		Page 8
1	STATE OF MIS	SOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE C	COMMISSION
3		
4		
5		
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PR	OCEEDINGS
7	Discovery Conf	erence
8	September 24,	2012
9	Jefferson City,	Missouri
	Volume 2	
10		
11		
12	Ag Processing, Inc.,)
)
13	Complainant,)
)
14	vs.) File No. HC-2012-0259
)
15	KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations)
	Company,)
16)
	Respondent.)
17		
18		
	HAROLD STEARLEY, P	-
19	DEPUTY CHIEF	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
20		
21		
22		
23	REPORTED BY:	
24		CR
a -	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
25		

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9/24/2012

Page 9 1 APPEARANCES: KARL ZOBRIST, Attorney at Law 2 LISA GILBREATH, Attorney at Law SNR DENTON US, LLP 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100 3 Kansas City, MO 64111 (816)460-24004 karl.zobrist@snrdenton.com 5 FOR: KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations 6 Company. STUART CONRAD, Attorney at Law 7 Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 8 3100 Broadway 1209 Penntower Officer Center Kansas City, MO 64111 9 (816)753-1122 10 stucon@fcplaw.com FOR: Ag Processing, Inc. 11 12 SARAH KLIETHERMES, Senior Counsel TANYA ALM, Legal Counsel 13 P.O. Box 360 200 Madison Street 14 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573)751-3234 15 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 10 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (WHEREUPON, the discovery conference began 3 at 9:01 a.m.) JUDGE STEARLEY: I'm just going to note 4 5 that the court reporter has everyone's entry of appearance here for HC-2012-0259, the discovery conference scheduled 6 7 for September 24, 2012. And this was a regularly scheduled conference, but Mr. Conrad has been kind enough 8 9 to file a motion to compel on Friday, so we kind of know already about the ongoing discovery dispute. 10 Are there any other issues with discovery 11 12 other than those brought up in the motion that we need to address today? 13 14 MS. KLIETHERMES: Staff has none pending. 15 MR. CONRAD: Nor do we, other than those 16 mentioned. 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. And I'd received e-mails from Lewis Mills and Lowell Pearson 18 saying they were not going to attend, they had no issues. 19 So we'll just go forward. Mr. Conrad, it's your motion. 20 21 Do you want to start us out? 22 MR. CONRAD: Sure. We can approach it 23 however your Honor would like. We can either do them all 24 four or one at a time. Let me just start and then you 25 can -- then you can stop as you feel is appropriate.

> MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 11 1 Our first issue is with respect to an 2 objection posed to our Data Request No. 17, which I note 3 has a grammatical error, but I don't know that that appears to have caused any difficulty. The request says 4 5 monthly surveillance reports showing the company's 6 financial condition for the steam business for January 7 2006 through December 2009, and the objection appears to 8 be it's calling for information that is not relevant, 9 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of 10 admissible evidence, because it seeks monthly surveillance 11 financial reports for years not in issue in this case. 12 And I think we have in three following paragraphs to that -- I'm on page 2 of our motion. 13 14 JUDGE STEARLEY: I've got it on screen 15 here. MR. CONRAD: A, B and C have tried to state 16 17 that. Now, I probably could have cited you to, and I'm sorry I did not, the Missouri Supreme Court rule and 18 19 quoted that. 20 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's okay. I've also 21 got that on screen. 22 MR. CONRAD: But that appears to be not 23 a -- not a good basis. I notice the rule does put upon us 24 as the party seeking discovery what the relevancy is. And in the past, and particularly looking back to the past 25

	Page 12
1	case, GMO has taken the position that it was not
2	financially able, if you will, to deal with these hedges,
3	to deal with liquidation of them, to deal with the
4	potential losses, whatever. And it is our understanding
5	now, I'd have I'd be stressed right now to tell you
6	what that's based on, but it is our anecdotal
7	understanding that at least in several of those years
8	they've had a profitable operation. And I guess we would
9	just like to look into that and see if that's true or not
10	or, if not, with respect to what years or, if so, with
11	respect to what years.
12	So the relevance is not you know, if you
13	want to look at 2009, I mean, I agree that 2009 is the
14	year that is in specific dispute here, but that is not a
15	year that is is able to take out of context because
16	these the hedges of which we complain went for several
17	years. And, in fact, the charges go back to 2005-2006
18	when they purchased all of the hedges at one time.
19	Moving moving forward, then, are they not able to deal
20	with the financial consequences?
21	If that's going to be an argument here, I'd
22	just like to kind to eliminate it. If it's not going to
23	be an argument, then counsel can stipulate that it's not
24	going to be an argument. I mean, that's that's a
25	certain answer to it.

