			Page 1
1			
2	In The Matter	of	
3	Evergy Metro,	Inc. d/b/a Evergy	
4	Missouri Metro	o's Request for	File No.
5	Authority to	Implement a General	ER-2022-0129
6	Rate Increase	for Electric	
7	Service		
8			
9	In The Matter	of Evergy	
10	Missouri West	, Inc. d/b/a Evergy	
11	Missouri West	's Request for	File No.
12	Authority to	Implement a General	ER-2022-0130
13	Rate Increase	for Electric	
14	Service		
15			
16		VIDEOCONFERENCE HE	EARING
17	DATE:	Thursday, May 5, 20	022
18	TIME:	10:30 a.m.	
19	BEFORE:	Honorable Ron Pride	jin
20	LOCATION:	Remote Proceeding	
21		Jefferson City, MO	65101
22	REPORTED BY:	Brett Torrence, Not	ary Public
23	JOB NO.:	5167460	
24			
25			

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF EVERGY COMPANY:
3	JAMES M. FISCHER, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
4	Fischer & Dority, P.C.
5	101 Madison Street, Suite 400
6	Jefferson City, MO 65101-3163
7	(573) 636-6758
8	
9	ROGER W. STEINER, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
10	Evergy Corporate Counsel
11	1200 Main Street
12	Kansas City, MO 64105
13	
14	ON BEHALF OF THE MISSOURI OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL:
15	JOHN CLIZER, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
16	200 Madison Street, Suite 650
17	Jefferson City, MO 65101
18	
19	ON BEHALF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
20	KEVIN THOMPSON, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
21	P.O. Box 360
22	Jefferson City, MO 65101
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF MECG:
3	TIM OPITZ, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
4	Opitz Law Firm, LLC
5	6395 South Rangeline Road
6	Columbia, MO 65201-9544
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	Alissa Greenwald, Kansas City Regional Director,
10	Renew Missouri (by videoconference)
11	Sarah Lange, Regulatory Economist III (by
12	videoconference)
13	J Luebbert, Engineering Specialist, MSPC (by
14	videoconference)
15	David Murray, Utility Regulatory Manager, OPC (by
16	videoconference)
17	Hari Poudel, MPSC Staff Member (by
18	videoconference)
19	Angela Shaven, OPC Staff Member (by
20	videoconference)
21	Michael Stahlman, Regulatory Economist III (by
22	videoconference)
23	
24	
25	

						Page	e 4
1		]	INDE	X			
2	WITNESS:			D:	X CX	RDX	RCX
3	MICHAEL STAHLMA	ΔN					
4	By Mr. Tho	mpson		9			
5	By Mr. Ste	einer			11		
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

			Page 5
1		EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	Plaintiff:		
4		(None marked.)	
5			
6	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
7	Defendant:		
8		(None marked.)	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

1

24

2.5

## PROCEEDINGS

2 THE REPORTER: Good morning. My name 3 is Brett Torrence; I am the reporter assigned by Veritext to take the record of this proceeding. It is 4 5 May 5, 2022, and we are now on the record at 10:30 6 a.m. You may proceed. 7 All right. Thank you, Mr. THE COURT: Court Reporter. Good morning. This is Ron Pridgin; 8 I'm the judge presiding over this discovery 10 conference. And our court reporter has already kindly 11 announced the date and time, so I believe we're ready 12 to take entries of appearance. Could I start with the 13 company, please? 14 MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. On behalf of 15 the companies in this case, let the record reflect the 16 appearance of James M. Fischer and Roger W. Steiner. 17 I can give you addresses if you need them. My address 18 is 101 Madison Street, Suite 400, Jefferson City, 19 Missouri. And Roger, you want to give your current 2.0 address? 21 1200 Main Street, Kansas MR. STEINER: 2.2 City, Missouri 64105. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fischer and 23

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Mr. Steiner, thank you. Entry of appearance on behalf

of staff of commission, please.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. Kevin Thompson for the staff of the commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. With me in the room is Michael Stahlman and J Luebbert, as has been previously discussed. And having just joined us is Sarah Lange, L-A-N-G-E.

THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, thank you.

Any entry on behalf of the Office of the Public

Counsel, please?

MR. CLIZER: John Clizer on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel, last name C-L-I-Z-E-R, address 200 Madison Street, Suite 650, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. And I am joined by David Murray and Angela Shaven.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Clizer, thank you. Does any other party wish to enter an appearance?

MR. OPITZ: Good morning, Judge --

THE COURT: Hearing no -- I'm sorry.

MR. OPITZ: Tim Opitz on behalf of

MECG. I'm just listening in today.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Opitz,

23 thank you. Any other party wishing to enter an

24 appearance?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

MS. GREENWALD: Good morning. This is

	Page 8
1	Alissa Greenwald with Renew Missouri, and I'm also
2	just listening in today.
3	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Greenwald,
4	thank you. Anyone else?
5	MR. STEINER: Judge, this is Roger
6	Steiner. I see a name on here I'm not familiar with,
7	Hari Poudel. Is that staff, or who is that?
8	MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Poudel is a staff
9	member.
10	MR. STEINER: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. One last
12	chance. Does anybody else wish to enter an
13	appearance? All right. Hearing nothing, I believe
14	both staff and public council have raised some
15	discovery concerns, and that's the reason for today's
16	conference. My thought was to let staff speak about
17	its discovery concerns, let public counsel do the
18	same, and then let the company respond. And then I
19	will wrap up. Does anyone have any concerns or
20	objections with proceeding that way?
21	MR. THOMPSON: That's fine with staff.
22	Thank you, Judge.
23	THE COURT: All right. Hearing no
24	objections, Mr. Thompson, when you're ready, sir.
25	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. There

	Page 9
1	was a fair number of DRs listed on staff's notice of
2	discovery disagreements that was filed the other day.
3	And I'm happy to report that all of those seem to be
4	resolved with the exception of 247.2 and 250.2, and
5	the parties are working diligently and in good faith
6	to resolve those as well.
7	At this time, Judge, I would request
8	that you place Mr. Stahlman under oath, and I will let
9	him give a statement on the record of the progress
10	towards resolving the issue with those two DRs.
11	THE COURT: Very good. Mr. Stahlman,
12	if you would raise your right hand to be sworn,
13	please.
14	WHEREUPON,
15	MICHAEL STAHLMAN,
16	called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn
17	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
18	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	THE COURT: Very good. Mr. Stahlman,
20	thank you. And Mr. Thompson, when you're ready.
21	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. THOMPSON:
24	Q State your name, please.

Michael Stahlman.

25

Α

						Page	10
$\circ$	ЦОт	220	37011	employed?			

- Q How are you employed?
- A Regulatory economist with the Missouri Public Service Commission.
- Q And I'm going to try to do this as quickly as possible. Are you assigned to work on cases ER-2022-0129 and 0130?
- A Yes.
- Q And are those rate cases brought by the two operating companies of the Evergy Company?
- 10 A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q And in the course of your work with respect to that rate case, have you had a difficulty in obtaining all of the information that you need to do your job?
  - A Yes.
  - O And that does relate to DRs 247.2 and 250.2?
- A Those were follow-ups to other DRs and trying to also get information that I needed.
- Q Okay. And have you had conversations with anyone from the company about the information you still need?
  - A Yes.
- Q And could you tell us, what does it look like from your vantage point with respect to progress and getting what you need?

1	A I think we're about resolved. I wish to
2	I just got a DR response while or, not DR
3	response an email from Mr. Fast [ph] while sitting
4	in this room that should hopefully get me the rest of
5	the way to getting the information that I need.
6	MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Very good. I
7	have no further questions for Mr. Stahlman, and I
8	tender the witness.
9	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Thompson,
10	thank you. Mr. Clizer, any questions for this
11	witness?
12	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Fischer,
14	Mr. Steiner, any questions?
15	MR. STEINER: Maybe a few.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. STEINER:
18	Q Mr. Stahlman, do you agree with me that a
19	response to 247.2 and 250.2 was provided by the
20	company on a timely basis?
21	A Yes.
22	MR. STEINER: I think that's all I
23	have, Judge.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr.
25	Thompson, anything further?

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All

2.5

right. Very good. Thank you. Can we go on to see what Mr. Clizer's concerns are?

MR. CLIZER: I'm okay with that --

THE COURT: Mr. Clizer, when you're

ready, sir.

2.0

2.2

MR. CLIZER: Yes. Okay. Right. So the OPC has two concerns, each concern corresponding to two pieces of evidence, so there's four pieces of evidence in total. The first concern involves production of documentation that was requested in DR's 3018 and 3019. These correspond to materials that were related to Board of Directors meetings.

The OPC is requesting the production of specific documents, and the company is declining to provide those documents. By way of background, I will say that the OPC requested, and the company supplied general access to its Board of Directors materials and minutes. We reviewed several boxes' worth of material. We were able to come up with a short list of these specific items that we felt were important to include in our testimony and as evidence moving forward in the case and requested copies of those documents so that they could be attached as exhibits to testimony and included in -- include when the testimony gets filed.

