Schedule FJH-26 Page 1 of 25

Schediule FJH-1 Page 1 of 23 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Summary of Cost of Capital and Fair Rate of Return Based on Hypothetical Capital Structure Ratios

Type of Capital	Ratios (1)	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate
Long-Term Debt	44.09 %	6.57 % (2)	2 90 %
Short-Term Debt Total Debt	<u>9.91</u> 54.00 (5)	5.47 (3)	<u>0.54</u> 3 44
Common Equity	46.00	11.75 (4)	5.41
Total	<u> 100.00 </u> %		<u> </u>

Notes:

- A hypothetical capital structure consisting of 54 00% debt and 46.00% equity is utilized for cost of capital purposes for the following reasons:
 - (a) Southern Union Company's transformation from a utility to a gas transportation and energy services company As George L Lindemann, Southern Union's chairman, President and CEO has stated: "The sale of these assets is part of the continuing transformation of Southern Union Company from a utility to a leader in the natural gas transportation and services industry." In addition Eric D. Herschmann, senior executive vice president of Southern Union stated: "We believe this transaction [sele of New England Gas Company Rhode Island Assets], as well as our company's orgoing transformation, will further enhance value for our shareholders." (Business Wire -February 16, 2006)
 - (b) The sale of New England Gas Company's Rhode Island assets to National Grid PLC as noted in (1) (a) above, which was completed on August 25, 2006.
 - (c) The sale of PG Energy to UGI Utilities, Inc., which was completed on August 25, 2006
 - (d) Because the cost of common equity is expectational and Southern Union is positioning itself as a gas transportation and energy services company (see (1) (a) above), investors no longer view Southern Union as a regulated natural gas distribution utility, Southern Union's cost of common equity is not applicable to PG Energy, a regulated natural gas distribution utility.
- (e) The use of the proceeds from the sales cited in (1) (b) and (1) (c) above to help fund the acquisition of Sid Richardson Energy Services.
- (2) From page 1 of Schedule F3H-7 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.
- (3) Estimated short-term debt cost rate is based upon the six-quarter average beginning with the first quarter of 2006 and ending with the second quarter 2007 of the 3-month LIBOR rate of 4.97% (as can be gleaned from the information shown on page 7 of Schedule FJH-13 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony) plus 0.50% (50 basis points). Thus, 5 47% = 4.97% + 0.50%.
- (4) Based upon informed judgment from the entire study, the principal results of which are summarized on page 2 of this Schedule.
- (5) The 54.00% total debt ratio has been allocated between long-term and short-term debt based upon the midpoint of the average long-term and short-term debt ratios of the proxy group of four gas distribution companies and the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies for the five quarters ended December 31, 2005 as shown on pages 3 and 4 of Schedule FJH-6 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony The allocation is derived as follows:

Average for the five quarters ended December 31, 2005		up of Four Gas on Companies	Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies			
	Ratios	% lo Total	Ratios	% to Total		
Long-Term Debt Short-Term Debt	42.36 % 8.76_	82.86 % 17.14	41.26 % <u>10.05</u>	80.41 % <u>19.59</u>		
Total Debt	51.12 %	100,00 %	51.31 %	100.00 %		

Midpoint of the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies and the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies

		% to	
	Ratios	Total	
Long-Term Debt	41.81 %	8164 %	
Short-Term Debt	9.41	18.36_	
Total Debt	<u>51.22</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	

Therefore, the hypothetical long-term debt ratio of 44 09% is derived as 81 64% * 54.00% and the short-term debt ratio of 9.91% is derived as 18 36% * 54.00%.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 2 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 2 of 23 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Brief Summary of Common Equity Cost Rate

Line		Principal Methods	Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies	Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	Southern Union Company
<u>No.</u>		Discounted Cash Flow Model (1)	9.76 %	9.81 %	13.03 %
2.		Risk Premium Model (2)	11.50	11.30	11.95
3.		Capital Asset Pricing Model (3)	11.55	11.32	12.49
4.		Comparable Earnings Analysis (4)	14.36	14.35	14.11
5.	A.	Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate before Investment Risk Adjustments	11.79 %	11.70 %	12.90 %
	8.	Adjusted Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) (5)	10.89	10.85	15.18
θ.		Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Before Adjustments for Unique Risk		11.31 %	14 <u>.</u> D4 %
7.	A	Adjustments for Unique Risk Due to smaller relative size		0.30 (6)	0.50 (6)
	B	Due to Lack of Protection from the Vagaries of Weather		0.15 (7)	
8.		Common Equity Cost Rate after Investment Risk Adjustment		<u>11.76</u> %	<u> 14.54 </u> %

9. Recommendation

<u>11.75% (8)</u>

See pages 4 through 6 for notes.

(FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY) Brief Summary of Common Equity Cost Rate For the Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution Companies (9) and the Proxy Group of Six Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (9)

Line No.		Principal Methods	Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution Companies (9)	Proxy Group of Six Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (9)	Southern Union Company
1.	-	Discounted Cash Flow Model (1)	9_69 %	9.58 %	13.03 %
2.		Risk Premium Model (2)	11.49	11.33	11.95
3.		Capital Asset Pricing Model (3)	11.65	11.34	12.49
4,		Comparable Earnings Analysis (4)	14.15	14.71	14.11
5.	A.	Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate before Investment Risk Adjustments	11.75 %	11.74 %	12.90 %
	В.	Adjusted Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) (5)	10.72	10.53	15.18
6.		Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Before Adjustments for Unique Risk		11.19 %	14.04 %
7.	A.	Adjustments for Unique Risk Due to smaller relative size		0.30 (6)	0.50 (6)
	в.	Due to Lack of Protection from the Vagarles of Weather	_	0.19 (7)	
8.		Common Equity Cost Rate after Investment Risk Adjustment	=	<u>11.68</u> %	<u>14.54</u> %

9. Recommendation

.

11.75%

See pages 4 through 6 for notes.

Schedule FJH-1 Page 3 of 23 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Notes to Brief Summary of Cost of Equity

Notes:

- (1) From page 1 of Schedule FJH-27.
- (2) From page 1 of Schedule FJH-28.
- (3) From page 1 of Schedule FJH-29.
- (4) From Pages 1 through 6 of Schedule FJH-30.
- (5) The 10.89% (4 LDCs), 10.85% (8 LDCs) and 15.18% (Southern Union Company) adjusted DCF cost rates reflect the added financial risk attributable to the application of a market based cost of common equity to a book value common equity ratio as made by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PAPUC) in Orders re: Pennsylvania-American Water Company (R-00016339) entered January 25, 2002, re: Pennsylvania -American Water Company (R-00038304) entered January 16, 2004, and re: Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. (formerly Pennsylvania Suburban Water Company) (R-00038805) entered August 5, 2004, in order to reflect understatement of DCF cost rates due to the divergence of market and book value common equity ratios, especially in a volatile stock market. The basis for this adjustment is shown below:

The 9.76%, 9.81% and 13.03% DCF cost rates are relative to the average market value common equity of the proxy group of four gas distribution companies, the proxy group of eight value line gas distribution companies (LDCs), and Southern Union Company, respectively. The cost of common equity for an LDC with 100% common equity must first be calculated as follows:

 $\begin{aligned} &k_u = k_e - \left[\left\{ \left(k_u - l \right)^* \left(1 - t \right)^* \left(MD / ME \right) \right\} - \left\{ \left(k_u - l_s \right)^* \left(1 - t \right)^* \left(MS / ME \right) \right\} \\ &- \left\{ \left(k_u - d \right)^* \left(MP / ME \right) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$

For the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies:

8.74% = 9.76% - [{(8.74% - 6.29%)*(1 - 35%)*(28.15%/63.36%)} - {(8.74% - 5.23%)* (1 - 35%)*(8.49%/63.36%)} - {(8.74% - 6.22%)*(0.0%/63.36)}]

For the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies:

8.85% = 9.81% - [{(8.85% - 6.29%)*(1 - 35%)*(28.22%/65.18%)}-{(8.85% - 5.23%)* (1 - 35%)*(6.43%/65.18%)}-{(8.85% - 6.22%)*(0.17%/65.18%)}]

For Southern Union Company:

10.28% = 13.03% - [{ (10.28% - 6.29%) * (1 - 35%) * (38.72% / 50.40%) } - { (10.28% - 5.23%) * (1 - 35%) * (7.03% / 50.40%) } - { (10.28% - 6.22%) * (3.85% / 50.40%) }]

 $k_u = \cos t$ of common equity for a firm with 100% common equity. Where: Ke = market determined cost of common equity, i.e., DCF cost rate, 9.76% (4 LDCs.) / 9.81% (8 LDCs.) / 13.03% (Southern Union Company). I = cost rate of long-term debt, i.e., 6.29%, the average of the July 2006 (6.37%) and August 2006 (6.20%) yields on Moody's A rated public utility debt. $I_s = \cos t$ rate of short-term debt, i.e., 5.23%, the average of the July 2006 (5.24%) and August 2006 (5.22%) commercial paper rate, from Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15. t = tax rate, i.e., 35%. MD = average long-term debt ratio based upon a market-value capital structure, using the fair value of long-term debt at October 13, 2006 from pages 7 to 9 of this schedule. MS = average short-term debt ratio based upon a market-value capital structure, using the book value of short-term debt October 13, 2006 from pages 7 to 9 of this schedule.

ME = average common equity ratio based upon a market-value capital structure at October 13, 2006.

d = cost rate of preferred stock, i.e., 6.22%, the average of the July 2006 (6.25%) and August 2006(6.19%) yields on Moody's A rated public utility preferred

Schedule FJH-1 Page 4 of 23 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Notes to Brief Summary of Cost of Equity

stocks.

MP = average preferred stock ratio based upon a market-value capital structure at October 13, 2006, assuming preferred stock has a market-to-book ratio of 1.00, from pages 7 to 9 of this schedule.

From these "unlevered" costs of common equity, 8.74% (4 LDCs), 8.85% (8 LDCs) and 10.28% (Southern Union), the cost of common equity using the average book value capital structure ratios of the proxy groups can be derived as follows:

 $\begin{aligned} &k_u = k_o + \left[\left\{ \left(k_u - l \right)^* \left(1 - t \right)^* \left(BD / BE \right) \right\} + \left\{ \left(k_u - l_s \right)^* \left(1 - t \right)^* \left(BS / BE \right) \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \left(k_u - d \right)^* \left(BP / BE \right) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$

For the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies:

10.89% = 8.74%+- [{(8.74% - 6.29%)*(1 - 35%)*(41.52%/45.00%)}+ {(8.74% - 5.23%)*(1 - 35%)*(13.48%/45.00)}+ {(8.74% - 6.22%)*(0.0%/45.00)}]

For the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies:

10.85% = 8.85% + [{(8.85% - 6.29%)*(1 - 35%)*(41.64%/47.43%)}+{(8.85% - 5.23%) *(1 - 35%)*(10.69%/47.43)}+{(8.85% - 6.22%)*(0.24%/47.43)}]

For Southern Union Company:

 $\begin{array}{l} 15.18\% = 10.28\% + \left[\left\{ \left(10.28\% - 6.29\% \right) * \left(1 - 35\% \right) * \left(48.89\% \right. \left. /36.50\% \right) \right\} + \left\{ \left(10.28\% - 5.23\% \right) * \left(1 - 35\% \right) * \left(9.44\% \right/ 36.50 \right) \right\} + \left\{ \left(10.28\% - 6.22\% \right) * \left(5.17\% \right/ 36.50 \right) \right\} \right] \end{array}$

Where: $k_{\mu} = \cos t$ of common equity for a firm with 100% common equity.

 $k_e = cost of common equity based upon book value capital structure ratios.$ I = cost rate of debt, i.e., 6.29%, the average of the July 2006 (6.37%) and August 2006 (6.20%) yields on Moody's A rated public utility debt.

 I_s = cost rate of short-term debt, i.e., 5.23%, the average of the July 2006 (5.24%) and August 2006 (5.22%) commercial paper rate, from Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15.

t = tax rate, i.e., 35%.

BD = average debt ratio based upon the carrying value of long-term debt at October 13, 2006 from pages 7 to 9 of this schedule.

BS = average short-term debt ratio based upon a book value capital structure, using the book value of short-term debt at October 13, 2006 from pages 6 to 8 of this schedule.

d = cost rate of preferred stock, i.e., 6.22%, the average of the July 2006 (6.25%) and August 2006(6.19%) yields on Moody's A rated public utility preferred stocks.

BP = average preferred stock ratio based upon a book-value capital structure at October 13, 2006, from pages 7 to 9 of this schedule.

Had the average capital structure of Cascade Natural Gas Company and Northwest Natural Gas Company been used, the adjusted DCF for the group of four gas distribution companies would be 10.85% as shown on page 1 of Schedule FJH27. Had the average capital structure of Cascade Natural Gas Company, The Laclede Group, Northwest Natural Gas and Peoples Energy been used, the adjusted DCF for the group of eight gas distribution companies would be 10.82% as shown on page 1 of Schedule FJH-27.

As indicated in Note 9 below, Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. Therefore, for informational purposes, the adjusted DCF cost rates to reflect the added financial risk attributable to the application of the averages excluding Cascade in the proxy group of four gas distribution companies and Cascade and Peoples in the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies have been calculated and the results shown on page 1 of Schedule FJH-27. If such companies were excluded from the proxy the adjusted DCFs would be 10.72% (3 LDCs) and 10.53% (6 LDCs). The 9.69% and 9.58% DCF

Schedule FJH-1 Page 5 of 23 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Notes to Brief Summary of Cost of Equity

cost rates are relative to the average market value common equity of the 3 LDCs (after the exclusion of Cascade Natural) and the proxy group of 6 LDCs (after the exclusion of Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy), respectively. The cost of common equity for an LDC with 100% common equity has been calculated in a similar fashion to those calculated for the proxy groups of 4 LDCs and 8 LDCs, in pages 4 and 5 of this exhibit, using the following formula:

 $k_u = k_e - [\{(k_u - l)^*(1 - t)^*(MD/ME)\} - \{(k_u - l_s)^*(1 - t)^*(MS/ME)\} - \{(k_u - d)^*(MP/ME)\}]$

From these "unlevered" costs of common equity, 8.71% (3 LDCs) and 8.70% (6 LDCs), the cost of common equity using the average book value capital structure ratios of the proxy groups can be derived in a similar fashion to those calculated for the proxy groups of 4 LDCs and 8 LDCs, in pages 4 and 5 of this exhibit, using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} k_u &= k_0 + \left[\left\{ (k_u - l)^* (1 - t)^* (BD / BE) \right\} + \left\{ (k_u - l_s)^* (1 - t)^* (BS / BE) \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ (k_u - d)^* (BP / BE) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Had the average capital structure of Northwest Natural Gas Company been used, the adjusted DCF for the group of 3 LDCs would be 10.50% as shown on page 1 of Schedule FJH-27. Had the average capital structure of The Laclede Group and Northwest Natural Gas been used, the adjusted DCF for the group of 6 LDCs would be 10.40% as shown on page 1 of Schedule FJH-27.

- (6) Business Risk Adjustment due to PG Energy's greater relative business risk due to its small size vis-à-vis the two proxy groups and Southern Union Company, respectively, as fully determined in Mr. Hanley's accompanying direct testimony.
- (7) As explained in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony, Missouri Gas Energy does not enjoy protection from the vagaries of weather. Since the majority of the companies in both proxy groups have such clauses (see page 3 of Schedules FJH-3 and FJH-4 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony. Missouri Gas Energy has greater relative risk vis-à-vis than the companies in the proxy groups, due to the greater variability of its earnings attributable to the vagaries of weather. In Mr. Hanley's judgment the added risk attributable to the lack of protection from the vagaries of weather is approximately 25 basis points. As shown on Page 3 of Schedule FJH-3 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony, the equivalent of 2 companies in the proxy group of four LDCs, have WNCs in place. This equates to about 50% of the full impact or 13 basis points ((0.25% * 50%) = 0.125%, rounded to 0.13%). It can be determined in similar fashion by reference to Page 3 of Schedule FJH-4 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony that the equivalent of 5 companies in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs enjoy protection from weather, of the full impact or 16 basis points ((0.25% * 625%) = 0.156%, rounded to 0.16%)). The average risk of both groups is equal to 15 basis points ((0.13% for the group of 4 plus 0.17% for the group of 8, divided by 2) or (0.13 + 0.16) / 2 = 0.145%, rounded to 0.15%)). Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade in the proxy group of 4 LDCs, and Cascade and Peoples in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being calculated and shown on page 3 of this Schedule. If such companies were excluded from the proxy groups, the average risk for both groups would be equal to 19 basis points ((0.17% for the group of 3 LDCs, after the exclusion of Cascade, plus 0.21% for the group of 6 LDCs, after the exclusion of Cascade and Peoples, divided by 2) or (0.17 + 0.21) / 2 = 0.19%)).
- (8) Mr. Hanley's recommended common equity cost rate for Missouri Gas Energy is 11.75% based upon current capital market conditions.
- (9) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four gas distribution companies, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Schedule FJH-1 Page 6 of 23 (Updale)

Capital Structure Based upon Total Capital for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies <u>At September 2005 (1)</u>

	Based Upon Book V	/aiue	Based Upon Market Value Equity at October 13	
	Amount Outstanding	Ratios	Amount Outstanding	Ratios
One of Markard Car Correction	(\$ mill.)		(Smill.)	
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation Long-Term Debt	\$ 173.84	57.00 % 4.10	\$ 168.63 12.50	37.89 % 2.51
Short-Term Debt	12.50	61.10	201 13	40.40
Total Debt		-	•	-
Preferred Stock Common Equity	118.62	38.90	296.67	59.60
Total Equity	118.62	38.90	296.67	59.60
Total Capital	<u>\$ 304.96</u>	100.0D %	<u>\$ 497.80</u>	100.00_%
NICOR Inc. Long-Term Debt	- \$ 536.40 586.00	27 74 % 30.30	\$ 525.00 \$86.00	17.05 % 19.04
Short-Term Debt Total Debt	1,122.40	58.04	1,111.00	36.09
Preferred Slock		-	-	
Common Equity	B11.30	41,96	1,967.36	63.91
Total Equity	811.30	41.96	1,967.36	63.91
Total Capital	<u>5 t,933.70</u>	100.00 %	\$ 3,078.36	100.00 %
Northwest Natural Gas Company	s 529.50	42.60 %	\$ 579.38	3182 %
Long-Term Debl Short-Term Debl	126.70	10.19	126.70	6,96
Totai Debi	655.20	52.79	706.08	38 76
Preferred Stock	596.93	47.21	1,114.83	61.22
Common Equity Total Equity	586.93	47.21	1,114.83	61.22
Total Capital	5 1,243.13	100.00 %	\$ 1,820.91	100.00 %
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.				
Long-Term Debl	- S 660.00	38.76 %	\$ 753.27 158.50	25.85 % 5.44
Short-Term Debt Total Debt	<u>158.50</u>	<u>9.31</u> 48 07	911.77	31.29
Preferred Stock	-	-	-	•
Common Equity	684.19	51.93	2,002.00	66.71
Total Equity	884.19	51.93	2,002.00	68.71
Total Capital	<u>\$ 1,702.69</u>	100.00 %	<u>\$ 2,913.77</u>	100.00_%
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution				
Companies Long-Term Debt		41.52 %		28.15 % 8.49
Short-Term Debt		<u>13.48</u> 55.00	-	36 64
Total Debt		55.00		-
Preferred Stock Common Equity		45.00	-	63.36
Total Equity		45.00	-	63.36
Total Capital		100.00_%	=	100.00 %
Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution				
Companies (2) Long-Term Debt	_	36.37 %		24.91 % 10.48
Shorl-Term Debl		<u> </u>	-	35-39
Total Debt		-		•
Preferred Stock Common Equity		47.03		64.61
Totai Equity		47.03	-	<u>64.61</u> 100.00 %
Total Capilal		100.00 %	-	70,00 78
Southern Union Company Long-Term Debt	S 2,175.79 (3)	48.89 %	\$ 2,313 06	38.72 %
Short-Term Debt	420.00	9.44	420.00	7.03
Tolal Debt	2,595.79	58.33	2,733.06	45.75 3.85
Preferred Slock	230.00 1,624.07	5 17 36.50	230.00 3,011.37	50.40
Common Equity Total Equity	1,854.07	41.67	3,241.37	54.25
Total Capital	S 4,449.86	100.00 %	5 5.974.43	100.00 %

(1) Capital Structure based upon Total Capital as of September 2005, except NICOR Northwest Natural and Southern Union, which is December 2005, and for Piedmont Natural Gas, which is October 2005

(2) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation is currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural are being shown.

(3) Book Value Long-term debt for Southern Union is based on the carrying amount published by the company in their annual Form 10K.

Source of Information: Standard & Poor's Computati Services, inc., PC Plus/Research Insight Data Base Company Annual Forms 10-K and 10-Q DTN Trading Markets' DTNIQ/interguote.com

Schedule FJH-1 Page 7 of 23 (Update)

Capital Structure Based upon Total Capital for the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company <u>At September 2005.(1)</u>

		Based Upon Book Va			Amount		
		t Outstanding \$ mili.)	Ratios		utstanding	Ratios	
hand the set of the comparation							
cascade Natural Gas Corporation ong-Term Debt	\$	173 84	57.01 % 4.10	\$	188.63 12.50	37.89 2.51	%
hort-Term Debt Total Debt		12.50	61 11		201 13	40.40	
referred Stock		-	-		-	-	
ommon Equity	. <u></u>	118.62	38.90		296.67	59.60 59.60	•
Total Equity		118.62	38.90	\$	497.80	100.00	%
Total Capital	\$	304.96	100.01 %	<u>ب</u>	=======================================		<i>,</i>
he Laclede Group, Inc. ong-Term Debt	- s	380.43	46.48 %	\$	413.52	34-62 5.91	%
hort-Term Debt		70.61	<u>8.62</u> 55.10	. <u> </u>	70.61 484.13	40.53	•
Total Debt		451.04 1.01	0.12		1.01	0.09	
referred Stock common Equity		366.53	44.78		709.26	59.38	-
Total Equity		367.53	44.90		710.27	59.47	-
Total Capital	\$	818.57	100.00 %	<u></u>	1,194.40	100.00	= %
lew Jersey Resources Corp. ong-Term Debt		264.80 (2)	30.20 %	\$	266.80 174.10	14.49 9.45	
hort-Term Debt		438.90	<u>19.85</u> 50.05		440.90	23.94	-
Total Debt referred Stock			-		-	-	
ommon Equity		438.05	49.95		1,400.89	76.06	-
Total Equity		438.05	49.95		1,400.89	76.06	
Total Capital	\$	876.95	100.00 %	5	1,841.79	100.00	±'
IICOR Inc.		555 45	27.74 %	\$	525.00	17.05	9
ong-Term Debt Short-Term Debt	\$	536.40 586.00	30.30		586.00	19.04	
Total Debt		1,122 40	58.04		1,111.00	36 09	
Preferred Stock Common Equity		811.30	41.96	·	1,967.36	63.91	
Total Equity		811.30	41.96		1,967.36	63.91	_
Total Capital	5	1,933.70	100.00 %	5	3,078.36	100.00	?
Northwest Natural Gas Company							
ong-Term Debt	\$	529.50 126.70	42.60 % 10.19	\$	579.38 126.70	31.82 6.96	
Short-Term Debt Total Debt		656.20	52.79		706.08	38 78	-
Preferred Stock		-	-		-	- 61.22	
Common Equity		586.93	47.21		1,114.83	61.22	-
Total Equity	<u></u>	586.93	47.21	5	1,820.91	100.00	
Total Capital				# 			-
Peoples Energy Corporation	\$	895.58	52.56 %	\$	912.80	36.32	
Short-Term Debt		8.15	0.48		<u>8.15</u> 920.95	0.32	_
Total Debt Preferred Stock		903.73			-	-	
Common Equily		800.15	46.96		1,592.41	63.36	_
Total Equity		800.15	46.96 100.00 %	\$	1,592.41 2,513.36	63.36 100.00	

Schedule FJH-26 Page 9 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 8 of 23 (Update)

Capital Structure Based upon Total Capital for the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company At September 2005 (1)

		Based Upon Book V	alite	Base	d Upon Market Va Equity at October	
	•				Amount	Ralios
		nt Outstanding	Ratios		utstanding \$ mill)	Raics
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	- 5	660.00	38.76 %	\$	753 27	25.85 %
Short-Term Debt	<u> </u>	158.50	9.31		158.50	5.44
Total Debt		818.50	48 07		911 77	31.29
Preferred Stock		- 884.19	51.93		2,002.00	68.71
Common Equity		884.19	51.93		2,002.00	68.71
Total Equity	e	1,702.69	100.00 %	5	2,913.77	100.00 %
Total Capital	<u></u>	1,102.00				
WGL Holdings, Inc.	- \$	584.20 (2)	37.76 %	\$	626.80	27.76 %
Long-Term Debt Short-Term Debt		40.88	2.64		40.88	1.81
Total Debt		625.08	40 40		667.68	29.57
Preferred Stock		28 17 893.99	1.82 57.78		28.20 1,561.85	1.25 69.18
Common Equity Total Equity		922.17	59.60		t,590.05	70.43
Total Capital	\$	1,547.24	100.00 %	\$	2,257.73	100.00 %
Proxy Group of Eight Gas Distribution	<u> </u>					
Companies	_					28.22 %
Long-Term Debt Short-Term Debt			41.64 % 10.69			6.43
Total Debt		_	52.33			34.65
Preferred Stock			0.24 47.43			0.17 65.18
Common Equity			47.67			65.35
Total Equity		-	100.00 %			100.00 %
Total Capital		=	100.00 //			
Proxy Group of Six Gas Distribution						
Companies (2) Long-Term Debt	_		37.26 %			25.27 % 8.10
Short-Term Debt		-	13.49			33.37
Total Debt			50.75 0.32			0.22
Preferred Stock Common Equity			48.93			66.41
Total Equity		-	49.25			66.63
Total Capital		=	100.00 %			100.00 %
Southern Union Company		2,175.79 (3)	48.89 %	\$	2,313 06	38.72 %
Long-Term Debt Short-Term Debt	\$	420.00	9.44		420.00	7.03
Total Debt		2,595.79	58.33		2,733.06	45.75
Preferred Stock		230.00	5.17 36.50		230.00 3,011.37	3.85 50.40
Common Equity		1,624.07	<u>36.50</u> 41.67		3,241.37	54.25
Total Equity	5	1,854.07	100.00 %	5	5,974.43	100.00 %
Total Capital	<u>а</u>			<u></u>		

(1) Capital Structure based upon Total Capital as of September 2005, except NICOR Northwest Natural and Southern Union, which is December 2005, and for Piedmont Natural Gas, which is October 2005.

(2) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy are being shown.

(3) Book Value Long-term debt for Southern Union is based on the carrying amount published by the company in their annual Form 10K.

Source of Information:

suce of miormaton. Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Plus/Research Insight Data Base Company Annual Forms 10-K and 10-Q DTN Trading Markets' DTNiQ/Interguote com

		from e Size <u>n (2)</u>					8	%	%	*	%		Page 10 of Schedule F Page 9 of 2	JH-1
	LTI	Spread from Applicable Size Premium (2)					0.85%	0.85%	0.85%	0.85%	1.45%		(Update)	
			(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(8)	(8)	(11)	(11)	(14)	I	8048995508	
	ব	Applicable Size Premium	2.55%	2.55% 2.55%	2.55%	2.76%	1.70%	1.70%	1.70%	1.70%	1,10%	Recent Average Market (millions)	\$52,484,030 11,128,152 5,509,994 3,185,908 2,185,508 2,185,195 1,069,037 817,567 387,790 387,790 123,903	
	ମ	Applicable Decile of the NYSE/AMEX/ NASDAQ	8 - 9 (4)	8 - 9 (4) 8 - 9 (4)	8 - 9 (4)	9 (4)	6 - 7 (7)	6 - 7 (7)	6 - 7 (10)	6 - 7 (10)	4 (13)	Recent Total Market Capitalization (millions)	\$8,869,801,117 2,025,523,685 1,074,448,763 655,297,080 452,329,097 389,595,517 319,642,175 287,783,718 288,778,291 216,334,858 216,334,858	
AMEXINASDAC		n on October 13, (1) ((times larger)					2.3	2.7	2.4 x	2.7 ×	6.4	Number of Comparites	169 195 206 207 208 352 299 663 352	
largy justment Based upon ortfoligs of the NYSE/	2	Market Capitalization on October 13, 2006 (1) (millions) ((times larger)	\$	\$ 554.451 \$ 547.507	\$ 541.632	\$ 467.811	\$ 1,345.214	\$ 1,487.346	\$ 1,330.658	\$ 1,459.363	\$ 3.011.366	Decile	4 - Largest 5 6 6 7 10 - Smallest	
Missouri Gas Energy Derivation of investment Risk Adjustment Based upon Ibbotson Associates' Size Premia for the Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/IMASDAC	Ţ	Total Capitalization (incl. Short-Term Debt) for the Year 2005 (mitlions) (times larger)	\$ 560.602 (3)				\$ 1,296.120 (6) 2.2	\$ 1,626.508 (6) 2.8	\$ 1,279.600 (9) 2.2 x	\$ 1,371.336 (9) 2.4 x	\$ 4,449.858 (12) 7.7			
101		Line <u>No.</u>	 Missouri Gas Energy A. Based upon the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies 	Based upon the Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution Companies (15) Based upon the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution	Companies Based upon the Proxy Group of Six Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (15)	C. Based upon Southern Untion Company	Pmxv Gruin of Four Gas Distribution Companies		- Down Group of Firsh Value 1 ine Gas Distribution Companies	•	A. Southern Union Company			See page 11 for notes.

.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 10 of 25

Schedule FJH-26 Page 11 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 10 of 23 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Derivation of Investment Risk Adjustment Based upon Ibbotson Associates' Size Premia for the Decile Portfolios of the NYSE

Notes:

- (1) From page 12 of this Schedule.
- (2) Line No. 1 Line No. 2 and Line No. 1 Line No. 3 of Columns 3 and 4, respectively. For example, the 0.85% in Column 5, Line No. 2 is derived as follows 0.85% = 2.55% - 1.70%.
- (3) Company-provided rate base at December 31, 2005 presumed to equal total capitalization if it were a stand alone entity rather than a division.
- (4) With an estimated market capitalization of \$583.296 million (based upon the proxy group of four gas distribution companies), \$547.507 (based upon the proxy group of Eight Value Line gas distribution companies) and \$467.811 (based upon Southern Union Company), Missouri Gas Energy falls between the 8th and 9th deciles for the two proxy groups, and in the 9th decile for Southern Union, of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ, which have an average market capitalization of \$602.679 and \$387.790, respectively, as shown in the table on the bottom half of page 10 of this Schedule. If Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation were excluded as indicated in Note 15 below, the estimated market capitalization for the proxy group of three gas distribution companies and six gas distribution companies would be \$554.451 and \$541.632, respectively, and based on both groups Missouri Gas Energy would also fall between the 8th and 9th deciles.
- (5) Size premium applicable to the 8th and 9th decile of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ as shown on page 24 of this Schedule.
- (6) From page 1 of Schedule FJH-3 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.
- (7) With an estimated market capitalization of \$1,345.214 million, the proxy group of four gas distribution companies falls between the 6th and 7th deciles of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ which have an average market capitalization of \$1,352.997 million as can be gleaned from the information shown in the table on the bottom half of page 10 of this Schedule. If Cascade Natural Gas Corporation were excluded form the group of four gas distribution companies, as indicated in Note 15 below, the estimated market capitalization would be \$1,487.346 and the group would also fall between the 6th and 7th deciles.
- (8) Average size premium applicable to the 6th and 7th deciles of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ as can be gleaned from the information shown on page 24 of this schedule.
- (9) From page 1 of Schedule FJH-4 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.
- (10) With an estimated market capitalization of \$1,330.658 million, the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies falls between the 6th and 7th deciles of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ which have an average market capitalization of \$1,352.997 as shown in the table on the bottom half of page 10 of this Schedule. If Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation were excluded form the group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies, as indicated in Note 15 below, the estimated market capitalization would be \$1,459.363 and the group would also fall between the 6th and 7th deciles.
- (11) Average size premium applicable to the 6th and 7th deciles of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ as can be gleaned from the information shown on page 24 of this schedule.
- (12) From page 1 of Schedule FJH-5 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.
- (13) With an estimated market capitalization of \$3,011.366 million, Southern Union Company falls in the 4th decile of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ which has an average market capitalization of \$3,185.908 as shown in the table on the bottom half of page 10 of this Schedule.
- (14) Average size premium applicable to 4th deciles of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ as can be gleaned from the information shown on page 24 of this schedule.
- (15) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade in the proxy group of 4 LDCs, and Cascade and Peoples in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.
- Source of Information: Ibbotson Associates, Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation Valuation Edition 2006 Yearbook, Chicago, IL, 2006

Schedule FJH-1 Page 11 of 23 (Undate)

Missouri Gas Energy Market Capitalization of Missouri Gas Energy for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company...

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Company	Common Stock Shares Outstanding at June 30, 2006 (1) & (*) (millions)	Book Value per Share at September 30, 2005 (1) & (*)	Total Common Equity at June 30, 2006 (*) (millions)	Closing Stock Market Price on October 13, 2006	Markei-10-Book Ralio al October 13, 2005 (2)	Market Capitalization on October 13. 2006 (3) (millions)
Missouri Gas Energy	NA (4)	<u>NA</u>	<u>\$ 267.077</u>	(4) <u>NA</u>		
Based upon the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies					<u>21B.4</u> % (5)	<u>\$ 583.295</u> (6)
Based upon the Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution Companies (11)					<u> </u>	<u>\$ </u>
Based upon the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies					<u> </u>	<u>\$ </u>
Based upon the Proxy Group of Six Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (11)					202.8 % (7)	<u>\$ 541.632</u> (B)
Based upon Southern Union Company					175.2_% (9)	<u>5 467.811</u> (10)
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies						
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation NICOR Inc. Northwest Natural Gas Company Pisc/mont Natural Gas Co., Inc	11.499 44.440 27.547 75.348	\$ 11.138 18.630 22.176 11.971	\$ 128 081 827 900 610 876 902.021	\$25.800 44.270 40.470 26.570	231.6 % 237.6 162.5 222.0	\$ 296.674 1,967.359 1,114 B27 2,001.996
Average	39.709	\$ 15.979	\$ 617.220	\$ 34.278	218.4_%	\$ 1.345.214
Average Excluding Cascade Natural (11)	49.112	\$ 17.592	\$ 710.039	\$ 33.773	207.8 %	<u>\$ 1.487.346</u>
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies						
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation The Ladeda Group, Inc. New Jersey Resources Corp. NICOR Inc. Northwest Natural Gas Company Peoples Energy Corporation Pledmont Natural Gas Co., Inc WGL Holdings, Inc. Average Average Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy (11)	11 499 21 331 28 074 44 440 27 547 38 427 75 538 48.762 36 929 40.917	\$ 11.138 19.075 21.211 18.630 22.176 21.620 11.971 19.412 \$ 18.154 \$ 18.746	\$ 128.081 406.886 595.471 827.900 610.876 630.796 902.021 946.556 <u>\$ 656.073</u> \$ 714.952	S 25 600 33 250 49 900 44 270 40.479 41.440 25 570 22.030 \$ 36.716 \$ 37.748 \$ 37.748	231.6 % 174.3 235.3 237.6 182.5 191.7 222.0 165.0 205.0 % 202.8 %	\$ 296.674 709.256 1.400.893 1.967.359 1.114.827 1.552.415 2.001.996 1.561.647 5 \$ 1.330.668 \$ 1.459.383
Southam Union Company	112.030	\$ 15.346	\$ 1,719.179	\$ 26.880	<u> </u>	\$ 3,011.365

NA = Not Available

Notes: (1) Column 3 / Column 1.

(2) Column 4 / Column 2.
(3) Column 5* Column 3.

