1		STATE OF MISSO	Τ στι
Ŧ	PUB	LIC SERVICE COM	
2			
3			
4	TRA	NSCRIPT OF PROC	CEEDINGS
-			
5		Hearing	
6			
7		December 12, 2	
8	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 3		
-			
9			
10	USW Local 11-6,)
11	Peti	tioner,)
12	VS.))Case No. GC-2006-0390
)
13	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY)
14	Resp	ondent.)
15			
16			
			DRUFF, Presiding
17		JEFF DAVIS, Ch	HIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE Mairman,
18		CONNIE MURRAY, STEVE GAW,	
19		ROBERT M. CLAY	
20		LINWARD "LIN" COMMISSIC	
			-
21			
22	DEDADWED DV.	Monnio C Mons	Ant CCD CCD DDD
23	REPORTED BY:	Midwest Litiga	
24		3432 W. Trumar Jefferson City	n Boulevard, Suite 207 7, MO 65109
		(573) 636-7551	
25			

A P P E A R A N C E S 1 2 For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission: 3 Mr. Robert Franson Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street 4 P.O. Box 360 5 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-6651 6 7 For Office of Public Counsel: 8 Mr. Marc Poston Office of Public Counsel 9 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 2230 10 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-5558 11 12 For USW Local 11-6: 13 Ms. Sherrie Schroder Attorney at Law 7730 Carondelet, Suite 200 14 St. Louis, MO 63105 15 (314) 727-1015 16 For Laclede Gas Company: 17 Mr. Michael C. Pendergast 18 and Mr. Rick Zucker Attorney at Law 19 720 Olive Street St. Louis, MO 63101 20 (314) 342-0532 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Welcome back to the hearing. 3 We're ready to proceed with Mr. Pat White's testimony; is 4 that correct? MS. SCHRODER: Yes. That's right. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Good morning, Mr. White. 6 7 MR. WHITE: How are you? 8 JUDGE WOODRUFF: If you'll please raise your 9 right hand. 10 PAT WHITE, being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 11 12 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 14 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may be seated. And you may inquire when you're ready. 16 (By Ms. Schroder) Hi, Pat. 17 Q Good morning. 18 А Are you the same Pat White who filed written 19 0 20 testimony in this matter that's been marked as Exhibit -actually, two sets of -- just a second. 21 22 MS. SCHRODER: Do you want me to take him 23 through one set at a time? 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yeah. That would be good. MS. SCHRODER: Okay. 25

(By Ms. Schroder) The filed written testimony, 1 Q direct written testimony in this matter err that has been 2 3 marked as Exhibit 5-HC and 5-NP -- I mean -- HC and NP? 4 А Yes, I am. 5 0 All right. Do you have a copy of that in front 6 of you? 7 А I do not have one in front of me. 8 MS. SCHRODER: May I approach? 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may. (By Ms. Schroder) Okay. Mr. Wright, have you 10 0 reviewed that written testimony? 11 12 А Yes, I have. 13 And do you have any -- any corrections to it? Q I do not. 14 А All right. If I asked you the same questions 15 Q 16 today as are in the written testimony, would your answers be the same? 17 They would. 18 А And are these answers true and correct to the 19 0 20 best of your information, knowledge and belief? 21 А To the best of my knowledge, yes. 22 The union would move for the admission of 0 23 Exhibit 5-HP -- HC and NP. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. 25 MR. FRANSON: What about surrebuttal?

JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll get to that in a minute. 1 MR. FRANSON: Okay. 2 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Exhibits 5-HC and 4 NP has been offered into evidence. Are there any objections to its receipt? Hearing none, it will be 5 6 received into evidence. 7 (Exhibits 5-HC and 5-NP were admitted into 8 evidence.) 9 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. White, did you also file 10 0 surrebuttal testimony in this matter? 11 12 A Yes, I did. 13 And has -- is that the same surrebuttal 0 testimony that's been marked as 6-HC and NP? 14 15 A Yes it is. 16 Q All right. And is that in front of you? Yes. 17 А Q Do you have any corrections to that testimony? 18 I do not. 19 А 20 If I asked you the same questions today that I Q 21 asked you then, would your answers be the same? 22 А They would. 23 0 And were those answers true and accurate to the 24 best of your knowledge, information and belief? 25 A Yes, to the best of my knowledge.

MS. SCHRODER: The union moves for the admission 1 2 of Exhibit 6-HC and NP. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. 6-HC and NP have 4 been offered into evidence. Are there any objections to 5 its receipt? Hearing none, it will be received into 6 evidence. 7 (Exhibit Nos. 6-HP and 6-NP were admitted into 8 evidence.) 9 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for cross-examination, 10 11 we'll begin with Public Counsel. 12 MR. POSTON: No questions. Thank you. 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff? 14 MR. FRANSON: Thank you, your Honor. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSON: 16 Q Good morning, Mr. White. 17 18 A Good morning. My name is Robert Franson. I'm an attorney for 19 0 20 the Staff of the Public Service Commission. I've got a few questions for you this morning. What is your position 21 22 at the union? 23 A I am President of the Local. 24 Okay. Now, we're talking about USW Local 11-6; Q is that correct? 25

1 A This is true.

Okay. Now, as part of being the President, 2 Q 3 would it be fair to say that you were involved in the 4 preparation of this case for hearing in the sense you were 5 consulted and knew what was going on? 6 А That would be fair to say, yes. 7 Q And, in fact, in your position as President, you would have possibly made some of the decisions regarding 8 9 this litigation? I'd have probably -- yeah. I'd have been -- had 10 А a part in some of them, yeah. 11 12 Okay. And you were certainly informed of them? Q Absolutely. 13 A 14 Okay. Now, what I want to direct your attention Q to is some of the things that went on when the union first 15 16 knew about this. When did the union first become aware, and by union, I mean you, become aware that you believed 17 18 there were problems with AMRs? I'd have to say probably within the first couple 19 А of months that the AMRs were being installed. 20 21 Q And when was that? 22 I'm not positive of the exact date. I think А 23 probably about 18 months ago. I -- just a guess. 24 Okay. Well, I believe there's been testimony 0 25 that would pretty much coincide that's about when it

1 started.

2 Α Yeah. 3 0 So we're talking May/June of 2005 when it 4 started? 5 А Somewhere around there. Yeah. 6 Q Okay. Let's fast forward to October of 2005. 7 Fair to say you knew about allegations of problems with 8 the installation of AMRs? 9 А Yeah. Okay. In fact, did the -- the complaint that 10 0 was filed by the union on April 10th, 2005 -- 2006, did 11 12 you read that before it was filed? 13 I don't remember. I mean, I'm sure I did, but I А don't remember exactly. I mean, I don't handle that stuff 14 15 directly. We have a business manager and a business 16 representative that do most of the day-to-day union stuff. I mean, I -- I'm sure I did read it. And -- but I don't 17 18 remember it. Okay. Well, let me ask you this: Let's go back 19 0 a little bit further in time. Did you ever see a Labor 20 Tribune article in -- dated October 13, 2005, that is an 21 22 exhibit to the First Amended Complaint in this case? 23 I -- I read the Labor Tribune every week, yes. А 24 Okay. Do you believe it's a reputable 0 publication? 25

Yes, I do. 1 А 2 And you believe stories in it are accurate? Q 3 А I don't write the stories, but --4 Okay. Let's talk about one in particular. Q 5 MR. FRANSON: Your Honor, at this time, may I 6 approach the witness? 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may. 8 (By Mr. Franson) Okay. If you could take an Q 9 opportunity to review that, please. And, Mr. White, please tell me when you've had an opportunity to review 10 11 that, please. 12 А The entire thing? 13 Yes. When you've had an opportunity to review Q 14 this. Not necessarily reading every word about it, but take a look at it. 15 16 Α Okay. Okay. Have you ever seen this article before? 17 Q 18 I get the Labor Tribune every week, and I read Α it, so I'm sure I have. But I don't remember specifically 19 20 reading this particular article. 21 Q Okay. Well, how many pages are in the document 22 in front of you? Would you count those, please? 23 I count six. А 24 Okay. I'll make sure -- hm-mm. Interesting. I 0 25 count five.

1 A You're right. Five.

2 Okay. If you could look on the first page down Q 3 at the bottom, it says -- where it says USW, could you 4 read that, please? It's the little marking down there. 5 А USW 11-6/AMR. Is that what you mean? 6 Q 008? Is that on there? Yeah. 00 --7 А 8 Next page is the same, but it's nine? Q 9 Correct. А Ten, eleven and twelve. 10 0 11 MR. FRANSON: Okay. Your Honor, this is a 12 document taken out of -- it was an attachment of many 13 pages to the First Amended Complaint of USW Local 11-6. And at this time, I would offer it into evidence. 14 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Do you have copies for 16 the Bench? MR. FRANSON: I do. 17 MS. SCHRODER: And, your Honor, it was actually 18 -- yeah. It was attached to exhibit -- I mean, to the 19 Amended Complaint. It's already --20 21 MR. FRANSON: Yes. 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: We'll go ahead and mark it as 23 Exhibit 8. You've offered this at this point? 24 MR. FRANSON: Yes. I'd offer that into evidence. 25

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Exhibit 8 has been offered into 1 evidence. Are there any objections to its receipt? 2 MR. ZUCKER: Our only comment is that it not be 3 4 admitted for the truth of the matters asserted in here, 5 but just that this was a Labor Tribune article -- or it 6 looks like two articles published on the dates stated. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Is that your understanding also, Counsel? 8 9 MR. FRANSON: Yes, it is. JUDGE WOODRUFF: You're not offering this to 10 prove the information in this article, I am assuming? 11 12 MR. FRANSON: No. I don't -- no, I'm not offering it for that purpose. Mainly to talk about this 13 witness's awareness of the article. 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. With that 15 understanding, the Exhibit 8 will be received into 16 evidence. 17 (Exhibit No. 8 was admitted into evidence.) 18 (By Mr. Franson) Okay. Mr. White, in this 19 Q article, does this in anywhere say that the -- the alleged 20 sources for this article were USW Local 11-6 members? 21 22 MS. SCHRODER: I would just object to this on 23 the grounds that this witness has already said he doesn't 24 recall this article. And if Counsel wants to point him to 25 a particular -- obviously, the article states what it

1 states.

2 So first of all, it's the best evidence. And 3 this witness doesn't recall having read it before. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain that objection. 5 You can rephrase your question. MR. FRANSON: Okay. Thank you. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Franson) Let me ask you, Mr. White, were you a source for the -- this article? 8 9 I was not. I mean, not -- I mean, I don't А really remember. I'd have to read it all. But not that I 10 11 am aware of. 12 Q Okay. Let me address your attention to page --13 the very front page, page 4 --14 A Okay. 15 Q -- down about two-thirds of the way on the 16 column. It says, "Editor's Note: Names of persons quoted 17 in this series are being withheld to protect the identity of the gas workers who fear retribution from the company 18 for talking about the dangerous situation faced by the 19 20 public." 21 Had you ever heard from any of your union 22 members that they gave information to the Labor Tribune 23 for this article? 24 A I have not. MS. SCHRODER: Objection. 25

1 A Oh, I'm sorry.

2 MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry. Objection. Calls for 3 hearsay. MR. FRANSON: No. It calls for this witness's 4 5 knowledge. And I asked if he ever heard that. And that 6 question, the answer to that is yes, he's ever heard that, 7 or no. That is not hearsay. That's what this witness 8 knows. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, I'll overrule the 10 objection. A I have not. 11 12 (By Mr. Franson) Okay. Let's move on to the Q 13 First Amended Complaint. MR. FRANSON: Your Honor, I would ask that the 14 Commission take administrative notice of the First Amended 15 16 -- I'm sorry -- of the Complaint and the First Amended Complaint as an alternative. I can offer it into 17 18 evidence, but I think it will get us the same place. JUDGE WOODRUFF: They are part of the record in 19 20 this case already, so, yes, it's -- it's there. 21 MR. FRANSON: Okay. May I approach the witness? 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may. MR. FRANSON: Thank you. 23 24 (By Mr. Franson) Mr. White, if you would take a Q 25 look at that.

MR. FRANSON: Your Honor, just so Mr. Zucker 1 doesn't object, it's already in the evidence. I'm not 2 3 necessarily offering it for the truth. So I'm not 4 offering it for the truth other than it is a document 5 filed by the union. 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I haven't seen it yet. Do you 7 have a copy? 8 MR. FRANSON: Yes, I do. Your Honor --9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Is -- is this the Complaint? MR. FRANSON: Yes, it is. But that way, you've 10 got a copy handy. 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. 13 (By Mr. Franson) Mr. White, would you review Q 14 this and tell us -- tell me when you've had an opportunity to do so. 15 16 A Yes. Q Okay. Have you -- prior to today, have you ever 17 seen this Complaint? 18 I believe I have. I don't remember when, but I 19 А 20 -- I believe I've read it. 21 Q Okay. In this Complaint, is there any specific 22 address that you can see where the union says, We know 23 that there is a AMR installation problem at any specific 24 address? MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Again, the document 25

1 speaks for itself.

2 MR. FRANSON: Judge, you know, that's kind of 3 one of those objections that if that was strictly 4 enforced, then we would never have any witness talk about 5 any document. 6 I'm asking for the witness to review this and 7 give his interpretation of it. And the best evidence rule, which is really what she's alluding, strictly speaks 8 9 about the admissibility of the document. The witness is free to look at this document and talk about his 10 11 knowledge. 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 13 Go ahead and proceed. I don't -- I do not see a specific address. 14 А (By Mr. Franson) Okay. Now, and in -- in fact, 15 Q in here, there was no allegation that the union had ever 16 contacted the Staff of the Missouri Public Service 17 18 Commission. Do you see any such allegation in there? MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Relevance. 19 20 MR. FRANSON: Oh, the relevance, Judge, is that 21 one of the points that Staff is bringing here is that the 22 union did not notify the Staff at any time prior to August 23 21, 2006. 24 And that's in Mr. Leonberger's testimony, and it is -- was in my opening statement. Now, if Ms. Schroder 25

1 wants to stipulate right now that that was the first date 2 that the Public Service Commission Staff knew about the --3 all of these allegations, specific addresses, I'm all for 4 it, and I would so stipulate right now.

5 MS. SCHRODER: Your Honor, we would certainly 6 stipulate that April 10th, 2006, when we filed this 7 Complaint was -- well, I -- that that actually was the 8 first date that we notified PSC Staff.

9 We did not go to PSC -- to the PSC Staff before 10 we filed this Complaint. And then we -- I would also 11 stipulate that we answered a -- we timely responded to the 12 only DR in front of us at the time.

In fact, we answered it early when we filed our information and provided additional information to the Staff on or about August 21st of 2006.

16 We were not -- we had not been issued a data 17 request from Staff or from Laclede prior to that date. 18 And whether there had been some specific addresses 19 provided before that is possible because, in fact, we had 20 taken Mr. Leonberger's deposition before that, and I know 21 that -- I mean, provided by us.

And so we may have asked about some specific addresses at that time. But nobody had -- had issued us a data request for information before that date.

25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I assume you wanted

1 to explore that area a little bit?

25

2 MR. FRANSON: Oh, I do, Judge. But where I'm 3 going with this is -- and I've heard a stipulation that 4 we've had -- that PSC Staff, PSC was not notified until at 5 least April 10th. But it's not just DRs going out. It's 6 when did the union come forth with information, and that 7 is a crucial point, and that is what I want to explore. 8 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. I just want -- jump in. 9 MR. ZUCKER: Just one quick comment, and that is 10 -- the pleadings on file will show that our response to the original complaint in April was a motion for a more 11 12 definite statement seeking more information. 13 MR. FRANSON: And I've got that, and I'm going 14 there. MS. SCHRODER: And, in fact, as soon as that was 15 granted, we filed the First Amended Complaint. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And all that is in the record 17 18 in this case, so what's the reason for going into this? MR. FRANSON: It goes into the union's 19 motivation for bringing this complaint, Judge. That's 20 21 where I'm going. They didn't produce information until 22 they absolutely had to. 23 That is -- it goes to their motivation for bringing this is their -- their stated motivation is that 24 this is an altruistic purpose, that's it's strictly

1 safety --

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. I understand where you're going with it. All right. I'll allow you some leeway to go forward with this. The objection is overruled.

Q (By Mr. Franson) Okay. Mr. White, you heard
your attorney stipulate that, at least until April 10,
2006, the union had not brought forth specific information
about these allegations to the Public Service Commission
Staff. Is that -- do you agree with that?

11 A I -- I would agree with that. I know I didn't 12 personally, so I'm assuming we didn't. I'd say we didn't. 13 Q Okay. Well, let me just -- we've got on April 14 30th the -- as Mr. Zucker said, the company filed a 15 specific motion, and then there was an order of the 16 Commission that said, You've got to give more information.

17 Are you familiar with that? Or --

18 I am not. I don't handle that type of stuff. Α Then you know that the First Amended Complaint 19 0 was filed by -- by the union on, I believe, August 21, 20 21 2006. Do you know whether the union had specifically 22 contacted anyone at the Public Service Commission 23 regarding these allegations? 24 I do not know if they did or not. We didn't. А

25 Q Okay. And that was never a subject of

1 discussion with you or anyone that you've been -- you've known of regarding this case? Where -- where I'm going 2 is --3 4 А Yeah. I don't understand the question. 5 0 Okay. When you filed -- to your knowledge, why 6 did you -- why did the union file the First Amended 7 Complaint? 8 Well, I know there has been -- had been А 9 discussion from the very beginning. We were worried about the -- the gas leaks that the -- that we felt these things 10 were causing. 11 12 And we, you know, were worried about, A, the public safety and the safety of our members going out --13 14 you know, we felt there was leaks out there that needed to be addressed. 15 16 Do you know the primary difference in the Q 17 documents of the -- of your initial Complaint and your First Amended Complaint? Have you looked at both 18 documents? 19 20 I probably looked at them at one time. I don't А 21 recall. 22 Is it fair to say that one of the primary 0 23 differences is there's a lot more specific information in 24 the First Amended Complaint? 25 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Again, he's asking

3 MR. FRANSON: No. Actually, he hasn't said he 4 doesn't know that specifically. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 6 You can answer it again. 7 А I -- I don't know. 8 (By Mr. Franson) Okay. Thank you. Now, you've Q 9 got your testimony in front of you, correct? Yes, I do. 10 А Okay. If you could, turn to your surrebuttal. 11 0 Now, this is labeled HC, but I don't believe any of the 12 13 questions I'm going to ask you -- in fact, I better ask 14 you now. 15 What is it in your testimony that is -- is it 16 addresses that's -- is that the primary part that's a --17 what I'm asking is there's going to be asterisks around 18 what is highly confidential. Is there anything in your testimony that's highly confidential other than addresses? 19 20 MS. SCHRODER: I think you're asking --21 objection in that basically you're asking him a legal 22 question because he didn't indicate that he did that. 23 MR. FRANSON: Okay. I'll ask him. 24 MS. SCHRODER: I can tell you, though, if you'd 25 like.

the witness something that the witness has already said he

1

2

doesn't know.

1 MR. FRANSON: Please do. 2 MS. SCHRODER: We -- because you had made a big 3 deal about people's names and addresses, we -- we whited 4 out the names. 5 MR. FRANSON: Okay. 6 MS. SCHRODER: I think it's just two names. 7 MR. FRANSON: Okay. It's the names and then some addresses, correct, and then his schedule? 8 9 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. And some addresses. MR. FRANSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 (By Mr. Franson) Now, if you could turn to page 11 Q 3, lines 20 through 22 of your testimony -- of your 12 surrebuttal. And when you've had an opportunity to review 13 14 that, please tell me. I'm finished. 15 А 16 Okay. You state your opinion that Laclede Q 17 employees are significantly better equipped to avoid the risks of improper installation of the AMR device. 18 What specific Laclede employees -- and I'm not 19 20 asking you to name names. I'm asking you to name the type 21 of employee you believe is better qualified to install the 22 AMR than the people who are doing it. 23 Well, in my opinion, I think that, for the most Α part, most of our employees who work with gas on a regular 24 25 basis would be more qualified than the people that they

1 had out there doing the installations.

2 Okay. Now, what is it you do at Laclede? Q 3 А I am a Service Technician. 4 0 And what do Service Technicians do? 5 А Basically, we do pipefitting work. We answer 6 leak calls, work on furnaces, do turn-ons, turn-offs, 7 meter changes, house sale inspections, service work, 8 various --9 Q Have you ever gone out and installed an AMR device yourself? 10 11 Α I have installed an AMR meter, but we don't 12 install the device. 13 Okay. So when you say an AMR meter, you mean a Q gas meter with an AMR device already installed? 14 A That is correct. 15 16 Okay. But then what you do, among other things, Q is install the meters and take them out? 17 This is correct. 18 А Okay. So have you ever had any specific 19 0 20 training to install an AMR device? 21 A I have not. 22 Okay. So, in fact, it's fair to say that the Q 23 people who have had the specific training to install AMR 24 devices would actually know more about it than you? Would 25 you agree with that?

1 A I would not.

2 Q Okay. Now, so you -- you could install an AMR 3 device right now?

4 A I think, after yesterday, I could. And from 5 what I hear, they get about a half a day's training, and 6 most of it's on meter reading. And I've been reading 7 meters for close to 16 years now. And I know what a barking dog sounds like, so I think I'd be capable. 8 9 And do you know what specific protective 0 equipment a -- an AMR installer working for Cellnet must 10 11 wear?

12 A The only thing I heard yesterday was a vest, a 13 hard hat and safety glasses. That's what I can remember 14 what Mr. Clark's testimony was.

15 Q But your only source of knowledge for that is 16 what you heard yesterday?

A Yeah. I would say my only -- yeah. From what I heard yesterday is the only thing I know about it. Yeah. Q But you've never gone to the training to do AMR installation and found out exactly what you must wear; is that correct?

A Other than what Mr. Clark said yesterday, no, Ihave never gone to the training.

Q Okay. Let me change a couple things here. Let's go to page -- let's see -- line 1 -- let's see. I

want to make sure. Page 1, lines 8 through 10 of your 1 surrebuttal, if you could look at that, please. 2 MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry, Robert. What page? 3 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Page 1, lines 8 through 10 of 5 the surrebuttal. 6 Q (By Mr. Franson) And please tell me when you've 7 had an opportunity to review that. 8 I have had a chance to review it. А 9 Okay. Thank you. Now, you talk about wear on 0 the gaskets that's creating gas leaks; is that correct, 10 page 1, lines 8 through 10 of your surrebuttal? 11 12 А Correct. 13 What causes that wear? Q 14 Well, I think it was stated yesterday in --А No. I'm asking you, not what was stated 15 Q yesterday. I'm asking you, to your knowledge, what causes 16 the wear? 17 Well, coming through the meter, there is a --18 А there is a rod connected to a piece of metal. I don't 19 think it was called a wiggler. And if -- if it all --20 21 doesn't all fit on the meter correctly and it's -- if 22 there's any kind of alteration or if it's not flush on the 23 meter, it won't turn correctly. 24 And if you take a piece of rubber, which

basically are cork, whichever, really, anything other than

actually even metal and it's not a flush -- it doesn't 1 turn flush, there will be some wear and tear. 2 3 Q Okay. Do you know what the design life of a 4 gasket is? 5 A Oh, I have no idea. 6 Q Do you know whether the wear here is a function 7 of friction or heat? 8 A I would -- I would have to guess. Could be 9 both. Q But you don't know specifically? 10 A No. No, not specifically. 11 12 MR. FRANSON: Okay. I don't believe I have any further questions, your Honor. 13 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right, Mr. Franson. And for Laclede? 15 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKER: 17 18 Q Good morning, Mr. White. A Good morning, Mr. Zucker. 19 20 Q I'd introduce myself, but I see you know my 21 name. 22 I think we've met a couple times. А 23 Okay. Did I hear you testify this morning -- I Q 24 just want to clarify with -- in response to Mr. Franson's 25 questions that you read the Labor Tribune every week?

1 A Yes, sir.

But that -- but that you weren't familiar with 2 Q 3 the articles in the Labor Tribune on -- on -- specifically 4 on Laclede? 5 А Not on Laclede. I -- I wasn't -- I'm not 6 familiar with this particular article. I mean, I -- I'm 7 sure I read it, but I couldn't sit here and quote from it because I don't remember this in particular. 8 9 I'm not asking you to quote from it. 0 10 А Okay. Do you remember it? 11 Q 12 I remember there being a lot of articles on А 13 Laclede. You know, I am sure I read it. If I read through it word for word right now, I bet it would 14 probably come back to mind. 15 16 Okay. And you -- you said that you thought you Q 17 could do an AMR installation now. You couldn't do one 18 having just watched what you watched yesterday? I believe it was Mr. Clark that said we could 19 А 20 probably all do one now. But I -- I think that I probably 21 could. 22 Okay. Without having practiced? Q 23 I could sure try. Α 24 Okay. All right. I'm holding up a small device Q 25 here. Do you know what this is?

1 А Looks to me as if it's the -- the arm that comes out of the meter that -- that spins the -- the wiggler 2 3 arm, I guess is -- I mean, I've never heard it -- until 4 this hearing, I've never heard that verbiage. But it 5 looks familiar to me. 6 Q Okay. And do you know which part of this device 7 is inside the meter and which part is outside the meter? I would have to say no. I mean, I don't deal 8 А 9 with them -- I don't deal with the meters on a daily basis. I --10 Q Okay. And assuming that part of this device is 11 outside the meter and part of it's inside, do you know 12 which part of this device stops the gas from leaving the 13 14 inside of the meter and going to the outside of the meter? A I would say -- I couldn't speak factual to that. 15 I don't -- I don't deal with the meters -- the housing of 16 17 the meter. 18 Okay. You have your testimony in front of you? 0 19 Yes, I do. Α Okay. Let's see. Let's -- well, it looks like 20 0 21 I don't. 22 MS. SCHRODER: Would you like a copy of it, 23 Rick? 24 I just left it behind. A

25 MR. ZUCKER: Sure. Here it is. Okay. Thank

1 you.

2 (By Mr. Zucker) Can you go to page 3 of your Q direct testimony, I believe it's called? Yeah. Direct 3 4 testimony that you filed in this case on September, I 5 believe it was, 26? 6 А It's not the surrebuttal. It's an affidavit. 7 Q Yeah. It starts with an affidavit. You signed the affidavit on the 21st of September, and I believe it 8 9 was filed on the 26th. А 10 Okay. Page 3, line -- line 4 is where I'd like to 11 Q 12 start. "There is a rod inside gas meters that makes the 13 dial spin." Is that your sentence? 14 Α Yes. Okay. Is this the rod we're talking about? 15 Q I would have to guess that is the rod. I --. 16 Α 17 The rod that you're talking about, I should say? Q Yeah. I would guess that is. I -- from this --18 Α it was from training school. They take us through the 19 20 operation of the meter. I've never seen that particular 21 rod, but --22 Okay. Do you know what type of meter this rod 0 23 would come from? 24 A I do not. Q If I told you it came from an American meter, 25

would that sound -- would you accept that, subject to 1 2 check? 3 А I'd have to believe you. 4 Q Okay. 5 MR. FRANSON: Judge, as much as I hate to do it, 6 there are certain words that we are never to utter here. 7 Subject to check. He violated the rule. Could we have 8 him rephrase that so that we aren't left with maybe 9 something hanging later on? 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Franson is correct. We usually don't refer to subject to check. If he knows the 11 12 answer -- and he's actually given the answer, so -- all 13 right. MR. ZUCKER: Fair enough. 14 15 (By Mr. Zucker) Well, let me ask you it a Q 16 different way. Would you accept that this is from an American meter? 17 18 А If you say so. Okay. Then you say in your next sentence, 19 0 20 "There is a gasket around that rod that prevents gas 21 leaks: Can you identify for me where that gasket is? 22 Α If I had to guess, I'd say it's the black rubber 23 thing right at the tip of your finger. 24 Okay. That black rubber seal right there? 0

25 A Yeah.

1 Q Okay. And that's -- that's a guess? That is a guess. 2 А MS. SCHRODER: I'm going to object to this line 3 4 of questioning in the fact that he's pulling out parts of 5 a meter, and so he's taking all of this out of context. 6 And this man works with meters in context when they're all 7 -- the whole thing is together. 8 I mean, he's asking him to speculate by handing, 9 you know -- by putting up little pieces of the meter at a 10 time. 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: What is the relevance of this 12 questioning? 13 MR. ZUCKER: Well, this -- this witness has testified as to how the -- how the installation of an AMR 14 device causes a leak. He has definite theories on it, and 15 16 -- and -- and I want to see what he knows about how a --17 how that actually happened. I mean, he's testified to it. 18 MS. SCHRODER: His question -- his questioning isn't going to what he knows. He's, again, taking pieces 19 20 out of context. 21 MR. FRANSON: Judge --22 MR. ZUCKER: Specifically, right there on page 23 3, he specifically testifies to parts of the meter and how 24 they work. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 25

1 You can go ahead and ask your questions.

2 (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. So your -- your guess Q was is this piece right there -- and would you like to see 3 4 this closer? 5 А Sure. 6 Q Okay. I'm even going to give you this. And 7 I'll get another one for myself if I've got another one 8 handy. 9 MR. ZUCKER: Excuse me a second. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Sure. 10 MR. ZUCKER: You know, what I can -- I can see 11 it from there. Okay. 12 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You can approach if you need 14 to. MR. ZUCKER: Thank you -- thank you, your Honor. 15 16 (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. So once again, that Q small black rubber piece there, is it made of rubber? 17 Would you agree with me? 18 I would agree with you. 19 А 20 Okay. And -- and that's the gasket that you're Q 21 referring to around the rod that prevents gas leaks? 22 In my opinion, yeah. А 23 Okay. Now you say, "An AMR device is installed Q 24 with two screws: Is that -- do you know that for a fact? 25 A Do I know -- that is my opinion, yeah. That's

1 the way I understood it to be done.

2 The AMR device, how it was attached, you mean, Q 3 how it was installed? 4 А No. I would say the AMR -- the -- it's 5 connected with two screws. I have actually taken one 6 apart because, when we change the meter, we have to take 7 the batteries out when we change an existing AMR. So I know that there are four screws that hold the plate -- or 8 9 hold the glass on. Four screws hold the glass on and then -- is 10 0 that all there? 11 12 А From what I've seen, the two screws that hold 13 the actual AMR to the meter. There are four or two? 14 Q A Total of six. 15 Total of six. Okay. All right. Then you say, 16 Q 17 "In my opinion, a meter may leak if the gasket is not replaced correctly after an AMR installation." 18 Okay. I'll stop there for a moment. So are you 19 20 saying that if that gasket that you're holding, the rubber 21 seal, is not replaced correctly? 22 А No. I -- from what I know about the meters, 23 that is already in the meter when the AMR is taken off. 24 The gasket that I am talking about is on certain meters, 25 and I'm not positive of the -- the make.

1 There is a -- a gasket that sits on the face -or on the actual body of the meter that the AMR sticks on 2 3 that I believe -- like I said, I'm just speculating. I 4 have never changed an AMR. They have to clean that off to 5 get it to fit flush on the -- on the body of the meter. 6 Q Okay. And if it is -- and you -- you stated 7 you're speculating. If -- if it -- if it's not faced --8 flush, will that cause the meter to leak? 9 А The meter itself to leak? 10 0 Yes. Oh, I would say, -- I mean, to my -- from my 11 А 12 experience, I don't think that would cause the meter to 13 leak. Right. Okay. And if you didn't put an index 14 Q cover on at all and there was a leak coming through that 15 16 rubber seal, that leak would then be free to move into the 17 atmosphere; is that correct? To the best of my knowledge, yeah. 18 Α Let me take a step back and ask you some 19 0 20 questions about yourself. Are you a high school graduate? 21 А Yes, I am. 22 And did you attend college? Q 23 Yes, I did. А 24 What college did you attend? Q 25 А Rankin Technical College.

1	Q	Okay. And how how long were you there?	
2	A	Two years.	
3	Q	Two years. And did you graduate	
4	A	Yes, I did.	
5	Q	there? Okay. And what was your degree in?	
6	A	Refrigeration, air conditioning and heating.	
7	Q	Okay. Is that also known as HVAC?	
8	A	Yeah. Yes. They don't call it that there.	
9	They call	it REH there.	
10	Q	Okay.	
11	A	But it's the same thing.	
12	Q	Okay. And what year did you graduate?	
13	A	1990.	
14	Q	1990. And it says here you've been at Laclede	
15	o for 15 years?		
16	A	Fifteen years, ten months yesterday.	
17	Q	Congratulations.	
18	A	Thank you.	
19	Q	And was Laclede your first job out of Rankin	
20	Tech?		
21	A	No, it was not.	
22	Q	What where did you work?	
23	A	First job in the field, yes. I mean, I had	
24	numerous	jobs when I was in Rankin before I was at Rankin.	
25	But I'd have to say it was my first job in the HVAC field.		

1

- After you graduated, correct?
- 2 A Yes.

Q

3 Q Okay. And I think you may have kind of alluded 4 to this. You haven't taken specific training classes on 5 meters?

- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Okay.

8 A Other than what Laclede offers, you know, coming 9 up, you know, through our training program.

10 Q Okay. And so when you started at Laclede, I 11 guess, in 1990, I'm going to say, or '91?

12 A No. In '91.

13 Q '91. Okay. In 1991, you were given training at 14 Laclede at that point. And since then, you've received 15 more training at Laclede?

16 A Yearly.

17 Q Yearly. Okay. And have you received any 18 training outside of the Laclede, gone to any seminars or 19 classes? 20 A Yeah. I've gone to inter-union gas conferences.

21 I've gone to labor relation courses. But nothing that had 22 to do with -- well, I mean, yeah. I've been to many, 23 so --

24 Q Those -- those sound like they have to do with 25 being a union official?

1 A This is correct. 2 Q Okay. And how long have you been President of 3 the union? 4 А Two and a half years. 5 0 Two and a half years. Have you gone to any 6 technical courses on -- outside of Laclede? 7 А No. 8 Okay. Okay. Back to your direct testimony, if Q 9 you'll go to page 2, line 7, you state there that, "AMR meters usually leak below the dial glass." 10 11 А The ones that I have encountered, yes. 12 And below -- by the dial glass, you mean the Q 13 same thing as the index cover? 14 А I would say right where the -- the dial glass -yeah. The index cover meets the meter. 15 16 Okay, it's not made out of glass, though, right? Q Well, yeah. That's what we -- that's -- in the 17 А field, that's what we call it, the dial glass. Yeah. 18 Okay. It's a plastic index cover, basically? 19 0 (Witness nods head.) 20 А 21 Q And by the word -- was that a yes? I'm sorry. 22 Α Yes. 23 And by below, do you mean through the venting in Q 24 the index cover? 25 Α That -- I don't know. Every one that I've
encountered I have found with the leak detection equipment 1 Laclede provides, and that's where the alarm goes off. 2 3 But I've never actually, you know, been able to get the --4 the thing to bubble, so --5 0 Okay. So the alarm goes off when you hold your 6 equipment below the index cover? 7 А Correct. 8 Okay. And are you aware that all index covers Q 9 are vented on the bottom? I am now. 10 А Okay. Meaning you weren't before I just said 11 Q 12 that? 13 A No. I didn't know. 14 Look at page 2 on line 14 of your direct Q 15 testimony. 16 Okay. Okay. А There you're saying that, in your experience, 17 Q customers have usually complained of gas leaks within one 18 week of the AMR installation and that some customers even 19 complain the same day. Is that -- did I read that 20 21 correctly? 22 А You did. 23 Let's move real quickly to your surrebuttal. Do Q 24 you have that? On page 7. 25 A Okay.

Starting the end of line 11 when you say, "In 1 Q many cases where a leak is found on a meter equipped with 2 3 an AMR device, the leak occurs over a short period of time as a result of friction caused by the erratic spinning of 4 5 the drive axle." Did I read that correctly? 6 А Yes. 7 Q And that is your theory; is that correct? Yeah. That's my opinion. 8 А 9 Okay. And you have not done any studies to 0 10 determine that? Absolutely not. 11 А 12 Okay. And you go on, give some examples of some Q 13 leaks that were found well after the installation of the AMR device; is that correct? 14 15 А Yes. 16 And so you -- your examples include four months Q after installation, over three months. The next one is 17 over four months. The one after that, over four months. 18 The one after that, six months. Is that correct? 19 20 It looks like that's what it said, yes. А 21 Q Okay. So -- so if we put those two testimonies 22 together, in your view, customers will complain even 23 within a day or a week of installation or several months 24 after installation? 25 A Yeah. In my -- yeah. From what I've been able

to speak with other service techs and my experiences,
we've had them from the day that it was installed all the
way up to months afterwards.

Q Okay. So in -- in your view, then, any leak that occurs in -- in the area of the -- where the index is that has an AMR meter installed, after the -- after the installation was caused by the installation?

8 A Normally, in my opinion, if it wasn't leaking 9 before the installation, the customer would have called us 10 then. And if the meter had been on there, in some cases 11 for years now, since we don't change them every ten years, 12 then that customer would have called in with a leak 13 complaint if there was a leak before that.

14So by process of elimination, I would say in15most cases the installation did cause the leak.

16 Q Would you agree with me that leaks in -- behind 17 the center box are -- continue to be very small?

18 A I wouldn't -- I wouldn't be able to speculate on 19 that because I don't take the center box off.

20 Q Okay.

21 A We don't -- we don't deal with that part of the 22 meter directly.

Q Have -- okay. Have you -- before the installation of AMR, have you ever -- were you ever called to remove meters that were leaking?

1 А I -- the old meters, the 5-B meters, which are extremely large, old meters, I had found maybe, at the 2 3 most, five that I can remember. I mean, I -- and I can't remember specific addresses, but it's not something that 4 5 was a common occurrence. 6 Q Well, I'm not asking about any particular style 7 of meter. I'm just saying was part of your job to remove meter -- leaking meters? 8 9 I have removed very few of those, yes. Α Very few? 10 0 Yeah. 11 А 12 Okay. And do you have an opinion as to why that Q 13 is? 14 The ones that I had were on the -- on the welds. А Or on the seams of the meter. I have had a couple cracked 15 glasses that were damaged meters, but I don't -- I mean, 16 17 in my opinion, I think it was just wear and tear or they 18 were an older meter. Uh-huh. And is it possible that meter leaks 19 0 tend to be so small that they're not detected? 20 21 А I guess it's possible. 22 Is it possible that the AMR installation and all 0 23 the attention being brought to it is -- is causing people to bring more attention to meters and actually finding 24 25 more leaks that were already occurring being?

1 А I should certainly hope so. Because if there is a leak, it would be in Laclede's best interest and the 2 3 customer's best interest to have that leak repaired. 4 Q Okay. Was that a yes? 5 А I would say yes. 6 Q Okay. On your direct testimony, bottom of page 7 2, it says, "Certain meter models are more likely to leak after an AMR device has been installed." And you give as 8 9 an example the Lancaster 175. Correct. 10 А Have you done any studies to determine that? 11 Q 12 I have not, other than word of mouth. А 13 Okay. In the next line, you say, "Certain Q Rockwell models also leak after an AMR installation." It 14 is your opinion that AMR faces do not fit these meters. 15 Have you done a study on that? 16 17 А I have not done a study on that, no. 18 Have you removed a -- an index cover on a 0 Rockwell meter? 19 20 А No. 21 Q Have you measured a Rockwell meter -- a Rockwell 22 index cover on a Rockwell meter? Never had a need to. No. 23 А 24 Do you have any experience in customer Q 25 accounting?

1 A No, I do not.

Okay. Do you know how -- if a customer claims 2 0 3 that they're over-billed, do you know how Laclede 4 investigates that matter? 5 А I know that I've run out and done high bill 6 inspections. 7 Q Okay. 8 And they said, you know -- I know the SAID Α 9 Department goes out and does high bill inspections on the 10 meter. Okay. And what does that consist of? 11 Q 12 It consists of going out, checking the --Α everything from the windows to the -- asking the customer 13 14 about insulation, clocking -- clocking each individual appliance to the meter, timing the meter, how many -- you 15 16 know, how many seconds it takes for it to spin, the 17 condition of the appliance, the year of the appliance that 18 was installed. It's a two-page process that we go 19 through. 20 Okay. And then did you give the customer Q 21 feedback? 22 Other than they -- the company will get back to А 23 them. I don't -- we don't discuss how many seconds it took for their furnace to spin around in one revolution. 24 25 Q So when you're done -- when you're done

recording this information, does the customer say, Well, 1 what did you find out? 2 3 А They normally do. But I tell them the gas 4 company will have to get back to them because this is just

5 my part in the process.

6 Q Okay.

7 А I've only done about five or six of those, 8 though.

9 Okay. On page 4, you talk about the 0 documentation that you gave Laclede -- the -- the list of 10 information in -- that was provided to Laclede in August 11 12 of 2006. Do you recall that?

13 А Yes.

А

18

14 Okay. And are you aware that Laclede has been Q since last -- since October 2005 recording its -- at its 15 16 meter shop recording its analysis of meters brought in with -- with AMR units on them? 17

I -- I didn't have any knowledge of that. Okay. On page 4, line 18, you say in your 19 0 opinion, any leaking AMR meter is dangerous. What do you 20 21 base that opinion on?

22 Everything I've been taught at the gas company. А 23 Okay. And are you aware of any incidences like Q 24 an explosion or a fire that have occurred from a leak on 25 an AMR meter?

I don't have any knowledge of that. 1 А 2 Do you have any knowledge of any explosion or Q fire that would have occurred on a leak -- from a leak on 3 4 any meter? 5 А Oh, I don't have any knowledge. I don't --6 Q On page 5 of your direct testimony, you list 7 several addresses that you dealt with. 8 А Yes. 9 0 Let's just take the first one. REPORTER'S NOTE: The last portion of the 10 question was stricken from the record at the request of 11 12 Judge Woodward. 13 MR. FRANSON: Rick --MR. ZUCKER: I'm sorry. Whoops. Well, the fact 14 15 that that's an address, I think is -- is -- thank you for 16 pointing that out, Robert. MR. ZUCKER: Strike that from the record. Let 17 18 me ask that question again. 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let me make it clear to the 20 court reporter. Strike the address from the record because it is highly confident. 21 22 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 23 MR. ZUCKER: Robert, watch me in case I do that 24 again. MR. FRANSON: Okay. 25

1 Q (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. Let's take the first address. On that address, you found a leak on the riser. 2 3 That's what it says in your -- in your testimony, right? 4 А That's what it says. 5 0 Okay. So it -- it would appear that that leak 6 is not related to the index or the AMR unit. Would you 7 agree with me? 8 Α Well, it states here that I replaced the AMR 9 meter. Without having the job in front of me, I'm not 10 really positive, but I don't know why I would have replaced the meter if it -- if there wasn't a problem with 11 12 it. Q Okay. But there was a -- whether or not there 13 14 was a problem with the meter, you would have had to have 15 done some work anyway because you found a leak somewhere 16 else? 17 А Absolutely. Can I state that before when this first came out, any time that we found a leaking AMR, our 18 -- we had to get -- we had to get permission to change any 19 20 meter. 21 So I don't know when this was, but I would have 22 had to make contact with my supervisor before I changed 23 the meter. Okay. You can go ahead and state that, but 24 0

don't -- just answer questions in the future. Okay?