Page 13 But even if you say that, you still have 1 2 2009, and you still have the issue about whether it's 3 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. And at least as I read the rule, which may be 4 5 differently than opposing counsel does, it's not a good 6 objection to say that it is not going to lead to 7 admissible evidence. I can see if it were completely a 8 material issue, but that's not the case here. 9 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Mr. Zobrist, do you 10 want to respond? MR. ZOBRIST: Yes, Judge. First of all, 11 12 when Mr. Conrad filed the motion, there was not a service copy attached. I did get one available to me about 13 four o'clock on Friday afternoon. I have not been able to 14 15 talk with anyone at the company about this, and so I really can't provide a detailed answer. 16 17 But I can say that despite the objection to AGP's No. 17 as well as 44, 46 and 48, the company did 18 provide responses. We did not just stand on our 19 objections. And so, you know, I'm in the process this 20 21 morning of trying to gather up what we did provide, and I believe perhaps not in No. 17 because it may be that we 22 did not provide material going back to '06 and '07 and 23 24 '08, although I believe we did in a prior case, so I believe Mr. Conrad may have the information he needs, but 25

I cannot confirm that this morning.

1

2 So actually on both -- or I should say in 3 all four of these requests, I just wanted to advise the Commission that we have provided substantive responses, 4 5 and I believe actually on 44 and 46 we may have provided Mr. Conrad what he needed, but we did provide responses. 6 7 And I'd like just another couple of days to be able to 8 confirm what we did provide, and perhaps Mr. Conrad and I 9 can even work these out among ourselves. 10 JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, let me just --11 MR. CONRAD: Judge, we're happy to do that. 12 JUDGE STEARLEY: Let me clarify while we've got everybody here. My understanding, these were hedges 13 were placed perhaps three years prior to 2009? 14 15 MR. ZOBRIST: That's correct. The 2006 hedges were placed in 2006. The 2000 hedges were placed, 16 17 I think, over 2006 and 2007, and then the '08 and '09 were placed over a period of about 24 to 28 months. And so 18 some of them were placed back in '06, but some of them, 19 20 you know, also proceeded over, you know, a series of 21 months, but they were -- they were placed historically before 2009. 22 23 JUDGE STEARLEY: You know, and as I read the discovery rule, it's kind of a two-part test, and I 24 e-mailed the court citation, but you just look to see if 25

Page 14

	Page 15
1	there's any information which could be relevant, which is
2	a really broad standard, or if it's not relevant, if it
3	could reasonably be calculated to lead to the discovery of
4	admissible evidence. It's kind of like two steps, but
5	it's a pretty wide open standard in terms of discovery.
6	You may discover a lot of things, and some of that may or
7	may not be admissible, which would be another issue for
8	another time.
9	The way I'm reading the discovery request
10	or the time period for the information requested on these
11	four, I believe those fall under what would be relevant.
12	And I don't see any objections based on privilege. So
13	just looking at this in general terms, it looks to me like
14	the information being requested is, in fact, discoverable
15	information and should be provided.
16	Now, as far as what may have already been
17	provided in the prior case, which we said at the outset of
18	this case would be able to be pulled into this case, and
19	not seeing what your what your responses have been, I'm
20	not sure if, Mr. Conrad, if you have gotten the
21	information that you need or have actually wanted to get
22	or not. So I mean, if you two think you can go through
23	what's been provided and work it out and get back to me,
24	that's fine, too.
25	But in terms of the relevance, I think what

Page 16 I read on your data request is discoverable. 1 2 MR. CONRAD: Judge, for our part, we're 3 less interested in having a dispute. We've got obviously a basic dispute which will be exposed in the hearing room 4 5 or elsewhere, but we're not interested in having a dispute about discovery. So if we can work something out within a 6 7 reasonable time parameter, we're eager to do that. 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. 9 MR. ZOBRIST: And I would say, Judge, our objections on the time frame that Mr. Conrad has raised 10 11 were only on No. 17 and 48. We had objections really as 12 to form on 46 and 44. As I said, I think we've responded 13 fully to those, but I need to confirm that and, you know, Lisa and I will be glad to talk to Mr. Conrad offline to 14 15 see if we have anything more. I do understand your position, your ruling as far as the period of time and the 16 17 relevance. 18 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Well, why don't at this point I'll leave it to you guys to get your 19 20 heads together and figure out just what you have provided. 21 If there's anything else you need, Mr. Conrad, you can 22 e-mail, contact me by phone. If we need to meet again, we 23 can. 24 MR. CONRAD: Okay. That would be fine. The one thing I think that might be -- might remain open 25

