Exhibit No.:

Issue: Billing

Witness: Pam Hankins

Sponsoring Party: CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC

and Spectra Communications Group, LLC d/b/a/ CenturyTel

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: TC-2008-0225

Date Testimony Prepared: December 19, 2008

### CENTURYTEL OF MISSOURI, LLC AND SPECTRA COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, LLC d/b/a CENTURYTEL

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

**PAM HANKINS** 

CASE NO. TC-2008-0225

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Socket Telecom, LLC, Complainant, v.  CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Spectra Communications Group, LLC d/b/a CenturyTel, Respondents.	) ) Case No. TC-2008-0225 ) ) )	
AFFIDAVIT OF PAM HANKINS		
STATE OF LOUISIANA	)	
PARISH OF OUACHITA	) SS. )	
I, Pam Hankins, of lawful age and	being duly sworn, state as follows:	
Service Group, LLC, as Manager, Corporate	. I am presently employed by CenturyTel e Carrier Relations. a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal	
•	that my statements contained in the attached my knowledge, information and belief.	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18 <sup>th</sup>	Pam Jamkins Pam Hankins  day of December, 2008.	
My Commission expires: perpetwol	Notary Public	
(SEAL)		

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		$\mathbf{OF}$
3		PAM HANKINS
4		CASE NO. TC-2008-0225
5		
6	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
7	A.	My name is Pam Hankins. My business address is 100 CenturyTel Drive, Monroe,
8		Louisiana 71203.
9	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU CURRENTLY EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
10	A.	I am employed by CenturyTel Service Group, LLC as Manager, Corporate Carrier
11		Relations. I have held this position since May of 2003.
12	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
13	A.	CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Spectra Communications Group, LLC d/b/a
14		CenturyTel (hereinafter collectively referred to as "CenturyTel" solely as a matter of
15		convenience).
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CENTURYTEL SERVICE GROUP,
17		LLC AND CENTURYTEL OF MISSOURI, LLC AND SPECTRA
18		COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, LLC D/B/A CENTURYTEL?
19	A.	CenturyTel Service Group, LLC and CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC ("CenturyTel of
20		Missouri") and Spectra Communications Group, LLC d/b/a CenturyTel ("Spectra
21		Communications") are subsidiaries of CenturyTel, Inc.

- 1 Q. IN YOUR CAPACITY AS MANAGER, CORPORATE CARRIER RELATIONS
- 2 WITH CENTURYTEL SERVICE GROUP, WHAT ARE YOUR PRIMARY
- 3 RESPONSIBILITIES?
- 4 A. As a manager in the Carrier Relations Department, I provide support to all of CenturyTel,
- 5 Inc.'s incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") subsidiaries. In this capacity, and
- among my other duties, I oversee the implementation of interconnection agreements,
- 7 coordinate the development and documentation of implementation processes and
- 8 procedures, and oversee collections of past due accounts from carriers, both
- 9 interexchange carriers ("IXCs") and competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs").
- 10 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND WORK BACKGROUND,
- 11 INCLUDING YOUR EXPERIENCE IN THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
- 12 INDUSTRY.
- 13 A. I am a licensed CPA, and I have been employed by CenturyTel, Inc. for over twenty (20)
- 14 years. I first worked as an analyst in CenturyTel, Inc.'s Cost Separations Department,
- performing accounting, plant and traffic analysis, as well as completing cost separations
- studies and forecasts. From this position, I was promoted to Supervisor, then Manager of
- that Department. As Supervisor and Manager, I was responsible for overseeing the
- preparation of any financial analyses performed in the Department for outside agencies
- and for internal management, and for coordinating financial report preparation with other
- departments. I also represented CenturyTel, Inc. on several industry committees. In July
- 21 1996, I moved to CenturyTel, Inc.'s Regulatory Department, where I was manager of
- Regulatory Finance for seven years. My primary responsibilities included preparing
- financial analyses for management, as well as financial reports and data request responses

for state public service commissions. I also was responsible for coordinating the preparation and filing of several rate cases in the States of Wisconsin and Arkansas during my tenure in the Regulatory Department. After working in this position for seven years, I obtained my current title and position of Manager, Corporate Carrier Relations.

# 5 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED OR FILED TESTIMONY BEFORE A 6 PUBLIC UTILITY OR PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION?