25

1 A Got you.

2 Q Page 6, line 11, same question there. You found 3 a leak on the riser. That is not related to the AMR unit; 4 isn't that correct? 5 MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry, Rick. Page 6, line 11 6 doesn't have an address on it. 7 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. 8 A Page 6 --9 Q (By Mr. Zucker) You're referring to an address which I will not name. Oh, wait a second. Wait a second. 10 11 Okay. 12 MS. SCHRODER: Were we on the same -- were we on 13 the same page? MR. ZUCKER: We're on page 6 of his direct, line 14 11. He was referring to an address stated above. 15 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. 16 (By Mr. Zucker) And at that address, he -- he 17 0 -- you stated that you found a leak on the riser? 18 That's what it says. 19 А 20 Q Okay. That's unrelated to the AMR module; isn't 21 that correct? 22 А As far as the leak goes? 23 Q Yes. A Yes, it is. 24 25 Q Okay. Okay. Let's turn to your surrebuttal

1 testimony, page 1. You state that -- starting on line 8, "What Laclede refuses to acknowledge is that improper 2 3 installation of the AMR device can cause or accelerate 4 wear on the gaskets, thus, creating gas leaks." 5 Now, this is -- I think you've already stated, 6 this is your theory, right? 7 А That's correct. 8 You've done no study to determine that? Q 9 I have done no study to determine that. А And you don't know if an improper installation 10 0 of a device can actually cause accelerated wear on the 11 12 gasket? In my opinion, it would. But I have never done 13 А 14 a study on it. And what is your opinion based on? 15 Q 16 My opinion is based on knowing how a meter А 17 works, knowing the -- you know, the mechanics, and that if 18 something like the piece that you gave me was out of line or out of whack, something is going to give if the meter 19 is constantly spinning. 20 21 Q And that piece you're talking about is the --22 the piece that I handed you before that you testified --23 Α Yeah. 24 -- that you weren't familiar with? 0 25 A Using that as an example, but --

MS. SCHRODER: Objection. That mischaracterizes 1 2 his testimony. He didn't say he wasn't familiar with it. MR. ZUCKER: I'm pretty sure he did. 3 4 MS. SCHRODER: No, he didn't. The record -- I 5 mean, the record will state what it states. JUDGE WOODRUFF: The record will state what it 6 7 says. 8 MS. SCHRODER: But I do object to the 9 mischaracterization, so --10 MR. ZUCKER: Well, it's not a mischaracterization. 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: The objection is noted. I'll 13 overrule it at the moment. The record will be clear as to, when we actually review it, what exactly he did say. 14 15 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You can go ahead. (By Mr. Zucker) On page 1 at line 12, you refer 17 Q to a situation where rough handling of the meter could 18 pull a union loose. Do you see that? 19 20 Yes. А 21 Q Have you ever seen that happen? 22 А Yes, I have. 23 Where -- where have you seen that happen? Q 24 At the gas company. А By --25 Q

1 А I don't -- I mean, I've been out in the field for 15 years, and I work on risers every day. And I know 2 3 trying to tighten or loosen a meter nut, you know, 4 anything can cause that union to move. And if it's there, 5 and it does move, then I check it for leaks, and I'm there 6 to repair it. 7 Q Okay. So you've seen that happen where it was Laclede -- a Laclede person may have done it? 8 9 А Correct. 10 0 Okay. We were there to repair it, too. 11 А 12 You talk about -- in the next line, talk about Q 13 installation of AMR devices putting customers at risk. 14 You don't know of any incident, do you, during these 600,000 installs that has occurred that has actually 15 16 injured or harmed customer, persons or property? Injured or harmed? 17 А 18 Q Right. I'd have to say no to that. 19 А 20 Q Okay. 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Zucker, I hate to 22 interrupt. Are you nearly finished or -- the reason I ask 23 is I need to go upstairs for agenda, so --24 MR. ZUCKER: Oh, agenda. I would say that I 25 still have a little ways to go.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Well, let's go 1 ahead and take a break now, and we'll come back at 10:00. 2 MS. SCHRODER: All right. 3 4 (Break in proceedings.) 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Let's come to 6 order, please. And we're back from break, and, 7 Mr. Zucker, you can continue with your cross. 8 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Judge. 9 (By Mr. Zucker) Before the break, you said that 0 10 you had used your sensor device and ran it underneath the index -- index cover and -- when you found a leak there, 11 but you could not get it to -- to make a soap bubble. Is 12 13 that what you said? 14 А Correct. And the fact that it would not bubble soap, 15 Q 16 wouldn't that indicate that the leak was very small? I don't know. I mean, the -- I would assume the 17 А 18 -- leak was coming from inside the vents that you were talking about earlier. I don't -- I mean, not being able 19 20 to see the thing bubble, I couldn't speculate whether it 21 was small or big. 22 So are you saying, as a Laclede service tech, 0 23 that you can't say that if a leak cannot cause a soap 24 bubble that that leak isn't very small? 25 A I don't understand the question.

1 Q In other words, you put soap in an area where you think a leak is, but you can't get it to bubble? 2 3 А Some leaks are so big they blow the bubbles 4 right off. But, I mean, we see them all. 5 0 So in these cases where your sensor device went 6 off under the index cover, are you saying that that leak 7 was so big that it blew the bubbles off? 8 I'm not saying that because I don't know either А 9 one. I didn't see where the leak was actually coming 10 from. I got a sensor reading from the reader that they gave us. And I determined it to be leaking, and I changed 11 12 it. 13 The few that I did try, you know, because we 14 want to make sure it's not part of the housing of the meter because usually when we do find those, we soap them. 15 And I mean, so, yeah, I do try to soap it up, but I have 16 17 never seen any bubbles on there. Okay. All I want to establish is that that 18 0 means that the leak must be pretty small. 19 20 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Asked and answered. 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled. 22 I don't know whether it was a large leak or a А 23 small leak. I know that my sensor went off. I can't 24 answer that question because I don't know whether it was a 25 small leak or a big leak.

(By Mr. Zucker) Okay. Let's go to your list at 1 Q the bottom of page 2 of your surrebuttal testimony. You 2 3 have a list of a -- of lines from your Exhibit 1 that talk 4 about a pattern of gas leaks found within a period of 5 months after an AMR installation. Do you see that list? 6 А Yes, I do. 7 Q And it is your theory, is it not, that the improper installation can cause a leak to occur along the 8 9 rod along that axle that -- that's sitting in front of you and through that seal behind the center box; is that 10 11 correct? 12 That would be my opinion. Yes. А 13 Okay. And several of these items in your list Q 14 have -- were shown to have leaks on the meter through gaskets on the meter other than in the area that we're 15 talking about; isn't that correct? 16 17 А I don't understand the question. 18 In other words, look at like 24 on your Exhibit 0 19 1. 20 А Okay. 21 Q You see under the last column where it says, 22 Leak from worn seal behind center box, meter gasket 23 leaking. 24 А I see that. 25 Q In other words, this meter was leaking in more

1 than one place when the meter shop tested it. Is that your understanding of -- of that -- that language? 2 3 А Leak from worn seal. Honestly, I don't know --4 without seeing -- leak from worn seal behind center box, 5 meter gasket leaking. I mean --6 MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry. I want to just impose 7 an objection that he's asking this witness to speculate 8 about what another person meant by writing this comment. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain that objection. 10 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Well, then I guess I'm going to move to strike that -- that entire sentence worth of 11 12 testimony starting with "these show a pattern of gas 13 leaks." If he doesn't know what the information is that 14 he's showing as a pattern, then I don't think that that 15 16 information should go into evidence. 17 MS. SCHRODER: Excuse me. The -- the exhibit is 18 a document from Laclede. And he can certainly attest to certain information on this document from Laclede. And --19 and what that means, without knowing exactly what every 20 21 single comment that a meter shop employee made means. 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Which exhibit are we talking 23 about? 24 MS. SCHRODER: It's Exhibit 1 to Mr. White's 25 surrebuttal. It's the Schedule 2 that -- yeah. Schedule

1 2 to -- it's also Schedule 2 to Dr. Seamand's testimony, 2 except that we put line numbers on it. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: So it's the analysis of union 4 information? 5 MS. SCHROEDER: Correct. 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And which particular lines were 7 you --8 MR. ZUCKER: Well, I was looking at line 24, 9 which is on page 2 of that exhibit. MR. FRANSON: This is Exhibit 2 or 1? 10 MR. ZUCKER: Exhibit 1, page 2. And they have 11 numbered the lines in handwriting there. And line 24 is 12 13 numbered. And on the -- the far right column, as you can 14 see from the heading on page 1, is called Meter Shop Report. 15 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Right. MR. ZUCKER: So this is the report -- the meter 17 shop's analysis of these meters that he listed in his list 18 19 on page 2 of his testimony. 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And, once again, your motion to strike is to strike line 24? 21 22 MR. ZUCKER: No. My motion to strike is going 23 back to line 2 of -- page 2 of his testimony. He says, 24 These exhibits show a pattern -- I'm just referring to 25 Exhibit 2 right now -- show a pattern of gas leaks found

within a period of months after an AMR installation,
especially the ones that the meter shop notes as improper
alignment of drive gear, CEG, Exhibit 1, lines, and then
he's got a whole bunch of lines.

5 MS. SCHRODER: And there's nothing about that 6 statement that is contradicted at all by -- by either 7 Exhibit 1 or by saying that he can't tell you exactly what 8 -- you know, that this is -- that he's asking him to 9 speculate about the meter shop, what exactly the meter 10 shop person meant with meter gasket leaking.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yeah. I'll sustain the objection. I'll overrule the motion to strike.

Q (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. And so is it your position, then, Mr. White, that on line 24 of Exhibit 1 to your surrebuttal testimony, you do not know what it means there at the end where it says "Leak from worn seal behind center box, meter gasket leaking?"

18 A Yeah. I don't know what that person meant when 19 he wrote that.

Q Okay. When you say that there is a pattern of gas leaks, do you have any evidence -- I'm now back on page 2, line 15 of your surrebuttal. Do you have any evidence that this is a pattern greater than a -- a pattern of leaks on meters without AMR units? A I don't know.

1 Q Okay. Page 3, Line 4 of your surrebuttal. Are 2 you there?

3 A Yes, I am.

Q You say, "If Laclede employees had performed the installation, the risks associated with both type of leaks -- both types of leaks" -- and you're talking about the two types above there at the top of page 3 -- "would have been significantly reduced." Do you have any evidence of that?

10 A I don't know what you mean by evidence. Are you 11 asking my opinion, or do I have --

12 Q I'm asking you what you base that opinion on. I 13 know what your opinion is.

A I would say that I'm -- if -- Laclede employees are experienced in the gas industry, including myself, that if I went out and changed an AMR device and found a leak, I would make the repairs right there.

18 I would also check the piping and the installation for any kind of leak with my detector that 19 Laclede provides with me -- provides to me. So I would 20 21 say that the risks associated with the leaks, meaning any 22 kind of risk, would be significantly reduced because I 23 would be there as a trained employee to make the repairs. 24 Okay. But if gas leaks are not caused by the 0 25 improper installation of an AMR device, then the AMR

1 installers are not causing gas leaks, nor would a Laclede employee who installed cause a gas leak. Would that -- is 2 3 that correct? If you -- if you take that assumption as 4 correct, isn't that true? 5 А I don't understand what you mean. 6 Q Okay. Assume that an AMR installation does not 7 cause a gas leak. I know that's not your opinion, but 8 assume it for me. Okay? 9 Α I'm assuming it. Okay. If -- if the -- if -- if the AMR 10 0 installer does not cause a gas leak and the Laclede 11 12 employee would not cause a gas leak, then there would not 13 be a significant reduction in gas leaks between the two? 14 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. The question is very 15 confusing. I'm not sure the witness understands. 16 A I have no idea. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm confused by the question a 17 little bit. 18 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Maybe I'll just move on from 19 20 that one. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. 21 22 (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. On page 4 at the top, 0 23 lines 6 to 8 --24 А Of the surrebuttal? Of Exhibit 1 or --25 Q Good question. Yes. The surrebuttal.

A Line 8? 1 2 Q Lines 6 to 8. 3 А Okay. 4 Q You quote the Laclede Service Department manual 5 as saying any leak must be repaired. Is that correct? 6 А It says it right there, yes. 7 Q It doesn't say that any leak is dangerous? 8 I didn't -- it doesn't say that in -- in the Α 9 sentence. You're right. 10 Okay. Are you aware of different categories of 0 11 leaks? 12 A Yes, I am. 13 Q Leak classes? A Yes. 14 15 Aren't there some categories of leaks that you Q 16 can take up to five years to repair? I wouldn't know that answer. I -- I don't know 17 А 18 how they classify them. I just know there are -- I'm aware that there are -- there are classes. 19 20 Do you know how many classes there are? Q 21 А I do not. 22 Okay. Do you know what the time is to repair Q 23 different classes of leaks? A I do not. 24 Q Okay. Did you hear Mr. Gozy testify yesterday 25

that when a meter makes a clicking sound, it's replaced 1 2 due to customer complaints? 3 А I heard him say that. 4 Q Do you agree with that? I -- I couldn't say that -- I know that it 5 А 6 happens every time. I know it has happened at Laclede. 7 I've changed them myself. 8 Because a customer complained that they heard Q 9 the clicking? 10 А Correct. Okay. Okay. Starting at the bottom of page 5 11 0 of your surrebuttal testimony --12 13 А Okay. -- you talk about erratically moving dial hands. 14 Q 15 Do you see that? 16 А Yes, I do. MR. ZUCKER: Permission to approach the witness? 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. And I'm assuming you 18 want to mark this as an exhibit? 19 20 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, sir. JUDGE WOODRUFF: This will be No. 9. 21 MR. ZUCKER: Exhibit 9. 22 23 (By Mr. Zucker) Mr. White, I've handed you Q 24 what's now been marked as Exhibit 9, and it's called Laclede Gas Operations Training Department Technical 25

Update, Spring Extra No. 2. Do you see that? 1 2 I do see it. А 3 0 Are you familiar with this document? 4 А Honestly, I've never seen this before. 5 0 You have never seen that before? 6 А No, sir. 7 Q Okay. It does not have a year on it, from what I can tell. So you can't tell that it was issued in the 8 9 spring of 2006? I know we get these things from time to time. 10 А But this one I haven't seen, so I don't know if it was --11 12 or if it was distributed. 13 Okay. Would you take a moment to read it? 0 Sure. 14 А 15 MS. SCHRODER: I am going to object to this 16 witness answering questions about this document that he --17 I mean, he can -- he can certainly answer questions about what it says. But with -- if there's -- he didn't sponsor 18 this document. I guess that's my objection. 19 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. MR. ZUCKER: I don't think that I disagree with 21 22 that, if he's not able to identify it. 23 MS. SCHRODER: All right. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: At this point, your objection 25 is apparently premature, so it's overruled.

MS. SCHRODER: All right. Sorry. 1 2 So what am I doing? А 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You're reviewing the document. 4 Α Okay. 5 0 (By Mr. Zucker) I'm not going to ask you to be 6 too familiar with this document since you've never seen it 7 before. But in general, this document addresses the movement of dials and the -- and how to do leak testing 8 9 based on the -- with a new style of index. Does that sound correct to you? 10 11 А It sounds correct to me. Yes. 12 And would you say that, in general, this Q 13 document addresses the issues you've raised on erratically moving dial hands? 14 15 No. Not necessarily. The few times that I've А 16 seen the erratically moving dial hands wasn't more -- was 17 more when the hands were spinning, not with both of them 18 -- with both of them on the up-swing or whether they were on the up-swing or they weren't on the up-swing. On the 19 20 newer meters and the older AMRs, it skips when it comes 21 around on the up-swing, to be honest with you, the ones 22 that I have seen. 23 Excuse me for a moment. Do you recognize this? Q 24 Yes, I do. А 25 Q Okay.

MR. ZUCKER: Permission to approach the witness? 1 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may. 2 3 0 (By Mr. Zucker) Is -- can you tell me what this 4 is? 5 А It looks like a dial face. 6 Q And would this go on a -- a natural gas meter? 7 А Yes. I would assume so. 8 How many dials are on that dial face? Q 9 A total of six. А Six. And when you talk about erratically --10 0 erratic movement, which dial is it that moves erratically? 11 12 The ones that I have seen personally? А 13 Uh-huh. 0 The -- the half foot -- the half foot hand, I 14 А 15 guess as you call it, or the half foot dial. And the two 16 foot dial. Sorry. The two-feet dial. I've seen both 17 cases. Okay. And would you say you've seen the half 18 0 foot dial move how far when it moves erratically? 19 20 I've seen it skip about -- from -- I don't know. А 21 I can say from 6:00 to about -- it goes counterclockwise, 22 so 6:00 to about 3:00, about a quarter of a skip. 23 Okay. 6:00 to 3:00 would be uphill, right? Q 24 That is correct. А 25 Q All right. And the two foot dial, how far have

1 you seen that one move?

2 I don't remember exactly what it was. I haven't А 3 seen -- I haven't seen that as much as the half foot. I 4 -- I don't remember specifically how far it was skipping. 5 I just remember that there was skipping. 6 Q Okay. And when you say six foot -- 6:00 to 7 3:00, you mean from 6:00 to 5:00 or 4:00 to 3:00? 8 Yeah. 6:00 to 3:00. It starts here and skips А 9 to here. So it skips over four and five. 10 Okay. All right. Okay. So when you do a -- a 0 leak test on a -- a -- a meter with a newer index, are you 11 12 doing the -- are you waiting until both hands are in the 13 up-swing? 14 I normally do, yes. А Okay. And -- and do you recall -- but you 15 Q 16 didn't see this memo or this technical update? No. I have never seen this memo. 17 А How did you get the idea to do that? 18 0 Word of mouth. It was told to us. 19 А 20 By --Q 21 А I -- I believe it was in a safety meeting by a 22 supervisor, but I don't remember. I do remember being 23 told to have both hands on the up-swing. 24 Okay. On page 8 of your surrebuttal --0 25 А Okay.

1 Q -- look at -- at line 16. You say that, "Leaks on outside AMR read -- meters are under-reported." And 2 3 then you say, "It's easier for customers to smell inside leaks than outside ones." Do you see that? 4 5 А Uh-huh. 6 Do you have evidence -- any evidence to say that 0 7 -- that -- indicate that -- well, let me ask you what the basis of that is. 8 9 A lot of times, I worked the dispatcher board А 10 pretty much every day. And I make -- I make leak calls. And most of the time when we get outside odor complaints 11 and they turn out to be the meter, it's when somebody was 12 13 outside either doing yard work or siding or something like 14 that. And, you know, as we all know, the gas 15 dissipates in the air. So if you're not actually standing 16 right there, a lot of times, you wouldn't know that it's 17 18 leaking. Okay. And that would apply to both meters with 19 0 20 AMR units on them and meters that do not have AMR units on 21 them --22 А Correct.

23 Q -- correct? Okay. Page 9, line 3, in response 24 to a question about a link between meter replacement and 25 over-billing, you say that, "Certain types of gas leaks

1 will register gas usage that is not authorized by the 2 customer." Do you see that? 3 А Yes. 4 Q Are you aware that when there is a gas leak on a 5 meter that that meter has not registered the usage of that 6 gas? 7 А I don't understand what you're saying. 8 In other words, when there is -- in -- in your Q 9 opinion, a leak can be caused out of the -- of that seal on the device that's in front of you or on the -- the 10 little rod that's in front of you --11 12 A Okay. 13 -- and come outside the meter at the center box, Q correct? That's your -- that's been your testimony? 14 15 That it can leak there? A 16 Right. Q 17 А Yeah. And what I'm asking you is, do you know that 18 0 when it does leak there that gas has not been registered 19 20 through the meter? 21 А I don't know that. 22 And do you not know that because you're not Q 23 familiar with the inner workings of a meter? 24 A I'm not familiar with the inner workings of a 25 meter.

1 Q Okay. Further down on page 9, you talk about a certain address where there was a meter that had a hole in 2 3 the back of it. Do you -- do you recall this testimony? 4 А Yes, I do. 5 0 And Dr. Seamands has testified that the hole in 6 the back of that meter was likely caused by the fact that 7 the meter was placed against a limestone wall and eroded. Are you aware of that? 8 9 I -- I'm aware of his testimony. Α 10 And you then say that he's speculating? 0 А Yeah. I've seen other ways, so yes. 11 12 Okay. On line 17, you say that, "The more Q likely scenario is that rock and mortar may have dropped 13 14 from the ceiling or wall and made contact with the meter." Do you see that? 15 16 Yes, I do. Α 17 Are you speculating there? Q Yes. I didn't see that particular meter. 18 Α You don't have any evidence what happened with 19 0 that meter; isn't that correct? 20 21 А I do not. 22 Okay. And you -- but you do say there that the 0 23 meter that is generally fixed in that location. You know, 24 when you take the old meter off and you put the new meter 25 on, the new meter is in the same location as the old

1 meter?

2 A Yeah. That I -- yes. 3 0 Okay. So if the old meter wasn't against the 4 wall, your theory is -- and I'm -- disagree with me if --5 if I'm not stating your theory right. Your theory is that 6 the new meter shouldn't be against the wall? 7 A I don't know what size meter was in there before. Meters have different body designs. I couldn't 8 9 even tell you.

10 Q Good -- that's a good point. So if the -- if 11 the new meter that went on was actually a little lighter 12 than the old meter, the new meter would actually make 13 contact with the wall where the older meter didn't. Is 14 that possible?

15 A Anything is possible.

16 Q And if the new meter was American and the old 17 meter was a Rockwell and the American was a little wider 18 in the back, that could happen?

19MS. SCHRODER: Objection. That's not in20evidence that the American was wider in the back.

21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled.

A I don't know. Without both meters sitting infront of me, I don't know.

24 Q (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. It's possible those were 25 the facts?

1 А If those were the facts, I guess it would be possible. 2 3 0 Okay. Okay. On page 10, starting at line 10, 4 you have -- you also have an answer to a question having 5 to do with that same address where there was a hole in the 6 back of the meter. 7 MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry. Page 10, line 10? 8 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. 9 MS. SCHRODER: Oh, I see. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Yes, I see it. 10 (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. And what you say is, "If 11 Q 12 Laclede employees had performed the installation, they 13 would have been able to detect those drive axle and center 14 box leaks that were present before the AMR installation, as well as the other leaks, such as the one at that 15 16 address." Is that -- did I read that correctly? That's what it says. Yes. 17 А 18 Okay. And are you familiar with the company's 0 corrosion inspection requirement? 19 20 Vaguely. А 21 Q Okay. If I were to tell you that the company is 22 obligated to do a corrosion inspection every three years, 23 would that sound --24 А Sounds correct. -- correct to you? Okay. And so given that, 25 Q

1 then, the company should have done corrosion inspections 2 at that location within three years? 3 А If those are the rules, yes. 4 Q And you don't know when corrosion inspections 5 were done at that location, though? 6 А I have no idea. 7 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. May I have a moment, your 8 Honor? 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Certainly. (By Mr. Zucker) I'm going to hand you these two 10 0 11 documents. 12 А Okay. 13 I'm not offering these as an exhibit. Are you Q familiar with these documents? 14 15 A These particular ones? 16 MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. What are 17 you showing him? MR. ZUCKER: I don't have extra copies and 18 hadn't intended to use them. What I'm showing him is 19 20 documents on this particular address from our customer 21 information system showing the inspection history. 22 MS. SCHRODER: I really would like to see a copy 23 of this before you ask the witness about it. 24 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. I don't have one. But can I 25 -- I bring you one?

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Uh-huh. 1 MS. SCHRODER: Can I approach? 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You certainly may. 3 4 MS. SCHRODER: If it's all right, I'll just read 5 over his shoulder for a second. 6 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, please. 7 Q (By Mr. Zucker) Are you familiar with these documents from your customer information system? 8 9 I don't get these kind of documents. No. So А 10 no. So I'm not. Okay. Do these documents attempt to -- well, do 11 Q they -- do you see the inspection history at the top? 12 13 I see where it says inspection history, yes. А 14 Do you see the dates on the site? 0 15 MS. SCHRODER: Your Honor, if I could, I'm going 16 to object to any questions about these document. In discovery in this matter, we requested documents that 17 pertain to all of the -- with regard to -- okay. Back up 18 just a minute. 19 20 We requested documents about all of the -- the 21 leaks that had been found basically since AMR installation 22 started. And then we specifically, with regard to the

23 list of addresses that we provided, which this is one of 24 them, we asked for, you know, basically all the back-up 25 documents pertaining to those, and we were never provided 1 a copy of this.

2 So this is a document that not only is this 3 witness not familiar with and he's not familiar with this 4 type of document, but it's also a document that we 5 specifically -- that was specifically included in our 6 request and that was not turned over to us. 7 MR. ZUCKER: That's not true, your Honor. They 8 -- they did ask for documents having to do with damage 9 reports, and we provided them those. They asked for -- to -- documents that -- well, we -- we -- we made a whole --10 we made up a whole document for them on their -- on the 11 12 leaks they turned over to us. 13 This document doesn't have anything to do with 14 those. It's just the inspection history. So it really doesn't -- I'm not trying to show a -- that a leak 15 16 occurred or didn't occur somewhere or give -- not give 17 them information on a leak. This is something different. The fact that 18 19 inspections took place at this location, and, therefore, the -- the point of that is -- is that safety is covered 20 21 by our corrosion inspection obligations. 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ms. Schroder, is there anything 23 else? 24 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. I mean, we specifically 25 asked for the damage reports or other documents of any

1 meters that were damaged in any way from January 1st, 2005 to date, and to identify and provide all documents 2 3 relating to the residential gas meters that -- I'm sorry 4 -- that wasn't the one. Yeah. I'm sorry. It was the --5 the first one. The damage reports or other documents 6 relating to residential gas meters. 7 We didn't say just relating to the damage that were -- that was identified on January 1st, 2005, to date. 8 9 We asked for all of the documents relating to those gas 10 meters. JUDGE WOODRUFF: And these documents were not 11 12 disclosed? Is that what -- you understood, Mr. Zucker? 13 MR. ZUCKER: What? 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You agree that the document you handed this witness was not disclosed to the union? 15 16 MR. ZUCKER: It was neither -- well, let me make 17 one other point. The union did, and it was just on last 18 Friday, have an opportunity to come look through our 19 customer information system and look at whatever documents 20 they wanted on that system. 21 However, this document was not produced in 22 response to that DR that -- that Ms. Schroder just read, 23 nor is it responsive to that request. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, it sounds responsive to 25 me. I'll sustain the objection.
MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Can I go retrieve the 1 2 document? JUDGE WOODRUFF: You certainly may. 3 4 MR. ZUCKER: One -- one moment, your Honor. 5 That's all I have, your Honor. 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. All 7 right. I don't have any questions from the Bench. But 8 Commissioner Clayton had indicated to me that he might 9 have questions for you later. I assume you'll be staying 10 in the room? 11 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. We may need to 13 recall you up for those questions later on, or we may not, depending upon what the Commissioner wants to do. 14 15 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: So there's no questions from the Bench, so no need for recross. Any redirect? 17 MS. SCHRODER: Yes, your Honor. My documents 18 19 are all a jumble. 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 21 22 Hello again, Mr. White. Q 23 А Hello. 24 Okay. Let's talk about this meter that's been Q 25 corroded through that you were just talking to Mr. Zucker

400

1 about.

2 А Okay. 3 0 Are you familiar with the training that SAID 4 employees get for installing meters? 5 А Yes. 6 Q And what are they told in that training about --7 about installing meters in the vicinity of a wall? 8 Well, you're not supposed to install it up Α 9 against a wall. All right. And in your -- in your experience, 10 0 15 years in the field -- 15 years and ten months, have you 11 found that SAID employees follow that --12 13 А Yes, I have. -- rule? All right. Mr. Zucker asked you about 14 Q 15 the different sizes of meters. Are meters generally -- as 16 you're installing new meters, are they generally getting bigger or smaller? 17 I'd say for the most part, smaller. 18 Α All right. 19 Q 20 Yeah. А 21 Q Have you gone from one type of meter, like that 22 was made with a certain material, to a type of meter that was made with a different type of material? 23 24 А I believe they're aluminum now. I'm not really positive of that. 25

1 Q All right. They used to be tin?

2 A Yeah.

3 Q Okay. Were the tin meters larger?

4 A Some of them were, yes.

Q Okay. And if a meter reader -- I'm sorry. Not a meter reader. If an SAID employee who is installing a meter, install -- happens to be installing a meter that was larger than the one that preceded it, in your experience, is he going to know when he's installing this that it's touching the wall?

11 A I would say yes because it would have to line up 12 perfectly on the swivels. You know, if there's even a --13 if it's even just a hair off, it wouldn't screw on. So if 14 it's leaning up against the wall, it's probably a one in a 15 million shot that it would be leaning against the wall and 16 fit on the swivel.

MR. ZUCKER: Speculation on the one in a millioncomment.

19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled.

20 Q (By Ms. Schroder) All right. And, Mr. White, 21 when you're installing a meter, I mean, tell us about how 22 heavy some of these meters are?

A Depending on the size, the -- the American that we had in here yesterday is -- is pretty light. I don't know. I think the guys said 20 pounds. I don't agree with that. I'd say it's more like 5 or 7 pounds, maybe.
 They're not very heavy.

Q But if you -- when you're installing a meter, I mean, are you holding it while you're installing it? A Yes. You have to hold it with one hand and screw the nut with the other hand.

7 Q All right. So are you going to feel the wall 8 against it?

9 A Absolutely.

10 Q And in your experience, when you've seen meters 11 that are corroded in the back, have you seen them more 12 frequently -- well, what -- what has been the most 13 frequent cause for that?

A Usually, most of our inside meters are in older houses. And, I mean, I don't work in the city anymore. But when I do see them, and I still do, you know, occasionally get down that way -- I don't know how to explain it.

But the basement foundations are rough, brittle, and rocks fall off them all the time. The few times I have seen that kind of situation happen, it's been more from stuff leaning against the meter, in between the meter and the wall that have fallen down.

24 You know, it's pretty common in some of the very 25 older -- you know, the older houses because, like I said, 1 the foundation was very brittle.

2 All right. Mr. Zucker also asked you about this Q 3 whole issue with erratic dials and testing them on the 4 up-swing. First of all, how important is it that you be 5 able to depend on the accuracy of test hands when -- to 6 find a meter leak? 7 А It's very important. 8 What happens if you can't? Q 9 You can miss a leak. А All right. And have you been taught whether 10 0 that's important? 11 12 Α Yeah. Yes, I have. 13 All right. When you were told -- you testified Q 14 that you thought in a safety meeting you were told to 15 check the meters on the up-swing. What was the context 16 for that? Why were you guys being told? Because they were having problems with the meter 17 А having --18 MR. ZUCKER: Objection. 19 20 Oh, sorry. А MR. ZUCKER: She's asking for speculation, 21 22 asking why they were being told. 23 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. I can rephrase. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I'll sustain that objection. 25

1 MR. ZUCKER: Okay.

2 MS. SCHRODER: You can rephrase. 3 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. White, in that safety 4 meeting, when you were told to check dial hands on the 5 up-swing, were you told a reason why this procedure needed 6 to change? 7 А Yes, I was. 8 And what were you told? Q 9 That they were having problems with the meters А 10 skipping and that they wanted us to get both hands on the up-swing to get a proper spot. 11 12 Okay. And were you told whether or not this had Q 13 anything to do with the AMR meters? They said it was -- had to do with the new 14 А meters, which are the ones that they're putting in. So it 15 16 was -- I don't know if the supervisor said AMR in 17 particular, but he said new meter dial -- or the new 18 meters that they were putting in. The new meters that they were putting in to 19 0 retrofit for AMR? 20 21 А Correct. 22 All right. So that's a whole different thing, Q 23 isn't it, from -- what happens to a meter after the AMR 24 device is installed? 25 A I don't understand.

1 Q All right. The testimony that Mr. Zucker was referring to when he was asking you these questions came 2 in your surrebuttal at page 5 when you were talking about 3 4 these erratically moving hands, dial hands. 5 Was your testimony -- were you drawing a 6 distinction between the type of movement that you see on 7 dial hands with meters that don't have AMRs and with meters that do have AMRs? 8 9 Α Yes. All right. And what has been your experience 10 0 that -- well, first of all, I guess, have you seen a 11 particular meter that you recall before an AMR was 12 13 installed on it and then seen that meter after the AMR was 14 installed, for instance, your house meter? 15 Yes. Yes. My house meter would be a good А 16 example. Yes. All right. Does the dial move differently now 17 Q that the AMR is installed? 18 Yes, it does. 19 А 20 All right. Can you explain to the Commission Q 21 the difference in the way the meter dials move? 22 Basically, when the meter is spinning -- and I А 23 know this is a pretty good example right here. 24 And you're holding up the dial face that 0 25 Mr. Zucker gave you?

406

1 А Yeah. I mean, it -- excuse me. It spins pretty smoothly. You know, this would be a -- a good dial to 2 3 have on your meter. And there's no interruptions. On a lot of the new meters --4 5 0 Now, wait. Are we talking about the new meter, 6 or are we talking about --7 А Oh, I'm sorry. 8 I just need to know. I just --Q 9 No. In both cases. In both cases. The new А meters with the AMR device installed and the old meters 10 without AMR. Some of them, I'm guessing, that come from 11 the manufacturer with a Cellnet device on, we have them on 12 13 those, too, occasionally. 14 Q Okay. 15 The hands will skip as opposed to, you know, А 16 smoothly going around and -- you know, a revelation (sic). 17 I'm sorry. Revolution. Strike that. And I guess I want to understand how that 18 0 differs from a new retrofit meter that doesn't have an AMR 19 on it that there might be erratic movement on that, too, 20 21 how that differs from the type of erratic dial movement on 22 the old meters that have the old device. Do you understand my question? 23 24 А I do not. I'm sorry. 25 Q Okay. We discussed that some of the new meters

1 that are being brought in to replace the meters that you didn't put an AMR device in --2 3 А Okay. Yeah. 4 0 -- have erratically moving dials? 5 А Correct. 6 But that those -- the way those dials move may Q 7 be different from the way the dials move with an AMR module; is that right? 8 9 Yeah. It would be -- it would be my opinion --А I don't remember the difference. I just remember that on 10 the newer style, the AMRs, they do skip. I -- really, I 11 12 can't -- I don't remember, you know, distinguishing

between whether it was a new meter installed with the AMR or just a new AMR device because, honestly, sometimes you don't know because it could be a newer American that has had the AMR replaced and not been replaced entirely. You know what I mean? It's -- you know, yeah. I can't -- I don't know an answer to that.

19 Q All right. When you're talking about -- I heard 20 you use the term spinning and skipping. How quickly are 21 we talking about this dial hand moving?

A Usually, on a regular appliance, the half foot hand, you know -- I mean, just thinking back of when I did a high bill inspection, you know, like a regular water meter might take 20 or 30 seconds to go around one time. 1 Q For the hand to go around?

Right. And I'm trying to remember back a couple 2 А 3 weeks ago when I did my last high bill inspection. But 4 that seems to be right. Okay. That's how it's supposed to work, or 5 0 6 that's how quickly it is working? 7 А That's how quickly it is working. 8 How quickly is it supposed to go around? Q 9 I don't know the answer to that. А Okay. What I'm trying to get at is can you 10 0 explain to the Commission when you're talking about the 11 12 spinning or skipping how much faster this dial is moving 13 or jerking than what you had --Okay. I understand. I've never timed it when 14 А 15 it skipped. Ones that I've had to do the high bill 16 inspections, the few that I've done -- I've only done a 17 couple after AMR. I've done more on a non-AMR. 18 When it goes around to the dial, you could 19 probably count to three, and then it skips up to -- you, 20 just guessing, I'd say three seconds. And it goes from 21 six to three without any movement. It just -- it doesn't 22 -- it just skips, kind of like if you're moving a clock hand. You know, it just -- it skips right to three. 23 24 Okay. And that's on an AMR meter? 0 25 А Yes. Yes. I can't recall not having one on a

1 non-AMR.

2 And when you say you performed more high bill Q 3 inspections on non-AMR meters than AMR meters, over what 4 course of time are we talking about? 5 А I guess probably over the last four or five 6 years. 7 Q Okay. 8 Yeah. I mean that's probably when we started Α 9 doing them. All right. And there weren't any AMR meters 10 0 four or five years ago, were there? 11 12 А No. 13 All right. Mr. Zucker also asked you about your 0 14 testimony in the surrebuttal at page 9, line -- well, your response about Dr. Seamand's opinion that there's no link 15 between meter replacement and over billing potentially. 16 17 And he asked you about the first part of that answer but managed to -- but skipped the second part. 18 What is -- okay. So I want to kind of go back, I guess, 19 20 about your whole answer. 21 Why did you feel -- strike that. Is there 22 another reason that you believe there's a link between AMR 23 installation and over billing? 24 А We were told when this first started that they 25 were getting a lot of complaints. We were told by

supervision that they were getting a lot of complaints 1 about the bills being wrong. People were getting 2 3 extremely high bills. 4 MR. ZUCKER: Objection. Hearsay. 5 MS. SCHRODER: It's not hearsay. It's admission 6 of a party opponent. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overrule the objection. You 8 can answer. 9 And they told us that installers were installing А the half foot dials, I believe, that -- and the quarter 10 foot. There's half foot and quarter foot. And that the 11 12 meter was spinning twice as fast. This was what 13 supervision told us. And that if we did find this, we 14 were supposed to report it to them and change the meter 15 out. 16 (By Ms. Schroder) Okay. And just for the Q Commission's sake, would you explain what a quarter hand 17 and half foot meter is? 18 Well, can I look at this stuff they gave me 19 А before I --20 21 Q Certainly. 22 They have different -- they have different --А 23 they have different size -- the drive dog, they call it. 24 And from what I've been told, and I have never -- I've 25 never seen either one without the face spinning. The one

spins faster than the other. The quarter foot would spin
 faster than the half foot.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: You were looking at Exhibit 9?
A Yes. Yes.

5 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Are you looking at page 2 of 6 Exhibit 9?

7 A Page 2 where it has the half foot and quarter 8 foot style drive dog. I'm sorry. Yeah. It looks like 9 they call it a drive dog. This is what supervision was 10 telling us in the -- in the -- to keep an eye out for, one 11 of the problems they were having with the AMRs.

12 Q All right. And when were you told this? Was it 13 in the safety meeting?

14 A Yeah. Yes. I'm sorry.

15 Q Do you remember who it was who told you that in 16 supervision?

A No. I -- I think it was my boss, Dennis Weber,
but I couldn't be sure. He's usually the one that gives
us the safety meetings.

20 Q All right. You also got asked about leak 21 classifications and whether all leaks are dangerous. What 22 have you been taught about the danger of leaks and what 23 you're supposed to do with them when you find one? 24 A Any gas leak, I have been told, is dangerous or 25 can become dangerous. You know, they don't get better. 1 They don't fix themselves.

2 What does happen to them if they're left un --Q 3 unrepaired? 4 А Depending on where it is, anything could happen. 5 It could -- the leak could get worse. You know, worst 6 case scenario, gas could build up, and there could be an 7 explosion or a fire. I mean, it -- you know, the effects 8 could be hazardous. 9 Q All right. And what is Laclede Gas's safety 10 record? А Oh --11 12 MR. FRANSON: Objection, your Honor. I don't believe Laclede's Gas's overall safety record was ever 13 14 brought up in any form prior to this, so I would object, one, on relevance, and, two, on the --15 16 MS. SCHRODER: That's fine. I'll rephrase it. MR. FRANSON: On the --17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain the objection. 18 (By Ms. Schroder) What were you taught with 19 Q 20 regard to leaks on a meter that's an inside meter? 21 А Any kind of inside meter -- any kind of an 22 inside leak has to be repaired or, you know, you have to 23 stop the gas. You have to repair it or disconnect 24 whatever it is that's leaking, whether it be the meter, 25 the furnace, whatever. Any inside leak needs to be fixed

1 immediately.

2 Immediately. All right. So has Laclede ever Q 3 talked to you about -- has it -- has it ever -- Laclede 4 management ever explained to you that there's a 5 distinction between tiny little leaks and big leaks on 6 inside meters? 7 A No. All leaks had to be repaired immediately on the inside. 8 9 0 Okay. And with regard to other -- to leaks that you find on an outside meter, what have you been told 10 about the time frame for fixing those? 11 12 Depends on what the leak is. If I'm able to do А the repairs, we do it when I'm there. I know that certain 13 14 classes -- and this is from experience. Under the ground, there's a criteria as far as how close it is to the wall. 15 16 Q Okay. I'm talking about if it's actually on the meter outside. 17 Well, if it's there, we're supposed to fix it. 18 Α Fix it when? 19 0 20 Right then. А 21 Q Okay. Okay. You were also asked about your 22 testimony on page 3 of your surrebuttal, lines 1 through 5. And I think this, again -- wait. No. I'm sorry. 23 24 This doesn't refer to that. 25 You -- you were generally responding about why

you felt Laclede employees would be better prepared to --I'm sorry. Your statement if Laclede employees had performed the installation, the risk associated with both types of leaks, meaning gas leaks caused by improper installation and pre-existing leaks would have been significantly reduced.

And Mr. Zucker asked you about one of those
types of leaks, I think, but I -- I want to make sure -- I
couldn't tell if he was asking you about the other ones,
so I just kind of want to clear that up.

11 With regard to gas leaks caused by the improper 12 installation of an AMR device, why do you think that it's 13 more likely -- that the risks associated with having a 14 leak of that sort would be lessened by having Laclede 15 employees performing the installation?