(a) Eased upon allocating Missouri Gas Energy's rate base at December 31, 2005 of \$560.601647 by Mr. Harley's recommended hypothetical common equity ratio of 46.00%.
 (5) The market-to-book ratio of Missouri Gas Energy, at October 13, 2006 is assumed to be equal to the average market-to-book ratio at October 13,

2006 of the proxy group of four gas distribution companies, as well as the proxy group of three gas distribution companies (after the exclusion of Cascade Natural Gas Corporation) as indicated in Note 11 below.

(6) Missouri Gas Energy's common stock, if traded, would trade at a markel-to-book ratio equal to the average market-to-book ratio at October 13, 2006 of the proxy group of four gas distribution companies, 218 4%, and Missouri Gas Energy's market capitalization at October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$563,296 million (\$553,296 = \$267,077 * 218 4%). If Cascade Natural Gas Corporation were excluded from the proxy group of four LDCs,

Nissouri Gas Energy's market capitalization at October 13, 2006 would have been \$554.451 million.
 The market-to-book ratio of Missouri Gas Energy at October 13, 2006 is assumed to be equal to the average market-to-book ratio at October 13, 2006 is assumed to be equal to the average market-to-book ratio at October 13, 2006 of the proxy group of six Value Line gas distribution companies, as well as the proxy group of six Value Line gas distribution companies (after the exclusion of Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Comporation) as indicated in Note 11 below.

(8) Missouri Gas Energy's common stock, if traded, would trade at a market-to-book ratio equal to the average market-to-book ratio at October 13, 2006 of the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies, 205 0%, and Missouri Gas Energy's market capitalization at October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$\$47.607 million (\$\$47.507 = \$\$267.077 * 205.0%). If Cascade Natural Gas Companies, 205 October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$\$47.607 million (\$\$47.507 = \$\$267.077 * 205.0%). If Cascade Natural Gas Composition at October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$\$47.607 million (\$\$47.507 = \$\$267.077 * 205.0%). If Cascade Natural Gas Companies, 205 October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$\$47.607 million (\$\$47.507 = \$\$267.077 * 205.0%). If Cascade Natural Gas Companies, 205 October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$\$47.607 million (\$\$47.507 = \$\$267.077 * 205.0%). If Cascade Natural Gas Composition at October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$\$47.607 million (\$\$47.507 = \$\$267.077 * 205.0%). If Cascade Natural Gas Composition at October 13, 2006 would therefore have been \$\$47.607 million (\$\$47.507 = \$\$267.077 * 205.0%). have been \$541 632 million. (9) The market-to-book ratio of Missouri Gas Energy, at October 13, 2006 is assumed to be equal to the average market-to-book ratio at October 13,

2006 of Southern Union Company. (10) Missouri Gas Energy's common slock, if traded, would trade at a market-to-book ratio equal to the average market-to-book ratio at October 13, 2006

of Southern Union Company, 175 2%, and Missouri Gas Energy's market capitalization at October 13, 2005 would therefore have been \$467.811 million. (\$467.811 = \$267.077 * 175.2%).

(11) Cascade Natural Cas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the everages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.
(*) As of June 30, 2006, except Piedmont Natural Cas which is at July 31, 2006

Source of Information:

Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Pius/Research Insight Data Base Company Annual Forms 10-K and 10-Q

Source of Information: DTN Trading Markets' DTNIQ/Interquote com

Schedule FJH-26 Page 13 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 12 of 23 (Update)

Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation

Valuation Edition 2006 Yearbook

SUDJD.

Apportsom

Schedule FJH-26 Page 14 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 13 of 23 (Update)

Chapter 7 Firm Size and Return

The Firm Size Phenomenon

One of the most remarkable discoveries of modern finance is that of a relationship between firm size and return. The relationship cuts across the entire size spectrum but is most evident among smaller companies, which have higher returns on average than larger ones. Many studies have looked at the effect of firm size on return.' In this chapter, the returns across the entire range of firm size are examined.

Construction of the Decile Portfolios

The portfolios used in this chapter are those created by the Center for Research in Security Prices (CRSP) at the University of Chicago's Graduate School of Business. CRSP has refined the methodology of creating size-based portfolios and has applied this methodology to the entire universe of NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ-listed securities going back to 1926.

The New York Stock Exchange universe excludes closed-end mutual funds, preferred stocks, real estate investment trusts, foreign stocks, American Depository Receipts, unit investment trusts, and Americus Trusts. All companies on the NYSE are ranked by the combined market capitalization of their eligible equity securities. The companies are then split into 10 equally populated groups, or deciles. Eligible companies traded on the American Stock Exchange (AMEX) and the Nasdaq National Market (NASDAQ) are then assigned to the appropriate deciles according to their capitalization in relation to the NYSE breakpoints. The portfolios are rebalanced, using closing prices for the last trading day of March, June, September, and December. Securities added during the quarter are assigned to the appropriate portfolio when two consecutive month-end prices are available. If the final NYSE price of a security that becomes delisted is a month-end price, then that month's return is included in the quarterly return of the security's portfolio. When a month-end NYSE price is missing, the month-end value of the security is derived from merger terms, quotations on regional exchanges, and other sources. If a month-end value still is not determined, the last available daily price is used.

Base security returns are monthly holding period returns. All distributions are added to the month-end prices, and appropriate price adjustments are made to account for stock splits and dividends. The return on a portfolio for one month is calculated as the weighted average of the returns for its individual stocks. Annual portfolio returns are calculated by compounding the monthly portfolio returns.

Size of the Deciles

Table 7-1 reveals that the top three deciles of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ account for most of the total market value of its stocks. Nearly two-thirds of the market value is represented by the first decile, which currently consists of 169 stocks, while the smallest decile accounts for just over

¹ Rolf W. Banz was the first to document this phenomenon. See Banz, Rolf W. "The Relationship Berween Returns and Market Value of Common Stocks," *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 9, 1981, pp. 3-18.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 15 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 14 of 23 (Update)

Chapter 7

one percent of the market value. The data in the second column of Table 7-1 are averages across all 80 years. Of course, the proportion of market value represented by the various deciles varies from year to year.

Columns three and four give recent figures on the number of companies and their market capitalization, presenting a snapshot of the structure of the deciles near the end of 2005.

Table 7-1

Size-Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ Size and Composition 1926 through September 30, 2005

Decile	Historical Average Percentage of Total Capitalization	Recent Number of Companies	Recent Decile Market Capitalization (in thousands)	Recent Percentage of Total Capitalization
1-largest	63.29%	169	\$8,869,801,117	60.92%
2	13.97%	182	2,025,323,685	13.91%
3	7.57%	195	1,074,448,763	7.3B%
4	4.74%	206	656,297,080	4.51%
4 5	3.24%	207	452,329,097	3.11%
6	2,37%	238	389,595,517	2.68%
7	1.73%	299	319,642,175	2.20%
, В	1.25%	352	287,783,718	1.98%
9	0.99%	693	268,738,291	1.85%
9 10-Smallest	0.81%	1,746	216,334,858	1.49%
Mid-Cap 3-5	15.55%	608	2,183,074,940	14.99%
Low-Cap 6-8	5.39%	889	997,021,410	5.859
Micro-Cap 9-10		2,439	485,073,149	3.33%

Source: © 200603 CRSP* Center for Research in Security Prices. Graduate School of Business, The University of Chicago. Used with permission. All rights reserved, www.crsp.uchicago.edu.

Historical average percentage of total capitalization shows the average, over the last 80 years, of the decile market values as a percentage of the total NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ calculated each month. Number of companies in deciles, recent market capitalization of deciles, and recent percentage of total capitalization are as of September 30, 2005.

Table 7-2 gives the current breakpoints that define the composition of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ size deciles. The largest company and its market capitalization are presented for each decile. Table 7-3 shows the historical breakpoints for each of the three size groupings presented throughout this chapter. Mid-cap stocks are defined here as the aggregate of deciles 3-5. Based on the most recent data (Table 7-2), companies within this mid-cap range have market capitalizations at or below \$7,187,244,000 but greater than \$1,728,888,000. Low-cap stocks include deciles 6-8 and currently include all companies in the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ with market capitalizations at or below \$1,728,888,000 but greater than \$586,393,000. Micro-cap stocks include deciles 9-10 and include companies with market capitalizations at or below \$586,393,000. The market capitalization of the smallest company included in the micro-capitalization group is currently \$1,079,000.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 16 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 15 of 23 (Update)

Firm Size and Return

Table 7-2

Size-Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ, Largest Company and its Market Capitalization by Decile September 30, 2005

Decile	Market Capitalization of Largest Company (in thousands)	Company Name
1-Largest	\$367,495,144	General Electric Co.
2	16,016,450	Entergy Corp.
3	7,187,244	Chesapeake Energy Corp.
4	3,961,425	Ball Corp.
5	2,519,280	Celenese Corp.
6	1,728,888	AGCO Corp.
7	1,280,966	ESCO Technologies Inc.
8	672,103	West Pharmaceutical Services Inc.
9 9	586,393	Genaral Cable Corp.
10-Smallest	254,981	4Kids Entertainment Inc.

Source: Center for Research in Security Prices, University of Chicago.

Presentation of the Decile Data

Summary statistics of annual returns of the 10 deciles over 1926-2005 are presented in Table 7-4. Note from this exhibit that both the average return and the total risk, or standard deviation of annual returns, tend to increase as one moves from the largest decile to the smallest. Furthermore, the serial correlations of returns are near zero for all but the smallest two deciles. Serial correlations and their significance will be discussed in detail later in this chapter.

Graph 7-1 depicts the growth of one dollar invested in each of three NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ groups broken down into mid-cap, low-cap, and micro-cap stocks. The index value of the entire NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ is also included. All returns presented are value-weighted based on the market capitalizations of the deciles contained in each subgroup. The sheer magnitude of the size effect in some years is noteworthy. While the largest stocks actually declined 9 percent in 1977, the smallest stocks rose more than 20 percent. A more extreme case occurred in the depression-recovery year of 1933, when the difference between the first and tenth decile returns was far more substantial, with the largest stocks rising 46 percent, and the smallest stocks rising 224 percent. This divergence in the performance of small and large company stocks is a common occurrence.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 17 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 16 of 23 (Update)

Chapter 7

Table 7-3

Size-Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ Largest and Smallest Company by Size Group

from 1926 to1965

Capitalization p (in the		ation of Large (in thousand		Capitaliza	Capitalization of Smallest Company (in thousands)					
Date (Sept 30)	Mid-Cap 3-5	Low-Cap 6-8	Micro-Cap 9-10	Mid-Cap 3-5	Low-Cap 6-8	Micro-Car 9-10				
1926	\$61,490	\$14,040	\$4,305	\$14,100	\$4,325	\$43				
1927	\$65,281	\$14,746	\$4,450	\$15,311	\$4,495	\$72				
192B	\$81,998	\$18,975	\$5,074	\$19,050	\$5,119	\$135				
1929	\$107,085	\$24,328	\$5,875	\$24,4BD	\$5,915	\$126				
1930	\$67,808	\$13,050	\$3,219	\$13,068	\$3,264	\$30				
1931	\$42,607	\$B,142	\$1,905	\$8,222	\$1,927	\$15				
1932	\$12,431	\$2,170	\$473	\$2,196	\$477	\$19				
1933	\$40,298	\$7,210	\$1,830	\$7,280	\$1,875	\$100				
1934	\$38,129	\$6,669	\$1,669	\$6,734	\$1,673	\$68				
1935	\$37,631	\$6,519	\$1,350	\$6,549	\$1,383	\$38				
1936	\$46,920	\$11,505	\$2,660	\$11,525	\$2,668	\$98				
1937	\$51,750	\$13,601	\$3,500	\$13,635	\$3,539	\$68				
1938	\$36,102	\$8,325	\$2,125	\$B,372	\$2,145	\$60				
1939	\$35,784	\$7,367	\$1,697	\$7,389	\$1,800	\$75				
1940	\$31,050	\$7,990	\$1,861	\$8,DD7	\$1,872	\$51				
941	\$31,744	\$8,316	\$2,086	\$8,336	\$2,087	\$72				
1942	\$26,135	\$6,870	\$1,779	\$6,875	\$1,788	\$82				
1943	\$43,21B	\$11,475	\$3,847	\$11,480	\$3,903	\$395				
944	\$46,621	\$13,066	\$4,800	\$13,068	\$4,812	\$309				
945	\$55,268	\$17,325	\$6,413	\$17,575	\$6,428	\$225				
946	\$79,158	\$24,192	\$10,013	\$24,199	\$10,051	\$829				
947	\$57,830	\$17,735	\$6,373	\$17,872	\$6,380	\$747				
948	\$67,238	\$19,575	\$7,313	\$19,651	\$7,329	\$784				
949	\$55,506	\$14,549	\$5,037	\$14,577	\$5,108	\$379				
950	\$65,881	\$18,675	\$6,176	\$18,750	\$6,201	\$303				
951	\$82,517	\$22,750	\$7,567	\$22,860	\$7,598	\$668				
952	\$97,936	\$25,452	\$8,428	\$25,532	\$8,480	\$480				
953	\$98,595	\$25,374	\$B,156	\$25,395	\$B,16B	\$459				
954	\$125,B34	\$29,645	\$B,484	\$29,707	\$8,488	\$463				
955	\$170,829	\$41,445	\$12,353	\$41,681	\$12,366	\$553				
956	\$183,434	\$46,805	\$13,481	\$45,886	\$13,524	\$1,122				
957	\$192,861	\$47,658	\$13,844	\$48,509	\$13,84B	\$925				
958	\$195,083	\$46,774	\$13,789	\$46,871	\$13,816	\$550				
959	\$253,644	\$64,221	\$19,500	\$64,372	\$19,548	\$1,8D4				
960	\$246,202	\$61,485	\$19,344	\$61,529	\$19,385	\$831				
961	\$296,261	\$79,058	\$23,562	\$79,422	\$23,613	\$2,455				
952	\$250,433	\$58,866	\$18,952	\$59,143	\$18,968	\$1,018				
963	\$308,438	\$71,846	\$23,819	\$71,971	\$23,822	\$296				
64	\$344,033	\$79,343	\$25,594	\$79,508	\$25,595	\$223				
	\$363,759	\$84,479	\$28,365	\$84,600	\$28,375	\$250				

Source: Center for Research in Security Prices, University of Chicago.

ï

Schedule FJH-26 Page 18 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 17 of 23 (Update)

Firm Size and Return

•

Table 7-3 (continued)

Size-Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ Largest and Smallest Company by Size Group

from 1966 to 2005

	tion of Smalle (in thousand	Capitalizat	st Company s)	zation of Large (in thousand)	Capitali	
Micro-Ca 9-1	Low-Cap 6-B	Mid-Cap 3-5	Micro-Cap 9-10	Low-Cap 5-8	Mid-Cap 3-5	Date (Sept 30)
\$38	\$34,965	\$99,935	\$34,884	\$99,578	\$399,455	1966
\$38	\$42,313	\$118,329	\$42,267	\$117,985	\$459,170	1967
\$59	\$60,397	\$150,128	\$60,351	\$149,261	\$528,326	1968
\$2,11	\$54,280	\$145,684	\$54,273	\$144,770	\$517,452	1969
\$82	\$29,916	\$94,047	\$29,910	\$94,025	\$380,246	1970
\$B6	\$45,589	\$145,673	\$45,571	\$145,340	\$542,517	1971
\$1,03	\$46,757	\$139,710	\$46,728	\$139,647	\$545,211	1972
\$56	\$29,606	\$95,378	\$29,601	\$94,809	\$424,584	1973
\$44	\$22,481	\$75,853	\$22,475	\$75,272	\$344,013	1974
\$54	\$28,144	\$97,266	\$28,140	\$96,954	\$465,763	1975
\$56	\$32,002	\$116,212	\$31,987	\$116,184	\$551,071	1976
\$51	\$39,254	\$137,323	\$39,192	\$135,804	\$573,0B4	1977
\$83	\$46,629	\$160,524	\$46,621	\$159,778	\$572,967	1978
\$94	\$49,172	\$174,517	\$49,088	\$174,480	\$661,336	1979
\$54	\$48,953	\$194,241	\$48,671	\$194,012	\$754,562	1980
\$1,44	\$71,289	\$261,059	\$71,276	\$259,028	\$954,665	1981
\$1,06	\$54,6B3	\$206,536	\$54,675	\$205,590	\$762,028	982
\$2,02	\$103,530	\$352,944	\$103,443	\$352,698	\$1,200,680	1983
\$2,09	\$90,659	\$315,214	\$90,419	\$314,650	\$1,068,972	984
\$76	\$94,000	\$368,249	\$93,810	\$367,413	\$1,432,342	1985 3
\$70	\$109,975	\$445,648	\$109,956	\$444,827	\$1,857,621	986
\$1,27	\$112,125	\$468,948	\$112,035	\$467,430	\$2,059,143	1987
\$69	\$94,302	\$421,340	\$94,268	\$420,257	\$1,957,926	968
\$9	\$100,384	\$483,623	\$100,285	\$480,975	\$2,147,608	989
\$13;	\$93,750	\$474,065	\$93,627	\$472,003	\$2,164,185	1990 8
\$27	\$87,733	\$458,853	\$87,586	\$457,958	\$2,129,863	991 5
\$51(\$103,500	\$501,050	\$103,352	\$500,346	\$2,428,671	992
\$60	\$137,987	\$608,825	\$137,945	\$608,520	\$2,711,068	993 9
\$590	\$149,532	\$602,552	\$149,435	\$601,552	\$2,497,073	994 9
\$B	\$158,063	\$654,019	\$158,011	\$653,178	2,793,761	995 5
\$1,04	\$195,326	\$763,812	\$195,188	\$763,377	3,150,685	996 8
\$480	\$230,554	\$821,028	\$230,472	\$818,299	\$3,511,132	997 9
\$1,67	\$253,336	\$936,727	\$253,329	\$934,264	64,216,707	998 \$
\$1,502	\$218,368	\$875,582	\$218,336	\$875,309	64,251,741	999 \$
\$1,462	\$192,721	\$840,730	\$192,598	\$840,000	4,143,902	2000 \$
\$443	\$270,391	\$1,115,200	\$269,275	\$1,114,792	5,252,063	001 \$
\$501	\$314,174	\$1,144,452	\$314,042	\$1,143,845	5,012,705	
\$332	\$330,797	\$1,167,040	\$330,608	\$1,166,799	64,794,027	
\$1,393	\$506,410	\$1,607,931	\$505,437	\$1,607,854	6,241,953	
\$1,079	\$587,243	\$1,729,364	\$586,393	\$1,728,868	57,187,244	

Source: Center for Research in Security Prices, University of Chicago.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 19 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 18 of 23 (Update)

Chapter 7

Table 7-4 Size-Decile Portfollos of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ, Summary Statistics of Annual Returns

1926-2005 Standard Serial Arithmetic Geometric Mean Correlation Mean Deviation Decile 0.09 11.3 19.17 9.5 1-Largest 13.2 21.86 0.03 10.9 2 13.8 23.66 -0.02 11.3 З -0.02 25.94 11.3 14.3 4 14.9 26.78 -0.02 11.6 5 27.84 0.04 15.3 6 11.8 0.01 15.6 29.99 11.6 7 0.04 33.47 11.8 16.6 B 0.05 17.5 36.55 12.0 9 14.0 21.6 45.44 0.15 10-Smallest 24 74 -0.02 14.2 11.4 Mid-Cap, 3-5 15.7 29.52 0.03 11.7 Low-Cap, 6-B 0.08 18.8 39.15 Micro-Cep, 9-10 12.7 NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ 20.21 0.03 10.1 12.0 Total Value-Weighted Index

Source: Center for Research In Security Prices, University of Chicago.

Aspects of the Firm Size Effect

The firm size phenomenon is remarkable in several ways. First, the greater risk of small stocks does not, in the context of the capital asset pricing model (CAPM), fully account for their higher returns over the long term. In the CAPM only systematic, or beta risk, is rewarded; small company stocks have had returns in excess of those implied by their betas.

Second, the calendar annual return differences between small and large companies are serially correlated. This suggests that past annual returns may be of some value in predicting future annual returns. Such serial correlation, or autocorrelation, is practically unknown in the market for large stocks and in most other equity markets but is evident in the size premia.

Third, the firm size effect is seasonal. For example, small company stocks outperformed large company stocks in the month of January in a large majority of the years. Such predictability is surprising and suspicious in light of modern capital market theory. These three aspects of the firm size effect—long-term returns in excess of systematic risk, serial correlation, and seasonality—will be analyzed thoroughly in the following sections.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 20 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 19 of 23 (Update)

Firm Size and Return



Schedule FJH-26 Page 21 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 20 of 23 (Update)

Chapter 7

Long-Term Returns in Excess of Systematic Risk

The capital asset pricing model (CAPM) does not fully account for the higher returns of small company stocks. Table 7-5 shows the returns in excess of systematic risk over the past 80 years for each decile of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ. Recall that the CAPM is expressed as follows:

$$k_s = r_f + (\beta_s \times ERP)$$

Table 7-5 uses the CAPM to estimate the return in excess of the riskless rate and compares this estimate to historical performance. According to the CAPM, the expected return on a security should consist of the riskless rate plus an additional return to compensate for the systematic risk of the security. The return in excess of the riskless rate is estimated in the context of the CAPM by multiplying the equity risk premium by β (beta). The equity risk premium is the return that compensates investors for taking on risk equal to the risk of the market as a whole (systematic risk).² Beta measures the extent to which a security or portfolio is exposed to systematic risk.³ The beta of each decile indicates the degree to which the decile's return moves with that of the overall market.

A beta greater than one indicates that the security or portfolio has greater systematic risk than the market; according to the CAPM equation, investors are compensated for taking on this additional risk. Yet, Table 7-5 illustrates that the smaller deciles have had returns that are not fully explained by their higher betas. This return in excess of that predicted by CAPM increases as one moves from the largest companies in decile 1 to the smallest in decile 10. The excess return is especially pronounced for micro-cap stocks (deciles 9-10). This size-related phenomenon has prompted a revision to the CAPM, which includes a size premium. Chapter 4 presents this modified CAPM theory and its application in more detail.

This phenomenon can also be viewed graphically, as depicted in the Graph 7-2. The security market line is based on the pure CAPM without adjustment for the size premium. Based on the risk (or beta) of a security, the expected return lies on the security market line. However, the actual historic returns for the smaller deciles of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ lie above the line, indicating that these deciles have had returns in excess of that which is appropriate for their systematic risk.

² The equity risk premium is estimated by the 80-year arithmetic mean return on large company stocks, 12.30 percent, less the 80-year arithmetic mean income-return component of 20-year government bonds as the historical riskless rate, in this case 5.22 percent. (It is appropriate, however, to match the maturity, or duration, of the riskless asset with the investment horizon.) See Chapter 5 for more detail on equity risk premium estimation.

Historical betas were calculated using a simple regression of the monthly portfolio (decile) total returns in excess of the 30-day U.S. Treasury bill total returns versus the S&P 500 total returns in excess of the 30-day U.S. Treasury bill, January 1926-December 2005. See Chapter 6 for more detail on beta estimation.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 22 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 21 of 23 (Update)

Firm Size and Return

Table 7-5

Long-Term Returns in Excess of CAPM Estimation for Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ 1926-2005

Decile	Beta'	Arithmetic Mean Return	Realized Return in Excess of Riskless Rate**	Estimated Return In Excess of Riskiess Rate†	Size Premium (Return in Excess of CAPM)
1-Largest	0.91	11.29%	6.07%	6.45%	-0.37%
2	1.04	13.22%	8.00%	7.33%	0.67%
9	1.10	13.84%	8.62%	7.77%	0.85%
4	1.13	14,31%	9.09%	7.98%	1.10%
5	1.16	14.91%	9.69%	8.20%	1.49%
6	1.18	15.33%	10.11%	8.38%	1.73%
7	1.23	15,62%	10.40%	8.73%	1.67%
8	1.28	16.60%	11.38%	9.05%	2.33%
9	1.34	17.48%	12.26%	9.50%	2.76%
» 10-Smallest	1.41	21.59%	16.37%	10.01%	6.36%
Mid-Cap, 3-5	1.12	14,15%	8.94%	7.91%	1.02%
Low-Cap, 6-8	1.22	15.66%	10.44%	8.63%	1.81%
Micro-Cap, 9-10	1.36	18.77%	13.55%	9.61%	3.95

"Betas are estimated from monthly portfolio total returns in excess of the 30-day U.S. Treasury bill total return versus the S&P 500 total returns in excess of the 30-day U.S. Treasury bill, January 1926-December 2005.

"Historical riskless rate is measured by the 80-year arithmetic mean income return component of 20-year government bonds (5.22 parcent).

†Calculated in the context of the CAPM by multiplying the equity risk premium by beta. The equity risk premium is estimated by the arithmetic mean total return of the S&P 500 (12.30 percent) minus the arithmetic mean income return component of 20-year government bonds (5.22 percent) from 1925–2005.

Graph 7-2

Security Market Line versus Size-Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ



Schedule FJH-26 Page 23 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 22 of 23 (Update)

Chapter 7

Further Analysis of the 10th Decile

The size premia presented thus far do a great deal to explain the return due solely to size in publicly traded companies. However, by splitting the 10th decile into two size groupings we can get a closer look at the smallest companies. This magnification of the smallest companies will demonstrate whether the company size to size premia relationship continues to hold true.

As previously discussed, the method for determining the size groupings for size premia analysis was to take the stocks traded on the NYSE and break them up into 10 deciles, after which stocks traded on the AMEX and NASDAQ were allocated into the same size groupings. This same methodology was used to split the 10th decile into two parts: 10a and 10b, with 10b being the smaller of the two. This is equivalent to breaking the stocks down into 20 size groupings, with portfolios 19 and 20 representing 10a and 10b.

Table 7-7 shows that the pattern continues; as companies get smaller their size premium increases. There is a noticeable increase in size premium from 10a to 10b, which can also be demonstrated visually in Graph 7-3. This can be useful in valuing companies that are extremely small. Table 7-6 presents the size, composition, and breakpoints of deciles 10a and 10b. First, the recent number of companies and total decile market capitalization are presented. Then the largest company and its market capitalization are presented.

Breaking the smallest decile down lowers the significance of the results compared to results for the 10th decile taken as a whole, however. The same holds true for comparing the 10th decile with the Micro-Cap aggregation of the 9th and 10th deciles. The more stocks included in a sample the more significance can be placed on the results. While this is not as much of a factor with the recent years of data, these size premia are constructed with data back to 1926. By breaking the 10th decile down into smaller components we have cut the number of stocks included in each grouping. The change over time of the number of stocks included in the 10th decile for the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ is presented in Table 7-8. With fewer stocks included in the analysis early on, there is a strong possibility that just a few stocks can dominate the returns for those early years.

While the number of companies included in the 10th decile for the early years of our analysis is low, it is not too low to still draw meaningful results even when broken down into subdivisions 10a and 10b. All things considered, size premia developed for deciles 10a and 10b are significant and can be used in cost of capital analysis. These size premia should greatly enhance the development of cost of capital analysis for very small companies.

Table 7-6

Size-Decile Portfolios 10a and 10b of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ, Largest Company and Its Market Capitalization September 30, 2005

Decile	Recent Number of Companies	Recent Decile Market Capitalization (in thousands)	Market Capitalization of Largest Company (In thousands)	Company Name
10a	483	\$108,194,821	\$264,981	4Kids Entertaint inc.
105	1,279	\$102,157,012	\$169,195	Quaker Chemical Corp.

Note: These numbers may not aggregate to equal decile 10 ligures. Source: Center for Research In Security Prices, University of Chicago.

Schedule FJH-26 Page 24 of 25

Schedule FJH-1 Page 23 of 23 (Update)

Firm Size and Return •

Table 7-7

Long-Term Returns in Excess of CAPM Estimation for Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ, with 10th Decile Split 1926-2005

	Beta*	Arithmetic Mean Return	Realized Return in Excess of Riskless Rate**	Estimated Return in Excess of Riskless Rate†	Size Premium (Return in Excess of CAPM)
1-Largest	0.91	11.29%	6.07%	6.45%	-0.37%
2	1.04	13.22%	8.00%	7.33%	0.67%
3	1.10	13.84%	8.62%	7.77%	0.85%
4	1.13	14.31%	9.09%	7.98%	1.10%
5	1.16	14.91%	9.69%	8.20%	1.49%
6	1.18	15.33%	10.11%	8.38%	1.73%
7	1.23	15.62%	10.40%	8.73%	1.67%
8	1.28	16.60%	11.38%	9.05%	2.33%
9	1.34	17.48%	12.26%	9.50%	2.76%
10a	1.43	19.71%	14.49%	10.10%	4.39%
10b-Smallest	1.39	24.87%	19.65%	9.82%	9.63%
Mid-Cap, 3-5	1.12	14.15%	8.94%	7.91%	1.02%
Low-Cap, 6-8	1.22	15.66%	10.44%	B.63%	1.81%
Micro-Cap, 9-10	1.36	18.77%	13.55%	9.61%	3.95%

*Betas are estimated from monthly portfolio total returns in excess of the 30-day U.S. Treasury bill total return versus the S&P 500 total returns in excess of the 30-day U.S. Treasury bill, January 1926-December 2005.

**Historical riskless rate is measured by the 80-year arithmetic mean income return component of 20-year government bonds (5.22 percent).

†Galculated in the context of the CAPM by multiplying the equity risk premium by beta. The equity risk premium is estimated by the arithmetic mean total return of the S&P 500 (12.30 percent) minus the arithmetic mean income return component of 20-year government bonds (5.22 percent) from 1926–2005.

Security Market Line versus Size-Decile Portfolios of the NYSE/AMEX/NASDAQ, with 10th Decile Split 1926-2005



Chapter 7

Table 7	
Historice	l Number of Companies for
Sept.	Number of Companies
1926	52*
1930	72
1940	78
1950	100
1960	109
1970	865
1980	685
1990	1,814
2000	1,927
2005	1,746

"The fewest number of companies was 49 in March, 1926

Source: Center for Research in Security Prices, University of Chicago.

Alternative Methods of Calculating the Size Premia

The size premia estimation method presented above makes several assumptions with respect to the market benchmark and the measurement of beta. The impact of these assumptions can best be examined by looking at some alternatives. In this section we will examine the impact on the size premia of using a different market benchmark for estimating the equity risk premia and beta. We will also examine the effect on the size premia study of using sum beta or an annual beta.⁴

Changing the Market Benchmark

In the original size premia study, the S&P 500 is used as the market benchmark in the calculation of the realized historical equity risk premium and of each size group's beta. The NYSE total valueweighted index is a common alternative market benchmark used to calculate beta. Table 7-9 uses this market benchmark in the calculation of beta. In order to isolate the size effect, we require an equity risk premium based on a large company stock benchmark. The NYSE deciles 1-2 large company index offers a mutually exclusive set of portfolios for the analysis of the smaller company groups: mid-cap deciles 3-5, low-cap deciles 6-8, and micro-cap deciles 9-10. The size premia analyses using these benchmarks are summarized in Table 7-9 and depicted graphically in Graph 7-4.

For the entire period analyzed, 1926–2005, the betas obtained using the NYSE total valueweighted index are higher than those obtained using the 5&P 500. Since smaller companies had higher betas using the NYSE benchmark, one would expect the size premia to shrink. However, as was illustrated in Chapter 5, the equity risk premium calculated using the NYSE deciles 1-2 benchmark results in a value of 6.33, as opposed to 7.08 when using the S&P 500. The effect of the higher betas and lower equity risk premium cancel each other out, and the resulting size premia in Table 7-9 are slightly higher than those resulting from the original study.

⁴ Sum beta is the method of beta estimation described in Chapter 6 that was developed to better account for the lagged reaction of small stocks to market movements. The sum beta methodology was developed for the same reason that the size premia were developed; small company betas were too small to account for all of their excess returns.

Schedule FJH-9 Page 1 of 1 (Update)

Missouri Gas Eoergy Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate through the use of the Discounted Cash Flow Model for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company

	1	2	3	4	5	ā
	Dividend Yield	Dividend Growth Component (2)	Adjusted Dividend Yield (3)	Growth Rate	Indicated DCF Return Rate (5)	Recommended DCF Return Rate (6)
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies						
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation NICOR Inc. Northwest Natural Gas Company Predmont Natural Gas Co., Inc	3.72 % 4.27 3.58 3.70	0.11 % 0.07 0.11 0.09	3.83 4.34 3.69 3.79	6.00 % 3.50 6.00 5.00	9.83 % 7.84 9.69 8.79	9.83 %
Average	3.82 %	0,10 %	3.91 %	5.13 %	9.04 %	9,76 %
DCF Results Adjusted for Financial Leverage					9,96 % (7)	<u>10.89</u> % (7) 10.85 % (8)
Average Excluding Cascade Natural (10)	3.85 %	0.09 %	<u>3.94</u> %	4.83 %	8.77 %	9.69 %
DCF Results Adjusted for Financial Leverage					<u>9.55</u> % (7)	<u>10.72</u> % (7) 10.50 % (8)
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies Cascade Natural Gas Corporation The Laclede Group, Inc. New Jersey Resources Corp. NICOR Inc.	3.72 % 4.35 2.91 4.27	0.11 % 0.11 0.07 0.07	3.83 4.46 2.98 4.34	6.00 % 5.00 4.75 3.50	9.83 % 9.46 7.73 7.84	9.83 % 9.45
Northwest Natural Gas Company Peoples Energy Corporation Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc WGL Holdings, Inc.	3.58 5.23 3.70 4.35	0.11 0.13 0.09 0.05	3.69 5.36 3.79 4.40	6.00 4.90 5.00 2.50	9.69 10.26 8.79 6.90	9.69 10.26
Average	4.01 %	0.09 %	4.11 %	4.71 %	8.81 %	9.81 %
DCF Results Adjusted for Financial Leverage					9.58 % (7)	<u>10.85</u> % (7) <u>10.82</u> % (9)
Average Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy (10)	3.86 %	0.08 %	3.94 %	4,46 %	8,40 %	9,58 %
DCF Results Adjusted for Financial Leverage					<u>9,04 </u> % (7)	<u>10.53</u> % (7) <u>10.40</u> % (9)
Southern Union Company	3.86 %	0.17 %	<u>4.03</u> %	9.00 %	13.03 %	13.03 %
DCF Results Adjusted for Financial Leverage						15.18 % (7)

Notes: (1) From page 2 of this Schedule.

(2) This reflects a growth rate component equal to one-half the average projected five-year growth rate in EPS (from page 3 of this Schedule x Line No. 1 to reflect the periodic payment of dividends (Gordon Model) as opposed to the continuous payment. Thus, for Cascade Natural Gas 3.72% x (1/2 x 6.00%) = 0.11%.

(3) Column 1 + Column 2.(4) From page 3 of this Schedule.

(5) Column 3 + Column 4.

(a) countril 3 * Countril 4.
(b) includes only those indicated common equily cost rates which are greater than 9.45% (the lowest rate awarded to a gas distribution utility between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005, from Schedule FJH-17 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony) as fully explained in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.

(7) Based upon the adjustment described in note 5 on pages 4 through 6of Schedule FJH-26 of this Exhibit.

(8) Based upon the adjustment described in note 5 on pages 4 through 6of Schedule FJH-26 of this Exhibit, using the market value and book value capital structure of Cascade Natural Gas Corp. and Northwest Natural Gas Co. at September 30, 2005 and December 2005, as shown on page 7 of Schedule FJH-26 of this Exhibit.

(9) Based upon the adjustment described in note 5 on pages 4 through 6of Schedule FJH-26 of this Exhibit, using the average market value and average book value capital structure of Cascade Natural Gas Corp., The Laciede Group, Inc. and Northwest Natural Gas Co. at September 30, 2005 for Cascade and Laciede, and at December 2005 for Northwest Natural, as can be gleaned from the information shown on pages 8 and 9 of Schedule FJH-26 of this Exhibit.

