2/20/18

Case Complaint Number GC-2018-0159

Good afternoon to everyone. I just wanted to take a little time out of my day to share how I feel about Laclede Gas Company and Spire.

As everyone knows I've opened a complaint a gainst Spire and Mr. Zucker feels that I have n ot given sufficient reason behind my complain t, so I thought to take a little time out of my d ay to clarify that.

First and foremost in regards to Mr. Zucker as r asking for a reason. A dispute is a dispute for te for a reason. I'm not sure there is any better better answer for that? When I put the accoun count into dispute I disagreed with the gas ch s charges that I was being billed. I didn't belie believe the usage was as much as they stated. ated. So that was the reason to start my dispu dispute. What I was told when I originally start started my dispute was suppose to be a 4 day 4 day process and has turned into a nightmar mare every since. There were never any respo sponses back, no compromise or explanation on by Laclede Gas at the time than the words ords "they are valid." As the person putting in g in the dispute I feel I should be entitled to a d to a better explanation or offering solutions ons than the only words "they are valid."

As much as it sounds, I got nowhere with Lacl Laclede Gas Company, because when I would ould dispute my balances, I felt as if I was put s put on the backburner and they truly didn't dn't care about my concern. So that is when m en my husband got involved in the situation. on. When my husband got involved it was pre

pretty much the same answer. There was no o no other reason behind the dispute than the t the three words they would state "they are val re valid," those were the only words we both e oth ever really heard. And technically my husb husband wasn't on this account originally until until I had him added on to help out in the dishe dispute situations. Prior to the dispute, he he wasn't on the account.

However, I've read most of what Mr. Zucker ha er has written on paper or customer service re e representative and I completly at a loss of w of words to describe how this could have bee been handled better on Laclede Gas Companianies part. For instance, knowing that we were weren't getting any further towards a compro promise or solution, after the Supervisors whe when requesting to speak to someone of a hi a higher authority, that is were is should have have proceeded to. Laclede Gas Company neg

neglected to act on those. Even in their own re wn report as stated on 4/22/2016, they notat otated that my husband requested to speak t k to a supervisor and wanted a call back from from someone in the legal department. Knowi owing that the dispute was going on for this I his long of a time, Laclede Gas Company open openly admits on the paperwork that we had r had requested a call back from the Legal Depa Department, however Laclede Gas Company n y neglected to go within higher of the compa mpany to help solve to solution. There was ne s never a phone call. A supervisor is the highe highest they left it at, no one from their corpo orporate or legal department responded, even ven though they acknowledge that we had re requested a call back from someone higher in er in the company.

On 8/6/16, that no one would be home to rea o read the meter. For months we had requeste

uested that Laclede come out and check the he meter. We both encouraged them. As for n or no one being home, I'm not sure why I wou I would need to be home in the first place con e considering it is outside access to the meter eter on the side of the property? My electric ric meter is outside, water meter, etc. Usually ally when they want to check or re-check the the meter to check for accuracy or inaccuracy, acy, they drive by. Everything is electronic I wo I would assume? So they could have easily dur during the dispute process re-checked the m meter, without our permission since it was on s on the outside of the property.

There are a series of discrepancies for in the m he month of October of 2017, where they stat state \$1797.66 was forwarded to the collectio ction agency Then it states on 10/24/17, that L hat Laclede claims the balance is \$1797.66+ a + a \$62 reconnect fee. As well the collection a

on agency as was given on paper acknowledg edged the balance was \$1647.66. So within the n the month of October 2017, the amounts Las Laclede Gas claims had changed three differ fferent times.

I and my husband had been in contact with C ACI numerous times during the dispute proce ss when I originally disputed the balance with them. Over the course of three months Lacled e Gas Company failed to validate the debt, so therefore it was taken off my credit report sen t back in favor of me winning the dispute process of challenging the validation of if the debt was legally owed or not.