We are asking for physical copies of the documents so that they can be included as exhibits. And I'll pause on that first issue so we can discuss it before moving to the second.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Clizer, thank you. Any response, either Mr. Steiner or Mr. Fischer?

MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. I'll respond to that. Yeah. As Mr. Clizer pointed out, the company did provide access to the Board of Directors presentations, minutes, to Public Counsel and the Jeff City office, I believe. And they have now requested those, or some of those documents to be presented in a physical form. Company's willing to do that; the company intends to provide the copies of the requested presentations on a confidential basis pursuant to the commission's rules, with the exception of the two documents that Mr. Clizer was about to discuss related to request numbers 3018 and 3019.

Judge, due to the very sensitive and confidential nature of this discussion, I'd like to ask the judge to go in camera at this point and assure that everybody that's on the call is either counsel or staff or public counsel protected by the statutory obligations to keep confidential information

1 confidential.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fischer,
thank you. Any objections to Mr. Fischer's request?

MR. THOMPSON: None from staff.

THE COURT: All right. And I'll simply have to, I guess, rely on counsel to check to see if everyone who is on the call is entitled to be on this call during an in camera proceeding. I can't clear a hearing room or courtroom. Does anyone have any concerns that there's someone on the call who does not belong in the in camera proceedings?

MR. FISCHER: It appears to be the list is all staff, public counsel, or counsel of record. So unless there's someone else that's on the call that I'm not familiar with, I'd ask you to speak up if you are.

THE COURT: Okay. Hearing nothing, we will then go in camera and I'll have to rely on counsel to let me know when we're ready to go back into a public forum. And Mr. Court Reporter, going forward, until instructed otherwise, this transcript needs to be confidential.

THE REPORTER:

(Non-Confidential portion of transcript ends.)

Understood.

	Page 16
1	(Confidential portion of transcript
2	begins.)
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'm
4	assuming we need to go back to Mr. Clizer?
5	MR. FISCHER: I think I can address
6	the well, I don't know. If John would like if
7	Mr. Clizer would like to give another statement, or I
8	can just go directly to the issue as I understand it.
9	THE COURT: If the confidential
10	information
11	MR. CLIZER: Go ahead.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry. If the
13	confidential information is something that needs to
14	come from the company, and then we can go back in the
15	public forum, that would be my preference. I'm always
16	preferring to put as much of this in public record as
17	possible. So if counsel suggests otherwise, I'm
18	willing to listen.
19	MR. FISCHER: I think I can give you an
20	explanation from the company's perspective on these
21	two documents that are at issue if we do that in
22	camera.
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fischer,
24	when you're ready, and then let me know when we're
25	ready to go back public.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. As I understand it, the documents that are at issue are in reference to DR number 3018 and 3019. On June the 8th of 2021, and July the 8th of 2021, Morgan Stanley, an investment banker, presented to the Board of Directors presentations related to what is entitled "Project Bluebird." Project Bluebird involved an effort by Morgan Stanley and the Board of Directors to ascertain the interest of other entities and the possible purchase of Evergy.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Ultimately, Project Bluebird was abandoned and no further action was taken in relation to the Board of Directors' presentations. The first document, I think, that's at issue is the June 8, 2021, presentation, and it was Morgan Stanley's preliminary valuation analysis of Evergy and a proposed process for contacting potential suitors to participate in an exercise to determine the interest in the market of a possible sale of Evergy.

The June 8, 2021, presentation contains the names of possible suitors of Evergy, a financial overview, Morgan Stanley's preliminary valuations of the company, a discussion of the process to be used to solicit offers for the company, and pro forma analysis of quite sensitive financial data.

2.0

2.2

2.5

The next document was the July 8, 2021, presentation, and it contained Morgan Stanley's report of the results of the contacts with possible suitors for the company, including the names of potential suitors and their Phase 1 indications of interest for Evergy, as well as the names of entities that declined to participate in the exercise to test the waters for a possible sale of the company. The Morgan Stanley presentation contains highly sensitive material regarding Evergy's financial analysis related to various combinations with other public utilities.

The potential suitors of Evergy signed nondisclosure agreements in order to participate in the process. The suitors were also promised anonymity by Evergy and Morgan Stanley from disclosure of their identities and their first Phase 1 indications of interest, as well as even the fact that they were discussing possible transactions like this.

From the company's perspective, it would be highly inappropriate for any of the information about the suitors' participation in this process to be provided to public counsel for use in this rate case, even on a highly confidential basis.

None of the information in the Board of Directors' presentation by Morgan Stanley, either on June 8th or

July the 8th have any relevance at all to the ratemaking efforts in this rate case since none of the requested information includes any material that's reasonably calculated to lead to anything that's relevant to the rate case.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

But due to the very sensitive nature of these materials and the nondisclosure agreements that are in place and the lack of any relevance to the rate case, the presentation should not be physically possessed by public counsel or any other party to the proceeding. I think at that point, I can stop and take any questions the judge might have, or however you'd like to proceed.

But that's our basic position, Judge, and we are very concerned about the giving of these physical documents to public counsel or anyone else when we just don't see that there's any relevance that could possibly come from it. And we're very concerned about the sensitive nature of it.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fischer, thank you. I don't have any questions. Are counsel ready to go back into a public forum?

MR. CLIZER: Can I ask really quick, is the fact that the company was courting a potential buyout itself sensitive information? Does that need

- to be kept confidential?
- 2 MR. FISCHER: Yes. Under the
- 3 | nondisclosure agreements, I think that would be the
- 4 case, John.

1

- 5 MR. CLIZER: Okay. So in that case,
- 6 Judge, we probably need to stay in camera for just a
- 7 | little bit more. I apologize; I prefer to be public,
- 8 but just out of safety's sake.
- 9 THE COURT: No. I understand
- 10 completely. We can stay in camera, and I think Mr.
- 11 | Fischer concluded the company's statements, so Mr.
- 12 | Clizer, did you have anything further?
- 13 MR. CLIZER: Yeah. I have quite a few
- 14 things. First of all, I just want to point out that
- 15 there is absolutely no legal basis for withholding
- 16 this documentation provided what Mr. Fischer actually
- 17 just said. There is no basis on the idea that
- 18 | something is sensitive that other parties are not able
- 19 to discover it. Parties discover sensitive
- 20 | information in every case all the time; that
- 21 information is always designated as confidential.
- 22 Further, there are specific provisions
- 23 | within the commission's own rules, under 20 CSR 4240-
- 24 | 2.135 that allows the company to believe that there is
- 25 | a necessary -- that information needs a higher degree

of security to seek a commission protective order, which the company has not bothered to do in this case. They are still at liberty to attempt that, but they haven't even made the effort to try and secure this information, even though we've already seen it.

2.0

2.5

Which, again, I stress, like, we have already seen the information; we only want a physical copy for the purpose of being able to present information to the commission. If the company truly believes that that information is so precious, then they should be the ones asking the commission to give a protective order over it.

As to the relevance of the information, as Mr. Fischer, I think, alluded to, the documents include specific analysis by financial experts, including Morgan Stanley, that directly identifies the health of the company and elements that relate to its cost of capital and cost of equity.

These are highly contentious issues that are directly relevant in the present case. And if the commission needs to, I can have OPC witness David Murray explain the specific elements that the OPC is seeking to present to the commission from these documents.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Clizer,

thank you. I don't necessarily need that information from Mr. Murray; I'm not going to prevent you from presenting it if that's what you want. But, I mean, I think I see the nexus. Do we need to stay in camera for further proceeding or not? Let me ask counsel.

2.0

2.2

2.5

MR. CLIZER: One last thing. As Evergy is already aware, the OPC is more than willing to ensure that there is no discussion or disclosure of the identity of the individual entities who are attempting to acquire or had at least expressed an interest in acquiring Evergy, which is the only thing I think we would need to say in camera.

We are more than willing to take those documents with that particular information redacted. We are not interested in identifying who the suitors were; we are interested in the financial analysis performed by Morgan Stanley and others related to Evergy as an entity. So I think that would at least in some way mitigate the concerns that Mr. Fischer identified. And with that, I don't think I need anything else in camera.

MR. FISCHER: Judge, I should respond,
I think, in camera. I would just suggest --

THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. FISCHER: I would suggest the

nondisclosure agreements that the company has with the various parties that entered into this exercise are much broader than just not disclosing the identity of the participants. They're much broader, including all of the information that was provided in the analysis that was done as a part of this exercise, and the fact that they were even discussing about this kind of a transaction.

2.0

2.2

And while Mr. Clizer is correct that we could ask for a protective order, we also have, I think, obligations to these other parties to give them the opportunity to come in and ask for protective orders as well. But it's such a sensitive area, I think the commission needs to consider whether there could be anything of real relevance here for a rate case proceeding. It's -- we just don't see any relevance that could possibly come from this.

