1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Conference
6	November 23, 2011
7	Jefferson City, Missouri
8	Volume 1
9	
10	In the Matter of
11	The Application of Missouri)
12	Gas Energy For The Issuance)
13	Of An Accounting Authority) File No. GU-2011-0392
14	Order Relating To Its Natural)
15	Gas Operations And For A)
16	Contingent Waiver Of The)
17	Notice Requirement of)
18	4 CSR 240-4-020(2)
19	
20	DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding
	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
	NANCY L. SILVA, RPR, CCR
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES
2	PAUL A. BOUDREAU
	L. RUSSELL MITTEN
3	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
	P.O. Box 456
4	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
	573.635.7166
5	FOR: Southern Union Company d/b/a Missouri Gas
	Energy
6	
7	
	MARC POSTON
8	Office of Public Counsel
	200 Madison Street
9	P.O. Box 2230
	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
LO	573.751.5558
	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public
L1	
L2	
L3	ROBERT S. BERLIN
	SARAH L. KLIETHERMES
L 4	GOLDIE TOMPKINS
-	Missouri Public Service Commission
L5	P.O. Box 360
L6	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
L 0	573.751.7779 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
L7	Commission
L 7	COMMISSION
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Let's go ahead and go on
2	the record.
3	The Commission calls File GU-2011-0392.
4	This relates to the application of Southern Union
5	Company doing business as Missouri Gas Energy for an
6	accounting authority order.
7	We'll begin with entries of appearance.
8	We'll start with the Applicant.
9	MR. BOUDREAU: Let the record reflect the
10	appearance of Paul A. Boudreau and L. Russell Mitten
11	on behalf of Southern Union Company doing business as
12	Missouri Gas Energy.
13	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
14	And next, for Staff.
15	MR. BERLIN: Thank you, Judge. Appearing
16	on behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
17	Commission are Robert S. Berlin, Goldie Tompkins, and
18	Sarah L. Kliethermes.
19	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. And for the
20	Office of Public Counsel.
21	MR. POSTON: Thank you. Marc Poston
22	appearing for the Office of the Public Counsel and
23	the public.
24	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. We have some
25	representatives of the parties also?

L	MR. BERLIN: Judge, appearing I can at
2	least say who's present for the prehearing is Mark
3	Oligschlaeger for Staff and Amanda McMellen for
1	Staff.

JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. We also have the phone line open in case representatives of the parties call in.

Our purpose today is a brief prehearing conference on the application. In communication with parties, I've discussed my concern mostly over the settlement of issues and want to make sure that that is all properly supported. I'll set forth my concern on the record so that there's no misunderstanding.

In past Commission practice I've seen that the parties will often settle an issue, which is all to the good since the law favors settlement over litigation, because settlement will serve the parties better because the parties know better what they want.

In this action, to resolve these issues requires a decision of the Commission, so I want to make sure that any issue that is settled has support in the record in facts or in stipulation of facts, some substitute for evidence, to get to the relief that the parties want.

1	In a noncontested case that's pretty
2	easy, because you just look at the file, and if
3	something's in the file to support it, then you have
4	support for the decision and that's the end of it,
5	but in a contested case, which is what we have, we
6	need either evidence or some substitute for
7	evidence. I just want to make sure of that.
8	I see that one of our issues listed by
9	the parties as Issue A looks like it's fairly close
10	to settlement, so that being a possibility, I wanted
11	to make sure that there was no misunderstanding as to
12	that matter.
13	Are there any questions where I'm coming
14	from on that?
15	MR. BOUDREAU: No.
16	MR. POSTON: No.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: I'm not seeing any
18	questions.
19	MR. BOUDREAU: (Indicated.)
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Yes.
21	MR. BOUDREAU: Well, I have some
22	observations about it, but I don't
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Please do.
24	MR. BOUDREAU: know if you have
25	something further that you

1 JU	DGE	JORDAN:	Please	do.	Sure.
------	-----	---------	--------	-----	-------

MR. BOUDREAU: First of all, I think I'll

have to defer to Russ on whether -- you know, I think

I know the issue you're referring to, whether we're

close to or likely to have a settlement proposal, so

I'll have to defer to Russ.

