BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR

DEAN L, COOPER

312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc.

Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc.

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc. (Craw-Kan) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "'InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . ." A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Craw-Kan for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1);

and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Craw-Kan in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Date for Blocking to Begin: April 12, 2012.

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Craw-Kan for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Craw-Kan at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Craw-Kan; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Craw-Kan. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Craw-Kan has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, III

WRE/da

cc: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired 31
JAMES C. SWEARENGEN

WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III

JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY

PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR

DEAN L, COOPER

312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc.

Ellington Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Ellington Telephone Company (Ellington) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . . " Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Ellington for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Ellington in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Ellington for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Ellington at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Ellington; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Ellington. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Ellington has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, III

WRE/da

ce: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc.

Seneca Telephone Company Goodman Telephone Company Ozark Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Seneca Telephone Company, Goodman Telephone Company and Ozark Telephone Company (SGO) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "'InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . ." A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Seneca, Goodman and Ozark for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA

wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Seneca, Goodman and Ozark in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Date for Blocking to Begin: April 12, 2012.

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Seneca, Goodman and Ozark for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Seneca, Goodman and Ozark at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Seneca, Goodman and Ozark; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Seneca, Goodman and Ozark. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Seneca, Goodman and Ozark have designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, II

WRE/da

cc:

Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G, BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON

GARY W. DUFFY
PAUL A. BOUDREAU
CHARLES E. SMARR
DEAN L. COOPER

312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc. Gramby Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Granby Telephone Company (Granby) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . ." A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Granby for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Granby in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Granby for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Granby at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Granby; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Granby. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Granby has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, I

WRE/da

cc: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A, HAMBLIN
JAMIE J, COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L, WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C, MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc.

Iamo Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Iamo Telephone Company (Iamo) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . . " A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Iamo for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Iamo in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Iamo for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Iamo at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Iamo; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Iamo. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Iamo has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, III

WRE/da

cc: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T, MCCARTNEY
DIANA C, CARTER
SCOTT A, HAMBLIN
JAMIE J, COX
L, RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L, WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C, MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc. Le-Ru Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Le-Ru Telephone Company (Le-Ru) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "'InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . . " A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Le-Ru for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Le-Ru in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Le-Ru for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Le-Ru at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Le-Ru; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Le-Ru. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Le-Ru has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, III

WRE/da

cc: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T, MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc.

McDonald County Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to McDonald County Telephone Company (McDonald County) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . ." A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate McDonald County for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-

29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to McDonald County in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Date for Blocking to Begin: April 12, 2012.

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate McDonald County for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to McDonald County at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to McDonald County; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to McDonald County. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. McDonald County has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England-HI

WRE/da

cc: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456

TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166

FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc.
Miller Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Miller Telephone Company (Miller) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . . " A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Miller for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Miller in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Miller for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Miller at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Miller; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Miller. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Miller has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, III

WRE/da

ce: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 23, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc. Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc.

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc. (Peace Valley) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "'InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . ." A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Peace Valley for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1);

and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Peace Valley in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Date for Blocking to Begin: April 25, 2012.

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 25, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Peace Valley for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Peace Valley at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Peace Valley; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Peace Valley. These actions must be taken on or before April 23, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Peace Valley has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, III

WRENSADE

WRE/da

cc:

Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G, BRYDON, Retired JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 634-7431

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. CARTER
SCOTT A. HAMBLIN
JAMIE J. COX
L. RUSSELL MITTEN
ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

March 9, 2012

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Russell Wiseman President Halo Wireless 2351 West Northwest Hwy., Suite 1204 Dallas, TX 75220

Re: Blocking of Terminating Traffic from Halo Wireless, Inc. Rock Port Telephone Company

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

This notice to commence blocking the telecommunications traffic that Halo Wireless, Inc. (Halo) is terminating to Rock Port Telephone Company (Rock Port) is made pursuant to the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) Enhanced Record Exchange (ERE) Rule, 4 CSR 240, Chapter 29. Under the ERE Rule, a terminating carrier may request that the tandem carrier (in this case, AT&T Missouri) block the traffic of an originating carrier and/or traffic aggregator that has failed to fully compensate the terminating carrier for terminating compensable traffic. In addition, the MoPSC's ERE rules provide that "InterLATA Wireline Telecommunications traffic shall not be transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC network . . . " A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a significant amount of traffic terminating from Halo is InterLATA wireline originated traffic. Also, the MoPSC's ERE rules require the originating carrier to deliver originating caller identification with each call. A review of Halo's traffic reveals that a majority, if not all, of traffic terminating from Halo lacks the correct originating caller identification.

Reasons for Blocking: Halo Wireless has failed to fully compensate Rock Port for the traffic Halo is terminating to it after Halo's filing for Bankruptcy protection (post-bankruptcy traffic) in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2); Halo is transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.010(1); and/or Halo is failing to deliver correct originating caller identification with each call it is terminating to Rock Port in violation of 4 CSR 240-29.130(2).

Actions Necessary to Prevent Blocking. In order for Halo Wireless to avoid having its traffic blocked on the LEC-to-LEC Network beginning on April 12, 2012, Halo must: 1) compensate Rock Port for the post-bankruptcy traffic Halo is terminating to Rock Port at the appropriate access rate for interexchange traffic (including interMTA wireless traffic) and the reciprocal compensation rate for intraMTA wireless traffic; 2) immediately cease and desist from transmitting InterLATA wireline telecommunications traffic over the LEC-to-LEC network that terminates to Rock Port; and 3) immediately begin providing correct originating caller identification information for each call Halo terminates to Rock Port. These actions must be taken on or before April 10, 2012. Alternatively, Halo can use other means to terminate its traffic (other than the Missouri LEC-to-LEC network) or file a formal complaint with the MoPSC as permitted by 4 CSR 240-29.130(9).

<u>Contact Person for Further Information</u>. Rock Port has designated W.R. England, III and Brian McCartney as contact persons for further correspondence or information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

W.R. England, III.

WRE/da

cc: Mr. John VanEschen, Missouri Public Service Commission (via email)