Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Quality of Service
Witness: Andrew Harris
Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: WR-2023-0006

Date Testimony Prepared: June 29, 2023

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION WATER, SEWER & STEAM DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ANDREW HARRIS

CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

CASE NO. WR-2023-0006

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2023

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		ANDREW HARRIS	
4	C	ONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.	
5		CASE NO. WR-2023-0006	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	A.	My name is Andrew Harris. My business address is 200 Madison Street,	
8	Jefferson Ci	ty, Missouri, 65101.	
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
10	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")	
11	as a Senior I	Professional Engineer in the Water, Sewer, and Steam ("WSS") Department. I am	
12	also an A Co	ertified Water Treatment System Operator, an A Certified Wastewater Treatment	
13	System Ope	rator, and a Certified Distribution System Operator III.	
14	Q.	Please describe your educational experience, work experience, and any cases in	
15	which you have previously filed testimony before this Commission.		
16	A.	My credentials and a list of cases in which I have participated and have	
17	previously f	iled testimony before this Commission are attached as Schedule AH-r1.	
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?	
19	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to Confluence Rivers Utility	
20	Operating C	company, Inc. ("Confluence") witness Todd Thomas' Direct Testimony where he	
21	claims that a	a software program is capable of ensuring that utility systems are well maintained.	
22	Staff witness	s Daronn Williams also discusses this software program in his Rebuttal Testimony.	
23	I address Sta	aff's concerns at Auburn Lake Estates ("Auburn") water system as an example of	

- why Confluence should develop and implement a five-year capital expenditure plan. Staff witness Curt B. Gateley discussed the Auburn issue in his direct testimony, and Staff witness David C. Roos recommends in his direct testimony that Confluence develop and implement a five-year capital plan. I conclude with a brief discussion of complaints recently received from Confluence customers during local public hearings that Staff is currently working to address.
 - Q. What part of Mr. Thomas' testimony will you address in your rebuttal testimony?
 - A. In his direct testimony, Mr. Thomas states:
 - Utility Cloud ensures that Confluence Rivers systems are well-maintained; property, plant, and equipment records are maintained; and customer service needs are systematically and expeditiously addressed with appropriate record keeping of customer service needs. Further, CSWR is able to monitor its Missouri O&M contractors to ensure that the contractors are doing work for which they were hired; are doing that work on a timely and competent basis; and are meeting the needs of the Company and its customers. ³
 - Q. Do you agree with Mr. Thomas that using a software program, by itself, ensures that Confluence's systems are well-maintained?
 - A. I do not. Water and sewer utility systems must be physically visited by operators to ensure most aspects of proper maintenance and operation are ensured, and then what an operator sees when they visit a system must be communicated to Confluence. In the example I describe below, not only were preventative maintenance tasks not conducted despite Utility Cloud, but the failure to complete these tasks also appears to have delayed Confluence's understanding of the needs for capital investment.

¹ Curt B. Gateley Direct beginning page 8, line 6.

² David C. Roos Direct page 5 line 4 - page 6 line 7

³ Todd Thomas Direct page 13, lines 2 through 7.

- Q. What is Utility Cloud, and how does the company allege it ensures the systems are well maintained?
- A. As I understand it, Utility Cloud is a program that tracks work tasks, and establishes preventative maintenance task assignments. I agree that software programs are useful, beneficial tools that lead toward a process of ensuring that systems are well-maintained. However, it is the harvesting of information, the evaluation and questioning of this information, and follow-up communication between system operators and Confluence's operations and engineering resources that ensures that Confluence's systems are well-maintained and capital investments happen as needed.
- Q. Do you have an example of the consequences of Confluence's failure to communicate with its system operators, and failure to understand when investments were needed?
- A. Yes. In December 2022, Staff received multiple customer service complaints concerning water quality and air in the water line at its Auburn subdivision system. WSS Staff investigated by meeting with Confluence (including discussing proposed capital improvements with Confluence engineering personnel), reviewing engineering reports, interviewing customers, inspecting the system, and submitting several data requests to Confluence.