16 I think if we were there doing the installation А 17 -- you know, we're provided with equipment that can detect 18 leaks. And instead of just hooking it on and going and sniffing, we would have -- you know, any time that I 19 20 change a meter, I would check it with my leak detection 21 equipment to see -- make sure I'm not receiving anything. 22 You know, once -- once we leave, we're responsible. So, 23 you know, I --

24 Q What did you mean by that, once you leave, 25 you're responsible?

1 А Well, meaning once I get there and I put that on and I check, I want to make sure that there wasn't any 2 3 leak there. So I'm going to take the time to, you know, 4 run the equipment that they provide me with to -- you know 5 to make sure there's no leaks. 6 Q And are -- are there incentives built into your 7 job that ensure that you can still be personally responsible for those leaks? 8 9 Yeah. You could lose it. А You could lose what? 10 0 Your job. 11 А 12 You could lose your job if you leave a leak? 0 Absolutely. 13 А Right. And what kind of -- what kind of 14 Q training do Laclede Gas employees have about gas safety 15 16 generally? It's good training. As a matter of fact, the --17 А 18 the quy that was the head of our department, Tom Rice, he's on special assignment, took pride in the fact that 19 since he's come on, he has increased the training for SAID 20 21 employees to -- I want to say it's 40 hours a year up from 22 like 22 hours or something like that. Yeah. I'd say we 23 get pretty good training. 24 So in each of your 15 years and ten months, 0 you've received at least four hours of safety training?

25

416

1 A I wouldn't say since --

2 Q Oh, I'm sorry.

A I'd say within the last four or five years, it's gone up to that. I'd say before that, you know, on average, probably 25 before that 40 within the last four -- four or five years since Tom's been here.

Q All right. And did you receive any initial gas8 safety training when you started with Laclede?

9 A Yeah. They -- they sent us through a school. 10 When I came on, it was a week -- a week in the classroom, 11 a week on the street, a week in the classroom, a week on 12 the street. And I want to say we did that for either a 13 month or month and a half. But at least -- at least a 14 month. And it was eight hours of intense training.

15 Q Eight hours a day?

16 A Yes. Yes.

25

17 Q And when you say a week on the street, was that 18 a week you were working or a week that you were getting 19 on-the-job training?

A You were getting on-the-job training. And then after that, when I came on, we were required to be helpers, so I -- I think I spent an additional three or four months with other fitters learning the job. Q All right. And you mentioned in response to

Mr. Zucker's questions that at some point you had taken a

417

class -- well, in training school, somebody taken you 1 through the workings of a meter; is that right? 2 3 А Yeah. They had -- training school, they have a 4 -- a variety of things that we work with, cut --5 regulators cut in half to show you the inner workers. 6 They had a meter that -- you know, that they basically 7 showed you. 8 You know, I mean, it was there for, you know, 9 teaching purposes. But they -- yeah. They -- and I'm

10 going back to when I first came on. We don't get that 11 every year, but it's -- they're available. But I would 12 say, yeah, they take us through all that stuff. Or they 13 took us through all that stuff. I'm sorry.

14 Q All right. And would you expect that if Laclede 15 was asking its employees to install the AMR devices on its 16 meters that it would provide training on that?

17 A Oh, yes.

18 Q All right.

19 A Probably extensive training.

20 Q What equipment are you required to carry with 21 you when you work on a meter?

A I have a slew of tools. I have a whole bucket. They include a plug, wrenches, my -- we call gas Ranger, detects gas, gasoline, and detects CO. I have a kind of wrench, screwdriver, pipe. I mean, I've got a bucket that 1 probably weighs -- it weighs more than a 20-pound meter.

But, no, it's -- it's a pretty heavy bucket. And depending on who -- some other guys bring in more than other guys. But I'd say the minimum that we're supposed to bring in probably has about 30 tools in it.

6 Q And you mentioned a Ranger. Is that a leak 7 detector?

8 A Yes, it does.

9 Q Does that leak detector and the other things 10 that you just described enter into your opinion in any way 11 that Laclede Gas employees could lessen the risk 12 associated with AMR installations if they did them?

13 A Yes. Yes. Definitely.

14 And you also mentioned in response to 0 Mr. Zucker's questioning that you've taken apart an AMR 15 device to remove the battery. And why does that happen? 16 17 А They told us -- when we -- for some reason, when 18 we get meter changes that requires us to take the old AMR out, whether it be DR or it be a -- a systematic change, 19 20 what Gloria talked about yesterday, they need to take that 21 meter down, we have to disengage that battery, or it will 22 keep reading back at the Cellnet.

I guess on the computers, it will keep reading if we don't disconnect the battery. And there's a sheet that we fill out that has the meter change on there, Did

you disengage batter, yes or no. So they remind you 1 during your paperwork to do that. 2 3 0 All right. When you remove the battery, do you 4 remove the tamper proof seals? 5 А Yes, we do. 6 Okay. Mr. Zucker asked you about your testimony Q 7 of finding the leaks below the dial gas -- glass. And 8 what did you mean by that? 9 Α Excuse me. Do we need to get you some water? 10 0 No. No. I'm okay. 11 А 12 Okay. Q I would -- when -- when we get there -- I don't 13 Α know -- we don't have a ranger here in front of us, but 14 it's got a lock. They call it a goose neck. It's 15 16 probably about 2 and a half, 3 feet long. And getting -you know, when we get a gas odor, say it's an odor at the 17 meter, if it's inside, we normally start at the -- the 18 point of entry where the pipe comes in the wall. 19 20 And you follow that up, and basically the thing 21 beeps. 22 The thing, the gasket? Q 23 The sense -- well, the Ranger beeps. And if it Α 24 picks up the sense of gas, that beeping intensifies. 25 Normally, since we have been having problems with the

420

1 AMRs, what I do is I run it underneath that glass dial. And then just to be sure, I'll pull -- pull the Ranger 2 3 away from the -- let it go back to its normal beep and put 4 it down there to see if it intensifies just to make sure. 5 You know, because, like I said, it's hard to find the --6 exactly where it's leaking. 7 If I know -- if I go to the union on the pipe coming out and I get it to work --8 9 The AMR? 0 I'm sorry. You know, the beeping to intensify 10 Α like I have a leak there, I can spray it and see exactly 11 12 where the leak's at. 13 And then I know that I've got to replace the 14 union or I've got to replace the piping below the union. 15 You know, we were told from the very beginning that we 16 don't repair these -- these AMRs. And if we saw these 17 things, like leaking on the glass, we were supposed to --18 we were calling our foreman every time we found it. My understanding, from what I was told, we were 19 getting too many -- they just said change them now. 20 21 You know, so that's what we did. We don't have to let our 22 foreman know every time we change an AMR. We just change 23 it out. 24 You said that when you got a leak on the union 0

25 and you spray it that you find out exactly where the leak

421

1 is at. Why is that?

2 Well, sometimes if it's a new union, sometimes Α 3 you can redope, put pipe dope on it. You know, you loosen 4 up the pipe and put pipe dope around it and put it up good 5 and snug so it will stop leaking. 6 So if you -- if you find out exactly where the 7 leak is by the bubbles, you know what to fix. 8 Okay. And that's not really what I was asking. Q 9 Oh, I'm sorry. А 10 No. That's all right. What I want to know is 0 how do the bubbles show you exactly where the leak is? 11 12 The -- the gas is under pressure. And when we А 13 spray -- we call it soap bubbles. It's called leak 14 solution or leak detection. You spray that. It -- the pressure from inside, since there is a 15 leak, it's just like holding your little bike tubing under 16 17 water. You'll see the bubbles. You know, the bubbles 18 form from the pressure coming outside from the leak. 19 0 Okay. But what happens if you spray your -your leak solution a foot away from that -- from that 20 21 leak? Is it going to bubble? 22 А No. Absolutely not. 23 Okay. So if there's a leak on a meter that Q you're finding, are you going to be able to tell exactly 24 25 where that leak is by spraying bubbles on it -- or

1 spraying the leak solution on it?

2 Only if you can spray is directly on where the Α 3 gas is coming off of the meter. And is that possible with a meter that's hooked 4 Q 5 in? 6 Α Not behind -- not if it's leaking behind the gas 7 -- or behind the face plate. 8 So not if it's a center box leak? Q 9 Right. Unless you take all of that apart, which Α we're not allowed to do. 10 11 Okay. Before AMR devices were installed, did 0 12 you find center box leaks? Did you personally? 13 No, no. А 14 All right. And in your experience, were center Q 15 box leaks -- I mean, in your experience with talking to 16 other people and such, were center box leaks something 17 that -- that service employees found on a regular basis before AMR? 18 Very uncommon. We didn't find them hardly at 19 А 20 all. 21 Q Okay. Now, I want to ask you -- Mr. Zucker 22 asked you some questions about how long after the AMR 23 installation customers were typically complaining of gas 24 leaks or how -- what we were seeing in -- in that regard. 25 So first of all, on your direct testimony at

page 2, lines 14 and 15, you gave some testimony where you 1 said, "In my experience, customers have usually complained 2 3 of gas leaks within one week of the AMR installation. Was 4 that true at the time that you said it? 5 Α Yes. 6 And is that still your -- has that still been Q 7 your personal experience? 8 My personal experience, yes. Α 9 All right. And then on page 7 of your 0 surrebuttal, lines 8 through the bottom of the page, 10 basically, Mr. Zucker was asking you about -- concerning 11 12 some length of time before -- before some of these leaks 13 might show up. 14 And can you explain why that testimony includes leaks from behind -- that occurred more than a week later? 15 16 Well, I did -- it became -- it came from my А 17 investigation of the -- the leaks that were given to me or 18 given to my executive board members, our executive board members and shop steward. Just from research, you know, 19 looking -- I guess you guys are calling it Exhibit 1. 20 Well, Exhibit 1 and 2, I think of your -- to 21 Q 22 your surrebuttal testimony, is that what you're referring 23 to? 24 Yeah. I mean, just doing research on it. А

All right. And let me ask you about Exhibits 1

25

Q

and 2 to your testimony. Are these Laclede Gas documents 1 -- sorry. Are these Laclede Gas documents that the union 2 3 was given in the course of this litigation proceeding? 4 А I believe so. All right. And are these documents that you 5 0 6 reviewed before you submitted your surrebuttal testimony? 7 А These -- these right here? 8 Exhibits 1 and 2 to your surrebuttal testimony. Q 9 A Yes. Did I review them before my -- my surrebuttal? 10 Yeah. Did you review them before you wrote --11 0 before you gave the answers? 12 13 A Each individual --Q No. Just did you review the documents? 14 15 А No. 16 Q You didn't review those documents before you answered questions about them? 17 A Oh, I don't understand. I'm sorry. Could you 18 rephrase? I don't understand what you're saying. 19 20 Okay. Let me show you Exhibits 1 and 2 to your 0 21 surrebuttal testimony. 22 Oh, I'm sorry. I see what you're saying. Did I А 23 review -- okay. 24 Okay. Do you see --Q A I didn't know --25

1 0 Look up, Pat. Are these the documents you have 2 in front of you? 3 А Yes, they are. 4 0 Okay. Have you got the right documents now? 5 А Yes, I do. 6 Okay. So let me just go back through these, Q 7 then. Are these documents we received from Laclede Gas in 8 the course of this proceeding? 9 Α Yes, they were. All right. Did you review your documents where 10 0 you gave your surrebuttal testimony? 11 12 А Yes, I did. 13 All right. Was the answer on page 7 based in Q part on the documents you received from Laclede Gas? 14 15 Absolutely. А 16 All right. And did you see a pattern from Q reviewing those documents? 17 18 А Yes. I saw that -- you know, that the AMRs -you know, the leaks that the men were reporting were 19 20 anywhere from, you know, a week to months to, you know, 21 four months, three months. They were -- they were all 22 over the board. 23 And were these the types of leaks that before 0 24 AMR installation you would have seen on meters? 25 A No. No. We -- the -- we didn't get -- in my

experience, we didn't have too many face plate leaks. 1 2 And, again, by face plate leaks, you're Q 3 referring to these center box leaks? 4 Α That's right. I'm sorry. 5 0 That's all right. I know we all use different 6 terminology. Okay. You got asked some questions By 7 Mr. Franson about protective equipment that the Manpower 8 employees wear. What protective equipment are you 9 required to wear when you work on a meter? 10 Required -- we're required to have a special А type of shirt that Laclede provides that is fire retardant 11 12 or resistant. You know, protective footwear. They 13 recommend we wear gloves and eye protection. 14 Eye protection, safety glasses? Q 15 Safety glasses. Yes. А 16 All right. You were here for Mr. Korbisch's Q testimony yesterday? 17 18 А Yes, I was. Did you hear him say that there was anything 19 0 20 additional to that that the Manpower employees are 21 required to wear? 22 Α They are required -- I thought he said they were 23 required to wear a reflective vest and a hard hat. 24 Okay. And when you work on meters, are you 0 25 closer to the gas source than -- than -- than you would be

427

1 if you were installing an AMR?

2 Yes. Because, normally, if I'm working on a А 3 meter, I'm taking the meter down. You know, and the pipe 4 is there. And it's -- you know, you're dealing with live 5 gas by shutting the meter on and off. 6 Q So Laclede Gas didn't think it was necessary for 7 you to wear a helmet; is that correct? 8 Yeah. We're not required to wear a helmet when А 9 we're working on meters. Okay. And what about that reflective vest? 10 0 No. They do -- they do supply us with 11 А reflective vests, but we're told to use it if we're 12 working near intersections or helping out the construction 13 14 on the street. But we're not required to wear them when we're up by the meter. 15 16 Q Okay. You were already asked by Mr. Franson 17 about wear on the gaskets. Do you -- do you know -- I'm sorry. From your field experience, how frequently do you 18 have to remove a meter from the field because a gasket 19 20 needs to be replaced? I --21 А 22 I mean, I'm sorry. Let me just change that Q 23 slightly. Before AMR was installed? 24 A I don't remember removing one. 25 Q Okay. And that's in 15 years, ten months?

1 A Yesterday.

But how many times have you -- I'm not going to 2 Q 3 ask you for a precise number -- had to do it since AMR 4 devices were installed? 5 А Yes. I've had to change a meter, you know, from 6 the AMR device leaking, you know, which it says in Exhibit 7 1 that they found a worn seal behind center box, so --8 It's not actually the device that's leaking. Q 9 It's the actual meter? Correct. 10 А All right. But that didn't start occurring and 11 Q 12 you didn't have that show up until after AMR devices? Yes. That's correct. 13 А 14 And Mr. Zucker asked you some questions about Q whether -- he asked you a question about whether people 15 were looking more carefully for leaks since the AMR 16 devices started being installed. Do you recall a question 17 like that? 18 19 А Yes, I do. And what did you understand he meant by that? 20 Q 21 А I was under the impression that he was talking 22 about customers looking at their meters closer because of 23 the press and the -- the article in the Labor Tribune and 24 the -- you know, the situation. 25 And my answer was that I should hope that their

customers are looking at it closer because if there is a
 leak then, you know, the gas company is -- you know, it's
 better for the gas company and customers if we know it's
 there and we can change it.

5 Q All right. In -- in your experience, I mean, 6 are you looking more closely for leaks now that AMR 7 devices are installed?

8 A I look the same any time I go into a house, 9 whether it's an AMR device or not an AMR device for gas 10 leaks. I mean, we're supposed to be -- I won't say on 11 guard, but we're supposed to be alert about what's going 12 on with the gas, whether or not there's an AMR device.

13 Q All right. And prior to AMR installation, were 14 you still using your leak detector to check the piping and 15 -- and check for leaks around the meter?

16 A On every job.

All right. And in your experience, I mean, do 17 Q 18 you have any reason to believe that anybody else in the field, any other SAID employee, is looking harder for 19 leaks now that AMR devices are being installed? 20 21 А I don't have any -- no. I mean, they're -- in 22 my experience, they're looking just as hard now as they 23 were before.

Q All right. Mr. Franson also asked you some questions about when the union -- yeah -- when the union

first gave information to PSC Staff about these AMR 1 problems. Have you had any prior dealings with the PSC 2 3 Staff? 4 А No. No. 5 0 So why would you go to them with a leak, with a 6 problem with an AMR? 7 А I'll be honest, until yesterday, I didn't really 8 know much about them. So I wouldn't personally --9 Mr. Zucker asked you some questions about a 0 variety of leaks that you found and noted in your direct 10 testimony. And I want to refer you to that direct 11 12 testimony to page 5. 13 Now, one of the leaks referred to on page 5 pertained to a situation where an installer drilled 14 through the meter. Do you recall that? 15 Yes, I do. 16 А MR. ZUCKER: Objection. I never asked him about 17 18 that one. MS. SCHRODER: He was asking about the leaks 19 20 that he found. I think that opens the door to go back in 21 and ask about the other leaks that he found. 22 MR. ZUCKER: I don't think that it does. I 23 asked him about one specific address, mistakenly said that 24 address out loud, and that was it. MS. SCHRODER: Well, actually you asked about 25

more than one address. You asked about the -- you asked 1 about at least two on page 5 and page 6. 2 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 4 Go ahead and answer. 5 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. 6 (By Ms. Schroder) First of all, when you --Q 7 when you found this meter that had been drilled through, 8 you say in here that you notified your boss. Did you --9 okay. I'm sorry. And you also say you filled out a 10 damage report. 11 А Yes. 12 What happened to that damage report? Q 13 I turned it in at the end of the day. A Q You turned it into who? 14 My foreman. 15 А 16 All right. In your review, the documents that Q 17 the company provided to the union, which has been attached to as Exhibit 1 and 2 to your rebuttal testimony --18 19 А Okav. 20 -- did you see any mention of the drilled Q 21 through leak -- drilled through meter that you mentioned 22 in your direct testimony? 23 No, I did not. Α 24 And when -- were you in my office last week Q 25 reviewing documents that Laclede gas had just produced

1 that were service tickets that were responsive to Exhibits 2 1 and 2? 3 А Yes, I was. 4 Q And when I say responsive, they were the 5 back-ups for Exhibit 1 and 2? 6 А Yes, it is. 7 Q All right. Was your ticket produced by Laclede 8 at that time? 9 А No, it was not. All right. Have you ever seen a document that 10 0 has any reference to your -- to that leak that you found? 11 12 A No. Not since that day. 13 Have you -- whoops. Did I show you some Q 14 documents that were produced last week by Honeywell 15 Corporation in this matter? 16 А Yes. 17 Q All right. MS. SCHRODER: And, your Honor, these have not 18 been previously put into evidence because, in fact, we 19 20 didn't get them until last week. I would like to go ahead 21 and approach the witness and hand out copies to people. 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Do you want to mark 23 them as an exhibit? It will be No. 10. 24 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Would this be HC? 25

MS. SCHRODER: Yes. This is an HC document. 1 2 Thank you. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Call it 10-HC. 4 MS. SCHRODER: All right. Would you give that 5 document to the witness, please? 6 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. 7 А Thank you. 8 (By Ms. Schroder) Okay. Mr. White, do you now Q 9 have in front of you Exhibit 10-HC? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Is this a document that you reviewed in my Q office last week? 12 13 А Yes. All right. Does this document reflect the 14 Q 15 address -- and please don't say the address --16 A Okay. -- that -- where you found the drilled through 17 Q meter? 18 A Yes, it does. 19 20 And what does it say about that? Q 21 А Minus the address, it says, Gas leak changed by 22 Laclede. 23 MS. SCHRODER: All right. And I don't know 24 whether the parties can stipulate that -- that this was a document provided by Honeywell on December 6th, 2006, that 25

Honeywell's attorney identified as -- as being notes that 1 Debra Redepenning indicated she had found through research 2 3 to determine whether an installation was skipped due to a 4 leak and that this is the -- these are the IT notes that 5 she said she found. Can we stipulate to that? 6 MR. FRANSON: I --7 MR. ZUCKER: So what you're saying is this is a 8 list of --9 MS. SCHRODER: There is a list --MR. ZUCKER: -- leak type incident in which 10 Honeywell installers called in to Laclede? Is that what 11 12 you're saying this is? 13 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. That this is a list that Honeywell had. 14 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. That Honeywell --15 16 MS. SCHRODER: That was produced in response to 17 my deposition subpoena in this matter. MR. ZUCKER: And that AMR installers called in 18 leaks on and this is Honeywell's records of those? 19 20 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. This is the -- the skip 21 data through the hand-held -- that is the letter from 22 Patrick Martin, e-mail from Patrick Martin that I sent on 23 December 6th. 24 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. I -- I think that I'm -- I think that that's accurate, and I think that I'm willing 25
1 to stipulate to it.

2 MR. FRANSON: I don't think I have a problem 3 with it as long as it is clearly limited that these are 4 Honeywell employees calling in and just their notes, but 5 it's not being offered to say that some leak was caused by 6 AMRs. These are strictly their notes and what they 7 observed and what they did. 8 MS. SCHRODER: Yeah. I think the document stands for itself. Just wanted to make sure we could 9 stipulate that this is an authentic document from 10 11 Honeywell, that that's what it was produced for and --12 MR. FRANSON: And it's strictly a list of 13 addresses and notes of AMR installers. You're not 14 offering it to show that the AMR, in fact, caused a gas 15 leak? 16 MS. SCHRODER: I'm absolutely not offering it 17 for that purpose. MR. FRANSON: Okay. With that, then, your 18 Honor, I have no objection to it, as to Exhibit 10-HC. 19 20 MR. POSTON: No objections. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Exhibit 10-HC has 21 22 been offered into evidence. Hearing no objections, it 23 will be received into evidence. 24 (Exhibit 10-HC was admitted into evidence.) THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. The witness 25

1 would like to take a rest room break.

```
2
              JUDGE WOODRUFF: Huh?
              THE COURT REPORTER: The witness would like to
 3
 4
    take a rest room break.
 5
              JUDGE WOODRUFF: We will take a five-minute
    break. Come back in ten at 11:30.
 6
7
               (Break in proceedings.)
8
              JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Let's go ahead and
9
    get started again. And we're back from our break, and you
    can continue with your redirect.
10
11
              MS. SCHRODER: Thank you.
12
              (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. White, I -- I think
         Q
    before we stopped we were talking about -- or at least
13
14
    sometime before we stopped, we were talking about spotting
    meters and erratic dials. Do you recall that?
15
16
        А
            Yes.
              All right. Has -- has Laclede gas had AMR
17
         Q
    devices before Cellnet's AMR device?
18
              Yeah. They had a -- something they called a
19
         А
    trace meter that is read. And you know what, I --
20
21
         Q
              You don't have to go into details?
22
              Yeah. They had a trace meter, yes.
         А
23
         Q
              And have there been other AMR devices, too, to
24
    your knowledge?
25
         A Yeah. They -- yes -- yes, there has.
```

Q Okay. In your 15 years of experience, have you seen the erratic dials with any of those AMR devices before?

4 A No.

5 Q In fact, in your experience with those other AMR 6 devices, the non-Cellnet ones, are you aware of the -- the 7 union ever complaining about any of those devices in the 8 past?

9 A I'm not aware of the union complaining about it. 10 Q Why -- what's different about the Cellnet AMR, I 11 mean, without going back through all your testimony, just 12 sort of as an overview?

13 A There seems to be more leaks.

Okay. Now, you got asked by Rick -- by 14 Q Mr. Zucker about a Lancaster 175 meter that you had 15 16 indicated you thought leaked more. Are you aware of 17 whether Laclede is even installing AMRs on those devices anymore? Do you understand my question? 18 Yeah. My understanding is they're changing them 19 А 20 out completely. 21 Q All right. 22 Α Yeah.

23 Q And did Laclede management ever indicate to you
24 why that's happening?

25 A Yeah. They told us that it was non -- non-retro

is what they're saying. That's the -- that's what the --1 the ticket was stamped, non-retro on the ones that I've 2 3 had. 4 Q All right. And did they tell you why it was 5 non-retro? Did they say whether it was leaking? 6 А Whether it was leaking? 7 Q Right. 8 They didn't say. А 9 All right. You also were asked by Mr. Zucker 0 about a Rockwell meter that you said you believed the AMR 10 device didn't fit the meter very well. Do you recall that 11 12 testimony? 13 А Yes. All right. And he asked whether you measured 14 Q 15 things -- measured things on the Rockwell. Do you need to 16 measure something on the Rockwell to see whether the AMR 17 device fits? Is it something you can see visually? I mean, yeah. If it doesn't line up, it -- you 18 А know, I would say it doesn't fit. 19 20 Okay. Has that been your experience? Q 21 А Yes. 22 Okay. You also were asked by Mr. Zucker about Q 23 some old 5-B meters that you said you had found leaks on. 24 Do you recall that? 25 А Yes.

MR. ZUCKER: Objection. I never asked him about 1 any 5-B meters. 2 3 MS. SCHRODER: Well, I don't know whether you 4 asked or not. I know he testified about some 5-B meters 5 in response to your questioning. 6 MR. ZUCKER: In fact, my question was -- I 7 didn't ask him about 5-B's. I asked him to talk about 8 other kinds of meters. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain the objection. (By Ms. Schroder) All right. Let me rephrase 10 0 the question. In response to some questioning by 11 12 Mr. Zucker, do you recall telling him about approximately 13 five old 5-B meters that you had found leaks on? 14 A Yes. 15 MR. ZUCKER: Same objection. 16 Oh. А MS. SCHRODER: I think this is definitely within 17 the scope of cross. It came out as a part of the answer 18 to a question by Mr. Zucker. 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Again, the objection is 20 21 sustained. Just move on to the next area. 22 (By Ms. Schroder) Okay. All right. Do you 0 23 recall being asked by Mr. Zucker whether you had knowledge 24 that the pattern of the time it took for a leak to occur after AMR installation is greater -- whether that pattern 25

is greater now that AMR devices are being installed as 1 opposed to AMR devices not being installed? Does that 2 3 make any sense? 4 А I'm sorry. No. 5 0 Okay. Don't worry about it. Do you recall 6 being asked by Mr. Zucker whether you had seen any actual 7 harm to a customer or property as a result of an AMR 8 device leaking? 9 Α Yes, I do. All right. Have you -- have you seen great 10 0 potential -- I mean, have you seen potential for customers 11 12 with property damage as a result of AMRs? 13 А Absolutely. And how does that potential compare to the 14 Q 15 potential you have seen for that kind of damage prior to AMR installation? 16 I guess, in my opinion, there's more leaks out 17 А there, which, potentially, could create more problems for 18 more people than there were before as far as the meters 19 20 qo. 21 Q All right. And back to this meter for a moment 22 that you were discussing with Mr. Zucker that corroded in 23 the back. 24 А Uh-huh. 25 Q In your experience, how long does it -- well,

1 can a -- can a meter corrode between one corrosion inspection, one -- and the next three-year corrosion 2 3 inspection? 4 A Certainly. I would -- in my opinion, I would 5 say yes. 6 MS. SCHRODER: I have no further questions. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. White, you can step down. 8 MR. WHITE: What about all this stuff? Do I 9 take this with me? MS. SCHRODER: Actually, you give the exhibits 10 back to the court reporter. 11 12 MR. ZUCKER: I'll pick up my stuff. Thank you. MS. SCHRODER: Unless you want to not have 13 14 anymore, that would be great. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's all right. 16 MS. SCHRODER: Can I just ask something before 17 we call him? Our next witness is probably -- well, is 18 definitely probably -- is definitely the longest witness we will have other than Mr. White. Do we want to call 19 him, or do we want to maybe go out of order and call a 20 shorter one since it's 11:40? 21 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yeah. MS. SCHRODER: We have a number of short --23 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I was look for taking a break for lunch about 12, so -- yeah. If you have a short 25

1 witness, we can do that and come back to Mr. Carlton.

2 MS. SCHRODER: Well, we could do Claire Donnelly 3 now because she's by phone, and I think she's going to be 4 very short. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That would be good, and get 6 that out of the way. Is she the only one we are going to 7 do by phone? 8 MS. SCHRODER: No. We're actually going to do 9 Marilyn Jean Kessler by phone, too. MR. EVANS: Should I give her a call first and 10 tell her? 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Why don't we go ahead and do Claire Donnelly, then? 13 MR. FRANSON: Is there anybody --14 MS. SCHRODER: Yeah. You know what? We're 15 having trouble with our phone -- oh, here it is. 16 MR. EVANS: Thank you. I'll go give her a call. 17 MS. SCHRODER: I think we can just call her now 18 and tell her. Do we have a phone? 19 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I've got the phone up here. 21 It's a speaker phone. 22 MR. ZUCKER: Did you have a cell phone to call 23 her? 24 MS. SCHRODER: We could call her -- Mike's

25 concerned that we should call her first just to let her

1 know. 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Just to let her know before she 3 gets on the line. 4 MR. ZUCKER: You can do it right here. 5 (Discussion off the record.) MS. DONNELLY: Hello. 6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ms. Donnelly? 7 8 MS. DONNELLY: Yes. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: This is Judge Woodruff. I'm the Judge with the Public Service Commission. 10 MS. DONNELLY: Okay. 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I've got you on the speaker 12 13 phone here. 14 MS. DONNELLY: Okay. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And Mrs. Schroder's going to start asking you some questions here in a minute. 16 MS. DONNELLY: Okay. 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Can everyone here her? Okay. 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 20 Hi, Ms. Donnelly. 21 Q 22 А Hi. 23 This is Sherrie Schroder. I am going to ask you Q 24 some questions about your prior testimony, and you aren't going to know the testimony number, unfortunately. I'm 25

1 just going to have to tell you what we've marked it as. Okay? And this will be Exhibit 11, correct? 2 3 А I'm having a hard time hearing you a little bit. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You can come closer if you want 5 to. 6 MS. SCHRODER: Sorry. 7 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Can you hear me better now? 8 А Yes. 9 Okay. Great. Ms. Donnelly, we are going to 0 mark your testimony Exhibit 11-NP and Exhibit 11-HC. And 10 the -- the distinction is merely that one of them is a 11 12 public copy and the other one has your address whited out. 13 А Okay. All right. Did you give -- did you provide 14 Q 15 written testimony to the PSC in this matter? 16 А Yes. All right. And you don't have it in front of 17 Q you, but have you reviewed that written testimony? 18 Have I reviewed it? 19 А 20 Yeah. Have you looked back at it? Q 21 А Yes. 22 And if I asked you those -- the same questions Q 23 -- I'm sorry. Do you have any corrections to make to that 24 testimony? 25 А No.

1 Q If I asked you the same questions that elicited 2 that testimony today, would your answers be the same? 3 А Yes. 4 Q And was your testimony true and accurate to the 5 best of your knowledge, information and belief? 6 А Yes. 7 MS. SCHRODER: With that, the union would move 8 for the submission of Ms. Donnelly's testimony 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Exhibit 11 has been offered into evidence. Are there any objections to its 10 11 receipt? 12 MR. ZUCKER: No objection, your Honor. 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Hearing no -- hearing no objections, it will be received into evidence. 14 (Exhibit Nos. 11-NP and 11-HC were admitted into 15 16 evidence.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: For cross-examination, then, 17 beginning with Public Counsel? 18 19 MR. POSTON: No questions. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And for Staff? 20 21 MR. FRANSON: A few, Judge. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. FRANSON: 24 Q Ms. Donnelly --JUDGE WOODRUFF: Can you hear him from back 25

1 there?

2 A Not very well.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: You can come on up here, too,
then. We're in kind of a large courtroom here, and it's a
little bit hard to hear sometimes.

6 A Okay.

Q (By Mr. Franson) Ms. Donnelly, I'm an attorney for the Staff of the Public Service Commission. Can you hear me?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. What I wanted to ask you is, what you're 12 reporting here in your testimony is -- is strictly an 13 experience you had when an AMR device was installed in 14 your home; is that correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. So you're just reporting what people told 17 you, the gas worker, the supervisor, and other people, 18 correct?

A We also ask -- I mean, we had the leak, so it was also something that I smelled and I noticed.

21 Q Okay. But you're not -- and then once that leak
22 happened, did you report it to Laclede?

A I reported it two weeks later after I first
smelled it because the person who installed the device
told me that it would dissipate. And because it was in

the basement and I don't spend a lot of time there, I 1 2 would just notice it from time to time. Okay. You're not a trained gas worker, are you? 3 0 4 А No. 5 0 Okay. So once you -- you smelled the gas and 6 reported it -- no, wait. 7 MR. FRANSON: I don't believe I have any further 8 questions, your Honor. Thank you. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. 10 Laclede? MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, your Honor. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. ZUCKER: Q Good morning, Ms. Donnelly. 14 A Hi. 15 16 Q Hi. My name is Rick Zucker. I'm an attorney for Laclede. 17 18 A Okay. 19 And let me ask you first how you came to -- to 0 20 testify in this case. How -- how did you -- how were you contacted by the union? 21 22 А I had filed the -- let me think. How the union 23 contacted me? 24 Q Or whether they did. 25 A The union did contact me. The gas worker who

was the union gas worker gave my number to his union
 leader.

3 Q Okay. And you say -- do you have your testimony 4 in front of you, by the way?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. And in paragraph 6, you have a sentence 7 that says, "The supervisor admitted that there would have 8 been no leak but for the installation of the AMR device." 9 Do you see that?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. Wouldn't it be more true to state that 12 the supervisor admitted that you wouldn't have discovered 13 the leak but for the installation of the AMR device?

14 A Can you repeat that again?

Q Wouldn't it be more accurate to say that the supervisor may have admitted that -- that you would not have discovered the leak but for the installation of the AMR device?

19 A No. Because if they would have just left it 20 alone, the leak would have remained contained, and it 21 wouldn't have actually been a leak. And because the plate 22 was removed off the front of the gas meter, that caused 23 the leak to be -- you know, let into the room. 24 Q Okay. So -- so the fact that the -- the index

25 cover was removed to do the AMR installation allowed you

1 to discover the leak?

A Well, it allowed the leak to -- it allowed it to leak into our home versus being contained and not leaking into our home.

5 Q Okay. Were -- were you also -- you -- you made 6 a -- a complaint at the Commission regarding something 7 that the Laclede tech said to you regarding this payment 8 by the -- how the Cellnet installers get paid. Do you 9 recall that?

```
10 A Yes.
```

Okay. And -- and you testified in paragraph 6 11 Q that you found it to be unprofessional on the part of the 12 13 supervisor to bring the -- the tech back to your home? 14 I thought that the supervisor -- I thought it А was demeaning to the gas worker because he was just 15 informing me of -- because I asked why the first gas 16 17 worker had let that leak into the house and told us it was 18 okay.

And so when the gas worker informed me that the person who came to our house and said that they were with Laclede Gas -- I just felt that it was unprofessional the way that the supervisor made the gas worker apologize when he was just informing me of a -- of a safety issue. Q Okay. But do you understand, from the company's

25 standpoint, we have to be careful with what technicians

working there on our behalf say about other companies?
A Do I understand that? Is that what you're
asking?

4 Q Yes.

5 A Yes. I do understand that.

6 Okay. For example, if we -- we did service Q 7 work, if our tech came out and said, Don't use some other service company because they're disreputable, we wouldn't 8 9 want that to happen as -- as -- from Laclede's standpoint? 10 А Right. But at the same time, I just felt like he was doing me a service by informing me that the person 11 12 who told me they were with the gas company actually was 13 not with the gas company. He wasn't actually certified 14 really to -- to detect leaks and all that stuff, according to the gas worker. 15 16 And -- which I believe to be true because he 17 told me the leak was not a big deal and that it would 18 dissipate, which the gas worker told me was not the case, that it wouldn't dissipate and it was a leak. 19 20 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Okay. Thank you very much, 21 Ms. Donnelly.

22 MS. DONNELLY: Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Zucker. I don't have any questions, so there's no
need for re-cross. Any redirect?

MS. SCHRODER: Just two questions. 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. SCHRODER: 5 0 Hi, Ms. Donnelly. This is Sherrie Schroder 6 again. 7 А Okay. 8 When the gas worker gave your number to the Q 9 union, that was with your permission? A Of course. I gave the gas worker -- I gave -- I 10 think I gave the gas worker my phone number to give to --11 12 to the union guy. 13 Q All right. Thank you. And Mr. Franson asked you about the gas odor dissipating, I believe. Am I 14 making that up? 15 16 MR. FRANSON: I have no objection to your 17 question, but I don't know that I asked her, but go on 18 with your question. (By Ms. Schroder) Okay. I thought I heard some 19 0 testimony about dissipating. Anyway, did you try to air 20 out the basement? 21 22 No, I did not. А 23 Okay. Is there really any way to do that? Q 24 Not safely. Not really sure -- you know, not А 25 really securely.

1 0 And at the time that you called Laclede, how long was that after the installation? 2 3 A I think it was about two weeks. And like I 4 said, it's just that we don't spend a lot of time -- our 5 basement's an unfinished basement, so I only go down there 6 to do laundry. And every once in a while when I went 7 down, I would notice the odor. 8 And was the odor still -- did you still notice Q 9 it two weeks later? Yes. And it was never -- it was never very 10 А strong. If it was very strong, I would have called, you 11 12 know, right away. But I kept thinking that it would just 13 dissipate, and it was very faint. MS. SCHRODER: Okay. Thank you. I have no 14 15 further questions. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. I believe that will complete Ms. Donnelly's testimony, then. 17 And I thank you for your time, Ms. Donnelly. And I'm 18 going to hang up the phone now. 19 20 MS. DONNELLY: Great. Thank you. 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. 22 MS. DONNELLY: Bye. 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. 24 MR. ZUCKER: We can do another phone call. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I know you said you had another 25

1 witness that might be done quickly.

2 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. And, actually, she would 3 prefer to stay in order, she said. So, I mean, we can do 4 the other phone call if you want or -- we might actually 5 get a jump on the lunch crowd if we take lunch early, so 6 it's up to you. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let's just go ahead and take lunch early. It's -- it's looking like we're going to 8 9 have a hard time getting done today. MS. SCHRODER: I don't believe --10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: From -- from -- well, I don't 11 know. I don't know how long these other witnesses are 12 going to go. Based on what I've seen so far today, it's 13 14 not going very quickly. 15 MS. SCHRODER: That was our longest witness. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Well, we can -- we can 17 try and get done today. If we want to come back at 12:30 18 -- or do you need a longer lunch? MR. FRANSON: Could we go just ten minutes 19 20 longer, Judge? Thirty minutes yesterday was pretty tight. 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yeah. I know. I've got some 22 stuff to get done, too. Well, let's come back at 12:45. 23 We'll compromise. All right. We'll adjourned until 24 12:45. 25 (Lunch recess.)

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Let's go back on 1 2 the record. 3 MS. SCHRODER: The union calls Mary Hall. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Right up here, Ms. Hall. 6 Please raise your right hand, and I'll swear you in. 7 MARY HALL, being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 8 9 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. You may be seated. 13 You may inquire when you're ready. 14 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. 15 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Hi, Ms. Hall. 16 MS. SCHRODER: I am sorry. I don't know what number we were on. Are we on 12? 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're up to 12. Yes. 18 MS. SCHRODER: Excuse me. Did you give me those 19 20 copies, Mike, the NP and --MR. EVANS: Yeah. Here it is. And then I --21 22 MR. FRANSON: She's got an NP and HC version, 23 correct? 24 MS. SCHRODER: Right. I just gave those both to 25 the court reporter.

MR. FRANSON: Are we up to 12, your Honor? 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. 3 MS. SCHRODER: So that's 12, not 11? 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That was 12, yes. Donnelly was 5 11. MS. SCHRODER: Okay. I'm sorry. 6 7 MS. HALL: Was I meant to have two of these? 8 THE COURT REPORTER: (The court reporter nods 9 head.) 10 MS. SCHRODER: All right, your Honor. (By Ms. Schroder) I think you've got the 11 Q 12 copies in front of you now? 13 A Yes. I had the original that I marked up. The original. 14 Okay. That's fine. Ms. Hall, are you the --15 Q 16 did you provide written testimony in this matter? А 17 Yes. And is that written testimony before you marked 18 0 19 as 12-HC and 12-NP? 20 А Yes. All right. And --21 Q JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ms. Hall, if you'd move the 22 23 microphone over a little bit. You have a soft voice. 24 A I could use any teacher's voice. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, they need to hear you, 25

1 so --

25

2 I'm sorry. Α 3 0 That's much better. 4 А Yes. 12-HC and 12-NP. 5 0 Okay. Do you have any corrections to your 6 testimony? 7 А There is one -- one line -- page 3, lines 15 and 16 about the budget billing. It did not show the actual 8 9 gas charges. In other words, the budget billing, as it was meant to do, was an umbrella fee to balance out over 10 the months. I'm talking too much. 11 12 No. I just want to make sure that we understand 0 13 what the change is. The change is -- you said actual gas charges. It's not actual gas usage, is that --14 15 Right. Right. The usage -- right. It's on А 16 there, but I didn't know what the actual fee would be for 17 what I really had used as opposed to what the budget 18 billing wanted me to pay. There was only one figure, monetary figure on each bill, and that was what the gas 19 20 company wanted me to pay as part of the budget plan, which 21 was \$80 at this time. That was the only amendment I would 22 have to this affidavit. 23 MS. SCHRODER: All right. Also, your Honor, 24 since Ms. Hall's testimony, three more bills have come in

of that I only have, unfortunately, one copy a piece of

1 because I just got them from her today. I would like to -- I guess I would -- I would like to have her discuss 2 3 them because I think they complete her testimony, and then 4 we can EFIS file them as an addendum to her testimony if 5 that's --6 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Or possibly if she's given the 7 amounts on them, it's maybe not necessary to --8 MS. SCHRODER: It may not be necessary. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. MS. SCHRODER: And I passed them to Mr. Zucker, 10 except for the September one, which I hadn't found yet. 11 12 But nobody else -- Marc Poston and Robert Franson have not 13 seen them yet. So I guess do you guys want to take a quick break and look at them? 14 15 MR. FRANSON: No. Proceed. I'm sure she'll state the billing numbers. That's all I need. 16 MS. SCHRODER: All right. So if I may approach, 17 I'll hand her those. 18 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You certainly may. 19 20 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. 21 Q (By Ms. Schroder) And why don't you put them in 22 order so it will be easier for you? 23 А Okay. 24 All right. Ms. Hall, other than that correction Q 25 that you made to page 3, line 16, if I asked you the same

questions today that we asked you in October when you 1 2 filed this testimony, would your answers be the same? 3 А Yes, it would. 4 0 All right. And were those answers true and 5 accurate to the best of your information and belief and 6 knowledge? 7 A Yes, they are. 8 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. With that, I move the 9 admission of Mary Hall's testimony. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. 12-HC and NP have been offered into evidence. Are there any objections to 11 12 its receipt? 13 MR. ZUCKER: No, your Honor. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Hearing no 14 15 objections, 12-HC and NP will be received into evidence. (Exhibit Nos. 12-HC and 12-NP were admitted into 16 evidence.) 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And did you want to ask --18 Mrs. Schroder, did you want to ask additional questions 19 20 about those bills at this point? MS. SCHRODER: That would be lovely if I could. 21 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: This would be the best time to 23 do that. 24 MS. SCHRODER: All right. 25 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Ms. Hall, when you gave your

1 testimony, you ended with your August bill, and you had just had a special meter read on that bill as well; is 2 3 that correct? 4 А Yes. 5 0 And you stated at the end that your billing 6 issue had not been resolved. Has your billing issue been 7 resolved today? 8 А No. 9 Has -- do your new bills reflect a continuation 0 -- is there -- what do your new bills show? 10 To me, they show still that I am being -- have 11 А been over-charged in that the fees that I had earlier paid 12 13 starting with that exorbitant January gas bill -- excuse me. I shouldn't have used that word. But excuse me. 14 15 But starting with that very high January gas bill that started my vested interest in this matter, that 16 has not been resolved. So I believe I am still overpaid 17 to Laclede Gas. 18 All right. And let's go specifically through 19 0 20 those. In September '06 --21 MS. SCHRODER: Do we -- I would really rather 22 talk to her about the usage. Or do you guys want me to 23 talk to her about the numbers, the amount of --24 MR. FRANSON: You're asking the questions. Do

25 what you deem appropriate.