(10) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the Proxy Group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Schedule FJH-10 Page 1 of 1 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Derivation of Dividend Yield for Use in the <u>Discounted Cash Flow Mode</u>l

		Divide	nd Yield	
			pon Average High / Low	Average
	Spot		et Prices (2)	Dividend
	(10/13/06)(1)	September 2006	August 2006	Yield (3)
Proxy Group of Four				
Gas Distribution Companies				
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation	3.72 %	3.71 %	3.72 %	3.72 %
NICOR Inc.	4.20 %	4.32 %	4.29 %	4.27
Northwest Natural Gas Company	3.51 %	3.55 %	3.67 %	3.58
Pledmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	3.61 %	3.75 %	3.75 %	3.70
Average	3.76 %	3.83 %	3.86 %	3.82 %
Average Excluding Cascade Natural (4)) <u>3.77</u> %	3.87 %	<u> </u>	<u>3.85</u> %
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	/		0.70.04	6 7 0 M
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation	3.72 %	3.71 %	3.72 %	3.72 %
The Laclede Group, Inc.	4.27 %	4.40 %	4.38 %	4.35
New Jersey Resources Corp.	2.89 %	2.91 %	2.92 %	2.91
NICOR Inc.	4.20 %	4.32 %	4.29 %	4.27
Northwest Natural Gas Company	3.51 %	3.55 %	3.67 %	3.58
Peoples Energy Corporation	5.26 %	5.30 %	5.13 %	5.23
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	3.61 %	3.75 %	3.75 %	3.70
WGL Holdings, Inc.	4.21 %	4.36 %	4.49 %	4.35
Average	3.96 %	4.04 %	4.04 %	4.01 %
Average Excluding Cascade Natural				
and Peoples Energy (4)	3.93 %	4.05 %	<u>4.09</u> %	<u>4.02</u> %
Southern Union Company	<u>3.78</u> %	3.88 %	<u> </u>	3.86 %

- Notes: (1) The spot dividend yield is the current annualized dividend per share divided by the spot market price on 10/13/06. The dividend yield was calculated by using finance.yahoo.com and interquote.com and DTN Trading Market's DTNIQ/Interquote.com
 - (2) The average 3-month dividend yield was computed by relating the indicated annualized dividend rate and market price on the last trading day of each of the two months ended September 2006.
 - (3) Equal weight has been given to the spot, August 2006 and September 2006 dividend yield.
 - (4) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the Proxy Group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the Proxy Group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Source of Information: Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Plus/Research Insight Data Base DTN Trading Markets' DTNIQ/Interguote.com http://finance.yahoo.com

Schedule FJH-27 Page 3 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 1 of 10 (Update)

3

2

Missouri Gas Energy Development of Projected Growth for Use in the Discounted Cash Flow Model

1

		-	-
	Value Line Projected 2009-11 Growth Rate in EPS (1)	Thomson FN / First Call Projected Median Five-Year Growth Rate in EPS	Average Projected Five-Year Growth Rate in EPS (2)
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies		(# est.)	
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation NICOR Inc. Northwest Natural Gas Company Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	9.00 % 4.00 7.00 6.00	3.00 % [1] 3.00 [3] 5.00 [5] 4.00 [2]	6.00 % 3.50 6.00 5.00
Average	6.50 %	3.75 %	<u>5.13</u> %
Average Excluding Cascade Natural (4)	<u>5.67</u> %	4.00 %	4.83 %
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies			
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation The Laclede Group, Inc. New Jersey Resources Corp.	9.00 % 5.00 4.50	3.00 % [1] NA 5.00 [4]	6.00 % 5.00 4.75
NICOR Inc. Northwest Natural Gas Company Peoples Energy Corporation	4.00 7.00 NMF	3.00 [3] 5.00 [5] 4.90 [1]	3.50 6.00 4.90
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc. WGL Holdings, Inc.	6.00 1.50	4.00 [2] 3.50 [4]	5.00 2.50
Average Average Excluding Cascade Natural	<u>5.29</u> % <u>4.67</u> %	<u>4.06</u> % <u>4.10</u> %	<u>4.71</u> % <u>4.46</u> %
and Peoples Energy (4) Southern Union Company	<u>12.00</u> %	<u>8.00</u> % [4]	9.00 % (3)

Notes: (1) From pages 4 through 12 of this Schedule. (2) Average of Columns 1 and 2.

- (3) Weighted in approximation to individual and institutional holdings from Schedule FJH-11 of the Exhibit accompanying Mr. Hanley's direct testimony - namely 25% to Value Line (greater reliance by individuals) and ThomsonFN/First Call (greater reliance by institutions).
- (4) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the Proxy Group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the Proxy Group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Source of Information: Value Line Investment Survey, (Standard Edition), September 15, 2006 ThomsonFN First Call Earnings, thomsonfn.com, updated October 14, 2006

Schedule FJH-27 Page 4 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 2 of 10 (Update)

Ĉ/	ISC	ADE	NAT	"L G/	AS NY	/SE-co	c F	ecent Rice	25.5	5 PÆ RAT	ia <u>2</u> 3.	2(Trail	ing: 21.0 len: 16.0	RELATI PJE RAT	0 1.3	6 PMT YLD	3.8	3%	/AEU 11NE		
	LINESS		peruted 7/21/00	I Date	17.5	17.5	19.0		19.8 14.4	20.9 13.4	22.8 17.4	24,2 15.5	22.0 16.0	23.0 19.1	22.8 18.0	26.3 19.0			Targe 2009	t Price 2010	Range 2011
SAFE	TY	3 IIm	7/21/90	1602	NDS								[<u> </u>	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	64
	INICAL JS (U		pended 7/2104 	6	7.3 x Bivit wided by 1 telative Pri- pit 12/93	ශ්ෂාසයි සිත් ය රැසැල්ව ය	°		<u> </u>												48
	009-11		TIONS	- Options: Scienter	No d <u>Nes indi</u>	ales reces	sion				ļ	ļ							+++++++		32
	Price	Gain	Ann ^e l Tota Return	a								بياروندون بياروندو		(##XE-1)-##1	متسانعه	<u> </u>					
High Low	30 20	(+15% 1-20%	2%	 71,11 ^{,4}	Lep High	-	and the second second	- and	an light	Brefrith,				+	[-16
insit	ier Dec a N		BAB.		f	\sim		<u>†</u>			• • •			1					[1	Ţ.
to Buy Options	00	000	0000				†				1			1	**********			1	1	[-6
te bail	0 0 turllona	000	0000	2		1	1						ļ	1		1		% TO	T. RETUR	VI ARTIR	
	4020	65 192	006 20200		1 9													12	28.3	900EX 75	E
to Buy to Seli State(A	3	4	31 28	shares traded			niri tilik.	athait	and har	llatita	liiliin	WHE	H					3 yr. 5 yr.	54.6 50.3	49.4 70.4	<u> </u>
1990				1994		1986	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2005	2007		LIXE PUI		09-11 64.01
24.4 2.3			03 21.88 56 2.04		19.9B 2.07	11.84	17.85	17.17	18.89	21.90 2.50	30.40 2.72	29.06 2.48	27.20	28,23	28.51 2.32	40.45 2.65	44.80		ispersh Ionr pern		4.00
1.2			63 1.05	5 .60	BO	.39	.93	,64	1.24	1.29	1.47	1,13	.67	1.19	.82	1.10	1.20		s per sh A		1.50 .94
.8 2.5			53 .94 54 3.85		.96 4.12	.72	96 265	,96 2,32	.96 1.B1	.96 1.65	.95 2.18	.9 6 1.91	_96 2.55	.96 3.50	.96 2.53	.95 1.90		Divids D Cap'i Sp			4.10
8.3					9,76	10.09	10,16	10.07	10,36	10.79	11.M	10.34	10.11	10.52	10.39	11.65	13.30	Book Va	ke per sh	D	18.05
6.54 8.9					9.14 18.2	10.79 40.0	10.97	11.05	11.05	11.05	11.05 13.4	11.05	11.13 22.0	11.27	11.41 25.1	11.50 Bold fig	11.50 Ites #/#) She Out I P/E Rati		12.58
.65					1.22	2.51	1.01	1.01	.78	,75	.69	,59	125	.92	1.34	Value ce fa	Line	Relative	P/E Ratio		1.11
7.8%				6.2%	6.6%	4.6%	5.9%	5.9%	5.7%	5.9%	4.9%	4,7%	5.0%	4.6%	4.7%				i Divid Yi		3.9% 800
CAPII	AL STR	UCTURI	Eas of 6/3	0/06		127.7 4.2	195.8 10.5	189.7 9.8	208.6 14.2	241.9 15.4	335.8 16.2	321.D 12.5	302.8 9.7	318.1 13.3	325.5 9.2	465 12.7	516 13.8	Revanue Nai Profi		Ì	20.0
	Dabt \$17 ht \$165.;		Due in 5 L'Elatere	Yrs \$20.5 et \$10.0 m	ma. m	34.8%	37.1%	37.4%	36.5%	37.1%	35.0%	34.9%	34.2%	35.2%	37.9%	37.5%		Income T			38.0% 2.5%
LT int	eresi ea	med: 2.3	is; lotal inte			3.3%	5.4% 50.6%	5.2% 48.4%	6.8% 50.9%	6.4% 51.2%	4.8%	<u>3.9%</u> 59.1%	3.2% 55.9%	4.2% 52.1%	2.8%	27%		Net Profit Long-Ten		atio	52.0%
	gg: 2.3x	•				50.0%	46.5%	48.7%	46.6%	48.8%	49.3%	40.9%	44.1%	47.9%	40.6%	44.0%	45.0%	Common	Equily R	atio	42.0%
'ensid	an Ásse	le-9/05 9	58.5 mil. C	361ig. 571	.7 តាឱ. [217.8 255.7	239.4 265.2	228.5 276.5	245.6 282.3	244.2 284.8	246.5 294.2	279.1 299.6	255.6 317.3	247.4 334.6	292.5 342.5	305 350		Total Cap Net Plant		"	470 455
ita ea	ock Non				ł	3.4%	6.2%	6.1%	7.5%	8.1%	8.5%	6.4%	8.0%	7.7%	5.0%	6.0%	5.5%	Return of) Total Ca		6.0%
						3.6%	9.0%	8.3%	11.7% 12.0%	12.9% 12.9%	13.3% 13.3%	10.9% 10.9%	8.6% 8.5%	11.2% 11.2%	7.8% 7.6%	9.5% 9.5%		Return or Return of			9.0% 9.0%
s of 7	/31/06		5,906 sht.		ŀ	3.5%	9.1% 7%	8,3% NMF	2.7%	4.0%	4.5%	1.7%	NMF	2.1%	NMF	1.9%	2.0%	Retained	to Com E	9	15%
	ET CAP		1111on (Smi 2004		1/30/06	NMP	93%	108%	78%	69%	65%	85%	110%	81%	118%	87%		All Divide			61%
- 69	ILL) Assets		5004 5			BUSINE	SS: Cas	:cade Na (37,000 c	tural Gas Ustomer	Corpor	ation dis kloutes a	nbules <i>r</i> ind Ciec	nateral con. In	ers, dž (Northwel	efining, I d Picelin	und lood e Cont.	process. O5 deore	. inda. Mi c, rate: 2.	ain conne 9% Est d	ecting p 1 plant s	ipeline; 3ga: 12
ther	t Assets			<u>141.0</u> 142.1	22.4 57.9 80.3	2005, t	stal throu	ughput w	as 108.2	binon (cu. fLC	pre custa	ज्या है। दि	yrs. Hes	eround 3	75 empl	DYBES. O	fficens en. Chief E	d director	E OWN	1.8% of
acts i	Pavable		12.9 47.5	17.8	15.2	mergin,	24% of (nercial, fi ças deltr	eries); at	in-core; i	nductrial	transpo	nation	W. Steve	ins, Ìnc.:	WA. Ad	dress: 2	22 Feitvie	sw Ave.	North, S	Seatte,
ebt D Shar		-	38.6	<u>111.9</u>	43.6			%). Serv										SUBSEC ANA		n ,	
	t Lieb. Ig. Cov.			14 <u>2 2</u> 225%	57.0 235%			Vatur be						cost s Mean	while	s cons s. the	COM	he fore pany	: s sha	re ea	1773 ~
	ul, RATÉ a (per sh)	S Pas 10 Yr			103-/05 10-11	sour	ces G	roup,	, a đi	/ersifi	ed en	argy f	im	ings	have	ponu	ced	back	con5ì	dera	ıplà.
even	ries Fiow"	3	0% 7. 0% 1	5% 15	.0% .0%			reven der ti										Sept			
erning Ivider	25	1.	5% -31	5% 9	0%	lion t	ransa	ction,	which	ı repr	esent	nd a 2	3%					l custi sumpt			
ook V	alue		5%		.5% .0%			nver ti annoi										it's m			
858 881		TERLY RE Mar.31	NEWES (\$) Jun 39	mEL)A Sep.30∤	Full Fiscel Year			uld r										ceed a As su			
ndis X23	100.5	109.3	53.8	39.2	302.8			share. roval					the	pears	that s	hare	net w	/ill jur	np ab	out 3	4%,
004 105	104.9 104.6	119.4 117.7	52.1 56.3	41.7 47.9	318.1	deal i	s slat	ed for	, comb	letior	i by a	uid-20	07.					Fur			
006	158.6	162.8	76.4	67.2	465			n a st						n ope botton	racing i line	to to	advat	ought ice ai	round	9%,	to
107 scal	161 Fai	166 MIN25 P	100 ER SHARE		210	time.							1	\$1.20	a st	iare,	next	year.	(Cas	cade	is
	Dec.31			Sep.30	"iscall			y ou o regi										of a erate			
03	.60 .72	.67 .79	d.18 d.05	d.22 d.26	.87	Dako	ta Ut	ilities	s and	Grea	t Pla	ins N	at- i	nual 1	event	tes of	SI1.	7 mill	ion, f	rom	the
105	.59	.65	6.10	d.32	.821	urai custor	Gas, ners	which in fiv	a serv e upo	e roi er M	ugruy idwes	∠ou,t t stai	 :es, (Comm			.це5 а	and T	ransp		1011
96 07	.70 .73	.78 .75	d.09 d.08	d.29 d.20	100	combi	ned. N	vioreo	ver, it	appea	ars th	at Mi	JU .	The	Timel	iness		ek is			
al.	QUART	ERLY DAY	IDENDS PAI	D C=	Full			source great										ated t ming			
der j	Mar.31	Jun.30	Sep.30	Dec.31	Year	that	the p	urcha	se wo	mid I	be ne	utral	to t	hesl	ares	perfe	man	ice, T	he pr	rice	tag
162 103	.24 .24	.24 .24	-24 -24	24 24				tings : costs.						eems Deen s	reaso: weete	nadie. r if it	but i had	the de contai	ai wol ned a	n opt	ave don
14 05	.24 .24 .24 .24	24 .24 .24 .24	24 24 24	24 24 24 24	.96	om H	ne loo	ks pia	usible	e in 20	009 ar	nd the	re- t	O CODY	ert C	GC sł	ares :	into M	IDU s	tock.	
06	.24				• •			partl					at i	reder	ICK L.			Septe		13, Ż	
Cal,y '96	r. tanu. t /Bi Prim	2/95, Ch	tanged to 9 thrv. 197. f	XJO (iscal	102, (16	içî, US, (Intel dae	b¢); Q3 to munil	06, 44. °C	na ega. da ens. rot.	nt ve due (D)	st plan a fact def	vaiL emañ zha	voes, la	105: 568.) m¥	Stock	any s Fi 's Price	nancial S Stability	neuäne		8+ 80

[A] Cal, yr, thin, 1255, Changed to 8/30 fiscal [102, (156); 103, (054); Q3 (06, 44, 104 egs. dont] yrss pan avail.
Company's Financial Strength B+ divided, Excl. nomen, gains (losses); 91, 194; Iste Oct. (2) Dividends historically paid in the 33, 34; 96, (116); 98, (26); 99, (16); 101, 69; Indiad of Foundation, Next ags. pr. pt. due [10] httl: defenred charges. In 705; S68.0 mill., S55.956h. (2) In mills, adj. for ski. split.
Store ski. split.
<

Schedule FJH-12 Page 3 of 10 (Update)

A	CLE	DE (RO	JPN	/SE-LO		R	ecent Rice	32.1	3 PE RAT	o 15.	5 (Trail	ng: 148 an: 150)	RELATIV PÆ RATI	A A 1A		4.	5%		<u></u>	
	MESS	4 Raisad S 2 Raised 6	Linde	High: Low:	23.1 18,4	24.9 28.0	28.8 20.3	27.9 22.4	27.0 20.0	24.8 17.5	25.5 21.3	25.0 19.0	30.0 21.8	32.5 26.0	34.3 26.9	35.7 29.1			Targel 2009	2010	
	INICAL .#5 {1-0	3 Lowered 0 = Mariasi)	915/06	di 	2 Y.Y.	antis p sh therest Rate ne Strength	' 🗆							<u> </u>		uturt -					+48 40 32
2	DOS-11 P Price	ROJECTIC A Gain	DNS nn'i Total Return	5/leded	area inaz	ales (eres I httrupus			1 1 1,7770	1	a and the second	114411111	n litter.	10]4-3 Prove	1107 ¹⁹¹ 10	1001.			[<u> </u>		-24
figin Jaw		(+25%) (-5%)	10% 3%		نەيسىرىن			****	· · · · · · · ·				****								+16
Buy	or Deci 0 N 0 O	0 J F M 0 9 0 0	000									[,	······	^- 		<u> </u>			-6
ptinia Seel Institi	00	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 Decisio	010													all		% 70	I. RETUR	N 8/06 N. ARITH NDEX	ŗ
ນອື່ມກູ ເຮັສໃ	4000X 51 31	0 57 7 30	202000 60 47	Parcon shares uzded	7.5 - 5 - 2.5 -						linther	Jaintina						1ут. Зут. Бут.	5.4 36.5 74.8	7.1 49.4 70.4	F
08#1 8#± 89 1995	0 852) 199:	1 9470 1 1992	10115 1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1939	2000	2001	2092	2003	2004 59.59	2005 75,43	2005	2007		LINEPU	E., ING.	09-1 116
30.21	1 2.3	1 2.32	32.33 2.81	33.43	24.79 2.55	31.03 3.29 1.87	34.33 3.3Z 1.84	31.04 3.02 1.58	25.04 2.55 1.47	29.99 2.68 1.37	53.08 3.00 1.61	39.64 2.56 1.18	3.15 1.62	2,79	2.98 1.90	170 2,15	2.85	"Cash F	kw per i		4
1.08 1.18 1.87	1.2	1.20	1.61 1.22 2.62	1.42 1.22 2.50	1.27 1.24 2.63	1.26	1.30	1.32	1.34	1.34	1.34	1.34	1.34	1.35	1.37	1,40	1.43	Div'ds D	eci'd per	sh ^c a	1
11.75 15.59	11.8	11.79	12.19	12.44	13.05	13.72 17.55	14.26	14.57 17.63	14,96 16,88	14.99 18.88	15.26 18.86	15.07 18.96	15.65 19.11	16,96 20,98	17.31	19.70 21.50		Book Va Common	ue per si	D	25 24
14.6	124	15,8	13.5 .80	16.4 1.08	15.5 1.04	11.9 .75	12.5 .72	15.5 .81	15.B .90	14.9 .57	14.5 _74	20.0 1.09	13.6 ,78	15.7 .63	16.2 ,80	Bold fig: Velue estic	1. Erap	Avg Ann Relative	PJE Ratio		1
7.5% APIT	AL STR	JCTURE a	5.6% sof 6/30	5.3% /D6	6.3%	5.6% 544.8	5.6% 802.8	5.4% 547.2	5.8% 491.6	5.6% 566.1	5.7% 1002.1	5.7% 755.2	5.4% 1050.3	4.7% 1250.3	4.4% 1597.0	2010	2(20	Avg Ann Revenue	s (\$miii)		2
otal C l' Deb	Debt \$51 ht \$395.4	8.8 mil. D mil. L' overage: 3	ue in 5 Y Tirderes	rs \$175.0) ពាដី. វដី.	32.8 35.9%	32.5 38.1%	27.9 35.6%	26.9 35,5%	26.0 35.2%	30.5 32.7%	<u>22.4</u> 35.4%	34.6 35.0%	36.1 34.8%	40.1 34.1%	46.0 34.0%	34.0%	Net Profil Income T	ax Refe		35.0
		_			_	5.0% 42.5%	5.4% 36.0%	5,1% 40.9%	5.5% 41.8%	4.6%	3.0% 49.5%	3.0% 47.5%	3.3% 50.4%	2.9%	2.5% 48.1%	2.3% 49.0%	49.0%	Net Profi Long-Ten Common	m Debt R		2. 48. 52.
		italized Ar s-8/05 S27	2.8 mil.	tals \$1.7 r rilg. \$327.	r	57.1% 422.2 452.2	61.6% 406.8 467.6	58.6% 438.0 490.6	57.6% 486.6 519.4	54,5% 519,2 575,4	50.2% 574.1 602.5	52.3% 546.6 594.4	49,4% 605.0 621.2	48.3% 737.4 646.9	51.8% 707.9 679.6	51.0% E30 775	870	Total Car Net Plant	ital (Smil		1) 11
วเกรา	ock \$.8 n on Stoci /28/06	niL P k 21,357,00	fi Div'd I	\$,05 mB.		9.4% 13.5%	9.7% 12.9%	8.1% 10.8%	7.1%	6.7% 9.1%	6.9% 10.5%	6.D% 7.8%	7.4% 11.5%	6.6% 10.1%	7.7%	7.0% 11.0%	T.0%	Return of Roturn of	n Total Ca		6. 0
		\$675 milli	ion (6ma)	I Cap)	ŀ	13.6%	12.9%	10.8%	9.5% 1.0%	9.1% .2%	10.5% 1.8%	7.8% NMF	11.6%	10.1%	10.9% 3.1%	11.0% 4.0%	3.5%	Return of Retained	to Com E	9	8,1 4,1
- 5580	NT POS LL.) Vesets		2004 13.9	6.0	30/06 31.9	67% BUSINE	70% SS: Leo	83% rote Gros	89%, 10, Inc., I	98%. s a holdi	B3% ng comp	113% any for L	74% aciede	73% cial and	72% industri	55% al, 23%;	transp	All Divids	2%; oth	n, 15%	61 . H
ner	t Assets	32		18.1	319.1 351.0	Gas, whi city of S	ich distri X. Louis,	outes nat St. Loui	ural gas i is County	in sasten r, and pa	n Missou arts of 8	ri, includi other co	ng the unties.	arcuad 3 6.0% of	,815 em common	ployees. shares	Officers (1/05 Pri	and direc axy). Cha	tors own irman, C	approxi hief Exe	nnafa Scuti
cts P bt D	Payable Ve	5	18.5	38.4 10.7 16.5	118.2 123.4 181.1	lion (1/0	2). Then	130,000 c ns ecid : regulate	and trans	ported i	n fiscal 2	005: 1.1	2 ന11	Addreas	720 Oli	ve Street	է Տե և ո	Yaegar. uis, Misso legas.com	ruri 6310		
	t Liab. g. Cov.	26	26 3	65.6	304.5	Lacle	de G	roup	is or	1 trac	k to	regis	ter	benefi	ts íro	mag	iener:	al rate and	hike		
NUA	L RATE: (cersh)	S Past 10 Yns, 7,5%	5 Yrs.	t Entid i ia '05	144 I	Septe	mbe	r 30t	.b). L	acled.	е Еп	ergy	Re-		s loc	ated		de th			
venu ish F ning iden	Flow"	1.0% 2.5%	1.5	92 A I	0% 0%	ment, ply/de	is mano	still imba	ben Jance	efiting s resu	g fro lting	m s from l	up- ast	ought	to g	row	abou	basi t 13%	, to	2.15	, fi
ok Vi Saal (ទរិភទ	1.0% 3.0% ERLY REVE		ALLA	5% Ful	year's in vo pipeli:	lumes		lecting	g hig	her i	nterst	ate	fiscal flatter cult co	a out	next	riede: year	s bott becaus	se of	the d	naj iffi
13	Dec.31 280.1	Har 31 J 422.2	un 30 9	Sep.30 161.4 1	Year 150.3	nore, regula	SM8	aP U	<u>tility</u>	Resou	irces,	the	առ-]	We be	liéve	: that		citin ompa			
15 Į	332.6 442.5 689.2	575.5 3	311.3 2	266.7 1	250.3 597.0	marki faciliu	ng ies, is	servi bein	ces g aide	for ed by	undi new	argrou busin	ess y	which	the	natur	al ga	e. Th s divi	sion	opera	ite:
	635		40 3	190 2	(20	signup that	this	subs	idiary	гес	ently	bou	ght i	nar	natur	e staj	ge. M	growti	er, it	appe	ars
	Dec.31 .80	Mar.31 J 1.14	un.30 S	iep.30 /	Year 1.82	Relian servicu custon	es. Gi	ven ti	hat bo	oth bu	isines	ses ha	ive t	ake p	place	anyti	me s	s are 00n. may	Conse	quen	tiy.
4	.57 .79	1.12	.19 .29	d.28 d.24	1.62	synerg	pies o	ught	to ge	nerat	e dec	ent c	ost r t	nid-si v. ove:	ngle-d the i	ligit r 3- 10 i	ange, -vear	with	some on.	volat	ili-
6	1.23 1.15 01/48/TE	1.05 1.05 RLY DMDE	.25	<u>d.30</u>	2.15	But fl ierpe	he co rform	re na ned o	itural f late	. This	can b	e attr	in 1 ib- r	The s return	tock' i pote	s go ential	ođy: iisno	ield ot app	aside Sealir	1g. TI	hat
- ar 2		Jun 30 Se	ep.30 D	16231	Year 1	ited nainte	parti mano	y to eexpa	high enses,	er o as v	perati vell a	on a San	nd i in-i	ng wi	ithin	σιι	2009-	s are 2011	Targe	t Pr	ice
3	.335 .335	.335 . .34 .	335 34	335 34	1.34	rease counts service	. A'd	ecline	in v	olume	es wit	ihin t	he d	livider	nd inc	reases	s will	be m be m Gelr	odera	e. Al	so,
5	.34 .345	.345	345 . 355	345	"" i	ngs. ()n th	e brig	ht sic	ie, the	ere ha	ive be	en A	Freder.	ick L.			4 (Belt Septe			008 0+
used to di	on evera luted. Ex	s Sept. 30 ge shans cludes no:	outstand	ing thru. Dioss:	April, Ja ment pl	ity, and C	cioper. I	Dividan	d reinves	·" (g)	in million Oliv. end	is. Adjust	ed for ste t suce du	ock spilt. Is to chan	กร์เก	Stock	's Price ! Growth	nancial S Slability Persisten	ee .		95 55

(B) Based on evenage shares outstanding thu.
 (April, Luty, and October, © Dividand reinvest- 37, frien flutad, Excludes nonnecurring base.
 (D) Incid eletented charges. In VS: \$203.6 mill...
 (B) Based on evenage shares outstanding on the state of the state

Schedule FJH-27 Page 6 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 4 of 10 (Update)