Page 17 was the objection that was voiced to No. 48, which I 1 2 understand your ruling on that. And I think the intent 3 was there, Mr. Zobrist, to include the other years from 2005 on, and I think that was simply an error on my part 4 5 or on the consultant's part. 6 So what I would like to be able to do if 7 you need it is to, after we review what you have already 8 provided, is either supplement that or clarify that so 9 that we do have the years covered, but I would -- I would hope that we wouldn't have to go through this again 10 11 trouble, Judge, about, you know, years that you're 12 acknowledging are involved here. MR. ZOBRIST: So what you're saying is that 13 No. 48 is to read 2005 through 2009? 14 15 MR. CONRAD: Right. 16 MR. ZOBRIST: Right. That's what I --17 MR. CONRAD: That's my -- that is my understanding at this point. I'm not the -- I wish I 18 could say that I was the consultant on this, too, but then 19 20 I wouldn't be able to get on the witness stand, and that 21 would be somewhat more complicated to fuss with. But I will check that out, and also we will check from our 22 direction, Judge, as to what we have received. 23 24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. 25 MR. CONRAD: And we will -- we will, I'm

	Page 18
1	sure, from our part be eager to confer, and Mr. Zobrist,
2	I'm not in my office right now, but I will be later on
3	this morning, and depending on what time you get closure
4	on your side, perhaps this afternoon or something will be
5	fine.
6	MR. ZOBRIST: We'll try for this afternoon
7	or certainly tomorrow morning.
8	MR. CONRAD: Or tomorrow morning's fine.
9	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. I do want
10	bring up one other thing. Mr. Zobrist currently has a
11	live motion to stay this matter pending the ruling from
12	the Western District on the prior case, and the Commission
13	may very well hold the case in abeyance and suspend the
14	procedural schedule.
15	If that happens, until that ruling comes
16	out, I do not want it to stay any of the other processes
17	going on behind the scenes. In other words, I would still
18	want discovery completed, because at which time the
19	Commission, if it does stay that, if it resets it for a
20	hearing after that Western District decision comes down, I
21	don't want us to be four, five, six months behind in
22	having these other matters taken care of. Parties have
23	any thoughts or input on that?
24	MS. KLIETHERMES: I have a question, Judge.
25	And I don't have a copy of the procedural schedule with

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9/24/2012

	Page 19
1	me. I apologize. Would you still expect parties to file
2	things like the issues list and a position statement?
3	JUDGE STEARLEY: No. I would be looking at
4	suspending all of those items, but discovery things,
5	things of that nature, I would want that to continue. I
6	think we're past maybe I should pull it up and see. In
7	terms of I don't believe there's any outstanding
8	testimony to be filed, is there, at this point?
9	MR. ZOBRIST: I think everything's been
10	filed as of last week, Judge.
11	MR. CONRAD: I believe that's the case.
12	JUDGE STEARLEY: Yeah. We were looking at
13	a November 17 start date, I think.
14	MR. ZOBRIST: 19th.
15	JUDGE STEARLEY: 19th. Yeah.
16	MR. CONRAD: We might just so I'm sure
17	it won't catch Mr. Zobrist by surprise, but we might do
18	some depositions. Some might be just simply here's what
19	you said before, but I think that's probably already in
20	pursuant to earlier orders, but there are a couple of
21	folks out there that have some new information that may
22	need to be poked at a little bit. And so we would I
23	would intend to do that.
24	There's a possibility after that, Judge, if
25	there were to be a stay pending, as you mentioned, then we

Page 20 might in that period of time ask you for leave to file 1 2 some supplemental -- I think the last round was 3 surrebuttal. I'm not sure. But we might ask you for leave to do that. That would be based on those 4 5 depositions. 6 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's perfectly 7 reasonable. And the discovery process is going on, what I 8 would probably do is allow everyone leave to supplement 9 prior testimony if the matters come up prior to picking up with any other parts of the procedural schedule. 10 I did want to make clear that that is out 11 12 there, and it may very well be that we have a suspension, but I don't want that to stop any of the other -- those 13 would just be for the dates that were on the procedural 14 15 schedule, and I don't want to bring the matter to a complete halt where we lose time on getting other things 16 17 accomplished. 18 MS. KLIETHERMES: Do you know what sort of -- I quess alternative's not the right word, but what 19 20 sort of hearing dates might be contemplated if it does get 21 delayed at all? JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, my quess is we'd 22 have to wait for the Western District decision and then we 23 could set a time frame after that. 24 25 MR. CONRAD: The case was just submitted, I

Page 21 believe --1 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: I believe that's right. 3 MR. CONRAD: -- Wednesday. JUDGE STEARLEY: So we may be looking at 4 six months or longer for that to turn around. 5 6 MR. CONRAD: There is no operation of law 7 date on that court, as far as I know. 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Right. 9 MR. CONRAD: They would usually take, I would -- at least it's been my experience that it's less 10 11 than or not -- excuse me -- not less than three months, 12 but any time after that it's in the, you know, the bosom 13 of the court. 14 MS. KLIETHERMES: I was just contemplating whether this would run into interfering with the Empire 15 hearings and developments in that case. So if we could 16 17 just keep that and, of course, any other Commission cases 18 mindful. 19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Right. Of course, Ameren and GMO will be behind us if we end up waiting because 20 21 those are coming up quickly. Do you off the top of your head, Ms. Kliethermes, remember the start date for Empire? 22 23 MS. KLIETHERMES: It seems like it's the end of January, beginning of February, but I don't recall. 24 25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. We can adapt.

> MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 22 MR. CONRAD: I'm sure that were this to 1 2 occur, that your Honor would take whatever the existing 3 schedule is at that time into consideration. JUDGE STEARLEY: Certainly. 4 MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, I thought I might 5 mention, something happened to the Commission's website. 6 7 We used to be able to get about a six-month horizon into 8 the hearing schedule, and it has been truncated recently. 9 I wasn't on it last week, but --10 MS. GILBREATH: I was, and it's only through October. 11 12 MR. ZOBRIST: Yeah, it's only through 13 October. Unless you happen to know when your specific case is, you can't see other hearing dates like into next 14 15 year. 16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. I will bring that 17 up and see what's going on with that. 18 MR. CONRAD: I guess I'd second that, 19 although I haven't tried that. That can be very useful 20 when trying to look ahead. 21 JUDGE STEARLEY: They've made some changes, and, of course, sometimes people think the changes are 22 helping us. Sometimes they're not. 23 MR. CONRAD: Judge, I don't know if this is 24 the time or the place, but at one point in time, maybe 25

	Page 23
1	this has been as long as a couple years ago or maybe
2	longer, we had a working group on the on EFIS, and I
3	don't that seems to have been abandoned, and there
4	would be some things that might be useful to get that
5	restarted. Now, I don't know. Sometimes the IT folk
6	don't like to be don't like to have their decisions
7	questioned. They just make an assumption that, well,
8	everybody wanted to do what I wanted to do, which is a
9	little like Microsoft Word. The document comes out
10	looking just exactly the way Bill thought you wanted it to
11	look.
12	JUDGE STEARLEY: I can check into that as
13	well. I personally made a request for an additional or
14	an addition to the EFIS notification so they'd reflect who
15	the judges were on the cases. That would have some
16	advantage for those of us who get every single EFIS
17	notification to figure out which ones actually involve our
18	cases and things of this nature, and I made that request a
19	couple months ago. So things are very slow in happening.
20	MR. CONRAD: There used to be a box down at
21	the bottom of the filing thingy that you checked if it was
22	due today or not, and the theory that I was told about
23	that was that that sent a notice to whomever the assigned
24	judge was, but that that had absolutely no significance at
25	all. It didn't work. I don't know if it didn't work