I mean, if there was some specific fact that the public counsel wanted to disclose as a part of that, maybe we could talk about that. But that's not what -- we don't think there's anything here that's relevant, that would be possibly useful. And the possible damage to the company and the people that participated in this process could be -- would outweigh any possible use of this kind of information.

```
Page 24
 1
                     THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fischer,
 2
     thank you. Anything further before we go back into a
 3
     public forum?
 4
                     MR. THOMPSON: Nothing from staff.
 5
     Thank you, Judge.
 6
                     THE COURT: All right --
 7
                     MR. CLIZER: I do not believe I need
 8
     anything else in camera. I am so sorry.
 9
                     THE COURT: Not a problem. All right.
10
     Mr. Court Reporter, if you could take us back into a
     public forum, please.
11
12
                     THE REPORTER: Absolutely.
13
                     (Confidential portion of transcript
14
                     ends.)
15
     //
16
     //
17
     //
18
     //
19
     //
20
     //
21
     //
2.2
     //
23
     //
24
     //
25
     //
```

1 (Non-Confidential portion of transcript begins.)

THE REPORTER: Now back in a public forum at 10:53 a.m.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: Mr. Court Reporter, thank you. We are now back in a public forum. We went in camera for counsel to discuss some confidential information. All right. I don't think I need anything further from the parties but let me at least give you one last chance. Is there anything else you wanted to bring to my attention before we wrap up?

MR. CLIZER: Yes. There was an entirely separate issue that the OPC had raised. That was Issue 1 related to two pieces of evidence. We have another issue related to two additional pieces of evidence.

THE COURT: Mr. Clizer, when you're ready, sir.

MR. CLIZER: All right. The short version is that the company supplied a privilege log in relationship to DR -- sorry -- OPC Data Request 3014, I believe, is the number. While we accept the argument or the assertion of privilege as to most of the items listed there, there are two items that we dispute the existence of a privilege on. And we are

asking the commission to make a decision regarding the applicability of privilege. And I can obviously give it in more detail, but I'm going to pause at this point to determine what the court needs to hear, commission needs to hear.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: Well, and I'll kind of leave that up to you, and I'll certainly give the company a chance to respond. I don't plan on ruling on anything today, so I guess I will leave that up to you.

MR. FISCHER: Judge --

MR. CLIZER: I'm sorry. I don't know what you mean by that.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, I kind of just want to hear the parties and let them kind of talk this out and hopefully resolve something. And if not, then we'll just move on to the next step. I mean, I'm not ruling -- I'm actually just kind of pinch hitting for Judge Hatcher, and I'm not ruling on anything today.

MR. CLIZER: All right. Fair enough. Jim, go ahead.

MR. FISCHER: Judge, I would suggest, once again, that we go in camera to just talk about it, so I can give you better background on what these

```
Page 27
 1
     documents are and why they are protected by attorney-
 2
     client privilege.
 3
                     THE COURT: All right. Mr. Court
     Reporter, are we ready to go back in camera?
 4
 5
                     THE REPORTER: Yes. We are.
     Understood.
 6
 7
                     (Non-Confidential portion of transcript
 8
                     ends.)
     //
 9
     //
10
11
     //
12
     //
13
     //
14
     //
15
     //
16
     //
17
     //
18
     //
19
     //
20
     //
21
     //
22
     //
23
     //
24
     //
25
     //
```

	Page 28
1	(Confidential portion of transcript
2	begins.)
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr.
4	Fischer, when you're ready.
5	MR. FISCHER: Mr. Clizer, I think
6	you're talking about the PowerPoint presentation
7	related to the Activist Considerations Elliot; is that
8	right?
9	MR. CLIZER: Based on the privilege log
10	that I received, the first issue with the pages 23 to
11	59 out of 160 of the Board of Directors' meeting
12	materials for the meeting on May 3rd through May 4th
13	of 2021. And the description of content reads,
14	"Extreme weather event, Uri presentation."
15	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And what was the
16	second one?
17	MR. CLIZER: That's the first item.
18	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And what's the
19	second one? So I can maybe address both together.
20	MR. CLIZER: Sure. The second one
21	and, Dave, speak up if I get this wrong it was
22	the was it the January 12th? Yes. It was the
23	January 12, 2021, Board of Directors' materials and
24	minutes pages 2 through 34 of 35, which the
25	description of contents reads, "Continued discussions

regarding settlement with Activist, Bluescape, and Elliot."

2.0

2.2

2.5

However, with regard to that one in particular, the only item that we're seeking discovery of is the Morgan Stanley report that was listed in the attached materials. We recognize that the actual PowerPoint presentation -- well, we're accepting the claim of privilege regarding the actual presentation. We just want the attached material.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. Well, Judge, let me address that one first. On January 12th of '21, the law firm of Cravath, Swaine & Moore, LLP, presented a 35-page PowerPoint presentation to the Board of Directors entitled, "Activist Considerations Elliot." As a part of that legal presentation, Morgan Stanley prepared, at the direction of the law firm, a 15-page presentation which discussed case studies involving a group known as Elliot Management and its advisors of previous proxy fights involving other companies.

This presentation is subject to the attorney-client privilege since it was prepared by Evergy's law firm with the assistance and at the direction of that law firm with the investment banker's portion, Morgan Stanley. None of that

information in that presentation is relevant to the rate case. But it's clearly protected by the attorney-client privilege since it was presented by the law firm and at the direction of that law firm, Morgan Stanley did an analysis of other proxy fights that occurred around the country.

2.

2.2

2.5

And that's the reason we are asserting attorney-client privilege on that whole document.

It's all part of the same legal presentation by Cravath, even though Morgan Stanley was partly involved in developing portions of that.

And then on the second document, that -- on May the 4th of 2021, there was a Board presentation by David Campbell, who is Evergy's chief executive officer, and Kevin Bryant, who is Evergy's chief operating officer, related to the extreme weather event in February '21 known as Storm Uri, U-R-I. That presentation was developed and reviewed and edited by counsel in-house, and some of the pages are designated as prepared at the request of counsel. And for those reasons, we are asserting attorney-client privilege on that document.

MR. STEINER: And, Judge, also asserting attorney work product.

MR. FISCHER: Yeah. That's true too.

Page 31 Sorry.

2.0

2.2

2.5

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Steiner, thank you. Mr. Fischer, thank you. Do we need to stay in camera for further comments?

MR. CLIZER: Just because we're here, and for the sake of safety, I would ask that we do so once again, just so I don't accidentally divulge anything that Evergy feels is confidential.

THE COURT: I understand completely.

Mr. Clizer, any response?

MR. CLIZER: Yes. Okay. Several responses. First, with regard to the claims of attorney work product, the doctrine of attorney work product only applies when the material being prepared is being prepared in the process or for preparation of litigation.

There is no argument to be made that either of these documents were being prepared for litigation, as both of the materials were prepared for the Board of Directors to be able to make decisions, as is what a Board of Directors is expected to do. So the attorney work product doctrine does not apply in either case. There's additional arguments we've made on that front, but I'll rest on that one for now.

As for the claim regarding

attorney-client privilege, the presentation of the Morgan Stanley document, just because it's included as a larger presentation by an attorney to a client, does not necessarily mean that the document itself is protected by attorney-client privilege. There is not a way that you can bootstrap third-party analysis simply by virtue of saying, "Well, our attorney handed me the papers." If the individual analysis was not conducted or prepared by an attorney, then the OPC has a right to view it.

2.0

2.2

And as far as the May 4th situation, we are asking for a document that contains -- hang on -- nearly 30 pages or more. The fact that some attorney might, at some point, have had something to do with some of it does not necessarily mean that the entire document is privileged and excluded from discovery. Moreover, the attorney-client privilege only applies when the information being supplied is being -- or, sorry. The attorney-client privilege only applies when the communication is being made in order to secure legal advice.

A presentation by the CEO and COO to the Board of Directors is, again, not being made to secure legal advice, but rather, it's being provided to give the Board of Directors the information it

needs to make decisions, which is, of course, normal for the course of business of a large corporation. it wouldn't apply in any case. Just the fact that an attorney has looked at something does not mean the attorney-client privilege applies. And I think I'm done with anything that could be in camera at that point, hopefully.

THE COURT: Mr. Clizer, thank you. Do we need to stay in camera, or can we go back into a public forum?

MR. FISCHER: I would respond just briefly, Judge. Just, again, out of caution, let's stay in camera.

> All right. THE COURT:

MR. FISCHER: First, the Morgan Stanley preparation, or that portion of that document was prepared directly at the request of the law firm. includes an analysis of other proxy fights around the country, case studies related to that, directly relevant to all the legal issues being discussed in the primary 35-page PowerPoint presentation. think that attorney-client certainly applies there.