During the inspection, Staff observed that the Auburn subdivision had built out to approximately 75 homes, that the constructed hydropneumatic tank storage capacity matched the records from initial construction when the subdivision had only five homes, and that distribution system flushing assemblies were not adequate for the system's needs. For most Confluence systems, flushing is conducted on an as-needed basis, but among water systems it is not uncommon for routine flushing to be necessary to maintain adequate service. Flushing of

- water mains is a pipe wall scouring process in which a high velocity water flow of approximately 3 feet per second is charged through each section of main toward a flushing assembly for removing impurities accumulated over time in the distribution system.⁴ Staff spoke with customers and were informed that Confluence had historically flushed the system, with the last approximately one year prior to Staff's inspection.
- Q. What did Confluence's engineering report recommend at the time of the acquisition?
- A. 21 Design Group, an engineering firm hired by Confluence, evaluated the system in late 2018, and at that time, Auburn subdivision had approximately five (5) constructed homes. 21 Design Group confirmed that the water supply and storage was adequate for the number of constructed homes at the time. 21 Design Group recommended that the owner monitor the customer base, the number of people served, and operation of the hydropneumatic tank for excessive well starts.
- Q. Was Confluence monitoring the number of customers in the subdivision and the hydropneumatic tank?
- A. It does not appear that it was. If it was, Confluence should have been aware that the Auburn customer base was exceeding the constructed available water storage capacity. With this knowledge, Confluence engineering personnel could have taken actions to develop plans, acquire necessary construction permit(s), and move forward with the necessary increase to water storage capacity for the system sooner than this year.

⁴ Revision of AWWA C651-14: The Water Main Disinfection Standard; REILLEY ET AL. | 107:10 • JOURNAL AWWA | OCTOBER 2015; 2015 © American Water Works Association

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So the number of customers had grown to more than 15 times the number that Confluence's engineer determined the system was suitable to serve?
- A. The system's adequacy degraded as customer numbers grew. The deficiencies at this system became increasingly apparent to customers.
 - Q. What problems were the customers witnessing?
- A. As I mentioned above, customers began experiencing water quality issues and air in their water lines more and more frequently.
 - Q. Was Confluence's contract operator trying to manage this problem?
- A. Yes. Initially, the contract operator was flushing the water lines to improve delivered water quality.
 - Q. How often was Auburn's system flushed?
- A. In response to a Staff Data Request⁵ for maintenance records specific to distribution system flushing, I estimate there are twelve records for system flushing and flushing-related complaints between October 2020 and March 2022.⁶ The flushing-related complaints are that there was air in the lines or air in the water. These flushing records end abruptly in March 2022, and flushing appears to discontinue with no additional action taken until the Auburn customers reached out to Staff for assistance in December 2022.
 - Q. Who was flushing the Auburn system?
- A. Confluence's contract system operators flushed the system. Staff does not know whether the contract operators told Confluence about flushing issues and the number of homes in the subdivision. What is known is that Confluence should have been aware sooner of issues

-

⁵ WR-2023-0006 Data Request No. 0043.1.

⁶ I can only estimate how many records there are, because the contract operators' notes leave room for interpretation.

- with air in the lines and air in the water, and if it was, its response could have come sooner.

 Based on continuing communication both by email and in person with Confluence personnel,

 and by email with Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("DNR") personnel, Staff is

 aware that Confluence recently replaced the flushing assemblies and began converting the air

 driven system pressurization to a pumping system. Limited flushing with the existing system

 is scheduled to resume in June.⁷
 - Q. Why is additional flushing not a remedy now?
 - A. Because of the customer growth, the expansion of the distribution system, and usage by those customers, the existing hydropneumatic tank design is inadequate to flush the distribution system and remove air from the lines.
 - Q. How is the air getting into the distribution system?
 - A. When water is drawn rapidly from the tank, the compressed air that occupies the upper portion of the tank for system pressurization is also drawn into the distribution system. This air will remain in the distribution system until drawn out through customer taps, or otherwise flushed out of the system.
 - Q. So the contract operator could no longer conduct routine flushing because the system no longer has the capacity to do so?
 - A. Yes, under the existing design. Confluence is working with DNR to attempt to make modifications to mitigate the problem.
 - Q. So is the existing storage and well production adequate for the expected number of customers on this system?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

⁷ June 7, 2023 email from Brad Thibault, Confluence Director of Asset Management

- Rebuttal Testimony of Andrew Harris 1 A. According to DNR, the original plan for the system included the addition of a ground storage tank before additional phases of home construction began.⁸ It is Staff's 2 3 understanding that the existing storage may be able to meet current average demand, but it is 4 not adequate to conduct routine maintenance. Therefore it is Staff's position that additional 5 storage is necessary. 6 Q. How is this infrastructure need connected to your early statements about Utility 7 Cloud and communications between the operator and Confluence? 8 A. Confluence's stated reliance on tracking information with Utility Cloud did not 9 result in necessary upgrades conducted in a timely manner. When Staff began investigating 10 this situation, Confluence did not appear to be aware of the infrastructure need at all. When the 11 operator was no longer able to conduct maintenance activities, this should have been 12 communicated to Confluence and investigated. If such an investigation had occurred, then the 13 needed upgrades would have been identified and scheduled for construction. 14 Q. 15
 - But shouldn't Confluence have also investigated the need for upgrades due to customer growth and the number of new billing accounts?
 - A. Yes, this should have been tracked for capital planning by Confluence.
 - Q. So, there are multiple problems with Confluence's oversight and management of this system?
 - A. Yes. Confluence needs to improve communications and oversight with its contractors. Confluence needs to better track customer growth and system capacities. And Confluence needs to conduct appropriate capital planning regardless of whether upgrades are

