Exhibit No.:

Issue: Revenue - Customer Growth

Witness: Robin Kliethermes

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: True-up Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2014-0370

Date Testimony Prepared: July 7, 2015

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ROBIN KLIETHERMES

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2014-0370

Jefferson City, Missouri July 7, 2015

Staff Exhibit No. 253

Date 7/20/15 Reporter Jenni
File No. ER-2014-0370

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Por Light Company's Request for Author Implement a General Rate Increa Electric Service	ority to)	Case No. ER-2014-0370
AFFIDAVIT	OF ROBIN	KLIETHERMES
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)		
	ibuted to the	on her oath declares that she is of sound attached True-up Direct Testimony; and best knowledge and belief.
Further the Affiant sayeth no	t.	Robin Kliethermes
Subscribed and sworn to before me t	his <u>7</u> th da	y of July, 2015.
LAURA DISTLER Notary Public, Notary Seal State of Missouri Cole County Commission # 15203914 My Commission Expires June 21, 2010		Notary Public

1	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	ROBIN KLIETHERMES
4	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT
- 5	CASE NO. ER-2014-0370
6	, Q. Please state your name and business address.
7	A. Robin Kliethermes, 200 Madison Street, Governor Office Building, Jefferson
8	City, Missouri.
9	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	A. I am a Regulatory Economist II with the Missouri Public Service Commission
11	("Commission").
12	Q. Are you the same Robin Kliethermes who has previously filed surrebutta
13	testimony and rebuttal testimony and filed testimony as part of Staff's Revenue Requiremen
14	Cost of Service Report and Staff's Rate Design and Class Cost of Service Report in this case?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What is the purpose of your true-up direct testimony?
17	A. The purpose of my true-up direct testimony is to address the impact of the
18	customer growth adjustment on Kansas City Power & Light's ("KCPL") true-up rate revenues
19	through the true-up cut-off date of May 31, 2015.
20	Rate revenues are updated through the true-up period in order to "match" all revenues
21	and costs. The matching principle keeps revenues, expenses and rate base in a proper
22	relationship for a set period of time. Employing a true-up period helps implement the

3

CUSTOMER GROWTH ADJUSTMENT TO RATE REVENUES

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

matching principle by providing the Commission a common basis for considering utility revenues and expenses over an annual period.

Q. What adjustments are made to rate revenues to reflect the true-up period ending May 31, 2015?

A. For true-up, Staff updates normalized and annualized rate revenues to reflect known and measurable changes in the number of customers between the end of the update period, December 31, 2014, and the end of the true-up period, May 31, 2015.

Q. How does the ending number of customers by class for the end of the update period compare to the ending number of customers at the end of the true-up period?

A. Table 1, below, shows the change in the number of customers from December 31, 2014, to May 31, 2015:

Table 1: Number of Customers

			Difference From Dec.				
Cla	Class		2014 to May, 2015				
	_	1.0					

Large General Service (31)Medium General Service (8)Small General Service 16 Residential 1,768

Table 1 shows that the Large General Service class ("LGS") had a decrease of 31¹

customers; the Medium General Service class ("MGS") had a decrease of 8 customers; while

the Small General Service class ("SGS") and the Residential class experienced an increase in

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

the number of customers. How does the ending number of customers by class for the true-up cut off of Q.

May, 2015 compare to customer numbers by class for April, 2015?

¹ Customer numbers are based on KCPL response to Staff data request 330.

2

A. Table 2, below shows the change in the number of customers from April, 2015 through May, 2015.

Table 2: Number of Customers

Difference From April,

Class	2015 to May, 2015	
Large General Service		(17)
Medium General Service		(36)
Small General Service		(37)
Residential		(849)

3

4

5

Between April, 2015 and May, 2015, every customer class experienced a decrease in the number of customers. Schedule RK-1, attached, provides a summary of the number of customers per month from December, 2014 to May, 2015.

6 7

Q. Did this large fluctuation in customer totals cause a concern to Staff?

8

A. Yes.²

A.