1 Q (By Ms. Schroder) What -- what usage does your September '06 bill show? 2 3 А Present reading, 3613. Previous, 3612. And the 4 amount due is \$70.50 cents for --5 0 Okay. So you had a -- a one -- whatever --6 Α One digit discrepancy in the ones column. 7 Q And you got charged how much for that? 8 \$70.50. А 9 0 All right. And was that an actual read or an estimated read? 10 It says actual reading. 11 А 12 All right. Q 13 And underneath it says CWR plan amount, which I Α don't understand what that means, CWR. 14 15 Okay. And did a meter reader come to read your Q 16 meter on September -- in September, or was this the AMR reading? 17 Well, the AMR has been on since June of 1st 18 А year. So they would all be, I assume, AMR readings. To 19 20 my knowledge, there has not been a May meter reader since 21 Mr. Stewart came in August. 22 All right. The October '06 bill, what does the 0 23 present reading show? 24 Α That's not here. But then I was told I had a credit and did not pay. 25

1 Q Okay.

2 So either they didn't send me one or I А 3 overlooked it. But I keep all my receipts, as you see. 4 But I don't have an October, any -- any documentation for 5 October. 6 Q All right. What does your November '06 bill 7 show? 8 It says the previous reading was 3615, which is Α 9 three therms above or three units above what it said in --September? 10 0 Yeah. And the present reading was 3647 in 11 А 12 November. 13 And how much did you get charged for that? Q 18.62. 14 А 15 Okay. And what does the December bill show? Q 16 The December bill shows 3647, previous read, А which matches the November figure, and 3716, present 17 reading amount, \$102.32. 18 All right. And with the December read, does it 19 0 20 say whether that's estimated or actual? 21 А Actual. 22 0 What about November? 23 It says actual. А 24 Okay. Directing your attention BACK to your May Q 25 bill, what is the previous reading on your May bill?

1 А It's hard to read the copy. But it looks like 2 3516 -- 3616. No. It's 3616. 3 0 Okay. 4 А Previous reading under that column, it says, 5 3616. Present reading, 3643. 6 Q Okay. So directing your attention, then, to the 7 November reading --8 Uh-huh. Α 9 0 -- is -- well, and even your -- to your September and November readings --10 11 А Right. 12 Q -- do you see any problem with those numbers? 13 Yes, I do. And that's -- I was puzzled by that. А All right. What problem do you see with those 14 Q 15 numbers? 16 Well, I see that in May, 3616 was the number of Α the previous reading. And in September, the number of the 17 previous reading was 3612. And in November, the previous 18 reading is 3615. And they're all digits in the ones 19 20 column. They're minor discrepancies. 21 Q All right. So your previous reading actually 22 went down between May and September, didn't it? Yes. Yes, it did. 23 А 24 All right. And also between May and November. Q All right. Do you --25

MS. SCHRODER: Actually, I think I already --1 2 did I already move for the admission of 12 -- yeah. I 3 did. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. 12 is already in. So if 5 you're ready for cross --MS. SCHRODER: Yes. I'm ready for cross. 6 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Cross-examination, beginning with Public Counsel. 8 9 MR. POSTON: I don't have any questions. Thank 10 you. JUDGE WOODRUFF: For Staff? 11 12 MR. FRANSON: Thank you, your Honor. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSON: 14 15 Ms. Hall, are -- I'd like -- do you have your Q 16 testimony in front of you? А 17 Yes. Okay. Could you turn to page 4? And when you 18 Q get there, look at lines 4 through 7, please. 19 Yes, sir. 20 А Do you see that? 21 Q 22 А Yes. 23 Q Okay. Do you -- are you related in any way to 24 any member of USW Local 11-6? 25 A No, no, no. Not at all.

1 Q Okay. How did you become aware of this 2 proceeding? 3 А Well, I found out about this particular 4 proceeding through Ms. Schroder's office. However --5 Q Through whose office? 6 А Through the law offices of --7 Q Ms. Schroder's office? 8 Yes. Ms. Schroder's office. But when I got А 9 this bill in January, it was exorbitantly high to me. And I inquired. I called the gas company. And I was told 10 that they thought my usage fee was in line and they would 11 12 send me a printout of my previous bills. 13 They did not. I rang again several weeks later. And then I was put on budget. And I was sent a printout 14 of the bills. 15 16 So is it fair to say your complaint is the high Q bills? 17 18 А Yes. MR. FRANSON: No further questions, your Honor. 19 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. 21 А Now, can I amend that or --22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Not right now. 23 All right. А 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Ms. Schroder is going to have 25 to ask you more questions.

1 А Because there's more to that answer. 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. For Laclede? MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, your Honor. 3 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. ZUCKER: Q Good morning, Ms. Hall. My name is Rick 6 7 Zucker. I'm an attorney for Laclede. Sorry about that. 8 I thought I was taller than that. 9 Okay. Can you turn in your testimony to the fourth page of the exhibit? This is the page, I believe 10 you referred to as a printout of your usage information. 11 12 A Page 4? 13 Right. It has --Q A Exhibit HC? 14 Q Excuse me? 15 A Of Exhibit HC? 16 Q Well, it doesn't matter. 17 18 Oh, okay. Page 4. Okay. А Okay. So this -- you see -- you see a lot of 19 Q 20 boxes and lines? And it says on the left side Revenue Month, and then there's a chart? 21 22 MS. SCHRODER: No. Mary, you're not on the 23 right page. 24 A Exhibit 4. MR. ZUCKER: May I approach the witness? 25

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes, you may. 1 2 MR. ZUCKER: I'll show you the page. 3 А Sorry. I looked for Exhibit 4, and you said 4 page 4. 5 Q (By Mr. Zucker) Yeah. I'll show you. This is a much better copy than mine. Okay. This one right here. 6 7 А Okay. I apologize for that. 8 No, no. It's just fine. Okay. So do you know Q 9 what that -- that page is that we're talking about? 10 Well, I have found the page now because you've А shown it to me. 11 12 Q Right. But do you know what it's -- it's trying 13 to show you? A It looks like the amount of the bill based on 14 the number of days of therm usage. 15 16 Right. This is -- is trying to explain to you Q how we arrived at the billing amount. 17 Yeah. I have not seen this before, so --18 А Before --19 0 20 Today. Α 21 Q -- today? 22 А Yes. 23 Q This was not part of your testimony when you --24 you filed it? No. I have just got the affidavit here. 25 A

Okay. Well, this was attached to the -- okay. 1 0 2 You're saying you just had the body of the affidavit? 3 А Right. Just what I said is all I received. 4 Q Is this page you're looking at there, was this 5 what you received from Laclede? 6 А No. 7 Q No? Okay. Well, let's look at it anyway. 8 All right. What I -- what I received from them, Α 9 I think, was this one. 10 Oh, okay. Okay. I recognize that. Okay. 0 Well, let's look at this page anyway that I've pointed out 11 12 to you. Do you see it says Revenue Month on the left 13 side? 14 A Yes. 15 And then it compares December '04 to December Q '05? 16 Uh-huh. 17 А And what it -- in the second line, it says Bill 18 0 Amount. And it seems to -- and it shows that you were 19 billed \$159.88 --20 21 А Uh-huh. 22 -- for usage in December '04 versus \$231.59 in Q 23 December 2005. Do you see that? 24 А Yes. I see that. 25 Q Okay. And look a little further down. Do you

see a line called Heating Degree Days? 1 2 А Yes. 3 0 My guess is you don't know what heating degree 4 days is? 5 А (Witness shakes head.) It's -- it's the -- if I were to tell you that 6 Q 7 it's the number of degrees that an average -- the average 8 temperature in a day was below 65 --9 Α Okay. -- would you accept that? 10 0 11 А Sure. 12 Okay. And so the higher the heating degree Q 13 days, the more heat needed to heat your home and the higher the bills tend to be. 14 15 A All right. So in December of 2004, the heating degree days 16 Q were 906 in St. Louis. And in December of 2005, 1,071. 17 Do you see that? 18 19 А Yes. 20 And -- well, let me continue. So the heating Q 21 degree days were about 165 more in December 2005. There's an error here that I need to point out. 22 Α You see in the upper right-hand corner it says December 23 '05? I never received a bill of 231.59. And I have a 24 list of all the bills I've paid. 25

In December of '05, my bill was \$78.17 This 1 2 should read December '06. 3 0 So this would be --4 А But it wasn't --5 0 I understand. This was our -- what we call our 6 revenue month. So this was -- your usage that year 7 actually went from -- ended the month of December ended 8 January 3rd from -- and that's just a function of our 9 billing cycle. 10 А I see. But, basically, this was your usage in December. 11 Q 12 Uh-huh. Okay. А 13 And so what -- basically, what I'm saying to you Q 14 is the heating degree days were higher; in other words, December '05 was colder than December '04. 15 16 А Okay. And we did not get a reading in December '05, so 17 Q your read type was -- see -- see, it says Estimate there, 18 19 Estimate? 20 Okay. Right. А And so we estimated your usage at 151 CCF. Do 21 Q 22 you see that line, the CCF? 23 А Yes. 24 Versus the year before when it was warmer, and Q you actually used only 133. So we estimated a little bit 25

higher usage. And then we have to multiply those numbers 1 2 times the cost per therm. See that at the bottom? 3 А Yes. 4 As you can see, the cost per therm in December Q 5 2005 was significantly higher than '04, \$1.14 versus just 6 under 82 cents. And so that's why your -- your bill for 7 the month, December '05, at 231.59 is -- is higher than 8 the -- the bill in December '04. Do you -- do you follow 9 that? 10 Yes, I do. А Okay. And -- and it appears that you also --11 Q 12 you -- you -- after you got this bill and you complained 13 about it, you went on kind of a budget program, right? 14 А Yes. And CWR stands for cold weather rule. 15 Q 16 Cold weather rule. Thank you. А 17 And during the winter when you go on a budget Q program in the winter, it's called the cold weather rule 18 budget program. Do you understand that? 19 20 А Okay. 21 Q Okay. And so the budget is the -- is meant to be a consistent amount throughout the year. 22 23 Uh-huh. Α 24 And so as you might expect, you'll be paying Q 25 higher than your actual usage in the summer, but lower
1 than your actual usage in the winter.

- 2 A Uh-huh.
- 3 Q Does that make sense?
- 4 A Uh-huh.

5 Q so when you got the bill of \$80 in the summer, 6 that was just part of the budget. It appears that you 7 follow that because that's in your testimony?

8 A Right. I do understand the nature of budget. 9 But what I objected to in the amendment I made was that 10 nowhere on the bill does it tell you what your actual 11 usage would have been or where the actual cash --

12 Q Okay. Let's -- let's look at that. That's a 13 good -- good question.

14 A Price would have been --

15 Q Let's go to page -- in your -- I'll tell you
16 exactly which exhibit number this time. How about Exhibit
17 6?

18 A Okay.

19 Q Now, there, if you look on the left side of the 20 bill, see where it says CWR/Plan Amount?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q \$80?

23 A \$80.

24 Q Now, your plan amount before that had been

25 \$105.50, right?

1 A Uh-huh.

2 And it says there on the bottom of the right Q 3 side of the bill, "Due to periodic review, your plan 4 amount has been changed to 80." Do you see that? 5 А Yes. I remember that. So your -- your -- your budget amount was 6 Q 7 reduced from 105 to 80? 8 Right. А 9 0 Now, your question is how much did I actually 10 use there? 11 А Right. Yes. 12 And if you look at the line on the right side Q 13 that says charge for gas service, 4-3-06 to 5-3-06. Okay. I see that. 14 А 15 Do you see that? 34.91? Q 16 Yes. А And then the tax for your city --17 Q 18 А Right. Yes. -- is added. And then those two numbers added 19 0 20 together are -- make your actual bill for that month? 21 А All right. Okay? Let -- I'm -- I'm done asking you 22 Q 23 questions for now. But let me offer to you that if you 24 would like to -- to talk about this more, if you have more questions about your bill, I would be very happy to 25

discuss it with you. I know a lot of the information is 1 very esoteric and specific to -- to gas service issues. 2 So I understand that -- that you may have questions, and 3 4 I'll be glad to talk to you about this. 5 А All right. Thank you. 6 Q And I'll leave you my phone number. 7 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. No further questions, your 8 Honor. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. All right. I have no questions from the bench. No recross. Any redirect? 10 11 MS. SCHRODER: Oh, I'm sorry. 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're up to you already. 13 MS. SCHRODER: I didn't realize that Marc had 14 already said no questions. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 16 Okay. Ms. Hall, I just want to clear up a 17 Q couple of things. First of all, if -- I can direct your 18 attention to Exhibit 2 to your testimony. This is a 19 20 letter from the Missouri Public Service Commission? 21 A Oh, yes. 22 MS. SCHRODER: You're taller than me, Rick. MR. ZUCKER: I like to think so. 23 24 I don't know that that is going to be over here. A 25 Yes.

(By Ms. Schroder) Okay. Great. 1 Q 2 I'm killing your microphone. А 3 0 That's all right. Do you have it in front of 4 you now? 5 Α Yes, I do. All right. Do you recall this letter? 6 Q 7 А Oh, yes. 8 And if you will just glance at that letter for a Q 9 moment and then see if the third -- the third page after that that came with that letter? 10 Α Third --11 12 The page that Mr. Zucker was asking you about. Q 13 Oh, I'm sorry. Right. Right. Yes. Right. А So you have seen this --14 Q I had. You're right. You're right. I had it 15 А 16 in my possession, and I did not study it. So that's my error. I apologize. 17 All right. I just wanted to clear up where this 18 0 19 record came from. 20 I had it and did not study it, so --А 21 Q Okay. Staff asked you -- I'm sorry. 22 Mr. Franson asked you about how you got involved in this 23 proceeding. 24 А Uh-huh. 25 Q Actually, he asked you how you came to testify

for the union, and I think you indicated my law firm. How 1 did you find your way to my law -- to my law firm? 2 3 А Well, I got that extremely high bill for me --4 for me, okay, in January. I wasn't pleased with the way 5 the gas company hadn't sent me the first time the list of 6 bills and said that it was in line, which, to me, it 7 wasn't because it wasn't. 8 And so I called Lisa Sigman. I know she had 9 done a report on the gas company. And she -- her report involved the installation of AMRs. 10 And the -- a crew -- a group of men from the gas 11 12 company, I assume, came in June of last year, the past 13 year, and installed an AMR on my meter. That was June of 2005? 14 0 15 А Yes. Okay. 16 Q And it was guite an interesting thing to watch. 17 А None of them were in uniform, and they did not announce 18 themselves. And so I came out to see what was going on. 19 20 And what I found was that the rates seemed to go 21 up after that, especially this January bill. And so in my 22 mind, that's -- that's why I called Lisa Sigman, to see if 23 there was a connection between this really high bill and 24 the AMR. And she thought that there might be. 25 Q Okay. So my question was how you got to us.

1 A She gave me Mike Evans' phone number.

Mike Evans, an attorney with my office? 2 Q 3 А Right. Mike Evans, your associate, who she said 4 was handling the data gathering for this case, which I did 5 not know existed before I contacted her. 6 Q All right. And I just wanted to clear up one 7 more thing. You said that -- I think you said in response to a question from Mr. Zucker that you never received a 8 9 bill in December '05 for 231.91. Right. It was a discrepancy. He said in -- in 10 А months. I --11 12 Well, actually, if I can just direct you to page 0 2, beginning of your testimony, lines 1 and 5 -- 1 through 13 14 5, I think that may refresh your recollection about that December 2005 bill. 15 16 Okay. Page 2, line --А 17 Q Lines 1 through 5. That's the amount of bill. January of 2006, 18 Α right. And that's what I was -- he said it was December 19 20 '05. And that -- January of 2006 is when the 231 bill 21 arrived at my house. 22 Okay. But it was for December of 2005? 0 23 I assumed it had to have been for past usage А rather than for future usage. 24 25 Q Yes. Okay. That was -- that was all I needed

1 to -- I just wanted to make sure that was clear.

2 A Okay.

Q And Mr. Zucker was asking you -- or explaining to you, actually, I think, about how -- why your bills might have been larger in December '05 than December of '04 --

- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q -- and January of '06.
- 9 A Uh-huh.

10 Q And January of '05. But there are some other 11 months where your bills were also higher. If -- if I 12 direct your attention to your May '06 bill -- I'm sorry. 13 Your June '06 bill?

14 A It's one of these exhibits here someplace.

15 Q It's Exhibit 7.

16 A All right.

17 Q Do you see on Exhibit 7 -- I just put it away 18 for a second. 19 A That's the 6/28/06 bill, Exhibit 7. Did you 20 want May?

21 Q No. Exhibit 6 --

22 MR. FRANSON: Exhibit 6 --

23 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Exhibit 7, I'm sorry, is the 24 6/28/06 bill.

25 A Right. Uh-huh.

Q What are the therms of usage reflected on that 1 2 bill? 3 А The previous? 4 Q No. On that bill, do you see on the left-hand 5 side at the bottom? Oh, 2.3, yes. Oh, I see it. 15.3. 6 А 7 Q All right. And then if I could turn your 8 attention to Exhibit 10, it's the very last page of your 9 testimony. 10 A Yes. And if you would look at the -- the June --11 Q 12 A 6/18. -- '05 bill? I mean, yeah, June '05. 13 Q A 6/6/05. Right. 14 15 Okay. And what are the -- what's the therm Q 16 usage? That's interesting. It says 3.1. 17 А All right. So what -- so at this point, are you 18 Q using heat in either June of '05 or June of '06? 19 A Not usually. I usually like it -- I keep my 20 21 house cool. 22 Q I mean, and June is generally not a cold month, 23 is it? 24 А No. Q All right. 25

1 A Not in St. Louis, it's not.

2 Right. Thank you. What other appliances do you Q 3 have that are on gas? 4 А Just the hot water heater. Just the gas hot 5 water heater. 6 Q All right. So I'm asking you now to think back 7 a little bit. But did you take a lot more hot showers, do 8 a lot more laundry in June of '06 than June of '05? 9 А No. And I looked back, too, as I was thinking about it. Nothing was different. I did have out of town 10 11 company during some of the time, but not during that time 12 of the year. 13 Okay. So that was a fairly substantial jump in 0 therms. Let me direct your attention now on the same 14 15 document, Exhibit 10, to the July '05 bill, July '0 --July 6th of '05. Do you see that? 16 А 17 Yes. And what were your therms of usage that month? 18 0 Five one. 19 А 20 And then if I can direct your attention to Q Exhibit 8, which is your July '06 bill --21 22 А Uh-huh. Very good. Yes. Uh-huh. Well, it's your bill for usage in July. 23 Q 24 А 71.9.

25 Q 71.9 therms. Okay.

My appliances are electric, except for the gas 1 А 2 hot water heater and the furnace. 3 0 Again, more showers, more washing? 4 Α No. 5 0 Laundry or dish washing? 6 Α No. 7 Q Okay. 8 Α No. 9 MR. ZUCKER: I'm going to interpose an objection here that -- the bill that they're looking at on Exhibit 8 10 is actually a four-month -- billing adjustment to -- to 11 12 bill for -- to make up for statements that had been billed 13 previous to that. In addition, I -- you know, I don't mean to be 14 15 disrespectful, but this wasn't stuff we went over in 16 cross. JUDGE WOODRUFF: It -- it wasn't -- and it's --17 but it's something I want to learn more about. So I'll 18 let her go ahead and finish this redirect. Then I'll give 19 20 you a chance to re -- re-recross on that --21 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, your Honor. 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: -- So we can get to the bottom 23 of it. 24 А Good. (By Ms. Schroder) Ms. Hall, you did get bills 25 Q

for the period -- from Laclede for the period of March 1 '06 --2 3 А Yes. 4 Q -- through June '06, didn't you? 5 А Oh, yes. 6 Q And they reflected usage? 7 А Yes. 8 Q All right. 9 A Yes. 10 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. I have no further questions. 11 12 A Oh, yes. 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. Mr. Zucker, if you want to come up and ask some questions 14 15 about those last couple comments. A Well done. Well done. Oh, okay. Thank you. 16 Thank you. 17 18 RECROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. ZUCKER: 20 I don't have a card. I'm just going to ask you Q a quick question. I only brought one page up with me. 21 Exhibit 8 again, if you would look at that? 22 23 Eight. All right. Yes. А 24 Okay. During the -- the few months before, we Q had billed you, and I think you saw in June we billed you 25

15 for a month which is very low, but the year before 1 you'd only used three. So it may have happened during 2 this year that we billed you a little more than you 3 4 actually used because we had estimated some of your bills. 5 Do you follow that? 6 А Right. I have a question about how the estimate 7 could be so much higher --8 Well, it -- I'll be glad to answer that Q 9 question. -- usage. Yeah. 10 А Well, we'll talk later, and I'll answer that. 11 Q 12 Okay. All right. А 13 But let me ask you one question. Do you see on Q 14 Exhibit 8 the charge for gas service, on the right side of the bill? 15 16 А Uh-huh. Charge for gas service, 3/3/06 to 7/3/06. Do 17 0 you see? That's a four-month period. So the \$137 charge 18 covers all four of those months. Do you see that? 19 Actually, I don't. I just have the stub that I 20 А 21 keep. Is that --22 It should be on the stub. Yes. The right side 0 23 of the bills. There's a line that says Charge for Gas 24 Service. 25 A Oh, now I see it here. Charge for gas, 3/3/06

to 7/3/06. 1 2 Right. Q 3 А Okay. 4 Q So that's a four-month period? 5 А Right. It is. And the total bill for those four months is a 6 Q 7 \$137. Do you see that? 8 Yes. Yes. А 9 Okay. Now, we have to give you credit for 0 everything that, as Ms. Schroder pointed out, we'd already 10 billed you for. So if you look above that, there's a line 11 12 called Bill Adjustment. Do you see that? 13 Α Yes. And across from there is a number that says \$186 14 Q 15 and 30-something cents. 16 Thirty-five cents. Α Thirty-five cents with a minus sign next to it? 17 Q 18 А Right. So we gave you a credit for that. So you 19 0 20 started off with a -- a balance, see, at the top of that same area, a balance of a \$196. 21 22 Α Right. 23 We took into account your payment of \$80, gave Q 24 you credit for the 186 that you'd already been billed, 25 charged you 137, plus the tax, and your -- your total

1 balance comes out over a hundred dollars less than your -well, not over a hundred dollars, but given your \$80 2 3 payment still less than your -- your balance would have 4 been --5 А Uh-huh. I see. 6 Q -- prior to that. Okay. And, again, let me 7 offer to -- to answer further questions --8 Uh-huh. А 9 Q -- outside of the hearing. 10 А Yes. Thank you. 11 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Judge. 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. Any further redirect after -- based on those questions? 13 MS. SCHRODER: No. 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. Ms. 15 16 Hall, then can you step down, and we're finished with you. MS. HALL: Thank you. 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you for coming. Do we 18 want to go with Mr. Carlton now, I think? 19 20 MS. SCHRODER: Yes, we will. 21 DEAN CARLTON, 22 being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 23 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 25 26

JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may be seated. And you may 1 inquire when you're ready. 2 3 0 (By Ms. Schroder) All right. Mr. Carlton, I 4 believe the court reporter just put in front of you 5 Exhibits 13-HC and 13-NP and 14-HC and 14-NP; is that 6 correct? 7 А That's correct. 8 All right. Did you file written testimony in Q 9 this matter of -- first, let's start with written direct testimony that has been marked as 13-HC and 13-NP. 10 Yes, I did. 11 А 12 All right. Have you -- do you have any Q 13 corrections to that testimony? No, I don't. 14 А And if I asked you the same questions today that 15 Q you were asked then, would your answers be the same? 16 Yes, they would. 17 А All right. Were those answers true and accurate 18 0 to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? 19 20 Yes, it was. А MS. SCHRODER: With that, the union moves for 21 22 the submission of Exhibit 13-HC and 13-NP. 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Exhibits 13-HC and NP have been 24 offered. Are there any objections to its receipt? Hearing none, it will be received into evidence. 25

(Exhibit Nos. 13-HC and 13-NP were admitted into 1 evidence.) 2 (By Ms. Schroder) All right. Mr. Carlton, did 3 0 you also file surrebuttal testimony in this matter, and is 4 5 that in front of as Exhibits 14-NP and 14-HC? 6 A Yes, it is. 7 Q And do you have any corrections to that 8 testimony? 9 А No, I don't. If I asked you the same questions today that you 10 0 were asked then, would your answers be the same? 11 12 A Yes, they would. 13 And were those answers true and accurate to the 0 14 best of your knowledge, information and belief? 15 A Yes, it was. MS. SCHRODER: I pass the witness. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Did you want to offer 14? 17 MS. SCHRODER: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. Yes. 18 I would offer Exhibit 14-NP and 14-HC into evidence. 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 14-HC and NP has been offered 20 21 into evidence. Is there any objection to its receipt? 22 Hearing none, it will be -- it will be admitted into 23 evidence. 24 (Exhibit Nos. 14-HC and 14-NP were admitted into evidence.) 25

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let's -- all right. For 1 cross-examination, again, beginning with Public Counsel? 2 3 MR. POSTON: No, thank you. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff? 5 MR. FRANSON: Yes, your Honor, briefly. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSON: 7 8 Afternoon, Mr. Carlton. I'm Robert Franson. Q 9 I'm an attorney with the Public Service Commission. I've got a few questions, and we'll try and be as brief as we 10 can. Do you have your direct testimony and then your 11 12 surrebuttal with you? 13 А I do. Okay. Turning your attention to page 2, lines 7 14 Q -- this would be your direct testimony, which I believe 15 16 has been marked as 13-NP. Page 2, line 17 through 20. 17 Could you take a look at that? Actually, make that 17 through -- line 17 18 through 23. When you've had an opportunity to review 19 20 that, please let me know. 21 Α I've reviewed it. 22 Okay. Was that list compiled under your 0 23 direction? 24 А No, it was not. 25 Q Okay. Whose direction was it compiled under?

I believe -- you know, honestly, I don't know 1 А who actually directed it. It was just a large number of 2 3 us employees, and some of the union members decided that 4 it was time. I don't -- I don't remember ever being 5 corrected by one specific person. 6 Q How did you decide it was time to do it on 7 August 21 rather than at some other time earlier or later? 8 I don't recall August 21 being a date that is А 9 significant in -- in the -- I don't -- I guess I don't understand what August 21 is. 10 Okay. Well, when did you compile it? 11 Q 12 A few months after when we started seeing that А 13 leaks were going on, problems AMRs, skipping meters and leaks. I don't know a specific date. 14 Okay. So you -- you can't tell me a date in 15 Q 16 either 2005 or 2006 when this list existed? I don't know when it started. No. 17 А 18 Well, I'm not asking when it started, but I'm 0 asking when the final list that was filed -- and the 19 20 significant date that I've asked about earlier was August 21 21, 2006, that was the day of your First Amended 22 Complaint. 23 I'm asking, that list, do you know when it -- it actually existed in totality for the first time? 24 25 A No. I don't have any knowledge of that.

Thank you. Turning your attention to page 3, 1 0 lines 8 through 9, see where it says, In my opinion, the 2 3 vast majority of AMR meters from the factory have this 4 problem; is that correct? 5 А Yes. That's correct. 6 Okay. And you -- right before that, you tell us Q 7 what you think that problem is that these AMR meters skip, jump, stall or turn erratically. Okay. So those are the 8 9 ones where it's actually installed in the factory; is that 10 correct? А It does encompass those meters as well as other 11 12 meters. 13 Well, besides meters with AMRs on them, are you 0 14 telling me that other non-AMR meters have the same problem? 15 16 No. No, I'm not. А Okay. What other meters are you referring to? 17 Q Ones that are installed in the field by the 18 Α Honeywell people as well. It's just not new meters. 19 We'll come to that in a moment. For the moment, 20 0 21 let's limit ourselves to the factory installed AMR 22 devices. In your opinion, they have this problem of 23 skipping, jumping, stalling or turning erratically? 24 A Yes, they do. 25 Q Okay. Now, let's go to the ones right down

below, which I believe is where you were going earlier. 1 Lines 11 through 13, "AMR devices put on by Cellnet 2 3 subcontractors have the same dial movement problem"; is 4 that correct? 5 А That's correct. 6 Q But -- then go down to lines -- page 3, lines 16 7 through 7 -- well, actually, 16 through 20. Could you 8 take a look at those? So --9 Α Uh-huh. So these -- these meters -- these AMR devices 10 0 that are stalled in the meter shop, those are done by 11 Laclede employees, though; is that correct? 12 13 Yes, they are. А Are they -- those employees also USW Local 11-6 14 Q 15 members? 16 Yes, they are. А And they're the only ones, in your opinion, that 17 Q do it right? 18 No. I don't have an opinion on who is doing it 19 А right or wrong, just on how the meters function. 20 21 Q Okay. But based on your opinion of how these 22 meters function, is it fair to say here that the factory 23 doesn't do it right, the Cellnet subcontractors putting 24 them on in the field don't do it right, but the -- the 25 people in the meter shop do it right?

1 А That would be speculation on who is doing it right. I don't know. I have no idea who is doing it 2 3 right or wrong. I do know that the meters that seem to 4 come out with the red stamp on them seem to run more 5 smoothly. I wouldn't know why. That just seems to be, in 6 my experience, what I've encountered. 7 Q Okay. And then aren't you saying that the people in the meter shop do it better than anybody else? 8 9 No. I'm just testifying to how the function of А the meter is. I don't know who does it better or worse. 10 I'm just saying the function of the meter -- you know, I'm 11 12 not commenting on the ability or inability of anybody. 13 I'm just saying how the meters function. 14 The meters that have this red tag on them, those 0 come from the meter shop, right? 15 16 Yes, sir, they do. Α 17 And your testimony is that those meters work the Q best; is that correct? 18 19 А They appear to work better, yes. And those meters happen to have had the AMR 20 Q 21 devices installed by the meter shop, correct? 22 А That's correct. 23 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. This has been asked 24 and answered, and I think he's just badgering the witness 25 at this point.

MR. FRANSON: Your Honor, I don't think --1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I think he's just summarizing 3 at this point, and I'll overrule the objection. 4 MR. FRANSON: That's correct. 5 0 (By Mr. Franson) And with that being said, I 6 think we'll move on. Thank you. 7 Let me turn your attention to your page 4, lines 8 3 through 4 of your surrebuttal, if you can turn there, 9 please. 10 Could you repeat what page? А Of your surrebuttal, 14-NP, your -- lines 3 11 Q 12 through 4. Specifically, the sentence says --13 I'm sorry. I didn't hear the page. Α Page 4. 14 Q 15 Okay. А 16 Lines 3 through 4. Q 17 А Okay. I'm interested in the sentence, "Prior to AMR, 18 0 index dial glass meters filled up with water for the very 19 reason that it was not vented." Okay. Have you heard the 20 21 prior testimony in this case? 22 А Not completely, but most of it. 23 Okay. After hearing that and after seeing --Q 24 did you see the AMR demonstration yesterday? 25 A No, I did not. I actually came after that.

1 Q Do you stand by this testimony that AMR -- well, prior to the installation of AMR devices that index dial 2 3 glass was not vented? 4 А Yes, I do. 5 0 Okay. How long have you been familiar with 6 index dial glasses in the Laclede system? 7 А I've got 15 years experience at the gas company. 8 You've never seen one vented prior to AMR? Q 9 I never specifically looked for it. But I did А -- I have seen many meters that the dial glass have a 10 significant amount of water either being half full or 11 12 holding water. 13 I'm not asking about water. I'm talking about 0 specific vents or holes. 14 15 Never -- never really any reason to look for a А 16 vent or pay attention to a vent. 17 Q So --18 So, no, I have not. Α So you haven't seen it because you didn't look? 19 0 20 That's correct. А 21 Q Is it fair to say you really don't know whether 22 they were vented before that, the AMR installation or not because you didn't have occasion to look? 23 24 А I think it would be fair to say. 25 Q Thank you. No -- no further questions on that.

1 Let me ask you, also, down on page 4, lines 16 through 22, 2 could you review that, please, and tell me when you've had the opportunity to do that? 3 4 MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry, Robert. 5 MR. FRANSON: Page 4 of his surrebuttal, lines 6 16 through 22. 7 А I've reviewed it. 8 (By Mr. Franson) Okay. You -- that starts out, Q 9 "The Staff has raised concerns about the union accumulating evidence of AMR problems without notifying 10 Laclede." 11 12 Where did you get the information that led you to ask and answer that question there? 13 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. He didn't ask the 14 question. 15 16 MR. FRANSON: He most certainly did. Prefiled 17 testimony. MS. SCHRODER: He didn't ask it. He answered 18 19 it. MR. FRANSON: Well, he asked himself and 20 21 answered the question. I think I can ask about it. This 22 is his prefiled testimony. It's supposed to be his 23 testimony. 24 MS. SCHRODER: It's his testimony. That doesn't 25 mean --

1 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right.

2 MS. SCHRODER: I -- I'm sorry.

3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: It's not always clear exactly 4 who writes the questions and who writes the answers in 5 prefiled testimony, but we will indulge the assumption 6 that the questions -- that the witness provides the 7 answers, the questions come from some other source. 8 MR. FRANSON: Well, let's explore that. 9 (By Mr. Franson) Mr. Carlton, did you, in fact, 0 write the question on line 16 and 17 of your surrebuttal 10 testimony? 11 12 А No. 13 Okay. Did you participate in the preparation of Q the answer? 14 15 А Yes. 16 Okay. The question asked about Staff raising Q concerns about the union accumulating evidence without 17 18 notifying Laclede. Did you ever take it upon yourself to make sure that the Public Service Commission, whether it 19 20 was the Staff or anyone else at the Public Service 21 Commission, was aware of this evidence that the union was 22 accumulating? 23 А No, I did not. 24 MR FRANSON: I don't believe I have any further

25 questions of the witness.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Cross-examination 1 2 by Laclede? MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, your Honor. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. ZUCKER: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Carlton. 6 7 A Good afternoon. Q My name is Rick Zucker. I'm an attorney for 8 9 Laclede Gas Company. I -- I just have to pop that 10 microphone each time I come up. Are you a high school graduate, sir? 11 12 A Yes, I am. 13 Q And did you attend college? 14 A Yes, I did. Which college did you attend? 15 Q 16 Rankin Technical College. А Did you know Mr. White while you were there? 17 Q Yes, I did. 18 А 19 Okay. Have you attended any other college? Q 20 No, I have not. А Are you a -- did you graduate from Rankin Tech? 21 Q 22 А Yes, I did. 23 What year did you graduate? Q 24 А 1990. And you have been at Laclede for 16 years? 25 Q

Fifteen and some change right now, yes. 1 А 2 Okay. And was your job at Laclede your first Q 3 job after graduating from Rankin Tech? 4 А No, it was not. 5 0 What was your first job? 6 А I was with a commercial heating and cooling 7 contractor. 8 Okay. And how long were you with that Q 9 contractor? Approximately six months or so. 10 А And then did you go to Laclede from there? 11 Q 12 Yes, I did. Α 13 Okay. Have you ever taken any classes on any --Q on AMR installation? 14 15 Previous generations, yes. Α 16 Previous generation, meaning not --Q Trace device. Not the Cellnet, the trace 17 А device. 18 Okay. Have you ever installed an AMR? 19 Q 20 Yes, I have. А On a -- a --21 Q 22 Not a Cellnet, but the previous. Α 23 Okay. Good -- good point. When I refer to AMR, Q 24 I'm referring to Cellnet. 25 А Okay.

1 Q So Let me ask again, just to be clear. Have you 2 ever installed a Cellnet AMR? 3 А No, I have not. 4 Okay. One moment, please. Okay. Okay. I had Q 5 it the whole time. Have you seen what was marked as 6 Exhibit 9? Did you have a chance to look at that maybe 7 during the lunch break? 8 Yeah. I went over it a little bit when you guys А 9 passed it out earlier. 10 Have you seen this document before? 0 Not before today. 11 А 12 So this was not presented to you sometime during 0 13 the spring of 2006? Not in written form, no. 14 А Okay. Did you get a chance to read it? 15 Q I went over it briefly. Not word for word, no. 16 А Okay. And are you familiar with the information 17 Q contained in it? 18 19 А Fairly. 20 Okay. So the -- the -- you kind of know the Q 21 information, although you haven't seen this particular 22 technical update? 23 А Correct. 24 On page 3 of your testimony, Mr. Franson asked Q you some questions about -- on page 3 of your direct. I'm 25

sorry. About the dial -- movement of dials on factory 1 installed AMRs and Cellnet installed AMRs. And you said 2 -- well, and your testimony says that both of them are 3 4 prone to skipping and jumping. Is that an accurate review 5 of your testimony? 6 А Yes, it is. 7 Q Okay. So what -- what you're saying by that is that the -- the issue with AMRs is not just the 8 9 installation. It's also the -- the -- the factory production of them? 10 Yes. I believe so. 11 А 12 Q Do you recognize this? 13 Just as a meter dial. Yeah. A 14 Okay. Can you -- can you tell the -- the Judge Q 15 what this is? 16 А That is a meter dial. Would we call this an index? 17 Q 18 Yeah. А And is this used to measure gas, the -- the 19 0 20 number of cubic feet of gas that go through the meter? That's correct. 21 А 22 Okay. I'm going to hand this to you, if I may. Q 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may. 24 (By Mr. Zucker) Can you tell me how many dials Q 25 are on that index?

1 A Six.

Six dials. And can you tell me when you talk 2 Q 3 about the dial spinning erratically which one of those 4 dials spins erratically? 5 А The two bottom ones, the half foot and the two 6 foot hand. 7 Q The half foot and the two foot? 8 Yes, sir. Α 9 Okay. And you -- you asked a question there on 0 page 4. You didn't necessarily ask the question. You 10 answered the question. 11 12 А On where --13 And page 4 of your direct testimony starting at Q 14 line 8. The question asked of you was, "What, if any, billing implications are caused by leaving these 15 erratically spinning dials?" Your answer is, "The dials 16 17 of the meter cannot be manually read because they spin erratically." Did I read that accurately? 18 Yes, you did. 19 Α 20 Have you ever done meter reading? Q 21 А Yes. 22 Are you trained to do meter reading? Q 23 Yes. Α 24 And do you, on occasion, when you are on a Q 25 customer's property read the meter?

1 А Yes. 2 And do you turn in that meter reading? Q 3 А Yes. 4 And when you read the meter, let's say on that Q 5 index in front of you, which dials do you read? 6 А The top four. 7 Q The top four. You don't read the bottom two at 8 all? 9 No. А Okay. Is it your understanding that the -- what 10 0 you have -- have stated as the erratic movement of the 11 12 bottom two dials, the half foot dial and the two foot 13 dial, does not affect the measurement of the meter, does 14 not affect the measurement of the gas that comes through 15 the meter? 16 I'm not sure. Could you --А In other words, when you read the -- the top 17 Q four dials on the meter and turn in that reading --18 Uh-huh. 19 А 20 -- is that an -- is that reading affected by the Q 21 erratic movement of the bottom two dials? 22 Yes. I believe it would be. А You believe it would be. And so you're saying 23 Q 24 that the -- the reading is then not accurate on the top four dials? 25

A I believe it would be -- it's my understanding that they're all connected together and that if it is spinning erratically, stopping or starting or spinning faster or slower than it should, over an accumulation of time, it would change or factor into what the top four dials are reading.

Q Okay. So are you telling me that when the dial -- the bottom two dials, the half foot and the two foot, move in this erratic manner, they actually get ahead of the gearing?

11 A I don't understand ahead of the gearing. But I 12 -- I would say that if -- if they are not smooth, if the 13 gearing is not smooth and it is not properly registering 14 the amount of gas that's going through it over an 15 accumulation of time, I would think that that -- the top 16 four dials could be off over time.

17 Q Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 9.

18 A Okay.

19 Q Do you see the -- the third and last page of 20 that exhibit?

21 A Is that what you opened it to?

22 Q Yes.

23 A Yes, I do.

24 Q And can you see this the explanation given for 25 how the dial moves in -- the circle is the dial and the

2 Uh-huh. А 3 0 The -- the -- what they call the drive dog? 4 А Uh-huh. 5 0 Do you see that? 6 А Yes, sir. 7 Q Do you see that the movement is only in between those two catches? 8 9 Yeah. According to the picture, yes. А Do you have reason to doubt that picture? 10 0 11 А No. 12 In other words, the erratic movement is Q 13 something that is a fraction of a foot as opposed to 14 causing large changes in the number of cubic feet. Do you 15 understand that? 16 А Yeah. And so, therefore, the measurement will not 17 Q really be off. The measurement of the top four dials on 18 the meter won't really be off by this small difference. 19 20 If that were the only issue, yes, I guess that А 21 would be true. 22 Is there another issue? 0 23 It just seems to me that the meters either stop А 24 at a -- at a larger ratio than that would be. Like I 25 said, this is just an opinion. But sometimes they speed

little triangles are the -- the catches that move?