With regard to the David Campbell presentation, the nature of that is with that weather event, there was much litigation being anticipated

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
Page 34
1
     about to occur, and we've certainly seen that around
     the country. I think it's a little short-sighted to
     suggest that the chief executive officer giving a
 3
     presentation to the Board about that kind of an event
 5
     wouldn't involve the possibility of litigation.
                    And we certainly have the lawyers
 6
 7
     involved in developing it and including their
8
     perspectives and edits on that presentation. So we,
     again, would suggest that that should be kept
10
     privileged.
                  That's all I have.
11
                    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fischer,
12
     thank you. Are we ready to go back into a public
13
     forum?
14
                                  I believe so.
                    MR. CLIZER:
15
                    MR. FISCHER: Fine with me, Judge.
16
                    THE COURT: All right.
                                             Thank you.
                                                         Mr.
17
     Court Reporter, we're ready to go back in a public
18
     forum. Let me know when we're ready to go.
19
                    THE REPORTER:
                                    Okay.
2.0
                    (Confidential portion of transcript
21
                    ends.)
2.2
     //
2.3
     //
24
     //
25
     //
```

	Page 35
1	(Non-Confidential portion of transcript
2	begins.)
3	THE REPORTER: We are now back in a
4	public forum at 11:04 a.m.
5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Court
6	Reporter, thank you. We are back into a public forum.
7	We went in camera for counsel to discuss some
8	confidential information. I think, Mr. Clizer, we're
9	back to you. Did you have anything else you would
10	like to bring to my attention?
11	MR. CLIZER: To quote State ex rel.
12	Koster v. Cain, 383 S.W. 3rd, 105, "The party
13	asserting attorney-client privilege bears the burden
14	of proof to demonstrate the privilege applies. A
15	blanket assertion of privilege is not sufficient."
16	The OPC is requesting, at the minimum,
17	that the commission take a receive copies of the
18	information that privilege is claimed to apply to, and
19	make a determination as to the applicability of that
20	privilege. The OPC stands on all of its previous
21	assertations regarding the law as to why privilege
22	would not apply to either of these sets of documents.
23	I'll leave it from there for now.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Clizer, thank you.
25	Anything further from the company?

MR. FISCHER: Roger, do you have anything that you'd like to add on any of that? I think, Judge, you've heard our arguments on that. And we're certainly willing to deal with the commission orders here.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further from counsel?

2.0

2.2

MR. THOMPSON: Nothing from staff, Judge. Thank you.

nothing, because I am essentially pinch hitting for Judge Hatcher, I spoke with him briefly, and he said his method of proceeding with these is to kind of give the parties a little more time, continue the discovery conference, and see if we need to go back and hash this out again.

So with that that in mind, my plan is to continue this conference until next Friday. I will be on the bench next Wednesday and Thursday. And my thoughts were if parties felt like they needed to go back on the record, we could do that again next Friday. And I would like to get pleadings from parties -- I mean, it can just be a brief motion -- no later than the close of business next Tuesday to let me know if you feel like we need to go back on the

- record and have, you know, continue this discovery
  conference, or if you think you can resolve your
  disputes. Does anyone have any concerns with that?
- 4 MR. THOMPSON: Would it be at the same
- 5 | time?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- THE COURT: I would kind of leave that

  up to the parties to let me know, I guess, what times

  are best for them.
- 9 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
  10 Staff has no concerns.
- THE COURT: Any comments from either

  Public Counsel or the company?
  - MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, my concern is simply that I'm not sure there's any grounds here for continued discussion, to be honest. I mean, we're not asking for much. With regard to the privileged information, either it's privileged or not. Either we see it or we don't.
  - And regarding the other two issues, I mean, either we get a physical copy or we don't.

    Again, we've already seen the information. So I'm not sure what else there is to discuss, to be completely frank.
- THE COURT: Well, that's certainly fine with me. It's not going to hurt my feelings if we

don't go back on the record. But I'm not ruling on anything today, I guess, is my point. And I'll leave it to the company and staff if they feel like, you know, we want to meet again or not. It doesn't matter to me. And if we do, I'm not sure if I would even be the judge running the conference. So that's why I'm a little hesitant to do anything else other than to hand this back off to Judge Hatcher to see how he wants to proceed.

MR. FISCHER: We're certainly willing to go down the path that you're suggesting, Judge Pridgin.

THE COURT: And I appreciate it. And, you know, you're certainly free to file pleadings saying, "Yeah. We want another conference," or "No. We think it's pointless. We'd like to go on to a motion to compel," or whatever you think the next step is to try to resolve this dispute. That's perfectly fine. I'm simply proceeding as Judge Hatcher says he normally proceeds with these conferences, since it's his case and not mine. Anything further from counsel?

THE COURT: I appreciate it. Thank

MR. STEINER: We understand, Judge.

24 you.

2.0

2.2

2.5

MR. STEINER: John, I'll give you a