		ERSI			1	_	[!	ecent Price 26.4	49,5	5 P/E RAT 29.8	32.5	0 \ Nad	ing: 16.2 ian: 15.0) 39.5	RELATIN P/E RATI	0 1.4 49.3	51.4	£.	j70麗	ANSU BINE Targel		R
TINELI Safet		4 Raised (Low:	14.	19.9	28.0 18.8	26.8 21.0	27.4 22.4	24.1	24.8	24.3	30.0	36.5	40.7	41.5			2009	2010	ľ
	-	2 Raised I		<u> </u>	1.18 x Division in the second se	iends p sh niarest Rai ce Shength		1								<u> </u>					\pm
) = Market)		{] log-2 !	spik 3/0Z	æ Shength						ļ				ļ					+
20	09-11 P	ROJECTI)사망 nn'i Total	- Options Shade	d area indi	ales rectu	sion	<u> </u>				12 101 2 -		Jacobie Contraction of the second		1 	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		╡
Hereita .	Price 60	Gain (+20%)	Return		<u> </u>		ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L. reijier	[। कृतम <u>्युद्धार्</u> थय	يشطشنان			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				+
Hinda Love	ອນ	(69.0)	8% 3%	ļ		<u></u>		1.114111111111	1) 1) 1)	ելիեսիեր (<u></u>							+
		9 J F M		51-11 H	The second se	(and the state of				 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				+	┼			+
to Gay Optices	001		0000			+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			1		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	1			N 860	1
b Gal Institu		Decision				+	f		†	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		1	i. i	linh.		- 71.10	T. RETUR	r, and r. Astil Keek	Ī
២ម៉ាហ្	4023) 54		20200¥ 73	Percel		<u></u>	<u> </u>		1				íl.	iltillai	litari		<u> </u>	1 yr. 3 yr.	9.2 61.5	7.1 49.4	ŀ
na Suil Hideirititi	60 13455) 52	60 16255	traded		unida	n fillinn	Hullidde								1000	-	5 yr.	95,0	70.4	1
1990	1991	1992	1993	1994		1995	1997	1998		· · · ·	2001	2002	2003	2094	2005	2006	2007	-	ELINE PUB Is per sh		0
16.01 1.54	15.95		18.02	19.22	17.03	20.22	25.97 2.45	26.59	33.98	44.13	76.82	66.17	93,43 3.58	91.33 3.75	114,29 3,92	117.45 4.00	4.20		iom _a bere		!
.55		1.09	1.15	1.25	1.29	1.37	1.48	1.55	1.56	1.79	195	2.09	2.38	2.55	2.65	2.80	2.90		i per sh B		
.95 4.37	1,00		1.0f 2.31	1.01	1.91	1.03	1.07	1.09	1,12 1.81	1.15	<u>1.17</u> 1.66	1.53	1.24	1.30 2.17	1.36	1.45	1.50		ending pe		
6.85	8.57		9.81	9.54	9.70	10.10	10.38	1D.88	11.35	12.43	13.20	13,05	15.38	16.87	15.90	17.45	18.80		kie par sh		_
20.28	20,95		25.23	25.95 13.0	25.59	27.13	25.82	26.72	25.61	25.39	26.66	27.67	27.23	27,74	27.55 16.6	28.10 Bold fig	28,20		Sns Outs TP/E Ret		
24.0 1.78	1.42		15.1 .89	.85	.78	.85	.78	.80	, IZ	.96	.73	.60	BO	.81	.9D	Value	Line	Relativa	PJE Rate		
6.2%	8.1%	7.5%	5.8%	6.2%	6.7%	5.6%	5.3%	4.6%	4.5%	4.4%	4.2%	3.9%	3.7%	3.3%	3.1%	ព្រះព្រ			1 Shr'd Yi		
		lCTLIRE a 1.8 mil, D			0 m 2	548.5 38.7	696.5 41.5	710.3 43.3	904.3 44.9	1164.6 47.9	2048.4 52.3	1630.6 55.8	2544.4 65.4	2533.6 71.6	3148.3 74.4	3300 81.0		Revenue Not Profi	s (\$miii) / f (\$mili)	•	
.T Dabt	\$333.8	கட்ட ப	T interes			32.5%	33.3%	30,4%	36.2%	37.6%	38.0%	38.7%	33.4%	39.1%	39.1%	39.0%		Income T		†	4
		rpitalized l red: 5.5x; 1		est cove:	rağe;	7.1%	6.0%	6.1%	5.0%	4.1%	2,5%	3.1%	2.6%	2.8%	2.4% 42.0%	24%		Net Praii	l Margin m Dabil Ra		3
.8x) Iensian	- Assats	-0/05 \$82	6 mãi			50.7% 45.8%	49.3%	51.2% 45.6%	48.7%	47.0% 52.9%	50.1% 49.9%	50.5% 49.4%	38.1% 61.9%	40,3%	56.0%	42.0% 58.0%			Equity Ra		ť
			0	blig. \$95	9,9 miž.	598.2	590.6	635.2	59D.A	620.1	706.2	732.4	676.5	783.8	755.3	845	890	Total Cap	ital (\$mil)		
	ek None					655.2 8.1%	659.4 8.5%	660.0 B.1%	705,4 9.0%	730.6	743.9 8.5%	756.4 8.7%	652.6 10.7%	880.4	905.1	935 10.5%		Net Plant Reference	(Ama) Total Caj	di di	7
canno s of 8/8		28,080,31	4 shs.			13.1%	13.9%	13.9%	14.8%	14.6%	14.8%	15.7%	15,6%	15.3%	17.0%	16.0%	15.5%	Return or	u Shr. Equ	ity (1
		\$1.4 billio			6/30/06	13.5%	4.0%	<u>14.4%</u> 4.4%	14.6% 5.0%	14.6%	14.9% 6.1%	15.7% 6.9%	15.6%	15.3%	17.0% 8.5%	15.0%			to Com Era to Com Er		1
SHL ash As	NT POS		504 50	2005 (25.0	4.7	76%	73%	71%	57%	63%	59%	56%	51%	49%	50%	52%			to Net Pr		
ther	Assets		1.0 -5 6.0 -9	27.8	808.7 B13.4			y Jersey											energy s 8%. Est'o		
						custome	µns att 9/3	latural Ga 0/05) in 1	Monmouti	h, Ocean	, and pa	rts of oth	er N.J.	years. He	as 551 u	Wity emp	loyses,	16,300 st	ethidas. (3f. & d	ir.
ects Pa abi Du	ayabie B	28	29 74 1	54.7 77.4 44.2	38.0 157.0			2005 volu Land ele											. Chainn 15 Wyckt		
ther unent l	liah.			76.3	510,4 705,4			nsey Nat											.njiving.t		
K. Chg.				60%	700%	New	Jers	sey F	lesou	rces	resu	lts o							opose		
change (, RATES (persh)	18 Yrs.	5 Yrs.	Est'o	7-'11			nine s Sep											of Put ition u		
evenue Sash Fi	low"	18.5% 5.5%	6.05	¥. 4	.5% 0%	solid	. Ear	nings	over	this	time	rame	in- j	justm	ent 🗍	(CUA)) pla	n to	repla	ace	1
unings vidend	s	7.5%	8.5 3.0	R 4	.5% 59			out 1 of the											would iperát		
sok Val scal		5.0% RLY REVE			.5% Full	impro	wed p	perfor	mance	at	the c	ompaï	ny's i	usage	chan	gēs. N	lanag	ement	rema	ins o	p
	Dec.31			Sep.30	Fiscal Year	energ													l be a nter's		
astr E				153.1	2544.4	about							its s						egulat ompar		
ear nds C 103 f		065.1 5	44.3 8	384.9 3	3148,3	tracts													udes f		
esr nds 0 103 6 104 6 105 6				34.9 55 3	1300 1400	in the													e, the		
ear 103 6 104 6 105 6 105 6	164.6 1	150 E		8	Full	and (tional	value	e wher	1 price	es fluc	tuate	betwo	een t	he thi	ird qu	arter,	and	will III	mers kely g	row e	at
nds C 103 (C 104 (C 105 (C 105 (C 107 (10 107 (10	164.6 1 785 1 EARN	INGS PER		iep, 3D [Fiscal Year	region	is. A	ll to	ld, t	the b	ousine	255 D	юw I	ate a	bove	the i	ndust	ry av	erage	for	ť
ear C 103 C 104 C 104 C 105 C	164.6 1 785 1 EARN 196.31	NGS PER Mar,31 J	un.30 S		2 30		eurs (ter w	as a	weal	c one	at o						> the NJNG		
ear 0 103 0 104 0 105 0 105 0 105 0 1007 10 107 10 10 107 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	164.6 1 1 85 1 EARN 1ec.31 1 15 .87	NGS PER Mar,31 J 1.50 1.82	un.30 S .16 .06	d.13 d.20	2.55	repres The t	hird	yua.			sidiar	ry, Ne	ew A	\bout	athi	rd of	new	custo	mers a		
esr c. 103 C. 104 C. 105 C. 1065 C. 1065 C. 1065 C. 107 C. 108	164.6 1 785 1 EARN 1ec.31 1 85	NGS PER (Mar,31 J 1.50 1.82 1.84	un.30 S .16 .06 .07	d.13	2.55	The t the c	ompa	uny's						12101			a 188	l sourc			25
ear nds C 103 6 104 6 105 8 105 8 105 8 105 10 105 0 105 0 100 0 1	164.6 1 785 1 EARIN 185 .87 .91 1.23 1.13	182 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84	un.39 S .16 .05 .07 d.14 .10	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17	2.55	The t the c Jerse	ompa y Na	ny's tural	Gas	(NJN	IC).]				ցի ա	ntime		his s		offe	
esr nds C 103 6 104 6 104 6 105 6 106 11 107 10 106 11 107 10 107 10 103 04 103 04 103 04 103 04 103 04 103 04 103 04 104 05 105 06 105 06	164.6 1 285 1 EARIN 186.31 E5 .87 .91 1.23 1.13 QUARTE	NGS PER (Mar.31 J 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DIVEC	un.30 S .16 .05 .07 d.14 .10 NDS PAD	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17	2.55 2.65 2.90 2.90 Full	The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 n	ompa y Na gs of ullion	iny's tural `\$1.7 in th	Gas milli e year	(NJN on, w r-earli	IC). I vell b er pa	elow : riod, 1	the 1 The d	lecen	t top	al re	aly, t tuuma	poter	itock Itial.	This	5
esr contraction of the second	164.6 1 185 1 EARN Nec.31 85 .87 .91 1.23 1.13 QUARTE (ar.31 .	Mar.31 J. 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DIVIDE URL30 Sciences Scien	40.30 S .06 .07 d.14 .10 MDS PAD an 30 D 30	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 c= ec.31 30	2.55 2.65 2.90 2.90 Full Year 1.20	The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 n decrea	ompa y Na gs of ullion se wa	ny's tural 51.7 in th as pri	Gas milli e yeau marily	(NJN on, w r-earli y the	IC). 1 vell b er per resul	elowi nood.1 tofc	the 1 The d on- h	lecen lecen argely	t tot due	al ret to ex	aly, t turn pandi	poter ng pr	itock itial. ofits i	This rom	i
esr contraction of the second	164.6 1 185 1 EARN Nec.31 85 .87 .91 1.23 1.13 QUARTE (ar.31 .	Mar.31 J. 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DIVIDE URL30 Sciences Scien	40.30 S .06 .07 d.14 .10 MDS PAD an 30 D 30	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 c= ec.31 30	2.55 2.65 2.90 2.90 Full Year 1.20 1.24	The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 m decrea servat rently	ompa y Na gs of illion se wa ion b has a	any's tural \$1.7 in th as pri- y cus weat	Gas milli e year marily tomer her no	(NJN on, w r-earli y the s. Th srmali	IG), i vell b resul resul e uti izatio	elow : riod. 1 t of c lity c r plan	the 1 The con- tan- tan t	lecen lecen argely conutil he lik	t tot due lity op elihou	al rei to ex peration od of	ely, t turn pandi ons. C a mo	poter ng pr)ther p re cor	itock itial. ofits i pluses isister	This rom inclu inclu	i 10 10
esr c nds c 103 c 104 c 105 c 106 c 107 f 107 f 107 f 107 f 107 f 107 f 108 c 11 c 108 c 11 c 108 c 11 c 109 c 10	164.6 1 185 1 EARN Nec.31 85 .87 .91 1.23 1.13 QUARTE (ar.31 .	MGS PER I Mar.31 J 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DIVIDE Inn.30 Sc .30 .31 .325	un.39 S .16 .05 .07 d.14 .10 mDS PAED an.30 D 30 31 .325	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 c= ec.31	2.55 2.65 2.90 Full Year 1.20 1.24 1.30	The t the c Jerse earnin S3.9 n decrea servat rently place t	ompa y Na gs of hillion se wa ion b has a to pro	in y's tural \$1.7 in th as pri y cus weat tect a	Gas milli e year marily tomer her no gainst	(NJN on, w r-earli y the s. Th s. Th prmali t temp	IG). 1 vell b resul resul e uti ization peratu	elow : riod, 1 t of c lity c h plan ures ti	the 1 The con-1 tur- n in t nat i	lecen argely conutil he lik ngs st	t tot due lity op celihou tream	al rei to ex peration d of thro	aly, t turn pandi ons. C a mo ugh 1	poter ng pr)ther p re cor the C	itock ofits i oluses osister UA pi	This rom inclu inclu	i 10 10
esr c. nds C. 103 C. 104 C. 105 C. 105 C. 105 C. 105 C. 105 C. 107 100 106 C. 107 100 108 C. 100	164.6 1 165 1 EARIN 166.31 1 123 1.123 1.13 0UARTE 1.13 0UARTE 1.13 0UARTE 1.13 0UARTE 1.13 01 1.13 1.13 1.30 1.31 1	HGS PER Mar.31 J 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 1.84 NLY DIVDE ion.30 Sc .30 .31 .325 .34	un.39 S .16 .05 .07 d.14 .10 mDS PAED an.30 D 30 31 .325	0.13 0.20 0.17 0.43 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.17	2.55 2.65 2.90 Full Year 1.20 1.24 1.30 1.36	The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 m decrea servat rently	ompa y Na gs of billion se wa ion b has a to pro anner	tural \$1.7 in th s pri y cus weat tect a than	Gas milli e year marily tomer her no gainst norm	(NJN on, w r-earli y the s. Th ormali t temp al, the	IG). 1 vell b resul resul ization peratu peratu	elow : riod, 1 t of c lity c n plan ures th it is t	the The con- on-la ur-n in ti nat iu un-aa	lecen argely conutil he lik ngs st	t tot due lity op relihou tream	al ret to ex peration d of thro Hivides	aly, t turn pandi ons. C a mo ugh 1	poter ing pr)ther p re con the C reases	itock ofits i oluses osister UA pi	This from inclu inclu t ea ropos	i 10 10
ear C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	164.6 1 185 1 EARIN 100.31 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 0UARTE 1.23 30 31 325 34 35	HGS PER (Mar.31 J 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DVDE 30 .30 .31 .325 .34 .35	16 .05 .07 d.14 .10 NDS PAD 30 31 325 34 36	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 c= ec.31 30 31 325 34	2,55 2,65 2,80 2,90 Full Year 1,20 1,24 1,30 1,36	The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 m decrea servat rently place t are wa able to day, and 0	ompa y Na gs of nillion se wa ion b has a to pro armer prota	iny's tural \$1.7 in th as pri- y cus weat tect a than ect ag	Cas milli e year marily tomer her no gainst norm ainst	(NJN on, w r-earli y the s. Th ormali t temp al, the lower	IG). 1 vell b resul resul ization peratu peratu	elow : riod, 1 t of c lity c n plan ures th it is t	the The con- on-la ur-n in ti nat iu un-aa	Thoug lecent argely conutil he lik ngs st ngs st	t tot due lity op relihou tream	al rei to experation od of thro Hividen ter	aly, t turn pandi ons. C a mo ugh 1 nd inc any's Fie	poter ng pr)ther f re cor the C reases Septe watch S	itock ofits i oluses osister UA pi s. omber	This rom inclu it ea ropos 15, 2	
Lease C 103 6 104 6 105 6 106 11 107 10 108 10 109 10 100 10 101 10 102 10 103 10 104 10 105 10 103 10 104 10 105 10 104 10 105 10 103 10 104 10 105 10 102 10 103 10 104 10 105 10 102 10 103 10 104 10 105 10 105 10 105 10 105 10 105 10 <t< td=""><td>164.6 1 185 1 EARN 1 BS 3 BS 3 BS 3 BS 3 BS 3 BS 3 BS 3</td><td>INGS PER Mar.31 J. 1.5D 1.82 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DWDE 1.84 1.84 .30 .31 .325 .34 .35 Sapt. 301 Next ear</td><td>un.39 S 16 .05 .07 .07 .07 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07</td><td>d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 ec.31 30 31 325 34 xort due</td><td>2,55 2,65 2,80 2,90 Fuli Year 1,20 1,24 1,30 1,36 4 Apri, J</td><td>The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 p decrea servat rently place t are wa able to</td><td>ompa y Na gs of hillion se wa ion b has a to pro improve prote labor.</td><td>siny's tural \$1.7 in th as prive y cus weat there ag boxten</td><td>Cas milli e year marily tomer her no gainst norm ainst</td><td>(NJN on, w r-earli y the s. Th ormali t temp al, the lower</td><td>IG). 1 vell b resul resul ization peratu peratu</td><td>elow : riod, 1 t of c lity c n plan ures th it is t</td><td>the The con- on-la ur-n in ti nat iu un-aa</td><td>Thoug lecent argely conutil he lik ngs st ngs st</td><td>t tot due lity op relihou tream</td><td>al rei to ex beratio d of thro Hivides ter Comp Stock Price</td><td>aly, t turn pandi ons. C a mo ugh i nd inc anys Fia s Price i Growth F</td><td>poter ng pr ther p re cor the C reases Septe saturd</td><td>itock ofits i oluses osister UA pi s. <i>ember</i></td><td>This rom incluit ea ropos 15, 2 1</td><td></td></t<>	164.6 1 185 1 EARN 1 BS 3	INGS PER Mar.31 J. 1.5D 1.82 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DWDE 1.84 1.84 .30 .31 .325 .34 .35 Sapt. 301 Next ear	un.39 S 16 .05 .07 .07 .07 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .07 .05 .07 .05 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 ec.31 30 31 325 34 xort due	2,55 2,65 2,80 2,90 Fuli Year 1,20 1,24 1,30 1,36 4 Apri, J	The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 p decrea servat rently place t are wa able to	ompa y Na gs of hillion se wa ion b has a to pro improve prote labor.	siny's tural \$1.7 in th as prive y cus weat there ag boxten	Cas milli e year marily tomer her no gainst norm ainst	(NJN on, w r-earli y the s. Th ormali t temp al, the lower	IG). 1 vell b resul resul ization peratu peratu	elow : riod, 1 t of c lity c n plan ures th it is t	the The con- on-la ur-n in ti nat iu un-aa	Thoug lecent argely conutil he lik ngs st ngs st	t tot due lity op relihou tream	al rei to ex beratio d of thro Hivides ter Comp Stock Price	aly, t turn pandi ons. C a mo ugh i nd inc anys Fia s Price i Growth F	poter ng pr ther p re cor the C reases Septe saturd	itock ofits i oluses osister UA pi s. <i>ember</i>	This rom incluit ea ropos 15, 2 1	
ease c. 103 6 104 6 105 6 106 11 107 10 108 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 109 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 100 10 <t< td=""><td>164.6 1 185 1 EARN 185 1 173 123 1.13 0VARTE 1.13 0VARTE 1.13 1.13 0VARTE 1.13 1.1</td><td>HGS PER (Mar.31 J 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DVDE 30 .30 .31 .325 .34 .35</td><td>un.30 S 16 06 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07</td><td>d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 c= ec.31 30 31 325 34 xont due january,</td><td>2.55 2.65 2.80 2.90 Full 1.20 1.24 1.30 1.36 4 Aprī, J ment p (D) in t</td><td>The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 n decrea servat rently place t are wa able to thy, and t lan avaian misons, a</td><td>ompa y Na gs of illion se wa ion b has a to pro inner protu- bla dusted f</td><td>iny's tural \$1.7 in th es pri- y cus weat tect a than ect ag Dividen er spik</td><td>Gas milli e year marily tomer her nr gainst norm ainst d reloves</td><td>(NJN on, w r-carli y the s. Th prmali t temp al, the lower</td><td>IG). I vell b result ization peratu peratu usage</td><td>elow : riod. I t of c lity c t plan mes th it is u e. The</td><td>the 7 The con- the co</td><td>Thoug lecent argely conutil he lik ngs si nd ste Ivan I</td><td>t tot due lity of celihoo tream ady d <i>Blat</i></td><td>al rei to experation d of thro Hividen ter Comp Stock Price Earnin</td><td>aly, t turn pandi ons. C a mo ugh i nd inc any's Fit s Price I Growth F ags Pred</td><td>poter ng pr)ther p the C reases Septe sancial S Stability Persisten ictability</td><td>itock ofits i oluses osister UA pi s. <i>ember</i></td><td>This rom incluit can ropos 15, 2 1 1</td><td></td></t<>	164.6 1 185 1 EARN 185 1 173 123 1.13 0VARTE 1.13 0VARTE 1.13 1.13 0VARTE 1.13 1.1	HGS PER (Mar.31 J 1.50 1.82 1.84 2.14 1.84 2.14 1.84 RLY DVDE 30 .30 .31 .325 .34 .35	un.30 S 16 06 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07	d.13 d.20 d.17 d.43 d.17 c= ec.31 30 31 325 34 xont due january,	2.55 2.65 2.80 2.90 Full 1.20 1.24 1.30 1.36 4 Aprī, J ment p (D) in t	The t the c Jerse earnin \$3.9 n decrea servat rently place t are wa able to thy, and t lan avaian misons, a	ompa y Na gs of illion se wa ion b has a to pro inner protu- bla dusted f	iny's tural \$1.7 in th es pri- y cus weat tect a than ect ag Dividen er spik	Gas milli e year marily tomer her nr gainst norm ainst d reloves	(NJN on, w r-carli y the s. Th prmali t temp al, the lower	IG). I vell b result ization peratu peratu usage	elow : riod. I t of c lity c t plan mes th it is u e. The	the 7 The con- the co	Thoug lecent argely conutil he lik ngs si nd ste Ivan I	t tot due lity of celihoo tream ady d <i>Blat</i>	al rei to experation d of thro Hividen ter Comp Stock Price Earnin	aly, t turn pandi ons. C a mo ugh i nd inc any's Fit s Price I Growth F ags Pred	poter ng pr)ther p the C reases Septe sancial S Stability Persisten ictability	itock ofits i oluses osister UA pi s. <i>ember</i>	This rom incluit can ropos 15, 2 1 1	

Schedule FJH-27 Page 7 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 5 of 10 (Update)

SATUT 3 Lensel units Call Solution Call Solution </th <th>TRAFED</th> <th></th> <th>INC 3 Reised 7</th> <th></th> <th>High:</th> <th>28.5</th> <th>37.1</th> <th>42.9</th> <th>RICE 44.4 37.1</th> <th>42.9</th> <th>5 RATI 43.9 29.4</th> <th>42.4</th> <th>49.0</th> <th>an: 14.0 39.3 23.7</th> <th>39.7 32.0</th> <th>5 1.0 43.0 35.5</th> <th>44.4</th> <th></th> <th>}%篇</th> <th>Targe</th> <th>t Price 2010</th> <th></th>	TRAFED		INC 3 Reised 7		High:	28.5	37.1	42.9	RICE 44.4 37.1	42.9	5 RATI 43.9 29.4	42.4	49.0	an: 14.0 39.3 23.7	39.7 32.0	5 1.0 43.0 35.5	44.4		}%篇	Targe	t Price 2010	
Base 1, build and other Base 1, build and other Base 2, build			n '		LEGE	NDB																
Base 1, build and other Base 1, build and other Base 2, build	TECHN	ICAL	3 Raised 9	15.QK	— ž	Nided by 1	TATES REI	•	+	1			<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>]	1	1	1
Process Gas A 2017 100 Process Gas 2017 100 Process Gas 2017 100	BETA 1	1.20 (1.0	ll = friaskes)										<u> </u>					<u> </u>				+
No. 10 10 <th< td=""><td>ŹŅ</td><td>09-11 PI</td><td>ROJECTK</td><td>2NS</td><td>Shaded</td><td>i anta India</td><td>pies leces</td><td>sina 🔄</td><td></td><td><u></u></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td>[</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>╞┈┈╸</td><td>+</td></th<>	ŹŅ	09-11 PI	ROJECTK	2NS	Shaded	i anta India	pies leces	sina 🔄		<u></u>		<u> </u>			[╞┈┈╸	+
No. 10 10 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Gain</td><td>Return</td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td>La 1 Martin</td><td>Peritod.</td><td>Current to</td><td>4,</td><td>4</td><td><u>"</u> </td><td>h. 14.14</td><td>d'heat an</td><td>B.I. I.I.</td><td>[</td><td><u> </u></td><td>—</td><td><u> </u></td><td>+</td><td>4</td></th<>			Gain	Return			<u> </u>	La 1 Martin	Peritod.	Current to	4,	4	<u>"</u>	h. 14.14	d'heat an	B.I. I.I.	[<u> </u>	—	<u> </u>	+	4
No. 10 10 <th< td=""><td>Hugh Low</td><td>35</td><td>(-20%)</td><td>NII</td><td>*****</td><td>0.0</td><td>Stunt-</td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td>ļ.,</td><td><u></u></td><td></td><td>ļ<i>r</i></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td>\pm</td></th<>	Hugh Low	35	(-20%)	NII	*****	0.0	Stunt-			<u> </u>	ļ.,	<u></u>		ļ <i>r</i>					<u> </u>			\pm
bits bits <th< td=""><td>insida</td><td></td><td>zncie</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td>\vdash</td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>[']"</td><td>.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ļ</td><td>ļ</td><td></td><td>+</td></th<>	insida		zncie		<u> </u>	\vdash			<u> </u>			1	[']"	.					ļ	ļ		+
Institutional Decisions Institution Institutio	io Buy	100	100	100		<u> </u>	ļ	1	ļ				<u> </u>		<u></u>		┝┯═┈			}	<u> </u>	╉
Institutional Decisions Institution Institutio	n Sel	000	002	000		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		{	ļ		ļ	Ļ	ļ		<u> </u>	ļ	× TO			+
bits 11 12 13 13 14 1		nional	Decision	η5		1	1					l .			ļ.,					STOCK	NTEX.	Ļ
$ \frac{1}{123} = \frac{1}{124} = 1$	bBuy	117	112	98	shares						 ,				nhitu	altalli	####]3 ýr.	49.1	49.4	ţ
$ \frac{1}{123} = \frac{1}{124} = 1$			32581	32450	1		աստեր	distant	անվե	hilidinat								2007			_	
$ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{23} & \frac{1}{12} & 1$					****	_													· ··· ··· ·		an pers	
133 135 126 127 <th127< th=""> <th127< th=""> <th127< th=""></th127<></th127<></th127<>																			"Cash F	low ⁿ par s		ĺ
120 120 <td></td> <td>1.86</td> <td>1.92</td> <td>1.97</td> <td>2.07</td> <td>1.96</td> <td>2.42</td> <td></td> <td>ļ.</td>		1.86	1.92	1.97	2.07	1.96	2.42															ļ.
1017 123 <td></td>																						
17.31 17.32 16.271 53.35 15.71 53.35 15.71 15.85 15.81 15.82 15.81 15.82 15.81 15.82 15.81 15.82 15.81 15.82 15.85 17.85 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>19,40</td><td>Book Va</td><td>ive per ab</td><td>1</td><td></td></t<>																		19,40	Book Va	ive per ab	1	
1/21 1/21 1/21 1/21 1/21 1/21 2/21 1/21 <th< td=""><td>57.53</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	57.53																					
115 227 527 447 447 447 477 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Bold hg Willia</td><td>cres and Line</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																	Bold hg Willia	cres and Line				
Latry Latry Control List of List 2:20 List 2:																	esün	et#				
To betk 54/22 cmL LT intervet 5200 mL 10 betk 54/22 cmL LT intervet 5200 mL 11 betk 54/22 cmL LT intervet 5200 mL 12 betk 54/2 cmL	APITA	L STRU	CTURE &	s of 6/30	106		1659.7	1992.6	1465,1	16152	2298.1	2544.1										
Total interaset zovenger. Aug ension Assets-1205 542A.0 Millo blig. 5244.4 al. $\frac{152}{12}$ $\frac{152}{12}$ $\frac{755}{12}$ $\frac{755}{128}$ $\frac{158}{127}$ $\frac{158}{12}$ $\frac{157}{12}$ $\frac{158}{128}$ $\frac{158}{18}$ $\frac{158}{18}$ $\frac{158}{18}$ $\frac{158}{18}$																						-3
ension Assess-1205 5424.0 mil Dblig 5284.4 4738 6.2% 1.2% 1.5% 1.2% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.6% 1.771 1.75% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1 84,027 11</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>č</td></t<>					1 84,027 11																	č
as 13.15 17.25 17.45 17.45 16.17.45 17.45 <td< td=""><td>ension</td><td>a Ássels</td><td>-12/05 \$4</td><td>24.0 mili.</td><td>Dillio. S</td><td>284.4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>39.6%</td><td></td><td>37.4%</td><td>36.0%</td><td>35.0%</td><td>Long-Ter</td><td>m Dehri R</td><td></td><td>3</td></td<>	ension	a Ássels	-12/05 \$4	24.0 mili.	Dillio. S	284.4								39.6%		37.4%	36.0%	35.0%	Long-Ter	m Dehri R		3
rg 51 one 52 or 73 Prize 1 rrow 1 rrow 2	1 2 .																					5
11,83 11,83 11,13 1995 10.27 11.28 12.28 12.8	fd Stos	at 5.6 m	a. P	fd Div'd !	5.03 mE.	ł															"	
armone Slock 4(256,000 shares 16.45			d 4.48% л	landatori	у габеал	BDIE							12.2%	8.3%	8.6%	9.4%	10.0%					1
ARTET CAP: 519 bittion (Mid Cap) 75% 75% 65%	ommoi	n Stock	44,536,60	13 shares	;																	1
URRENT FOSITION2004200561206648656656 <t< td=""><td>ARKE)</td><td>T CAP: S</td><td>51.9 billio</td><td>n (Mid C</td><td>ap)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>	ARKE)	T CAP: S	51.9 billio	n (Mid C	ap)																-	
Bar Access B32 7126.8 2726.4 8727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3727 1218.4 3728 1218.4	URREN	TPOS	TION 2			/30/06								88%	84%	B1%	75%	17%	Ali Div'ds	to Net Pr	of	
urrent Assets1020.91345.7704.0and wasism links 2005 ges delvered: 470.6 Bdt, idd 219.4 Bdt and ges E2P, 693. Has about 3,700 smployee. Of Adlt. warstar Parula502.9653.2433.0611.4urrent Liab.1171.41622.9985.2430.711.4urrent Liab.1171.41622.9985.2430.711.4urrent Liab.1171.41622.9985.2430.711.4urrent Liab.1171.41622.9985.2430.711.4urrent Liab.1171.41622.9985.2430.711.4urrent Liab.1171.41622.9983.711.4urrent Liab.1177.41622.9985.2430.7urrent Liab.1175.71.5%1.0%urrent Liab.1175.71.6%2.0%urrent Liab.1175.71.5%1.0%urrent Liab.1175.71.5%1.0%urrent Liab.1175.72.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1157.81.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1157.81.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1157.81.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1167.91.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1167.91.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1167.91.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1167.91.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1167.91.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1167.91.0%1.0%urrent Liab.1167.91.0%1.0% <td< td=""><td>ash As</td><td>u) Sets</td><td></td><td>3.2 1</td><td>26.9</td><td>226.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>include</td><td>Tropical</td><td>Shipping</td><td>subsidia</td><td>ary and</td><td>saveral (</td><td>energy (activity)</td><td></td></td<>	ash As	u) Sets		3.2 1	26.9	226.6									include	Tropical	Shipping	subsidia	ary and	saveral (energy (activity)	
Excle Payable502.9653.2433.8Hom transportation2005 jes sales (2512 pcf; meldendi, 80%; tard 284 Fork and Napavala, IL 65Here Use1772.4656.0501.4Trent Liab1772.4676.0501.4NUAL RATESPartPart187; hutvala 3%, Pacida upplying pipelines. Nat.Stybel. Inc. 1L Addness 1844 Feary Raad, Napavala, IL 65NUAL RATESPartPart187; hutvala 3%, Pacida upplying pipelines. Nat.Stybel. Inc. 1L Addness 1844 Feary Raad, Napavala, IL 65NUAL RATESPartPartPartNat. 12, 100, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125, 125		Assets	102	<u>20.9</u> 12	45.7	704.0									vernares. and pas	E&P, 6/9	o nanu 3. Hese	bout 3,7	00 emplo	yee. Ofi <i>j</i>	idir, own	1 2
Instruct11714322.4511.4511.7xx Chy, Cov.422%357%NMFNicor's core gas distribution segmentments should bolster the bottom linghung (acrd)10 %n.5%1.5%1.hung (acrd)11 %n.11 %n.11 %n.hung (Current Assets 1020.9 1345.7 704.0 and western Illinois. 2005 gas delivered: 470.6 Bcl, incl. 219.4 Bcl and gas EZP, 693. Has about 3,700 employee. Ofi/dir, own Apote Peyable 502.9 655.2 433.9 from transportation. 2005 gas sales (251.2 bcl): respensial, 80%; 2.8% of common stock. (306 proxy). Chairman and CEO:															: 1						
International importance111/14102/253578NMMNNUME RATES home (sets)10 %5578NMMNicor's core gas distribution segment increasements should bolster the bottom line increaseNNUME RATES home (sets)10 %557810 %NNUME RATES home (sets)10 %557810 %NNUME RATES home (sets)10 %10 %NNUME RATES home (sets)10 %	Juner 178.3 328.7 011.4 unit Gas Dineline Horizon Pineline and TGPC Contract energicas Telephone: 630-305-6500, Internet www.nicot.com															ψu						
NULL RATES Past Past Est(d) 12/05 has posted mixed results. In the first Nicor's Tropical Shipping unit is gener. hang(est) 10 Ym 5 Ym 10 Ym	Diner 178.3 328.7 511.4 Durrent Lieb. 1171.4 1622.9 953.3 und Gas Pipeline, Horizon Pipeline, and TGPC. Current operations Telephone: 630-305-8500. Internet: www.ucicor.com.															İ۳						
Wentes60%10%10%10%stah Flow10%-25%40%umings10%-25%40%umings10%-25%stah Flow20%15%stah Flow20%15%stah Flow20%30%al.CUAKTERYNEYS(FMEL)60%al.CUAKTERYNEYS(FMEL)Fullal.CUAKTERYNEYS(FMEL)Fullal.CUAKTERYNEYS(FMEL)Fullal.CUAKTERYNEYS(FMEL)Fullal.CUAKTERYNEYS(FMEL)Fullal.CUAKTERYNEYS(FMEL)Fullings declined by 1%, to \$70.8 million, instah fall, helped to boost revenues, butUS 117134225294.8VA 11573257.8VM 1	Tr. Chg. Cov. 428% 357% NMF Nicor's core gas distribution segment ments should bolster the bottom li																					
and minings10.0%13.2%20.0%Midlendo1.0%3.5%1.0%Midlendo1.0%3.5%1.0%Midlendo1.0%3.5%1.0%Midlendo1.0%3.5%1.0%Midlendo1.0%3.5%1.0%Midlendo1.0%3.5%1.0%Midlendo1.0%3.5%1.0%Midlendo2.0%1.5%2.0%Midlendo2.0%1.5%2.0%Midlendo2.0%1.5%2.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%57.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo2.0%1.5%2.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%2.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo1.0%1.0%1.0%Midlendo	interesting of $m_{a} = 5 v_{a}$ is $26/11$ six months of the year, this unit posted a ing higher revenues, due to an increase $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$																					
Widends4.0%3.5%1.5%3.5%1.5%3.5%1.5%3.5%and Inspection program, operating earn- tar Mat31 Jun.30 Sep.30 Dec.31Fatinspection program, operating earn- ings declined by 1%, to \$70.8 million, in should post better results in the seco 	いたになりたち	1	1.0%	-3.5	% 2, % 4.	5% 0%	year	ago. 🛛	Exclu	ding	the co	ost re	covery	r of ∣	be m	itigat	ed, i	n par	rt. by	y inc	reme	n
ain MARTERY REVENCES [smil] Full ings declined by 1%, to 570.8 million, in should post better results in the second the period. An increase in base rates, aphar 111, 122, 223, 294.8, 743.8, 2662.7 103 1171.3 452.8 294.8, 743.8, 2662.7 103 1171.3 452.8 294.8, 743.8, 2662.7 104 116.11, helped to boost revenues, but uts, are recognized. half of this year, as deferred revenue, i lated to its utility bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commerce Commission last, and industry bill management is for an anagement's forecast. In the second provement is accounting for nature compared to management's forecast. In the terms of the settlement, Niccr will gas 5 dob 102 104 1121 101 78 211 116	vidend	5	4.0%	3.5 1.5	њ1. ЖЗ	.59(0%								bair	payrol	l and	i tra	nspor	tation	Coșt	5. Se	2
dar Mai.31 Jun.30 Sep.30 Dec.31 Year The period. An increase in base rates, ap- half of finis year, as deferred revenue, i N3 1171.3 4528 294.8 743.8 2652.7 proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last fail, helped to boost revenues, but ucts, are recognized. lated to its utility bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last fail, helped to boost revenues, but ucts, are recognized. 05 1171.9 4244 3360 1557.5 3357.6 was partially offset by unseasonably warm Nicor may be able to raise its divised to its utility bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and the demand for developed to management's forecast. In July, the company reached lowered net profits by roughly \$7.5 million Nicor may be able to raise its divised to its utility bill management proved by the Illinois Commerce Commission last, and the fully set to the demand for developed to management's forecast. In July, the company reached to the demand for distribution segment will probably weigh is so this is considered a temporary issue, and an eventual return to normal weather developed to be allowed by the Illinois should benefit earnings. The legal issues in the rearview mirre there ought to be a greater amount of camavalable to shareholders. As of June 300 there are and maintenance expenses have available to shareholders. As of June 300 there are and the share sheet. 12 46 46 465 164 124 56 125 126 24 45 45 45 165 166 160 227 160 171 171 171 178							ines :	ispec ieclin	ed by	1%	to \$7	$0.8 \ \pi$	ng ea Milion	in i	should	l post	bett	≠£y erre	sults	in the	e sec	:01
04 #1757 4225 2299 994.5 2737 sion last fall, helped to boost revenues, but ucts, are recognized. 05 17150 494.4 350.1 1557.5 337.8 was partially offset by unseasonably warm Nicor may be able to raise its diverse in demand for dend following a recent legal settlement with the SEC regarding the image in demand for dend following a recent legal settlement. 05 17259 500 350 1725 3225 gas deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal settlement with the SEC regarding the image in dend following a recent legal settlement with the SEC regarding the image in dend following a recent legal settlement. 05 1.11 21 0.17 72 11 100 recent legal settlement. 05 1.11 21 0.1 72 11 11 11 10 77 211 05 1.11 21 0.1 72 11 11 10 11.6 110	dar k	Har.31	Jan 30 S	ep.30	Dec.31	Year	the p	eriod.	Anju	ncreas	sein	base i	ates.	ap- l	balf_o	f this	year,	as d	eferre	d reve	enue,	. 1
05 1178.9 484.4 336.0 1557.5 1357.5 was partially offset by unseasonably warm Nicor may be able to raise its diverse its diverses. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for demand for dend following a recent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for demand	ID1 61		452.8 2	194.8 7 200 0 1	743.8 2 194 B 2														man	ageme	ent pi	ro
966 5379.4 451.3 1270 172.5 322.5 weather, which reduced the demand for the following a rescent legal setting as deliveries. This decrease in demand for ment. In July, the company reached lowered net profits by roughly \$7.5 million ment. In July, the company reached lowered net profits by roughly \$7.5 million 1ar Mat31 Jun30 Sp.30 Dec.31 Year compared to management's forecast. In gas costs between 2000 and 2002. Und distribution segment will probably weigh the settlement, Nicor will and an eventual return to normal weather legal setter anount of ca and an eventual return to normal weather the legal issues in the rearview mirror there ought to be a greater amount of ca and an eventual return to normal weather the settlement's fore ought to be a greater amount of ca approximation, with room for further cost rescalable to shareholders. As 5 1485 22 46 48 485		179.9	484.4	336.0 13	357.5 3	357.8													to ra	aise i	its d	Hi-
al. EARNACES PER SHARE A law War31 Jun 30 Sep.30 Dec.31 Year compared to management's forecast. In up to the warden related losses, the gas distribution segment will probably weigh set lement with the SEC regarding the i vestigation over its accounting for natur gas costs between 2000 and 2002. Und distribution segment will probably weigh so, this is considered a temporary issue, and an eventual return to normal weather the terms of the settlement, Nicor will subject to a \$10 million fine, without a so, this is considered a temporary issue, and an eventual return to normal weather the legal issues in the rearview mirror there ought to be a greater amount of ca aperating and maintenance expenses have aperating and maintenance expenses have the shareholders. As of June 30t there was nearly \$227 million in cash of the shareholders. As of June 30t there was nearly \$227 million in cash of the shareholders. As of June 30t the shareholde	104 1 105 1	119.4	451.3		763 3	265	weath	er, w	hich	reduc	ed th	e der	nand	for o								
iar Mar.31 Jun.30 Sep.30 Dec.31 Year compared to management's forecast. In vestigation over its accounting for nature gas costs between 2000 and 2002. Und distribution segment will probably weight to the terms of the settlement, Nicor will gas costs between 2000 and 2002. Und distribution segment will probably weight to the terms of the settlement, Nicor will subject to a \$10 million fine, without a and an eventual return to normal weather the legal issues in the rearview mirror are present and an eventual return to normal weather the subject to to shareholders. As of June 30 to the set of June 30 to June 30	04 11 05 11 06 13	2006 [519.4 451.3 320 1174.3 3225 weather, which reduced the demand for dend following a recent legal set 2007 1259 500 350 1125 3225 gas deliveries. This decrease in demand ment. In July, the company reaches																				
03 1.11 21 1.12 1.11 21 1.12 1.11 21 1.12 1.11 21 1.12 1.11 211 1.12 1.11 211 1.12 1.11 211 1.12 1.11 211 1.12 1.11 211 1.12 1.11 211 1.12 1.11 211 1.12 1.11 211 1.11 212 1.11 1.11 212 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 2.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11 2.11 1.11	04 1 05 1 06 3 07 2			ep.30 C	lec.31	Year	compa	red	ໝາຍ	mage	ment's	fore	cast.	In v	restiga	ation	over i	ts acc	cunti	ng for	ົກລະນ	JI
Ha HB 35 6005 1.02 2.27 on the bothom line in the full year. Even subject to a \$10 million fine, without a \$10 million fine, \$10 mill	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 el- dar M	EAR Aar.31		04		211	light (of the	weat	ner-re	lated	losses	, the j	gas g								
06 94 41 405 1.15 2.45 so, this is considered a temporary issue, and an eventual return to normal weather the legal issues in the rearview mirror operating and an eventual return to normal weather the legal issues in the rearview mirror there ought to be agreeater amount of a sould benefit earnings. Non the reader and maintenance expenses have available to shareholders. As of June 300 to the source of the balance sheet. 12 46 46 46 144 been running below management's extitutions have the balance sheet. 13 46 455 465 455 165 pectations, with room for further cost return to balance sheet. 14 465 455 465 185 185 natural gas prices. 15 485 455 455 185 185 The company's other business seg. Charles W. Noh September 15, 20 16 485 455 455 186 iteras for discontinued os: 19, 46: 39, 306. November business seg. Charles W. Noh September 15, 20 16 485 455 455 186 iteras for discontinued os: 19, 46: 39, 306. November business seg. Charles W. Noh September 15, 20	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 al- 1ar M	EAR Aar.31 、 1.11	.21	.U1 426		6.66 1																
4 46 46 46 146 126 <td>04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 al- dar M 03 0 04 05</td> <td>EAR Aat.31 1.11 .96 .98</td> <td>21 ,44 ,35</td> <td>d.25 d.08</td> <td>1.02</td> <td>227</td> <td>on the</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>iered</td> <td>a ter</td> <td>npora</td> <td>ry iss</td> <td>ue, f</td> <td>nittin</td> <td>goro</td> <td>ienyū</td> <td>ig anj</td> <td>y wroi</td> <td>ngdoir</td> <td>ıg. W</td> <td>/i1</td>	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 al- dar M 03 0 04 05	EAR Aat.31 1.11 .96 .98	21 ,44 ,35	d.2 5 d.08	1.02	227	on the			iered	a ter	npora	ry iss	ue, f	nittin	goro	ienyū	ig anj	y wroi	ngdoir	ıg. W	/i1
Mar.31 Jun 30 Sep.30 Dec.31 Year operating and maintenance expenses have available to shareholders. As of June 30t 32 46 46 46 46 124 been running below management's ex- there was nearly \$227 million in cash of 44 455 456 126 ductions, barring an unforeseen spike in oriented accounts. These shares may interest incom oriented accounts. 15 456 455 126 The company's other business seg. Charles W. Noh September 15, 20 accod on adhery seminer thm. 98 then items from discontinued os: 12, 42: 39, 304. November, Plotten blower i Company's Financial Strength	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 dar M 03 - 04 05 16	EAR Aar.31 . .96 .98 .94	21 44 35 .41	d.26 d.06 <i>d.05</i>	1.02	227	50, tiz				1 60 10			ner t	ne ie	Bar'iz	sues	տ են	te rea	IVIEW	m	гс Э'
12 .46 .4	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 al- 14 03 03 04 05 06 07	EAR Aat.31 、 1.11 .96 .98 .94 1.00	21 44 35 41 40	d.25 d.06 <i>d.05</i> d.05	1.02 1.15 1.15	2.27 2.45 2.50	so, th and a	n ever	ntual			-arnin	pg. 10	hn. +	here r	SUPPE.	to ne	a gre	ater a	mount	t of n	
44 465 465 465 463 186 ductions, barring an unforescen splke in These shares may interest income 15 455 455 455 455 455 186 186 natural gas prices. The company's other business seg- accounts. Charles W. Noh September 15, 20 accounts in the second sec	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 al- dar M 03 04 05 06 07	EAR Aar.31 .96 .98 .94 1.00 QUARTE	.21 .44 .35 .41 .40 RLY DIVIDE	d.26 d.06 <i>d.05</i> d.05 HDS PAD	1.02 1.15 1.15 B	2.27 2.45 2.50 Full	so, th and a condit	n ever ions	ntual : should	l ben	efit e			bo, t rve s	vailat	ple to	share	nolde	rs. As	noun of Ju	ne su	JU
15 A55 A65 A65 A65 Imatural gas prices. oriented accounts. 16 A85 A65 A65 The company's other business seg. Charles W. Noh September 15, 20 acad on atimary samings three '16 then items from discontinued ops. '33, 45' '96, 304. May, August, November, • Divident jeinvest. Company's Financial Strength	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 al- 1 al- M 03 04 05 06 07 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - - - - - - - - - -	EAR Aat.31 .96 .98 .94 1,00 QUARTE lar.31 J .46	21 44 35 41 40 RLY DIVDE Jun 30 St 46	d.26 d.05 d.05 d.05 HDS PAD ep.30 D .46	1.02 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.1	2.27 2.45 2.50 Full Year 1.84	so, th and a condit operat	n ever ions ing ai runni	ntual should nd ma ng b	i ben sinten elow	efit e ance (mana	expen ageme	ses ha nt's (bo, t rve s 2x- t	vailat here	ple to was n	snare	\$227	rs. As	noun of Ju	ne su	JU
ased on atmeny services thru '95 then i fers from the form 193 45' 95, 304. Max Angula November, Policiest Company's Financial Strength	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 al- 14 03 04 05 06 07 14 14 15 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	EAR Aat.31 .96 .94 1.00 QUARTE (ar.31 J .46 .45	21 44 35 41 40 RLY DIVDE Jun 30 56 46 455	d.26 d.06 d.05 d.05 DDS PAID ep.30 D .46 .465	1.02 1.15 1.15 IB= ec.31 .40 .465	2.27 2.45 2.50 Full Year 1.84 1.85	so, th and a condit operat been pectati	n ever ions ing au runni ions,	ntual should nd ma ng b with	i ben sinten elow room	efit e ance mana for fu	expen ageme urther	ses ha nt's (cost	no, t rve s ex- t re- t	here v here v he bal	ole to was n ance	share early sheet	\$227	rs. As milli	noun of Ju on in	ne 31 cash	10
d. Excl. nonnounne gaine/(best): '99, 74; Overletly earlings may not sum to licial due to ment plan svažable.(C) in mäions, adjested for Stock's Price Stability 5; (3), 114; 99, 54; '00, (51.96); '01, 156; '1rounding. Nat earlings report due catly Nov. stock split. Price Growth Persistence 3 276; '02, 176; '03, 56; '05, 102' (174; Ersci (18) Unidente instantional value darly Fabruary. Earlings Ferdicability 8	04 11 05 11 06 12 14 13 07 12 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 15 14 15	EAR Aar.31 .11 .96 .94 1.00 QUARTE ar.31 .46 .45 .465 .465	21 .44 .45 .41 .40 .41 .40 .45 .45 .45 .455	d.26 d.06 d.05 d.05 PHDS PA60 ep.30 D .46 .465 .465 .465 .465	1.02 1.15 1.15 IB= ec.31 .46 .465 .465	2.27 2.45 2.50 Full Year 1.84 1.85 1.85	so, th and a condit operat occan occation duction natura	n ever ions runni ions, ns, br 1 gas	ntual should ng b with with prices	d ben sinten elow room an t s.	efit e ance mana for fu unfore	expen ageme wither seen	ses ha nt's (cost spike	bo, t rve s sx- t re- t in 1	vailat here he bal hese rient	ance shar ed ac	share early sheet res coun	nolde \$227 nay	rs. As milli inter	moun of Ju on in est i	ne 30 cash ncon	
	04 11 05 11 06 13 07 72 dar M 03 04 05 06 07 04 05 06 07 04 15 16 15 16	EAR Mar.31 .96 .98 .94 1.00 QUARTE ar.31 J .46 .455 .465 .465 .465 .465	.21 .44 .35 .41 .40 .41 .40 .40 .45 .465 .465 .465 .465	d 26 d 06 d 05 d 05 d 05 d 05 d 05 d 05 d 05 d 05	1.02 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65 4.65	227 2.45 2.50 Full Year 1.84 1.85 1.85 1.85	so, th and a condit operat occation occtation duction natura The open disco	n ever ions runni ions, ns, br 1 gas comp	ntual should ng b with prices any's	i ben sinten elow room an u oth	efit e ance mana for fu unfore er bu	expen ageme urther seen usine	ses ha nt's (cost spike ss se	no, tr rve s sx- t re- t in T sg- (here he bal hese rient	ance sha sha ed ac	share sheet res voun Voh	nolde \$227 nay ts.	rs. As milli inter Septe	meun of Ju on in est i <i>mber</i>	ne 30 cash ncon 15, 2	20