1

up or they jump full rotations on the half foot hand. So 1 it just appears that there could be other issues if -- if 2 3 it is -- I guess everything is -- you know, in a perfect 4 world, if they're installed correctly and if that is 5 really the only slack, I guess that would be true. 6 Q So this is your opinion. Do you understand it's 7 Laclede's opinion that the measurement is not affected? 8 I do not understand that. А 9 Do you -- when -- do you do leak testing? 0 Yes, I do. 10 А Of -- of meters? 11 Q 12 No. А 13 Okay. Well --Q Well --14 А The leak -- let me ask the question differently. 15 Q I'm sorry. When you're at the customer's home, do you 16 17 sometimes do leak testing? 18 А Yes, I do. Okay. And when you do leak testing, do you wait 19 0 20 for both hands to come on up-swing on the half foot and 21 two foot dial? 22 Α Yes, I do. 23 Okay. And how did you become aware of -- of --Q why do you -- who -- who told you to do that? 24 25 A Management, after we had brought numerous

1 concerns about --

2 Well, I asked you who told you to do it. Do you Q know -- do you know -- do you remember the person who told 3 4 you? 5 А Supervision in the shop. Numerous supervisors. 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. ZUCKER: One moment, please, Judge. That's all for me. Thank you. 8 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. I don't have any questions from the Bench, so there's no need for 10 recross. Any redirect? 11 12 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. Just a minute. Let's see if I've got all my documents together this time. 13 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 15 16 Okay, Mr. Carlton. You were just asked about Q spotting meters. And, specifically, Mr. Zucker just asked 17 18 -- Zucker just asked you who told you from management to wait until the up-swing to do your spotting. 19 20 You -- why did -- how did that come about? How 21 did it come about that management told you to start 22 spotting these meters on the up-swing only? 23 Well, it's always been the practice to do the А half foot hand on up-swing. The change now is that we do 24 25 the two foot and the half a foot hand on the up-swing.
And that was after concerns that the half foot hand is now 1 inaccurate with the new AMR devices. And that was their 2 3 rectification or their -- you know, their attempt to -- to 4 make spotting more accurate. 5 0 All right. And you said it was because of 6 concerns about the -- the two foot hand being inaccurate, 7 now, too, the two foot hand having the erratic --8 The half foot hand was the concern. А 9 Okay. Sorry. Q That is what -- the half a foot hand is our -- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 10 А guess they're both considered test hands, but the half a 11 12 foot hand is what we use in the field to check for leaks, 13 leak investigations or whatnot. 14 All right. How did those concerns get raised? Q From multiple service men. 15 A 16 All right. So service men expressed those Q 17 concerns to management at Laclede? A That's correct. 18 And when did that occur? Before or after AMR 19 0 20 installation started? Shortly after this generation of AMRs. 21 А 22 All right. So you're talking about the Cellnet Q 23 AMRs? 24 А Correct. 25 Q Okay. Did that take care of the problem when

1 management told you to wait until the up-swing for both 2 the two foot and half foot hand? 3 А No. 4 0 And -- and have you mentioned that to 5 management? 6 А Yes. 7 Q And what's the response been? There really has not been a response to that. 8 А 9 That's what we're doing at this time, and there really 10 hasn't been a response. All right. And you got asked about -- I mean, 11 0 12 also in talking about these erratic dials, you were 13 talking with Mr. Zucker about the impact of those erratic 14 dials and billing. And you said that it was your opinion that it affects billing because these are interconnected 15 dials; is that right? Is that a fair summary? 16 17 А Yeah. That's correct. It -- it seems that --18 another service man brought this to my attention, and he said, Don't you think it's weird that these dials jump 19 20 sometimes? And if it was slack like they were all talking 21 about, that it would -- if they jump, they would stop for 22 a certain amount of time. 23 And -- and I said, Yeah, you know, that's fair 24 to say. I said, I think so, yeah, they should stop. It

jumps and it waits to catch up like this illustration

1 would show. And he said, Well, I've been noticing that they continue to move. They jump and then they continue 2 3 to move. 4 MR. ZUCKER: I'm going to object, your Honor. 5 This is hearsay. 6 А It's not hearsay. Well --7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, sustained. 8 MS. SCHRODER: You don't get to rule on those 9 things, Mr. Carlton. (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. Carlton, have you seen 10 0 dials jump and continue to move? 11 12 Yes, I have. After -- and that's where I was А 13 going. I apologize for that, but the -- after he had 14 mentioned that, I started to look for that specific thing myself and have noticed it happens on not a large amount 15 16 of meters. But on some meters, it does definitely happen. 17 It does jump and then continue to move. 18 All right. And some of the information that 0 Mr. Zucker was going through with you on Exhibit 9, which 19 20 I understand that you've not seen Exhibit 9, but he asked 21 whether that information had been orally conveyed to you. 22 And I think you indicated that most of it had; is that 23 correct? 24 А That's correct. All right. Does Exhibit 9 address -- I mean, 25 Q

1 does it explain to you -- okay. Back up.

2 Exhibit 9 talks about gears jumping ahead when they're on the down-swing. Is that what you've been 3 4 seeing with these AMR meters? 5 А We do see that. And I -- like I just previously 6 stated, I don't know why, you know, they would keep moving 7 or what is the problem. But there's -- I think, other 8 than just --9 MR. ZUCKER: I'm going to -- sorry to interrupt. 10 I'm going to object to the question because it doesn't say anything about gears jumping ahead. If she could point 11 12 that out to me --13 MS. SCHRODER: Gears? It does. Page 1. 14 MR. FRANSON: Your Honor, I think there's another point here. I'm going to offer Exhibit 9 at this 15 16 time. It's been talked about. It's being used for 17 substantive purposes. And just for purposes clarity of the record, I'll offer Exhibit 9 at this time, and I move 18 for its admission. 19 20 MS. SCHRODER: Well, I guess I have an objection 21 to Exhibit 9 in that we have no indication -- none of our 22 people have seen it, and we have no indication that it 23 wasn't created today. I certainly -- to the extent that

25 from Laclede that was created for this hearing, I have no

24

somebody wants to put it in as a self-serving statement

1 problem with it. But for the purpose that Mr. Zucker initially intended to offer it, which was that this was a 2 3 document that was issued to the service employees --4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I agree. There's no foundation 5 for it yet at this point. There may be later on in this 6 proceeding. At this point, it will not be admitted. 7 MR. FRANSON: Thank you. 8 (By Ms. Schroder) All right. Okay. So let me Q 9 rephrase the question because I -- I think Mr. Zucker was correct about -- I was using the wrong terminology here. 10 It's the gears catching up. But the -- the jumping is 11 12 supposed to occur on the downhill swing. 13 So let me ask you, Mr. Carlton, does -- the 14 instructions about -- that you received from management about spotting on the uphill swing because all the erratic 15 16 movement is occurring on the downhill swing, did that 17 address -- did that thoroughly address the problem that you're seeing with these AMR meters? Do you understand my 18 question? 19 20 I don't -- I don't think I really do. А 21 Q All right. Are the AMR meters jumping only on 22 the downhill swing? 23 А No. 24 All right. With the instructions management Q 25 gave you, were those directed to erratic movement in one

1 particular quadrant of the dial?

25

Cellnet is providing.

2 As far as like you're saying on the down-swing? А 3 Is that what --4 Q Yes. 5 Α Yeah. I -- I think so. I think that that's 6 their -- you know, I hate putting words in somebody else's 7 mouth, but I think that is -- with the slack that they're 8 thinking when they're on the up-swing, it would be a 9 smoother operation or it takes -- it allows you to spot 10 it. It all came about with the -- you know, the 11 inability to spot the meters, you know. And that's what 12 13 we're trying to alleviate with putting them on the 14 up-swing is being able to spot the meter for leak 15 detection. 16 All right. Going back to this billing issue, Q then, with the erratic dials, are you aware of any facts 17 that support the opinion that you testified to Mr. Zucker 18 about that this erratic dials make it difficult -- or 19 20 being -- or makes it impossible to verify a read? 21 A Just through high bill inspections and reviewing 22 customers' bills with them when I'm doing high bill 23 inspections that they don't seem to be the same, you know, 24 that the readings on the meter are different than what

1 Q All right. And how many high bill inspections -- strike that. I shouldn't be asking you about numbers 2 3 that you probably can't put your finger on. How many 4 years have you been with Laclede? 5 А Fifteen. 6 Q All right. Fifteen years and ten months like 7 Mr. White? 8 A few less month than Pat. А 9 All right. In your 15 years and eight months, 0 have you -- did you do high bill complaints before the 10 Cellnet AMRs were installed? 11 12 MR. ZUCKER: I'm going to object to that. I 13 never went into high bill complaints with him. 14 MS. SCHRODER: No. But you did ask him about whether this was just his opinion that -- that this 15 16 erratic dial movement was affecting billing. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 17 18 you can go ahead and answer. Can you restate the question, please? 19 А (By Ms. Schroder) Certainly. Prior to Cellnet 20 Q 21 in -- AMR installation, did you do any high bill complaint 22 inspections? 23 A A few years ago, I've done a -- very few select 24 number. Q All right. Since AMR installation by Cellnet, 25

have you done high bill complaint inspections? 1 2 Yes. Yes, I have. А Have you -- I mean, can you give me some idea of 3 0 4 how many? 5 Α I would say a dozen or so now. 6 Q All right. Do you have -- does -- does that 7 give you some reason to estimate -- no. Strike that. 8 Were you here yesterday for Gloria Harmon's part 9 of the demonstration? 10 No, I was not. А 11 All right. You heard Pat White's testimony Q 12 today, didn't you? 13 A Yes, I did. And you heard his testimony about the amount of 14 Q 15 education that he received from Laclede Gas since he's been here? 16 А 17 Yes. All right. Was that comparable to the amount of 18 0 education that you have received from Laclede Gas since 19 20 you've started with the company? 21 А Yes. Pat and I have received the same training. 22 All right. And was part of that training Q 23 directed towards this interconnection between the dials on 24 an index that you were talking to Mr. Zucker about? А 25 No.

Q Okay. And Mr. Zucker asked you a question about the erratic dials that have been submitted -- I'm sorry -the erratic dials on meters that have factory installed AMR devices, and he asked you whether that led you to believe that it was factory production rather than installation that might be causing the erraticness of the dials. Do you recall that?

8 A I do.

9 All right. Are the -- but as -- as I recall, 0 10 you also testified in response to both Mr. Zucker and Mr. Franson about AMR devices that had been installed by 11 the meter shop employees. And you said that the ones --12 13 those you've seen seem to move smoothly; is that correct? 14 А Yeah. For the most part, it seems that the meters that are stamped, and I assume they were from the 15 16 meter shop. Or I don't quite remember. But they had a 17 red stamp on top of them. And those seemed to work 18 better.

19 Q All right. Those are still designed and 20 produced by the same -- by the same people, Cellnet; is 21 that correct?

22 A As far as I know, yes.

23 Q All right. So the difference there would be 24 installation or production? Do you understand my 25 question?

1 A I -- I guess it's the people doing it, I would 2 assume.

3 Q The people installing it?

4

A The people putting -- putting it on.

5 Q All right. Okay. I want to ask you another 6 question about this Exhibit 9 that we've heard so much 7 about today. When you receive documents from Laclede Gas 8 in safety meetings or other kind of training documents, do 9 they look like this?

10 A Similar. But this seems to be -- I've never --11 we get technical updates every -- every month or every 12 other month or whatever, periodically through the year. 13 And it doesn't seem that this is consistent with the same 14 typing or how it's been done from -- in the past.

15 Q All right. And what do you mean it's not 16 consistent with the same typing?

17 А It just seems that he always -- when they come out -- I say he because I know who makes the -- the 18 update. You know, they always have the month that it 19 20 comes out, and the -- and it seemed like the typing is 21 different than, you know, his typing seems to be. 22 You know, it's been consistent. And that looks 23 different to me on this page than -- than other ones. 24 Are you talking about the font? 0

25 A Correct.

MR. ZUCKER: Your Honor, if it's the font, I 1 mean, I printed this off of my computer where it was sent 2 3 to me. If it's the font that's stopping him, I'm willing 4 to stipulate if he recognizes the substance of but just 5 not the font, I'm willing to stipulate that -- that this 6 is the update issued. And I don't know if my computer 7 printed it in a different font or not. 8 MS. SCHRODER: Except that I think this witness 9 also said it didn't have the month and --10 А Yeah. It does appear -- I mean, as well as the font. Like I said, it always comes out with the month and 11 the subject and -- you know, and that stuff. It seems to 12 13 be different than normal. 14 (By Ms. Schroder) All right. You don't Q remember reading a document --15 16 MR. FRANSON: Your Honor, I'm going to have to 17 object. Exhibit 9 has been offered at least twice now, and so far it's been rejected. 18 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Actually, it's only been 19 20 offered once by you. 21 MR. FRANSON: Okay. I stand corrected. Once. 22 I'd do it again, but I don't see the point at this point. 23 But I also don't see the -- how it's proper to -- relevant to be asking questions about an exhibit that has not been 24 25 offered.

Ordinarily, you see questions to establish a foundation, not preemptory questions to preempt anything that might come up. And I don't believe that is appropriate, nor is it relevant. This man's already said he doesn't know exactly where this came from.

G JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, let me ask, Counsel, what would be the relevance of these questions about this document at this time?

9 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. The relevance is that it has been represented that -- and it's been represented in 10 writing as well as here at this hearing that these SAID 11 12 employees received a written document that indicated that 13 the spotting issue doesn't exist or it's been resolved. 14 And -- and they have indicated that they didn't receive any such written document and that the issue is not 15 16 resolved.

And I don't want our witnesses to be excused and then have somebody step up to the stand, you know, without asking them and have somebody step up to the stand and say, well, I don't know where Pat White was that day, but, you know, we handed this out to all the SAID employees. We have three SAID employees here today. I think just three.

24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, I'm convinced. I'll 25 allow it to go forward.

MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Your objection is overruled. 3 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. Carlton, have you ever 4 received a document from Laclede that contained the 5 information that is written in this Exhibit 9, whether or not in this font? 6 7 A I don't recall receiving it, no. 8 MS. SCHRODER: All right. I have no further 9 questions. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Mr. Carlton, you can 11 step down. MR. CARLTON: Thank you. Do you want your dials 12 13 back? MR. ZUCKER: Yes, please. Thank you. 14 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Call your next witness, then. MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry. Mark Boyle. Exhibit 16 17 15? 18 THE COURT REPORTER: 15. 19 MARK BOYLE, being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 20 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. SCHRODER: 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may be seated. And you may inquire when you're ready. 25

MS. SCHRODER: I'm sorry, your Honor. We're 1 having difficulty finding the NP version. Your Honor, 2 3 somehow we failed to get here with the NP version. All the rest of our NPs -- it's not in there. Can we go ahead 4 5 and -- never mind. MR. FRANSON: I do need it back. 6 7 MS. SCHRODER: I'm going to give it to the court reporter. The NP. Oh, thank you. Thank God. 8 9 (By Ms. Schroder) Hi, Mr. Boyle -- yeah. This 0 is on. Sorry. Mr. Boyle, did you give testimony in this 10 -- written testimony in this matter that has been marked 11 12 as 15-HC and 15-NP? 13 A Yes, I did. 14 All right. Do you have any corrections to that Q 15 testimony? 16 No, I don't. I -- can I -- addition? No? А 17 Okay. Well, I guess that depends on how the Judge 18 0 feels about it. 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: What kind of additional 20 testimony would it be? 21 22 I just wanted to add that I did fill out a form А 23 on a question that was answered, but it might come out. 24 It's not really relevant. Q (By Ms. Schroder) All right. If I asked you 25

1 the same questions that are in your testimony, would your 2 answers be the same? 3 А Yes. 4 0 And are those answers true and correct to the 5 best of your information, knowledge and belief? 6 А Yes. 7 MS. SCHRODER: With that, the union submits the testimony of Mark Boyle, Exhibit 15-HC and 15-NP, into 8 9 evidence. 10 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Exhibits 15-HC and NP have been offered into evidence. Are there any 11 12 objections to their receipt? Hearing none, they will be 13 received into evidence. (Exhibit Nos. 15-HC and 15-NP were admitted into 14 evidence.) 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And for cross-examination, 16 again, we'll begin with Office of Public Counsel. 17 MR. POSTON: No, thank you. 18 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff? 20 MR. FRANSON: No questions, your Honor. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Laclede? 21 22 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you, your Honor. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. PENDERGAST: 25 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Boyle.

1 A Good afternoon.

2 In your testimony, you discuss the process that Q 3 you underwent, the purpose of which was to gather 4 information on meters that had AMR devices installed that 5 you say in your testimony were leaking; is that correct? 6 А Where is that? 7 Q If you look on page 3 --8 Should I be in NP or HC? А 9 Or excuse me. No. NP. And I'm speaking of 0 lines 5 to 13 on page 2, in particular, lines 10 to 13. 10 Do you see that? 11 12 А Yes, sir. 13 Okay. Can you describe that process for me Q 14 where you went ahead and wrote down addresses where you had personally encountered AMR leaks and turned that 15 16 information over to the union? Who instructed you to do that? 17 Union. The union did. 18 А Who specifically with the union instructed you 19 0 20 to do that? 21 А My union leaderships. Business managers, 22 representatives and the President. 23 And who would that be, if you could identify Q 24 them, please? A In the room? 25

1 Q Just identify who they are.

Pat White, the President, Kevin Patterson, the 2 А 3 Business Manager, and Mr. Joe Schulte, the Business Rep. 4 Q Okay. And you not only wrote down addresses 5 that you had personally gathered, but you also collected 6 the addresses of what you call -- or characterize as AMR 7 leaks from other service employees; is that correct? 8 Yeah. When they -- they would give them to me, А 9 and I would forward them to the union. Okay. And you gave them to the union. And over 10 0 what period of time did this process take place? 11 12 А Oh, I would say probably two to three months 13 after the AMR installations started. 14 Okay. And the AMR installation process started Q in the summer of 2005. This would have been sometime in 15 16 the late summer or fall of 2005 when you began to do this? 17 А I don't know the exact date, sir. But, you know, we just collected them. 18 19 0 Okay. I didn't keep -- I didn't keep a stat sheet, 20 А 21 no. So I don't know. 22 Would there be a rough time period when you 0 23 began to collect them, though? 24 А Yes, sir. 25 Q And it was pursuant to the direction of your

1 union leadership; is that correct?

2 Involved with this case, yes, sir. Α 3 0 Okay. Are you familiar with the fact that your 4 union leadership appeared at the shareholder meeting of 5 Laclede Gas Company in January of 2006 and indicated to 6 our Chief Executive Officer that they had concerns about 7 AMR installations causing leaks? 8 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Relevance. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled. 10 Could you repeat the question for me? Α (By Mr. Pendergast) Yes. Are you aware whether 11 Q your union leadership appeared at a Laclede shareholder 12 13 meeting in January of 2006 and in that meeting indicated 14 that they had a concern about the installation of AMR devices causing leaks? 15 16 I heard that during testimony, yes. А 17 And are you aware of whether or not the CEO of Q Laclede indicated that while he cannot believe an AMR 18 installation could cause a leak that if the union had any 19 information he was very interested in seeing it? 20 21 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Here the witness has 22 said he's heard this during testimony, so the only 23 information he's going to have about it is what he heard yesterday or testimony in Mr. Zucker's opening statement. 24 25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Where are you going with this?

1 MR. PENDERGAST: Where I'm going with this, your Honor, is to -- there -- there have been various 2 3 allegations about documents being not provided. There 4 have been allegations made about safety being paramount. 5 What I'm trying to find out, your Honor, is if they had 6 this kind of information within their possession why it 7 took seven or eight months or longer to go ahead and provide it to us. That's what I'm trying to find out. 8 9 MS. SCHRODER: Now I object to Mr. Pendergast 10 testifying. MR. PENDERGAST: I'm explaining why I'm --11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: He's explaining his question at this point, so that second objection is overruled. Back 13 14 to the first one. Again, I'll overrule the objection. you can go ahead and proceed. Answer the question, if you 15 16 can. 17 А What was the question, please? 18 (By Mr. Pendergast) The question was, are you 0 aware of whether the Chief Executive Officer at that same 19 20 shareholder meeting we discussed a moment ago told your 21 union representative in response to his comments at that 22 shareholder meeting that if he had any information 23 regarding AMR installations causing leaks, to let him and Laclede employees in upper management know as soon as 24 25 possible?

1 А No. I just heard that in testimony here. Okay. What can you tell me, if anything, about 2 Q 3 what efforts the union leadership or you personally or 4 anybody else you know of in the union made to convey this 5 information and these addresses to Laclede management 6 employees? 7 А On what effort did I personally? 8 You personally or --Q 9 Or any other management? А -- any union representative that you're aware of 10 0 made to get this information, these addresses to a Laclede 11 12 management. 13 MS. SCHRODER: And I would really just object that this is a compound question that could be two 14 separate ones. 15 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Sustained. 17 (By Mr. Pendergast) What efforts did you make Q 18 to convey that information to Laclede management? Well, when the first -- when the problems first 19 А started coming to light, we went to the -- I'm going to 20 21 call them the -- the front line supervisors. We let them 22 know about the problems. 23 Okay. And -- and what efforts did your union 0 24 leadership make to go ahead and convey these addresses --

25 I know the allegation was made that there are meters

leaking. But what I'm saying is, you were collecting 1 information on which meters you thought were leaking; is 2 3 that correct? 4 А Actually, I wasn't done answering the question. 5 0 Okay. Go ahead. 6 What we did -- what I did was -- is I personally Α 7 went to the front line supervisors and let them know. Then what they did with it, I would presume they went to 8 9 -- up the chain of command. 10 And when I seen that -- and myself recognized it along with -- along with talking to a person what I did, I 11 recognized that these allegations that I was making 12 13 weren't -- weren't being looked at in a thorough --14 thorough view. 15 And that's when I went to the union, and we 16 started going that route. Now, I don't know what time --17 let me just finish. I don't know how long of a time limit 18 that was between the time I went to them the first day and then the time I -- when the union started talking to us 19 20 about it and seeing, but we did bring all of these -- and 21 a whole lot more of these addresses to the -- the -- the 22 supervisors of Laclede Gas on a daily basis. 23 Did your union membership leadership bring these Q addresses to Laclede's management after the shareholders 24

25 meeting and share these addresses with them?

1 A I do not know that.

2 Q Did they ever report back to you on their 3 meeting with them? 4 А No, sir. 5 0 When did you go ahead and provide these 6 addresses to the Commission staff? 7 А I never did give them to the Commission Staff. I periodically would give them to the Hall. 8 9 Okay. Are you familiar with the fact that you 0 filed this complaint shortly after that shareholders 10 meeting? 11 12 А No, sir. 13 Okay. Did anybody indicate to you during the Q 14 course of your gathering of this material that Laclede Gas Company had asked in pleadings regarding this complaint 15 16 for any specific information the union had regarding AMRs 17 causing leaks? 18 Not to my knowledge. No, sir. А Nobody came back to you and said, you know, 19 0 Laclede's got a request out there that the union give them 20 21 specific information, where are your addresses, we need to 22 go ahead and provide them to them? 23 Well, we just continually was gathering the Α 24 information ever since these AMRs started. So, no, we 25 weren't given a certain date that we had to have them all

1 in or all out or -- no, sir.

2 You just gathered them, and you gave them to Q 3 your union representatives? 4 А Periodically, yes. That's correct. 5 0 And you don't know how long they had it in their 6 possession before they provided it either to upper Laclede 7 management or provided it to the Commission or included it in any of their evidentiary presentations here in this 8 9 complaint proceeding? 10 А No, I don't know that. Okay. You indicated that you also filled out a 11 Q 12 CIS form when you took these addresses; is that correct? 13 А No, sir. 14 Oh, well, you say in your testimony, After I Q replace a leaking meter, I fill out a CIS form. 15 16 That was on that particular job that we were А 17 talking about on that. That wasn't all the addresses that I accumulated, no, sir. This -- could I elaborate? 18 19 0 Yeah. Sure. This particular -- what you're asking me is if 20 А 21 the -- on this answer, after encountering a leaking AMR 22 meter, you know, what would you do with the information? 23 After I personally encounter them on a leak call or 24 complaint or a job order, what I would do, that's when I 25 fill out a CIS form, sir.

1 0 Okay. You're saying whenever you personally gathered information relating to this kind of particular 2 3 circumstance, you filled out an CIS form? 4 А And turn it into the company. 5 0 Okay. And would it be your opinion that if 6 other union members that you were gathering addresses from 7 had encountered a similar situation, they would have 8 filled out a CIS form, too? 9 That's pretty much what I was trying to explain А where every day we were turning them into Laclede --10 Laclede Gas Company. 11 12 Were you involved in gathering any information Q 13 for the union's other complaint proceeding involving TFTOs? 14 A Yes, I --15 16 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Relevance. We're now 17 talking about a completely different proceeding. JUDGE WOODRUFF: You'll need to clarify for me. 18 What's a TFTO? 19 20 MR. PENDERGAST: Turn-on, turn-off. And that 21 involved instances where they actually copied these CIS 22 forms. And what I'm trying to find out is what kind of 23 information they were actually gathering and whether it 24 was simply the addresses or if they were also making 25 copies of the CIS forms.

MS. SCHRODER: When?

1

MR. PENDERGAST: At the time they were gathering 2 3 these addresses for situations where they thought an AMR 4 had caused a leak. 5 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. You're now talking about 6 the AMR dials rather than the tariff revision case. 7 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. 8 MS. SCHRODER: My objection goes to the tariff 9 revision case part. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 10 You can go forward. 11 12 (By Mr. Pendergast) Yeah. Did you make copies Q 13 of CIS forms as you went about gathering these addresses? 14 А No, I did not. Have you ever made copies of CIS forms? 15 Q No, I don't -- I have never. 16 А 17 Do you know if any other union members have ever Q made copies of CIS forms? 18 Not to my knowledge. No. 19 А 20 Okay. Now, did you keep track of how many of Q 21 these addresses you had gathered and kept -- kept track of 22 how many you had personally gathered that supposedly had 23 AMRs that had been causing leaks? 24 A No, I did not. 25 Q Did you ever receive an address from Pat White

1 regarding the meter that he earlier testified had been 2 drilled through? 3 А No, I have not. 4 0 You did not receive that? 5 А No, sir. 6 Q You weren't collecting addresses from him? 7 А He's the President of the union, sir. I 8 wouldn't -- I answer to him. 9 And did he ever indicate to you what he was 0 doing with this information? 10 11 Ask -- could you explain that? А 12 Yeah. The addresses that you were providing Q 13 him, did he ever indicate to you what he was doing with the information? 14 15 Well, I mean, we didn't sit down and have a А 16 discussion about it, no. But I did know it was ultimately 17 going to be going for this purpose of a hearing. Well, did you ever urge him to make this 18 0 information available to Laclede upper management as soon 19 20 as possible? 21 А Did I ever urge Mr. White? 22 Yes. 0 23 А No. 24 You did not. I mean, were you concerned that Q

all of these meters that had AMR devices were leaking and

it was critical to get this information up the chain?
MS. SCHRODER: Objection. This witness has
already testified that they gave this information to
Laclede on a daily basis through CIS forms. I really
think this line of questioning is badgering.

6 MR. PENDERGAST: It's not badgering, your Honor. 7 What it is is trying to go ahead and get at the truth of the matter. And truth of the matter is was this a 8 9 legitimate safety concern, or are we -- were we simply 10 gathering up addresses to spring on people later on to go ahead and make it believe that it was a safety concern. 11 And I think I'm entitled to go ahead and question whether 12 13 or not it's the former or the latter.

14 MS. SCHRODER: How are we springing on them when 15 we give them to Laclede before we ever write them down and 16 give them to the union?

MR. PENDERGAST: They're springing it on us because we get thousands of these CIS forms in. And when you have a legitimate concern that there's a safety problem out there, when you have been asked repeatedly to provide information --

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. All right. I'm ready to make a ruling on this. I'm going to overrule the objection. But I will admonish you to -- to -- maintain a tone where you're not badgering the witness guite as much

1 as you are. 2 MR. PENDERGAST: I will, your Honor, and I 3 apologize. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. 5 MR. PENDERGAST: And I apologize. Thank you, 6 your Honor. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: If there's a question out there, you can answer it. If not, you can ask your next 8 9 question. 10 MR. PENDERGAST: If you could read it back for 11 me? 12 (The previous question was read back.) 13 A Do you want me to answer that? 14 Q (By Mr. Pendergast) Yes. Absolutely, I thought it was critical. That's 15 А 16 why I -- when we -- initially, I -- the first question I 17 said to you -- or answer I said to you was we brought it to the immediate attention of front line supervisors. 18 19 We felt it -- I felt that that was the -- when 20 you say immediate attention, I think that's as immediate 21 as I can be when I call up a boss and tell them that I've 22 got a leak out here. 23 And did you tell your union management to Q provide that to Laclede's upper management? 24 25 A No, sir.

1 0 Did they have these addresses, to your knowledge, at the time they were talking to Laclede's 2 3 upper management? 4 А You mean at the shareholders meeting? 5 0 Either the shareholders meeting or in meetings 6 after that, to your knowledge. 7 А I don't know when the meetings all took place, sir. I couldn't give you the -- I don't know what the 8 9 dates were. Okay. Well, let me ask you this: When did you 10 0 start providing these addresses to your union leadership? 11 12 I initially thought I answered that as А 13 approximately three months after the AMR devices. 14 Okay. So that would be the fall not only did 0 you start gathering it, but you started providing it to 15 16 your union management at that time? That's correct. 17 А 18 Okay. So over a year ago from today? 0 Again, I -- I don't -- I can't get pinned down 19 А on a date. No, sir. I would assume, yes. 20 21 Q Okay. Let me ask you about this one incident 22 where you talk about a meter being drilled through. Do 23 you recall that in your testimony? 24 А Yes, sir. 25 Q Okay. And in that particular instance, as I

1 believe you relate in your testimony, when the meter was pierced and a leak resulted, the Cellnet person called 2 3 Laclede; is that correct? 4 А To my understanding, it was, yes. 5 0 Okay. And that's what you responded to; is that 6 correct? 7 А No, sir. I just responded to the dispatcher giving me the job. 8 9 Okay. You responded to the dispatcher --0 Laclede Gas, yes, sir. 10 А -- relating to you that there was a leak call 11 0 that had apparently resulted from this situation with the 12 13 meter? 14 А The exact verbiage, sir, was, I'm going out to a blowing meter that was drilled through by a Cellnet 15 employee. 16 Okay. Fine. And when you got out there and the 17 Q Cellnet fellow returned from wherever he had voluntarily 18 left, did he try and hide the fact that he had drilled 19 20 through the meter? 21 А Actually, I don't know how long it took them 22 momentarily to where -- I don't know when he left the job 23 site because he wasn't there when I arrived, sir. 24 Okay. When he returned, did he forthrightly 0 25 admit that it was on him, it was his bad?

No, sir. 1 А 2 Oh, he did not? Q 3 А No, sir. 4 Q You say in your testimony he did. 5 Α Well, what I -- he walked up to me and he 6 immediately -- he came up. I already knew he did it 7 because I was told by my dispatchers that he did it. So 8 there was no admittance by anybody. 9 0 Fine. So --And if I could finish, he walked up, and I asked 10 А him, Was you the -- I think it was a Honeywell truck 11 12 sitting there. That's why I get a little confused Cellnet 13 or Honeywell. I think it was a Honeywell truck there. And that's when I asked him, Were you the service man 14 here? 15 16 And he said he was? Q Yes. 17 Α Okay. Now, you say that you called in and 18 0 talked to your supervisor; is that correct? 19 20 Yes, sir. А 21 Q And you were told not to fill out an F-632 22 damage report? 23 А That's correct, sir. 24 Okay. And are you familiar with filling out Q 25 those reports?

Yes, sir. 1 А 2 Okay. And do you typically fill out those Q reports when you cause damage to a piece of property? 3 4 А When who causes damage, sir? 5 0 When you do. When I -- personally me? 6 А 7 Q Yes. 8 No, sir. Α 9 Q What happens when you cause damage to a piece of 10 property? I don't know what damage I caused. 11 А 12 Q So --13 Give me an example of some damage I could cause А because I don't know what you're ensuing (sic). 14 15 So in working on facilities, you've never caused Q 16 any damage? Again, you're -- it's a very vague question, 17 А sir. I don't know what type of damage you're ensuing that 18 I'm causing. 19 20 Q Well, I'm just asking you, have you ever damaged 21 any property during the normal course of your work? 22 А I've had to repair and -- and replace piping and 23 that sort of -- type issues, yes, sir. 24 0 Okay. And has any of the damage to those 25 facilities been caused by you?

1 A Well, they were caused by me, but they were 2 there before. Okay. The damage occurred because of something 3 0 4 you did? 5 А Well, yeah. We got copper lines that were ready 6 to break and I get on a riser and I put a wrench onto a 7 union and, pow, there it goes. 8 Okay. And do you fill out a damage report for Q 9 that? Not when it's Laclede Gas and I call C&N, no. 10 А Q And is that because you're a Laclede Gas 11 12 employee? 13 А Yes, sir. Okay. And could it be that Mr. Sissick thought 14 Q 15 that since Cellnet was working for Laclede Gas that the 16 same rules that apply to you ought also apply to them? A I don't --17 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Calls for 18 speculation. Makes him assume what's in somebody else's 19 20 mind. MR. PENDERGAST: I'll withdraw the question. 21 22 (By Mr. Pendergast) Do you know if 632 reports 0 23 are typically used to collect damages from third parties? 24 A Is -- where are we at here? Excuse me. That's -- that's part of the process, yes, sir. 25

Okay. And this is when somebody comes in and 1 Q 2 they pierce a line and they happen to be working for 3 somebody else; is that correct? 4 Α No, sir. 5 0 Oh, it's not when they're working for somebody 6 else? 7 А No, sir. A 632 is damage to Laclede Gas property. We also fill out another form, I don't have 8 that with me, and I don't know the form number, but it 9 also -- that form is another form when they pierce a line 10 -- an employee contractor? Or is that what you're talking 11 12 about? 13 They have a separate kind of form? Q Well, I fill it out. 14 А Okay. 15 Q 16 The address where I'm at, the contractor who hit А 17 it, the contractor's phone number, what time I got the 18 job, when I arrived, how long I was at the job, how many buildings are out, you know, who all -- we turn all this 19 20 into C&N, and then, you know, that goes to another department. I think in your -- with you fellas. 21 22 Claims? 0 23 А Yes. 24 Okay. Okay. Q Yes, sir. 25 А

That's when we have the underground --1 Q 2 Yes. So 632 is actually damage to company А 3 facility that -- that we're -- that we're familiar with, 4 meters, regulators, regulatory stations, stuff like that. 5 0 Got you. Understood. And you're not aware of 6 whether any other employees fill out an F-632 damage 7 report when they happen to damage a company facility while 8 working on it, are you? 9 I have no knowledge of what the other employees А 10 are filling out, sir. Okay. But you personally do not? 11 Q 12 No, sir. А 13 Okay. And when you filled out these CIS forms, Q 14 when you personally detected a meter that was leaking because, in your view, an AMR installation had occurred, 15 16 what would you typically write on the CIS report as far 17 as --Where at? 18 А Well, I'm talking about for reason of -- for why 19 0 you were called out. 20 21 А On the back of the CIS and the service man's 22 remarks? 23 0 Yes. 24 Well, in quite honesty, I don't get a lot of AMR А 25 leaks because I'm not the guy to do leaks.

1 Q Okay. 2 On this particular day, I was the blank board А man. And --3 4 Q So what was --5 А I primarily don't do blank board work. I do 6 service work. 7 Q Okay. So you wouldn't have much familiarity with what would be on the CIS relating to AMR devices that 8 9 supposedly caused a leak on a meter? 10 Well, I'm not saying I never had any. А 11 Yeah. Q But I would say the couple that I do, I don't --12 А 13 I don't recall exactly what I write. You know, if I -- if I could get a ticket in front of me, I could read it to 14 15 you. 16 Have you ever encountered a non-AMR leaking Q 17 meter? In the -- my entire career here at Laclede? 18 А 19 Yes. 0 20 Oh, absolutely. Α 21 Q I mean, like how often? 22 А Not very often. But every now and then? 23 Q 24 Every now and then. А 25 Q Okay. So they can leak whether they've got an
1 AMR device on them or not; is that correct?

2 I -- I think anything's possible. А 3 0 Well, in your experience, it's not only been 4 possible, but it's happened, right? 5 А You know, I don't have the documentation to --6 as to what I've changed earlier, so I really don't know if 7 I've actually done that. I mean, I don't want to go back to my testimony. But I -- I'm assuming that I changed a 8 9 DR meter leak before. Not a DR, but a leaking face plate. I'm assuming I have. 10 11 Q Oh, okay. 12 I mean, I've got 14 years and six months here. Α 13 And I don't remember all my jobs that I've written down. 14 Okay. You think you have, but you're not sure. Q Would that be fair? 15 16 That would be fair. А Okay. And when you say the failure to fill out 17 Q 18 a F-632 form damage report meant that there would be no documentation of the mistake made by the Cellnet 19 installer, are -- are you saying that there would be no 20 21 documentation other than your own CIS form? 22 Excuse me. I was reading what you was А 23 reading --24 0 Yeah. 25 A -- because I wasn't following along.

Yeah. On page 3, you say that because the F-6321 Q report was not filled out, that prevented documentation of 2 3 this mistake made by the Cellnet installer. And my 4 question to you is, did you document this mistake by the 5 Cellnet installer on your CIS form? 6 А Yes, sir. 7 Q Okay. So you meant no documentation other than the CIS form? 8 9 What -- what I was trying to state here was, is А 10 there was a -- going to be a missing document of a 632 form, which it's not there --11 12 Q Right. 13 -- because I didn't fill it out. А That's not to say there's no documentation of 14 Q what happened, is there? 15 16 No, sir. It's on the CIS. А 17 Okay. It's on the CIS -- on the CIS form? Q 18 It's on -- excuse me. I'm sorry. Go ahead. Α Yeah. And, of course, there would be other 19 0 20 documentation, correct? 21 А Oh, absolutely. You've got a recording on the 22 dispatcher that gave me the job. 23 Okay. And it is important to see these CIS 0 24 forms and if you wanted to get copies of them from 25 Laclede, would you simply ask for the CIS form?

1 А They wouldn't give them to me. 2 Okay. But if you wanted them, how would you ask Q for them? Would you say, Can I have the CIS form? 3 I don't understand your question. 4 А 5 0 Well, these forms are called CIS forms, right? 6 А Yes. 7 Q If you want to go ahead and see a CIS form, what do you go ahead and ask for? Do you ask for a CIS form? 8 9 Α I would, sir. MS. SCHRODER: Objection. 10 (By Ms. Schroder) From anybody, what would you 11 Q 12 ask for? 13 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. This witness has testified he didn't get these from Laclede. So now this 14 is rank speculation at this point. 15 16 MR. PENDERGAST: I'm asking him -- he deals with 17 these forms every day. If he wanted to go ahead and see this kind of form, just assume for me, hypothetically that 18 the person would go ahead and be happy to provide it to 19 20 you, would you ask for a CIS form? 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I'll overrule the 22 objection because I think I see where this is going. If 23 you can answer the question, you can. If you don't know the answer, just say I don't know. 24 25 A I've never attempted to, sir.

1 0 (By Mr. Pendergast) I know you've not attempted to. I'm just saying imagine that you are going 2 3 to attempt to. What would you ask for? How would you 4 entitle the document you were looking for? 5 А You mean what process? Who would I go to? What 6 manager. 7 Q How would you identify the document? What would you ask for? If you wanted a CIS form, what would you ask 8 9 for? I'm not understanding where -- I don't 10 А understand where he's going. 11 12 If you wanted to get a CIS form, would you say, Q I want to see the CIS form? 13 I don't know who I'd say it to. 14 А Well, I'm not asking you who you'd say it to. 15 Q But I'm just saying if you want to see a CIS form, would 16 17 you say, I want to see a CIS form? Would you use the word CIS? 18 Yes, sir. 19 А Okay. Thank you. Now, in compiling your list 20 Q 21 of leaking meters, did you or anybody else in the union 22 membership that you're aware of check with other gas 23 utilities, either in the State of Missouri or elsewhere, 24 to determine whether they'd had any experience with the 25 sort of problems that you've identified?

MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Compound question. I 1 2 think actually there may be three questions in there, not 3 just two. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain the objection. 5 Separate it out. (By Mr. Pendergast) Yeah. To your knowledge, 6 Q 7 did you check with anyone from any other utility? 8 No, sir. Α 9 0 Okay. To your knowledge, did the union membership check with anybody else? 10 А 11 No. MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Calls for 12 13 speculation. MR. PENDERGAST: I'm saying to his knowledge. 14 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled. You can answer that 16 if you can. Not to my knowledge. 17 А (By Mr. Pendergast) Okay. Are you at all 18 Q familiar with where these Automated Meter Reading devices 19 20 have been installed in the state of Missouri aside from 21 Laclede Gas Company? 22 А No, sir. 23 Q So that means you're not aware that they've been 24 installed throughout Jefferson City, Missouri? 25 A I heard that in testimony.

1 Q Does that cause you any concern? 2 A I don't -- I don't know what they're finding 3 here, sir. 4 Q Do you have any particular sense about ease 5 being here in Jefferson City knowing that we have all 6 these AMR meters around? 7 А All right. Am I imagining this one again? 8 I'm just asking you, do you have any sense of Q 9 dread? I'm just asking, because I would think that the 10 А gas company in Jeff City is capable of finding leaks on 11 12 their AMR meters if they have them. 13 MR. PENDERGAST: Great. Thank you. I have no further questions. 14 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. I have no questions 16 from the Bench, so there's no need for recross. Any redirect? 17 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. SCHRODER: 20 21 Q Mr. Boyle, do you have any idea who installed 22 the Cellnet AMRs in Jefferson City? 23 A No, ma'am. 24 All right. Okay. You mentioned that you were Q 25 not on the blank board. Would you just explain to the

1 Commission what that is?

2 The blank board is when you're -- you don't have А 3 a routed -- a daily route sheet work. You actually start 4 -- you call up the central dispatchers who collect all the 5 leaks, the people who are calling in, and they dispatch 6 you out to leaks, no gas, emergency type situations if 7 they have them, which normally they do. 8 All right. All right. Mr. Pendergast asked you Q 9 whether you would fill out an FC -- I am sorry -- an F-632 form on a Laclede employee. Did -- has anybody in Laclede 10 management ever told you that these Manpower installers 11 12 are Laclede employees? 13 No. No, ma'am. А All right. Was it your belief that they were 14 Q 15 Laclede employees? 16 No, ma'am. Α Okay. Mr. Pendergast also asked you about the 17 Q drilled through meter that is referenced in Pat White's 18 testimony. Were you aware that Pat White had found a 19 20 drilled through meter before today's testimony? 21 А Yes, I was. 22 All right. Did you become aware of that on or 0 23 about the time that it happened? 24 А Yes, I was. 25 Q And how did you become aware of it?