Yes. I have provided both written and oral testimony in several jurisdictions concerning various issues. Most recently, I provided testimony in an interconnection arbitration proceeding before this Commission. I also filed testimony in Texas and Wisconsin in interconnection arbitrations similar to the Missouri case. Earlier this year I filed testimony before the Missouri Commission in a proceeding concerning disputed charges, and last year I testified in an arbitration of disputed charges case before the American Arbitration Association in Wisconsin. I also provided testimony in an interconnection arbitration proceeding in Missouri in 2006, and provided testimony prior to that time regarding collections complaints by certain CenturyTel, Inc. subsidiaries against CLECs in Mississippi and Alabama. While serving as Manager, Regulatory Finance, I testified in several rate case proceedings in Wisconsin and Arkansas. During this time I also testified on behalf of CenturyTel, Inc.'s specific telephone company subsidiaries before the state commissions in Alabama, New Mexico and South Carolina regarding certification and name changes.

#### 21 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A.

A. The purpose of my testimony is to address CenturyTel's charges to Socket for interconnection facilities that are at issue in this case. I will demonstrate that CenturyTel

has billed Socket in accordance with its agreement with Socket for direct interconnection facilities where Socket's traffic exceeds established thresholds. I will also address the counterclaim by CenturyTel of the charges due and owing by Socket for the provision of such facilities and will demonstrate the outstanding amounts due for these facilities.

#### 5 Q. WHAT INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES CHARGES ARE AT ISSUE?

- A. As described by other witnesses in this case, Socket ordered a number of direct interconnection facilities from CenturyTel of Missouri and Spectra Communications prior to the effective date of its current interconnection agreements ("ICA"), which is October 13, 2006.
- 10 Q. WAS THERE A SPECIFIC DISCUSSION BETWEEN CENTURYTEL AND
  11 SOCKET CONCERNING THE RATES TO BE CHARGED FOR THE
  12 FACILITIES?
- 13 A. Yes. As noted in more detail by Ms. Susan Smith's rebuttal testimony, on October 16,
  14 2006, CenturyTel and Socket had a conference call to discuss several issues arising out of
  15 the implementation of the new ICA that became effective on October 13, 2006. Specific
  16 to this issue was a question that Socket raised during that meeting concerning the rates
  17 they should pay for the existing direct interconnection facilities with Spectra.

#### 18 O. WHAT RATES WERE AGREED TO DURING THAT MEETING?

A. It was decided that all CenturyTel-provided facilities relating to the existing Spectra
Communications POIs would be converted to special access tariffed pricing. A review of
the existing tariffs would take place to determine the most cost-efficient pricing. This
would be the same tariffed pricing criteria that would take place if any carrier ordered
facilities that were jointly provided by multiple ILECs.

#### 1 Q. WHAT RATES WERE BILLED FOR THESE FACILITIES?

- 2 A. CenturyTel has billed Socket for the Spectra Communications interconnection facilities
- in dispute in this proceeding utilizing the appropriate transport mileage as shown in FCC
- No. 4 and at the appropriate special access tariff rates. This is in conformance with the
- 5 agreement that Socket would be billed from the appropriate access tariff for the facilities
- 6 in place prior to the effective date of the current ICA.

#### 7 Q. CAN YOU DEMONSTRATE WHAT SOCKET WAS BILLED?

- 8 A. Yes. Attached as PROPRIETARY Schedule PH-1 are schedules that demonstrate, by
- 9 month, what Socket has been billed for the facilities by CenturyTel of Missouri and
- 10 Spectra Communications.

#### 11 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE INFORMATION SHOWN IN PROPRIETARY

- 12 SCHEDULE PH-1?
- 13 A. Yes. As stated above, there are actually two schedules, one for CenturyTel of Missouri,
- the other for Spectra Communications, since the two ILEC companies bill Socket
- separately under separate ICAs. The schedules contain headings that explain what the
- information in each column represents, specifically, the bill date, the invoice number, the
- 17 prior month's ending balance, current month's charges, any payments received,
- adjustments to the account during the month, and finally, the ending balance.

## 19 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE NOTES AT THE BOTTOM OF THE SCHEDULES,

#### 20 BELOW THE BILLING DATA?

- 21 A. Yes. The notes concern the facilities CenturyTel of Missouri and Spectra
- Communications have billed Socket that are in dispute in this proceeding. Ms. Smith
- explains in more detail in her rebuttal testimony the issues surrounding those disputes.

- Specifically, for CenturyTel of Missouri, Socket is disputing the need for direct connection at Warrenton and St. James. Socket is also disputing the need for direct connection with Spectra Communications at Canton, Palmyra, Monroe City, and Van Buren. They also dispute the jointly-provided facilities costs of CenturyTel of Missouri and Spectra Communications at Canton, Palmyra, Monroe City, and Van Buren.
- 6 Q. WHAT DO THE SCHEDULES SHOW ARE THE OUTSTANDING BALANCES
- 7 CURRENTLY DUE ON THE ACCOUNTS?
- A. The schedules show that Socket owes CenturyTel of Missouri, as of December 10, 2008, a balance of \$53,184.61. The total due to Spectra Communications Group, as of December 15, 2008, is \$526,024.61.
- 11 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.