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 24 because just never enabled it or it didn't work because it 1 2 didn't work. That's the old software game, you know. 3 It's not a bug, it's a feature. JUDGE STEARLEY: I've got too many features 4 5 and not enough of them that I can really use. Well, is there anything else we need to take up? 6 7 MR. CONRAD: No. Insofar as we're 8 concerned, I think we're done. Looking forward to hearing 9 from Mr. Zobrist, and we'll work on our end with what we 10 need do. 11 MR. ZOBRIST: We have nothing else either, 12 Judge. JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. If you need to 13 contact me, if we do need to have another meeting, feel 14 15 free to e-mail. You can also reach me at home this week. It would be 573-474-5040. I mean, we live in the 16 17 electronic age. I've got the computer and I've got the phone. I can't run. I can't hide. 18 19 MR. CONRAD: I last four were 4740, but I missed the middle. 20 21 JUDGE STEARLEY: Yeah. 574-474-5040. And I'll be checking the work e-mail from home as well. 22 23 MR. CONRAD: Well, I hope your wife gets to 24 feeling better. 25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. I appreciate

```
Page 25
     that very much.
 1
 2
                    MR. CONRAD: Thank you, Judge.
                    JUDGE STEARLEY: If there's nothing else,
 3
     we'll --
 4
 5
                    MR. CONRAD: And Karl, thanks for setting
     up the conference.
 6
 7
                    MR. ZOBRIST: Sure. You're welcome.
                    JUDGE STEARLEY: Yeah. Thank you again for
 8
     that, Mr. Zobrist.
9
10
                    MR. ZOBRIST: Thank you, Judge.
11
                    JUDGE STEARLEY: If there's nothing else,
12
     we'll go ahead and go off the record.
13
                    (WHEREUPON, the discovery conference was
14
    concluded at 9:25 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9/24/2012

	Page 26
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss.
4	COUNTY OF COLE)
5	I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest Litigation
7	Services, do hereby certify that I was personally present
8	at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the
9	time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof;
10	that I then and there took down in Stenotype the
11	proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true
12	and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at
13	such time and place.
14	Given at my office in the City of
15	Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri.
16	
17	Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	APPEARAN	Broadway 9:8	closure 18:3	19:11,16
A	9:1	brought 10:12	Cole 26:4,15	20:25 21:3,6
abandoned	appears 11:4,7	bug 24:3	come 20:9	21:9 22:1,18
23:3	11:22	business 11:6	comes 18:15,20	22:24 23:20
abeyance 18:13	appreciate		23:9	24:7,19,23
able 12:2,15,19	24:25	C	coming 21:21	25:2,5
13:14 14:7	approach	C 10:1 11:16	Commission	consequences
15:18 17:6,20 22:7	10:22	26:2,2	8:2 9:16 14:4	12:20
above-entitled	appropriate	calculated 11:9	18:12,19	consideration
26:8	10:25	13:3 15:3	21:17	22:3
absolutely	argument	calling 11:8	Commission's	consultant
23:24	12:21,23,24	caption 26:9	22:6	17:19
accomplished	assigned 23:23	care 18:22	company 8:15	consultant's
20:17	assumption	case 11:11 12:1	9:6 13:15,18	17:5
acknowledging	23:7	13:8,24 15:17	company's	contact 16:22
17:12	attached 13:13	15:18,18	11:5	24:14
adapt 21:25	attend 10:19	18:12,13	compel 10:9	contemplated
addition 23:14	Attorney 9:1,2	19:11 20:25	complain 12:16	20:20
additional	9:7	21:16 22:14	Complainant	contemplating
23:13	available 13:13	cases 21:17	8:13	21:14
address 10:13	a.m 10:3 25:14	23:15,18	complete 20:16	context 12:15
admissible		catch 19:17	completed	continue 19:5