A I got -- I got a phone call from Pat. And, again, I don't know the dates that he called me. But he said that he was going to a job that -- or he was at a job that had a drilled through meter like the one that occurred with me.

Q All right. And there was a whole lot of
questioning about what you told management and when you
told management. And I just want to clear up a couple
little details of that -- I'm sorry -- about specific AMR
addresses.

As I understand it, when -- your prior testimony was that when you first started discovering these AMR problems that you went to your front line management about it; is that right?

15 A That's correct.

Q And -- and then this is where I got a little bit confused. Who -- you said they went someplace else with it. Was the "they" you were talking about the front line supervisors?

A I -- I suspected that they went to the General Foreman and Superintendent in our district. And they have meetings with the Superintendent and the SAID Department, and I'm sure it -- I anticipate it would filter all the way up.

25 Q All right. Certainly -- well, have you ever

spoken to somebody, for instance, Laclede's President or 1 2 Chief Executive Officer about any problem at Laclede? 3 А No, ma'am. 4 Q Is that appropriate in the chain of command at 5 Laclede? 6 А That I would speak to the President? 7 Q Yes. 8 No, ma'am. Α 9 All right. How does the chain of command at 0 10 Laclede work? 11 We take our problems to the front line А 12 supervisors. That's their purpose. They're kind of a 13 buffer between us and the higher-ups. And they filter out 14 what they -- I guess feel it necessary to bring it to the 15 attention of the higher-ups. 16 All right. And when you went to the front line Q 17 management, did you take them these specific addresses? 18 А We were -- I personally was talking to them 19 daily. 20 About specific addresses --Q 21 А Yes. 22 -- and specific things you found? All right. 0 23 At some point after you talked to front line management 24 about some of these problems, was a system instituted 25 where certain AMR problems were brought directly into the

1 shop and held separately? Is that --

2 Could you re-ask that question, please? А 3 0 Certainly. After you first brought this issue 4 to Laclede management's front line management's attention, 5 was a procedure established for you to bring problem AMR 6 meters into a special area? 7 A Okay. I understand what you're asking. That was -- and, again, I'm not saying that Laclede never 8 9 identified they had a problem because this is a good way to explain it. 10 11 They initially started having us bring these 12 meters in. We would call them, tell them we had a leaking 13 meter, DR meter, whatever type meter that we spoke about 14 here. And we would remove the meter and bring it into what we call the meter room area, which is inside Laclede 15 16 Gas building. And then from there, I don't know what happened 17 18 to them. All right. At some point, did Laclede 19 0 management tell you they didn't want you to do that 20 21 anymore? 22 А Yes. 23 And approximately when was that? Q 24 I can't give you the time. What ended up А 25 happening was the meter room started getting filled up

with meters, so they said, Enough's enough, just put them
 down at the shed.

3 0 All right. When the meter room -- how long did 4 it take from the time that -- approximately from the time 5 that you first started bringing them into the meter room 6 to the time that they said the meter room is full? 7 А I'd say probably a couple weeks they made 8 another decision. 9 All right. And after you started -- what shed 0 are you talking about that you took -- that you now take 10 the problem meters to? 11 12 А We've got a -- I'm in the north district, 13 Berkley, and we have a meter area that we drop off all or meters that we change out for various reasons. And then 14 we -- they collect down there from all the service men. 15 16 All right. Q It's not actually a shed. It's by a shed. 17 Α 18 Okay. 0 So we just say the meter shed. 19 А And is that still going on today? 20 Q 21 А Yes, ma'am. 22 Are there -- are there a number of meters there? 0 Yes, ma'am. 23 А 24 Can you give me an idea how many? Q 25 Α Oh, it varies from anywhere from -- sometimes --

1 well, just the meter department guy comes in the boom truck, I think they call it, or whatever truck, picks them 2 3 all up. I don't even know how he does it, you know, 4 weekly, bi-weekly, I don't know. It sometimes just 5 depends on how many meters we're taking out. Sometimes 6 there's a hundred down there, sometimes there's 200, 7 sometimes there's 50. It just varies. 8 All right. Have you looked at that shed area Q 9 from Berkley recently? 10 А Yes. And can you give us some idea whether the meters 11 Q that were out there were largely AMR meters or non-AMR 12 13 meters? 14 А They were largely -- they were largely non-AMR meters. We're on a trace mission now. They're changing a 15 lot of trace meters, so --16 17 All right. Meaning that you're -- are you Q 18 retrofitting those, meaning you're pulling them out to retrofit? 19 A No, ma'am. We're actually replacing the whole 20 21 meter and installing a new factory meter that was 22 provided. 23 All right. Mr. Pendergast also asked you about Q this whole process of collecting the information for the 24 25 unions and -- and stewards collected from some of the

service employees, other service employees. Do you -- I 1 mean, in your experience, do you believe that everyone 2 3 turned in -- that everybody that was supposed to turn in 4 reports to you turned in everything they had? Do you 5 understand what I'm saying? Well --6 А 7 Q No. Let me just rephrase. I could just see from the look on your face. 8 9 Α I kind of do. I'll rephrase. During the collection of -- of 10 0 information about AMR problems for the union, was there a 11 12 certain group of people that were supposed to turn in 13 their information to you? 14 Α No. 15 Okay. How does it work? Who knows who to give Q 16 it to? Actually, it was just, you know, a whim. 17 А All right. 18 0 If a guy decided that he had something he wanted 19 А to give me, he gave it to me. If not, no one pushed no 20 one to do nothing. You know, it's --21 22 All right. Q 23 That's just pretty much how it was. А 24 Do you have reason to believe one way or the Q 25 other whether all of the AMR problems got turned in?

Absolutely. They did not all get turned in to 1 А 2 the union. 3 0 And what makes you believe that? 4 А Because there's just a lot of meters down there 5 that say leaks on them, and I don't have the tickets on 6 them. And the union didn't -- I didn't give them to the 7 union either. 8 All right. And are you aware of -- I mean, have Q 9 you turned in every ticket to the union that you received? 10 А Yes. Well -- okay. You --11 Q Did I personally --12 А 13 Yes, that you personally --Q That I personally removed and found? 14 А No. My -- I'm sorry. Let me rephrase the 15 Q 16 question. Did you turn in every ticket or every -- that's 17 probably where the problem is. Did you turn in to the union every address that somebody gave to you? 18 Well, actually, I located four more before 19 А 20 coming down here. 21 Q All right. So there might be reasons that 22 somebody just -- well, how -- how come -- well, you said you located them. Were those ones that you had probably 23 24 had for some time? 25 A Yes. Yes.

Did you mean to give those to the union? 1 Q 2 Yes, ma'am. А All right. So there might be reasons why 3 0 4 tickets just didn't get turned in? 5 Α Yes, ma'am. 6 Q Okay. Are there any other reasons that you're 7 aware of that people might not be turning their AMR 8 problems in or might not have turned their AMR problems 9 in? 10 MR. FRANSON: Judge, we're getting -- I know this has gone all over the place but might be other 11 12 reasons to explain other people's actions, I don't believe 13 that's relevant, and I will object on that basis. And it's rank speculation. 14 15 MS. SCHRODER: We've had a lot of -- actually, 16 I've been asking him if he knows from his personal experience why there's any reasons. But we've had a 17 18 ton --19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: The Last question that was, Do 20 you know of any reason why anyone else might not have 21 turned them in. 22 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. I can certainly rephrase 23 it. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain that objection. MS. SCHRODER: Okay. Let me rephrase that, 25

1 then.

2 (By Ms. Schroder) Do you have personal Q 3 knowledge of other people not -- willfully not turning in 4 that information to the union and -- and the reasons for 5 that? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q All right. And -- and what is that? 8 MR. PENDERGAST: I'm going object, your Honor. 9 It sounds to me like this is going to go ahead and call for hearsay. I don't know how they could have 10 communicated whatever reasons anybody might have had other 11 12 than through out-of-court statements that we don't have an 13 opportunity to cross-examine them on. 14 MS. SCHRODER: It's not hearsay if it's going to what the people are telling him as opposed to whether it's 15 16 for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. FRANSON: Well, actually, Judge, it's still 17 hearsay, but it's offered for a different purpose. But 18 here it seems like it's being offered for the truth of it. 19 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll sustain the objection. 21 MS. SCHRODER: All right. Okay. I have no 22 further questions. 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. I just got a message on my e-mail that Commissioners Gaw --24 25 Commissioner Gaw is heading down and wanted to ask some

1 questions. I'm going to go ahead and take a break,

2 however.

3 MR. FRANSON: And you'll explain that to the 4 Commissioner?

5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I will explain it to the 6 Commissioner. We need to take a break. Just a short one. 7 We'll come back at 3:00.

8 (Break in proceedings.)

9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Let's come back to 10 order after a break. It was -- there was -- there was a 11 suggestion made shortly before the break that we take a 12 look at where we're at in this case. And it looks like 13 we're unlikely to get through all the remaining witnesses 14 today, so we'd be looking at finding another date to -- to 15 continue this hearing.

Looking at the Commission calendar here, Friday,January 5th, is clear on the Commission's schedule.

MR. FRANSON: Your Honor -- oh -- oh, okay.
Friday, January 5th. No objection. I thought at first
you said sometime during the week of the 8th. That starts
the MGE hearing.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. For those of you that don't know, there's an MGE rate case that starts that following Monday and runs for a couple of weeks. And it's going to be hard to find dates. So everybody can check

1 your calendar and let me know whether that's workable or 2 not. 3 MS. SCHRODER: Do we have to let you know right 4 now? Because my calendar is in my car. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: No. You don't have to let me 6 know right now. 7 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. Thank you. 8 JUDGE WOODRUFF: But that was the one date on 9 the calendar that I could see. And we can wait a couple days -- like I say, I don't have to make a decision on it 10 11 today. 12 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. Thank you. 13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. As we indicated 14 just before the break, Commissioner Gaw has -- has come downstairs and indicated he had some questions. I'm going 15 to turn it over to Commissioner Gaw. 16 17 You had some specific questions, and we weren't 18 sure which witness could answer those questions. So I'll let him tell you what sort of area he wants to go into, 19 20 and we'll decide who needs to be testifying. 21 COMMISSIONER GAW: I'm wanting to ask a few 22 questions in regard to what a -- a worker would normally 23 observe and be able to -- to report back or to -- to 24 express a concern about additional work that might be done 25 who was on the site where the meter was, and, in

1 particular, in regard to lines going in and out of the 2 meter. 3 And I don't know if -- if there's a witness that 4 you have that would know about that specifically or not. 5 MS. SCHRODER: I'm not sure I understand what 6 you're asking. Are you wanting --7 COMMISSIONER GAW: I'm looking -- I'm looking to understand what a -- a gas worker would normally be 8 9 observing if they were looking at a meter in regard to 10 safety questions. So --MS. SCHRODER: Okay. I think Mark can probably 11 12 answer that. 13 MR. BOYLE: I can -- I can try. 14 COMMISSIONER GAW: Okay. That would be great. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Then, Mr. Boyle, we'll let you 15 answer the questions. And, Commissioner Gaw, go ahead. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY COMMISSIONER GAW: 18 When -- when a worker would go to see, for any 19 0 reason, a meter and observe the meter, are there things 20 21 that -- that you would normally be watching for or be 22 conscious of in regard to the -- the meter itself or the 23 lines going in and out of the meter that -- that would be things that you would -- you would -- would cause you to 24

25 act to -- to correct in general? Do you know what I'm

1 asking?

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q Okay.

4 A And there's going to be an inside answer and 5 outside answer.

6 Q Okay.

7 A Inside, meaning the meter would be located in8 the inside type.

9 Q All right.

10 A Outside, meaning the meter would be on the 11 outside. And I'm going to exclude any sort of bar hold 12 situation where we would go in and poke a hole and find 13 leaks and we would happen to go in and -- and, for 14 instance, do a work order for a meter change.

15 Q Yes.

A On an inside set, we would go in. We would bring all our equipment in with the bucket, the Ranger and all. We would -- on a meter change, the first thing we would do is try to locate the curb box out at the curb to make sure we could get the key on it.

All inside sets, residential, have outside curb boxes. We'd try to locate that curb box, get a key on it. And if that's possible, then we could go inside. With the tools, we would turn the shut-off valve off, look at the shut-off valve, see if it's intact, if it's not broken, if the ears are broken. They like to break the shutoff
 valves on us.

We would check the point of entry where the line comes in with our CGI equipment, which is our gas Ranger, check for gas leaks coming in. We would also look at -how the meter -- we would -- we call this a company facility check, which is all Laclede Gas's equipment.

8 We would check from the inside wall to the 9 outlet of the meter. We would make sure that the 10 insulated union is properly installed below the regulator 11 so when C&M has to get on there, it's not shortened to the 12 mains. That's been a -- an issue that came up probably 13 about five years ago. So we're looking for that.

We're looking for proper installation of the regulators. We still have a whole lot of what we call internal relief regulators out there, which are --they've got the little quarter inch vent coming out which don't have the internal regulators so that if service pressure would happen to rise, it wouldn't -- it would go into the home itself. So we want to get those out of the system.

And we also look to see if the corrosion -- we look at the piping to see if we need to replace that. We also look at the stability of the -- of the whole company facilities and look at the shape of the meter, see if it's been tampered with. And then we would move from there. But I don't want to say -- that doesn't take a whole lot of time just visually -- a seasoned service man, Pat, Dean, myself, I'd say we're seasoned, but, you know, we could go in there and make that visual observation in a short period of time.

7 Q Okay. Now, I want to -- I want to narrow this down just a bit. If, hypothetically speaking, or if it 8 9 actually occurred, you were doing a change in regard to 10 going to an AMR or placing an AMR on a meter, tell me what -- of those things that you just mentioned, how many of 11 those things -- or what portion of those things would you 12 be -- would you be doing if it were you replacing that --13 14 the -- the gauges on the meter with an AMR?

Well, my department actually doesn't change the 15 А AMR itself. We take the whole meter out and put a whole 16 17 new meter in. It's been given to us from the factory. We 18 just put the face -- we just take the place plate off, screw it on, and do a couple -- we don't take it off and 19 actually install it. I don't understand the question --20 21 MS. SCHRODER: Mark, he's asking you to assume 22 that you did, that you were asked to. 23 (By Commissioner Gaw) Yeah. I'm asking you if Q

24 instead of having these temp workers do this -25 A If I were --

1 Q -- you were doing it.

2 A Okay.

Q Of the safety checks that you mentioned that you would do if you were changing the meter out, what part of those would you do if you were the one that was -- that was responsible for the -- for the AMR itself?

7 Would you be -- would you normally check on 8 those things that you mentioned in the house and -- and 9 other things? Would you think that would be appropriate 10 to do?

11 A No. That's mandatory by Laclede Gas to check12 that whenever we go on an inside set.

Q Okay. I want -- if you wouldn't mind describing for me in a little more detail those things that you just -- the list that you just went through, and tell me what it is you're looking for and why. And if necessary, we can have the court reporter read back that list to you because I didn't keep notes.

19 A I know the list.

20 Q I figured. Go ahead.

21 A I'll start with the curb box. That's the 22 initial thing we do.

23 Q All right.

A We would locate the curb box. In other words -Q Would you do -- would you do that if you were

1 switching -- if you were doing this AMR -- would you --2 would you go to that curb box then, too? 3 А Well, I would have to say that if there would be 4 no disruption of gas service when they do that AMR --5 0 Yes. 6 -- then I would probably say no. Laclede would Α 7 probably not have us have to locate that box. 8 That's kind of the reason I was asking the 0 9 question again because I thought that might be the case. 10 А They probably would not have you -- however, you know, due to the safety issue of being out there, Laclede 11 12 does like to have us locate the box and have it marked to 13 make sure of its location in case of fire or --14 So you might do it? Q They might have me do it, yeah. 15 А Yeah. 16 Q I think it would be in their best interest since 17 А I'm there. 18 And, again, the reason would be? 19 0 If there was a fire, explosion, any type of --20 Α 21 someone calls in a gas leak there and no one's there, 22 we've got easy accessibility, we find it immediately and 23 turn it off. We don't have to be digging with the Fire Department there trying to locate it with the --24 25 Q So -- so in the event that a fire would -- would

1 occur later on, the chances of that being broken and being a major problem in shut-off would hopefully be eliminated? 2 Yes, sir. 3 А 4 0 All right. And then go ahead from that point 5 forward. 6 А Well, from that -- from that point forward, we 7 would -- I guess you're going say the lock cock. We call it a lock cock. We've got a lot of nasty names. 8 9 All right. What does that mean? What is that? 0 10 А That's the shut-off valve. That actually is the main shut-off valve inside the home at the inside entrance 11 point. It's called the SEI -- or excuse me -- it's called 12 13 the point of entry. 14 Q All right. And it's immediately -- comes in, and there's a 15 А 16 shut-off valve and we can shut it off. We can also lock 17 it there for any number of reasons. We've got a little 18 key we can put through there, and we lock it when we do 19 turn-offs, collection department turn-offs, that type 20 thing. 21 A lot of times, those do tend to get broken and 22 the cores leak on them. You know, we've got some problems 23 with those sometimes. I mean, it's just a -- piece of -a -- it's a lock cock. 24 25 Q All right. So -- and what's -- what's the --

from a safety standpoint, tell me the importance of that. 1 2 Well, what happens is sometimes the lug on --А 3 there's two parts of a lock cock. Well, there's -- I'm 4 not an expert on lock cocks, but I do know we call it an 5 ear, which is the piece you put the lock through. And we 6 call another piece the lug, which is the piece you put 7 your crescent wrench on to be able to turn it off and on. 8 Q Yes. 9 And if that lug is sheared off or broken, you're А going to be panicking. 10 Okay. Because? 11 Q 12 It's -- it's very hard to get -- to be able to Α 13 get a wrench on there and turn it off. 14 Q Okay. Very hard. If you have to -- any time in an 15 Α 16 emergency, the homeowner wouldn't -- the homeowner 17 probably wouldn't be able to do it. Okay. So if that's -- if you find that it's 18 0 broken, what occurs then? 19 20 We either make immediate repairs or we call --А 21 we write up a CIS order and -- and we make repairs through 22 SAID down the road. But it is brought to management's 23 attention. 24 0 Okay. 25 Α One of -- excuse me. By telephone. They

1 definitely know it when I first see it.

2 Okay. So it would -- it could get repaired? Q 3 А Absolutely. 4 And then next what would happen? Q 5 Α Well, next, then we would go up the -- we would 6 get probably two street holes and go up to what we would 7 consider -- it's called the insulated union. And what it does it protects the mains from any electrical coming --8 9 I'm not an expert on this either. I went to Rankin Tech, 10 so --11 0 Okay. 12 But what this means is that when C&M goes on to А -- and they try to locate the service and sometimes they 13 14 get feedback or what have you because that -- that union is there to prohibit -- and I don't know if it's 15 16 electricity goes back or in. I don't know which one. But 17 I know it's to stop electricity from being grounded down 18 to our mains. 19 0 Okav. Which -- which grounding the mains with 20 Α 21 electricity decays them faster rates, deteriorates the 22 mains. So there is -- there is another safety issue that 23 they're trying to accomplish by putting the insulated 24 union below the regulator because right now we're finding

25 probably, I would say -- I'm not that good with numbers,

but a lot of them are above the regulator, which if they 1 put it on the vent pipe coming in, it's -- it's going this 2 way, see. It's not going --3 4 Q When you say it's going that way, would you say 5 in words what you mean? 6 А It's bypassing -- it's bypassing the insulated 7 regulator. 8 Q Okay. 9 So it will actually get electricity to the А 10 mains. Okay. 11 0 12 And it will be grounded. Α 13 Okay. And, again, the problem there is Q 14 deterioration of the mains. Is there any problem -- would that potentially be causing any other hazard, explosions 15 16 or anything of that sort? Do you know? Not to my knowledge that would cause it. I'm 17 А sure it wouldn't help the matter. I'm not a C&M guy. I 18 don't dig the holes. 19 20 Q Yes. 21 А But I'm sure we've got people that have dug the 22 holes and see what that's caused. 23 But the deterioration of the mains is the 0 24 problem because adding electricity there would cause the 25 metal to deteriorate, correct?

1 A It's my understanding. Yes.

2 Q Okay. Then the next -- what else would you 3 check?

A Next, you'd go right above the insulated union and go to the regulator. The regulator, we come in with a service pressure which is a higher pressure than what's used in the home, and the pressure is to regulate the pressure to a constant feed to all of the appliances.

9 you know, we're -- and we need -- we need to make 10 sure it's -- well, there's several things we look at on a 11 regulator. First off, we have what we call a non-internal 12 relief regulator, which I'll try to explain.

It's a -- it' a -- like a three-eighths or piece 13 -- it's a reduced vent on the regulator. That's how we 14 recognize it. It's a -- it's a non-internal relief. And 15 16 what happens with a non-internal relief regulator is one 17 is that -- that -- that's reduced so low that if the 18 service pressure would rise and the regulator is trying to vent outside and it cannot, then it will go into the home, 19 20 and you will have service pressure actually entering into 21 a home.

Q And -- and that is a problem because?
A That's a problem because then you're going to
have big flames because -- I mean, I -- I mean, I could
speculate on what could happen, but I really don't want to

because -- I -- what I'm trying to say is it's not right.
Q Okay.

A The flame on the furnaces could be high, water heaters could cause fires, explosions. So it could cause all the horror stories that we hear about.

6 Okay. Then next what would you check? Q 7 А Well, we would check to make sure that -- if it's a proper installation of the regulator. We would 8 9 also make sure that -- we call it a blow pipe. It's our vent pipe is -- is adequately going up and out the home 10 and vented properly, not reduced in any shape or form and 11 12 that -- and also like on the turn-on, we actually have to 13 undo that on a turn-on and make sure we can blow through 14 it to make sure it's not obstructed with any type of wasps getting in there and making their nests. 15

Q What I'm really looking for here -- and if you could confine your -- what you would be doing to -- to where you were changing out a meter to -- and putting in an AMR --

20 A AMR. Okay.

21 Q So go ahead.

A Okay. We -- we would still -- I would -- I would say we -- we wouldn't take apart the blow pipe on something like that.

25 Q Okay.

We would just visually see if it's going out. 1 А Also, in that inspection, we would also see that it's 2 3 going outside. 4 Q Okay. Now, what's -- what's coming out of that 5 blow pipe? It's -- it's -- again, if service pressure would 6 А 7 rise, if there would be a pressure increase in the mains, the regulator would vent it outside. 8 9 0 Vent what outside? Natural gas. 10 А Thank you. Okay. Go ahead. 11 Q 12 Instead of in the home. That's the whole А 13 purpose of it is to do its job that way. 14 All right. And then what would you do? Q From that point, we would go to the top of the 15 А 16 regulator, just look at the rest of the piping, make sure 17 -- you know, now, what I do with this, I go over the whole set with my Ranger, with my gas detection to make sure 18 there's no leaks. 19 20 So you -- so you -- and the Ranger is -- is an Q 21 instrument that -- that measures gas presence? 22 Yes, sir. It -- it measures it two ways. It А 23 beeps, and it also has a digital reading on the front of 24 it. Q You don't just rely on your nose? 25

1 No, sir. But I do use my nose sometimes. А 2 In addition to this? Q Yes, sir. 3 А 4 Q Okay. Keep going. What happens next? 5 Α We check for leaks with our CGI equipment, which 6 is another mandatory Laclede wants us to do. And from 7 that point on, we may just make sure that the -- that it's 8 all solid and it's not leaning or tipping or touching the 9 walls or --10 0 And what is it again, when you say it? The meter. 11 Α The meter. Okay. Now, do you -- the lines that 12 Q 13 are coming in inside the home, what are they usually made 14 of? Or is there --Below grade? 15 А Well, why don't you tell me --16 Q Well, we've got several. 17 А Go ahead. 18 Q 19 Well, they've got copper. А 20 Okay. Q They've got cast iron. 21 А 22 Q Okay. 23 They've got steel. А 24 Q Yes. And they've got plastic. 25 А

1 Q Are there -- now, on any of these -- are any of them copper over -- steel over copper, rather? Have you 2 3 ever seen steel over copper, steal over copper lines? 4 А I don't understand the question. 5 0 A copper line that has been -- where steel has 6 been placed over the top of it or vice versa? I'm not --7 I'm not recalling which way that is. 8 Are you talking about copper being inserted into А a -- for the service? 9 I'm asking whether you've ever seen any of those 10 0 going into a house. 11 12 А Oh, yes. Okay. All right. So there's all sorts of 13 Q different kinds of potentially different kinds of lines, 14 15 correct? 16 А Yes, sir. All right. Now, that's also inside the house up 17 Q to the meter? 18 19 А No, sir. 20 Okay. When -- when you get -- when -- you're Q 21 talking about lines up to the --22 From the outside coming to the point of entry. А 23 And the -- okay. And then after you get to that Q 24 point, then what do the lines look like? 25 A Black iron pipe.

Black iron. Okay. All the way to the meter? 1 Q 2 Black iron solid pipe all the way from the met А 3 -- to the meter, yes, sir. 4 Q Okay. Do those lines ever have any issues with 5 them? Well, they leak. 6 А 7 Q They do? 8 They corrode. Normally, when you're on an Α 9 inside set, you've got a water line, incoming water line that's, I'm going to say, normally probably 80 percent of 10 the time, probably a foot or two away from our service 11 12 line. Just seems like that's the way it happens. 13 Q Okay. A lot of times, we get corrosion from them water 14 А 15 lines leaking. We get corrosion from the older homes in 16 the cities leaking water in the basement on our pipe. Q 17 Yes. We get corrosion just from the atmosphere in the 18 А basement. 19 20 Q Okay. 21 А You know, the lines do corrode. It's black iron 22 pipe. 23 So -- yes. So if you find corrosion, is there a Q 24 point at which it might be reportable? 25 A Absolutely.

Okay. Tell me when you would report it. 1 Q 2 When we find it. Α 3 0 Any time you find the corrosion itself, or do 4 you have to find a hole, or do you have to find gas coming 5 out? 6 А Well, it's our judgment call what we find. 7 Q Okay. 8 But if it's pitted -- we call it pitted and Α 9 rusty. If it's pitted and rusted, if it's bad enough, we call the supervisor and tell them what we have, and we 10 make repairs permanently. 11 12 Q Okay. 13 If it's just surface rust, it will be there --А it will be there longer than me and you are here. 14 15 Yes. So have you found those kinds of things Q 16 where -- where the corrosion was significant enough to call them in? 17 18 А Absolutely. Is some of that -- and -- and would -- are there 19 0 20 times when that's actually where it enters into the meter 21 itself, or would it back up from there generally? Or do 22 you know? 23 А Corrosion happens all throughout the -- the 24 piping system. 25 Q Okay.

1 А Company -- company facilities and customer facilities, also. 2 3 0 When you're looking for corrosion, is that both 4 visual and testing for gas? 5 А Sure. 6 I mean, I didn't ask that very well. But -- so Q 7 when you're examining it, you're examining it for corrosion, but the ultimate -- the ultimate concern is the 8 9 gas could eventually be leaking or is leaking at the time? That's the ultimate -- I -- I would have to say 10 А the ultimate goal is to see if there's any type of leak 11 12 there. 13 Q Yes. And if there is, we're going to fix it then. 14 А Yeah. 15 Q Regardless if it's a corroded pipe or brand new 16 А 17 pipe, we're going to fix it then. But it could be corroded enough to -- to warrant 18 0 some action, even if you couldn't find a leak at the 19 20 moment, I assume? 21 А And that -- and that action could be immediate, 22 also. 23 Yes. Okay. Anything else, then, once you got Q 24 to -- I assume the next -- next thing would be actually 25 looking at the -- at the meter and changing it -- changing
out the -- the reader. But I don't know. You tell me. 1 2 I've never done one. Α 3 0 Yes, sir. If -- have you ever observed someone 4 trying to change one out? 5 Α I did. 6 Q Did you? 7 Α Yeah. 8 In that process of watching that, did you see Q 9 anything that -- that you thought was -- in the actual process itself, did you see anything in your handling of 10 meters that gave you any insight about -- about anything 11 12 else that you might do? 13 А Will you -- I guess are you asking me would I be capable of doing it? Is that the question? 14 I suppose that you would be capable of doing it, 15 Q 16 but you tell me. Oh, yes, sir. I would be capable of doing it. 17 А 18 And your experience of dealing with meters and 0 taking them off and putting them back on is what? What 19 20 kind of experience have you had doing that? 21 А All kinds. 22 Yeah. And give me just a few -- give me some 0 idea about -- first of all, how long you've been dealing 23 24 with them? 25 A Fourteen years, six months. And when you're

1 talking about a -- are we talking about taking the whole
2 meter off now, or are we just doing an AMR?

Q Just in dealing with the meters themselves,
taking them off and putting them back on, things of that
sort.

6 A Okay. In dealing with taking them off and 7 putting them on, you've got pipes, a lot pipes in your 8 way. You've got a lot of stuff in your way. They're 9 built in closets. They're built in concealed areas. It's 10 tough to get to. That that's another issue, too.

I can talk some more. We go in and we -- they 11 built them in closets where you can't even get to them, 12 13 can't get to the shut-offs, emergency shut-offs. You 14 know, I mean, it just seems like I can go on with the stuff that we do that we just do it out of training. 15 16 So -- so in looking at these meters sitting out Q 17 here on the podium disconnected from everything like when 18 we saw the meter earlier in the week, it -- there was free -- free -- free access to all parts of that -- that meter, 19 and there weren't any of these confining closets or other 20 21 water lines or --

A No sewage, no basement, no nothing.
Q And so it's a little bit different situation -A Yes, sir.
Q -- than what you normally find?

Yes, sir. 1 А 2 A lot different, as a matter of fact? Q 3 А Yes, sir. 4 А The environment is very good here. 5 0 Yes. 6 А Almost. 7 Q Well, let's not -- let's not go into that. We'll -- but in any event, if -- if you're working in that 8 9 -- in that kind of a -- of an arena, I assume sometimes the lighting isn't necessarily really good, I assume? 10 It's right here. Put a flashlight right here. 11 Α 12 Yes. Q 13 And that's your light. А 14 And so you carry that with you? Q You work with -- yeah. You work with both hands 15 А 16 and your light is here. It's put in here. It sticks out and --17 This -- this work that -- that you do in regard 18 0 to -- to meters and checking the lines, how much training 19 20 did you get before your were doing that kind of work, if 21 you recall? 22 Α From Laclede? Well, from anyone. Yeah. How much training was 23 Q 24 required? Well, Laclede's got a good training --25 A

1 Q Okay.

2 -- campaign. They do a very nice job getting us Α 3 acquainted with all the -- the issues that we need to 4 find. 5 0 About how often does that training occur? 6 We -- when we first start here, it's a little Α 7 bit more intense. They give you a little bit more. But 8 then we do what we call an annual training. We also have 9 safety meetings every month that bring up issues of what the men find out there, problems the men find, issues that 10 the men have. We -- and that's brought up in that, also. 11 12 Okay. So would you have any idea about how many Q 13 hours of training that you've had --Well --14 А -- over the years? 15 Q How many in all my years here? 16 Α 17 Q Yes. I know they've -- they've actually upped it. 18 Α 19 0 Okay. 20 We were doing -- we were doing about 14 to 20 a А 21 year per man. And I think they've upped that to almost --I think it's close to 40. 22 23 Okay. And that is in addition to the actual 0 24 field work that you're doing? The field training is the best training you can 25 А

1 get.

2	Q Yes. How closely related is is your
3	inspection and the that you've been describing to me to
4	the safety of the of the individuals that are living in
5	the house that you're going into or around or the the
6	business? How closely related is it?
7	A Is it related to what? The the safety issue?
8	Q Safety. Yes.
9	A Oh, 100 percent.
10	COMMISSIONER GAW: Yeah. I think that's all I
11	have, Judge. Thank you.
12	JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. Any
13	recross, then, based on the Commissioner's questions,
14	beginning with Public Counsel?
15	MR. POSTON: No questions.
16	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff?
17	MR. FRANSON: No questions, your Honor.
18	JUDGE WOODRUFF: Laclede?
19	MR. PENDERGAST: Just a couple.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. PENDERGAST:
22	Q In the AMR installation process, are you aware
23	of whether or not Laclede is still doing corrosion
24	inspections once every three years?
25	A Yes. I am aware that they are doing corrosion

1 inspections once every three years.

2 Okay. And the fact that you've had an AMR Q 3 installer install one of these devices doesn't mean that 4 you still don't do the corrosion inspection, does it? 5 А Excuse me, sir? 6 It doesn't have any impact on the corrosion Q 7 inspection. You still do those even though an AMR installer has recently been there? 8 9 I don't really know what they look for on the А 10 corrosion inspection. I understand. But do you -- is it your 11 Q understanding that there's been any change in the routine 12 13 performance of corrosion inspections because of AMR? 14 А Not to my knowledge. No, sir. Okay. And when you do a leak call, what sort of 15 Q 16 inspection, if it's an inside leak call, will you make at that time? 17 18 А On company facilities or customer facilities? Either. 19 0 20 Well, we would start by going in -- and, again, А 21 on this particular issue, we don't look for the curb box 22 first. We actually go inside, and we try to determine 23 where the leak might be appearing. You know, we've got 24 all different types of leaks. 25 And, you know, sometimes you go directly to

1 them. Sometimes you have to actually find them because they're hidden, concealed, what have you. And, you know, 2 3 we would go inside. And, normally, we would go over our 4 stuff first. I do. 5 0 Okay. And you surveyed the Laclede facilities 6 first? 7 А Yes, sir. When I -- when I determine that the house was safe and there's -- there's no, you know, 8 9 emergency type situations. 10 And -- and if you don't find a leak on a Laclede 0 facilities, will you survey the customer's facilities? 11 12 А Well, I really didn't get to finish answering my 13 question. Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were. 14 Q Because normally it's on our facilities. 15 А 16 Okay. Q 17 А Normally. And if it's not on our facilities, would you 18 0 continue to go ahead and survey the customer's? 19 20 Yes, sir. Yes, sir. А 21 Q Okay. And do you do home sale inspections as 22 well? Yes, sir. 23 А 24 Okay. Are you aware of any other gas utility in Q 25 the state that does home sale inspections?

MS. SCHRODER: Objection. I don't think this 1 relates to Commissioner Gaw's questions. 2 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled. You can go ahead 4 and answer. 5 А No, sir. 6 (By Mr. Pendergast) Okay. And -- and on the Q 7 home sale inspections, once again, the purpose of that is to check all the facilities that involve natural gas on 8 9 the customer's premises? 10 А Repeat that, please. Yes. When you do a home sale inspection, do you 11 0 12 check all the facilities involving natural gas? 13 It depends on if it's an inside or an outside А 14 meter. What if it's an inside meter? 15 Q 16 Inside, we do. А Okay. And if you do a home sale inspection and 17 Q it's outside meter? 18 If we don't smell it, we don't -- we don't go 19 А into his -- I mean, we look at the same stuff in 20 21 particular, but we don't -- we're a little bit more 22 thorough on the inside sets. 23 0 Yeah. I'm -- I'm talking about a home sale 24 inspection now. There's been no leak call or anything 25 like that. This is when somebody sells a home in the St.

1 Louis area --

2 A Right.

Q -- and they ask for a home sale inspection as
4 part of the sales contract.

5 A Right. They've lived there for 20 years and we 6 haven't been in there for 20 years?

7 Q Right.

8 A Right.

9 Q You'll go in there and inspect the various gas 10 facilities?

11 A Yes, sir.

12 Q All right. And do you have any idea how many of 13 those Laclede does per year?

14 A I used to know that number.

15 Q Are you --

16 A A whole bunch.

Q Have you ever been involved in doing any kind of
service work for Laclede?
A Yes. I guess define service work. Meaning on

20 appliances?

21 Q Yes.

22 A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. And do you do any kind of inspections when do you the service work?

25 A Again, yes, sir. When we go in, we have a

1 mandatory -- you know, we look at -- look-see. 2 Okay. And do you know of any other gas 0 3 utilities in Missouri that do that sort of thing? 4 Α What sort of thing? 5 0 Service work? 6 А Not to my knowledge. 7 Q Okay. Do you have any idea how -- how often Laclede employees are inspecting inside customer homes? 8 9 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Your Honor, this is -- again, this is going way afield, and I'd kind of like 10 to get through the other witnesses we have here today. 11 12 А So --13 MR. PENDERGAST: I think he was asked about -well, I'll be brief. I'm almost done, your Honor. 14 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule -- I'll overrule the objection. You can go ahead -- go ahead and proceed. 16 (By Mr. Pendergast) Okay. To your knowledge, 17 Q has -- has the fact that AMRs have been installed had any 18 impact at all or varied Laclede's compliance with leak 19 20 surveys, corrosion inspections, or any other required 21 safety check? 22 А Actually -- actually, they gave us a good way of 23 getting into people's homes when they're calling in the 24 leaks so we can check all the company facilities.

25 Q So would it be true, then, that the main impact

1 of AMR is that we've gotten into a lot more customer homes in a lot less time over the last year and a half than we 2 3 probably otherwise would have? 4 A Concerning leaks? 5 Q Well, just getting in customers' homes, period. 6 A Concerning leaks, absolutely. 7 MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. I think that's all I have. Thank you. 8 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. Any 10 redirect? MS. SCHRODER: Yes. Thank you. Do you want me 11 12 to do it from here or --13 JUDGE WOODRUFF: If you're going to be brief. You can do it from there. 14 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 16 Q Okay. First of all, Mr. Boyle, what did you 17 18 mean by AMRs have given Laclede employees a good way to get into their homes? 19 20 A Well, with the -- with the -- with our knowledge 21 of customers calling in leaks, DRs, ducts, high bills, we 22 get into people's home that's we normally probably 23 wouldn't have. 24 Q You mean because there are more problems with the AMR meters --25

1 A That's correct.

2 Q -- you're seeing more homes?

3 A That's correct.

Q Okay. All right. Mr. -- Commissioner Gaw asked you some questions about -- well, he was asking about the kind of inspections you would do if SAID employees installed the Cellnet AMR devices. Have you -- and Dean Carlton testified earlier that he's actually installed not a Cellnet AMR, but another AMR type device. Have you also?

11 A Yes, ma'am.

12 Q All right. When you installed those other AMR 13 type devices, did you do all the things that you told 14 Commissioner Gaw about today?

15 A On every job.

16 Q All right. And a couple of things that you 17 mentioned to Commissioner Gaw the first time, but I think 18 didn't maybe go into detail about, I just want to make 19 sure that we didn't miss them.

The corrosion -- visual check for corrosion on piping, is that something that you would do -- or that you actually did do when you installed an AMR device pre-Cellnet?

A Yes, ma'am.

25 Q And, also, the visual check for -- for tampering

1 with the meter, is that something that you did when you
2 installed a pre-Cellnet AMR device?

3 A Yes, ma'am.

Q All right. You also talked to Commissioner Gaw
briefly about your personal observation of an AMR -- AMR
installer installing a device. Did that indicate to you
any other types of safety checks that you thought might be
necessary, having seen what he was doing with the AMR
device?

10 A You mean for us to go back after he put the 11 device in?

Q No. That if you were doing the installation yourself, was there something else that you thought, oh, because of the way he's -- because of the way this device fits on or whatever, I think I should do this extra safety inspection? You don't understand my question?

17 A No.

18 Q All right.

19 A I know I wouldn't put a drill through a meter20 that gas is running through.

21 Q All right. Was there anything different about 22 the AMR -- the Cellnet AMR device that would suggest to 23 you that another type of safety inspection was also 24 required? That's all I'm asking.

25 A I -- I -- repeat the question. I -- I don't --

1 Q It's all right.

I'm just not getting what you're saying. 2 А 3 0 It's all right. When you watched the Cellnet 4 installer install the AMR device, did that person have the 5 same sort of lighting that you were describing to 6 Commissioner Gaw that you have had when you work on a 7 meter -- meter? 8 A To my knowledge, I don't know what type lighting 9 they have. I don't know what -- I've never seen an AMR installer inside a home. 10 Q Okay. So the one you watched was outside? 11 12 The one that was -- the job that I responded to А 13 was an outside, yes. MS. SCHRODER: All right. Thank you. No 14 further questions. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And, Mr. Boyle, you 16 17 may step down. 18 MR. BOYLE: Thank you, your Honor. MS. SCHRODER: The union calls Everet Minton. 19 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: We are going to be running out 21 of time. Have you considered -- is there anything today 22 that really needs to be done today or --23 MS. SCHRODER: Well, Everet Minton and Don 24 Vierling are here -- oh, shoot. And so is Kevin Stewart 25 and Steve White.

MR. PENDERGAST: That's four people. 1 2 MS. SCHRODER: I mean, it seems to me it's more 3 important to get done the people that are actually here as 4 opposed to ones that we can do by telephone. 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Well, I'll let you 6 make the call. 7 MS. SCHRODER: Mr. Minton, then. 8 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Please raise your right hand. 9 EVERET MINTON, being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 10 11 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. SCHRODER: JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may be seated. 14 15 (By Ms. Schroder) All right. Mr. Minton? Q 16 Uh-huh. А Did you give testimony in this matter, written 17 Q testimony that has been marked here as Exhibit 16-HC and 18 19 16-NP? 20 А Yes. 21 Q Okay. Do you have any corrections to that 22 written testimony? 23 А No. 24 And if I asked you the same questions today that Q 25 are in your testimony, would your answers be the same?

A Yes. 1 2 Q Are those answers true and correct to the best 3 of your information, knowledge and belief? 4 A Yes. 5 MS. SCHRODER: I move for the admission of Exhibit 16-HC and 16-NP. 6 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF:. 8 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, could I see a copy 9 of his testimony? I -- I've got something that was 10 attached to Mr. --11 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. There was an attachment to 12 both of them. 13 MR. FRANSON: It was the same as the attachment 14 to Vierling. 15 MS. SCHRODER: Vierling. 16 MR. FRANSON: Actually, you may have left it out 17 as well here. We'd have to check EFIS. But if we want --18 I'll suggest we just include the attachment to Mr. Vierling's testimony and incorporate it by reference. 19 20 MS. SCHRODER: Was it left out on EIF? Is that 21 what you're saying? 22 MR. FRANSON: It may have been because I just 23 looked at my things when I printed it off of EFIS, and 24 it's not there. 25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Are you talking about that

1 handwritten letter?