Schedule FJH-27 Page 8 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 6 of 10 (Update)

Close-Le Land Bins Link Resemption Display Display <th>N.V</th> <th>V. N</th> <th>AT'L</th> <th>GAS</th> <th>S_{NYS}</th> <th>E-NWN</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>recent Price</th> <th>38.1</th> <th>9 PE RA</th> <th>710 16</th> <th>7 (Trail Mec</th> <th>ling: 17.6 Ear: 15.0</th> <th>RELATIN PRE RAT</th> <th>ő 0.9</th> <th></th> <th>3.</th> <th>6%</th> <th>VANED</th> <th></th> <th></th>	N.V	V. N	AT'L	GAS	S _{NYS}	E-NWN			recent Price	38.1	9 PE RA	710 16	7 (Trail Mec	ling: 17.6 Ear: 15.0	RELATIN PRE RAT	ő 0. 9		3.	6%	VANED		
Incomence 2 Incomence 2 <thincomence 2<="" th=""> <thincomence 2<="" th=""></thincomence></thincomence>	,				Low:	15.2 NDS	20.1		30.8 24.3	27.9	27.6					39.6 32.4	38.8 32.8			Targe 2009	t Price 2010	Rang 201
Discrete Construction) · · ·	-			-		iends p si nterest Ra	-			1				+	+						
Price Gan All Norm All Norm All Norm All Norm All Norm INSIGE Detailines Inside Detailines										<u> </u>								 				
Bigs All Control Contro <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontrol<< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Cain Cain</td><td>Angʻi Tota</td><td>¥</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>L</td><td>Linear Property</td><td>fint. fit</td><td>μuť**,</td><td></td><td></td><td><u>†</u></td><td></td><td>130</td></thcontrol<<></thcontrol<>			Cain Cain	Angʻi Tota	¥	1			1	1		1		L	Linear Property	fint. fit	μuť**,			<u>†</u>		130
Insider Designer Image: De		45	(+20%)	8% 5%			BHU PEL	then the	1.1110-04-011	1. 11/11/11/11/	a efferr	the second		1. [116**			<u> </u>					+25
Photo Bol B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Inside	r Deck	sions				Ţ		· · · · ·	<u>}</u>	111	<u> .</u>		<u> </u>		ļ	ļ				ļ	
data user user <th< td=""><td>to Buy</td><td>000</td><td>011</td><td>1000</td><td> </td><td>ļ</td><td>ļ</td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td>ļ</td><td>· بالم</td><td></td><td>ļ</td><td></td><td>**************************************</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td><u> </u></td><td><u> </u></td><td>ļ</td><td><u> </u></td><td>410</td></th<>	to Buy	000	011	1000		ļ	ļ	<u> </u>		ļ	· بالم		ļ		**************************************		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	410
enders uses part <	to 843						÷	+	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>†</u>		<u> </u>			+	\$10	L RETUR	X 8/06	-7.5
$ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{1280} & \frac{1}{1281}		402005	i 10206	202005	Percen		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4-	ļ	<u> </u>	 		<u> </u>		<u>lu.</u>	ļ				F
1990 1992 1992 1996 1997 1998 1997 1998 1990 2001 2002 2004 2002 2004 2002 2004 2005 2007 2004 2005 2007 2004 2005 2007 <th< td=""><td>to Buy Sa Gati Hidro ISCO</td><td>59 54 17022</td><td>52</td><td>9 59</td><td></td><td></td><td>nina a</td><td>densitied.</td><td></td><td>WHA.</td><td>Hillion.</td><td>national</td><td></td><td>talanti</td><td>Lilli</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3 .</td><td>51.4</td><td>49.4</td><td>F</td></th<>	to Buy Sa Gati Hidro ISCO	59 54 17022	52	9 59			nina a	densitied.		WHA.	Hillion.	national		talanti	Lilli				3 .	51.4	49.4	F
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1990				1994	1995						2001						2007			L, INC.	<u>09-11</u>
122 57 74 174 174 175 175 176 188 127 127 228 249 227 249 227 249 227 249 227 249 249 255 315 312 312 313 421 312 121 12																					h	51.8i 5 10
315 316 317 318 317 318 317 318 317 318 317 318 318 420 318 420 438 322 111 439 523 318 112 120 120 120 120 121 <td></td> <td>.57</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.63</td> <td></td> <td>2.8</td>		.57			1.63																	2.8
12.61 12.23 12.41 13.81 14.85 16.26 17.82 17.31 16.56 18.81 19.22 23.94 23.95 23.95 23.95 23.95 23.95 23.95 23.95 23.95 23.95 23.95 17.95 17.81 18.81 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,7(</td></th<>																						1,7(
R12 R21 R21 R23 R23 R23 R24 R25 R24 R25 R24 R25 R24 R25 R25 <thr25< th=""> <thr25< th=""> <thr25< th=""></thr25<></thr25<></thr25<>	12.61	12.23				14.55	15.37	16.02		17,12	17.93	18.56	16.88	19.52	20.64	21.28	22.10	22.95	Book Vel	ue per sh		25.5
78 1.79 6.64 70 65 78 78 1.78 5.94 5.94 5.94 5.94 5.94 6.94 4.27 3.74 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Gommon Ava Ann¹</td><td>Shs Out</td><td>ifg c</td><td>28.04</td></th<>							-												Gommon Ava Ann ¹	Shs Out	ifg c	28.04
$ \begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.9 $.75	1.79	1.64	,76	.B5	,86	.73	,83	139	.83	31	.66	.94	.90	88.	.91	Value	Cloe	Relative	VE Railo	1	.95
$ \begin{array}{c} etal Dets S773 m. Due in 5 Ym 52042 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S310 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of Lab (star) Interest S410 m. \\ \text{Dets S42D of $		-			فسيسبعه ا	5.7%		· · · · ·			_										elici 🕴	4.3%
$ \begin{array}{c} 1237 & 225 & 3124 & 625 & 1305 & 625 & 1317 & 625 & 718 & 625 & 728 & 625 & 728 & 625 & 718 & 625 & 718 & 625 & 718 & $	Total Dal	of \$577.	3 m2. I	Due in 5 Y	rs \$204.2				27.3													80.0
$ \begin{array}{c} 44.8 & 40.7 & 40.9 & 40.7 & 4$					t 531.0 m	al.																36.0%
blg. SEP3 m all disk kinne disk kinne d	Total inte	vest co	velage: 3	3.4x)		ŀ												47.0%	Long-Terr	n Debt Re		47%
id Bioek X7,643,346 sha. 7233 273 8247 8253 8250 8255 1285				2,18.6 mil),		-																53%
1273:108 1278									BP4.7							1373.4	1375	1400	Net Plant	(\$mİR)	$-\bot$	1500
ARKET CAP 51 billion (Mid Cap) 1275 110.5 6.04 10.5 <td>common</td> <td>Stock;</td> <td>27,548,3</td> <td>146 shs.</td> <td></td> <td>Í</td> <td></td>	common	Stock;	27,548,3	146 shs.		Í																
URLENT POSITION2004200565006633,705,1188,705,678,784,725,634,633,635,641 Dives to het Pari655CMALL tain A 580 communities, 52, 77,3227,71,6.6BUSINESS: Northwest Natural Gas Ca. detributes satural gas at postal to 500 customer, No Despa (50% of Storga. Rev. branzed.ux; totage. Rev. branzed.ux			1.1 bindo	n (Mid Ca	tb)	Ļ	12.7%	11.0%	6.6%	9.9%	10.9%	10.2%	£5%	9.0%	5.9%	9. 9 %	10.0%	10.5%	Return on	Com Equ	tty	14.5%
Bard Assets5.27.16.5Business251.3316.5101.5Inter Assets251.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7322.7Inter Assets252.7Inter Assets252.7Inter Assets252.7Inter Assets252.	URREN	POST	NON :	2004	2005 6	30/06																
Intert Assets237.7323.7198.1corp Payable102.5135.376.8bit Dule117.5134.755.3bit Dule117.5134.755.3bit Due117.5134.755.3bit Due17.5134.755.3bit Due17.5134.755.3bit 24 mt27.5132.771.05bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.5102.5102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.5102.5102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.6102.5bit 24 mt27.527.727.6bit 24 mt27.527.66113bit 24 mt27.527.7bit 24 mt27.527.7bit 24 mt27.5105.7bit 24 mt27.527.6bit 24 mt27.527.7bit 24 mt27.5bit 25 mt27.6bit 25 mt27.6bit 25 mt27.6bit 25 mt27.6bit 26 mt27.6bit 27 mt27.6bit	ash Ass	.) iəts	-	5.2	7.1	6.6	BUSINE	SS: Nor	hwest Na	atural Ga	s Co. d	strbutes	natural (as at	Pipaline	system 1	o bring	gas 10 m	narket. Ov	vna local	augeta	bnuox
Chi Parable Mar102.5135.376.8 153.7Fordiand and Eugene, OR Vancouver, WA Service ana popula- dard 2000 min 2007dava owns 6.2% of stares; instant, 1% (406 pony) CEC: tars 24 Mar 24 Auge owns 6.2% of stares; instant, 1% (406 pony) CEC: tars 24 Mar 24 Auge owns 6.2% of stares; instant, 1% (406 pony) CEC: tars 24 Mar 24 Auge owns 6.2% of stares; instant, 1% (406 pony) CEC: tars 24 Mar 24 Auge owns 6.2% of stares; instant, 1% (406 pony) CEC: tars 256 7.7% tars 16% 340% MMrTorthwest Natural's second-quarter earnings turned out a bit better than earnings turned out a bit better than than average and 12% warmer than last year's. The company's share of a share in the June period, and profits bit 302.71 for 51.71	unent A		2	37.1 3	23.7	198,1																
Internet Leb.267.3326.5216.1Internet Cold products, the inspection requiresOr state in the 324 Products, the inspection requiresChp. Cov.316%340%Morth west Natural's second-quarterEarnings in 2007 will likely benefitNucl. RATES PastPast Est 02-05earnings turned out a bit better than expected, despite weather that was 16%efforts. Northwest has begun to imple- earnings turned out a bit better than expected, despite weather that was 16%Nucl. RATES PastPast Est 02-0525%25%North west 1.5%5.5%7.0%North west 1.5%5.5%7.0%North west 1.6%1.0%1.0%At 004 NITER REPERSE (Smill)FullAt 014 NITSFullAt 024 171.0136217.3At 245102.7707.6North west 1135705.7North west 114At 245.1102.7North west 1161.1North west 1101North west 1101North west 1101North west 1101North west 1101North west 1101North west 1102.7North west 1102.7North west 1102.7North west 1102.7North west 1102.7North west 2102.7North west 2102.7North west 2102.7North west 2102.7North west 2102.7North west 2102.7North west 2102.7 <td>abt Due</td> <td>rable</td> <td>1</td> <td>17.5 1</td> <td>34.7</td> <td>76.8 85.3</td> <td>Portland</td> <td>and Eu</td> <td>gene, OR</td> <td>; Vancos</td> <td>iver WA</td> <td>L Service</td> <td>e anea po</td> <td>opula-</td> <td>clays own</td> <td>is 6.2% o</td> <td>of shares</td> <td>mebiani ;</td> <td>s, 1% (4/0</td> <td>брлоху).</td> <td>CEO:</td> <td></td>	abt Due	rable	1	17.5 1	34.7	76.8 85.3	Portland	and Eu	gene, OR	; Vancos	iver WA	L Service	e anea po	opula-	clays own	is 6.2% o	of shares	mebiani ;	s, 1% (4/0	брлоху).	CEO:	
NUAL RATES have(warsh)Past is'nEst'u u-os to 2011earnings turned out a bit better than a type (warsh)from new efficiency and cost-cutting efforts. Northwest has begun to imple- ment a companywide plan to reduce costs than last year's. The company's share of than last year's. The company's share of to and profid and profid and a difficiency and cost-cutting datizing functions, and outsourcing some a share in the June period, and profid thave risen 2% without increased bad debt to 3020 fig. 101. 175 692. 2113 6113 3020 fig. 101. 175 692. 2113 6113 sour period source and mainte- transt size for an ance expenses were up 3% but would workforce reduction of 200 to 250 employ- have risen 2% without increased bad debt to static pate roughly normal earn- ings growth over the balance of the year. Northwest Natural increased its should boost earnings through 2006 and to do speave doing better than the national economy is should boost earnings through 2006 and to f. do doing better than the national economy is should boost earnings through 2006 and to f. doing better than the nation as a whole, doing better than the nation as a whole, doing better than the nation as a whole, doing better than the national economy is should boost earnings through 2006 and to f. doing better than the nation as a whole, doing better than the fourth quarter.from new effici		iab.	2	67.3 3	26,6	53.0 215.1	en and	U.S. pn	ducers;	hes tran	sportatio	n rights	on Nort	hwest								
harge(grsh)19 Yr. venues5 Yr. to 19 Yr.6 Yr. to 19 Yr.6 Yr. to 19 Yr.6 Yr. to 19 Yr. to 19 Yr.expected, despite weather that was 16% warmer than average and 12% warmer than last years. The company's share of to and profitsefforts. Northwest has begun to imple- ment a companywide plan to reduce costs ment a companywide plan to reduce costs a share in the June period, and profits a share of thigher gas prices. Northwest's earnings will probably wor faster than its industry's, thanks to above-average customer growth. And the customers as old oil tanks need replacing. The area to the southeast of Portland will some bezoned for higher density, permit- ting profitable installation of gas mains and significant customer growth. And the customers as old oil tanks need replacing. The second portunity to invest at a second half of the year, and severance tors will have an opportunity to invest at a source star will probably add up to anound \$0.041.450.345.345.345.345																						
ash Flow"1.5%2.5%<	change (pa	ersh)	10 Yrs.	5 Yrs.	io '09	11 19Z	expe	cted,	despit	e wea	ather	that	was 1	6%	effort	s. No	rthwe	st ha	as beg	un te	o imp	ole-
idends to Value1.0% to Value1.0% to Value1.0% to Value1.0% to Marcel N law4.0% to Marcel N law4.0% 4.0% to Marcel N law4.0% 	ash Flo	w"	1.5%	6 2.5%	4.	<u>%</u>																
AL OULARTERY REVENUES § mill Full	vidende	e	1.09	6 1.09 6 3.59	% 4.0 % 3.1	<u>w</u>	comm	odity	cost s	avings	s addo	ed abo	ut \$0	.03 (dardiz	ing fu	unction	ns, an	id outs	sourci	ng 50	me
and watch Juncal Septem DecayDecayrearadditional S0.02. Operations and mainte- completely and will probably result in a workforce reduction of 200 to 250 employ- workforce reduction of 200 to 250 employ- 				ENNES (\$ 1	niil)	Full 1	from :	inters	tate g	as sto	rage (contril	buted	an p								
44 245 1927 814 2220 7076 have risen 2% without increased bad debt ess, some by normal attrition. 55 3027 1537 105.7 341.4 9105 7 375 125 140 350 1057 105.7	_					Year	additi	onal	\$0.02.	Oper	ations	s and	main	te- c								
1630417101531712<	104 25	4.5	09.7	81.4 2	262.0 7	07.6 1	have :	risen	2% wi	thout	iñcre	ased		ebt e	es, so	me by	norn	nal ati	trition		-	-
133 133 143 344 344 1029 <td>06 39</td> <td>0.4 1</td> <td>71.0</td> <td>130 3</td> <td>33.6 10</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>l ear</td> <td>л-е</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	06 39	0.4 1	71.0	130 3	33.6 10	25							l ear	л-е								
arMar.31Jun.30Sep.30Dec.31Year YearCustomer count by 3.3% in the 12 monthsSon be zoned for higher density, permit- ting profitable installation of gas mains and significant customer growth. And the and significant customer say of the provided in the intermediation of gas mains and significant customer growth. And the and significant customer growth. And the and significant customer say of the provided into the inter second in the intermediation.51.44.04.03.97.222 definitely slowing. Portland seems to be doing better than the nation as a whole, with little decline in new home construc- tion. (Northwest's share of new home heat- toon. (Northwest's share of 90%.) But the company at their recent quotation. Although we like Northwest's prospects, we think invest- second half of the year, and severance tors will have an opportunity to invest at a size .325 a star .345a.345.345.345.345	<u>ยเ</u> รา: ปะ					<u> </u>	ings growth over the balance of the to above-average customer growth													h.		
 1.11 b23 .43 1.76 ended in June, and the new accounts ting profitable installation of gas mains 4 1.24 (doing be should boost earnings through 2006 and and significant customer growth. And the company serves less than 60% of its marked shares in the fourth quarter. 1.44 .04 d31 .94 1.11 2007. While the national economy is company serves less than 60% of its marked shares have customers as old oil tarks need replacing. These neutrally ranked shares have below-average total return potential at 1.31 Jun.29 Ser.30 Dec.31 Year ton. (Northwest's share of new home heat- below-average total return potential is over 90%.) But the company at their recent quotation. Although we is 2.325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .335 1.32 rosts will prohably add up to around \$0.04 better price. a share in the fourth quarter. 	tar Ma	c31 J	un 30 S	њр.30 D	ec.31	Year c	uston	aer co	unt b	y 3.3%	6 in t	he 12	mont	ths s	oon b	e zone	ed for	· high	er der	nsity:	perm	it-
5 1.44 0.4 0.41 9.41 2.11 2007. While the national economy is company serves less than 60% of its mar- for 1.65 0.5	D4 1.	24	d.03	d.30	.95	1 <i>8</i> 6 s								uts t	ing pi	rotitat	ole in	stalla	tion c	of gas	mai	ДS
7 1.65 05 0.30 1.00 2.40 continuery showing, F on hand seems to be ket at present, anowing F on pick the pi				d.31	.94	211 2	:007.	Whil	e the	e nat	ional	ີະເວກ	omy	is c	ompar	ty ser	ves le	ss th	an 609	% of i	ts ma	2r-
 COMPREAT PRIVENTS PAR 5 and 5	<u> 77 1</u> .	55	.05	d.39	1.10	240	definitely slowing, Portland seems to be ket at present, allowing it to pick up n															
2 315 315 315 125 ing fiel is over 90%.) But the company at their recent quotation. Although we have no portunity to invest at a share in the fourth quarter. 3 315 315 325 127 plans to lay off 50 to 100 employees in the like Northwest's prospects, we think investance to rs will have an opportunity to invest at a share in the fourth quarter. 345 345 345 345 132						Full Vi	with little decline in new home construc- These neutrally ranked shares ha												; haī	ve		
315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 127 plans to lay off 50 to 100 employees in the like Northwest's prospects, we think inves- .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .345 .12 costs will probably add up to around \$0.04 better price. .345 .345 .345 .345 .12 costs will probably add up to around \$0.04 better price.	2 .3	15 .:	315	315	315	1.26 11	ng fu	el is	over	90%.)	But	the c	ompa	ny a	t the	r rec	ent a	juota	tion.	n poi Althoi	ienn igh v	vel
325 325 325 325 345 345 345 132 costs will probably add up to around \$0.04 better price. a share in the fourth quarter. Sigourney B. Romaine September 15, 2006	13 .31 14 .32	5	315 325	.315 .325	325 325	(27 p	lans i	to lay	off 50	to 10)0 em	ployes	s in t	he li	ke No	rthwe	st's p	rospec	ts, we	think	; inve	s-
a share in the fourth dual let. Signative September 15, 2006	5 .32	5.	325 .	.325 .3	345	1.32 CC	osts v	rill pr	obably	r add	up to			D4 b	etter g	wice.						1
										•	arter.	<u></u>		S	igour	1ey B.					5, 20	06

Al Dânde earnings por share. Excludes non-net with gain: 'B, St 15, 'D, St 11, 'Net - Div'd reinvestment plen available. Bin'd reinvestment plen available. C) In millions, adjusted for stock spin. B) Dividends historically paid in mid-february, C) In millions, adjusted for stock spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin. C) In millions, adjusted for spin.

Schedule FJH-27 Page 9 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 7 of 10 (Update)

<u>re</u> l	<u>opl</u>	<u>ES E</u>	NE					RECENT	41.4			9 / Req	ing: 23.9 an: 14.0)	RELATIN PE RATI 46.0	45.5			3%			, De
		6 Susp. 7/		Kigh Low:	Z4.	0 37.4 3 29.6	39.6		40.3	46.9	44.6	40.4 27.8	45.3 34.9	38.5	34.3	43.9 34.9	ł		2009	t Price 2016	3 12(
SAFET		Z Lowered			ENDE 1.0 x Divit	ieniis p sh Interest Ra	. L		1											<u>† </u>	
		-6 Sasp.7/ I=(Marikel)	2106	Options	Retaine P	rice Strengt	۰		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	┼───				+				
		ROJECTIC		57,300	<u>d anus me</u>	ficales recel	, _													<u> </u>	
1	Price	Gain	nn'i Tota Return]	in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	Lum	a terretate	يبيننان ا	1	hanhad	1	1., (¹⁴¹ , 14,)	, լեր _{ոս} ,	^{երե} ս հեր	and o			• • • • • •		-
Hoph Low	40 30	(:5%) (-5%)	2%	111	لتسمع	in the state			•	14		- 44									1
Inskiel		slons		1	+		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		****	· · · · · · · · ·		— —				1	+			\pm
ta Bary	0 1 D 0 0 0	1 F N 0 0 0 0 0 0	000			1		Ţ	1		1						[<u>[</u>	+
ia Seli	000	000									<u> </u>							5,10	' T. Retur	UN 8/05	L
institut	tional (2005	Decisio: 1000i	15 20206		1 47	1	l			1			i							VL ARITH MDEX	٠L
10 Bury 10 Gail	92 62	78	83 88	Percer shares traded	3 a				<u>h.</u>				E Hillita	nintiit				1 yr. 3 yr.	8.1 22.0	7.1 49.4	E
Hde(00) 1990	21830	24457	25674	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998 I	(1999) 1999	2000	2001	2002	2002	2004	2005	2006	12007	Syr.	38.9 CUNE PU	70.4 1 BJC	109-
35.£3	33.69		36.09	36.70	29.60		36.34	32.28	33.66	40.16	64.13	41.81	56.28	59.99	66.13	76.90	76.75				E
3.74	3.73	3.67	3.85	3.99	3.68	4.98	4.92	4.44	4.74	5.58	5.84	5.59	5.68	5.32	5.31	635	4.50	"Cash Fi	ow" per a	sh	1
2.07	2.05 1.71	2.06	2.11 1.78	2.13	1.78		2.81 1.87	2.25	2.39	2.71	3.16	280 207	2.68 2.12	2.18 2.15	2.26 2.18	1.25 2.18	1,50 2,18	Earnings Divids Di			
3.16	3.10	3.40	3.77	2.50	2.75	2.45	2.55	4.05	6.45	7.02	7.52	5.66	5.1D	5.02	4.27	1,95	6.90	Cap'l Spi	anding pe	ir sh	7
16.61	15.95	17.72	16.0Z 34.88	18.39	18.38	19.49 34.96	20.43	21.03	21.66	22.02	22.76	22.74	23.11	23.06	20.97	11.60 311.00	18.40	Book Val			11
11.2	11.8	13.1	15.0	13.3	14.7	10.7	12.7	16.2	15.5	12.1	123	13.3	13.4	19.1	18.9	Bold fig	rres are	Avg Ann'			1
.53	.75	.79	.89		.98		.73	.B4	.8B	.79	.63	.73	.76	1.01	1.01 5.1%	Vetur: es fim		Relative I			1 6.
7.1%	7.0%	6.5%	5.6%	6.3%	6,9%	5,7%	5.2% 1274.4	5,2% 1138.1	5.3% 1194.4	6.1% 1417.5	5,2% 2270.2	5,5% 1482.5	5,5% 2138.4	2260.2	2599.6	3000	3:150	Avg Ann' Revenue:			а. З.
otal Dei	bt \$106	5.0 mil, Di	xe in 5 Y	ns 5546.		1034	98,4	79,4	84.8	96.1	111.7	59.3	103.9	B1.6	85.2	50.0	60.0	Net Profil	t (\$m]) []		8
.T Døbt S Total Inte		nat. El Verzge; 1.		t \$60.0 m	10	37.6% 8.6%	35.4%	36.2%	35.9% 7.1%	34.1% 6.8%	35.4% 4.9%	34.2%	36.3%	31.7%	34.2%	35.0% 1.6%		lacome Ta Nat Profil			35. 2-
ension .	Assets	-9/05 2520).4 mIL			43.6%	7.7%	7.0%	40.4%	35.1%	44.4%	40.7%	46.7%	50.E%	52.85	51.9%		Long-Terr		ilo	55.3
				nlig. \$641	.7 mil	56.4%	57.5%	58.9%	53.6%	64.9%	55.6%	59.3%	53.3%		47.2%	46.1%		Commun			41.
id Stock	k None					1208.3 1381.1	1243.5 1402.2	1258.D 1446.7	1290,5 1519,8	1196.7 1645.3	1449.8 1753.9	1360.3 1773.9	1592.3 1636.2		1695.7 1847.3	1550 2150		Total Cap Net Plant		'	15 25
						10.3%	9.5%	7.8%	B.0%	9.5%	9.3%	8.4%	8.1%	6.0%	6.6%	4.5%	6.5%	Return on	Total Ca		7.0
ommon = of 7/31		38,471,44	1 shz.			15.2% 15.2%	13.7% 13.7%	10.7%	11.0%	12.4%	13.9%	12.3%	12.3%		10.8% 10.8%	6.5% 6.5%		Return on Return on			120
ARKET	CAP: 5	f.5 billiot				5.9%	4.7%	1.7%	2.1%	3.4%	5.0%	3.3%	3.4%	2%	5%	HRF	RAF	Relained t	o Com E	9 J	N
URRENT	1				130/08	61%	66%	84%	81%	73%	64%	73%	73%	97%	95% [170%		All Div'ds			101
ash Ass ther	æts	53	1.3 8	43.5 155.1	157.0 715.1						stribules & Cote							id revenu Deprecia			
Unter <u>531.3 893.1 (75.1</u>) is utility subsidiaries, Peoples Gas Light & Cole Co. (approx. 77% of gas revenues in fiscal '05. Depreciation rate: 35% E Gurrent Assets 552.4 898.6 872.1 (bit distances and Direct Marchington M															ector						
Acets Payable 144.7 236.2 198.5 in Chicago and northeastern Minois. Fiscal 2005 gas distribution own 1.5% of common (1/05 Proxy). Chunn. and CEC: Tho Debr Dua 65.6 8.1 177.1; revenues: 51.7 billion: residential, 77%; commercial, 13%; industri- Patrick Inc. IL Address; 130 E. Randolph Dr., Chicago, IL 60															60£0						
her		_ 334	5 <u>.8 6</u>	57.4	525.9	_															
Current Liab. 538.1 901.7 895.8 Shares of Peoples Energy have in- the combined company. The board of direct for the combined company. The board of direct for the combined company is a second by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise nine members selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprese selected by almost 12% since our last tors will comprise																					
inual F tange (ps		Past 19 Yrs	Past 5 Yrs.			repor	t, fol	lowing	g the	Juiy	annou	nceme	ent b	y Wi	'S Ra	sour	ces a	nd se			
venues ash Fici		6.0%	12.09 2.09	% S.	0% M						reeme as una		ith s	electe Veans	d by l vhile	People	es En mies	ergy. repo	rted	sub	021
mings idends		2.0% 2.0% 2.0%	-	- N	MFI	ly app	provec	i by i	the bo	ards	of dir	ectors	ofr	esult	s for	• the	e th	ree-ma	onth	per:	ioc
ok Valu	0		2.0%		NII MF	both People												evenue			
	NUARTER 30.31 B	LY REVEN lar 31 in	<i>UES (\$ mi</i> in.30 S	aL)A Sen 30	Fu0 Fiscal Year	.825 s	bares	of WI	PS Res	SOUTCE	s stoc	k. Usi	ng w	reathe	r res	ulted	in 1	ower	delive	ries	for
03 54	9.2 9	03.8 34		87.3 22	138,4	the re this w	cent o ould i	losing	in an	of W	PS Re	sourc	es, ti bue π					segn d dep			
				27.2 2	260.2	of \$41	.32 1	per sl	hare f	for Pe	eoples	Ener	gy p	ense a	also 1	linder	red th	ie boti	tom B	ne. 🕽	Γhe
2006 (52.4 1180.0 40.4 35.7 300) stock. The acquisition, which will most share loss was \$0.32 for the se																					
2007 #100 1225 425 400 3150 Intely occur in the first calendar quarter of quarter. In addition, the company Freed EARWINGS FER SWREAB Full Terrylatory approvals Upon shareholder and lowered its share-net guidance for fi														n fis	cal						
Year Dec.31 Mar.31 Jun.30 Sep.30 Fiscal regulatory approvais. Upon completion, year 2006. We now expect share earning a characteristic state and the second state of t														ngs							
$\frac{2403}{100}$. 57 $\frac{177}{122}$. $\frac{122}{104}$ $\frac{12283}{1228}$ of the new company. If 45% from the prior year.																					
2004 k_5 146 15 $d27$ $f^22.18$ of the new company. If 45% from the prior year. 2005 k_5 146 136 $d27$ $f^22.18$ The combined company will have With a dividend yield of 5.3%, the second se														biş							
$\frac{1005}{2007}$ $\frac{33}{.95}$ $\frac{1.12}{.020}$ $\frac{1.22}{.040}$ about \$9.2 billion in assets. It will oper-stock may appeal to income-oriente $\frac{1005}{.95}$ $\frac{1.12}{.020}$ $\frac{1.40}{.040}$ $\frac{1.25}{.020}$ at antural gas and electric utilities in accounts. The current quotation of PC														GL							
2007 95 1.15 d.20 d.40 1.50 ate natural gas and electric utilities in accounts. The current quotation of PG														ces							
	Cal- QUARTERY DOUBLESS PAD 5- Full nesota. The new company will likely pay a will likely pay for the company. Moreove														uy pa the de	y for al fai	the c I thm	unpar	iy. Mo 'eonia	s En	er, er-
i- QL ar Mar,	interior sector sector becar in an anterior dividend of approving table \$7.66 should the dool foll through Decetor From														5.						
i- QL ar Mar,		2 5	2 .		2003 1.32 52 32 1.29 per share, the same payout Peoples Ener- gy shares could decline significantly. On 2004 1.35 53 53 54 212 gy shareholders currently receive (factor- PGL's own, appreciation potential to late 2005 1.4 54 54 54 1.54 1.16 gy shareholders currently receive (factor- PGL's own, appreciation potential to late 2005 1.4 1.54													211			
i- QL ar Mar,		2535 3545	234	53 54 54	2.12	y sha	reholo	lers o	urren	tly re	ceive	(facto	r- P(GL's o vade 4	wn, a	appres	ciatio:	n pote	ntial	to la	te
- QL ar Mar 2 .51 3 .53 4 .54 5 .54		22 5 33 5 34 5 35 5 35 5	2 .5 3 .5 45 .5	53 1 54 1 545 1	2.12 2.16 2.18	y sha ng in 1 EO L	rehold he ex arry	iers o chang Weyei	arren 3e rati 7s will	tly re io). W	ceive PS Re	(facto soure	r-P(es de	GL's o cade i <i>lichael</i>	s sub	par.	ciatio:	n pote Septer			
- QL ar Mar 2 .51 3 .53 4 .54 5 .54 5 .54 5 .54 5 .54	1 . 3 . 4 . 15 . 5	2 5 3 5 4 5 45 5 45 5 5 6 pL 30th set share.	1 <u>0</u>	53 1 54 1 545 1	2.12 2.16 2.18	gy sha ng in 1	rehold he ex arry	iers o chang Weyei	arren 3e rati 7s will	tly re io). W I take	ceive PS Re	(facto soure heim	r-Po es de of M	cade i <i>lichael</i>	s sub	par. a <i>poll</i>			nber .	1 <i>5, 2</i> 1 B	006