11:10 13:7	<u> </u>	cause 26:8	18:18	copy 13:13
15:4,7	B 11:16	caused 11:4	completely	18:25
advantage	back 11:25	CCR 8:24	13:7	correct 14:15
23:16	12:17 13:23	26:17	complicated	26:12
advise 14:3	14:19 15:23	Center 9:8	17:21	counsel 9:12,12
afternoon	based 12:6	certain 12:25	computer	12:23 13:5
13:14 18:4,6	15:12 20:4	certainly 18:7	24:17	County 26:4,15
Ag 8:12 9:11	basic 16:4	22:4	concerned 24:8	couple 14:7
age 24:17	basis 11:23	Certified 26:5	concluded	19:20 23:1,19
ago 23:1,19	began 10:2	certify 26:7	25:14	course 21:17
AGP's 13:18	beginning 21:24	changes 22:21 22:22	condition 11:6	21:19 22:22
agree 12:13			confer 18:1	court 10:5
ahead 22:20	believe 13:22 13:24,25 14:5	charges 12:17 check 17:22,22	conference 8:7	11:18 14:25
25:12	15:11 19:7,11	23:12	10:2,6,8 25:6	21:7,13
allow 20:8	21:1,2	checked 23:21	25:13	covered 17:9
ALM 9:12	better 24:24	checking 24:22	confirm 14:1,8	CSR 8:24
alternative's	Bill 23:10	CHIEF 8:19	16:13	26:17
20:19	bit 19:22	citation 14:25	Conrad 9:7,7	currently 18:10
Ameren 21:19	bosom 21:12	cited 11:17	10:8,15,20,22	D
anecdotal 12:6	bottom 23:21	City 8:9 9:3,9	11:16,22	$\frac{D}{D 10:1}$
answer 12:25	box 9:13 23:20	9:14 26:14	13:12,25 14:6 14:8,11 15:20	data 11:2 16:1
13:16	bring 18:10	clarify 14:12	14:8,11 15:20	date 19:13 21:7
apologize 19:1	20:15 22:16	17:8	16:24 17:15	21:22
appearance	broad 15:2	clear 20:11	17:17,25 18:8	dates 20:14,20
10:5			17.17,23 10.0	
	-	-	-	-

22:14	17:8 24:11	19:1 20:1	21:20	Honor 10:23
days 14:7	electronic	filed 13:12 19:8	go 10:20 12:17	22:2
deal 12:2,3,3	24:17	19:10	15:22 17:10	hope 17:10
12:19	eliminate 12:22	filing 23:21	25:12,12	24:23
December 11:7	Empire 21:15	financial 11:6	going 10:4,19	horizon 22:7
decision 18:20	21:22	11:11 12:20	12:21,22,24	I
20:23	enabled 24:1	financially	13:6,23 18:17	
decisions 23:6	entry 10:5	12:2	20:7 22:17	include 17:3
delayed 20:21	error 11:3 17:4	fine 15:24	good 11:23	information
DENTON 9:2	everybody	16:24 18:5,8	13:5	11:8 13:25
depending 18:3	14:13 23:8	Finnegan 9:7	gotten 15:20	15:1,10,14,15
depositions	everyone's 10:5	firm 26:6	grammatical	15:21 19:21
19:18 20:5	everything's	first 11:1 13:11	11:3	input 18:23
DEPUTY 8:19	19:9	five 18:21	Greater 8:15	Insofar 24:7
despite 13:17	evidence 11:10	folk 23:5	9:5	intend 19:23
detailed 13:16	13:4,7 15:4	folks 19:21	group 23:2	intent 17:2 interested 16:3
developments	exactly 23:10	following 11:12	guess 12:8	
21:16	excuse 21:11	foregoing	20:19,22	16:5
differently	existing 22:2	26:11	22:18	interfering 21:15
13:5	expect 19:1	form 16:12	guys 16:19	involve 23:17
difficulty 11:4	experience	forth 26:9	Н	involved 17:12
direction 17:23	21:10	forward 10:20	halt 20:16	issue 11:1,11
discover 15:6	exposed 16:4	12:19 24:8	happen 22:13	13:2,8 15:7
discoverable	e-mail 16:22	four 10:24	happened 22:6	issues 10:11,19
15:14 16:1	24:15,22	13:14 14:3	happening	19:2
discovery 8:7	e-mailed 14:25	15:11 18:21	23:19	items 19:4
10:2,6,10,11	e-mails 10:18	24:19	happens 18:15	1101115 19.4
11:9,24 13:3	F	frame 16:10	happy 14:11	J
14:24 15:3,5	F 26:2	20:24	HAROLD 8:18	January 11:6
15:9 16:6 18:18 19:4	fact 12:17	free 24:15 Friday 10:9	HC-2012-0259	21:24
20:7 25:13	15:14	13:14	8:14 10:6	Jefferson 8:9
dispute 10:10	fall 15:11	full 26:11	head 21:22	9:14 26:15
12:14 16:3,4	far 15:16 16:16	fully 16:13	heads 16:20	judge 8:19 10:4
16:5	21:7	funy 10.13 fuss 17:21	hearing 16:4	10:17 11:14
District 18:12	feature 24:3	1055 17.21	18:20 20:20	11:20 13:9,11
18:20 20:23	features 24:4	G	22:8,14 24:8	14:10,11,12
document 23:9	February	G 10:1	hearings 21:16	14:23 16:2,8
due 23:22	21:24	game 24:2	hedges 12:2,16	16:9,18 17:11
uue 23.22	Feddersen 8:24	gather 13:21	12:18 14:13	17:23,24 18:9
Ε	26:5,17	general 15:13	14:16,16	18:24 19:3,10
E 10:1,1 26:2,2	feel 10:25	getting 20:16	helping 22:23	19:12,15,24