9

Q. Why did this large fluctuation in customer totals cause a concern to Staff?

The fluctuation in the number of customers between December, 2014 and May,

10 11

2015 were specifically a concern to Staff for the LGS rate class. The LGS class has had

12

approximately 1,000 customers since April, 2013 but drops slightly below 1,000 customers in

13

March, 2015 and continues to decrease to 980 customers in May, 2015.

14 15

classes have a greater number of customers and tend to fluctuate from month to month.

The SGS and Residential rate classes were not as much of a concern because these

16

Although the MGS rate class had a large fluctuation in the number of customers between

17

April, 2015 and May, 2015, the class's overall change in the number of customers between

18

December, 2014 and May, 2015 was small.

² Staff sent a supplemental data request (DR. 330.1) regarding Staff's concern in the fluctuation of customer numbers.

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Did 17 LGS customers cease receiving service from KCPL in May of 2015 for a total of 31 LGS customers lost since December, 2014?

A. No. In KCPL's response to Staff data request 330.1, KCPL stated, "The customer numbers decreased from April 2015 to May 2015 due to customers responding to rate analysis letter they received and opting to change to a more favorable rate..." Staff interprets this statement to imply that not all of the 31 customers ceased receiving service from KCPL, but rather switched rate classes and have not yet received a bill on their new rate schedule;³ therefore, the customers were not included in the customer counts for May, 2015.

Q. If those customers have not ceased to receive service from KCPL, is it appropriate to reduce KCPL's revenues as though those customers have ceased receiving service from KCPL?

A. No.

Q. How have you accounted for rate switching customers who have left one class in May of 2015, but have not yet been billed in the new class as of May, 2015?

A. As a preliminary adjustment, in order to avoid decreasing revenues based on a number of customers that reflects a loss of customers who have not actually left the system, Staff's true-up growth adjustment annualizes the ending customer numbers as if the customers who switched rates did not switch, and were still served on their original rate schedules in May, 2015. 4

³ Depending on when the customer exactly switched rate classes it could affect their billing cycle. For example, if the customer switched in the middle of a bill cycle they may receive a partial bill accounting for their usage that occurred on the old rate schedule and it may be an entire month or length of a new bill cycle before they receive a bill on their new rate schedule.

⁴ Staff received KCPL's response to data request 330.1 on July 1, 2015, and Staff is still analyzing each individual customer that switched rate schedules in May, 2015. Upon analysis of these customers, Staff will update its growth adjustment if necessary. Based on Staff's limited review, thus far, of the data provided to Staff on July, 1st, Staff's preliminary adjustment is more generous to KCPL than it is likely that Staff's final adjustment will be.

True-up Direct Testimony of Robin Kliethermes

Q. Have you made an adjustment for customers who may switch rates if the 2 Commission adopts the rate design recommended in the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and 3 Agreement filed in this case? A. Not at this time. Staff believes an adjustment of no greater than approximately 4 5 \$250,000 may be warranted for customers on the LPS rate schedules. Based on Staff's 6 preliminary review, it is likely that any adjustment would be much smaller, or that no 7 adjustment will be necessary. Additionally, Staff will seek confirmation that rate design 8 letters were sent to affected customers who may switch rate schedules based on the outcome 9 of the rate design approved in this case. 10 Q. Has KCPL sent customers letters regarding customer-specific rate analysis before? 11 12 A. Staff has sent KCPL a data request asking for additional information regarding 13 the rate analysis letters that KCPL sent to customers during the true-up period, but the data 14 request is not due until after true-up direct testimony is to be filed. Does this conclude your testimony? 15 Q. 16 A. Yes, it does.

Summary of Number of Customers: December 2014 - May 2015

	Number of Customers Per Class					Difference	Difference	
Class	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	From Dec14 to May-15	From April-15 to May-15
Large General Service	1,011	1,006	1,009	994	997	980	(31)	(17)
Medium General Service	5,418	5,415	5,409	5,406	5,446	5,410	(8)	(36)
Small General Service	25,878	25,830	25,925	25,933	25,931	25,894	16	(37)
Residential	241,895	242,818	244,123	244,240	244,512	243,663	1,768	(849)