2 MR. FRANSON: Yeah. I think it's the same as 3 the attachment to Mr. Vierling's testimony. 4 MS. SCHRODER: Yeah. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I have it attached to 5 Mr. Minton's which I printed off of EFIS. 6 7 MR. FRANSON: Maybe it is the same thing. 8 MS. SCHRODER: Oh, thank goodness we didn't 9 screw up one. MR. PENDERGAST: I just didn't have a copy of 10 Mr. Minton's testimony. I have a copy of the attachment, 11 12 but that was to --13 MR. ZUCKER: Mr. Vierling. 14 MR. PENDERGAST: Mr. Vierling. 15 MS. SCHRODER: There's to both. We made the 16 same attachment to both. MR. PENDERGAST: I just didn't have the 17 18 attachment to both, for whatever reason, but I've got it 19 now. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Any objection to the receipt of 20 Exhibit 16-HC and NP? 21 22 MR. FRANSON: And it does include -- the one 23 you're offering does include that same one? 24 MS. SCHRODER: Yes, it does. MR. FRANSON: No objection on behalf of Staff, 25

1 your Honor.

2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Hearing no 3 objection, 16-NP and HC are received into evidence. (Exhibit Nos. 16-HC and 16-NP were admitted into 4 5 evidence.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: For cross-examination, 6 7 beginning with Public Counsel? 8 MR. POSTON: No questions. Thank you. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff? MR. FRANSON: Thank you. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. FRANSON: 13 Q Good afternoon, Mr. -- is it Minton? 14 A Minton. 15 Sir, my name is Robert Franson. I'm an attorney Q 16 with the Public Service Commission Staff. Do you have 17 your testimony in front of you? 18 А Yes. Okay. I just wanted to caution you to please 19 0 20 not mention the specific address that you were at. We'll refer to it as this address or that address. But please 21 don't mention the specific address. Okay. Now, what do 22 23 you do at Laclede gas? 24 A I work for the C&M department, Construction and 25 Maintenance.

Okay. And when you went to this address that 1 0 2 you refer to in your testimony, why did you go there? 3 А For a copper replacement renewal. 4 0 Okay. And what -- what is a copper pipe 5 placement renewal? 6 А It's where you go in and take the copper out and 7 replace it with plastic. 8 Okay. So you went there specifically for a pipe Q 9 replacement? 10 А Yes. Didn't go there to do anything in regard to the 11 Q 12 residence in particular, did you? 13 А No. Okay. When you arrived with your co-workers, 14 Q 15 who I believe are Shawn O'Neal, Don Vierling and Jim 16 Leach, what ordinarily would be the first thing you do once you get out of your truck and you're ready to go to 17 work? 18 Well, you chalk your wheels and put your cones 19 А 20 out. And then you go to the address and check to see if 21 Someone's home. 22 Okay. And was there someone home at this time? 0 23 Yes, there was. А 24 Okay. Did -- you saw someone come to the door? 0 No. I went and knocked on the door. 25 А

1 Q Okay. So you did that. Okay. And then you 2 talked to someone? 3 А Yes. 4 Q Okay. And that was the resident? 5 А Yes. 6 Q Okay. And then what happened? 7 А And then we explained to them what we was going to be doing. And prior to that, they had already had a --8 9 a slip -- they knew that we were coming to replace their copper line. And then we proceeded to go out and find the 10 curb cock. 11 12 Q Stop right there. What is a curb cock? 13 Well, I call it a curb cock. A 14 Q Okay. 15 A It's --16 What you call a curb cock, what is that? Q Okay. Like he said before, everybody's got 17 А terms and a different word for it. It's the shut-off 18 outside when there's an inside set. 19 20 Okay. So that would shut off the gas from where Q 21 you are outside. And so you could go from that point on 22 in? 23 Correct. А 24 Okay. And then what -- so you went out -- you Q went out and looked for this curb cock? 25

1 A Right.

2 Okay. What did you find when you went out and Q 3 looked for the curb cock? 4 А We found the curb cock. 5 0 Okay. And then what happened? 6 А And then after we found the curb cock, then we 7 started digging it up. 8 Okay. Now, is this all four of you digging it Q 9 up? Well, at the beginning, we tried to put the curb 10 А cock key on it. I forgot -- okay. I forgot that part. 11 12 Q Okay. Let's talk about what is a -- we've 13 talked about a curb cock. What's a curb cock key? 14 А A curb cock key is about 6 foot long, roughly. It's got a slotted end on it, and it goes down in a -- say 15 16 a plastic tubing for the new modern type days, the older days. It's steel, and it goes down inside. And it -- it 17 goes over either a steal shut-off or a plastic shut-off, 18 depending on what's down in the ground. 19 20 Okay. But in your testimony, didn't you say you Q 21 couldn't do that because it was -- it was --22 It was under -- right. We located the box. We А 23 couldn't get the key on it, so we started digging it up. 24 Okay. And then what happened? 0 25 А They were almost done digging it up, down --

they had found the curb cock. And then I -- I asked Don, I said, I'll go ahead and grab my tools, and I'll go downstairs. And while you guys are shutting it off, I'll go ahead and break down the meter, turn the gas off and break down the meter. And once they had the gas off, then we proceeded with the copper renewal.

Q Okay. Now, the copper renewal, is that going to
be outside, or is that going to be an inside job that
you're working on? You're going to do this pipe
replacement. Where is the pipe? Is it outside?
A The pipe -- the pipe that's actually in this
house right now is beneath the surface, I'm going to say,

13 4 foot below the surface coming in. And most of your 14 houses have a foundation wall. This is an older house. 15 It had stone with concrete, and the casing pipe was 16 sitting on it.

Q Okay. Now, in the -- inside the house is where you're going to do the pipe replacement? That's what you went there for, right?

20 A The pipe replacement going from the inside of21 the house on this one out to the main.

22 Q Okay. In order to do it, do you have to dig out 23 in the yard?

A Well, rephrase that question, please.

25 Q Okay. What I'm trying to get at is, you went

1 there to do a specific thing. This pipe that you were 2 going to replace, where is that pipe located? Inside the 3 house or outside the house?

4 A Both.

5 Q Okay. Okay. Thank you. Now, you go in -- you 6 went into the house. Are you supposed to do that before the 7 gas is turned off out at the curb cock?

8 A Go in the house?

9 Q Yes. And begin the work that you began. Are 10 you supposed to do that?

11 A Normally, there's only two people. And this 12 time there was four. So I went in there to do the work 13 ahead of time. Not ahead of time, but to shut the gas off 14 and to break the meter down. And once they shut it off, 15 then I could go ahead and proceed on doing the caulk and 16 stuff. That way, the gas is off.

17 Q Let's go to where there's two people. Are you 18 supposed to shut off the gas out at the curb cock before 19 going in before doing any work?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You didn't follow that procedure here, correct?
22 A No.

23 Q Okay. Is -- is -- turning off the gas at the 24 curb cock before you go in, is that something -- is that a 25 Laclede policy you're supposed to follow?

1 А Yes. 2 And you violated that here? Q 3 А We -- yes. 4 Okay. Now, when you go in and your -- was this Q 5 down in the basement? 6 А Uh-huh. 7 Q Okay. You're down in the basement. Did you see any rotted pipe at that point in time? 8 9 It was pretty dark down in there. А Okay. My question is, when you go down there 10 0 the first time, before you do anything, did you see any 11 12 rotted pipe? 13 I saw no rotted. Scaly pipe. А Scaly pipe. Okay. Now, but that did not stop 14 Q 15 you from proceeding with your work, correct? 16 А No. Q Okay. In fact, in your testimony, you say --17 you turned off the inside gas at the lock cock. Why do 18 you turn off the inside gas at the lock cock? 19 20 So I can break the piping down, take the piping А 21 apart --22 Okay. Q -- on the inside. 23 А 24 Okay. Now, normally, I would have used my Q 18-inch aluminum wrench to loosen the union between the 25

two -- actually, where I'm reading is page 2, lines 6 1 through 8. What would you ordinarily do with your 18-inch 2 3 aluminum wrench? 4 А What line are you on, sir? 5 0 Page 2, lines 6 through 8. 6 А And what was your question. 7 Q Okay. Normally, what would you do with your 18-inch aluminum wrench? 8 9 I would unloosen the union. А Okay. You didn't do that this time, correct? 10 0 Yes, I did. 11 А 12 Okay. Well, your testimony indicates that this Q particular union could be opened without a tool; isn't 13 that correct? 14 I put the pipe wrench up there. But as in 15 А loose, I mean as easy as it was when you put the pipe 16 17 wrench up there, it turned so easy, I took it back off, and I sat it down and undid the union by hand. 18 Okay. And then what happened? 19 0 20 Once I did -- undid the union? А 21 Q Uh-huh. 22 I put the pipe wrench down. And once the union А 23 broke loose, the cock broke off the wall. 24 Okay. Now, this -- all of this piping, did it 0 come falling down? 25

1 A I can't remember.

2 Okay. Let's take a -- could you take a look at Q 3 your testimony and your -- the more -- even more, your --4 by the way, this -- this attachment to your testimony, is 5 that in your handwriting? Well, whose handwriting is 6 that? 7 А Oh, my handwriting? 8 Yes. Did you write this? Q 9 А No. Who did? 10 0 I believe Don Vierling. 11 А 12 Okay. But you certainly have reviewed it; is Q 13 that correct? Not today. 14 А 15 Okay. Have you reviewed it in the past? Q When we wrote it. 16 А Okay. And you agreed with it at that time? 17 Q 18 А Yes. Okay. In other words, this is an accurate 19 0 20 statement of what happened? 21 Α Yes. Yes. 22 Okay. Well, take a look at page 2, line 6 of Q 23 your testimony. It -- was it your testimony that you did, 24 in fact, use your 18-inch aluminum wrench? A Did I use it? 25

1 Q Yes. 2 A To loosen the union? 3 0 Yes. 4 А I believe so, yes. 5 Q Okay. Then could you -- you do have this statement with you, don't you? 6 7 Α No. 8 That's not attached to your testimony? Q 9 А No. 10 Q Okay. MS. SCHRODER: Here, let me give him another 11 12 copy. It should have been. 13 A Okay. Thank you. 14 MS. SCHRODER: It should be the last page of your testimony. Oh, it is. 15 16 А Oh. (By Mr. Franson) When you've had an opportunity 17 Q to review that, please tell me. 18 19 А Okay. Okay. You, in fact, used your wrench to --20 Q well, what did you use to turn off the lock cock, I should 21 22 ask? 23 A The lock cock? 24 Q Yes. A I can't remember. 25

1 Q Okay. Without regard to this specific instance, what would you ordinarily use to turn off the lock cock? 2 3 А A crescent wrench or a pipe wrench. 4 Okay. Well, now, let me ask you, in your Q 5 statement, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight 6 -- I count nine lines down, could you tell -- starting on 7 line 8, it says, "He set his 24-inch aluminum wrench at 8 the cock." Does that refresh your recollection whether it 9 was an 18 or 24-inch? It was a -- it was an 18-inch. 10 А Okay. So this statement, this handwritten 11 Q 12 statement, is incorrect? 13 А The 24-inch part. 14 MR. FRANSON: Okay. If I may, just a moment, your Honor. May I approach the witness, your Honor? 15 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may. 17 MR. FRANSON: Thank you. 18 (By Mr. Franson) Mr. Minton, what is that? Or Q what does it appear to be? 19 20 That's a 24. А 21 Q Okay. Now, the statement that you typed -- or 22 that was written says it's a 24, but it was, in fact, an 23 18? 24 А Uh-huh. 25 Q Okay. Now, what is the difference between this

-- well, let me ask you, can you see this, this instrument 1 2 from over there? What's the difference between this 3 24-inch -- well, let me ask you, is this an aluminum 4 wrench? 5 А I couldn't tell unless I was holding it. 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. FRANSON: May I approach the witness again, 8 your Honor? 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. 10 (By Mr. Franson) Please take it and do what you 0 need to do, and tell me what that is. 11 12 A It's aluminum. 13 Q What size? A Well, 24. 14 15 Okay. Is that a tool you use normally in your Q 16 job? Aluminum 24? 17 А 18 Q Yes. This is probably over-sized. 19 А Okay. What size do you ordinarily use? 20 Q Usually 18. 21 А 22 Q Okay. 23 Α Ten. 24 Mr. Minton, isn't it true that it was dark in Q that basement? 25

1 A Yes.

2 You didn't see the extent of the damage -- of Q 3 the rotted pipe, did you? 4 А Of the what? 5 0 Of the pipe that was rotted. You didn't see how 6 extensive that damage was, did you? 7 А I -- I looked at it. The extent of it, no. 8 And it did not strike you that by putting a Q 9 wrench on part of it that could be -- that could cause you the problem that it did, in fact, cause? 10 11 Could you repeat that? А 12 Okay. Isn't is it true that you put the --Q 13 you say now it's an 18-inch aluminum wrench on -- let's 14 see. I believe it says you put it on the cock to hang there, and the cock casing, pipe and copper fell on the 15 16 floor blowing gas into the basement. Isn't it true that you didn't look at that pipe 17 very closely -- or before you did that to ascertain 18 whether there was rotting pipe there or not? 19 I could see there was flaking. 20 Α 21 Q Okay. You're a trained gas worker, right? 22 Uh-huh. А 23 And you put this wrench on there anyway, right? Q 24 I set the wrench on there, yes. А 25 Q And then when you did that, it was hanging

1 there, right?

2 (Witness nods head.) А 3 0 Okay. And then the cock, the case -- the 4 casing, pipe and copper fell on the floor blowing gas into 5 the basement, correct? 6 А Correct. 7 Q Now -- okay. As -- now, you're doing this. Where is the met -- gas meter in relation to the pipe that 8 -- that came falling off the wall? Actually, I should say 9 the cock, casing, pipe and copper. 10 11 In regard -- that -- those items, where are 12 those in relation to the pipe? I mean, I'm sorry, to the 13 gas meter. I don't know what you're asking. 14 А 15 Okay. Is the gas meter to this home -- this Q 16 address you went, is it located in the basement? The meter? 17 А Yes. 18 0 19 А Yes. 20 Okay. Where in relation to where you were Q working with your wrench is the meter? 21 22 А Off to the right. How far to the right? 23 Q 24 I'm going to say 8 inches. А 25 Q Okay. Is it -- now, let's turn to your

testimony, page 3, lines 12 through 17. Could you --1 2 well, actually --3 А Page 3? 4 Q Page 3, lines 7 through 17? 5 А Page 3, line what. Lines 7 through 17. If you could review that, 6 Q 7 and then tell me when you've done that, please. 8 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Counsel, can we get that 9 wrench up here while he's looking at that? 10 MR. FRANSON: Certainly. If I may approach the witness, your Honor. You can't keep it. 11 12 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Judge, I'd like to have 13 this marked as an exhibit. COMMISSIONER GAW: Before or after you use it? 14 15 COMMISSIONER APPLING: The Commissioner is leaving the courtroom with a wench. 16 MR. FRANSON: May I proceed, your Honor, or do I 17 need to --18 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Well, we'll wait a minute. 20 MR. FRANSON: Thank you. 21 Q (By Mr. Franson) Mr. Minton -- okay. You are a 22 trained gas worker, correct? 23 А Yes. 24 And you didn't foresee this problem when you 0 25 were in there actually working on it that this pipe was

1 going to fall on the floor and cause a gas leak, did you? 2 Did I foresee it? А 3 0 Yes. 4 Α Well, that would be kind of speculating if I 5 said yes or no. 6 Q Okay. You did not -- fair to say, if you knew 7 that was going to happen, you wouldn't have put your wrench on it and done the work you did, right? 8 9 If I thought it was going to break off or fall А down? Is that what you're -- is that what you're --10 Right. 11 Q 12 No, I wouldn't have. А Okay. Now, when -- let's -- you speculate that 13 Q 14 a -- in your testimony, page 3, line -- well, actually, let's just -- you flat out say that because the AMR 15 16 installer was not a gas worker, he was unable to detect rotted pipe. You said that, didn't you? Page 3, lines 14 17 through 15 of your testimony. 18 And your question? 19 А 20 Okay. You said that because the AMR installer 0 21 was not a gas worker, he was unable to detect the rotted 22 pipe, correct? 23 А Yes. 24 Okay. And that was true when you said it? You 0 believed it? 25

1 A (Witness nods head.) Yes.

Okay. However, you, a trained gas worker, being 2 Q 3 in there a very short time later did not see this rotted 4 pipe, did you? 5 А I saw the casing pipe that was corroded. Or 6 flaking. 7 Q But you did not see this rotted pipe that presented such a danger to you that you didn't put a -- a 8 9 wrench on it and proceed to work on it, did you? No. Because the copper pipe is inside the 10 А casing pipe. 11 12 Is it really reasonable to expect an AMR -- AMR 0 13 installer who is not a trained gas worker working under 14 the same or similar conditions as you to have spotted this and when a trained gas worker couldn't? 15 16 He should have -- he should be able to see the А 17 flaky pipe right there and see that there's a problem. 18 Have you ever done an AMR installation yourself? 0 19 А No. 20 Have you ever undergone training to do an AMR Q 21 installation? 22 I -- I can't say right now. А 23 So you don't know or you haven't or you --Q 24 I'll say I don't know. А 25 Q So it's possible you've undergone training

1 sometime in your career at Laclede or elsewhere to install 2 an AMR device? 3 А I -- I can't say. 4 0 Have you -- but you never installed one that you 5 can recall? 6 А No. 7 MR. FRANSON: Okay. I don't believe I have any further questions, your Honor. 8 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. Cross from Laclede? 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PENDERGAST: 11 12 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Minton. 13 А Hi. 14 I don't have very many questions. I think Q 15 Mr. Franson's covered this pretty well. But can the 16 experience at this particular house be sort of summarized 17 this way, and just tell me whether you agree with it or 18 not. 19 You arrived at the house. It wasn't in response 20 to any leak call or other indication that something was 21 wrong; is that correct? 22 Α That is correct. 23 Within a few moments, minutes of getting there, Q 24 you had gone inside the house. You had taken some actions 25 on the pipe that were contrary to company policy. And

within a few minutes of that, you had gas rushing out of a 1 pipe, and a desperate situation had been created. Would 2 3 you agree with that? 4 А Could you repeat that? 5 0 Yes. And within a few minutes of going inside 6 the house, you began some work on the pipe. You began 7 that work in contradiction to established company policy. And within a few minutes, gas was rushing into the house 8 9 because of the actions you had taken; is that correct? 10 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Compound question. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled. 11 12 Yes. I guess. А 13 (By Mr. Pendergast) And you're blaming this on Q the Cellnet Honeywell installer? 14 Are you asking me? 15 А 16 Yes. Q 17 А Am I blaming it? Yeah. Is -- is this something that you're 18 0 trying to lay at the feet of whoever the individual was 19 20 that a few weeks before came in and installed an AMR device without incident? 21 22 What I'm saying is the lady said that they had Α 23 smelled gas a couple of weeks since the guy has been in 24 there and did the meter. 25 Q I didn't ask you whether somebody had smelled
1 gas. I'm saying are you taking the desperate situation that was created the day that you were there and working 2 3 on the facilities, and are you laying the fault for that 4 on the installer of the AMR device? 5 А No. I'm saying that the lady said that she 6 smelled gas after the AMR meter was installed. 7 Q So the answer would be you're not trying to lay the fault for this on the installer of the AMR device who 8 9 appeared there a few weeks before and installed a device without incident? 10 А 11 I'm saying that she said they smelled gas down 12 in the basement. 13 Okay. Let me ask you the question again 0 14 correctly. Are you blaming what happened with the facilities simply falling apart, the situation that you 15 had with the gas, was that the fault of the AMR installer? 16 Yes or no? 17 A I can't answer that. 18 You can't answer that. Was it your fault? 19 0 Was what my fault? 20 А 21 Q What happened. The fact that you had gas 22 rushing into the house. Was that your fault? 23 Well, if the pipes wouldn't have been moved А earlier, that doesn't mean -- if the pipes -- if he was in 24 25 there and if he installed that and he's moving the pipes

around, that could have moved the scale off the pipes, 1 2 which could have also loosened it up. 3 0 Do you know if any of that happened? 4 А Do I know? 5 0 Yes. No, I don't. 6 А 7 Q Okay. Let me ask you this: Was it the Cellnet installer who decided to begin working on those facilities 8 9 before the flow of gas had been shut off? 10 А No. Okay. Who made that decision? 11 Q 12 А I did. 13 Q Okay. Was it the Cellnet installer that went ahead and started loosening up the facilities and the 14 15 union and various things before the flow of gas had been shut off? 16 I don't know what he did. 17 А 18 0 Did he make the decision to do it the day you were there? 19 20 А He wasn't there when I was there. 21 Q Okay. So who made the decision to do that? А 22 To loosen the pipes? 23 Q Yes. 24 А I did. 25 Q Okay. And it wasn't the Cellnet installer that

1 put that big wrench up on top of this pipe that you say looked suspiciously corroded, was it? 2 3 А Could you repeat that? 4 0 Yes. It wasn't the Cellnet installer who had 5 been there a few weeks before that put the big pipe wrench up on the --6 7 А No. 8 Who did that? Q 9 I set the wrench up there. А Okay. And we've had a lot of discussion over 10 0 the last day and a half, and I assume you've been in the 11 12 hearing room, about leaks that are caused by AMR 13 installations. 14 Are you aware of any leak that even approaches in severity the potential danger both to you guys and the 15 16 residents of the house and maybe even the neighborhood and 17 the leak that you created the day you were there? 18 А Could you repeat it, please? Yes. We've had a lot of discussion about leaks 19 0 over the last day and a half supposedly caused by AMR 20 21 installations. My question is, are you aware of any other 22 leaks that posed the same degree of danger and immediate 23 danger that the leak you created on this day posed to 24 public safety? 25 А I don't go out on leak calls. I -- no.

Q Do you think it's reasonable to expect that when you have your experienced gas workers go onto a premises to do work that within about three or four minutes of beginning their job there won't be a desperate situation like this created?

6 A Will there be?

Q No. Do you think it's reasonable to expect that when you have experienced gas workers performing these duties that they will not turn a situation where maybe somebody smells gas but there was no leak call into one where gas is blowing out of a pipe and a home is in eminent danger of blowing up?

13 A No.

Okay. I'll accept that answer. And do you have 14 Q 15 any idea of when the last time before the AMR installer 16 installed his AMR device without incident that a Laclede 17 employee had inspected these particular facilities? No. I wouldn't -- I have no knowledge of that. 18 Α How long -- do you have any estimate of how long 19 0 this pipe would have been corroded to where it would have 20 21 been noticeable as being corroded? 22 I -- I haven't -- I can't answer that. Α 23 Q Okay. 24 I mean, I -- that's -- that's not in my Α 25 department. I wouldn't know that.

Wouldn't know that. 1 Q 2 You're talking about inspections? А 3 0 Uh-huh. 4 А Yes. That's -- that's a whole different 5 department. 6 Q Okay. So you don't feel that you're qualified 7 to speak on the issue of when something would have become 8 corroded; is that correct? 9 А I know when something's corroded. 10 Okay. But you're not qualified to go ahead and 0 speak to the issue of if you see something's corroded how 11 12 long it may have been corroded for? 13 Are you asking me how long it's been corroded? А Yes. Yes. 14 Q I --15 Α 16 Do you feel qualified to render judgments on Q 17 that? 18 It would be -- no. It would be speculation, I А 19 would say. 20 Okay. And you say you're not in the Inspection 0 21 Department. So would you be qualified to render opinion 22 on when somebody that does inspect corrosion as part of 23 their job should notice that something's corroded and 24 something's not? А 25 Yes.

Okay. And if you thought that this pipe was in 1 Q any danger of falling apart, that it was corroded or 2 3 pitted or scaled or what have you, to a degree that its 4 structural integrity was threatened, you never would have 5 put your pipe wrench on top of it, would you? 6 А Yes. 7 Q Yes, you would not have? 8 Yes, I wouldn't have. А 9 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you. I have no further 10 questions. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. We'll go on to 11 12 questions from the Bench. Commissioner Gaw? 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER GAW: 14 15 Tell me what your -- what your general role is Q again, what you generally do for Laclede? 16 Normally, I'm putting -- I'm in an APC truck. 17 А And we put new subdivisions in, new plastic services, 18 19 subs. 20 Okay. So you don't change meters out? Q Huh-uh. 21 А 22 So you're not familiar with the general Q practices of those who -- who go in to -- to check and 23 24 change meters? 25 A Correct.

Okay. Because that's not your job --1 Q 2 Right. А -- generally? 3 0 4 Α Right. 5 0 Why were you on this particular call? 6 А I do -- pardon me? 7 Q This call that's being discussed, why were you on that call that day? 8 9 Α Well, I was -- I was with Don and --Who is Don? 10 0 Done Vierling. He's -- he's back there. 11 Α 12 Q Yes. 13 He's a -- he's the foreman on the job. And we А were just -- I was assisting, and we were helping do that 14 15 job. 16 Okay. But this is not something you normally Q do? 17 I've done them before, yes. 18 А All right. And what were you doing -- supposed 19 0 20 to be doing there that day? А 21 We were going to do the copper replacement. We were going to take that line out and insert plastic in and 22 -- and air test and -- and get the new service installed. 23 24 Q Okay. What kind of -- what kind of line again was it that you were replacing? 25

1 А Copper. 2 Was it copper or steel over copper? Q 3 А It was a steel casing pipe going through the 4 wall --5 Q Yes, sir. 6 А -- with copper inside that. 7 Q Yes, sir. There's been some issues and danger with that, is that correct, in with regard to those kinds 8 9 of lines? 10 А Yes. And did -- did you just forget to turn the line 11 Q 12 off outside? 13 No. We were digging it up at the time. And А they had it exposed, the shut-off. 14 15 Q Yes. 16 I figured by the time I walked downstairs, it А would have been shut off. 17 And -- and for some reason, it didn't occur that 18 0 it got shut off? 19 20 А Right. So you thought it was shut off when you were 21 Q 22 working on it? 23 When I went downstairs, I figured by the time I А 24 walked -- it was probably -- oh, I don't know. It was several feet, you know, go down, carry your stuff and get 25

1 downstairs and get set up.

2 Q Okay.

3 A I figured by that time they would have had it4 shut off.

5 Q All right. And --

6 A We'd already found it. It's not like we were 7 looking for it.

8 Q You had already found what?

9 A The shut-off. We just couldn't get the key on 10 it to shut it off.

11 Q So but -- but -- because of some

12 $\,$ miscommunication or an assumption that wasn't correct on

13 your part, the gas wasn't shut off?

14 A Right. It was --

15 Q But you thought it was shut off when you began 16 working on it?

17 A Yes.

18 Q That's what you assumed?

19 A Right.

20 Q And was it -- were you taking this particular 21 piece of line out when you started turning it? What were 22 -- what was your purpose in -- when you put the pipe 23 wrench on the line, what was your purpose? 24 A The purpose was to shut the lock cock off, which 25 is an inside shut-off. 1 Q Okay.

And then once you do that, then everything 2 А 3 inside the house should be secured so you can break the 4 pipes down. 5 0 Okay. So you were taking the lines out after --6 after this. That was your purpose, right? 7 А Right. And -- and the line that you noticed the scaling 8 Q 9 on, was it part of what you were replacing or intending to 10 replace? А 11 Yes. 12 Was -- okay. And the reason you were doing --Q 13 doing this replacement, was it -- was it because it was 14 scheduled maintenance or because someone had reported that they smelled gas or some other reason? 15 16 I wouldn't be able -- I don't know if it was А scheduled or -- I don't -- we just -- we get our ticket, 17 18 and then we go out. So you don't know if --19 0 20 I don't know if it was scheduled or if there was А 21 a leak there or not. I don't know. 22 Okay. You were -- the scaling that you -- that 0 23 you noticed on -- on this line, though, was something that -- would it -- would it have been a concern to you -- if 24 25 you were not there to replace that pipe, was it something

that would have generated concern on your part if you saw 1 2 that kind of scaling? 3 А Yeah. It was -- yes. 4 0 Okay. Enough to have reported it? 5 А Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER GAW: That's all. Thank you. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Clayton? 8 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: No questions. 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Recross based on questions from the Bench, then? Public Counsel? 10 MR. POSTON: No. 11 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff? 12 13 MR. FRANSON: Yes. 14 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSON: 15 16 Mr. Minton, you have your testimony and then the Q attachment or exhibit with you, don't you? 17 18 A Yes. Where in your testimony does it say that you 19 0 20 thought when you went in and you knew the gas wasn't 21 turned off and you went in that you thought it would be turned off by the time you got in there and began work? 22 23 Where is that in your testimony or your statement? 24 A I don't know that it's in there. 25 Q And you didn't tell me that during my questions

1 to you, did you?

2 I don't recall. А 3 0 Okay. In fact, the first time that you thought 4 about that was when Commissioner Gaw was asking you 5 questions; is that true? 6 А That the gas was shut off? 7 Q That the gas would be shut off before you got anything done inside. 8 9 Oh, I thought it would have been. А You were wrong, weren't you? 10 0 That it was shut off? 11 А 12 Right. Q 13 Yes. It was -- it was not off. А And a -- and going back -- let's go over this 14 Q 15 scenario just so I understand. You got there to this 16 residence with three other workers, correct? А 17 Yes. And those three other workers were Shawn O'Neal, 18 0 Everet -- Don Vierling and Jim Leach, correct? 19 20 А Yes. 21 Q Okay. And you told me earlier that normally 22 when you would -- before going inside to actually begin 23 work, the gas would be shut off at the curb box, correct? 24 А Correct. And, in fact, that is a required Laclede policy, 25 Q

1 correct?

2 А Yes. 3 0 And you violated that policy when you went in 4 and began work before the gas was shut off, correct? 5 А Yeah. That's the same question you asked earlier. 6 7 Q Okay. And the answer was yes? 8 You said -- yes. Α 9 0 Let's not have me answer the question. Your answer to my question is yes? 10 А 11 Yes. 12 Okay. So -- and a tragedy was averted here, Q correct? 13 14 А Yes. 15 And it really has nothing to do with any -- the Q 16 tragedy that was averted here has nothing to do with an AMR installer that was in there a week, two weeks or any 17 other time really. There's really no connection, is 18 19 there? 20 А With what? Okay. The tragedy that was averted on the day 21 Q 22 you were at this address, that has no connection to an AMR 23 installer, does it? 24 A No. Because --25 MR. FRANSON: Thank you. I have no further

1 questions.

2 MR. MINTON: All right. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Laclede? 4 MR. PENDERGAST: Just very briefly. 5 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PENDERGAST: 6 7 Q In response to a question by Commissioner Gaw, you indicated that you weren't sure whether you were there 8 9 on a leak call or you were there to do a routine replacement of a copper service line. 10 11 But I thought you had indicated to me earlier 12 that you were out there specifically to replace a copper 13 service line and that it wasn't in response to a leak. Do you remember that? 14 We were doing a copper replacement renewal. 15 А 16 Whether it's a leak or whether it's not, I don't know 17 that. Is that what you're asking? Q You mean whether it's a leak in the line versus 18 a leak call? 19 20 A No. I know what you're saying. No. No. I 21 don't know. I was out there to do a copper replacement 22 renewal. 23 Okay. Would it be standard procedure if it had Q 24 been a case of a customer calling in and saying, I have --25 I smell gas that you would have gone out to residence and

1 say, We need to go ahead and replace the line before you go ahead and check the piping and that sort of thing? 2 3 А I don't understand what you're --4 0 If it had been a leak call where a customer had 5 called in and said, I smell gas, please come out and make 6 sure everything's okay, you would have followed a 7 different procedure other than going out and starting to replace a copper service line, wouldn't you? 8 9 That would have been the service department А 10 then. So you wouldn't even have gone out if it had 11 Q been a call that was originated by a customer calling in a 12 13 leak; is that correct? That's correct. 14 А Okay. So at least it wasn't that kind of a leak 15 Q call, right? 16 I -- no. The service department takes care of 17 А 18 the leaks, I mean, as far as the calls. And you said, I think, in your testimony that --19 0 20 that the customer had made some mention of smelling gas. 21 Did you smell gas when you were on the premises? 22 No, I did not. А You did not. Okay. And you also indicated that 23 Q you thought someone had recently -- I think you said a 24 couple weeks before installed an AMR device. Would you, 25

1 in fact, know when that AMR device was installed? 2 Do I? А 3 0 Yes. 4 А No. 5 0 Okay. So aside from what the customer said, you 6 don't know how long ago it was installed? 7 А Yes. That's what she said. That's all I 8 remember. 9 MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Redirect? 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MS. SCHRODER: Everybody else has had their props. Okay. 13 Q Mr. Everet, I'm just a little bit confused about a couple 14 of things. First of all, is it a Laclede Gas requirement 15 to shut gas off outside before you -- you turn off the --16 the lock cock on a meter? 17 18 А Yes. It is? That's a Laclede requirement? 19 0 To shut off the outside gas? I mean, outside 20 А 21 shut-off before you -- before inside the house? 22 Right. Or is it a requirement to shut it off 0 23 before you work on the piping? 24 A I believe we're supposed to shut off on the

outside or have a valve key on it and then shut it -- shut

1 it off.

2 Q All right. Did -- did you get disciplined for this by Laclede? 3 4 А No. 5 0 And did you talk to a supervisor about whether 6 you were going to get disciplined for this by Laclede? А 7 There was never, ever -- nothing was ever 8 brought up about it as far as they were concerned --9 everything was -- we did everything right. There was no discipline. 10 Q Okay. I mean, did you talk to a supervisor who 11 told you that you did everything right? 12 13 А Yes. Okay. And who was that? 14 Q 15 The supervisor? А 16 Q Yeah. Steve Maylar (ph.). 17 А And who -- what is his job? 18 Q He's, I guess -- he's the foreman. 19 А 20 I thought you said that Don Vierling was the Q 21 foreman? 22 А Well, he's -- Don is a working foreman, and 23 Steve Maylar is our foreman. 24 He's a Laclede manager? Q A Yes. Manager. I'm sorry. 25

1 Q All right. You said that the customer smelled gas and told you that -- the cus -- the customer told you 2 3 she had smelled gas since the AMR was installed. Did she 4 tell you this before or after this incident occurred, 5 before or after you turned off the meter? Do you 6 understand my question? 7 А Not really. 8 Okay. Okay. When was it that the customer told Q 9 you that she smelled the gas? When was it in the procedure? 10 11 Α I can't remember. 12 Do C&M employees, Construction & Maintenance Q employees, carry leak detectors? 13 14 А No. 15 All right. Are you aware of whether service Q 16 department employees carry leak detectors? 17 А I believe they do. 18 And are you also aware of whether meter readers 0 at Laclede carry leak detectors? 19 20 А I -- that one, I cannot answer. All right. The -- I'm sorry. I'm looking for 21 Q 22 -- I did this again up here. 23 MR. FRANSON: Judge, while she's doing that, 24 just for your information, I don't see us on the screen up 25 there.

1 JUDGE WOODRUFF: We're on the screen from my
2 terminal here.

3 MR. FRANSON: Well --

JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm not sure what the problem might be with that. If we went off the air, I'm sure I would be getting e-mails from the people watching upstairs, so --

8 Q (By Ms. Schroder) You stated in your testimony 9 that you believed a Laclede Gas service employee would 10 have been able to detect if they had installed the device 11 that -- that the pipe was rotted. What did you -- why did 12 you believe that?

13 A That the -- I don't know what you mean.

14 Q All right. Why did you believe that a Laclede 15 Gas service department employee would have been able to 16 detect this rotted pipe if that person had done the AMR 17 installation?

A Well, I believe the service department is the one that puts those on. When I say puts them on -- I can't say that because I don't know if they put them on or not.

I would say because they had the leak detector, -- they have the leak detectors and they can -- once -- if they're the ones putting it on, they do -- they can do a soap test, which is the leak detector solution. And they

have the -- the gas scopes to find out if it's -- you 1 2 know, if there's any leaks. 3 0 All right. And do you have that equipment as 4 part of C&M? 5 А I have leak solution, leak soap. That's all I 6 have. 7 Q All right. And is there any particular time 8 you're supposed to use leak soap? If there's a leak. If we're looking for a leak. 9 Α If you're looking for one. All right. And on 10 0 that day, were you looking for a leak? 11 12 А No. 13 All right. Can you see what I'm holding up Q 14 here? A Yes, I did. 15 16 And do you recognize this? Q Yes. 17 А What is it? 18 0 A That is a lock cock. 19 20 Is it a particular lock cock? Is it a lock cock Q 21 that you recognize? 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. What lock cock is that? And don't give Q 24 me an address. A Okay. That's what I was getting at. 25

Q All right. 1 2 A That is the one from the house that broke off 3 the wall. 4 Q All right. MS. SCHRODER: Can I approach? 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yes. 6 7 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. Minton, is the -- the corrosion on the lock cock -- lock cock more visible from 8 9 the inside or the outside? A The corrosion? 10 Yeah. The problems with it, the breakages and 11 Q 12 whatever. 13 I would say you could see it on the outside. Α Q Okay. Did it break from the inside out or the 14 15 outside in? Can you tell from looking at that? 16 А I don't -- I would say inside. I -- I don't know. I would say inside. 17 All right. That's what it looks like to you? 18 Q (Witness nods head.) Yeah. 19 А 20 Okay. Was the -- this pipe is green. Why is Q 21 that? 22 Α It's painted. 23 All right. And does that paint tend to hide the Q 24 visible signs of corrosion? 25 A Yes.

1 Q Mr. Minton, in your -- how long have you been 2 working for Laclede Gas? 3 А Nine and a half years, almost ten. 4 Q All right. In your experience, when you've actually -- well, strike that. 5 6 In your experience, in your training, if you've 7 actually violated a company policy, will the company 8 discipline you for it? 9 А Yes. But in this case, management told you that you 10 0 didn't do anything wrong; is that right? 11 12 А That is correct. 13 MS. SCHRODER: Okay. I have no further 14 questions. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. And, 16 Mr. Minton, you can step down. Do you want to call your 17 next witness, then? 18 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. Don Vierling. 19 DON VIERLING, 20 being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 21 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. SCHRODER: 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may be seated. 25 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. Vierling, did you give

1 written testimony in this matter that's been marked as 2 17-HC and 17-NP? A Yes, I did. 3 4 Q All right. Do you have any corrections to that 5 testimony? I do have one correction. That was an 18-inch 6 А 7 wrench. I made a mistake in writing the letter. 8 All right. Do you remember the wrench? Q 9 Yes, I do. It's still on my truck. А All right. Any other corrections? 10 0 Well, it's not company policy to shut the curb 11 А 12 cock off outside. 13 MR. FRANSON: Objection, your Honor. That is not a correction. That is adding to his testimony. 14 15 MS. SCHRODER: I agree. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: That's right. (By Ms. Schroder) We'll get to that later. 17 Q Somebody will get to that later. 18 19 А Okay. 20 If I asked you the same questions today that are Q 21 in your testimony, would your answers be the same? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q All right. Were those answers true and accurate 24 to the best of your knowledge, belief and -- and information? 25

1 A Yes, they would.

2 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. I'm sorry. Move for 3 the admission of Exhibit 17-HC and 17-NP. 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 17-HC and NP have been offered 5 into evidence. Are there any objections to the receipt? 6 Hearing none, they will be received into evidence. 7 (Exhibit Nos. 17-NC and 17-NP were admitted into 8 evidence.) 9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Cross-examination, then, 10 beginning with Public Counsel? MR. POSTON: Just one real quick. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. POSTON: 13 I just wanted to ask if you concurred with the 14 Q testimony of Mr. Minton. And if -- if not, why? 15 16 Well, I -- it's not the case that company policy А was violated. When we go inside to do the work, it's 17 18 perfectly all right to shut it off at the cock on the inside if you're working on the back side of the meter, 19 20 you know. 21 But if you're going to work -- it comes through 22 the wall, if you're going to work on this side, you've got to have it shut off either at the main or the curb cock so 23 you don't have gas blowing into the home. 24 25 MR. POSTON: That's all I have. Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Staff? 1 2 MR. FRANSON: Thank you, your Honor. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. FRANSON: 5 0 Mr. Vierling, did you have the recollection that 6 it was an 18 inch-wrench -- or a 24-inch, that there was 7 any discrepancy here between your testimony and this 8 handwritten statement before today? 9 А No. I -- I just made a mistake in writing down 24 instead of 18. 10 Okay. Now, what's that item up there in front 11 Q 12 of you? Could you hold that up, please? 13 A Yeah. This would be --Q Yeah. 14 A Case --15 16 Q What is -- what is that? It's a set-up for an inside set with a casing 17 А pipe, a dock and an insulated union. 18 Okay. Is it normal -- and Mr. Minton testified 19 0 20 that's the exact equipment from this address; is that 21 correct? 22 Α Yes. 23 Do you know whether that is, in fact, correct? Q Yes, I do. 24 А Do you know who removed it from this address? 25 Q

1 А No. I sure don't. 2 Is it -- is it normal for Laclede employees to Q 3 take property like that and then be in possession of it 4 outside company premises? Is that normal? 5 Α I -- I have no idea. I don't know the answer to 6 that. 7 Q Do you know who, in fact, removed it from the 8 premises? 9 No, I sure don't. А Did you? 10 0 No, I did not. 11 А 12 You don't know whether Mr. Minton or anyone else 0 13 did? I have no idea who did. 14 А And you don't know where it's been from the time 15 Q 16 that you gentlemen were out there on September 14, 2006, until today? 17 No. This is the first time I've seen it. 18 А Okay. And other than Mr. Minton testifying, do 19 0 you -- how do you know that's, in fact, the same piece of 20 21 equipment that was at the address? 22 I remember it, this being the one. I mean, I Α 23 just remember it being the pipe. 24 MR. FRANSON: Thank you. No further questions. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Cross-examination for Laclede? 25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. PENDERGAST: 3 0 Good afternoon, Mr. Vierling. 4 А Good afternoon. 5 0 Should the address that Mr. Minton references 6 where this occurred match with the address that you 7 mention where it occurred? 8 А Yes, sir. 9 Okay. In our discussion with Mr. Minton, I 0 think he testified that when he went downstairs to do his 10 work on the facilities that the shut-off box had already 11 been uncovered and that you were poised to turn it off, 12 13 and, in fact, he thought by the time he got downstairs it would have been turned off. 14 But I notice in your testimony you say, "He 15 tried to contain the gas with his hands. At this point, 16 17 we were still digging up the curb box outside." That 18 would suggest to me that it wasn't uncovered and it was just a case of turning the key. Are -- are you correct or 19 20 is he correct? 21 А I would say I was correct. 22 Okay. So when he went downstairs, the last 0 23 thing he would have seen would have been you guys still 24 digging around the curb box; is that correct? 25 A That's correct.