eager 16:7 18:1	24:14	GILBREATH	hide 24:18	20:6,22 21:2
earlier 19:20	feeling 24:24	9:2 22:10	historically	21:4,8,19,25
EFIS 23:2,14	figure 16:20	Given 26:14	14:21	22:4,5,16,21
23:16	23:17	glad 16:14	hold 18:13	22:24 23:12
either 10:23	file 8:14 10:9	GMO 12:1	home 24:15,22	23:24 24:4,12
	l			l

		I	1	1
24:13,21,25	live 18:11	11:10	office 18:2	personally
25:2,3,8,10	24:16	months 14:18	26:14	23:13 26:7
25:11	LLP 9:2	14:21 18:21	Officer 9:8	Peterson 9:7
judges 23:15	long 23:1	21:5,11 23:19	offline 16:14	phone 16:22
	longer 21:5	morning 13:21	okay 11:20	24:18
<u> </u>	23:2	14:1 18:3,7	13:9 16:8,24	picking 20:9
K 8:24 26:5,17	look 12:9,13	morning's 18:8	17:24 21:25	place 22:25
Kansas 9:3,9	14:25 22:20	motion 10:9,12	22:16	26:9,13
Karl 9:1 25:5	23:11	10:20 11:13	old 24:2	placed 14:14
karl.zobrist	looking 11:25	13:12 18:11	ones 23:17	14:16,16,18
9:4	15:13 19:3,12	moving 12:19	ongoing 10:10	14:19,21
KCP&L 8:15	21:4 23:10	12:19	open 15:5	point 16:19
9:5	24:8		16:25	17:18 19:8
keep 21:17	looks 15:13	<u> </u>	operation 12:8	22:25
Kellene 8:24	lose 20:16	N 10:1	21:6	poked 19:22
26:5,17	losses 12:4	nature 19:5	Operations	posed 11:2
kind 10:8,9	lot 15:6	23:18	8:15 9:5	position 12:1
12:22 14:24	Lowell 10:18	need 10:12	opposing 13:5	16:16 19:2
15:4		15:21 16:13	orders 19:20	possibility
Kliethermes	<u> </u>	16:21,22 17:7	outset 15:17	19:24
9:12 10:14	Madison 9:13	19:22 24:6,10	outstanding	potential 12:4
18:24 20:18	Main 9:3	24:13,14	19:7	present 26:7
21:14,22,23	material 13:8	needed 14:6	o'clock 13:14	Presiding 8:18
know 10:9 11:3	13:23	needs 13:25		pretty 15:5
12:12 13:20	matter 18:11	never 24:1	$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}}$	prior 13:24
14:20,20,23	20:15	new 19:21	P 10:1	14:14 15:17
16:13 17:11	matters 18:22	note 10:4 11:2	page 11:13	18:12 20:9,9
20:18 21:7,12	20:9	notes 26:12	paragraphs	privilege 15:12
22:13,24 23:5	mean 12:13,24	notice 11:23	11:12	probably 11:17
23:25 24:2	15:22 24:16	23:23	parameter 16:7	19:19 20:8
L	meet 16:22	notification	part 16:2 17:4	procedural
law 8:19 9:1,2	meeting 24:14	23:14,17	17:5 18:1	18:14,25
9:7 21:6	mention 22:6	November	particularly	20:10,14
lead 11:9 13:3	mentioned	19:13	11:25	proceeded
13:6 15:3	10:16 19:25	0	parties 18:22	14:20
leave 16:19	Microsoft 23:9	0 10:1	19:1	proceedings
20:1,4,8	middle 24:20	objection 11:2	parts 20:10	8:6 26:8,11
Legal 9:12	Midwest 8:24	11:7 13:6,17	party 11:24	process 13:20
Lewis 10:18	26:6	17:1	Pearson 10:18	20:7
liquidation	Mills 10:18	objections	pending 10:14 18:11 19:25	processes 18:16
12:3	mindful 21:18	13:20 15:12		Processing
Lisa 9:2 16:14	missed 24:20 Missouri 8:1,9	16:10,11	Penntower 9:8	8:12 9:11
list 19:2	8:15 9:5,15	obviously 16:3	people 22:22 perfectly 20:6	profitable 12:8
Litigation 8:24	8:13 9:5,13 11:18 26:3,15	occur 22:2	period 14:18	provide 13:16
26:6	MO 9:3,9,14	October 22:11	15:10 16:16	13:19,21,23
little 19:22 23:9	monthly 11:5	22:13	20:1	14:6,8
			20.1	provided 14:4
	1	1	1	I

14.5 15.15 17	nolowont 11.0	<u> </u>	somowhat	14:4
14:5 15:15,17	relevant 11:8	<u> </u>	somewhat 17:21	Suite 9:3
15:23 16:20 17:8	13:3 15:1,2	S 10:1		
Public 8:2 9:15	15:11	SARAH 9:12	sorry 11:18	supplement
	remain 16:25	saying 10:19	sort 20:18,20	17:8 20:8
pull 19:6	remember	17:13	specific 12:14	supplemental
pulled 15:18	21:22	says 11:4	22:13	20:2
purchased	REPORTED	scenes 18:17	ss 26:3	Supreme 11:18
12:18	8:23	schedule 18:14	Staff 9:15	sure 10:22
pursuant 19:20	reporter 10:5	18:25 20:10	10:14	15:20 18:1
put 11:23	26:6	20:15 22:3,8	stand 13:19	19:16 20:3
P.O 9:13	reports 11:5,11	scheduled 10:6	17:20	22:1 25:7
0	request 11:2,4	10:8	standard 15:2	surprise 19:17
	15:9 16:1	screen 11:14,21	15:5	surrebuttal
question 18:24	23:13,18	second 22:18	start 10:21,24	20:3
questioned	requested	see 12:9 13:7	19:13 21:22	surveillance
