FILED December 28, 2017 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Red Tag Repair, Heating Only For Low Income, Insulation Financing Program, EnergyWise Dealer Program Curtis B. Gateley MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216 October 20, 2017

Date Testimony Prepared:

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

ENERGY RESOURCES

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CURTIS B. GATELEY Exhibit No.235 Datel Stell Reporter AS File No Roby SCRADOR

SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., d/b/a SPIRE

LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE

CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 AND GR-2017-0216

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2017

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4 5	CURTIS B. GATELEY
5 6	SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., d/b/a SPIRE
7 8	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE
9	CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 AND GR-2017-0216
10	RED TAG REPAIR, HEATING ONLY FOR LOW INCOME2
11	INSULATION FINANCING PROGRAM2
12	ENERGYWISE DEALER PROGRAM
13	

١

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	CURTIS B. GATELEY		
4 5	SPIRE MISSOURI, INC., d/b/a SPIRE		
6 7	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY and MISSOURI GAS ENERGY GENERAL RATE CASE		
8	CASE NOS. GR-2017-0215 AND GR-2017-0216		
9	Q. Please state your name and business address.		
10	A. Curtis B. Gateley, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102		
11	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
12	A. I am a Utility Policy Analyst II. I work in both the Water and Sewer		
13	Department and in the Energy Resources Department, both of which are in the		
14	Commission Staff Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission.		
15	Q. Are you the same Curtis B. Gateley that contributed to Staff's Class Cost of		
16	Service Report filed in this proceeding?		
17	A. Yes, I am.		
18	Q. Please describe your work and educational background.		
19	A. A copy of my work and educational experience was provided in Appendix 1 of		
20	Staff's Class Cost of Service Report.		
21	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?		
22	A. The purpose of my testimony is to rebut portions of the direct testimony of		
23	Scott A. Weitzel addressing the Red Tag Repair Program. I will also discuss changes to the		
24	EnergyWise Dealer Program and the Insulation Financing Program proposed by LAC and		
25	MGE in their proposed tariffs, but not supported by testimony filed in this case.		

х I

Rebuttal Testimony of Curtis B. Gateley

Q.

Q.

1

RED TAG REPAIR, HEATING ONLY FOR LOW INCOME

2

Are LAC and MGE proposing changes to this program?

3 On page 7 of his testimony, LAC and MGE witness Weitzel proposes that the A. 4 maximum amount of assistance to a single customer be increased from \$450 to \$1,000. A 5 maximum of \$700 for work on a furnace, and a maximum of \$450 would be available to help 6 pay for repair or replacement of other appliances, piping, and venting. Mr. Weitzel also 7 proposes specifying that the assistance is available to renters upon approval of the landlord.

8

Does Staff support these changes?

9 Α. Yes. Given the cost of these expenses, additional assistance is appropriate. 10 However, Staff proposes the condition that the Companies require additional reporting from 11 the Community Action Agencies ("CAAs") administering the assistance. LAC and MGE 12 should require the CAAs to report on the effectiveness of this funding level, and on the 13 number of customers that qualified for the program, but were unable to complete repairs or replacement. If customers otherwise qualify, but could not come up with the remaining 14 necessary funds even after all available assistance was considered, then additional 15 16 adjustments should be considered in subsequent rate cases.

17

INSULATION FINANCING PROGRAM

18

What is the Insulation Financing Program? Q.

19

The Insulation Financing Program is a loan program from the utility to finance Α. installation of insulation, duct sealing, attic ventilation, caulking and weatherstripping, storm 20 21 doors and storm windows. This program is currently available in the former LAC service 22 territory, has existed since 1994, and has been in its current form since August 8, 2002.

Rebuttal Testimony of Curtis B. Gateley

,

,

1	Q.	What changes were made in LAC's and MGE's proposed tariffs filed in these	
2	cases?		
3	А.	The Companies have proposed to: 1) expand the program to include the former	
4	MGE territory	y; 2) increase loan amounts from \$2000 to \$5,000 per dwelling unit; and	
5	3) increase the	e maximum amount of outstanding loans at any one time from \$2,000,000 to	
6	\$4,000,000.		
7	Q.	Have LAC and/or MGE witnesses provided any testimony to support these	
8	changes?		
9	А.	No. I have reviewed the direct testimony filed in both cases, and have not	
10	found any supporting these changes.		
11	Q.	What is Staff's position on these proposed changes?	
12	А.	Without justification for these changes, Staff cannot support the increase in	
13	loan amounts	or the increase in maximum outstanding loans. However, Staff does not oppose	
14	expanding the	program such that it is offered in both the former MGE and LAC territories.	
1.0			
15	ENERGYWIS	<u>E DEALER PROGRAM</u>	
16	Q.	Can you please describe this program?	
17	А.	This program provides on-bill financing for purchase of high efficiency	
18	appliances, he	eating and air conditioning systems. The purchase must be made through	
19	contractors aut	thorized by the Company, although this authorization process is not defined in	
20	the tariff or tes	stimony. Customers must be "credit-qualified," although this is also not defined	
21	in the tariff o	r testimony. The maximum amount to be financed for a single customer is	
22	\$10,000, and t	he loan term is for no more than five years. The financing is limited to current	
23	and future cust	tomers who own their building, and is available only in the LAC service area.	

Rebuttal Testimony of Curtis B. Gateley

۲

•

1	Q. What changes have been made in the proposed tariffs filed in these cases?					
2	А.	The proposed tariffs filed in these cases provide additional detail on what				
3	appliances would qualify, increase the maximum loan amount to \$15,000, and increase the					
4	loan term to no greater than seven years.					
5	Q.	Have LAC and/or MGE witnesses provided any testimony to support these				
6	changes?					
7	А.	No. I have reviewed the direct testimony filed in both cases, and have not				
8	found any witness testimony supporting these changes.					
9	Q.	What is Staff's position on these proposed changes?				
10	А.	Staff does not oppose the proposed additional detail on the qualifying				
11	appliances. However, without information to support the changes in loan amounts and length					
12	of the loan, S	taff cannot support these proposed changes.				
13	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?				
14	А.	Yes.				

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service)))	Case No. GR-2017-0215
In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service)))	Case No. GR-2017-0216

SS.

AFFIDAVIT OF CURT B. GATELEY

STATE OF MISSOURI }) COUNTY OF COLE)

COMES NOW CURT B. GATELEY and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

CURT B. GATELEY

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ______ day of October, 2017.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 12, 2020 Commission Number: 12412070

Mankin Notary Public

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service))	Case No. GR-2017-0215
In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company)	
d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy's Request to)	Case No. GR-2017-0216
Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service)	

AFFIDAVIT OF CURT B. GATELEY

STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

SS.

COMES NOW CURT B. GATELEY and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

10

CURT B. GATELEY

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this $/9 H_{\pm}$ day of October, 2017.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 12, 2020 Commission Number: 12412070

isullankin

Notary Public