Exhibit No.:

103A

Issues:

Revisions to Direct Testimony, Capital Structure, Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt, Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock, Cost of Short-Term Debt, Overall Rate of

Return

Witness:

David Murray MoPSC Staff

Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

True Up Direct Testimony

Case No.:

GR-2006-0422

Date Testimony Prepared:

December 27, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

TRUE UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID MURRAY

FILED²

FEB 0 7 2007

Missouri Public Service Commission

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2006-0422

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2006 Case No(s) SR-200-010

ase Nots

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Sheets Designed to Increase in the Company's Missouri Se	Rates for Gas Service	/
AF	FIDAVIT OF DAVID N	MURRAY
STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE)) ss.)	
preparation of the foregoing	True Up Direct Testin	es: that he has participated in the mony in question and answer form, above case; that the answers in the

foregoing True Up Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of

David Murray

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 274 day of December 200

ASHLEY M. HARRISON Notary Public - State of Missouri My Commission Expires August 31, 2010 Cale County

Cole County
Commission #06896978



his knowledge and belief.

1	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	DAVID MURRAY
4	MISSOURI GAS ENERGY
5	CASE NO. GR-2006-0422
ĺ	
6	REVISIONS TO DIRECT TESTIMONY2
7	CAPITAL STRUCTURE2
8	EMBEDDED COST OF LONG-TERM DEBT
9	EMBEDDED COST OF PREFERRED STOCK4
10	COST OF SHORT-TERM DEBT
11	OVERALL RATE OF RETURN

1 TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 **OF** 3 DAVID MURRAY 4 MISSOURI GAS ENERGY 5 CASE NO. GR-2006-0422 6 Q. Please state your name. 7 A. My name is David Murray. 8 Q. Are you the same David Murray who filed direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal 9 testimony in this proceeding on behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission 10 (Staff)? 11 A. Yes, I am. In your direct testimony, did you recommend a fair and reasonable rate of return 12 Q. 13 (ROR) for the Missouri jurisdictional natural gas utility rate base for Missouri Gas Energy (MGE)? 14 Yes, I did. 15 A. 16 Q. What is the purpose of your true-up direct testimony? 17 A. The purpose of my true-up testimony is to make corrections to my direct 18 testimony; to update my recommended capital structure for MGE as of October 31, 2006, to 19 update the embedded costs of long-term debt, preferred stock and short-term debt to reflect the 20 actual costs as of October 31, 2006, and to provide an updated overall ROR recommendation 21 resulting from the changes in the capital structure and embedded cost rates.

REVISIONS TO DIRECT TESTIMONY

Q. Do you have any corrections you need to make to your direct testimony?

A. Yes. Schedule 9 attached to my direct testimony indicated a long-term debt balance of \$2,574,937,728. This amount was based the total amount of long-term debt indicated in MGE's response to Staff Data Request No. 0065.1 net of unamortized issuance costs as of December 31, 2005. After further review, Staff discovered that MGE's response included \$420,000,000 of short-term debt as of December 31, 2005. This amount needs to be deducted from the long-term debt balance. The resulting capital structure (see Revised Schedule 9 attached to this testimony) as of the test year should have been 41.36 percent common equity, 5.40 percent preferred stock, 52.03 percent long-term debt and 1.20 percent short-term debt. The new long-term debt amount was determined by subtracting the \$420,000,000 of short-term debt and the \$14,300,572 of unamortized issuance costs from the total debt amount of \$2,589,238,300.

- Q. Does this affect your overall ROR recommendation for the test year?
- A. Yes. My recommended ROR range is now 8.01 percent to 8.25 percent compared to my original recommended ROR range of 8.01 percent to 8.23 percent. Please see the attached Revised Schedule 22.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

- Q. Did you perform an analysis of Southern Union's capital structure as of October 31, 2006, the end of the true-up period for this proceeding?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - O. What was the result of your analysis?

A. As of October 31, 2006, Southern Union's capital structure was as follows: 36.06 percent common stock equity, 4.71 percent preferred stock, 55.92 percent long-term debt and 3.30 percent short-term debt (see Schedule 1).

- Q. Is this capital structure reasonable for purposes of estimating MGE's ROR in this proceeding?
- A. Yes. This capital structure is less leveraged than Southern Union's historical capital structures even when its primary operations were natural gas distribution. Please see pages 5 through 9 of my rebuttal testimony for a complete discussion on this matter.

