

*Exhibit No.:*  
*Issue:* Accounting Schedules  
*Witness:* Lisa K. Hanneken  
*Sponsoring Party:* MoPSC Staff  
*Type of Exhibit:* Rebuttal Testimony  
*Case No.:* GR-2014-0152  
*Date Testimony Prepared:* July 30, 2014

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION**  
**UTILITY SERVICES - AUDITING**

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**LISA K. HANNEKEN**

**LIBERTY UTILITIES (MIDSTATES NATURAL GAS) CORP.**  
**d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES'**

**CASE NO GR-2014-0152**

*Jefferson City, Missouri*  
*July 2014*

1 **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

2 **OF**

3 **LISA K. HANNEKEN**

4 **LIBERTY UTILITIES (MIDSTATES NATURAL GAS) CORP.**  
5 **d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES'**

6 **CASE NO. GR-2014-0152**

7 Q. Please state your name and business address.

8 A. Lisa K. Hanneken, 111 N. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 105, St. Louis, MO 63101.

9 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

10 A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as a  
11 Utility Regulatory Auditor V in the Auditing Unit of the Utility Services Department, Regulatory  
12 Review Division.

13 Q. Are you the same Lisa K. Hanneken who contributed to Staff's Direct Revenue  
14 Requirement Cost of Service Report and filed Direct Testimony in this case?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?

17 A. My rebuttal testimony will address Staff's revised accounting schedules which  
18 will be filed concurrently with this rebuttal testimony.

19 **ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES**

20 Q. Why is Staff filing revised accounting schedules at this time?

21 A. There are two main reasons why Staff has filed a set of revised accounting  
22 schedules with its rebuttal testimony.

1 First, as with all rate cases, once other parties reviewed the schedules and provided  
2 further input and/or data, Staff agreed to make minor revisions to correct errors and account for  
3 valid concerns expressed by the parties.

4 Secondly, in Staff's Revenue Requirement Cost of Service Report (Report), Staff  
5 discussed that in several areas it was still waiting to receive further data from Liberty Utilities  
6 (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities ("Liberty Utilities" or "Company"). Since  
7 the time of Staff's Report, Liberty Utilities has provided various items of new data to Staff,  
8 allowing Staff to incorporate the new information into its revenue requirement calculations. This  
9 resulted in changes to areas such as miscellaneous expense, governmental affairs expenses, and  
10 rate base. However, overall, the largest impact on Staff's rate recommendation resulted from new  
11 revenue data provided to Staff by Liberty Utilities. While the Staff did not receive the data from  
12 Liberty Utilities in time to address the revision in Supplemental Direct testimony as initially  
13 planned, Staff was able to subsequently analyze the new data and incorporate the results of that  
14 analysis into its cost of service calculations included within the revised accounting schedules  
15 filed with Staff's rebuttal testimony. More detailed descriptions of the revisions made to  
16 revenues based on additional data will be addressed by each individual Staff revenue witness as  
17 part of their rebuttal testimony.

18 Q. What revenue requirement resulted from Staff's revision calculations?

19 A. Overall, Staff is now recommending a decrease on a total company basis of  
20 \$(559,439). This is broken down by district as follows:

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Lisa K. Hanneken

1

District Name	Staff Proposed Increase/(Decrease) at Rebuttal	Staff Proposed Increase/(Decrease) at Direct	Overall Change from Direct
Northeast Missouri (NEMO)	\$668,808	\$(317,653)	\$986,461
Southeast Missouri (SEMO)	\$(1,298,651)	\$(3,557,406)	\$2,258,755
Western Missouri (WEMO)	<u>\$70,170</u>	<u>\$18,221</u>	<u>\$51,949</u>
Total Company	\$(559,439)	\$(3,856,734)	\$3,297,295

2

3

Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

4

A. Yes, it does.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Liberty Utilities (Midstates )  
Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities' )  
Tariff Revisions Designed To Implement a )  
General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service )  
in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company )

Case No. GR-2014-0152


AFFIDAVIT OF LISA K. HANNEKEN


STATE OF MISSOURI     )  
                                  )     ss.  
COUNTY OF COLE     )

Lisa K. Hanneken, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 3 pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.

  
Lisa K. Hanneken

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2014.



  
Notary Public