Forwarding on to Jan of 2018, while in dispute pute on residential account, my husband is a a partner in one company and owns his own own church, which both business by the State tate Of Missouri are defined legal entities. As As much as Mr. Zucker wants to state that my at my mis-used the identity of the business he s he factually owns, I'm not sure how much m h more to state anything. When the account w nt was set up on December 26, 2017, the gentl gentlemen that took the credit application for n for the Church Of Jesus & Hope all the infor information he needed to submit the applicat lication for services. During the time the repre epresentative did come back and state the ad address is under residential, so even though it gh it was a commercial account, it would be bi be billed under residential rate tariffs. My hus husband gave his own personal name as the p he point of contact, as well submitting all legal legal paperwork, which shows him owning the the company. So at that time Spire agreed wit with my husband on setting up the services a ces as well both parties Spire and my husband

bands business agreed to services being starte arted. (In which the account is current and up d up to date).

Meanwhile in the time since this has been in d in dispute with the Public Service Commission sion, since Jan and Feb of 2018, we have been been subjected to 3 letters directly from Spire. pire. Not only taunting the situation, but almo Imost taking the whole matter as a joke. I've s ve submitted one of the letters in which it stat states "we've enjoyed," no we haven't enjoye oyed gas services. We have been harassed in t in the process by Laclede Gas Company. Thre Three letters, one dated Jan 12, Jan 23 and Fe d Feb 1. I asked for Laclede Gas Company to s to stop sending letters minus regular bills for for the account open after receiving the first I irst letter dated Jan 12, 2018. They pretty muc much continued sending letters regardless of of me respectfully asking them to send taunti unting letters.

Which leads me up to the last letter I received, dated Feb 1, 2018 from a apparent new collect ion agency named CBCS. In the letter I notice that they are offering a 30% discount on the balance if I was to pay them in a lump sum by A pril 30, 2018. I've come to ask questions because in Mr. Zuckers response back he is persistant about me currently owing \$1647.66, however I find it odd that knowing I'm disputing my balance through the Missouri Public Service Commission, that Laclede Gas Company would be trying to settle for \$1153.36? Or even entertaining that?

I hope that helps clarify some of the exact reas t reasons why I've disputed my balance. Whe When I continously called them to tell them I m I was disputing my balance and asked to sp to speak to people higher in the company, tha , that is when Laclede Gas Company should ha d have acted upon. They neglected to act on s on solving any part of the dispute process. Pe s. Personally it is unacceptable, period. The job job of when I called a customer service repres epresentative to tell them about a dispute, it s it should follow their chain of command. The The fact of the matter is instead of them actin acting upon my dispute, knowing how many ti ny times to call to state why we were disputin puting it should have followed their process, a s, after a supervisor, it should have gone to so o someone in their coporate office and from t om their to their legal department. However, t er, they neglected to handle it and instead bla blamed scapegoats in the position.

In their own report filed back they acknowled ge the dispute over a dozen times, however th ey want to blame my husband for calling to st ate he was disputing the bill, instead of acting upon helping out in the situation. As for the n umerous phone calls, I thought that was the p urpose of have a customer service center to fo rward a dispute to the appropriate person to handle, however, those never got handed off.

I've come to realize when dealing directly with Laclede Gas Company directly. It's that I'm nev er going to have a fair dispute process directly with them. Even after the dispute process. The y are the only gas company in my service area, so even if the relationship with them is tarnish ed, I still have to go back and deal with the sa me problems, if other problems were to occur.

I appreciate the time that everyone has had to be able to read some of these concerns. As a person we all have opinions on things should be handled. However, I don't think you can er ase the facts on paper that are written. When a company one minute claims you owe, \$1797 .66, then \$1797.66+62.00, then \$1647.66, and now they want to settle mysteriously for a 30 % discount if paid by April 30, 2018 at \$1,153. 36. It either means one of two things. Laclede Gas Company has inconsistent billing or Lacle de Gas Company knows the balance they were originally claiming that I owe isn't correct, whi ch leads to the exact reason why I disputed m y bill in the first place. For several months of in consistency.

Again, I appreciate everyones time involved an and for everyone that was able to read my let my letter. Sorry it was so much in length, but t

but this is how I have felt over the last couple couple of months leading up to this.

Respectfully Submitted, Lisa Lambert and Brett Felber