EMBEDDED COST OF LONG-TERM DEBT

- Q. What was the embedded cost of long-term debt for Southern Union on October 31, 2006?
- A. The embedded cost of long-term debt for Southern Union was 7.649 percent as of October 31, 2006, which excludes the cost of Panhandle Energy's debt, which is consistent with the Commission's decision in the last MGE rate case, Case No. GR-2004-0209 (see Schedule 2). Southern Union's embedded cost of long-term debt no longer includes debt issuances from PG Energy (Pennsylvania distribution properties) or its Rhode Island Operations of New England Gas Company because these properties were sold on August 25, 2006. The embedded cost of long-term debt now includes a new 6.15 percent debt issuance, which was issued as part of a remarketing of the senior notes attached to Southern Union's 5.75 percent equity units. Southern Union also issued 7.20 percent subordinated notes as part of its refinancing of the \$1.6 billion bridge loan used to purchase Sid Richardson Energy Services, Ltd.
- Q. How does this compare with the embedded cost of long-term debt recommended for the period ending December 31, 2005?

A. Southern Union's embedded cost of long-term debt is 5 basis points lower than the embedded cost of long-term debt recommended for the period ending December 31, 2005. This can be attributed to the elimination of the higher cost debt from PG Energy and the Rhode Island properties.

EMBEDDED COST OF PREFERRED STOCK

- Q. What was the embedded cost of preferred stock for Southern Union on October 31, 2006?
- A. The embedded cost of preferred stock for Southern Union was 7.76% on October 31, 2006, (see Schedule 3). I relied on the embedded cost of preferred stock provided by Southern Union in response to Staff Data Request No. 0265. There has been no change in the embedded cost of preferred stock from the update period to the true-up date.

COST OF SHORT-TERM DEBT

- Q. What was the average cost of short-term debt for Southern Union on October 31, 2006?
- A. The average cost of short-term debt was 5.25 percent as shown on Schedule 4. Southern Union provided this information in response to Staff Data Request No. 0265.

OVERALL RATE OF RETURN

- Q. How have the changes to the capital structure and embedded costs affected the overall ROR for MGE?
- A. MGE's overall rate of return as of October 31, 2006, has decreased slightly. Staff recommends the following overall rates of return based on a return on equity range of 8.65% to 9.25% with a midpoint of 8.95% (see Schedule 5):

True Up Direct Testimony of David Murray

Return on Equity	8.65%	8.95%	9.25%
Common Stock Equity	3.12%	3.23%	3.34%
Preferred Stock	.37%	.37%	.37%
Long-term Debt	4.28%	4.28%	4.28%
Short-term Debt	17%	17%	
Overall Rate of Return	7.94%	8.04%	8.15%

- Q. What caused the slight decline in the ROR for the true-up period as compared to the test year?
- A. There are several factors that contributed to the slight decline. First, the common equity ratio decreased slightly since the test year. Second, the cost of long-term debt decreased slightly. Finally, although the short-term debt cost increased slightly, it now makes up a larger percentage of the capital structure and because the cost of this debt was still below the overall ROR, this decreased the ROR as compared to the test year.
 - Q. Does this conclude your prepared true-up direct testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.

Capital Structure as of December 31, 2005 for Southern Union Company

Capital Component	Amount in Dollars	Percentage of Capital
Common Stock Equity	\$1,713,268,182	41.36%
Preferred Stock	223,828,509	5.40%
Long-Term Debt	2,154,937,800 *	52.03%
Short-Term Debt	49,818,667 **	1.20%
Total Capitalization	\$4,141,853,158	100.00%

Gas Distribution Financial Ratio Benchmarks Total Debt / Total Capital

Standard & Poor's Corporation's RatingsDirect,	BBB Credit Rating based on a "3" Business Profile
Revised Financial Guidelines as of June 2, 2004	55% to 65%

Note: *Based on the principal amount of long-term debt outstanding less total unamortized issuance costs as of December 31, 2005 (2,589,238,300 - 420,000,000 - 14,300,572).

**Short-term debt balance equals average monthly short-term debt balance for 2005 calendar year less 2005 year-end construction work in progress balance (234,241,667 - 184,423,000).

Source: Southern Union Company's response to Staff's Data Request No. 0065.1 and Southern Union Company's 2005 Annual Report.

Weighted Cost of Capital as of December 31, 2005 for Missouri Gas Energy

Weighted Cost of Capital Using Common Equity Return of:

9.25%
3.83%
0.42%
3.96%
0.05%
8.25%

Notes:

See Revised Schedule 9 for the Capital Structure Ratios.

Capital Structure as of October 31, 2006 for Southern Union Company

Capital Component	Amount in Dollars	Percentage of Capital	
Common Stock Equity	\$1,713,268,182	36.06%	
Preferred Stock	223,828,509	4.71%	
Long-Term Debt	2,656,850,817 *	55.92%	
Short-Term Debt	156,971,164 **	3.30%	
Total Capitalization	\$4,750,918,673	100.00%	

Gas Distribution Financial Ratio Benchmarks Total Debt / Total Capital

Standard & Poor's Corporation's	BBB Credit Rating based on a "3" Business Profile
RatingsDirect,	
Revised Financial Guidelines as of	55% to 65%
June 2, 2004	

Note: * Based on the principal amount of debt outstanding less short-term debt and total unamortized issuance costs as of October 31, 2006 (2,832,319,300 - 155,000,000 - 14,300,572).