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Page 39 1 call. THE COURT: All right. Anything 3 further before we go off the record? 4 MR. FISCHER: I think Roger Steiner was 5 trying to make a statement there, if I heard him. THE COURT: Yeah. If I heard 6 7 correctly --MR. STEINER: Judge, I just said --8 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Steiner, go 10 ahead. 11 MR. STEINER: Sorry. I just said, 12 "Judge, we understand." I said, "John, I'll give you 13 a call." 14 THE COURT: And I appreciate it. 15 Anything further from counsel before we go off the 16 record? 17 MR. THOMPSON: Nothing from staff, 18 Judge. Thank you. 19 MR. CLIZER: Nothing from OPC. 2.0 THE COURT: All right. With that, we 21 will then go off the record in this discovery 22 conference. Thank you very much. We are off the 2.3 record. 24 (Whereupon, at 11:10 a.m., the 2.5 proceeding was concluded.)

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, BRETT TORRENCE, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

But James

BRETT TORRENCE

Notary Public in and for the

State of Missouri

_		

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	:
9	
10	
11	
12	

I, BRENNA SHEA, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

Brenna Su

BRENNA SHEA

[& - assuming] Page 1

_	_		-II J. J. O1 14
&	3	9	alluded 21:14
<b>&amp;</b> 2:4 29:12	<b>30</b> 32:13	9 4:4	analysis 17:16,24
0	<b>3014</b> 25:22	a	18:10 21:15 22:16
<b>0130</b> 10:6	<b>3018</b> 13:11 14:19	<b>a.m.</b> 1:18 6:6 25:4	23:5 30:5 32:6,8 33:18
1	17:3	35:4 39:24	
	<b>3019</b> 13:11 14:19	abandoned 17:12	angela 3:19 7:14 announced 6:11
1 18:5,16 25:14	17:3	ability 40:10 41:7	announced 0.11 anonymity 18:14
<b>101</b> 2:5 6:18	<b>34</b> 28:24	able 13:19 20:18	anticipated 33:25
<b>105</b> 35:12	<b>35</b> 28:24 29:13	21:8 31:20	anucipated 33.23 anybody 8:12
<b>10:53</b> 25:4	33:21	absolutely 20:15	apologize 20:7
11 4:5	<b>360</b> 2:21 7:3	24:12	appearance 6:12
<b>11:04</b> 35:4	<b>383</b> 35:12	accept 25:22	6:16,24 7:17,24
<b>11:10</b> 39:24	<b>3rd</b> 28:12 35:12	accepting 29:7	8:13
<b>12</b> 28:23	4	access 13:17 14:10	appears 15:12
<b>1200</b> 2:11 6:21	<b>400</b> 2:5 6:18	accidentally 31:7	applicability 26:2
<b>12th</b> 28:22 29:11	<b>4240</b> 20:23	accurate 40:9 41:5	35:19
<b>15</b> 29:17	4th 28:12 30:13	acquire 22:10	<b>applies</b> 31:14
<b>160</b> 28:11	32:11	acquiring 22:11	32:17,19 33:5,22
2	5	action 17:12 40:12	35:14
<b>2</b> 28:24	<b>5</b> 1:17 6:5	40:16 41:8,12	apply 31:22 33:3
<b>2.135</b> 20:24	<b>5167460</b> 1:23	activist 28:7 29:1	35:18,22
<b>20</b> 20:23	<b>573</b> 2:7	29:14	appreciate 38:13
<b>200</b> 2:16 7:12	<b>59</b> 28:11	actual 29:6,8	38:23 39:14
<b>2021</b> 17:3,4,15,20		<b>add</b> 36:2	<b>area</b> 23:13
18:1 28:13,23	6	additional 25:15	argument 25:23
30:13	<b>636-6758</b> 2:7	31:23	31:17
<b>2022</b> 1:17 6:5	<b>6395</b> 3:5	<b>address</b> 6:17,20	arguments 31:23
<b>2022-0129</b> 1:5	<b>64105</b> 2:12 6:22	7:12 16:5 28:19	36:3
10:6	<b>650</b> 2:16 7:12	29:11	ascertain 17:8
<b>2022-0130</b> 1:12	<b>65101</b> 1:21 2:17,22	addresses 6:17	<b>asking</b> 14:1 21:11
<b>21</b> 29:11 30:17	7:13	advice 32:21,24	26:1 32:12 37:16
<b>23</b> 28:10	<b>65101-3163</b> 2:6	advisors 29:19	assertations 35:21
<b>247.2</b> 9:4 10:16	<b>65102</b> 7:3	<b>agree</b> 11:18	asserting 30:7,21
11:19	<b>65201-9544</b> 3:6	agreements 18:13	30:24 35:13
<b>250.2</b> 9:4 10:16	8	19:7 20:3 23:1	assertion 25:23
11:19	<b>8</b> 17:14,20 18:1	ahead 16:11 22:24	35:15
<b>26372</b> 40:17	<b>8th</b> 17:3,4 18:25	26:22 39:10	<b>assigned</b> 6:3 10:5
<b>26994</b> 41:14	19:1	alissa 3:9 8:1	assistance 29:23
		<b>allows</b> 20:24	assuming 16:4

[assure - company] Page 2

			_
assure 14:22	believes 21:10	called 9:16	32:17,19 33:5,22
attached 13:23	<b>belong</b> 15:11	camera 14:22 15:8	35:13
29:6,9	<b>bench</b> 36:19	15:11,18 16:22	<b>clizer</b> 2:15 7:10,10
attempt 21:3	<b>best</b> 37:8 40:10	20:6,10 22:4,12,21	7:15 11:10,12
attempting 22:10	41:6	22:23 24:8 25:7	12:7,13,15 13:3,4
attention 25:11	<b>better</b> 26:25	26:24 27:4 31:4	13:6 14:5,9,18
35:10	<b>bit</b> 20:7	33:6,9,13 35:7	16:4,7,11 19:23
attorney 27:1	blanket 35:15	campbell 30:14	20:5,12,13 21:25
29:22 30:3,8,22,24	<b>bluebird</b> 17:7,7,11	33:23	22:6 23:9 24:7
31:13,13,22 32:1,3	bluescape 29:1	capital 21:18	25:12,17,19 26:12
32:5,7,9,13,17,19	<b>board</b> 13:12,17	case 6:15 10:12	26:21 28:5,9,17,20
33:4,5,22 35:13	14:10 17:5,8,13	13:22 18:23 19:2	31:5,10,11 33:8
40:14 41:10	18:24 28:11,23	19:5,9 20:4,5,20	34:14 35:8,11,24
<b>audio</b> 40:8 41:3	29:14 30:13 31:20	21:2,20 23:16	37:13 39:19
authority 1:5,12	31:21 32:23,25	29:17 30:2 31:23	clizer's 13:2
aware 22:7	34:4	33:3,19 38:21	<b>close</b> 36:24
b	bootstrap 32:6	cases 10:5,8	columbia 3:6
<b>b</b> 1:3,10 5:1	bothered 21:2	caution 33:12	combinations
back 12:17 15:19	<b>box</b> 2:21 7:3	<b>ceo</b> 32:22	18:11
16:4,14,25 19:22	<b>boxes</b> 13:18	certainly 12:19	<b>come</b> 13:19 16:14
24:2,10 25:3,6	<b>brenna</b> 41:2,15	26:7 33:22 34:1,6	19:18 23:12,17
27:4 33:9 34:12	<b>brett</b> 1:22 6:3 40:2	36:4 37:24 38:10	comments 31:4
34:17 35:3,6,9	40:18	38:14	37:11
36:15,21,25 38:1,8	<b>brief</b> 36:23	certificate 40:1	commission 2:19
<b>background</b> 13:15	briefly 33:12	41:1	6:25 7:2 10:3 21:1
26:25	36:12	<b>certify</b> 40:4 41:2	21:9,11,21,23
<b>banker</b> 17:5	<b>bring</b> 12:15 25:11	<b>chance</b> 8:12 25:10	23:14 26:1,5
banker's 29:25	35:10	26:8	35:17 36:4
based 28:9	broader 23:3,4	check 15:6	commission's
basic 19:14	brought 10:8	<b>chief</b> 30:14,16	14:17 20:23
basis 11:20 14:16	<b>bryant</b> 30:15	34:3	communication
18:23 20:15,17	<b>burden</b> 35:13	<b>city</b> 1:21 2:6,12,17	32:20
bears 35:13	business 33:2	2:22 3:9 6:18,22	companies 6:15
begins 16:2 25:2	36:24	7:3,12 14:12	10:9 29:20
28:2 35:2	<b>buyout</b> 19:25	<b>claim</b> 29:8 31:25	<b>company</b> 2:2 6:13
behalf 2:2,14,19	c	claimed 35:18	8:18 10:9,20
3:2 6:14,24 7:8,10	c 2:1 3:1 6:1 7:11	claims 31:12	11:20 13:14,16
7:20	cain 35:12	clear 15:8	14:10,15 16:14
believe 6:11 8:13	calculated 19:4	clearly 30:2	17:23,24 18:4,8
14:12 20:24 24:7	calculated 19:4 call 14:23 15:7,8	client 27:2 29:22	19:24 20:24 21:2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30:3,8,22 32:1,3,5	21:9,17 23:1,23
25:22 34:14	15:10,14 39:1,13		ĺ

25:20 26:8 35:25	contents 28:25	20:9 21:25 22:24	designated 20:21
37:12 38:3	<b>continue</b> 36:14,18	24:1,6,9,10 25:5,5	30:20
company's 14:14	37:1	25:17 26:4,6,14	detail 26:3