23:7	15:10,14	14:25 15:12	state 8:1 11:16	11:5,10
quickly 21:21	requests 14:3	16:15 19:6	26:3,15	suspend 18:13
quoted 11:19	resets 18:19	22:14,17	statement 19:2	suspending
R	respect 11:1	seeing 15:19	stay 18:11,16	19:4
$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R} \ 10:1 \ 26:2}$	12:10,11	seeking 11:24	18:19 19:25	suspension
	respond 13:10	seeks 11:10	steam 11:6	20:12
raised 16:10 reach 24:15	responded	Senior 9:12	STEARLEY	
	16:12	sent 23:23	8:18 10:4,17	
read 13:4 14:23	Respondent	September 8:8	11:14,20 13:9	T 26:2,2
16:1 17:14	8:16	10:7	14:10,12,23	take 12:15 21:9
reading 15:9	responses	series 14:20	16:8,18 17:24	22:2 24:6
really 13:16	13:19 14:4,6	service 8:2 9:16	18:9 19:3,12	taken 12:1
15:2 16:11	15:19	13:12	19:15 20:6,22	18:22
24:5	restarted 23:5	Services 8:24	21:2,4,8,19	talk 13:15
reasonable	review 17:7	26:7	21:25 22:4,16	16:14
16:7 20:7	right 10:17	set 20:24 26:9	22:21 23:12	TANYA 9:12
reasonably	12:5 16:18	setting 25:5	24:4,13,21,25	tell 12:5
11:9 13:3	17:15,16 18:2	sheet 26:9	25:3,8,11	terms 15:5,13
15:3	18:9 20:19	Shorthand	Stenotype	15:25 19:7
recall 21:24	21:2,8,19	26:6	26:10,12	test 14:24
received 10:18	24:13	showing 11:5	steps 15:4	testimony 19:8
17:23	room 16:4	side 18:4	stipulate 12:23	20:9
record 25:12	round 20:2	significance	stop 10:25	Thank 24:25
reflect 23:14	RPR 8:24	23:24	20:13	25:2,8,10
regularly 10:7	26:17	simply 17:4	Street 9:3,13	thanks 25:5
REGULAT	rule 11:18,23	19:18	stressed 12:5	theory 23:22
8:19	13:4 14:24	single 23:16	STUART 9:7	thereof 26:9
relevance	ruling 16:16	single 23.10 six 18:21 21:5	stucon@fcpl	they'd 23:14
12:12 15:25	17:2 18:11,15	six-month 22:7	9:10	thing 16:25
16:17	run 21:15	slow 23:19	submitted	18:10
relevancy	24:18	SNR 9:2	20:25	things 15:6
11:24		software 24:2	substantive	19:2,4,5
	I	5011Wal C 24.2		I

20:16 23:4,18	17:18	words 18:17	2005 17:4,14	9:01 10:3
23:19	use 24:5	work 14:9	2005-2006	9:25 25:14
thingy 23:21	useful 22:19	15:23 16:6	12:17	
think 11:12	23:4	23:25,25 24:1	2006 11:7	
14:17 15:22	usually 21:9	24:2,9,22	14:15,16,17	
15:25 16:12	.	working 23:2	2007 14:17	
16:25 17:2,4	V	wouldn't 17:10	2009 11:7	
19:6,9,13,19	voiced 17:1	17:20	12:13,13 13:2	
20:2 22:22	Volume 8:9		14:14,22	
24:8	vs 8:14	Y	17:14	
thought 22:5		Yeah 19:12,15	2012 8:8 10:7	
23:10	W	22:12 24:21	24 8:8 10:7	
thoughts 18:23	wait 20:23	25:8	14:18	
three 11:12	waiting 21:20	year 12:14,15	28 14:18	
14:14 21:11	want 10:21	22:15		
time 10:24	12:13 13:10	years 11:11	3	
12:18 15:8,10	18:9,16,18,21	12:7,10,11,17	3100 9:8	
16:7,10,16	19:5 20:11,13	14:14 17:3,9	360 9:13	
18:3,18 20:1	20:15	17:11 23:1		
20:16,24	wanted 14:3		4	
21:12 22:3,25	15:21 23:8,8	<u> </u>	44 13:18 14:5	
22:25 26:9,13	23:10	Zobrist 9:1	16:12	
today 10:13	wasn't 22:9	13:9,11 14:15	4520 9:3	
23:22	way 15:9 23:10	16:9 17:3,13	46 13:18 14:5	
told 23:22	website 22:6	17:16 18:1,6	16:12	
tomorrow 18:7	Wednesday	18:10 19:9,14	4740 24:19	
18:8	21:3	19:17 22:5,12	48 13:18 16:11	
top 21:21	week 19:10	24:9,11 25:7	17:1,14	
transcript 8:6	22:9 24:15	25:9,10	5	
26:12	welcome 25:7	0		
tried 11:16	went 12:16		573)751-3234	
22:19	Western 18:12	06 13:23 14:19 07 12:22	9:14 573 474 5040	
trouble 17:11	18:20 20:23	07 13:23 08 13:24 14:17	573-474-5040 24:16	
true 12:9 26:11	we'll 10:20	08 13:24 14:17 09 14:17	574-474-5040	
truncated 22:8	18:6 24:9	09 14.17	24:21	
try 18:6	25:4,12	1	24.21	
trying 13:21	we're 14:11	1100 9:3	6	
22:20	16:2,5,7 19:6	1209 9:8	64111 9:3,9	
turn 21:5	24:7,8	17 11:2 13:18	65102 9:14	
two 15:4,22	we've 14:12	13:22 16:11		
two-part 14:24	16:3,12	19:13	8	
	wide 15:5	19: 15 19th 19:14,15	816)460-2400	
<u> </u>	wife 24:23		9:4	
understand	wish 17:18	2	816)753-1122	
16:15 17:2	witness 17:20	2 8:9 11:13	9:9	
understanding	word 20:19	200 9:13		
12:4,7 14:13	23:9	2000 14:16	9	
	I	I	I	I

Г