Okay. He is correct, though, on the 18-inch 1 Q 2 versus the 24? 3 А Yes, he is. That is my error. 4 Q Okay. Okay. Did you have any idea when the AMR 5 device was installed at this location? 6 А I only know what the homeowner told us --7 Q Okay. 8 -- that it was put in two weeks prior to us Α 9 coming. Okay. And do you recall smelling any gas when 10 0 you were there? 11 12 А No, sir. 13 And as far as you know, were you just out to do Q a standard copper service replacement that day? 14 A Yes, sir. 15 Okay. And, you know, I don't want to get into 16 Q what company policy requires and what it doesn't. But, I 17 mean, generally speaking, do you think it's a pretty good 18 idea to have the gas disconnected outside before you start 19 20 working on the facilities inside? 21 А Since this incident, yes. MR. FRANSON: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate it. 22 23 MR. VIERLING: Uh-huh. 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Gaw, any questions? 25

COMMISSIONER GAW: I don't believe so. 1 2 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Commissioner Clayton? COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: No questions. 3 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I have no questions, so no 4 5 recross. Any redirect? 6 MS. SCHRODER: Just two questions. Can I just 7 handle it from here? 8 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Go ahead. 9 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MS. SCHRODER: Q Mr. Vierling, you said you have the 18-inch on 13 your truck. Do you have a 24-inch on your truck? 14 No. As far as I'm aware, we're not even -- they 15 A don't carry it in the storeroom, at least in Shrewsbury. 16 17 Q All right. And that's where you work? 18 I work in a satellite out of Shrewsbury, yes. А All right. And the pipe that's in front of you 19 0 that we were talking about -- the lock cock. Excuse me. 20 21 А Yes. 22 How often do you see a lock cock that's in that Q 23 condition? 24 A Well, normally, most of my work is outside. You 25 know, 95 percent of it is main to meter new construction.

1 I'd say -- I really couldn't answer that because I'm not really -- I don't do that much of it, and I'm not trained 2 3 on spotting it. 4 Q Okay. And, actually, I'm referring to how often 5 do you see a lock cock that is -- that's open like that 6 with the copper sticking out and the -- you know, after 7 it's -- after it's fallen off? 8 I've never seen one fall off before. А 9 Is that how come you are certain that that's the 0 lock cock from that the job? 10 11 А Yes. Yes. 12 All right. Does it appear to be in the same Q 13 condition that it was on the day that you saw it? 14 А Yes. 15 MS. SCHRODER: No further questions. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. That was it. I'm sorry. I was --17 А MS. SCHRODER: That's okay. If it's all right 18 with you -- we want to go out of order just so we can call 19 20 somebody that's actually here as opposed to --21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. 22 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. Steve White. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Kevin Stewart. 23 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Good afternoon, Mr. Stewart. 25 Please raise your right hand.

1 KEVIN STEWART, being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 2 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. SCHRODER: 6 Q Mr. Stewart? I'm sorry. Okay. Sorry. 7 Mr. Stewart, did you give written testimony in this matter that is marked as Exhibit 18-HC and 18-NP? 8 9 Α Yes, I did. Do you have any corrections to that testimony? 10 0 The only correction I might have is at this 11 А point, I am -- I'm still the meter reader, but I do 12 13 basically corrosion inspections and high bill readings. 14 All right. And -- and how is that a correction Q of sorts to your testimony? 15 16 Well, at this time when I gave the -- the А testimony in the affidavit, at that time, I was still 17 18 reading gas meters primarily. And has it changed your experience in any way to 19 0 20 be doing what you're doing now? 21 А I've run across a lot more of the -- basically, 22 one of my jobs to perform is reading AMRs that are either 23 installed or troubled meters that they're requiring a -- a 24 reading off of. 25 Q All right.

MS. SCHRODER: Then I quess then I'll just ask 1 you, Judge Woodruff, I mean, is that -- he wasn't doing 2 3 that at the time we filed this testimony. He's seen more 4 since then. Do you want to know about it or not? Or do 5 you -- and do you want it addressed now, or do you want it 6 addressed when he comes up? 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I think that can be addressed by cross-examination if somebody wants to cross-examine. 8 9 His correction is simply that his job title has changed. 10 We'll accept that as a correction. MS. SCHRODER: All right. 11 12 (By Ms. Schroder) Okay. If I asked you the Q 13 same questions today that I asked you -- or that we asked 14 you in September, would your testimony be the same, except, I guess, with regard to your job title and any new 15 16 experiences you've had? Yes. That would be correct. 17 А 18 All right. Was your testimony -- was the 0 testimony that you've given in writing true and accurate 19 to the best of your knowledge, information and belief? 20 21 А Yes, it is. 22 MS. SCHRODER: The union would move for the admission of Exhibit 18-HC and 18-NP. 23 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: 18-HC and NP has been offered 25 into evidence. Are there any objections to its receipt?

Hearing none, it will be received into evidence. 1 2 (Exhibit Nos. 18-HC and 18-NP were admitted into 3 evidence.) 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Cross-examination, beginning 5 again with Public Counsel? 6 MR. POSTON: No questions. No questions. 7 JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'm sorry. Staff? 8 MR. FRANSON: Yes, your Honor. 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSON: 10 11 Good afternoon, Mr. Stewart. Q A Good afternoon. 12 13 You were a meter reader, right, for Laclede? Q 14 Yes. I -- I'm still technically a meter reader. А Okay. But I think there was some reference to 15 Q you having a new job title. What is your current job 16 title? 17 18 А I'm still a meter reader, but my position has 19 changed from reading gas meters on a daily basis to what 20 they call a special to where I go out to appointment 21 routes, either initiated by the company or the customer. 22 So you were not fired because of the 0 installation of AMR devices; is that correct? 23 24 A Not yet. 25 Q Okay. Have you been told you will be fired any

1 time soon?

2 No, I have not. А Okay. In fact, you're -- you're still working 3 0 4 for Laclede doing productive work, correct? 5 А Yes, I am. 6 Okay. Now, during the time of your testimony Q 7 when you were doing these -- and observing these things, 8 only the things that are in your testimony now, you aren't 9 saying to this Commission that you know for a fact that AMR installations cause gas leaks, are you? 10 11 Α I have run across -- when I worked in St. 12 Charles as a meter reader, during the initial phases of 13 the installation of the AMRs, which St. Charles was first 14 area that Laclede had AMRs installed, we quite frequently ran across AMRs that were leaking. 15 16 Okay. Now, when you say AMRs, do you mean the Q 17 AMR device itself or the meter that was leaking? Many of the leaks I called in on the AMR meters 18 А were from around the dial face. 19 20 Okay. My question remains, are you telling the 0 21 Commission today that you know for a fact that the 22 installation of AMRs causes gas leaks? 23 Α Yes. 24 Based on what? 0 25 А Based on the finding of the leaks at the AMR. I

1 have read those routes prior, and I did not detect a gas 2 leak. 3 0 Okay. 4 А But as the AMRs were installed, I started 5 running across more leaks at the AMR more frequently. 6 Q Okay. Now, have you ever done an AMR 7 installation yourself? 8 No, I have not. А 9 Have you ever observed one being done? Q No, I have not. 10 А Were you here when the AMR demonstration was 11 Q 12 done on how to install one? 13 А No, I was not. So you're telling us even though you've never 14 Q 15 installed one -- well, you've never installed an AMR, 16 correct? That's correct. 17 А Never had any training on how to install an AMR? 18 Q A Correct. 19 20 Okay. You're not an engineer, are you? Q 21 А No. 22 You're not an expert on the design, function and Q 23 installation of AMRs, are you? 24 А No, I'm not. Okay. And despite all of that, you're saying 25 Q

1 the installation of AMRs on Laclede Gas meters causes leaks? Is that what you're saying? 2 3 A With my experience reading gas meters in the 4 field, I did notice quite more frequent leaks after the 5 AMRs were installed. 6 Q Okay. But -- but that's all you're basing your 7 testimony on is your observations and belief of being out in the field that the installation of AMRs causes gas 8 9 leaks? 10 A Correct. MR. FRANSON: No further questions. 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Laclede? 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PENDERGAST: 14 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Stewart. 15 16 Mr. Pendergast. А 17 Just a couple questions. First of all, Mr. Q Franson asked you some questions about your knowledge of 18 whether an AMR installation had actually caused leaks on 19 20 meters. 21 If a leak on a meter is detected, will one of 22 the things that Laclede does is take that meter in the 23 meter shop? 24 A Yes, sir. 25 Q Okay. And when it goes into the meter shop, do
1 they have some tests that they perform in order to

2 determine whether a meter is leaking?

3 A I do not have any information on that that I4 know of.

5 Q Okay. So you don't have any information on what 6 processes Laclede follows to identify where a leak on a 7 heater is occurring or, in fact, whether the meter has a 8 leak?

9 A Most of the information for the leaks that I do 10 come across that I can attribute to the AMR, when I worked 11 in St. Charles, the SAID and the meter readings worked out 12 of the same office. It was quite normal for the next day 13 when you show up, if you called in a leak the day before 14 to find out who went out on the leak.

15 The majority of SAIDs on the leak that I spoke 16 to, I asked them, you know, basically where did you find 17 the leak at. It was around the AMR.

- 18 Q Well --
- 19 A I'm sorry.
- 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Wait a minute.

Q (By Mr. Pendergast) That's not what I asked you. What I asked you was, are you familiar with the processes that Laclede's meter shop follows to determine whether or not a leak exists on a meter and where that leak is? 1 A No, I'm not aware of that.

Okay. Have you evaluated any of the testimony 2 Q 3 presented in this case that shows the analysis that was 4 done by union people in the meter shop on claimed leaks on 5 meters that had AMR devices attached? 6 А No, I have not. 7 Q Okay. Do you recall testifying and being deposed in a recent proceeding involving whether Laclede 8 9 should continue to do TFTO inspections? Yes, sir. 10 А Okay. And do you recall in a deposition, 11 Q perhaps during the testimony as well, answering questions 12 13 about leaks that you had identified during your, I think it was, 26 years worth of experience as a meter reader? 14 That's correct. 15 А 16 Okay. And did you testify at that time that Q even before AMR was installed that you would typically 17 identify a few leaks per meter route? 18 Yes, sir. 19 А 20 Okay. And sometimes up to five a day? Does Q 21 that sound familiar? 22 Maybe one day I might have had that many, but А 23 not on a daily basis. 24 Okay. And do you recall also being asked a 0 question of whether or not there was any situation you 25

could identify where a leak on a meter had actually 1 2 resulted in injury or damage to anyone? 3 А Yes. I recall that. 4 0 Twenty-six years? 5 А Right. And no. 6 Q And no. Now, as a meter reader, there were 7 instances where because of weather condition and other factors, even if the meter was outside, you couldn't get a 8 9 meter reading; is that correct? 10 А That's correct. Okay. And under those circumstances, would an 11 Q 12 estimate simply be used? 13 А Yes, sir. The Meter Reading Department, the company chose to estimate those bills. 14 15 Okay. Well, without an actual meter reading, Q 16 there wasn't much else they could do, was there? I'm not aware of that, sir. I don't know. 17 А Okay. Well, let me ask you this: Is it your 18 0 understanding with AMR that you won't need to go ahead and 19 20 use an estimate? MS. SCHRODER: Objection. This goes beyond the 21 22 scope of direct. 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled. You can answer. 24 I'm sorry. Could you repeat that once more? А (By Mr. Pendergast) Yes. Are you aware that 25 Q

with AMR you will not -- you will get an actual read 1 2 regardless of what the weather conditions are? 3 А I assume that would be correct, sir, yes. 4 0 Do you think it's a good thing to base bills on 5 actual readings? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Okay. And would you concede that there were times when you did meter readings that you read the meter 8 9 incorrectly? А I've made mistakes. Yes, sir. 10 Okay. And that would be true for anybody that 11 Q 12 tries to do something like that? Mistakes are going to be 13 made; is that correct? A Correct. 14 15 Okay. And when mistakes are made, that can lead Q 16 to an incorrect billing or a bill error; is that correct? Correct. 17 А Okay. And to the extent that those errors 18 0 aren't made anymore, that's one problem that we don't have 19 20 to worry about. Would that be correct? 21 А With the information that I do now in my job, 22 no, I can't answer that as being correct. 23 Q Okay. You say that you're now doing high bill 24 inspections? 25 A Yes, sir.

Okay. Didn't you do high bill inspections as a 1 0 meter reader in your former life? 2 3 А The majority of my work that was done was meter 4 reading. Sometimes Saturday overtime might have included 5 high bill routes. 6 Q Okay. So you did those before as well? 7 А Not very often. Out in St. Charles, it was more of -- we read meters out there. 8 9 Okay. Okay. Do you know what a dummy read is? 0 Yes, sir. 10 А Okay. What's a dummy read? 11 Q 12 A dummy read was initiated probably about 15 Α years ago. And, basically, it was to catch a meter 13 14 reading estimating a bill. Curbing, I think is the terminology they use now. 15 16 Okay. And the way that works is for every meter Q 17 that you're going to read, they give you a printout. And that has a -- the actual last reading on it and an 18 indication of what the range of expected readings should 19 20 be for each meter; is that correct? 21 А I believe it had a high and low index on it. 22 Right. And the dummy one is one where they, 0 23 like, add 5,000 CCF to it just to make sure that the meter 24 reader is not just relying on those particular printouts 25 but is actually going up to the meter and reading it and

1 getting a reading?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Okay. And you had a number of instances where 4 you were cited, were you not, for I think the terminology 5 is falling for dummy reads?

A I've fallen for dummy readings, sir, yes.
Q Okay. And what would that indicate when you
8 fall for a dummy read?

9 A Basically, the meter was read wrong. But on a 10 majority of the mistakes I had made, I felt I was trying 11 to do the extra effort, maybe trying to read a meter 12 through a bush. Some branches were blocking the way, and 13 I didn't get an accurate reading on it.

14 Q Okay. So it was a case of where you were more 15 than 5,000 CCFs off most instances because there was a 16 bush in the way or something?

17 A That has happened quite often, yes.

18 Q Okay. And a lot of them just happened to occur 19 on the ones that were dummy reads that had the extra 5,000 20 added on?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. And, of course, with AMR, there is no 23 need to rely on dummy reads anymore, is there?

A No, sir.

25 MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you. And questions from 1 the bench? Commissioner Clayton? 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: I just -- I wanted -- for a clarification --5 Q 6 А Yes, sir. 7 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Did we mark this as -did we mark this as an exhibit? 8 9 MR. FRANSON: Commissioner, if you want it 10 marked, we'll mark it. MS. SCHRODER: If we did that, you could take it 11 12 home with you. 13 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Yeah. That's good. 14 Q (By Commissioner Clayton) Are you trained to 15 use the aluminum 24? 16 А No. We'll talk about that later. I was confused in 17 Q your testimony. You started off talking about how you 18 were -- you are aware of leaks that occurred with AMRs in 19 20 the St. Charles area. 21 And then later on, you came back and said, well, 22 you had talked to some people and they had perhaps told 23 you back at the office or something like that. I wanted 24 some clarification. 25 Do you have personal knowledge of AMRs leaking

1 on -- on the routes on where you were working?

-	
2	A Yes. Let me further explain myself on that. We
3	normally, we would have a route, maybe 500 meters.
4	Obviously, if you run across the meter that's leaking, you
5	call in the leak and then you proceed on your way.
6	Q You carried the detection equipment?
7	A We carry the CDG. Yes.
8	Q See, everyone uses all these words these
9	acronyms, and it's sometimes hard to follow. Go ahead?
10	A And a lot of times, as we're coming either back
11	down the other side of the street, you would run into the
12	service man that was out there that responded to your leak
13	call.
14	And a lot of times, you could converse with him,
15	and he would tell you where the meter was leaking. Also,
16	like I had mentioned out in St. Charles, the SAID and the
17	meter readers work out of same office, and it was really
18	easy to say, I had a leak on such and such street, who got
19	it? And then you would converse about what kind of leak
20	it was, where it was coming from.
21	Q So what you're saying is you detect a leak, call
22	it in, and another worker would come out and
23	A Service man.
23 24	A Service man. Q service man would come out and make a

1 from that conversation you were hearing that it was perhaps coming from the AMR? 2 3 А That's correct. 4 Okay. So -- so you can't tell personally when 0 5 you get up close to the meter where the leak's coming 6 from. You just sense gas? 7 A On an outside meter, if you would get close enough, you could smell where a leak is coming from. You 8 9 could tell if it's from around the AMR, the dial face or 10 one of the unions or one of the seals at the top of the meter where they -- they tighten it down to connect it to 11 12 the header. Q Okay. So on -- on any given day walking through 13 14 St. Charles, how many leaks would you detect? All leaks, how many leaks would you detect if you're doing a 500 15 16 meter route? 17 А Maybe three to five a week. 18 Three to five a week. Now, can you tell me, out 0 of those three to five, how many had AMRs on them? 19 I would say the majority would have the AMRs 20 А 21 that -- that I had called in. 22 Okay. And -- and you don't know how many of 0 23 those three to five would -- whether the leak was determined to be caused by the AMR? 24 25 A No, I do not.

Q You don't know that. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Okay. Thank you very 3 much? 4 MR. STEWART: Thank you. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Any recross based 5 6 on questions from the Bench? Public Counsel? 7 RECROSS EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. POSTON: 9 Q Before the AMRs were installed, how many would you find on a 500 meter route? 10 11 A Out in St. Charles, on an all outside route, you may run across three to five a month. 12 13 Q So then that number didn't change when AMR got installed? 14 15 A No. It turned to about three to five a week versus three to five a month. 16 MR. POSTON: Oh, sorry. Thank you. That's all. 17 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff? 18 MR. FRANSON: No further questions, your Honor. 19 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Laclede? 20 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. 21 22 RECROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. PENDERGAST: 24 Q When -- the little leak detector --25 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Mr. Pendergast, you need to use 1 the microphone.

25

2 MR. PENDERGAST: Oh, I'm sorry. (By Mr. Pendergast) The little leak detection 3 0 4 device that you carry, when did you start carrying that, 5 meter readers? 6 A I'd say probably about two and a half three 7 years ago. 8 Two and a half three years ago. Okay. So for Q 9 most of your 26 years, you never had the assistance of that device to determine whether or not there might be a 10 leak around the meter; is that correct? 11 12 That is correct. We had to smell the leaks. А 13 Okay. And when you would detect a leak around a Q 14 meter that had AMR on it, did you do the soap thing? No. We don't carry that type of equipment with 15 А 16 us. Okay. So you really couldn't identify the 17 Q 18 specific place that the leak was coming from, could you? It -- in the general area where I would smell 19 А where the gas was coming from. Like I said before, a lot 20 21 of the leaks I had confirmed as being AMR leaks came from 22 the service men who actually came out and -- and found the leaks with their sniffers. 23 24 Q Oh, okay. So you can with a sniffer determine exactly where the leak is coming from?

1 А In the general area, yes, sir. 2 The general area. How big is the general area? Q 3 А I would say probably maybe an inch, the 4 difference from around where the meter is. 5 0 Okay. So you really don't need this solution, 6 then, that people use? 7 А Like I said, we don't carry that equipment with 8 us. 9 But -- but if you can detect it with this other 0 device, why do you even need the solution? 10 MS. SCHRODER: Objection. Lack of foundation. 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Overruled. You can answer if 13 you can. We have never been trained to use the bubbles or 14 А the -- the leak liquid, so I really have no comment. I 15 16 mean, I have no knowledge of that. (By Mr. Pendergast) Okay. Well, from the 17 Q 18 standpoint of your personal detection, if you will, of leaks on meters that are -- had AMR devices on it, you 19 20 didn't use the detecting device with the wand, right? 21 А Correct. 22 Okay. And you didn't use any solution to put it Q 23 on; is that correct? Correct. 24 А 25 Q Your identification came through your nose?

1 А Correct. Okay. And from your standpoint, is the nose a 2 0 3 pretty good instrument for detecting leaks? 4 А Yes, it is. 5 MR. PENDERGAST: Great. Thank you. JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Redirect? 6 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHRODER: 8 9 Mr. Stewart, Mr. Pendergast asked you about 0 whether you had ever had -- ever known a leak that you'd 10 found to injure someone or cause damage to property. But 11 what do you do when you find a leak? 12 13 A We call those leaks in so they can be taken care of. 14 Okay. And do you know how quickly those are 15 Q 16 taken care of? 17 А It's a guaranteed 90 minutes is when the service 18 man is supposed to arrive. Okay. So once it's taken care of, do you expect 19 0 there to be any injury to property or person? 20 21 А No, I would not. 22 Mr. Pendergast also asked you about meter 0 23 reading mistakes, human mistakes and whether it was a 24 wonderful thing, basically, that AMR has corrected that. 25 And you seemed to have some difficulty answering the

second part of that questions. Why do you have difficulty 1 answering the second part of that question? 2 3 А Recently --4 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, I'm going to object 5 on grounds that it's -- that it's leading. She's telling 6 the witness that he had difficulty answering it. I didn't 7 sense any difficulty on his part at all. 8 MS. SCHRODER: He said he couldn't answer it or 9 he said he couldn't agree with that. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 10 I'm sorry. Could you re --11 А 12 (By Mr. Pendergast) Certainly. Mr. Stewart, do Q you have any experience that would suggest that human 13 14 meter readers are not the only people who make -- or the only meter readers who make mistakes? Does that make 15 16 sense to you? 17 Α No. All right. Mr. Pendergast asked you about the 18 0 replacement of human meter readers with Cellnet AMR meter 19 20 readers. And he asked whether human meter readers made 21 mistakes, and you said they did. Do you recall that? 22 А Correct. 23 All right. Do Cellnet AMR meter readers -- the Q meter reading device, does that also make mistakes? 24 The AMR itself? Yes. I've run across several 25 А

1 of the AMR meters on these appointment routes to where the meter is different than what the Cellnet device had read. 2 3 0 And from your personal experience when you've 4 made a meter reading mistake, is that -- do you get the 5 feedback that you make a mistake? Does somebody tell you? 6 А Yes, we do. We used to get error sheets, and it 7 would basically point any errors that we would make. Most of the errors we make were still billable readings, but 8 9 possibly they weren't verified reads. 10 0 All right. When you get an error sheet, what would -- would that be for a month-long period? 11 12 Both. We would get them periodically that А reflect daily errors if we would make a -- you know, if we 13 14 would receive an error sheet, sometimes it would be for a certain cycle date. 15 16 Q All right. 17 For a while, they gave us errors that was like А over a six month period of time. 18 19 0 Okay. What was the average range of error that you made in terms of therms or -- I heard you -- I heard 20 21 Mr. Pendergast, I guess, talk about CCFs, and I'm not 22 really sure what a CCF is. But what was the range of the 23 errors you were making? 24 The last time that an error sheet was brought to А 25 me, I think it was over a six-month period of time. If

1 I'm not mistaken, I'm not a hundred percent on numbers, but I'd had 71 errors. And at that time, I had figured I 2 3 had read over 129,000 meters. 4 Q But I guess I'm asking about the range of -- of 5 the actual error. Was it one therm? Was it a thousand 6 therms? Was it 5,000 therms? Do you understand what I'm 7 asking? 8 Yes. Normally, it was -- a lot of verified А 9 reads were small, maybe increments of five or ten cubic foot. Dummy readings would generate a 5,000 dollar -- or 10 5,000 cubic foot difference. 11 12 But when those were -- they were never billed at that. They were brought to the attention of the billing 13 14 department, and they were corrected. Okay. Is the cubic foot the CCF? 15 Q 16 Yes. А 17 Thank you. Cleared that one up for me. In your Q experience doing these high bill complaints on the AMR 18 19 meters, what had been the range of the -- the average range of -- of mistakes that the -- a Cellnet AMR is 20 21 making in CCFs? 22 I've been out on certain meters that generated a А 23 \$4,000 gas bill. 24 Q But I don't understand what that means in terms 25 of CCFs.

Maybe a thousand to 1500 cubic foot off of what 1 А the customer is being charged. 2 3 0 All right. And these dummy reads that 4 Mr. Pendergast asked you about, have you had a lot of 5 those? Would you still -- would you still have a job as a 6 meter reader? 7 A No. As a matter of fact, it would be to a point where if you would fall for so many dummies, you would get 8 9 wrote up and then laid off. Q All right. And that hasn't happened to you, has 10 11 it? 12 A I've never been laid off. 13 MS. SCHRODER: No further questions. 14 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And, Mr. Stewart, can you step down. We have one more witness. 15 16 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. I'd like to call Steve 17 White today. 18 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Okay. 19 STEVE WHITE, 20 being first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole 21 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. SCHRODER: 24 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You may be seated. 25 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Mr. White, did you give

testimony -- written testimony in this matter, and is that 1 2 written testimony presented as Exhibits 19-HC and 19-NP? 3 А Yes. Yes. 4 Q All right. Do you have any corrections to your 5 testimony? 6 А I don't think so. No. 7 Q All right. If I asked you the same questions 8 today that we asked then, would your answers be the same? 9 Α Yes. And are those answers true and accurate to the 10 0 best of your information, belief and knowledge? 11 12 А Yes. 13 MS. SCHRODER: The union moves for the admission 14 of Exhibit 19-HC and 19-NP. JUDGE WOODRUFF: 19-HC and NP have been offered 15 16 into evidence. Are there any objections to its receipt? Hearing none, it will be received into evidence. 17 (Exhibit Nos. 19-HC and 19-NP were admitted into 18 19 evidence.) JUDGE WOODRUFF: For cross-examination, 20 beginning with Public Counsel? 21 22 MR. POSTON: No, thank you. 23 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Staff? 24 MR. FRANSON: No questions, your Honor. JUDGE WOODRUFF: Laclede? 25

1 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, your Honor. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZUCKER: 3 4 Q Good evening, Mr. White. 5 А Good evening. 6 Q I'm Rick Zucker. I am an attorney for Laclede. 7 How are you? 8 А I'm just fine. 9 0 Did you hear Mr. Stewart just testify about whether he had been -- he was asked whether he had been 10 written up or laid off for a dummy -- for falling for 11 dummy reads --12 13 A Yes. 14 -- and he said he had never been laid off? Q A That's what he said. 15 16 Q Have you -- have you ever been laid off for falling for dummy reads? 17 18 А No. 19 Have you ever been written up for falling for 0 dummy reads? 20 21 А No. 22 Okay. Has -- has that ever been brought to your Q 23 attention? 24 A Yes. I am aware of it. People have been laid off for that. 25

No. Has it ever been brought to your 1 Q 2 attention --3 А Oh, for falling for a dummy? 4 0 Yes. 5 А I'm sure it has, but I can't remember when. If 6 it has, it's been a long time. 7 Q Okay. And you have been there for 28 years? 8 Twenty-eight years, yes. Α 9 Okay. In your time reading meters, have you 0 ever seen what we call a DR meter? 10 Is that a Dead Read meter? 11 А Well, DR stands for Doesn't Register? 12 Q 13 Doesn't Register. Yes. А Would you know that when you see it, or do you 14 Q just read the -- the dials? 15 16 Well, at work I wouldn't know it, no. Α 17 Q Okay. I would not. Not at -- I'd read the meter, and 18 Α I'd be on my way. 19 20 So you know it from your home? Q That's correct. 21 А And do you know how Laclede bills situations 22 0 23 where a meter is what we call DR? 24 Α Well, they would have to estimate it. Okay. Good. You're not specifically familiar 25 0

with it? 1 2 А No. 3 0 But that would make sense? 4 А Yes. 5 0 Okay. Do you know the difference between a stuck meter and a DR meter? 6 7 А No. 8 Okay. And so you don't know if your meter was Q 9 stuck or DR? 10 I know what the Cell -- Cellnet man told me when А he came out. He said it did not register. 11 12 Q Okay. 13 It was not working. That's what he told me. А Okay. Well, let me define my terms for you. 14 Q When a meter is stuck, that means the meter itself isn't 15 16 working. It isn't processing gas through it. If the meter is DR, that means the index isn't 17 registering the usage, but the meter is still working. 18 I would say it was DR, then. 19 А 20 You would say it was DR. And that was because Q you were -- continued to get natural gas service? 21 That's correct. Yes. 22 Α 23 Okay. And so you had gas service -- and this Q 24 was in July and August --25 А Yes.

-- I think was your testimony --1 Q 2 Yes. А 3 0 -- of this year? 4 А In July and August. 5 0 Okay. And --MS. SCHRODER: Just a second, Rick. Steve, 6 7 would you please let him finish his questions before you 8 answer? 9 MR. WHITE: Yes. 10 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. MR. ZUCKER: I thought you were doing pretty 11 12 good. 13 MS. SCHRODER: It started to rush out. (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. So I believe in your 14 Q 15 testimony you received a bill of some usage even though 16 when you read the meter, the -- the index wasn't registering usage? 17 18 А Yes. 19 Okay. And you -- and your bill was estimated, 0 then? Or no. I'm sorry. It wasn't estimated. It was 20 based on actual usage from the Cellnet information? 21 Yes. That's true. 22 Α 23 Okay. And did that usage seem reasonable to you Q 24 based on the time of year? A Yes, it did. 25

1 0 When Laclede has a DR meter, you've testified correctly that Laclede has to then estimate the bill, 2 3 correct? 4 А Yes. 5 0 Okay. And the estimation would be based on 6 Laclede's best estimate at the -- at what the customer 7 would be using, correct, Would have used --8 I believe that's the way it's supposed to work, А 9 yes. -- would have used over the period when the 10 0 meter was not registering? 11 12 А Yes. 13 Okay. And without a reading on the index where Q 14 the index isn't working, there's no other way to tell how much usage the customer actually had. Would you agree 15 with that? 16 17 А Yes. Okay. But in the situation where there's a 18 0 Cellnet module on there and there is information being 19 20 sent through the Cellnet system that Laclede gets, that 21 Laclede receives, your bill was actually based on the --22 the usage registered by the Cellnet module; isn't that 23 correct? 24 А That's what -- what I came to find out. Yes. Right. Even though the index wasn't working? 25 Q

1 A That's correct. 2 Q And so in -- in this situation now where the index isn't working, Laclede is still able to bill you 3 4 based on actual usage? 5 А That's what they're saying. Yes. 6 Q Okay. And -- and are you still employed at 7 Laclede now? 8 А Yes. 9 0 And would you -- it says here in your testimony that your job is meter reader. Is that still your job? 10 A Yes, it is. 11 12 Q Okay. Are you doing corrosion inspections, 13 also? A Yes, I do. 14 15 Q So are you on the new corrosion inspection team? A I -- yes. 16 And as far as you know, your job will continue 17 Q 18 permanently? A As far as I know. 19 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Thank you. No further 20 21 questions. 22 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Thank you. 23 Commissioner Clayton, do you have any questions? 24 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: No. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I have no questions, so no need 25

1 for recross. Any redirect? 2 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. Just a little. Do you want 3 me to do it from here? 4 JUDGE WOODRUFF: You can do it from there if you 5 like. MS. SCHRODER: All right. 6 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHRODER: 8 9 Q Mr. White, was your meter ever DR before AMR, to your knowledge? 10 11 A No, it wasn't. 12 Q All right. Do you do your -- I mean, do you 13 check it every month? A Yeah. I usually do. When I'm down there, I'll 14 take a look at it. 15 16 Q Okay. If something had happened and -- during those months that your meter was -- is your meter still 17 DR? 18 A No. It's working now. 19 20 Okay. If something had happened during those Q 21 months when your meter was DR -- I'm sorry. If something 22 had caused there to be unusual gas usage one direction or 23 the other, either unusually little or unusually high, 24 during those months that your meter was DR, would you 25 expect that any estimate that Laclede made of your bill

1 would have been accurate? Does that make sense?

2 Say that one more time, please. А 3 0 All right. If you had an unusual situation --4 let me just break it up and give you two different 5 situations. During the months that you had the DR meter 6 -- I mean, Mr. Zucker asked you about the fact that you 7 would have expected Laclede to estimate; is that correct? 8 Correct. Α 9 All right. During that time, if -- if you had 0 had ten extra people living with you in your house, would 10 you have expected that to affect your gas usage? 11 12 А Yes. 13 And then would Laclede's estimate have been 0 accurate or reasonable? 14 15 Well, according to them, they're saying that А 16 they can read it without even being able to read the 17 index, the dials. So if I'm going to use more gas, they're saying that they can -- you know, they know this. 18 19 I --20 0 Okay. 21 А I don't know. 22 Would you be able to verify that if your actual 0 23 meter reads DR? 24 А No. And why is that? What does a DR mean or do? 25 0

1 А Well, like you said, the meter -- it's dead. It's not registering. So if it's not moving, I can't read 2 3 it to determine how much gas I'm using. 4 Q All right. All right. And if, say, you had 5 been gone for both of those two months, gone from home, 6 your whole family for the two months that your meter was 7 DR and you'd gotten these bills from -- from Laclede, would you have had any means, as a customer of verifying 8 9 what they're telling you? 10 А No. Does that fact bother you? 11 Q 12 Yes. А Why? 13 Q 14 Well, I think we all want to know how much gas А we're using, electric or whatever the utility is. You 15 16 want to be able to -- to be able to read the meter, this is how much I used, not just an estimate. 17 18 Okay. And -- and do you have any reason to 0 believe that the Cellnet AMR devices might not be reading 19 accurately? 20 21 А Well, based on mine, yes. 22 Was your estimate -- I mean, was your Cellnet 0 23 read inaccurate? 24 Well, I don't know. I couldn't read the meter. А 25 I just -- it wasn't so high a bill that they sent me that

1 I could scream about, no. So --

2 Q Okay. Then I'm not sure you understood my 3 question. Do you have any reason to believe that the 4 Cellnet AMR read, the one that you got, wasn't accurate or 5 that -- that any Cellnet AMR read might not be accurate? 6 Α Yes. 7 MR. ZUCKER: This was asked and answered. 8 MS. SCHRODER: Actually, no, I don't think it 9 was. JUDGE WOODRUFF: I'll overrule the objection. 10 I would say yes, I would be concerned because I 11 А cannot read my meter if that -- if it's a DR, if that's 12 13 thing is stuck. 14 Q (By Ms. Schroder) Okay. If I didn't go down there for eight months and 15 А 16 didn't take a look at that, it could have been DR -- DR'd 17 for eight months. Q Okay. I'm sorry. I don't think you understood 18 19 my question. Do you have any reason to believe that the 20 Cellnet AMR devices are not accurately reading bills, 21 meters? 22 А Well, yes. From my experience reading meters, I 23 do run across AMR meters that are not accurate. They're -- the bill is wrong. 24 25 Q All right. And has that happened rarely or

1 frequently or somewhere in between?

2 A Somewhere in between.

MS. SCHRODER: All right. No further questions.
JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Then, Mr. White,
you can step down. And I believe that's all the witnesses
that are here today; is that right?

7 MS. SCHRODER: Yes. We stopped for everybody8 else.

9 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Were the other witnesses going 10 to be on the telephone, or you just called and told them 11 not to be here?

MS. SCHRODER: Actually, I would have to look at our list to know that.

14 MR. ZUCKER: Either way, we'd have to come back. 15 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Yeah. It doesn't really 16 matter. Just curiosity. We do have the six witnesses 17 that we talked about yesterday letting their remarks in 18 without cross-examination. We might as well just go ahead 19 and do that now.

20 MS. SCHRODER: Certainly. Oh, so I need to go 21 ahead and --

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Let's go ahead and mark their exhibits. That was George and Kathy Waites, Tim Daley Toby Kepner, Linda Tierney and Grace Forbes.

25 MR. FRANSON: Which one are we going to do

1 first?

JUDGE WOODRUFF: Pardon me? We can go off the 2 3 record while she's marking these. 4 (Break in proceedings.) 5 JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. Let's go back on 6 the record again now that we've marked these documents. 7 We've got George Waites as 20-HC and NP, Kathy Waites as 21-HC and NP, Tim Daley as 22-HC and NP, Toby Kepner as 8 9 23-HC and NP. 24 is Linda Tierney, HC and NP. 25-HC and NP is Grace Forbes. Is that right? 10 MS. SCHRODER: That's correct. 11 12 JUDGE WOODRUFF: And I believe yesterday all the parties agreed that these witnesses -- they would be --13 14 their testimony would -- could be admitted and they would waive cross-examination along the lines that were 15 discussed yesterday, and I won't try and repeat them 16 17 today. It's far too late for that. So does anyone object to receiving these documents, 19 through 25? 18 MR. PENDERGAST: No objection. 19 20 MR. FRANSON: No objection. 21 JUDGE WOODRUFF: No objection. They will be 22 received into evidence. 23 (Exhibit Nos. 19-HP, 19-NP, 20-HC, 20-NP, 21-HC, 21-NP, 22-HC, 22-NP, 23-HC, 23-NP, 24-HC, 24-NP, 25-HC and 24 25 25-NP were admitted into evidence.)

JUDGE WOODRUFF: All right. And then the only
 other matter is to determine when we're going to come back
 to finish this hearing.

While we were off the record, Counsel for Staff indicated that he would not like to do the 5th of January for a very good reason that he is doing the MGE rate case the following week. So I'm going to leave it up to the parties to try and come up with a date.

9 Just get together amongst yourselves and have access at least to -- I know Staff has access to the 10 11 Commission's calendar upstairs as well as there's a 12 calendar on the -- on Outlook that you can access. File 13 something by Friday of this week recommending a date, and I'll check and make sure it works out with the Commission. 14 15 MR. PENDERGAST: Great. Thank you. 16 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Anything else, then, while we're on the record? All right. With that, then, we're 17 off the record. We're adjourned. 18 19 MS. SCHRODER: Thank you. 20 JUDGE WOODRUFF: Thank you.

21

22

23

24

25

1	I N D E X	
2	WITNESS: PAT WHITE	PAGE
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	330
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Franson	333
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	352
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	400
7 8	WITNESS: CLAIRE DONNELLY	PAGE
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	444
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Franson	446
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	448
12	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	452
13	WITNESS: MARY HALL	PAGE
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	455
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Franson	464
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	466
17	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	474
18	Recross Examination by Mr. Zucker	482
19	WITNESS: DEAN CARLTON	PAGE
20	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	485
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Franson	488
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	497
23	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	506
24	Active Examination by MS. Schloder	500

1	I N D E X (CONTINUED)	
2	WITNESS: MARK BOYLE	PAGE
3		
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	519
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	521
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	548
7	Cross-Examination by Commissioner Gaw	561
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	583
9	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	589
10	WITNESS: EVERET MINTON	PAGE
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	593
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Franson	596
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	613
14	Cross-Examination by Commissioner Gaw	620
15	Recross Examination by Mr. Franson	625
16	Recross Examination by Mr. Pendergast	628
17	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	630
18		DACE
19	WITNESS: DON VIERLING	PAGE
20	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	636
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Poston	638
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Franson	638
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	640
24	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	643

1	I N D E X (CONTINUED)	
2	WITNESS: KEVIN STEWART	PAGE
3		
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	645
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Franson	647
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	650
7	Cross-Examination by Commissioner Clayton	656
	Recross Examination by Mr. Poston	660
8	Recross Examination by Mr. Pendergast	660
9 10	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	663
11	WITNESS: STEVE WHITE	PAGE
12	Direct Examination by Ms. Schroder	667
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	668
14	Redirect Examination by Ms. Schroder	675
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	EXHIBITS			
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
3 4	5-NP	Testimony of Pat White	331	332
5	5-нс	Testimony of Pat White	331	332
6 7	6-HC	Surrebuttal Testimony of Pat White	7 333	333
8	6-NP	Surrebuttal Testimony of Pat White	<u>7</u> 333	333
9 10	8	Article	337	338
10	9	Laclede Gas Technical Update	L 517	518
12	10-HC	Documents with address of Drilled through Me		436
13 14	11-HC	Testimony of Claire Donnelly	446	446
15	11-NP	Testimony of Claire Donnelly	446	446
16 17	12-HC	Testimony of Mary Hall	459	459
18	12-NP	Testimony of Mary Hall	459	459
19 20	13-HC	Testimony of Dean Carlton	486	486
21	13-NP	Testimony of Dean Carlton	486	486
22				
23				
24				

1		EXHIBITS (C	ONTINUED)	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
3	14-HC	Supplemental Testimony of	487	487
4		Dean Carlton		
5	14-NP	Supplemental Testimony of	487	487
6		Dean Carlton		
7	15-HC	Testimony of Mark Boyle	521	521
8	15-NP	Testimony of	521	521
9		Mark Boyle		
10	16-HC	Testimony of Everet Minton	594	595
11	16-NP	Testimony of	594	595
12		Everet Minton		
13	17-HC	Testimony of Don Vierling	637	638
14	17-NP	Testimony of	637	638
15	1, 11	Don Vierling		
16	18-HC	Testimony of Kevin Stewart	646	646
17	18-NP	Testimony of	646	646
18	IO-NF	Kevin Stewart	040	040
19	19-HC	Testimony of Steve White	668	668
20	19-NP	Testimony of	668	668
21	I J INI	Steve White	000	000
22	20-HC	Testimony of George Waites	680	680
23	20-NP	Testimony of	680	680
24		George Waites	000	000

1		EXHIBITS	(CONTINUED)	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED .	ADMITTED
3	21-HC	Testimony of	680	680
4	21 110	Kathy Waites	000	000
5	21-NP	Testimony of Kathy Waites	680	680
6	22-нс	Testimony of	680	680
7		Timothy Daley		
8	22-NP	Testimony of Timothy Daley	680	680
9	23-нс	Testimony of	680	680
10		J. Tobias Kepner		
11	23-NP	Testimony of J. Tobias Kepner	680	680
12	24-HC	Testimony of	680	680
13		Linda Tierney		
14	24-NP	Testimony of Linda Tierney	680	680
15	25-нс	Testimony of	680	680
16		Grace Forbes		
17	25-NP	Testimony of Grace Forbes	680	680
18	(Exhibits were	e retained by the	Public Service	Commission.)
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				