16:20 18:19 20:11	continued 28:25	27:3,3 28:3 31:2,9	determination
<b>compel</b> 38:17	37:15	33:8,14 34:11,16	35:19
completely 20:10	conversations	34:17 35:5,5,24	determine 17:18
31:9 37:22	10:19	36:6,10 37:6,11,24	26:4
concern 12:22	<b>coo</b> 32:22	38:13,23 39:2,6,9	developed 30:18
13:7,9 37:13	<b>copies</b> 13:22 14:1	39:14,20	developing 30:11
concerned 19:15	14:15 35:17	courting 19:24	34:7
19:18	<b>copy</b> 21:8 37:20	courtroom 15:9	difficulty 10:12
<b>concerns</b> 8:15,17	corporate 2:10	cravath 29:12	<b>digital</b> 40:8 41:3
8:19 13:2,7 15:10	corporation 33:2	30:10	diligently 9:5
22:19 37:3,10	correct 23:9	<b>cross</b> 11:16	direct 9:22
concluded 20:11	correctly 39:7	csr 20:23	<b>direction</b> 29:16,24
39:25	correspond 13:11	current 6:19	30:4
conducted 32:9	corresponding	<b>ex</b> 4:2	directly 16:8
conference 6:10	13:7	d	21:16,20 33:17,19
8:16 36:15,18	<b>cost</b> 21:18,18	<b>d</b> 1:3,10 4:1 6:1	director 3:9
37:2 38:6,15	council 8:14	damage 23:23	<b>directors</b> 13:12,17
39:22	<b>counsel</b> 2:10,14	data 17:25 25:21	14:10 17:5,8,13
conferences 38:20	7:9,11 8:17 14:11	date 1:17 6:11	18:24 28:11,23
confidential 14:16	14:23,24 15:6,13	dave 28:21	29:14 31:20,21
14:21,25 15:1,22	15:13,19 16:17	david 3:15 7:13	32:23,25
15:24 16:1,9,13	18:22 19:10,16,21	21:22 30:14 33:23	disagreements 9:2
18:23 20:1,21	22:5 23:19 25:7		disclose 23:19
24:13 25:1,7 27:7	30:19,20 35:7	day 9:2 deal 36:4	disclosing 23:3
28:1 31:8 34:20	36:7 37:12 38:21	decide 12:5	disclosure 18:15
35:1,8	39:15 40:11,14	decision 26:1	22:8
consider 23:14	41:7,10	decisions 31:20	<b>discover</b> 20:19,19
considerations	country 30:6	33:1	<b>discovery</b> 6:9 8:15
28:7 29:14	33:19 34:2	declined 18:6	8:17 9:2 12:21
<b>cont'd</b> 3:1	<b>course</b> 10:11 33:1		29:4 32:16 36:14
contacting 17:17	33:2	declining 13:14 defendant 5:7	37:1 39:21
contacts 18:3	<b>court</b> 6:7,8,10,23		<b>discuss</b> 14:4,18
contained 18:2	7:7,15,19,22 8:3	degree 20:25 demonstrate	25:7 35:7 37:22
contains 17:20	8:11,23 9:11,19	35:14	discussed 7:5
18:9 32:12	11:9,13,24 12:6,10		29:17 33:20
content 28:13	12:14,19,25 13:4	deposition 40:1	discussing 18:18
contentious 21:19	14:5 15:2,5,17,20	<b>description</b> 5:2,6	23:7
	16:3,9,12,23 19:20	28:13,25	

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

[discussion - four] Page 4

<b>1</b> :	ala a4		<b>Pl</b> 1.4.11.20.14
<b>discussion</b> 14:21	electric 1:6,13	everybody 14:23 evidence 12:2 13:8	file 1:4,11 38:14
17:23 22:8 37:15	elements 21:17,22		<b>filed</b> 9:2 13:25
discussions 28:25	elliot 28:7 29:2,15	13:9,21 25:14,16	<b>financial</b> 17:21,25
<b>dispute</b> 25:25	29:18	ex 35:11	18:10 21:15 22:16
38:18	email 11:3	examination 9:22	financially 40:15
disputes 37:3	employed 10:1	11:16	41:11
divulge 31:7	40:11,14 41:8,11	examined 9:18	fine 8:21 34:15
<b>doctrine</b> 31:13,22	employee 40:13	exception 9:4	37:24 38:19
document 17:14	41:10	14:17	<b>firm</b> 3:4 29:12,16
18:1 30:8,12,22	ends 15:25 24:14	excluded 32:16	29:23,24 30:4,4
32:2,4,12,16 33:16	27:8 34:21	executive 30:15	33:17
documentation	engineering 3:13	34:3	<b>first</b> 9:16 13:9
13:10 20:16	ensure 22:8	exercise 17:18	14:3 17:13 18:16
documents 13:14	enter 7:16,23 8:12	18:7 23:2,6	20:14 28:10,17
13:15,23 14:2,13	entered 23:2	exhibits 13:23	29:11 31:12 33:15
14:18 16:21 17:2	<b>entire</b> 32:15	14:3	<b>fischer</b> 2:3,4 6:14
19:16 21:14,24	entirely 25:13	existence 25:25	6:16,23 11:13
22:14 27:1 31:18	<b>entities</b> 17:9 18:6	expected 31:21	14:7,8 15:2,12
35:22	22:9	experts 21:15	16:5,19,23 17:1
dority 2:4	<b>entitled</b> 15:7 17:6	explain 21:22	19:20 20:2,11,16
<b>dr</b> 11:2,2 12:22,22	29:14	explanation 16:20	21:14 22:19,22,25
17:3 25:21	<b>entity</b> 22:18	expressed 22:10	24:1 26:11,23
<b>dr's</b> 13:10	entries 6:12	extreme 28:14	28:4,5,15,18 29:10
<b>drs</b> 9:1,10 10:16	<b>entry</b> 6:24 7:8	30:16	30:25 31:3 33:11
10:17 12:17	equity 21:18	$\mathbf{f}$	33:15 34:11,15
<b>due</b> 14:20 19:6	<b>er</b> 1:5,12 10:6	<b>fact</b> 18:17 19:24	36:1 38:10 39:4
<b>duly</b> 9:16 40:5	<b>es</b> 40:4	23:6,18 32:13	fischer's 15:3
<b>dx</b> 4:2	<b>esquire</b> 2:3,9,15	33:3	<b>follow</b> 10:17
e	2:20 3:3	<b>fair</b> 9:1 26:21	follows 9:18
e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	essentially 36:11	<b>faith</b> 9:5	foregoing 40:3,4
5:1 6:1,1 7:6,11	<b>evd</b> 5:2,6	<b>familiar</b> 8:6 15:15	41:4
economist 3:11,21	<b>event</b> 28:14 30:17	far 32:11	<b>form</b> 14:14
10:2	33:25 34:4	<b>fast</b> 11:3	<b>forma</b> 17:24
edited 30:19	<b>evergy</b> 1:3,3,9,10	february 30:17	<b>forum</b> 15:20 16:15
edits 34:8	2:2,10 10:9 17:10	feel 36:25 38:3	19:22 24:3,11
effort 17:7 21:4	17:16,19,21 18:6	feelings 37:25	25:4,6 33:10
efforts 19:2	18:12,15 22:6,11	feels 31:8	34:13,18 35:4,6
either 14:6,23	22:18 31:8	felt 13:20 36:20	forward 13:22
18:25 31:18,23	<b>evergy's</b> 18:10	fights 29:19 30:5	15:21
35:22 37:11,17,17	29:23 30:14,15	33:18	<b>four</b> 13:8
37:20		33.10	
37.20			

[frank - kansas] Page 5

fuent 27.02	grange 15.606.0	<b>:::</b> 2.11 21	iggra 0.10 14.2
<b>frank</b> 37:23 <b>free</b> 38:14	guess 15:6 26:9	iii 3:11,21	issue 9:10 14:3
	37:7 38:2	implement 1:5,12	16:8,21 17:2,14
friday 36:18,22	h	important 13:20	25:13,14,15 28:10
front 31:24	<b>h</b> 5:1	inappropriate	issues 21:19 33:20
further 11:7,25	<b>hand</b> 9:12 38:7	18:20	37:19
17:12 20:12,22	handed 32:7	include 13:21,24	item 28:17 29:4
22:5 24:2 25:9	hang 32:12	21:15	items 13:20 25:24
31:4 35:25 36:7	happy 9:3	included 13:24	25:24
38:21 39:3,15	<b>hari</b> 3:17 8:7	14:2 32:2	j
40:13 41:9	<b>hash</b> 36:15	includes 19:3	<b>j</b> 3:13 7:4
g	hatcher 26:19	33:18	james 2:3 6:16
<b>g</b> 6:1 7:6	36:12 38:8,19	including 18:4	january 28:22,23
general 1:5,12	health 21:17	21:16 23:4 34:7	29:11
13:17	hear 26:4,5,15	increase 1:6,13	<b>jeff</b> 14:11
getting 10:25 11:5	heard 36:3 39:5,6	indications 18:5	<b>jefferson</b> 1:21 2:6
give 6:17,19 9:9	hearing 1:16 7:19	18:16	2:17,22 6:18 7:3
16:7,19 21:11	8:13,23 15:9,17	individual 22:9	7:12
23:11 25:10 26:2	36:10	32:8	jim 26:22
26:7,25 32:25	hereto 40:15 41:11	information 10:13	job 1:23 10:14
36:13 38:25 39:12	hesitant 38:7	10:18,20 11:5	john 2:15 7:10
giving 19:15 34:3	higher 20:25	14:25 16:10,13	16:6 20:4 38:25
go 12:12 13:1	highly 18:9,20,23	18:21,24 19:3,25	39:12
14:22 15:18,19	21:19	20:20,21,25 21:5,7	<b>joined</b> 7:5,13
16:4,8,11,14,25	<b>hitting</b> 26:19	21:9,10,13 22:1,14	judge 6:9,14 7:1