Economing game (csss), Co, Sole J, Nett
 [1] inclusions subjects A calculation
 [2] inclusions
 [2] incl

Schedule FJH-27 Page 10 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 8 of 10 (Update)

PIEDMONT NATL, NYSE-PHY RECENT 25.29 PE 18.9 (THING: 12.2) PERATIO 1.11 (ND 3.9% AND THE PRICE READER 19.0 19.0 22.0 24.5 25.8 25.2 Target Price Range																					
	ress	4 Raised 1	273/15	High: Low:	12.4 9.1	12.9 10.3	18.2 11.0	18.1 13.9	18.3 14.3	19.7 11.8	19.0 14.6	19.0 13.7	22.0 16.6	24,3 19,2	25.B 21.3	26.2 23.2			Target 2009	Price 2010	2011
SAFETI TECHN		Z New 7/2 2 Raised 9			NOS 40 z Divide aldes by In	nás p sh izrest Rate															60 60
BETA A	10 (t.00	= Market) ROJECTI		2-toi-1 sp 2-for-1 sp	40 z Divide zitesi by In elative Price 20 4/93 28 11/04	s zeenga	F							- 21	". 1						40
	Price	Gain	Retan	Siyaded	No orea indica I	les rects									11,11 ^{,11} ,11 ^{,1}	100 T					-30 -25 -20
High Low Inside		(+60%) (+20%)_	15% 8%						ringuy	le ^{ntere}	11-11-11	, t ¹¹ 1917	118 ⁸	1-11+11							15
is Bare -	0 N I 10 24 11) J F H	0 0 0			11, ¹¹ .	- PIT	and the second second		10 ¹⁰	 										-10
		0 0 0 0 0 1 1 Decisio	101						1	·		1,		,	1			% 10	STRCK	VLANTH.	
to Barr	40200 76	5 102006 5 86		Percent	6 -													1ут. Зут. Бут.	10.4 51.6 97.3	7.1 49.4 70.4	-
654 Ref 00 1990	30419 1991	31060		1120ed	2.6 - 1995	1996	(fiblid)) 1997	1998	1999 1999	2000	2001	2002	2005	2004	2005	2005	2807	OYALU	ELINEPU es per sh		09-11 31,10
9.42 _97	8.31 .7(2 8.91	10.57 1.14	10.52 1.13	8.76 1.25	11 <i>5</i> 9 1.49	12.84 1.62	12.45	10.97 1.70	13.01 1.77	17.06 1.81	12.57 1.81	18,14 2.04	19.95 2.31	22.95	26.00 2.50 1,30	265	"Cash F	kow" per i s per sh	ah	3.20
.61 .42	.44	1 .70	.73	.5B .51	.73 .54	.64 .57	.93 .61	.98 .64	.93 .66	1.01 .72	1.01 .76	.95 .80	1.15 .62	1,27	1.32	.96	1,00	Dir'de l	leci'd per anding p	sh նա	1.17 2.20
1.62 4.58	1.3 4.83	1.41	1.58	1.95 5.68	1.72 5.18	1.64 6.53	1.52 6.95	1,48 7,45	1.58 7,85	1.65 8.26	1.23 8.63	1.21 8.91	1.16 9.36	1.65 11.15	2.50 11.53 76.70	2.65 10.85 75.00		Book Va	nue per al n Shs Out	h P	12.75
42.67	49.40	5 51.59		53.15 15.7	57.67 13.8	59.10 13.9	60.39 13.6	61.48 16.3	62.59 17.7	63.83 14.3	64.93 16.7	66,18 18.4	67.31 16.7	76.67	17.9	Bold fig Value	entes que	Avg Ani	P/E Roll	la j	19.0 1.25
.84 6.0%	1.04 6.0%	.75	.91	1.03 4,8%	.92 5,4%	.187 4.9%	,78 4.8%	.85 4.0%	1.01 4.1%	.93 5.0%	.85 4.5%	1.91 4.6%	95 4,4%	.88 4.1%	.95 3,8%	esān	a)=3	Avg An	'i Div'd Y	laid 🛛	1.5% 2400
CAPITA	L STRI	JCTURE : 2.0 mil. 1	as of 4/30	06		685.1 48.6	775.5 55.2	765.3 60.3	665.5 58.2	830.4 64.0	1107.9 65.5	632.0 62.2	1220.8 74.4	1529.7 95.2	1761.1 101.3	1250 100	105	Net Pro			130
17 Debi	5625.0) mai i ned: 4.5x;	.T interes	n \$40.0 n	ן שומ	38.9% 7.1%	39.1% 7.1%	39.2% 7.9%	39.7% 6.5%	34.7% 7.7%	34.5% 5.9%	33.1% 7.5%	34,8% 6,1%	35.15 6.2%	33.7% 5.8%	35.0% £1%	51%		it Hergin		36.0% 5.3%
4.5x)					:	50.3% 49.7%	47.5% 52.4%	44.7% 55.3%	46.2% 53.6%	46.15 53.9%	47.6% 52.4%	43.9% 56.1%	42_2% 57.8%	43.6% 55.4%	41.4% 58.6%	41.5% 56.5%	57,5%	Comma	rm Daht F n Equity F	tatio	42.0%
H6U2101	a Assei	s-10)05 \$	01	blig. \$236	3.6 ភាជ	777.1 857.0	500.8 941.7	829.3 990.6	914.7 1047.0	978.4 1072.0	1089.4 1114.7	1051.6 1158.5	1090.2 1812.3	1514.9 1849.8	1509.2 1939.1	1440 2040	1470 2170	Net Plan			1600
Pfd Sio						8.2% 12.6%	8.9% 13.1%	8.2% 13.2%	8.1% 11.8%	8.3% 12.1%	7.9% 11.7%	7.8% 10.6%	8.6% 11.8%	7.8% \$1.1%	8.2% 11.5%	8.5% 12.0%	8.5% 12.5%	Return (on Total C on Shr. Eq	utty	9.0% 13.0%
as of 60	2/06	k 75,277,1 : \$1.9 billi) Caol		12.6%	13.1% 4.6%	13.2%	11.8% 3.3%	12.1%	11.7% 3.0%	10.6%	11.8% 3.1%	11.1% 3.7%	11.5% 3.6%	12.0%	12.5% 4.0%	Retaine	to Com Ei to Com	Eq	13.0% 4.5%
CURRE (SHI	NT POL		2004	2015	4/30/05	63%	65%	65%	72%	71%	75% anv is s	83% ปกลณีง เ	74%	66% 8.7 yaa	66% rs. Non-i	72% regulated	70% operatio	ne• sale	of gas-p	owared	67% heating
Cash Assets 5.7 7.1 20.3 Booliness: reaction intervention for the serving over 990,000 customers in equipment, natural gas brokering; propane sales. Has a Other 328.5 497.8 431.7 Lated natural ges distributor, serving over 990,000 customers in equipment; natural gas brokering; propane sales, Has a Company Assets 335.7 504.9 452.0 North Compins, Strain Campins, entry Tennessee, 2005 revenue mic employees. Officers & directors own less than 1% of camping and Tennessee.														ies ando I commu	n Lioch						
Acets P Debt Di	Autom Poseds 93.6 182.8 73.7 residential (33%), commercial (24%), industrial (13%), other (24%). (106 proxy), CEO & Preadent Thomas E. Skains, Inc. 1 Acts Payable 93.6 182.8 73.7 residential (33%), commercial (24%), industrial (13%), other (24%). (106 proxy), CEO & Preadent Thomas E. Skains, Inc. 1 Det Due 103.5 193.5 287.9 Principal suppliers; Transco and Tennessee Pipeline. Gas costs: 1615 Revision Read, P.O. Bax 33068 Charlotte, NC 262													~ 2023	3. Tele-						
Current	Current Lab. 3052 528.6 483.7 (Annual Carbon Laboration Control Labora															nual					
HC CAG, COV. SIGN WOM CALL Flemment retrieved that we had anticipated, cost savings beginning in 2007. ANNUAR RATES Fast Fast Est's 35-55 share loss than we had anticipated, cost savings beginning in 2007.														erati	ions						
Revenues 7.5% 11.0% 5.5% was impacted by reduced margins due to will likely represent a greater "Cash Flow" 7.0% 5.5% 6.0% rate design changes, and costs associated centage of future profits. Over the														r me	nrst						
Book Value 6.5% 6.5% J.0% inconcertain. In July, Plenmont and North Unburget earlings of acass interest														cn is							
Fiscal QUARTERY REVENES (F mail A For Carolina's Attorney General office reached nearly 20% above the year-ago per Fiscal Jan 31 Anr.30 Jul 31 Oc.31 (Fiscal a settlement on its customer utilization Even though regulated operations r														nake							
2003 4835 407.6 140.1 1794 1220.5 tracker rate mechanism, which decouples up most of regulated operations such as C 2004 618.3 482.4 214.7 2133 1523.7 the collection of utility margin from cus-regulated operations such as C														Card	inal						
2005 680.5 503.0 232.9 339.6 1761.1 tomer volume. This plan is favorable for Pipeline, Fine Needle, and Souther 2006 127.4 483.2 237.9 307.5 1950 both customers, who will benefit by the ergy provide an added boost to the 4														ne com	mpa-						
2007 1875 565 375 345 2000 more efficient use of natural gas, and Pied- Try's bottom mile, we expect invest Fiscal EARCHAS PER SUBE AREF Full, mont shareholders, who will not suffer the continue to pursue strategic invest													estm	ents							
2003	West Jan 31 Apr. 30 Jul 31 Oct 31 Year negative consequences of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by to diversity its earnings science of conservation by the conservation by													ock	is						
2004 2005 2005	1,03 .93 .94	.54 .52 .57	d.11 d.05 d.16	d.21 ර.07 උ.03	1.27 1.32 1.30	กนอปั	v öve	r the :	next f	ew ye	ars to ms, ir	ward	cus-	suita	Бle ted i	for	CODS	erva Piedo	tive cont of	inco fers :	a re-
2007	.98	.57 57 IERLY UN	d.0 6	d.09	1.49	to the	e \$50	0.000	it ha	d alm	ady (OLLIN	rrea	spect	able (livide Avera	nd yi ne Sa	eld at detv i	: 3.9% renk (and 2). M	nas lore-
Cal- endar	<u>Har.31</u>	Jun.30	Sep.30	Dec 31	Full <u>Year</u> .79	Testri	1251127	ne	involv	'ed '	offerii t-leve	ng e	ariy	over,	the	compi	any s molv	nould	l bene olio a	wav i	from
2002 2003	. 193 . 20 . 203	.20 .208 .215	.20 .208 .215	,20 ,208 ,215	.79 .82 .85	pot 3	nd wi	ili eve	ntuall	v inc	iude c to s	ther 1	0051-	the C with	ulf C Midw	oast I esteri	egion n Gas	Tran	ign ag Ismiss	ion (ients
2004 2005 2006	.208 .215 .23	.215 .23 .24	.23 .24	.23	.91	busin	jess p	TOCESS	ses ar	d ing	prove shou	CDLDO	rate	рапу	and H I. Bla	Iardy	Stora	ige CC	mpan tembe	у.	
		nds Octob Igs. Excl				lividends July, Oc	historical				26 0 milis	m Saich	ane.			Cor	ckis Pric	e Stabili	l Strongt ty	h	B++ 100
5) 오헨(R) 11, 84, 85	xci nor	Butting	charge: 's	a/, ∠¢	- In the	'd reinves waates d	el pian a elemed o	hattes.	¥ 10/31/i). II	E) in mil F) Ouart shange in slabte and	: \$112125	Dunsteingi	ng.		Ean	e Growt nings Pr	edictabil	ity		75 80

10 - 2005, value line Publishing, Inc. All thirds meaned. Focuse material is obtained from sources balaned to be reliable and is provided without warantes of any blat.
 10 - 2005, value line Publishing, Inc. All thirds meaned. Focuse materials obtained from sources balaned to be reliable and is provided without warantes of any blat.
 10 - 2005, value line Publishing, Inc. All thirds meaned. Focuse materials obtained from sources balaned to be reliable and is provided without warantes of any blat.
 10 - 2015, value line Publishing, Inc. All thirds meaned by the reliable material is any printed, electronic to other hum, or used is generaling or mataling any printed or electronic publication, service or publish.

Schedule FJH-27 Page 11 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 9 of 10 (Update)

NUCL TION 2011 FOR UNIT CONTENT AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	WC			NGS		19721		RI Pi	CENT	30.7	7 PE RATIO	5 14 /	4 (Tralito Redi	ar (17.1 11: (5.0)	relative Pie ratio	0.8		4.5	%						
HETT 1 basket 1 basket <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>High:</td><td>22.4</td><td>25.0 19.1</td><td>31.4</td><td>30.8</td><td>29,4 21.0</td><td>31.6 21.8</td><td>30.5 25-3</td><td>29.5 19.3</td><td>28.8 23.2</td><td>31,4 26,7</td><td>34.8 28.8</td><td>31.5 27.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>Target 2009</td><td>2010</td><td>2011</td></th<>					High:	22.4	25.0 19.1	31.4	30.8	29,4 21.0	31.6 21.8	30.5 25-3	29.5 19.3	28.8 23.2	31,4 26,7	34.8 28.8	31.5 27.0			Target 2009	2010	2011			
Bit Bit Bit Head Description Description <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<>	SAFETY		_		1000	RDG 30 x Divide rided by In	undis p sti narest Rate															160			
Construction Construction<	BETA A	D (1,00) = Markel)		2-04-1 SP	No Des																40			
Bit Control Co	1	rice	An Gain	nn'i Total Return			<u> </u>		ing and	ATTER PERSON	h <u>hattan</u>	<u>60 1000</u>		1.11 1.11	FF FLEIPIN ¹⁶	,					<u> </u>	+25 +20			
Bits Display Display <thdisplay< th=""> <thdisplay< th=""> <thdisp< td=""><td>Inside</td><td>Dec</td><td>sions</td><td></td><td>4.1111 Ji</td><td>in the</td><td>9-1600 </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> <u> </u></td><td></td><td> </td><td>1</td></thdisp<></thdisplay<></thdisplay<>	Inside	Dec	sions		4.1111 Ji	in the	9-1600 												<u> </u>			1			
List List <thlist< th=""> List List <thl< td=""><td>to Bay</td><td>0 N 1 0 0 1</td><td>D J F M D D D D</td><td>0 0 0 1</td><td></td><td> <u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>30 131 -</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>%T0</td><td>L RETUR</td><td>1 2N 8/06</td><td>-7.5</td></thl<></thlist<>	to Bay	0 N 1 0 0 1	D J F M D D D D	0 0 0 1		<u> </u>						30 131 -							%T0	L RETUR	1 2N 8/06	-7.5			
come come <th< td=""><td>to Sel</td><td>b D i lional</td><td>Decision</td><td>000 15</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>L</td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>785 STUCK -1.2</td><td>VLARDIAL NUEX 7.1</td><td>F</td></th<>	to Sel	b D i lional	Decision	000 15										L						785 STUCK -1.2	VLARDIAL NUEX 7.1	F			
Andrew 2009 TOPI (1991) TOPI (1991) <thtopi (1991)<="" th=""> <thtopi (1991)<="" th=""></thtopi></thtopi>	យមិហ្ ២ខិមា	A DZM Bi	s 122461 8 70	73 73 78	shares	6.			nitial	udiai	iliiinn							0007	Зут. 5 ут.	44.8	70.4	19.11			
61.75 11.75 12.81 2.28 2.29 2.20 3.24 2.25 4.20 3.27 2.27 1.28 1.11 1.21	Bai(99) 1990		1 1992	1993	1994	÷	(1996	1997	1990	1999	2000	2001	2002	2063	2004 42.93	44.94	51.80	55.40	Revenu	s per sh	٨	61.5			
128 114 127 114 127 128 127 128 128 127 128 128 127 128 <td>2.17</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>4 2.17</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>2.43</td> <td>2.51</td> <td>2.93</td> <td>3.02</td> <td>2,79</td> <td>2.74</td> <td>3.20</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.30</td> <td>1.98</td> <td>2,11</td> <td>1.85</td> <td>1,95</td> <td>Earning</td> <td>s per sh</td> <td>8</td> <td>2.3</td>	2.17	2.9	4 2.17	2.25	2.43	2.51	2.93	3.02	2,79	2.74	3.20			2.30	1.98	2,11	1.85	1,95	Earning	s per sh	8	2.3			
238 238 238 238 238 138 1427 153 <td>1.01</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>5 1.07</td> <td>1.09</td> <td>1.11</td> <td>1.12</td> <td>1.14</td> <td>1.17</td> <td>1,20</td> <td>1.22</td> <td></td> <td>2.58</td> <td>334</td> <td>2.65</td> <td>2.33</td> <td>2.32</td> <td>3.40</td> <td>2,30</td> <td>Cap'l Sp</td> <td>ending p</td> <td>er sh</td> <td>4.0 21.1</td>	1.01	1.0	5 1.07	1.09	1.11	1.12	1.14	1.17	1,20	1.22		2.58	334	2.65	2.33	2.32	3.40	2,30	Cap'l Sp	ending p	er sh	4.0 21.1			
38.23 38.26 48.26 48.27 113 12 113 12 113 12 113 12 113 12 113 12 113 12 113 12 113 12 113 12 113	10,17	9.6	3 10.65	11.04	11.51	11.95	12.79	13.48	13.66			48,54	48,56	48.63	48.57	48.65	46.70	48.70	Commo	n She Du	tet g 🖻	48.8			
$ \frac{6 \text{ sc}}{2 \text{ cm}^{2}} \left[\frac{2 \text{ cm}}{2 \text{ cm}^{2}} \left[\frac{5 \text{ cm}}{2$	11.7	12.	B 13.6	15.6	34.0	12.7	11.5	12.7		<u>9</u> 9	.55	.75	1.26	53	.35	.7B	Value	Line	Relative	PIE Rati	0	.9 4.35			
CAPTICL STRUCTURE main is SYM SECION ALL TEL / Internet Structure Termed TEL /	6.9%	7.2	6.2%	5.3%	5.6%		5.4%						15B4.B	2064.2	2089.5	2186.3			Revenu	es (\$mill)		300 11			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Total D	espi	26.8 mä. 1 8 mil 1	Due in 5 I Tintare	Yna \$520 at \$40.0	111111	B1.8	\$2.0	58.6			39.65	34.0%	36.9%	35.2%	37.4%	38.0%	38.0%	Income	Tax Rate		30.02			
Parallon Alasters-Units Set 2 and Period Durk Stand BASK E 22.5% [57.1% [55.1% [5	(LT inte 4.2x)	iest ea	med: 4.67	ictal inter	nest cove	13 96 :	8.A%	7.8%			43.1%	41.7%	45.7%	43.8%	40.9%	39.5%	39.0%	32.0%	Long-Te	m Debt	Relio	39.07 59.6			
11305 1217.1 11302 1220 1235					blig. \$69 By'd \$1.3	1.2 mã. 3 mãl	59,4%	56.2%		1218.5	1299.2	1400.8	1462.5	1454.9	1443.6	1478.1	1515	1575	Total Ca	pital (\$m		17k 25			
a of 73106 133%	Ссялли	n Stol					1138.5		8.0%	7,1%	7.5%	7.9%	5.3%	9.1%	8.2%	8.5%	10%	60%	Return (on Total C		6.5) 10.5			
MARKET CAP: f1.5 billion (Nid Cap) 6.68 5.18 2.28 1.08 1.03	BE OF 7/	31/06						13.7%	11.1%	9.9%	11.7%	11.2%	7.2%	14.0%	11.7%	12.0%	10.0%	10.0%	Return (Retaine	en Com E d to Com	eulty Eg	11.0			
Cash Rasels 6.6 4.8 25.1 Hubbles ind rank gas distributes With a maining and diverse (102/98 Cash regulated so, speema Ansiema Cannun (nome some (102/98 Common and speema Ansiema Cannun (nome some (102/98 Control system, Ansiema Cannun (nome some (102/98 Control system, Ansiema Cannun (nome some (102/98 Control system, Ansiema Cannun (nome some (102/98 Control system, Ansiema Cannun (nome some some some some some some some s	CURRE	NT PC				6/30/06	62%	53%	76%	B2%	69%	67%	1125	55%	65%	62%	74%	durte in	the D.C.	metro a	rea: Wi	64 ash. Ga			
Charter Assets 42:29 44:10 19:24 Actics Payable 170:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 24:34 172:0 172:0 24:34 172:0 <td>(SNI Casin A Othar</td> <td>u.) scela</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>454.3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Energy</td> <td>Sys. di</td> <td>ខ្លះព្នៃព្រទ/ជាទ</td> <td>talis coll Contruct</td> <td>nninea Inv orvi</td> <td>ang, ver 193% o</td> <td>iokanangi É coisión</td> <td>un sige</td>	(SNI Casin A Othar	u.) scela				454.3									Energy	Sys. di	ខ្លះព្នៃព្រទ/ជាទ	talis coll Contruct	nninea Inv orvi	ang, ver 193% o	iokanangi É coisión	un sige			
Commet Lab.412.5411.4485.2Fue, Chg, Cov.449%460%450%15%Fue, Chg, Cov.449%460%450%15%Fue, Chg, Cov.449%460%450%15%Fue, Chg, Cov.51%50%15%15%Haran are net loss of \$0.01, which excluded the share net loss of \$0.01, which excluded the combustion Industries subsidiary, sig- maintenance expanse, utility customer maintenance expanse, utility customer maintenance expanse, utility customer maintenance expanse, utility customer tion the year-ago period, to \$61 million thanks to higher gross margins from the sale of natural gas and electricity. This sale of natural gas and electricity. This sale of natural gas and electricity. This tool 1603 1010 161 (105 6135 120) tool 161 (11 615 6135 120) tool 161 (11 615 6136 121)Fuel tool 1621 (11 617 622 11 610 621 120 (11 610 621 12	Accts F	'ayabi	R 1	179.0	204.9	542.4 172.4 145.0	maters). Hamp	shire (63 Ios.darai	s, a lean ta farilit	enady neg v lo W	V. Non-	egulated	subs.;	Off/dia	, jess tha m i D C	01 1% (14 and VA	35 proxy Addr.: 11), Camul 100 H St	N.W., 1	Nashing	ion, D.			
Fix Chag Cov. 449% 450% </td <td>Other</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>77.6 412.9 -</td> <td>411.4</td> <td>465.2</td> <td>Wash.</td> <td>Gas En</td> <td>enny Sva</td> <td>s. seks i</td> <td>aso den</td> <td>Agia nau</td> <td>18 पुरुष</td> <td>100 p</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Gilly r</td> <td>ecove'</td> <td>red th</td> <td>rough</td> <td>а га</td> <td>ite ir</td>	Other			77.6 412.9 -	411.4	465.2	Wash.	Gas En	enny Sva	s. seks i	aso den	Agia nau	18 पुरुष	100 p			Gilly r	ecove'	red th	rough	а га	ite ir			
Ravenues 7.5% 14.5% 6.0% share net loss of \$0.01, which excluded in the recently sold American subsidiary, sig- The Flow of the recently sold American subsidiary, sig- The Flow of the recently sold American subsidiary, sig- projects out to 2010. WGL expects to b Book Value 4.0% 3.0% 3.0% The results from the recently sold American subsidiary, sig- projects out to 2010. WGL expects to b Book Value 4.0% 3.0% 3.0% The results were driven by lower operation and internance at the anintenance expense, utility customer The results were driven by lower operation and its LNG storage factors and other leg growth, and improved performance at the complete due to zoning and other leg growth, and improved performance at the complete form the year-ago period, to \$6.1 The results are the recently doubled for a sproval, two years later than previous proval, two years later than previous from the year-ago period, to \$6.1 S0.0 S0.0 WGL will explore the approval is granted WGL will explore the opportunities to meet its peak drive approval is granted WGL will explore the opportunities to meet its peak drive approval is granted WGL will explore the approval is granted WGL will explore the approval is granted WGL will explore the opportunities to meet is customers. 2007 950 1010 4.16 4.16 4.16 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10	ANNUA	L RAT	ES Past	Pa	st Esl'	d '03-'05	the	sea	sonai	iy v i Turn	vear. • 30ri	h). It	renor	ted a	crea:	se, w	hich :0 16.4	is pr	opaole e boos	st to e	amir	JES.			
FirstQUARTERLY NEWHUES & MELLAFullresults were driven by lower operation andinter than previousYeadDes.31Mar.31Jun.30Sen.30Fullresults were driven by lower operation andity in late 2000proval, two years later than previous2001SS0.0BS1.1373.2279.9DSA<2proval, two years later than previousproval, two years later than previous2004SS0.5SE2.2255.9252.2208.5retail energy-marketing business. In fact, buy the 2011-2012 winter. However, unt2005S00.01070.43803592700thanks to bigher gross margins from the2005S00.01070.33603592700thanks to bigher gross margins from the2006Dec.31Mar.31Jun.39Sen.30Fullsale of natural gas and electricity. This20051011616.056.351.56thould help push nonutility earnings toThese shares are best suited for conserve is customers.2005.811626.024.371.58thould help push nonutility earnings toand sat 4.5%, about the industry aver2005.811666.036.12Ling traceThese sharesThe energy market is customer2005.951.46d.15d.25treases. One will scon be with the VirThe prove cust associated with the PrinceThe sector's Safety rank is2006.911.16d.01d.21LSmed for the Ma	Revan	192	7.6 5.0	W 14.	EPL.	6.0%	shar	e net	loss c	of 50.0 hei tei)1. WD centlv	ucn e sold	Ame	rican											
Fiscal Custometry Provided Price Fiscal Control of the provided performance at the provide performance at the performance at the provide performance at the performance at the performance at the performance performance at the performance at the performance at the performance at the performance performance performance performance at the performance performance performance performance performance performance performance performance	Divider	ids	14	7 1. 5% 1.	.5% .0%	2.0% 3.5%	Con	busti ootly	on li shee	nousu d of l	nes : ast ve	ar's i	igure.	, The	gin			10711 18 me	ndine	reéu	lator	y aj			
2003 550.0 651.1 373.2 279.9 2054.2 growth, and improved period, to serve is the complete server, unthe segment nearly doubled by the 2011-2012 winter. However, unthe 2015 challengest, and scheduled to be complete server, unthe 2011-2012 winter. However, unthe 2015 challengest, and scheduled to be complete server, unthe 2011-2012 winter. However, unthe 2015 2005 523.4 9228 340.0 284.1	Fiscal Year	QUA Dec.3	RTERLY RE	VEHUES (Jun.30	\$ <u>m81</u>) ^ Sep.30	Full Fisca Year	resu	lts we	ste cit:	iven o synen:	iy 10w se. ⊔	er ope Hility	cust	omer	prov	al, ti	vo ye diduo	ars i	ater	nan and (nther	lega			
2005 6023.4 9249 643.0 294.1 2403.7 924.0 2404.1 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 924.0 2403.7 110 151.0 64.05 2.300.7 sale of natural gas and electricity. This requirements to serve its customers. The dividend yie about \$0.21 a share this year, with addition of the mary and about \$0.21 a share this year, with addition of the mary and about \$0.21 a share this year, with addition of the mary and \$100.0 sate of a state Corporation Commission, and ingron Gas to add about \$25,000-30,000.7 sate of a state Corporation Commission, and ingron Gas to add about \$25,000-30,000.7 new utility customers annually, thanks to commission next spring. The primary and reads is no state 200.43.13.33.333.333.33 127 need for the Maryland rate increase is to state, which is not well ranked for per stock, which is not well ranked for per stock. Which is not well ranked for per stock. Which is not well ranked for per stock. Which is not well ranked for per stock. Which is not well ranked for per stock. Which is not well ranked for per stock. Which is not well ranked for per 2003.32.32.32.32.32.32.32.32.32	2003 2004	550 585	D 851.1 3 862.2	373.2 356.9	279.9 285,2	2054. 2069.	입 문 다	vth, a Stans	nd in	iprove iarket	ing b	usine	s, In	fact.	chal	lenges	; and	schei 12 wi	juled	Howe	sver.	unt			
2007 350 2007 500 2007 500 1000 500 10000 1000 1000 <t< td=""><td>2005 2006</td><td>623. 909.</td><td>.4 929.8 .3 1070.4</td><td>346.9</td><td>293.4</td><td>2620</td><td>from</td><td>i the</td><td>year- bich</td><td>ago pi</td><td>eriou, ass m</td><td>arein</td><td>s fron</td><td>n the</td><td>appi</td><td>roval</td><td>is gr</td><td colspan="7">s granted WGL will explo-</td></t<>	2005 2006	623. 909.	.4 929.8 .3 1070.4	346.9	293.4	2620	from	i the	year- bich	ago pi	eriou, ass m	arein	s fron	n the	appi	roval	is gr	s granted WGL will explo-							
2003 1.10 1.61 6.05 6.35 2.20 a share this year, with addi- stands at 4.5%, above the industry ave- stands at 4.5%, above the industry ape, while the stock's Safety rank is ape, while the stock's Safety rank is ape, while the stock's Safety rank is appinia State Corporation Commission, and ington Cas to add about 25.000-3,000, 2007 .95 1.46 difference of the Maryland Public Service andar Mar31 Jun30 Sen30 Dec31 Year 2002 .315 .318 .318 .318 127 need for the Maryland rate increase is the new home construction expected in is service areas over the next 20 years. The prine range only inches up over time. 2004 .325 .333 .333 .333 .122 Interprint on the for income. But i price range only inches up over time. 2004 .325 .333 .333 .333 .122 Net complete in scheduled to be completed in 2005 as 2.325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .326 .306 . 2006 as 3.33 .333 .333 .333 .222 Net complete safy Nov. (D) incudes defened charges and Intanghies (D) indicate stock spit. Company's Financial Strength Stock after Sability (D) Stock after Sability (D)	Fiscal Vear		ARMINES P	ER SHAR	AB	Ful	sale	of D dd b	atura No pr	i gas ush n	ana ituna	litv e	amin	gs to	requ	ireme	ents to	ts to serve its tustomers.							
2005 30 130 4.17 4.23 2.11 WGL expects to file a pair of rate Vir- 2006 91 4.16 4.17 4.23 2.11 KGL expects to file a pair of rate Vir- 2007 6.85 1.46 4.15 4.25 1.55 ginia State Corporation Commission, and another with the Maryland Public Service and Mar31 1.07 1.08 treases. One will scon ington Gas to add about 25.00030.00 2017 .85 1.46 4.15 4.25 1.55 ginia State Corporation Commission, and another with the Maryland Public Service the new home construction expected in 1 ington Gas to add about 25.00030.00 2002 .316 .318 .318 1.27 need for the Maryland rate increase is to service areas over the next 20 years. Th service areas over the next 20 years. Th 2003 .32 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .325 .333 .333 .333 .333 .333 .333 .333 .333 .333 .22 The project is scheduled to be completed in price range only inches up over time. .2008 at a \$144 million price tag. If this Evan I. Blatter September 15, 2.6 2004 .333 .330 .338 .2008 <	Ends 2003	1.1	0 1.61	d,05	1.35	2.3) abou	at \$0.	Zia	snare	i tins Rkeiv	year, i in 20	07.	aam.	sers	/ative	3 111VE	4 5% above the industry aver							
2007 95 1.4 d15 d25 1.53 pinia State Corporation Commission, and ingent using the new utility customers annually, thanks to another with the Maryland Public Service ingent using the new utility customers annually, thanks to another with the Maryland Public Service ingent using the new to construction expected in the maryland rate increase is the new home construction expected in the new home construction expected in the new home construction expected in the maryland rate increase is the new home construction expected in the new home constructin expected in the new home construction expected in th	2005	1 2	1.63	6.17	623 621	21	WG	Lex	Dects One	to Du ທາໃໂສ	ea con b	paur (be wit	in the			(the second states)	Inn	Long term, we look for wash							
And J Mar. 31 Jun. 30 Sen. 30 Dec. 31 Year Commission next spring. Ine pining. Ine pining. Ine pining. Service areas over the next 20 years. The pining. 2002 .315 .318 .318 .127 need for the Maryland rate increase is to service areas over the next 20 years. The pining. Service areas over the next 20 years. The pining. 2002 .316 .32 .32 .32 .32 .32 .32 .32 .32 .32 .32 .33 .333 </td <td>2007</td> <td>2, 1</td> <td>15 1.40</td> <td><u>d 15</u></td> <td><u>d.25</u></td> <td>1.9</td> <td>4 gini</td> <td>a Sta</td> <td>te Co etti ti</td> <td>rporat he Ma</td> <td>tion (Trylan</td> <td>id Pul</td> <td>ission bic Se</td> <td>, and</td> <td>new</td> <td></td> <td colspan="8">ome construction expected in it</td>	2007	2, 1	15 1.40	<u>d 15</u>	<u>d.25</u>	1.9	4 gini	a Sta	te Co etti ti	rporat he Ma	tion (Trylan	id Pul	ission bic Se	, and	new		ome construction expected in it								
2021 318 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 33	endar	Mar.	31 Jun.30	Sep.30	<u></u> 2ec_2'	1 Yeau 1.27	Con	nmissi	on n the b	ext s forvia	spring and ra	, in ite ind	e pu rease	is to	SELV	ice ar L	eas o' ach is	ch is not well ranked for per							
2004 323 333 <td colspan="14">2002 315 318 318 318 12 recover costs associated with the Prince stock, which is not wall failed in 2002 318 32 32 32 128 recover costs associated with the Prince stock, which is hot wall failed in 2002 318 32 32 325 130 George's County rehabilitation program. formance is dependable for income.</td> <td>time.</td> <td><u>лаг т</u></td>	2002 315 318 318 318 12 recover costs associated with the Prince stock, which is not wall failed in 2002 318 32 32 32 128 recover costs associated with the Prince stock, which is hot wall failed in 2002 318 32 32 325 130 George's County rehabilitation program. formance is dependable for income.														time.	<u>лаг т</u>									
A) Fiscal years and Sept. 30th. Next earnings report due santy Nov. (C) Dividends historically paid early February (Dr. S150.0 mailon, S3.08kh. Stock's Price Stability (C) Dividends historically paid early February (Dr. S150.0 mailon, S3.08kh. Price Stability Price Growth Persistence Family Price Growth Persistence F	2004	.323	5 .333	.333 .338	,333		200	8 at :	a \$14	4 mu	nou i	jrice	ыв. т	1 11112	Eva	n I. B	latter		30	premi	261 1.	1			
	(A) Fisc (B) Bas	i year ed on	s end Sept divied sh	L 30th. Iares, Ex	idudes, r	non- (C	al earning Divident	is report is histori	due easty cally pair	/ Nov. 1 early P = Divide	ebruary, and rein-	(05: 51	50.0 milli	ph, 53.08	isn,		St Pr	ocks Pr jce Grov	ice Stabi wih Persi	stence		100 70 60			