One of the things I wanted to bring to your attention is that in 1991, the Commission dealt with the Accounting Authority orders, and pretty clearly held, in my view, the noncontested cases, even if there's a hearing held -- and I brought a copy of that opinion with me. It was the old Sibley Deferral case, and the citation -- well, it's on here. It's 10 PSC 3200, and I brought that, and I flagged the portion of the order that deals with that particular topic.

JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.

MR. BOUDREAU: I thought that would be useful to bring that to your attention. I think the gist of it is that -- it's anchored in Chapter 536 where it talks about contested versus noncontested, and the Commission found that under the applicable statute that there was no requirement for a hearing --

JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh. Uh-huh.

1	MR. BOUDREAU: and therefore it was an
2	uncontested case, even if a hearing was held, so it
3	wasn't the hearing that defined it. It was whether
4	or not the hearing was required by law
5	JUDGE JORDAN: And I think you're right.
6	MR. BOUDREAU: and I think you're
7	familiar with that file.
8	JUDGE JORDAN: Right.
9	MR. BOUDREAU: So anyway, I wanted to I
10	just wanted to bring that to your attention, that
11	I've been looking at this case from a somewhat
12	different context and that it is, in fact, a
13	uncontested case, but since various parties want to
14	have a hearing about the aspects of the request, that
15	the Commission holds a hearing on it, the discretion
16	to hold this hearing.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: And certainly nothing
18	prevents, in a noncontested case, conduct of some
19	kind of hearing
20	MR. BOUDREAU: Yes.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: which could range from
22	an informal give-and-take to something
23	MR. BOUDREAU: more formal.
24	JUDGE JORDAN: Yes.
25	MR. BOUDREAU: I wouldn't dispute that.

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Now, are you saying that
2	the are you saying that the Applicant's position
3	is as follows: That there's that the Applicant
4	has no right to a hearing before the Commission
5	decides this application?
6	MR. BOUDREAU: Well, I think it's a little
7	bit different. I think that the Commission has no
8	obligation to hold a hearing, is the way that I would
9	characterize it; that having a hearing is
10	discretionary with the Commission, and usually if a
11	party has clearly indicated that they want to have a
12	hearing over the issues, they tend they typically
13	use that to, maybe, swear down the facts in the law.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Well, it sounds like
15	MR. BOUDREAU: But I'm not saying that
16	we've got a right to it, that we, as the Applicant,
17	have a right to a hearing, nor am I saying that any
18	other party in the room has a right to a hearing in a
19	noncontested case.
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, in a
21	noncontested case, that's certainly true. That's
22	kind of the definition of a noncontested case,
23	really.
24	MR. BOUDREAU: Yes. Excuse me.
25	(Mr. Boudreau's cell phone rang.)

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Take a second.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: Can I turn this down
3	a little now that
4	JUDGE JORDAN: I'm going to go ahead and
5	hang up this line since it's seven minutes past
6	10:00.
7	THE COURT REPORTER: Thanks.
8	MR. BOUDREAU: You know, I better take
9	this call. This can actually be something I need to
10	take, so I'll step out. Excuse me.
11	(Mr. Boudreau left the room.)
12	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, I'll just move
13	on then, unless someone else has something that they
14	want to add to that discussion. Okay.
15	MR. POSTON: Well, just that, I mean, it
16	seems to be a pretty contested case, in my opinion,
17	just we're contesting the application and what
18	they're requesting that's contested but I need
19	to look back at the Sibley case, and I don't know if
20	it really even matters at this point. There is a
21	hearing scheduled
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Right.
23	MR. POSTON: and it seems like that's
24	what we're doing.
25	MR. MITTEN: Well, I think the only thing

that would matter is if we would enter into a stipulation on any of the issues, what exactly you would expect to have in that stipulation.

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.

MR. MITTEN: If you need to have facts because you believe it's a contested case, I think we need to get that issue resolved. If it's a noncontested case as the Company contends, then I think the simple black-box settlement reference to the record, the kind of thing that we normally enter into for settlement purposes, ought to be sufficient.

JUDGE JORDAN: I'm glad you raise that point, and I do want to be clear on that. I agree that the idea of a black-box settlement is enough for a noncontested case in that if you have something in the file that will get you to that result, that's pretty much all you need.

If this were something like a complaint where no Commission order is really needed to dispose of the case, then we wouldn't even need that. If this is a noncontested case and we need something from the Commission, we need some support for a noncontested case, it doesn't have to be a record of evidence. It doesn't have to be evidence on the record, nor a substitute for that.