19:22 22:24 24:2	36:11	23:5,25 25:8 30:1	7:18 8:5,22,25 9:7
26:22,24 27:4	honest 37:15	32:18,25 35:8,18	9:21 11:23 12:5,8
33:9 34:12,17,18	honor 37:13	37:17,21	12:8,9,16,20 14:8
36:15,20,25 38:1	honorable 1:19	instructed 15:21	14:20,22 19:12,14
38:11,16 39:3,9,15	hopefully 11:4	intends 14:15	20:6 22:22 24:5
39:21	26:16 33:7	<b>interest</b> 17:9,18	26:11,19,23 29:10
going 10:4 12:17	house 30:19	18:5,17 22:11	30:23 33:12 34:15
15:20 22:2 26:3	hurt 37:25	interested 22:15	36:3,9,12 37:9
37:25		22:16 40:15 41:12	38:6,8,11,19,22
<b>good</b> 6:2,8 7:18,25	i	investment 17:5	39:8,12,18
9:5,11,19 11:6	idea 20:17	29:24	july 17:4 18:1 19:1
13:1	identified 22:20	involve 34:5	june 17:3,14,20
greenwald 3:9	identifies 21:16	involved 17:7	18:25
7:25 8:1,3	identifying 22:15	30:11 34:7	
grounds 37:14	identities 18:16	involves 13:9	k
group 29:18	<b>identity</b> 22:9 23:3	<b>involving</b> 29:18,19	kansas 2:12 3:9
8.00p 27.10			6:21

Iroon 14.25	litigation 21.16.10	method 36:13	<b>nature</b> 14:21 19:6
keep 14:25	<b>litigation</b> 31:16,19	metnoa 30:13 metro 1:3	
kept 20:1 34:9	33:25 34:5		19:19 33:24
kevin 2:20 7:1	little 20:7 34:2	metro's 1:4	nearly 32:13
30:15	36:14 38:7	michael 3:21 4:3	necessarily 22:1
<b>kind</b> 23:7,25 26:6	llc 3:4	7:4 9:15,25	32:4,15
26:14,15,18 34:4	llp 29:12	mind 36:17	necessary 20:25
36:13 37:6	location 1:20	mine 38:21	need 6:17 10:13,21
kindly 6:10	log 25:20 28:9	<b>minimum</b> 35:16	10:25 11:5 16:4
know 15:19 16:6	look 10:23	minutes 13:18	19:25 20:6 22:1,4
16:24 26:12 34:18	looked 33:4	14:11 28:24	22:12,20 24:7
36:25 37:1,7 38:4	looks 12:2	<b>missouri</b> 1:4,10,11	
38:14	<b>luebbert</b> 3:13 7:4	2:14,19 3:10 6:19	36:15,25
knowledge 40:10	m	6:22 7:3,13 8:1	needed 10:18
41:6	<b>m</b> 2:3 6:16	10:2 40:20	36:20
known 29:18	<b>madison</b> 2:5,16	mitigate 22:19	needs 15:22 16:13
30:17	6:18 7:12	<b>mo</b> 1:21 2:6,12,17	20:25 21:21 23:14
<b>koster</b> 35:12	main 2:11 6:21	2:22 3:6	26:4,5 33:1
l	making 19:2	<b>moore</b> 29:12	<b>neither</b> 40:11 41:7
<b>l</b> 7:6,11	management	morgan 17:4,8,15	nexus 22:4
lack 19:8	29:18	17:22 18:2,8,15,25	non 15:24 25:1
lange 3:11 7:6	manager 3:15	21:16 22:17 29:5	27:7 35:1
large 33:2	marked 5:4,8	29:15,25 30:5,10	nondisclosure
larger 32:3	market 17:19	32:2 33:15	18:13 19:7 20:3
late 12:23	material 13:19	morning 6:2,8	23:1
law 3:4 29:12,16	18:9 19:3 29:9	7:18,25	normal 33:1
29:23,24 30:4,4	31:14	<b>motion</b> 36:23	normally 38:20
33:17 35:21	materials 13:11,17	38:17	<b>notary</b> 1:22 40:19
lawyers 34:6	19:7 28:12,23	<b>move</b> 26:17	notice 9:1
lead 19:4	29:6 31:19	<b>moving</b> 13:21 14:4	<b>number</b> 9:1 17:3
leave 26:7,9 35:23	matter 1:2,9 38:4	<b>mpsc</b> 3:17	25:22
37:6 38:2	matter 1.2,9 38.4 matters 12:2	<b>mspc</b> 3:13	numbers 14:19
legal 20:15 29:15	mean 22:3 23:18	<b>murray</b> 3:15 7:13	0
30:9 32:21,24	26:13,14,18 32:4	21:22 22:2	o 6:1
33:20	32:15 33:4 36:23	n	oath 9:8
liberty 21:3 list 13:19 15:12	37:15,20	<b>n</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1 6:1 7:6	<b>objections</b> 8:20,24 15:3
	mecg 3:2 7:21 meet 38:4		
<b>listed</b> 9:1 25:24 29:5		name 6:2 7:11 8:6 9:24	<b>obligations</b> 14:25 23:11
29:3 listen 16:18	meeting 28:11,12		
	meetings 13:12	names 17:21 18:4 18:6	obtaining 10:13
listening 7:21 8:2	member 3:17,19	10.0	obviously 26:2
	8:9		

occur 34:1	nonong 22.9	places 6.12.25.7.0	nuggentation
	papers 32:8	<b>please</b> 6:13,25 7:9 9:13,24 24:11	presentation 17:15,20 18:2,9,25
occurred 30:6 offers 17:24	<b>part</b> 23:6,19 29:15 30:9		
		<b>point</b> 10:24 12:4 12:21 14:22 19:11	19:9 28:6,14 29:7
office 2:14 7:2,8	participants 23:4		29:8,13,15,17,21
7:11 14:12	participate 17:18	20:14 26:4 32:14	30:1,9,14,18 32:1
officer 30:15,16	18:7,13	33:7 38:2	32:3,22 33:21,24
34:3 40:1,2	participated 23:24	pointed 14:9	34:4,8
<b>oh</b> 12:14	participation	pointless 38:16	presentations
okay 8:11 10:19	18:21	portion 15:24 16:1	14:11,16 17:6,13
11:6 12:14 13:3,6	particular 22:14	24:13 25:1 27:7	<b>presented</b> 14:13
15:17 17:1 20:5	29:4	28:1 29:25 33:16	17:5 29:13 30:3
28:15,18 29:10	parties 9:5 20:18	34:20 35:1	presenting 22:3
31:11 34:19 37:9	20:19 23:2,11	portions 30:11	<b>presiding</b> 6:9
once 26:24 31:7	25:9 26:15 36:14	position 19:14	prevent 22:2
ones 21:11	36:20,23 37:7	possessed 19:10	previous 29:19
<b>opc</b> 3:15,19 13:7	40:12,14 41:8,11	possibility 34:5	35:20
13:13,16 21:21,23	partly 30:10	possible 10:5	previously 7:5
22:7 25:13,21	party 7:16,23	16:17 17:9,19,21	<b>pridgin</b> 1:19 6:8
32:9 35:16,20	19:10 32:6 35:12	18:3,8,18 23:23,25	38:12
39:19	<b>path</b> 38:11	possibly 19:18	primary 33:21
operating 10:9	<b>pause</b> 14:3 26:3	23:17,22	<b>prior</b> 40:5
30:16	people 23:23	<b>post</b> 7:2	privilege 25:20,23
opitz 3:3,4 7:18,20	perfectly 38:18	potential 17:17	25:25 26:2 27:2
7:20,22	performed 22:17	18:4,12 19:24	28:9 29:8,22 30:3
opportunity 23:12	perspective 16:20	<b>poudel</b> 3:17 8:7,8	30:8,22 32:1,5,17
<b>order</b> 18:13 21:1	18:19	powerpoint 28:6	32:19 33:5 35:13
21:12 23:10 32:20	perspectives 34:8	29:7,13 33:21	35:14,15,18,20,21
<b>orders</b> 23:13 36:5	<b>ph</b> 11:3	precious 21:10	privileged 32:16
outcome 40:16	<b>phase</b> 18:5,16	prefer 20:7	34:10 37:16,17
41:12	physical 14:1,14	preference 16:15	<b>pro</b> 17:24
outweigh 23:25	19:16 21:7 37:20	preferring 16:16	probably 20:6
overdue 12:22	physically 19:9	preliminary 17:16	problem 24:9
overview 17:22	<b>pieces</b> 13:8,8	17:22	<b>proceed</b> 6:6 19:13
p	25:14,15	preparation 31:15	38:9
<b>p</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1 6:1	<b>pinch</b> 26:19 36:11	33:16	proceeding 1:20
<b>p.c.</b> 2:4	<b>place</b> 9:8 19:8	<b>prepared</b> 29:16,22	6:4 8:20 15:8
<b>p.c.</b> 2.4 <b>p.o.</b> 2:21	plaintiff 5:3	30:20 31:14,15,18	19:11 22:5 23:16
p.o. 2.21 page 29:13,17	<b>plan</b> 26:8 36:17	31:19 32:9 33:17	36:13 38:19 39:25
33:21	pleadings 36:22	41:3	41:4
pages 28:10,24	38:14	<b>present</b> 3:8 21:8	proceedings 15:11
1		21:20,23	40:3,5,6,9 41:6
30:19 32:13		<u> </u>	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[proceeds - roger] Page 8

nunanada 20,20		noonding 10.0	25.2 6
proceeds 38:20	q	recording 40:8	35:3,6 request 1:4,11 9:7
process 17:17,23	qualified 40:7	redacted 22:14	14:19 15:3 25:21
18:14,22 23:24 31:15	questions 11:7,10		
	11:12,14 19:12,21	reduced 40:7	30:20 33:17