Tecuring Jossee: '01, (134), '02, (344); discon-Máy, August, and November. = Dividend feintinued operations: '06, (34) • zots, when the publicity, inc. AD right reserved. Frank frame is obtained for survey by the publicity is advected without waterings of any kind. • zots, when the publicity, inc. AD right reserved. Frank frame is advected from survey by the publicity is advected in the publicity is advected in the publicity is advected in the publicity is advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of a publicity of a publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of a publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of a publicity of a public in the publicity of advected in the publicity of advected in the publicity of a public in the publicity of a public in the publicity of a public in the public int
Schedule FJH-27 Page 12 of 12

Schedule FJH-12 Page 10 of 10 (Update)

Balance Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""> <th< th=""><th>SO</th><th></th><th>FRN</th><th>IN</th><th><u>ON</u> "</th><th>VSF.s</th><th>#IG</th><th>R</th><th>ecent Rice</th><th>27.4</th><th>A PE RATI</th><th>o 14.</th><th>2 (Tralli Nedi</th><th>ng: 162) 10: 27.0)</th><th>RELATIVE P/E RATI</th><th>0.8</th><th></th><th>1.5</th><th>%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<></thdescription<></thdescription<>	SO		FRN	IN	<u>ON</u> "	VSF.s	#IG	R	ecent Rice	27.4	A PE RATI	o 14.	2 (Tralli Nedi	ng: 162) 10: 27.0)	RELATIVE P/E RATI	0.8		1.5	%			
PETV 3 me3000 The Ending and the second part of the second part second part second part of the second part of the second part s	_				High:	8.6		12.3	18.4	184	23.2	21.9		17.0	23.8 16.1	26.3 20.8	27.8 22.8			Targel 2009	Price 2010	Rang 201
CONDUCT 2 Easing The State and About method Control of the State and About method CONDUCT 2 State and About method State and About method State and About method CONDUCT 2 State and About method State and About method State and About method State and About About and About method State and About method State and About method State and About method State and About About and About and About and About About and About and About About and About and About About and About About and About About About and About Abou					LEGE	âD <i>i</i> r			10.9	1.3,1					ļ						<u> </u>	+120
Phile Boll Phile B			-		I 10	15 1 C25	t Flow op s ze Strength	* -					<u> </u>									-80
Construction Construction<					3-for-2 sp 4-ior-3 sp	a 194						[<u> </u>				- 64
Prime Prim Prime Prime <thp< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>ALC: N</td><td>JNS DNS</td><td></td><td>res</td><td>ales (ecesa</td><td>_{йм} </td><td> -</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thp<>			ALC: N	JNS DNS		res	ales (ecesa	_{йм}	 -													
International process in the second		Price	Gain	Ream	SATRIDEC								<u> </u>									Ł
No. 0 No. 0 <th< td=""><td>iigh .u₩</td><td>50 { 35 {</td><td>+80%) +30%)</td><td>18% 8%</td><td></td><td><u>-</u></td><td>ļ</td><td><u> </u></td><td>ļ</td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td>ուսերդ</td><td>ut f</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-L20</td></th<>	iigh .u₩	50 { 35 {	+80%) +30%)	18% 8%		<u>-</u>	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ			<u> </u>				ուսերդ	ut f					- L 20
Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit		r Decis	lons		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				to Platin		U pH ₁		- HA	mrld							1
Stitutional Decisions	Boy	010	002	6 O D					<u> 바</u>	• •	gitter .			h <u>n</u>	·						<u> </u>	
Statistical pressions Statistical pressions	ndiana Sell	000	011	101	. /			allanta.	*			<u> </u>	11	<u> </u>					\$10	7365	VLARITH	-8
type type <th< td=""><td>nstiiu</td><td>Isnoit</td><td>Decision</td><td>202006</td><td></td><td></td><td><u>ר</u></td><td></td><td>3-fa/-2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ĽΨ</td><td>11 2 1 2</td><td></td><td></td><td>11%</td><td></td><td>HDEX 7.1</td><td>F</td></th<>	nstiiu	Isnoit	Decision	202006			<u>ר</u>		3-fa/-2						ĽΨ	11 2 1 2			11%		HDEX 7.1	F
Addie State Addie Tops: Tops: <th< td=""><td>in Buy</td><td>117</td><td>118</td><td>107</td><td>shares</td><td>₿ -</td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>hthint</td><td>単山</td><td></td><td></td><td>1 177</td><td>827</td><td>49.4 70.4</td><td>F</td></th<>	in Buy	117	118	107	shares	₿ -	 								hthint	単山			1 177	827	49.4 70.4	F
Byol 1991 1992 1993 1994 1994 1995 1994 1995 1994 1997 1994 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997	१२ हम्प्री सिद्धम्(१९००)	100 83590	82728	88439	1				-	السيبنا	Shhunei	inadal	00000					2007	OVALUE			09-1
7,42 7,44 7,22 5.6 5.7 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.24 <	1990						_						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_						22
dia dia <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.29</td> <td>2.77</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.20</td> <td>-Cash F</td> <td>kow" par 1</td> <td>ih Le</td> <td>3.</td>														1.29	2.77			3.20	-Cash F	kow" par 1	ih Le	3.
<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_31</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>19</td> <td>.56</td> <td>r</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.55</td> <td>Divide B</td> <td>s per sir . Iecfd Der</td> <td>sho</td> <td></td>									_31			19	.56	r				1.55	Divide B	s per sir . Iecfd Der	sho	
1/5 1/6 <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>F</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>191</td> <td>147</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.70</td> <td>Cap'l Sp</td> <td>anding p</td> <td>ersh</td> <td>1</td>				F					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			191	147					1.70	Cap'l Sp	anding p	ersh	1
2.5.7 2.5.7 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>12,74</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Book Va</td><td>luo per si</td><td>μ<u>Ε</u> 1.11- C</td><td></td></th<>															12,74				Book Va	luo per si	μ <u>Ε</u> 1.11- C	
1 121																						1
1. 2.19 1.23 1.41 1.42 1.82 1.80 1.11 1.41 1.41 1.41 1.42 1.83 1.42 1.83 1.42 1.41 1.42 1.83 1.42 1.41 1.42	**		20.2										-				Value	Lice				£
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	••	2.19	1.23	,94	1.33	.84	LBS	1.22	1.95	NMC		19854	,				ट्या ग्र	ates	Avg Ann	r'i Divid Y	ieid	1.1
And Part Stock 10 and Thun is SYm strong mathematical transmission of the stock 10 and Thunson 10 and 10							E20.4	717.0	669.3	605.2	831.7	1932.8	1290.6	1188.5	1800.D	2019.4					^	
The best Size2, rm & 1, rm and rm and size for the mean structure of the second phase	otal D	ebt \$394	19.9 mill C) um in 5	rs \$1200					\$0.4	11.1											
Are 1.0.1 44% of Capit 12% </td <td>5 Deb</td> <td>191522.</td> <td>7റെല് പ</td> <td>.T intense</td> <td>ដ S110 តា</td> <td>Ð, 1</td> <td></td> <td>ļ</td> <td>10,</td>	5 Deb	191522.	7റെല് പ	.T intense	ដ S110 តា	Ð, 1															ļ	10,
 Ausser, Uncapitalised: Annual remains 21.7 mil. Annual remains 21.7 mil.			a sanca.	24271 1042														52.0%	Long-Ter	nn Debt F		43.
mation Associa-1205 5281 and a 7512	-		ilaliand: A	annal rei										35.0%								
Add Stock 5200 mill Phil DV-G STG mill CHAS Construction Construction <thconstruction< th=""></thconstruction<>	ensio	n Assura	-12/05 \$7	298.3 m 🏽				753.5	803.2												սի լ	4
(fix of Gap) (5.78 (17.78 </td <td>HA 51</td> <td>nek 523(</td> <td>) ៣ឆ្នាំ</td> <td></td> <td>ap'i</td> <td></td>	HA 51	nek 523() ៣ ឆ្នាំ																		ap'i	
ormine fiber 11205 Joint 178 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(6% 0</td><td>(Cap1)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9.0%</td><td>10.5%</td><td>11.5%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					(6% 0	(Cap1)									9.0%	10.5%	11.5%					
ARKET CAP: S31 Million (Mid Cap) 13% 17% 18% 10% 10% 10% 10% 27% ARE Drives to Methods 27% 27% 27%<	comma is of Ø	on Stoci 4405	(112,061,	201 619.				7.1%	4.1%	3.5%												
United Procession200416.932.9416.937.91	ARKE	T CAP:				000100	14.3%	7.1%	4,1%	35%	1.5%		5.3%	t								
 Bash Aseries 1010 4027 2015 2012 2017 2155 regulated and computation and computation and computations along and computations along and computations along and computations along and computations along and computations along and computations along and computations along	URRE	rt pos LL)							tham 11	vion Co. r	10000 30	L .	es esset	in the	Diebard	son Ene	ny Sarvi	ices 3/0t	5; Panha	adle Ene	ngy 6/0	3. Si
ther view of the second part laws is the second part of the second part of the second part laws is the second part of the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws is the second part laws increase that included a weath increase. The second part laws is the second part laws increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase that included a weath increase increase increase that included a weath increase increase increase that included a weath increase increase increase that included a weath increase increase increase that included a weath increase incre	ash A Receivi	ussats ables	1	81.9	42B.7	239.5	enculari	k hore lo	+nreniil#	eri natun	8 035 0	ncusity i	antis D	nenanty –	Obodo I	nlond na	aestions '	2/DS+ PG	Fremy	1105. CW	15 2070	men
urrent Assets455.7923.81905.9att Papabe122.220652207att Papabe122.220052007ther270.6470.0652.4att Papabe122.3740.0652.4UNUAL RATESFastFastFastFastNUAL RATESFastFastFastFastSouthernUnionformationformationformationUNUAL RATESFastFastFastFastSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnion125.220082008SouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnion125.220082008SouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnion125.220082008SouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformationSouthernUnionformationformationformation	nyemo	sry (Avg	(Cst) 2	00.3 : 53.5	295.7 182.6	216.9 1413.3	engage	d in the	transpor	tation, sto no metric	naga, S wiki co	nd tixin) womencia	kabical of 1 and in	රුන්ත්ත් අපේක්ත්ත්	stock (3	/06 61021	vi. Chain	nan. Pre	5.6	J; Georgi	ᇦᆫᇉᄢ	191.144
Internation Fins Fins Find to the second part of the bank of t	Lument		- 4	557	923.8	1906.9	custom	ans thro	ugh its	Missour	1 (382	{495,630), no	cnedit	ica. De	erware.	Addt. 4	117 1.80	cewanna	Avenue,	acrant	001
Internation Fins Fins Find to the second part of the bank of t	Debt Di	'ayabiə Uə	1	21.0	546.6	24272																
NNUAL RATES Past Past End 103/16 Past End 103/16 company into a higher-growth/return the Trunkline Field Zone Expansion natural gas company. Recently acquired the includes adding 510 million cut matural gas company. Recently acquired the includes adding 510 million cut matural gas company. Recently acquired the includes adding 510 million cut matural gas company. Recently acquired the includes adding 510 million cut matural gas company. Recently acquired the includes adding 510 million cut mices adding 510 million cut mices and louisiana. The company e pacts to file the project with the Feder midstream transaction Southern Union used a \$1.9 billion bridge loan. The company of the third quarter and, if approval used a \$1.9 billion bridge loan. The company frame, the project is scheduled to be sale of its Pennsylvania and Rhode Island there are added to a sale first Pannsylvania and Rhode Island service by late 2007. The project is expendented to the expense more than doubled year over year the service by late 2007. The project is methed to be accompany for the loan. Southern's interest lion, and contribute \$30 million to \$35 million \$35 million to \$35 million to \$35 millio)ther Surrant	f lah		70.6 13.9 1	470,0 223.1	3162.4	Sout	hern	Uni	on ci	ntin	ues i	its to	ans- ility	casti	ເຮ-ເມຊາ ວອ ດບ	t to]	late d	lecade	, Thi	s inc	iud
returns for solution in the second phase of the second phase of the reasons Southern like second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the second phase of the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the second phase of the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the second phase of the second phase of the reasons Southern Bit in the second phase of the second ph					st Estid		LOLU	ιατιοι σασγ	into	abi	gher	grow	th/re	turn	fbe	Truni	kline	Fieb	d Zo	ne t	тра	1210
All Form32.3715.2612.0%Widerdig10.5%5.0%12.0%Intervent10.5%5.0%Ext Value10.5%5.0%Ext Value10.5%5.0%Intervent10.5%5.0%Inter	dang	e (por sh)	10 Yrs.	5¥0 ∞ 3/	s 197 1% 30	19 'll 1.5%	natu	iral g	as co	mpar	iy. Re	ecentl	y acqu	nired	which	ind	udes	addir	ng bli city ti	o its	non syste	m
al- dar Sen30 Dec31 Mar31 Jun30 Year Not 2314 5071 778 Z859 180024 Fault Year Not 2314 5071 778 Z859 180024 Fault Year Not 2314 Soft 778 Z859 180024 Fault Year Sele of its Pennsylvania and Rhode Island distribution assets to pay down the loan. of the third quarter and if approval granted, the project is expect distribution assets to pay down the loan. Mar31 Jun30 Sep30 Dec31 Mar31 J	Cash I	Flow	9.65	12 (10%	mids	tream	lasse'	ts con d tave		ted ea STTD n	rning if \$18	s be- mil-	Tevas	ോറ	1.01	isiana	1. LD	е соп	pany	у е
al- dar Sen30 Dec31 Mar31 Jun30 Year Not 2314 5071 778 Z859 180024 Fault Year Not 2314 5071 778 Z859 180024 Fault Year Not 2314 Soft 778 Z859 180024 Fault Year Sele of its Pennsylvania and Rhode Island distribution assets to pay down the loan. of the third quarter and if approval granted, the project is expect distribution assets to pay down the loan. Mar31 Jun30 Sep30 Dec31 Mar31 J	ividen	ds	-	••	57 1	ŇÆ	lion	durini	z the .	June j	period	1, 10 t	inanc	a me	nects	to fi	le the	e prof	ect w	ith th	ie Fe	der
dar Sep.20 Dec31 Mar31 Juni20 Year ry will use the proceeds from the recent granted, the project is scheduled to De 104 2314 507.1 774.5 285.9 1860.0 sale of its Pennsylvania and Rhode Island service by late 2007. The project is expend distribution assets to pay down the loan. service by late 2007. The project is expend distribution assets to pay down the loan. service by late 2007. The project is expend distribution assets to pay down the loan. 105 76.6 305.2 255.0 691.7 2014.4 As a result of the loan. Southern's interest the company's distribution assets to pay down the loan. lion toward EBIT upon completion. 106 60 707 2205 right of the company has entered into various the company's distribution segmen the company has entered into various was one of the reasons Southern filed for through 2007. 104 0.05 52 10 13 55 155 105 52 1.19 53 1.56 1.56 1.66 107 500 23 1.75 5.75 1.66 1.76 108 52 1.0 1.3 1.56 1.56 1.56 108 52 1.3 1.56 1.56 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>mids</td> <td>tream</td> <td>trau</td> <td>nsactii</td> <td>on S</td> <td>outhe</td> <td>rn L</td> <td>nıon</td> <td>Ener</td> <td>gy Ke</td> <td>guiato eri ori</td> <td>nry u varter</td> <td>and.</td> <td>if a</td> <td>yy un Dibriov</td> <td>ai ai</td>							mids	tream	trau	nsactii	on S	outhe	rn L	nıon	Ener	gy Ke	guiato eri ori	nry u varter	and.	if a	yy un Dibriov	ai ai
104 231.4 507.1 774.5 285.9 1800.0 Mar.31 Jun.30 Sep.30 Dec.31 distribution assets to pay down the loan. ed to cost about \$150 million to \$160 million	Xel- Idar (GUAR Sep.30	Dec.31	nanazə (Ş Mar.31	Jun 30	Filli Yeàn	USed	a\$1. n11	ទ ហាព	UN DIL DIDIDI	age n age n	ban. I from	the re	icent	orant	ed. ti	ne Dro	siect i	is sch	eduled	i to I	De
Mar.31 Jun.30 Sep.30 Dec.31 5600 6017 20194distribution assets to pay down the loan. But to cost about 31.50 million to \$35 mi	104	231.4	507.1	774.5	285.9		sale	of its	Pann	svlvar	บ่ล อา	nd Kn	ode 19	land	Servie	e bv	late 2	2007.	The p	roject	15 ex	pec
106 547.2 552.4 330 724.2 2450 107 500 601 370 770 2250 1. EXANNES FERSKARE*** Fall is \$63 million in the second quarter. But The company's distribution segment 1. EXANNES FERSKARE*** Fall hedging strategies, which should help prover than an entered into various posted disappointing results, driven the disappointis driven the disappointing results, driven the dincreas		Mar.31	Jan 30	Sap.30		20194	distr	ihutia	n ass	ets to	pav	down	the	ioan,	ed to	cost and ~	about	: \$150 ute \$	30 mil	on co Dion t	o \$35	m
Unit poor 612 510 10^{-1} 10	006			330	726A	2150								VDOT	Hon t	mrand	IERT	נסמיני ר	0.000	nletio	n.	
at. EARNAM FRANCE of State of the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, the company has entered into Various posted charappointing results, there is a first function of the case of the reasons Southern filed for rate increase that included a weath included in ment still has much rooms for growth. No. 52 21 19 53 1.55 No. 52 21 19 53 1.55 No. 50 23 1.75 1.55 1.55 <td>007</td> <td>600</td> <td></td> <td>nei</td>	007	600																				nei
With 0.5 A3 0.5 0.7 1.24 text overall earnings and the likelihood of dividend increases. $War = 0.05, War = 0.05, W$	241- İ	EAR Sec 10	NHOS PER Dec 34	SHARE A			the	compa	any l	nas e	ntere bich s	d int bould	o va: Ibein	nous	warm	er-tha	sappu an-noi	mai	temo	eratu	res.	Th
Har31 Jun30 Sep.31 DEC.31intrough 2007.rate increase that included a weakN552101353N65221.9.53N7.9023.15N8.9023.17Star.15.15N8.90.17Star.15.15N8.90.17Star.15.15N8.90.17Star.15N8.16.15N8.11.15N9.17.15N9.17.15N9.17.15N9.17.1514.11N1.1514.11N1.1515.1516.1517.1518.1519.1519.1510.1510.1510.1011.1515.1516.1017.1518.1019.1010.1010.1510.1011.1511.1512.1613.1614.1015.1516.1017.1518.1019.1519.1519.1519.15<	004						neag tert	overs	rategi all e	amin	gs a	nd a	ash	flow	wasu		TTC T	Cashir	ອີມບິນແຄ		1000	
A COARTERY ONDERS 78.0 ² Fell completed the second phase of the rates hopefully in place by January, 2007. Completed the second phase of the rates hopefully in place by January, 2007. Trunkline LNG expansion, which is ex- This stock is top-ranked for Timel pected to contribute \$15 million to \$20 ness. Also, total return potential is about million in annual EBIT. Southerm has average, due to a solid earnings outloor several additional growth projects in the and the likelihood of dividend increases. MS to the several additional growth projects in the and the likelihood of dividend increases.	1	Mar.31	Jun.30	Sep.31	Dec.31																	
A COARTERY ONDERS 78.0 ² Fell completed the second phase of the rates hopefully in place by January, 2007. Completed the second phase of the rates hopefully in place by January, 2007. Trunkline LNG expansion, which is ex- This stock is top-ranked for Timel pected to contribute \$15 million to \$20 ness. Also, total return potential is about million in annual EBIT. Southerm has average, due to a solid earnings outloor several additional growth projects in the and the likelihood of dividend increases. MS to the several additional growth projects in the and the likelihood of dividend increases.	005	,82 ,82			.53 ,53		The	tran	sport	ation	and	l stor	rage	seg-	norm erev	auzat unit-	ion pi The r	an at Yonoa	na M Invis	nitao nitao	ustic	thi
at Waxi Jun 30 Sep 34 Det 31 Year completed the second phase of the facts hopefully in place of the facts hopefully in the facts hopefully i	007	.90	23	.17	.65		Drain	ເຮ ເຟ ງg th	uas e Ju	De Gu	arter	the	com	pany	some	rate	relief	will l	be gra	nted,	with	ne
we have the second several additional growth projects in the and the likelihood of dividend increases. Works, which should support the annual Evan I. Blatter September 15, 200	al.	QUAR	TERLY DIV	DENDS P	AD P		comp	leted	the	seco	nd	phase	of	the	rates	hopei	ully i	n plac	e by J	anua	ry, 20	07.
we have the second several additional growth projects in the and the likelihood of dividend increases. Works, which should support the annual Evan I. Blatter September 15, 200			JNU 30	Sep.30	UBC.31		Trun	kline	LNG	expa	nsion	, whi	ich is	ex- \$20	Inis	Alec	K IS totol	tep•t ™en	nanke rn not	tentia	lis⊧	acu abov
several additional growth projects in the annual Evan I. Blatter September 15, 200 works, which should support the annual Evan I. Blatter September 15, 200	003						pecte		COLLE		313 TT 25	Sout		has	21633	pe. d	ne bo	aso	lide	arning	s ou	tloo
works, which should support the annual Event 1. Blatter September 10, 500	004		••															d of d				
Tescal year ends June 30th through 2004; (504; 504; 506; 116). Next egs, report due lake Oct. paid mid: Apail mid: Apa	one i		10	10			work	s, wh	ich 5	поша	supp	ort ti	ne an	nuai	Evau	1. Die	11101		Dept			
	Fiscal	year en	da June i	30th thra	ugh 2004	; 50¢;	'06, (11¢,	Next eq	is, report	due late k sollte	머니	naid mid- El lori h	April. Itana. In	2005: 54	65.5 m i L	\$4,14/sl	L Stor	ipanys irs Pric	e Stabilit	i auznyt Y		65

Desembar 31st beg, in 2005. (B) Based on d'Aide skares. Excludes nonrecruing personang gin Gussiani and an analysis of the state of

<u>Missouri Gas Energy</u> Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of a Risk Premium Model <u>Using an Adjusted Total Market Approach</u>

Line <u>No</u> .		Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies	Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	Southern Union Company
1.	Prospective Yield on Aaa Rated Corporate Bonds (1)	5.87 %	5.87 %	5.87 %
2.	Adjustment to Reflect Yield Spread Between Aaa Rafed Corporate Bonds and A Rated Public Utility Bonds	0.52_(2)	0.52 (2)	0.52 (2)
3.	Adjusted Prospective Yield on A Rated Public Utility Bonds	6.39 %	6.39 %	6.39 %
4.	Adjustment to Reflect Bond Rating Difference	(3)	0.00 (4)	0.32 (5)
5.	Adjusted Prospective Bond Yield	6.47 %	6.39 %	6.71 %
6.	Equity Risk Premium (6)	5.03	4.91	5.24
7、	Risk Premium Derived Common Equity Cost Rate	11.50_%	%	11.95_%
8.	Risk Premium Derived Common Equity Cost Rate Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy (7)	<u> 11.49 </u> %	%	

Notes: (1) Derived in Note (4) on page 5 of this Schedule.

- (2) The average yield spread of A rated public utility bonds over Aaa rated corporate bonds of 0.52% from page 3 of this Schedule.
- (3) One-third the average spread between Moody's A and Baa rated public utility bond yields of 24 basis points to reflect the proxy group's average Moody's bond rating of A3 as shown on page 2 of this Schedule. ((1/3 X 0.24% = 0.08%. (from page 3 of this Schedule)).
- (4) No adjustment necessary as the average Moody's bond rating for the proxy group is A2.
- (5) One and one-third the average the average spread between A and Baa rated public utility bond yields of 30 basis points ((1 1/3 X 0.24% = 0.32%, (from page 3 of this schedule)).
- (6) From page 5 of this schedule
- (7) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four LDCs, and Cascade and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown. The average Moody's bond rating for both proxy groups would be A2, if Cascade Natural for the group of four and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy for the group of eight are excluded. Therefore, no adjustment, as indicated in Note 4 above, would be necessary for either group.

Schedule FJH-28 Page 2 of 8

Schedule FJH-13 Page 2 of 9 (Update)

Missouri Cas Energy Comparison of Bond Ratings and Business profile for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, the proxy group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company.

	, i	ember 2006 Moody's and Rating	Stan	ember 2006 iard & Poor's and Rating	Standard & Poor's Business Profile (2)
	Bond <u>Rating</u>	Numerical Weighting (1)	Bond Rating	Numerical Weighting (1)	
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies					
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation	Baa1	8.0	BBB+	8.0	2.0
NICOR Inc. (3)	A1	5.0	AA	3.0	2.0
Northwest Natural Gas Company	A2	60	AA-	4.0	1.0
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	A3	7.0	А	60	2.0
Average	A2 / A3	6,5	A+	5.3	1.8
Average Excluding Cascade Natural	<u>A2</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4.3	1.7
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies					
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation	Baa1	8.0	BBB+	8.0	2.0
The Laclede Group, Inc. (4)	A3	7.0	Α	6.0	3.0
New Jersey Resources Corp. (5)	Aa3	4.0	AA-	4.0	2.0
NICOR Inc (3)	A1	5.0	AA	3.0	2.0
Northwest Natural Gas Company	A2	6.0	AA-	4.0	1.0
Peoples Energy Corporation (6)	A1	5.0	A-	7.0	3.0
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	A3	7.0	A	6.0	2.0
WGL Holdings, Inc. (7)	A2	6.0	AA-	4.0	2.0
Average	A2	6.0	<u>A+</u>	5.3	2.1
Average Excluding Cascade Natura! and Peoples Energy (8)	<u>A2</u>	5.8	<u>_AA-/A</u> +	4.5	2.0
Southern Union Company (9)	Baa3	10.0	BBB	9.0	NA

Notes: (1) From page 3 of this schedule.

(2) From Standard & Poor's Issuer Ranking: U S Utility And Power Companies, Strongest to Weakest, October 13, 2006.

(3) Ratings and business profile are those of NICOR Gas Company.

(4) Ratings and business profile are those of Laclede Gas Co

(5) Ratings and business profile are those of New Jersey Natural Gas.

- (6) Ratings and business profile are a composite of those of North Shore Gas Company and Peoples Gas Light & Coke Company.
- (7) Ratings and business profile are those of Washington Gas Light Company
- (8) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.
- (9) Ratings and business profile are a composite of those of Southern Union Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company and Transwestern Pipeline Company

Source of Information: Moody's Investors Service Standard & Poor's Global Utilities Rating Service Moody's Comparison of Interest Rate Trends for the Two Months Ending August 2006 (1)

٠

Spread - Public Utility Bonds	Baa over A	0.24 % 0.23 %	0.24 %
Spread - Publ	A over Aa	0.24 % 0.23 %	0.24 %
Utility Bonds Baa (Pub.	Aaa (Corp.)	0.76 % 0.75 %	<u>0.76</u> %
Spread - Corporate v. Public Utility Bonds Aa (Pub. A (Pub. Util.) Baa (Pub	over Aaa (Corp.)	0.52 % 0.52 %	0.52 %
Spread - Co Aa (Pub.	Util.) over Aaa (Corp.)	0.28 % 0.29 %	0.29 %
	Baa Rated	6.61 % 6.43	
	Public Utility Bonds A Rated	6.37 6.20	
	Aa Rated	6.13 5.97	
Corporate	Bonds Aaa Rated	5.85 5.68	2)
	Years	July-06 August-06	Average Spread (2)

Notes: (1) All yields are distributed yields. (2) Equal weight has been given to the July and August 2006 spread. Source of Information: Mergent Bond Record Monthly Update, September 2006, Vol. 73, No. 9

Schedule FJH-13 Page 4 of 9 (Update)

Schedule FJH-28 Page 4 of 8

Schedule FJH-13 Page 5 of 9 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Judgment of Equity Risk Premium for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company

Line No.		Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies	Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	Southern Union Company
1.	Calculated equity risk premium based on the total market using the beta approach (1)	5.65 %	5.40 %	6.59 %
2.	Mean equity risk premium based on a study using the holding period returns of public utilities with:			
	a. A rated bonds (2) b. Baa rated bonds (2)	4.41	4.41	3.89
3.	Average equity risk premium	<u> </u>	<u>4.91</u> %	<u> </u>
4	Average equity risk premium Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy (3)	<u>5.10</u> %	<u> </u>	

Notes: (1) From page 5 of this Schedule.

(2) From page 7 of this Schedule.

(3) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Schedule FJH-28 Page 5 of 8

Schedule FJH-13 Page 6 of 9 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Derivation of Equity Risk Premium Based on the Total Market Approach for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, the Proxy Group of Distribution Line Companies and the Companies of the Company Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company

Line		Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies	Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	Southern Union Company
No.		Companies	Distribution companies	Company
1.	Arithmetic mean total return rate on the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Index - 1926-2005 (1)	12.30 %	12.30 %	12_30 %
2.	Arithmetic mean yield on Aaa and Aa Corporate Bond 1926-2005 (2)	(6.10)	(6.10)	(6.10)
3.	Historical Equity Risk Premium	<u>6.20</u> %	<u> </u>	<u> 6.20 </u> %
4.	Forecasted 3-5 year Total Annual Market Return (3)	12.22 %	12.22 %	12.22 %
5.	Prospective Yield an Aaa Rated Corporate Bonds (4)	(5.87)	(5.87)	(5.87)
6.	Forecasted Equity Risk Premium	<u>6.35</u> %	<u>6.35</u> %	6.35 %
7.	Average of Historical and Forecasted Equity Risk Premium (5)	6.28 %	6.28 %	6.28 %
8.	Adjusted Value Line Beta (6)	0.90	0.86	1.05
9	Beta Adjusted Equity Risk Premium	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> 6.59 </u> %
10	Beta Adjusted Equity Risk Premium Excluding Cascade and Peoples Energy (7)	5.78 %	<u> </u>	

Notes: (1) From Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation - 2006 Yearbook Valuation Edition, Ibbotson Associates, Inc., Chicago, IL, 2006.

- (2) From Moody's Industrial Manual and Mergent Bond Record Monthly Update.
- (3) From page 4 of Schedule FJH-29 of this Exhibit.
- (4) Average forecast based upon six quarterly estimates of Aaa rated corporate bonds per the consensus of nearly 50 economists reported in Blue Chip Financial Forecasts dated October 1, 2006 (see page 6 of this schedule). The estimates are detailed below.

Fourth Quarter 2006	5.70 %
First Quarter 2007	5.80
Second Quarter 2007	5.90
Third Quarter 2007	5.90
Fourth Quarter 2007	5.90
First Quarter 2008	6.00
Average	5.87 %

(5) Average of the Historical Equity Risk Premium of 6.20% from Line No. 3 and the Forecasted Equity Risk Premium of 6.35% from Line No. 6 ((6.20% + 6.35%) / 2 = 6.28%).

- (6) From page 8 of this schedule.
- (7) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Schedule FJH-13 Page 7 of 9 (Update)

2 BLUE CHIP FINANCIAL FORECASTS COTOBER 1, 2006

Consensus Forecasts Of U.S. Interest Rates And Key Assumptions¹

	Conse.									Jas A see
				Histo	rv				Consensus Forecasts-Quarter	
	Av	erage For	Week End		Aver	age For M	ionth	Latest Q^*		
Interest Rates	Sep. 22	Sep. 15	<u>Sep. 8</u>	<u>Sep. 1</u>	Aug.	<u>Jul.</u>	<u>Jun.</u>	<u>30 2006</u>	2006 2007 2007 2007 200	
	5.24	5.23	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.24	4.99	5.24	5.3 5.2 5.1 5.0 4.	
Federal Funds Rate	8.25	8,25	8.25	8.25	8.25	8.25	8.02	8.25	8.3 8.2 8.1 8.0 7.	. 1
Prime Rate		5.39	5.39	5.40	5.42	5,49	5.40	5.43	5.4 5.3 5.2 5.1 5.1	
LIBOR, 3-mo.	5.37		5.21	5.20	5.22	5.24	5.12	5.22	5.3 5.3 5.2 5.0 5.	E
Commercial Paper, 1-mo.	5.20	5.20	4.97	5.06	5.09	5.08	4.92	5.04	5.0 5.0 4.9 4.8 4.	
Treasury bill, 3-mo.	4.93	4.93		5.14	5.17	5.27	5.17	5.18	5.1 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.	8 4.8
Treasury bill, 6-mo.	5.07	5.11	5.12	-	5.08	5.22	5.16	5.10	5.0 5.0 4.9 4.9 4.	8 4.8
Treasury bill, 1 yr.	4.97	5.02	5.02	5.03	4.90	5.12	5.12	4.94	4.8 4.9 4.9 4.8 4.	8 4.8
Treasury note, 2 yr.	4.77	4.83	4.81	4.83		5.04	5.07	4.86	4.8 4.8 4.9 4.8 4.	8 4.9
Treasury note, 5 yr.	4.66	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.82		5.11	4.91	4.8 4.9 4.9 4.9 4.	9 5.0
Treasury note, 10 yr.	4.71	4.79	4.79	4.76	4.88	5.09		4.91 5.01	4.9 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.	
Treasury note, 30 yr.	4.83	4.92	4.94	4.91	5.00	5.13	5.15		5.7 5.8 5.9 5.9 5.	
Corporate Aaa bond	5.49	5.58	5.59	5.57	5.68	5.85	5.89	5.69		12 14
Corporate Baa bond	6.40	6.49	6.52	6,50	6.59	6.76	6.78	6.61		
State & Local bonds	4.21	4.30	4.34	4.30	4.39	4.61	4.60	4.43	이 집에서 해외 가슴에는 눈감 눈감을 들었다.	· · · · · ·
Home mortgage rate	6.40	6.43	6.47	6.44	6.52	6.76	6.68	6.57	Vit Vit	1 m - 1 - 1
Home mortgage rate				Histo	·v				Consensus Forecasts-Quarte	
	200	4Q	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	1Q	3Q*	4Q 1Q 2Q 3Q 4	
	3Q	<u>2004</u>	2005	2005	2005	2005	2006	2006	2006 2007 2007 2007 20	
Key Assumptions	2004	<u>2004</u> 81.3	83.5	<u>2005</u> 84.7	85.8	84.9	82.2	81.7	81.0 80.2 79.6 79.6 79	.6 79.5
Major Currency Index	81.9		3.3	4.2	1.8	5.6	2.6	2.3	2.5 2.6 2.6 2.9 3	
Real GDP	2.6	3.4	-	4.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.7	2.3 2.6 2.4 2.3 2	2 2.3
GDP Price Index	3.2	3.5	2.4	-	3.3	2.2	4.9	3.3		3 2.3
Consumer Price Index	3.6	2.3	3.8	5,5	5.5	2.2			adami Reserve Release (FRSR) H.15, LI	BOR quotes

Individual panel members' forecasts are on pages 4 through 9. Historical data for interest rates except LIBOR is from Federal Reserve Release (FRSR) H.15. LIBOR quotes available from The Wall Street Journal. Definitions reported here are same as those in FRSR H.15. Treasury yields are reported on a constant maturity basis. Historical data for the U.S. Federal Reserve Board's Major Currency Index is from FRSR H.10 and G.S. Historical data for Real GDP and GDP Chained Price Index are from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). Consumer Price Index (CPI) history is from the Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). *Interest rate data for 3Q 2006 based on historical Analysis (DEA). Consumer thee modes (Or 1) instary is note the Department of Labor's Dureat of Based on data through week ended September 22. Figures for 3Q 2006 Real data through the week ended September 22. Figures for 3Q 2006 Real GDP, GDP Chained Price Index and Consumer Price Index are consensus forecasts based on a special question asked of the panel members this month.

400





U.S. 3-Mo. T-Bills & 10-Yr. T-Note Yield







Schedule FJH-28 Page 7 of 8

Schedule FJH-13 Page 8 of 9 (Update)

<u>Missouri Gas Energy</u> Derivation of Mean Equity Risk Premium Based on a Study <u>Using Holding Period Returns of Public Utilities</u>

Line No.		Over A Rated Public Utility Bonds AUS Consultants - Utility Services Study (1) 1	Over Baa Rated Public Utility Bonds AUS Consultants - Utility Services Study (1) 1
Time Period 1.	Arithmetic Mean Holding Period Returns (2):	<u>1928-2005</u>	<u>1928-2005</u>
	Standard & Poor's Public Utility Index	11.02 %	11.02 %
2.	Arithmetic Mean yield on:		
	a. A-rated Public Utility Bonds	(6.61)	
3.	 Baa-rated Public Utility Bonds Equity Risk Premium 	4.41 %	(7.13) 3.89 %

Notes: (1) S&P Public Utility Index and Moody's Public Utility Bond Average Annual Yields, 1928-2005 (AUS Consultants - Utility Services, 2005).