**Short-term debt balance equals average monthly short-term debt balance for the twelve months ended October 31, 2006 less the October 31, 2006 construction work in progress balance (283,333,333 - 131,362,169).

Source: Southern Union Company's response to Staff's Data Request No. 0265 and 0265.1.

MISSOUR! GAS ENERGY GR-2006-0422

Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt as of October 31, 2006 for Southern Union Company (Excluding Debt Held at Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Subsidiary)

Embeded	7.689% 8.468% 9.859% 8.186% 7.413% 6.150% 7.658% 5.119%	7.649%
Amortization of Issuance Cost	137,768 221,594 11,782 5,226 4,051 0 1,987,200	799,668 (104,372) 3,175,295
Unamortized Issuance Costs, Discounts, and Premiums	(2,376,498) (5,096,662) (156,608) (103,870) (85,580) 0 (9,936,000) (131,106)	(14,051,764) 1,804,970 (30,133,118)
Annual Interest	27,342,140 24,750,000 613,600 559,300 434,400 7,687,500 43,200,000 5,000,000	109,586,940
Annual Interest Rate	7.60% 8.25% 9.44% 7.99% 7.24% 6.15% 7.20% 5.00%	
Outstanding LTD October 31, 2006	359,765,000 300,000,000 6,500,000 7,000,000 6,000,000 125,000,000 600,000,000	1,504,265,000
Description	7.6% Senior Notes 8.25% Senior Notes Fall River 9.44% Fall River 7.99% Fall River 7.24% 6.15% Senior Notes 7.20% Subordinated Notes Mandatory Convertibles 5.00%	Acct 189 unamortized issue costs/discounts Acct 257 unamortorized premiums Total LTD

Source: Southern Union Company's response to Staff's Data Request 0265

Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock as of October 31, 2006 for Southern Union Company

Preferred Stock	Issuance Date	Original Issue	Outstanding as of 10/31/2006	Annual Interest Rate	Unamortized Issuance Cost	Annualized Cost to Company (1*2)
7.55% Preferred Securities	10/1/03	230,000,000	\$230,000,000	7.55%	(\$6,171,491)	\$17,365,000
			\$230,000,000			\$17,365,000

Source: Southern Union Company's response to Staff's Data Request 0265

Weighted Average Cost of Short-Term Debt as of October 31, 2006 for Southern Union Company

	Average STD	Interest
<u> Month</u>	Balance During Month	Cost per Month
11/30/05	377,000,000	1,212,760
12/31/05	420,000,000	1,565,016
1/31/06	375,000,000	1,460,237
2/28/06	355,000,000	1,409,580
3/31/06	305,000,000	1,465,249
4/30/06	268,000,000	1,216,323
5/31/06	250,000,000	1,177,037
6/30/06	251,000,000	1,145,218
7/31/06	290,000,000	1,317,187
8/31/06	219,000,000	1,264,009
9/30/06	195,000,000	977,670
10/31/06	155,000,000	940,351
	\$288,333,333	\$15,150,637
AVERAGE	\$288,333,333	\$1,262,553
	=	5.25%

Source: Southern Union's response to Staff Data Request No. 0265.1

Weighted Cost of Capital as of October 31, 2006 for Missouri Gas Energy

Weighted Cost of Capital Using Common Equity Return of:

		Common Equity Return of:		
Percentage E of Capital	Embedded Cost	8.65%	8.95%	9.25%
36.06%		3.12%	3.23%	3.34%
4.71%	7.76%	0.37%	0.37%	0.37%
55.92%	7.65%	4.28%	4.28%	4.28%
3.30%	5.25%	0.17%	0.17%	0.17%
100.00%		7.94%	8.04%	8.15%
	of Capital 36.06% 4.71% 55.92% 3.30%	of Capital Cost 36.06% 4.71% 7.76% 55.92% 7.65% 3.30% 5.25%	Percentage of Capital Embedded Cost 8.65% 36.06% 3.12% 4.71% 7.76% 0.37% 55.92% 7.65% 4.28% 3.30% 5.25% 0.17%	Percentage of Capital Embedded Cost 8.65% 8.95% 36.06% — 3.12% 3.23% 4.71% 7.76% 0.37% 0.37% 55.92% 7.65% 4.28% 4.28% 3.30% 5.25% 0.17% 0.17%

Notes:

See Schedule 1 for the Capital Structure Ratios.

See Schedule 2 for the Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt.

See Schedule 3 for the Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock.

See Schedule 4 for Weighted Average Cost of Short-Term Debt.