1	The reason I'm thinking of this as a
2	contested case is that what the Applicant is asking
3	for is an order that will preserve certain items,
4	events, for a future rate case. If the Commission
5	doesn't grant that, if I understand correctly under
6	the accounting of the law governing accountancy here,
7	those items are lost for the next rate case. Am I
8	right?
9	MR. MITTEN: I think it depends on the
10	timing of the rate case
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Correct.
12	MR. MITTEN: but for all practical
13	purposes, I believe you're correct.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Unless the Commission picks
15	the year that's that year, they're gone.
16	MR. MITTEN: Yes.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. It seemed to me that
18	before the Commission eliminated these allegedly
19	significant costs well, it seems to me a
20	significant property right, hence my thinking of this
21	as a contested case, but I don't think that's really
22	the issue here.
23	What you're getting to is, Should the
24	parties come to a settlement and want an order from
25	the Commission giving them the relief that they agree

1	to, what will the parties need in that stipulation?
2	MR. MITTEN: Yes.
3	JUDGE JORDAN: And here's what I'm saying
4	as to that: Something more than an agreement as to
5	what the relief should be. I mean, certainly if you
6	want to describe the order for us, that's good, but
7	also looking at the law that supports such relief,
8	the law will tell you what facts are relevant under
9	that, so if you have some so what you'll want to
10	stipulate will be more in the nature of evidentiary
11	facts, basic facts, as described by the law that
12	supports the relief, that authorizes the relief, so
13	something more than conclusory, something more than
14	ultimate facts, a little that's what I'm saying.
15	Go ahead, counsel.
16	(Mr. Boudreau entered the room.)
17	MR. BOUDREAU: And I missed some of the
18	discussion, and I apologize to my colleagues and
19	everybody present. I'll have an explanation for you
20	when we go off the record.
21	Are you saying that the facts that are in
22	the testimony wouldn't support, assuming assuming
23	that we go we go to hearing
24	JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
25	MR. BOUDREAU: and the testimony is

1	offered into evidence and it's received into
2	evidence, you'll have Staff's witnesses, you'll have
3	Public Counsel's actually, Public Counsel doesn't
4	have a witness, I guess. Well
5	MR. POSTON: One witness.
6	MR. BOUDREAU: That's right. I'm
7	JUDGE JORDAN: Well, they they filed
8	testimony.
9	MR. BOUDREAU: I've worked on so many
10	cases, I'm losing track. I apologize.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: I understand.
12	MR. BOUDREAU: Public Counsel's
13	witness, the Company's witnesses, and in the
14	record, and I think there would be evidentiary
15	support, presumably, for whatever issue we're
16	proposing to settle in that context, so you're
17	looking for something more. You want the settlement
18	proposal or the settlement that's filed to be self-
19	contained?
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Let me clarify. We don't
21	have a record as of now.
22	MR. BOUDREAU: Yes. That's true.
23	JUDGE JORDAN: We have a file
24	MR. BOUDREAU: That's true.
25	JUDGE JORDAN: and it has prefiled

Т	testimony that's not in the record yet.
2	MR. BOUDREAU: Yes.
3	JUDGE JORDAN: I want to make sure that if
4	you have a stipulation, though, saying that you don't
5	need that evidence and you stipulate to facts
6	MR. BOUDREAU: Okay.
7	JUDGE JORDAN: that substitutes for
8	evidence.
9	MR. BOUDREAU: Okay.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: I just want to make sure
11	that a stipulation supports the relief that you
12	seek
13	MR. BOUDREAU: Okay.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: however you do it.
15	MR. BOUDREAU: But let me proceed.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: Please do.
17	MR. BOUDREAU: And I understand what
18	you're saying, but we would presumably submit a
19	stipulation, the Commission would take that
20	stipulation along with the other issues that have
21	been involved in the case, issue its decision
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh.
23	MR. BOUDREAU: one of which would be
24	you know, assuming that they're favorably disposed
25	toward the stipulation, that we approve the