(2) Holding period returns are calculated based upon income received (dividends and interest) plus the relative change in the market value of a security over a one-year holding period.

Schedule FJH-28 Page 8 of 8

Schedule FJH-13 Page 9 of 9 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Value Line Adjusted Betas for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company

	Value Line Adjusted Beta
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies	
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation NICOR Inc.	0.85 1.20
Northwest Natural Gas Company Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	0.75 0.80
Average	0.90
Average Excluding Cascade Natural (1)	0.92
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation	0.85
The Laclede Group, Inc. New Jersey Resources Corp.	0.85 0.80
NICOR Inc.	1.20
Northwest Natural Gas Company	0.75
Peoples Energy Corporation	0.85
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	0.80
WGL Holdings, Inc.	0.80
Average	0.86
Average Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy (1)	0.87
Southern Union Company	1.05

Notes: (1) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade Natural in the proxy group of four LDCs, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Source of Information:

Value Line Investment Survey, (Standard Edition) September 15, 2006

Schedule FJH-29 Page 1 of 4

Schedule FJH-15 Page 1 of 4 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of the Capital Asset Pricing Model for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company

Line <u>No.</u>		Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies	Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	Southern Union Company
1.	Capital Asset Pricing Model Derived Company Equity Cost Rate (1)	<u>11.46</u> %	<u>11.19</u> %	<u>12.53</u> %
2.	Capital Asset Pricing Model Derived Company Equity Cost Rate (2)	<u>11.54</u> %	<u>11.44</u> %	<u>12.44</u> %
з.	Conclusion	<u>11.55</u> %	<u>11.32</u> %	<u>12.49</u> %
4.	Conclusion Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy (3)	<u>11.65</u> %	<u>11.34</u> %	

Notes: (1) Developed on page 2 of this Schedule.

(2) Developed on page 3 of this Schedule.

(3) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade in the Proxy Group of 4 LDCs, and Cascade and Peoples in the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line LDCs are being shown.

Schedule FJH-15 Page 2 of 4 (Update)

<u>Missouri Gas Energy</u> Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of the Capital Asset Pricing Model

	Value Line Adjusted Beta	Company-Specific Risk Premium Based on Market Premium of 7,15% (1)	CAPM Result Including Risk-Free Rate of 5.02% (2)	Recommended CAPM Result (3)
	Traditio	nal Capital Asset Pricing Model (4)	ł	
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies				11.10 %
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation	0.85	6.08 %	11.10 %	13.60
NICOR Inc.	1.20	8.58	13.60 10.38	10.38
Northwest Natural Gas Company	0.75	5.36	10.38	10.74
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc.	0.80	5.72		
	0,90	6.44 %	<u>11.46</u> %	11.45 %
Average				44 57 0/
Average Excluding Cascade Natural (5)	0.92	6.55 %	<u>11.57</u> %	11.57 %
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies Cascade Natural Gas Corporation The Laclede Group, Inc New Jersey Resources Corp. NICOR Inc. Northwest Natural Gas Company Peoples Energy Corporation Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc. WGL Holdings, Inc.	0.85 0.85 0.80 1.20 0.75 0.85 0.80 0.80	6.08 % 6.08 5.72 8.58 5.36 6.08 5.72 5.72	11.10 % 11.10 10.74 13.60 10.36 11.10 10.74 10.74	11.10 % 11.10 10.74 13.60 10.38 11.10 10.74 10.74
Average	0.86	6.17 %	<u>11.19</u> %	<u>11.19</u> %
Average Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy Corporation (5)	0.87	<u></u>	<u>11.22</u> %	<u>11.22</u> %
Southern Union Company	1.05	<u> </u>	<u>12.53</u> %	<u>12.53</u> %

See page 4 for notes

Schedule FJH-29 Page 3 of 4

Schedule FJH-15 Page 3 of 4 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of the Capital Asset Pricing Model

	Value Line Adjusted Bela	Company-Specific Risk Premium Based on Market Premium of 7.15% (1)	CAPM Result Including Risk-Free Rate of 5.02% (2)	Recommended CAPM Result (3)
	Empiri	<u>cal Gapital Asset Pricing Model (6)</u>		
Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution				
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation	0 85	6 35 %	11 37 %	11.37 % 13.24
NICOR Inc.	1.20	8.22	13.24	10.83
Northwest Natural Gas Company	0.75	5.81	10.83 11.10	11.10
Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc	0.80	6.08		
Average	0.90	6.62 %	11.64 %	<u>11.64</u> %
Average Excluding Cascade Natural (5)	0.92	<u>6.70</u> %	<u>11.72</u> %	<u>11.72</u> %
Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies Cascade Natural Gas Corporation The Laclede Group, Inc. New Jersey Resources Corp. NICOR Inc. Northwest Natural Gas Company Peoples Energy Corporation Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc. WGL Holdings, Inc. Average	0.85 0.85 0.80 1 20 0.75 0.85 0.85 0.80 0.80 0.80	6.35 % 6.35 6.08 8.22 5.81 6.35 6.08 6.08 <u>6.08</u>	11.37 % 11.37 11.10 13.24 10.83 11.37 11.10 11.10 11.44 %	11.37 % 11.37 11.10 13.24 10.83 11.37 11.10 11.10 11.44 %
Average Excluding Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy Corporation (5)	0.87	<u>6.44</u> %	<u>11.46</u> %	<u>11.46</u> %
Southern Union Company	1.05	7.42 %	12.44 %	12.44 %

See page 4 for notes.

Schedule FJH-15 Page 4 of 4 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy

Development of the Market-Required Rate of Return on Common Equity Using the Capital Asset Pricing Model for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies, the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies and Southern Union Company Adjusted to Reflect a Forecasted Risk-Free Rate and Market Return

Notes:

(1) From the two previous month-end (August '06 – September '06), as well as a recently available (October 13, 2006), <u>Value Line Summary & Index</u>, a forecasted 3-5 year total annual market return of 12.22% can be derived by averaging the August 2006, September 2006, and spot forecasted total 3-5 year total appreciation, converting it into an annual market appreciation and adding the <u>Value Line</u> average forecasted annual dividend yield.

The 3-5 year average total market appreciation of 49%, produces a four-year average annual return of 10.48% (($(1.49^{0.25}) - 1$)*100). When the average annual forecasted dividend yield of 1.74% is added, a total average market return of 12.22% (1.74% + 10.48%) is derived.

August 2006, September 2006 and spot forecasted total market return of 12.22% minus the risk-free rate of 5.02% (developed in Note 2) is 7.20% (12.22% - 5.02%). The lbbotson Associates calculated market premium of 7.10% for the period 1926 2005 results from a total market return of 12.30% less the average income return on long-term U.S. Government Securities of 5.20% (12.30% 5.20% = 7.10%). This is then averaged with the 7.20% <u>Value Line</u> market premium resulting in a 7.15% market premium. The 7.15% market premium is then multiplied by the beta in column 1 of pages 2 and 3 of this schedule.

(2) Average forecast based upon six quarterly estimates of 20-year Treasury Note yields per the consensus of nearly 50 economists reported in the <u>Blue Chip Financial Forecasts</u> dated October 1, 2006 (see page 6 of Schedule FJH-28 of this exhibit). The estimates are detailed below:

	30-Year Treasury Note Yield
Fourth Quarter 2006	4.90%
First Quarter 2007	5.00
Second Quarter 2007	5.00
Third Quarter 2007	5.00
Fourth Quarter 2007	5.10
First Quarter 2008	<u>5.10</u>
Average	<u>5.02%</u>

- (3) Includes only those indicated common equity cost rates which are greater than 9.45% for reasons fully explained in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.
- (4) The traditional Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is applied using the following formula:

 $R_{\rm S} = R_{\rm F} + \beta \left(R_{\rm M} - R_{\rm F} \right)$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Where} & R_s = Return \mbox{ rate of common stock} \\ R_F = Risk \mbox{ Free Rate} \\ \beta &= Value \mbox{ Line Adjusted Beta} \\ R_M = Return \mbox{ on the market as a whole} \end{array}$

- (5) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. For informational purposes, the averages excluding Cascade in the proxy group of four gas distribution companies, and Cascade Natural and Peoples Energy in the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies are being shown.
- (6) The empirical CAPM is applied using the following formula:

 $R_s = R_F + .25 (R_M - R_F) + .75 \beta (R_M - R_F)$

Where R_s = Return rate of common stock R_F = Risk-Free Rate β = Value Line Adjusted Beta R_M = Return on the market as a whole

Source of Information: Value Line Summary & Index (Standard Edition) Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, October 1, 2006 Value Line Investment Survey, September 15, 2006 Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation – Valuation Edition -2006 Yearbook Market Results for 1926-2005 Ibbotson Associates, Inc., Chicago, IL

Schedule FJH-16 Page 1 of 6 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Comparable Earnings Analysis for a Proxy Group of Thirty-Eight Non-Utility Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies (1) 5-Ye

	roup of Four Ga	s Distribution.	Companies (1) Standard Error	5-Year Projected Ra Net Worth, Equity Capital	or Partners'
Proxy Group of Thirty-Eight Non-Utility Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies (1)	Adj. Beta	Unadj. Beta	of the Regression	Percent	Student's T-Test
	0.90	0.80	3.1129	13.50 %	(0.23)
Albemarie Corp	0.70	0.53	2,9772	14.00	(0.17)
Alberto Culver	0.90	0.78	3.1119	13.00	(0.29)
Alexander & Baldwin	0.85	0.70	3.0119	6.00	(1.15)
Ashland inc.	0.80	0.64	3.0444	12.00	(0.42)
BOK Financial	0.85	0.77	2.9975	18.50	0.38
Baldor Electric	0.75	0.59	2.8763	13.00	(0.29)
Banta Corp.	0.70	0.51	2.9480	7.50	(0 97)
Capitol Fed Fin'l	0.85	0.75	3.0515	8.00	(0.91)
Cincinnati Financial	0 90	0.79	3.2484	16.50	0.14
City National Corp	0 90	0.78	3.0735	8.00	(0 91)
ConcoPhillips	0 70	0.54	3.2618	15 50	0.01
Dentsply Int'l	0.80	0.63	3.0607	45.50 (3)	3.70
Dun & Bradstreet	0.90	0.81	2.9292	24.50	1.12
Ecolab Inc.	0.90	0.80	2,9316	20.50	D 63
First Midwest Bancorp	0.85	0.77	3.2291	34.00 (3)	2.29
Graco inc.	0.70	0.54	3.0665	14 50	(0.11)
Hancock Holding	0.85	0.70	3.1520	17.00	0.20
Harie-Hanks	0.80	0.63	3.3283	18 00	0 32
Hillenbrand Inds.	0.85	0.73	3.0360	8.50	(0.85)
Hospitality Properties	0.90	0.79	3.3620	10.50	(0.60)
Iron Mountain	0.80	0.67	2 9135	14.50	(0.11)
Markel Corp.	0 75	0.61	2.9836	6.50	(1.09)
McClatchy Co. McGraw-Hill	0.80	0.63	3.0963	19.50	0.50
Media General 'A'	0.90	0.81	3.1158	7.50	(0.97)
Meredith Corp.	0.90	0.77	2.9132	20.00	0.57
New York Times	0.90	0.81	3.0126	15.00	(0.05)
Occidental Petroleum	D.90	0.78	3.3428	15.00	(0.05)
People's Bank	0.85	0.70	3.1720	13.00	(0.29)
Pfizer Inc.	0.85	0 70	3.1781	22.50	0.87
Plum Greek Timber	0.75	0.58	2.9367	15.50	0.01
RLI Corp.	0.75	0.55	3.1141	12.50	(0.36)
Toro Co.	0.65	0.75	3 2727	33.00 (3)	2 16
Trizec Properties	0.80	0.67	3 3071	8.00	(0.91)
Union Pacific	0.90	0.79	3.1224	9.50	(0.72)
Washington Federal	0.85	0.74	3.0069	15.00	(0.05)
Webster Fin'l	0.90	0.78	3.0201	9 00	(0.79)
Weis Markets	0.70	0.54	3.2441	10.50	(0 60)
	0.83	0.70	3.0938		
Average for the Non-Utility Group Average for the Proxy Group of Four Gas Distribution Companies	0.80	0.65_((4) <u>3.1280</u> (5)	
Mean (3)				<u>13.50</u> %	
Conclusion (6)				<u>14.36</u> %	

Schedule FJH-30 Page 2 of 8

Schedule FJH-16 Page 2 of 6 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Comparable Earnings Analysis for a Proxy Group of Twenty-Three Non-Utility Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (7). 5-Year Projected Rate of Return on 5-Year Projected Rate of Return on

	-		Standard Error	5-Year Projected Rat Net Worth, Equity Capital (or Partners'
Proxy Group of Twenty-Three Non-Utility	. ا	Unadj.	of the		Student's
Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of	Adj. Beta	Beta	Regression	Percent	T-Test
Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (7)			2.9772	14.00 %	(0.11)
Alberto Culver	0.70	0.53	2.7732	8.50	(0.76)
Apartment Investment	0.65	0.46 0.70	3.0119	6.00	(1.05)
Ashland Inc.	0.85		2.6424	9.00	(0.70)
BRE Properties	0.70	0.50	2.8763	13.00	(0.23)
Banta Corp.	0.75	0.59	2.7302	19.00	0.48
Buckeye Partners L.P.	0.70	0.47	2.9480	7.50	(0.87)
Capitol Fed. Fin'l	0.70	0.51	2.8368	15.00	0.01
Crescent Real Est.	0.80	0.68	2.5998	9.00	(0.70)
Duke Realty Corp.	0.70	0.53	2.5674	20.50	0.65
Exxon Mobil Corp.	0.80	0.65	2.7163	17.00	0.24
Federal Rity. Inv. Trust	0.70	0.48	2.7926	10.00	(0.58)
Hudson City Bancorp	0.75	0.57	2.9350	32.00	2.00
Kimberly-Clark	0.65	0.46	2.6765	NA (8)	NA
Liberty Corp.	0.75	0.60	2.5717	12.00	(0.35)
Liberty Property	0.70	0.49	2.9135	14.50	(0.05)
Markel Corp.	0.80	0.67	2.9836	6,50	(0.99)
McClatchy Co.	0.75	0.61	2.8144	43.50 (9)	3.35
Moody's Corp.	0.80	0.64	2.6033	15.50	0.06
Old Nat'l Bancorp	0.70	0.49	2,9367	15.50	0.06
Plum Creek Timber	0.75	0.58	2.7083	14.00	(0.11)
Simon Property Group	0.70	0.48	3.0069	15.00	0.01
Washington Federal	0.85	0.74	2,7710	12.00	(0.35)
Washington R.E.I.T.	0.70	0.54	2.1710	12.00	(0.0-)
Average for the Non-Utility Group	0.74	0.56	2.7997		
Average for the Proxy Group of Eight Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	0.78	0.61_(10) <u>2.7792</u> (1 [.]	1)	
Mean (8)				<u>13.60</u> %	
Conclusion (6)				14.35 %	

Conclusion (6)

Schedule FJH-30 Page 3 of 8

Schedule FJH-16 Page 3 of 6 (Update)

<u>Missouri Gas Energy</u> Comparable Earnings Analysis for a Proxy Group of Ninety-Eight Non-Utility Companies Comparable to <u>Southern Union Company (12)</u> 5-Year Projected Rate of Return on

			Standard Error	Net Worth, Equity Capital (or Partners'
Proxy Group of Ninety-Eight Non-Utility Companies Comparable Southern Union Company (12)	Adj. Beta	Unadj. Beta	of the Regression	Percent	Student's T-Test
	0.90	0.78	4.0866	9.50 %	(1.07)
21st Century Ins. Group	0.90	0.79	3.8183	21.00	0.89
ADVO Inc.	0.80	0.68	3.8832	23.00	1.23
Abbott Labs. Advance Auto Parts	0.90	0.82	4.2012	20.00	0.72
Afjac Inc.	0.95	0.86	3.9019	17.50	0.29
Albany Int'l 'A'	1.05	1.06	4.2858	15.00	(0.13)
Allstate Corp.	0.95	0.85	3.8067	11.00	(0.81)
Amerada Hess	0.90	0.80	4.0188	9.50	(1.07)
Ameron Int'l	0.85	0.76	4.4690	12.50	(0.56) (0.81)
Anadarko Petroleum	0.90	0.83	4.4300 4.4104	11.00 11.50	(0.73)
Arch Chemicals	0.90	0.81 0.70	4.4014	38.50 (15)	3.86
AutoZone Inc.	0.85 1.10	1.10	3.8933	15.00	(0.13)
Autoliv Inc.	0.90	0.79	3.9067	19.50	0.63
Ball Corp.	0.95	0.85	3.9212	9.00	(1.15)
Bandag Inc. Bank of Hawaii	0.95	0.86	3.9299	19.00	0 55
Berkley (W.R.)	0.80	0.67	4.1772	14.00	(0.30)
Biomet	0.90	0.77	4.3919	22.00	1.06
Black & Decker	1.05	1.06	4.2481	19.00	0.55
Boeing	1.05	1.05	4.0907	21.50	0.97
Borders Group	0.95	0.87	4.5155	12.50	(0.56) 0_21
Briggs & Stratton	1.10	1.09	3.8532	17.00 12.50	(0.56)
Brink's (The) Co.	1.05	1.07	4.2341 4.1737	16.00	0.04
Brown & Brown	0.90 1.05	0.78 1.02	4.2140	NA (16)	NA
Burlington Coat	0.80	0.69	4.3635	NA (16)	NA
Burlington Resources	0.85	0.76	4.2837	19.00	0.55
C.H. Robinson	1.05	1.04	4,1493	11.00	(0.81)
CSX Corp. Cabot Corp.	1.00	0.95	4.3746	12.50	(0.56)
Casey's Gen'l Stores	0.85	0.74	4.3342	11.50	(0.73)
Chesapeake Corp.	0.95	0.88	4.2930	7.50	(1.41)
Chicago Mercantile	1.00	0.99	4.4902	19.50	0.63
ChoicePoint Inc.	0.90	0.81	3.9443	11.00	(0.81)
Commercial Metals	0.95	0.86	4.1715	14.50 14.00	(0.22) (0.30)
Cooper Tire & Rubber	1.00	0.99	4.4032 4.0648	13.50	(0.39)
Countrywide Financial	1.00 1.00	0.98 0.97	4.1299	18.50	0.46
Cytec inds.	0.95	0.85	4.3746	11.00	(0.81)
Datascope Corp.	0.85	0.70	3.9844	21.00	0.89
Dionex Corp.	0.90	0.81	4.1632	14.00	(0.30)
Downey Fin'l Eagle Materials	0.90	0.80	4.1023	23.00	1.23
Encore Acquisition	1.00	0.99	4.4182	11.50	(0.73)
Federal Signal	0.95	0.87	4.0623	13.50	(0.39)
Florida Rock	1.00	0.94	3.9042	16.00	0.04
Gallagher (Arthur J.)	D.95	0.86	4.1442	20.00	0.72 (0.73)
Gardner Denver	0.85	0.73	4.2431 4.2247	11.50 5.00	(1.83)
Gaylord Entertainm.	0.95	0.90 0.76	4,2850	11.50	(0.73)
Glatfelter	0.85 1.00	0.99	4.4410	10.50	(0.90)
GiobalSantaFe Corp.	0.85	0.71	4.4929	14.00	(0.30)
Haemonetics Corp.	0.95	0.87	4,4861	13.00	(0.47)
Harrah's Entertain.	1.05	1.06	3.8409	28.50 (15)	2.16
Int'l Business Mach. Jack in the Box	0.90	0.78	4.4569	15.50	(0.05)
Jacobs Engineering	0.95	0.92	3.9469	15.00	(0.13)
Kellwood Co.	0.90	0.78	4.3632	9.50	(1.07)
Kelly Services 'A'	0.95	0.87	4.2955	11.00	(0.81)
Kohl's Corp.	1.05	1.04	4.1867	16.50	0.12
Lauder (Estee)	0.90	0.81	4.0447	35.00 (15)	3.27
Lincoln Elec Hidgs.	0.85	0.73	4.0259	15.00	(0.13) (1.07)
Marcus Corp.	0.85	0.75	4.4413 4.2366	9.50 20.50	0.80
Masco Corp.	1.10 1.05	1.09 1.00	3.9567	18.00	0.38
McDonald's Corp.	1.00	1.00	3		

Schedule FJH-30 Page 4 of 8

Schedule FJH-16 Page 4 of 6 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Comparable Earnings Analysis for a Proxy Group of Ninety-Eight Non-Utility Companies Comparable to Southern Union Company (12) 5-Year Projected Rate of Return on

			Standard	Net Worth, Equity Capital	
		11	Error of the	Gapitar	Student's
Proxy Group of Ninety-Eight Non-Utility	Adj.	Unadj. Beta	Regression	Percent	T-Test
Companies Comparable Southern Union Company (12)	Beta				
Merck & Co.	0.80	0.68	4_4432	26.00	1.74 2.25
Miller (Herman)	0.95	0.92	4.1296	29.00 (15)	
Murphy Oil Corp.	0.85	0.76	3.9883	9.50	(1.07)
New York Community	0.95	0.85	4.1363	12.00	(0.64)
Newell Rubbermaid	0.85	0.76	4.1959	21.00	0.89
Nordson Corp.	1.05	1.02	3.9829	13.00	(0.47)
Norfolk Southern	1.05	1.04	4.2922	13.00	(0.47)
Outback Steakhouse	0.90	0.83	4.1896	14.50	(0.22)
PMI Group	1.05	1.06	3.9777	11.00	(0.81)
Pactiv Corp.	0.90	0.81	3.8556	18.00	0.38
Payless ShoeSource	0.85	0.74	4.0567	10.50	(0.90)
Pixar	1.05	1.02	4.1578	NA (16)	NA
Polaris Inds.	1.00	0.93	3.8154	30.00	2.42
Progressive (Ohio)	1.05	1.05	4.3361	14.00	(0.30)
Quanex Corp.	1.00	0.93	4.0393	15.00	(0.13)
RPM Int'l	0.85	0,76	4.4246	15.50	(0_05)
Reinsurance Group	0.90	0.82	4.1328	12.00	(0.64)
Rohm and Haas	1.05	1.07	4.4998	15.50	(0.05)
Ruby Tuesday	0.85	0.75	4.5025	19.50	0.63
SAFECO Corp.	0.95	0.89	4.4267	11.50	(0.73)
Schulman (A.)	0.85	0.71	4.1966	7.00	(1.49)
Sigma-Aldrich	0.85	0.71	3.9318	18.50	0.46
Sovereign Bancorp	1.10	1.11	3.9183	15.00	(0.13)
St. Jude Medical	0.85	0.73	4.2191	15.50	(0.05)
Stanley Works	1.00	0.97	3.9338	16.00	0.04
Steelcase Inc 'A'	0.85	0.76	4.5001	14.00	(0.30)
Superior Inds. Int'l	1.00	0.98	3.8279	9.00	(1.15)
Sybron Dental	0.90	0.82	4.4078	NA (16)	NA
Tecumseh Producis 'A'	0.80	0.6B	3.8146	9.50	(1.07)
Trinity Inds.	0.95	0.89	4.2319	12.50	(0.56)
Tupperware Brands	D.85	0.74	4.3901	24.00	1.40
United Stationers	1.10	1.11	4.1798	13.00	(0.47)
Varian Medical Sys.	0.80	0.67	4.1332	23.50	1.31
Waste Management	0.90	0.82	4.2063	20.00	0.72
Waase Mangement Wausau Paper	1.00	1.00	4.0989	21.00	0.89
Wabsad Paper	0.95	0.90	3.8996	NMF (16)	NMF
		0.87	4,1720		
Average for the Non-Utility Group	0.94	0.87	4.(120		
Southern Union Company	0.95	0.89 (13) <u>4.1728</u> (14)		
Mean (15)				15.02 %	

Conclusion (6)

Missouri Gas Energy Comparable Earnings Analysis for a Proxy Group of Thirty-Eight Non-Utility Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution.Companies (17)

Proxy Group of Thirty-Eight Non-Utility	oup of Three Ga		Standard Error	5-Year Projected Rat Net Worth, Equity Capital (or Partners' 2)
Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution Companies (17)	Adj. Beta	Unadj Beta	of the Regression	Percent	Student's T-Test
	0.90	0.80	2.7583	26.00 %	1.12
3M Company	0.90	0.80	3.1129	13.50	(0.26)
Albemarle Corp.	0.70	0.53	2.9772	14.00	(0.21)
Alberto Culver	0.90	0.78	3.1119	13.00	(0.32)
Alexander & Baldwin	0.85	0.70	3.0119	6.00	(1.09)
Ashiand Inc.	0.80	0.64	3.0444	12.00	(0.43)
BOK Financial Baldor Electric	0.85	0.77	2.9975	18.50	0.29
Banta Corp.	0.75	0.59	2.8763	13.00	(0.32)
Capitol Fed. Fin'l	0.70	0.51	2.9480	7.50	(0.93)
Cincinnati Financial	0.85	0.75	3.0515	8.00	(0.87)
ConocoPhillips	0.90	0.78	3.0735	8.00	(0.87)
Crescent Real Est.	0.80	0.68	2.8368	15.00	(0.10)
Dun & Bradstreet	0.80	0.63	3.0607	45.50 (18)	3.28
Ecolab Inc.	0.90	0.81	2.9292	24.50	0.96
First Midwest Bancorp	0.90	0.80	2.9316	20.50	0.51
Genuine Parts	0.90	0.81	2.7652	17.00	0.13
Graco Inc.	0.85	0.77	3.2291	34.00 (18)	2.01
Hancock Holding	0.70	0.54	3.0665	14.50	(0.15)
Harte-Hanks	0.85	0.70	3.1520	17.00	0.13
Hospitality Properties	0.85	0.73	3.0360	8.50	(0.82)
Hudson City Bancorp	0.75	0.57	2.7926	10.00	(0.65)
Markel Corp.	0.80	0.67	2.9135	14.50	(0.15)
McClatchy Co.	0.75	0.61	2.9836	6.50	(1.04)
McGraw-Hill	0.80	0.63	3.0963	19.50	0.40
Media General 'A'	0.90	0.81	3.1158	7.50	(0.93)
Meredith Corp.	0.90	0.77	2.9132	20.00	0.46
Moody's Corp.	0.80	0.64	2.8144	43.50	3.06
New York Times	0.90	0.81	3.0126	15.00	(0.10)
People's Bank	0.85	0.70	3.1720	13.00	(0.32) 0.74
Pfizer Inc.	0.85	0.70	3,1781	22.50	(0.04)
Pium Creek Timber	0.75	0.58	2.9367	15.50 12.50	(0.37)
RLI Corp.	0.75	0.55	3.1141 3.1224	9.50	(0.71)
Union Pacific	0.90	0.79	2.9039	11.00	(0.54)
Unitrin Inc.	0.90	0.83	3.0069	15.00	(0.10)
Washington Federal	0.85	0.74	2.7710	12.00	(0.43)
Washington R.E.I.T.	0.70	0.54 0.78	3.0201	9.00	(0.76)
Webster Fin'l	0.90	0.78	3.2441	10.50	(0.60)
Weis Markets	0.70			10.00	(+,
Average for the Non-Utility Group	0.83	0.69	3.0022		
Average for the Proxy Group of Three Gas Distribution Companies	0.82	0.67_{	18) <u>2.9838</u> (18	3)	
Mean (3)				<u> 14.54 </u> %	
Conclusion (6)				<u>14.15</u> %	

Missouri Gas Energy Comparable Earnings Analysis for a Proxy Group of Nineteen Non-Utility Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of Six Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (17)

Proxy Group of Nineteeen Non-Utility			Standard Error	5-Year Projected Ra Net Worth, Equity Capital	or Partners'
Companies Comparable to the Proxy Group of	Adj.	Unadj.	of the		Student's
Six Value Line Gas Distribution Companies (17)	Beta	Beta	Regression	Percent	T-Test
BRE Properties	0.70	0.50	2.6424	9.00 %	(0.71)
Banta Corp.	0.75	0.59	2.8763	13.00	(0.24)
Buckeye Partners L.P.	0.70	0.47	2.7302	19.00	0.48
Chevron Corp.	0.80	0.67	2.5141	17.00	0.24
Commerce Bancshs.	0.80	0.67	2 4462	13.00	(0.24)
Crescent Real Est.	0.80	0.68	2.8368	15.00	0.00
Duke Realty Corp.	0.70	0.53	2.5998	9.00	(0.71)
Equity Office P'ptys	0.70	0.48	2.5112	6.00	(1.07)
Equity Residential	0.70	0.53	2.4832	5.00	(1.19)
Exxon Mobil Corp.	0.80	0.65	2.5674	20.50	0.66
Federal Rity. Inv. Trust	0.70	0.48	2.7163	17.00	0.24
Hudson City Bancorp	0.75	0.57	2.7926	10.00	(0.59)
Liberty Corp.	0.75	0.60	2.6765	NA (8)	NA
Liberty Property	0.70	0.49	2.5717	12.00	(0.36)
Moody's Corp.	0.80	0.64	2.8144	43.50 (18)	3.41
Old Nat'l Bancorp	0.70	0.49	2.6033	15.50	0.06
Simon Property Group	0.70	0.48	2.7083	14.00	(0.12)
United Parcel Serv	0.80	0.68	2 4342	19.00	0.48
Washington R.E.I.T.	0.70	0.54	2.7710	12.00	(0.36)
Average for the Non-Utility Group	0.74	0.57	2.6472		
Average for the Proxy Group of Six Value Line Gas Distribution Companies	0.78	0.61 (1	8) <u>2.6675</u> (18))	

Mean (8)	<u> </u>
Conclusion (6)	<u> 14.71 </u> %

Schedule FJH-16 Page 5 of 6 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Comparable Earnings Analysis

Notes:

- (1) The criteria for selection of the proxy group of thirty-eight non-utility companies was that the non-utility companies be domestic and have a meaningful projected 2008 2010 rate of return on net worth or partners' capital as reported in <u>Value Line Investment Survey</u> (Standard Edition). The proxy group of thirty-eight non-utility companies was selected based upon the proxy group of four gas distribution companies' unadjusted beta range of 0.49 0.81 and standard error of the regression range of 2.8532 3.4028. These ranges are based upon plus or minus two standard deviations of the unadjusted beta and standard error of the regression as detailed in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony. Plus or minus two standard deviations captures 95.5% of the distribution of unadjusted betas and standard errors of the regression.
- (2) 2008-2010.
- (3) The Student's T-statistic associated with this projected return exceeds 1.960 at the 95% level of confidence. Therefore, it has been excluded, as an outlier, to arrive at a proper mean projected return as fully explained in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.
- (4) The standard deviation of the proxy group of four gas distribution companies' unadjusted beta is 0.0823.
- (5) The standard deviation of the proxy group of four gas distribution companies' standard error of the regression is 0.1374. The standard deviation of the standard error of the regression is calculated as follows:

Standard Deviation of the Standard Error of the Regression =

Where: N = number of observations. Since Value Line betas are derived from weekly price change observations over a period of five years, N = 259

- (6) Average of 5-year projected rates of return excluding those above 20% and below 9.45% for reasons fully explained in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.
- (7) The criteria for selection of the proxy group of twenty-three non-utility companies was that the non-utility companies be domestic and have a meaningful projected 2008 2010 rate of return on net worth or partners' capital as reported in <u>Value Line Investment Survey</u> (Standard Edition). The proxy group of twenty-three non-utility companies was selected based upon the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies' unadjusted beta range of 0.46 0.76 and standard error of the regression range of 2.5350 3.0234. These ranges are based upon plus or minus two standard deviations of the unadjusted beta and standard error of the regression as detailed in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony. Plus or minus two standard deviations captures 95.5% of the distribution of unadjusted betas and standard errors of the regression
- (8) On February 2, 2006, Liberty Corporation was officially acquired by privately held Raycom Media, Inc. Therefore, the company is not being traded anymore, and consequently, not included in the Student's T-statistic.
- (9) The Student's T-statistic associated with this projected return exceeds 2.080 at the 95% level of confidence with twenty-one (21 = 22 observations – 1) degrees of freedom. Therefore, it has been excluded, as an outlier, to arrive at a proper mean projected return as fully explained in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony.

Schedule FJH-16 Page 6 of 6 (Update)

Missouri Gas Energy Comparable Earnings Analysis

- (10) The standard deviation of the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies' unadjusted beta is 0.0732.
- (11) The standard deviation of the proxy group of eight Value Line gas distribution companies' standard error of the regression is 0.1221= (2.7792 / 22.7596).
- (12) The criteria for selection of the proxy group of ninety-eight non-utility companies was that the non-utility companies be domestic and have a meaningful projected 2008 2010 rate of return on net worth or partners' capital as reported in <u>Value Line Investment Survey</u> (Standard Edition). The proxy group of ninety-eight non-utility companies was selected based upon Southern Union Company's unadjusted beta range of 0.67 1.11 and standard error of the regression range of 3.8062 4.5394. These ranges are based upon plus or minus two standard deviations of the unadjusted beta and standard deviations captures 95.5% of the distribution of unadjusted betas and standard errors of the regression.
- (13) The standard deviation of Southern Union Company's unadjusted beta is 0.1098.
- (14) The standard deviation of Southern Union Company's standard error of the regression is 0.1833= (4.1728 / 22.7596).
- (15) The following companies are not being traded anymore, and therefore, they are not included in the Student's T-statistic: Burlington Coat Factory was acquired by Bain Capital Partners on April 13, 2006; Burlington Resources was acquired by ConocoPhillips on March 31, 2006; Pixar was acquired by Disney on May 6, 2006; Sybron Dental was acquired by Danaher Corporation on May 19, 2006. Weight Watchers is not included in the Student's T-statistic because the company's 5-Year Projected Rate of Return on Equity is NMF.
- (16) The Student's T-statistic associated with this projected return exceeds 1.96 at the 95% level of confidence with twenty-two (92 = 93 observations 1) degrees of freedom. Therefore, it has been excluded, as an outlier, to arrive at a proper mean projected return as fully explained in Mr. Hanley direct testimony.
- (17) Cascade Natural Gas Corporation and Peoples Energy Corporation are currently in the process of being acquired by MDU Resources and WPS Resources, respectively. If the Cascade were excluded from the group of 4, and Cascade and Peoples were excluded from the group of 8, the results would be as follow: The proxy group of thirty-eight non-utility companies was selected based upon the proxy group of three gas distribution companies' unadjusted beta range of 0.51 0.83 and standard error of the regression range of 2.7216 3.2460. The proxy group of nineteen non-utility companies was selected based upon the proxy group of six Value Line gas distribution companies' unadjusted beta range of 0.47 0.75 and standard error of the regression range of 2.4331 2.9019. These ranges are based upon plus or minus two standard deviations of the unadjusted beta and standard error of the regression as detailed in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony. Plus or minus two standard deviations captures 95.5% of the distribution of unadjusted betas and standard errors of the regression.
- (18) For the proxy group of three LDCs the Student's T-statistic associated with this projected return exceeds 1.960 at the 95% level of confidence. Therefore, it has been excluded, as an outlier, to arrive at a proper mean projected return as fully explained in Mr. Hanley's direct testimony. For the proxy group of six Value Line LDCs the Student's T-statistic associated with this projected return exceeds 2.101 at the 95% level of confidence with seventeen (17 = 18 observations 1) degrees of freedom. Therefore, it has been excluded, as an outlier, to arrive at a proper mean projected return as fully explained in the accompanying direct testimony. The standard deviations of the proxy group of three LDCs and six Value Line LDCs' unadjusted beta are 0.0785 and 0.0702, respectively. The standard deviations of the proxy groups of three LDCs and six Value Line LDCs' standard error of the regression are 0.1311 and 0.1172, respectively.

Source of Information: Value Line, Inc., Proprietary database, September 15, 2006 <u>Value Line Investment Survey</u> (Standard Edition)