<b>product</b> 30:24	<b>quick</b> 19:23	reference 17:2	requested 13:10
31:13,14,22	quickly 10:4	reflect 6:15	13:16,22 14:12,15
production 13:10	quite 17:25 20:13	regard 29:3 31:12	19:3
13:13	<b>quote</b> 35:11	33:23 37:16	requesting 13:13
<b>progress</b> 9:9 10:24	r	regarding 18:10	35:16
<b>project</b> 17:6,7,11		26:1 29:1,8 31:25	resolve 9:6 26:16
promised 18:14	r 2:1 3:1 6:1 7:11	35:21 37:19	37:2 38:18
<b>proof</b> 35:14	30:18	regional 3:9	<b>resolved</b> 9:4 11:1
proposed 17:17	raise 9:12	regulatory 3:11,15	12:3
protected 14:24	raised 8:14 25:13	3:21 10:2	resolving 9:10
27:1 30:2 32:5	rangeline 3:5	<b>rel</b> 35:11	respect 10:11,24
protective 21:1,12	rate 1:6,13 10:8,12	<b>relate</b> 10:16 21:17	<b>respond</b> 8:18 12:9
23:10,12	18:23 19:1,2,5,8	related 13:12	14:8 22:22 26:8
provide 13:15	23:15 30:2	14:18 17:6 18:10	33:11
14:10,15	rex 4:2	22:17 25:14,15	<b>response</b> 11:2,3,19
provided 11:19	<b>rdx</b> 4:2	28:7 30:16 33:19	14:6 31:10
18:22 20:16 23:5	reads 28:13,25	40:11 41:7	responses 31:12
32:24	<b>ready</b> 6:11 8:24	relation 17:12	rest 11:4 31:24
provisions 20:22	9:20 13:5 15:19	relationship 25:21	results 18:3
<b>proxy</b> 29:19 30:5	16:24,25 19:22	relative 40:13	reviewed 13:18
33:18	25:18 27:4 28:4	41:10	30:18
<b>public</b> 1:22 2:14	34:12,17,18	relevance 19:1,8	<b>right</b> 6:7,23 7:15
2:19 7:8,11 8:14	real 23:15	19:17 21:13 23:15	7:22 8:3,13,23
8:17 10:3 14:11	really 12:4 19:23	23:17	9:12 11:9,24
14:24 15:13,20	<b>reason</b> 8:15 30:7	relevant 19:5	12:25 13:1,6 14:5
16:15,16,25 18:11	reasonably 19:4	21:20 23:22 30:1	15:2,5 16:3,23
18:22 19:10,16,22	reasons 30:21	33:20	19:20 21:25 24:1
20:7 23:19 24:3	receive 35:17	rely 15:6,18	24:6,9 25:8,19
24:11 25:3,6	received 28:10	remote 1:20	26:21 27:3 28:3,8
33:10 34:12,17	recognize 29:6	renew 3:10 8:1	31:2 32:10 33:14
35:4,6 37:12	<b>record</b> 6:4,5,15	report 9:3 18:2	34:11,16 35:5
40:19	9:9 15:13 16:16	29:5	36:6,10 39:2,20
purchase 17:10	36:21 37:1 38:1	reported 1:22	ripe 12:3
purpose 21:8	39:3,16,21,23 40:9	reporter 6:2,3,8	road 3:5
pursuant 14:16	41:5	6:10 15:20,23	roger 2:9 6:16,19
put 16:16	recorded 40:6	24:10,12 25:3,5	8:5 36:1 39:4
		27:4,5 34:17,19	

[ron - think] Page 9

1.10.60	1 1 10 10 07 10		. 20.12
ron 1:19 6:8	<b>short</b> 13:19 25:19	stanley's 17:15,22	swaine 29:12
<b>room</b> 7:4 11:4	34:2	18:2	<b>sworn</b> 9:12,16
15:9	sighted 34:2	<b>start</b> 6:12	40:5
<b>rules</b> 14:17 20:23	signature 40:17	state 9:24 35:11	t
<b>ruling</b> 26:8,18,19	41:14	40:20	t 5:1
38:1	<b>signed</b> 18:12	statement 9:9	take 6:4,12 19:12
running 38:6	<b>simply</b> 15:5 32:7	12:21 16:7 39:5	22:13 24:10 35:17
S	37:14 38:19	statements 20:11	taken 17:12 40:3
s 2:1 3:1 5:1 6:1	sir 8:24 13:5 25:18	statutory 14:24	40:12 41:9
s.w. 35:12	sitting 11:3	stay 20:6,10 22:4	talk 23:20 26:16
	situation 32:11	31:4 33:9,13	
safety 31:6	<b>skills</b> 40:10 41:6	<b>steiner</b> 2:9 4:5	26:24
safety's 20:8	solicit 17:24	6:16,21,24 8:5,6	talking 28:6
sake 20:8 31:6	<b>sorry</b> 7:19 12:10	8:10 11:14,15,17	tell 9:17 10:23
sale 17:19 18:8	12:13,14,16 16:12	11:22 12:8,11,12	tender 11:8
sarah 3:11 7:6	24:8 25:21 26:12	12:16,20 14:6	test 18:7
saying 32:7 38:15	31:1 32:19 39:9	30:23 31:2 38:22	testified 9:18
says 38:19	39:11	38:25 39:4,8,9,11	testifying 40:5
second 14:4 28:16	south 3:5	step 26:17 38:17	testimony 13:21
28:19,20 30:12	speak 8:16 15:15	stop 19:11	13:24,25
secure 21:4 32:21	28:21	storm 30:17	thank 6:7,24 7:1,7
32:24	specialist 3:13	street 2:5,11,16	7:16,23 8:4,10,11
security 21:1	<b>specific</b> 13:14,20	6:18,21 7:12	8:22,25 9:20,21
see 8:6 13:1 15:6	20:22 21:15,22	stress 21:6	11:10,12,13,24
19:17 22:4 23:16	23:18	studies 29:17	12:5,6,25 13:1
36:15 37:18 38:8	spoke 36:12	33:19	14:6 15:3 16:3
seek 21:1	staff 3:17,19 6:25	subject 29:21	19:21 22:1 24:2,5
seeking 21:23 29:4			25:5 28:3 31:3,3
seen 21:5,7 34:1	7:2 8:7,8,14,16,21 12:17 14:24 15:4	sufficient 35:15	33:8 34:12,16
37:21	15:13 24:4 36:8	suggest 22:23,25	35:6,24 36:9 37:9
sensitive 14:20		26:23 34:3,9	38:23 39:18,22
17:25 18:9 19:6	37:10 38:3 39:17	suggesting 38:11	thing 22:6,11
19:19,25 20:18,19	staff's 9:1 12:21	suggests 16:17	things 20:14
23:13	<b>stahlman</b> 3:21 4:3	suite 2:5,16 6:18	think 11:1,22 12:4
separate 25:13	7:4 9:8,11,15,19	7:12	16:5,19 17:14
<b>service</b> 1:7,14 2:19	9:25 11:7,18	suitors 17:17,21	19:11 20:3,10
10:3	stands 35:20	18:3,5,12,14,21	21:14 22:4,12,18
sets 35:22	stanley 17:4,8	22:15	22:20,23 23:11,14
settlement 29:1	18:8,15,25 21:16	supplied 13:16	23:21 25:8 28:5
<b>shaven</b> 3:19 7:14	22:17 29:5,16,25	25:20 32:18	33:5,22 34:2 35:8
shea 41:2,15	30:5,10 32:2	sure 22:24 28:20	36:3 37:2 38:16
	33:15	37:14,22 38:5	38:17 39:4
			30.17 37.4

[third - z] Page 10

41: 1 22 6	4 0 10 10 0 12 7	4 20 0
third 32:6	two 9:10 10:8 13:7	wants 38:8
thompson 2:20 4:4	13:8 14:17 16:21	waters 18:7
7:1,2,7 8:8,21,24	25:14,15,24 37:19	way 8:20 11:5
8:25 9:20,21,23	typewriting 40:7	13:15 22:19 32:6
11:6,9,25 12:1,6	u	we've 21:5 31:23
12:24 15:4 24:4	<b>u</b> 30:18	34:1 37:21
36:8 37:4,9 39:17	ultimately 17:11	weather 28:14
thought 8:16	understand 16:8	30:17 33:24
thoughts 36:20	17:1 20:9 31:9	wednesday 36:19
thursday 1:17	38:22 39:12	went 25:6 35:7
36:19	understood 15:23	<b>west</b> 1:10
tim 3:3 7:20	27:6	west's 1:11
time 1:18 6:11 9:7		willing 14:14
12:5 20:20 36:14	ups 10:17	16:18 22:7,13
37:5	uri 28:14 30:17	36:4 38:10
<b>timely</b> 11:20	use 18:22 23:25	wish 7:16 8:12
times 37:7	useful 23:22	11:1
today 7:21 8:2	utilities 18:11	wishing 7:23
26:9,20 38:2	utility 3:15	withholding 20:15
today's 8:15	V	witness 4:2 9:16
torrence 1:22 6:3	v 35:12	11:8,11 21:21
40:2,18	valuation 17:16	40:4
<b>total</b> 13:9	valuations 17:22	work 10:5,11
transaction 23:8	vantage 10:24	30:24 31:13,13,22
transactions 18:18	various 18:11 23:2	working 9:5
transcriber 41:1	veritext 6:4	worth 13:18
transcript 15:21	version 25:20	wrap 8:19 25:11
15:24 16:1 24:13	videoconference	wrong 28:21
25:1 27:7 28:1	1:16 2:3,9,15,20	X
34:20 35:1 41:3,5	3:3,10,12,14,16,18	x 4:1 5:1
transcriptionist 40:8	3:20,22 view 32:10	y
true 12:24 30:25	virtue 32:7	yeah 14:9 20:13
40:9 41:5		30:25 38:15 39:6
	W	
truly 21:9 truth 9:17,17,18	w 2:9 6:16	<b>Z</b>
truii 9.17,17,18 try 10:4 21:4	want 6:19 20:14	<b>z</b> 7:11
38:18	21:7 22:3 26:15	
	29:9 38:4,15	
trying 10:18 39:5	wanted 23:19	
tuesday 36:24	25:11	
	I .	l .