17

18

19

20

⁸ Multiple telephone conversations between Staff and DNR personnel

- needed due to customer growth, components of systems that have exceeded their useful life, or requirements of DNR for system capabilities as regulations change.
 - Q. What does Staff recommend to remedy this situation?
 - A. The task tracking in the Utility Cloud program alone is not a substitute for a five-year capital expenditure plan. Staff recommends that Confluence develop and implement a five-year capital expenditure plan as stated in Staff witness David C. Roos's Direct Testimony, and Staff recommends designation of personnel dedicated to overseeing operations in Missouri as stated in Staff witness Curt B. Gateley's Direct Testimony. Capital planning is needed not just to establish what must be done, but also for prioritization of spending on the most urgent needs of Confluence's systems. The communication flow between the contract operators, Confluence operations personnel, and Confluence engineering personnel must be improved to prevent recurrence of situations like the one described above.
 - Q. Is Staff aware of any other shortcomings to Confluence operations?
 - A. Yes, Staff is aware of customer complaints brought up during the LPHs. At this time Staff is investigating customer complaints including odor, metering accuracy, and service calls, and intends to report its findings in surrebuttal.
 - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
 - A. Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Confluence Operating Company, Inc.'s Authority to Implement a C Increase for Water Service Service Provided in Misson	Request for General Rate and Sewer) Case No. WR-2023-0006))
	AFFIDAVIT OF	F ANDREW HARRIS
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss.)	
	ed to the foregoing ording to his best l	d on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and grebuttal Testimony of Andrew Harris; and that the knowledge and belief.
	Ā	Andrew Harris
	J	URAT
Subscribed and sworn be	efore me, a duly c	constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for
the County of Cole, State of	Missouri, at my	office in Jefferson City, on this day
of June 2023.		
DIANNA L VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Cole County y Commission Expires: July 18, 2023 Commission #: 15207377	J N	Dianna L. Vaugut

ANDREW HARRIS

CREDENTIALS AND CASE PARTICIPATION

I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission as a Senior Professional Engineer, in the Water, Sewer & Steam Department. My duties include the review, inspection, and investigation of water and sewer systems and the development and preparation of recommendations and testimony regarding those systems. Specifically included are technical issues associated with water and sewer utility rate and acquisition cases including quality of service matters, utility plant utilization, costs incurred for providing utility service, and tariff rules. In addition to formal case work, I handle informal customer complaints that are of a technical nature, and informally assist water and sewer utility companies with respect to day-to-day operations, planning, and customer service issues.

Educational Background and Work Experience

I graduated from University of Missouri – Rolla in 1997 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering. I am a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Missouri and have been continuously licensed in Missouri since 2003. Previous employment includes experience in water and sewer operations and engineering with municipal, industrial, and consulting organizations. I hold certificates of competency at the highest level available from Missouri Department of Natural Resources for water and wastewater treatment as well as distribution system operations.

Case Participation

<u>Company</u>	Case No.
Missouri American Water Company (MAWC)	SA-2019-0334
Timber Creek	SA-2020-0013
Liberty Utilities	SA-2020-0067
MAWC	SA-2020-0132
Elm Hills	SA-2020-0152
Liberty Utilities	SA-2020-0216
Liberty Utilities	SA-2020-0398
MAWC	SA-2021-0017
MAWC	SA-2021-0074
Mid-MO Sanitation	SA-2022-0029
MAWC	SA-2022-0294

Confluence	SA-2023-0187
Elm Hills	SM-2020-0146
TUK, LLC	SM-2022-0131
MAWC	SR-2020-0345
Mid-MO Sanitation	SR-2021-0372
MAWC	WA-2019-0259
MAWC	WA-2021-0376
Liberty Utilities	WA-2020-0397
MAWC	WA-2022-0293
MAWC	WA-2022-0361
I-70 Mobile City	WC-2022-0295
Liberty Utilities	WM-2020-0156
Middlefork	WM-2021-0003
Liberty Utilities	WO-2022-0253
Raytown Water Company	WR-2020-0264
Elm Hills	WR-2020-0275
MAWC	WR-2020-0344
MAWC	WR-2022-0303
MAWC	WT-2020-0353