1	stipulations, but by that time you would have all of
2	the other stuff in the record. I guess that's my
3	point. It seems to me that you're looking for
4	something somewhat self-contained on any issue that
5	we separate out and settle. Am I making sense? I'm
6	sorry if I'm not.
7	JUDGE JORDAN: You are making sense. I
8	think we're talking about pretty much the same thing.
9	MR. BOUDREAU: Okay.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: What I don't want to happen
11	is for an issue to be broken out, the parties say, We
12	agree to this resolution of the issue, and leave it
13	at that; in other words, if you agree to a resolution
14	of that issue, that's great. The Commission needs
15	some evidence or a substitute for evidence, like
16	stipulations or admissions, on which to base that
17	relief.
18	MR. MITTEN: If we would enter into a
19	stipulation on one of the issues in this case
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh.
21	MR. MITTEN: and we are not able to
22	reach agreement on the others, we went forward with
23	the hearing and all the prefiled testimony in the
24	case was entered into the record, do you still need a

stipulation of facts, because I think at least all of

1	the witnesses in this case testified on all of the
2	issues?
3	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. What I'm addressing
4	is the scenario where the parties agree to a
5	resolution, okay? If you have evidence in the
6	record, you won't need a stipulation.
7	MR. MITTEN: Which you can still stipulate
8	even if you have evidence.
9	JUDGE JORDAN: You can. You can. So I
10	don't what you're talking about there, I don't
11	think I need more. You can tell the Commission what
12	you want, but it would be helpful to cite to the bits
13	in the record, rather than a stipulation. Then you
14	cite to the evidence in the record that everyone
15	agrees and supports to Staff, really. Okay?
16	MR. MITTEN: All right.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Good.
18	Anything else on that before we proceed?
19	I just didn't want anyone to get confused between a
20	contested case and noncontested case procedure,
21	because it happens all the time, and the Commission
22	has not always been helpful in guiding the parties in
23	that direction.
24	MR. POSTON: You said it.
25	JUDGE JORDAN: That's part of why I'm

- 1 here. 2 Well, then I'll move on. 3 I just -- having -- having said that, I just want to remind the parties of a few other 5 things. Remember -- I'm acutely aware of this --I'll be the least informed person in the room. I am 6 7 not an accountant, and I don't have a whole lot of 8 experience with these accounting issues, in 9 particular, so if you will have your witnesses speak slowly and in words of one syllable, that will help 10 11 me to understand your case. 12 I may ask some very stupid, basic 13 questions. I hope you'll be patient with me, but if 14 you'll remember that I don't necessarily speak your 15 language, that I'm on a somewhat lower level of 16 understanding than everyone else involved in this 17 case, that will be helpful to me. And when doing so, it will also help me 18 19 if you relate the evidence to the element of a claim 20 or defense that's at issue here, just to keep me on track. It also helps reading the transcript much 21
 - I think that's all that I have for the parties. Do parties have any procedural matters for me? Is there anything else that I can help you with

easier.

22

23

24

1	this morning?
2	(No response.)
3	JUDGE JORDAN: Not seeing anything from
4	the parties. This room yes.
5	MR. OLIGSCHLAEGER: There was some
6	discussion, I believe, in the agenda yesterday about
7	the time the hearings might start. Is there
8	anything
9	JUDGE JORDAN: There's no change from how
10	they're scheduled. No change.
11	MR. OLIGSCHLAEGER: Okay.
12	JUDGE JORDAN: Anything else?
13	(No response.)
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. We have this room
15	available for the rest of the day, as far as I know,
16	and I'm here for most of the day. I'll be out
17	briefly this morning, but I'll be back in case
18	there's anything else I can do for the parties, but
19	this room is available for the parties to discuss the
20	issues for hearing.
21	Commission encourages such discussion
22	with the hope of a settlement among the parties, as
23	we've discussed.
24	Anything else before we go off the
25	record?

1	(No response.)
2	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, thank you very
3	much for your attention and your hard work on this
4	case as well. We'll adjourn this conference and go
5	off the record.
6	(The hearing concluded.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	I, Nancy L. Silva, RPR, a Certified
3	Court Reporter, CCR No. 890, the officer before
4	whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby
5	certify that the witness whose testimony appears
6	in the foregoing hearing was duly sworn; that
7	the testimony of said witness was taken by me to
8	the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting under my direction; that I am
10	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
11	any of the parties to the action in which this
12	hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a
13	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially
15	or otherwise interested in the outcome of the
16	action.
17	
18	
19	Nancy L. Silva, RPR, CCR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE