Staff following	
18	the pre-hearin	ng conference, and to explain why those changes were made.	
19	Q.	What are the results of the revised production cost simulations?	
20	A.	The results of the revised electric and steam production cost simulations	
21	are shown in	Schedule 1. These results indicate that the appropriate level of annual fuel	
22	and purchase	d power cost for Aquila, Inc. (Aquila) is ** HC ** for electric	
23	joint dispatch	** . When allocated as described in my	

NP

Rebuttal Testimony of David W. Elliott

direct testimony, the annual fuel expense for Aquila Networks-MPS is ** HC ** 1 2 3 Q. What was the first change made to the production cost simulation results? 4 A. The first change was made in order to correct an error in the available 5 capacity of the Aries contract in the simulation. This increased fuel costs by ** HC ** for electric joint dispatch 6 ** . 7 Q. Why was this change made? 8 During the pre-hearing meetings with Aquila, it was determined that the A. 9 available capacity for the months of January through March was incorrect. Staff reduced 10 the amount of capacity for those months and re-ran the simulation. 11 Q. What was the second change made? 12 Α. The Aquila Networks-MPS net system loads increased. This resulted in an increase in fuel costs of ****** HC ****** for electric joint dispatch 13 14 ** ** . Staff witness Richard Campbell provided these new loads 15 and explains how the loads changed in his rebuttal testimony. Staff witness Amanda 16 McMellen explains why the loads changed in her rebuttal testimony. 17 Q. What was the third change made? The coal price supplied by Staff witness Graham Vesely for Jeffrey 18 A, Energy Center increased. This increased fuel costs by ** HC __ ** for electric joint 19 20 dispatch _____**. Mr. Vesely explains the reason for the increase 21 in the Jeffrey Energy Center coal price in his rebuttal testimony. 22 Q. Did any party raise any other issues regarding fuel modeling during the 23 prehearing conference? NP

2

Rebuttal Testimony of David W. Elliott

1 A. No.

2

3

Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

NP

Schedule 1 Has Been Deemed

. . .

Highly Confidential

In Its Entirety