

Exhibit No.:

Issues: System Energy Losses

Witness: Alan J. Bax

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2005-0436

Date Testimony Prepared: October 14, 2005

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ALAN J. BAX

AQUILA, INC.

**D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS
AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P**

CASE NO. ER-2005-0436

Jefferson City, Missouri

October 2005

My commission **expires**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 3

SYSTEM ENERGY LOSSES 3

JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATIONS 6

DEMAND ALLOCATION FACTOR 6

ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTOR..... 8

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

24
25
26
27
28
29
30

25
26
27
28
29
30

26
27
28
29
30

27
28
29
30

28

29

30

29

30

30

Direct Testimony of
Alan J. Bax

1 Q. Are you a member of any professional organizations?

2 A. Yes, I am a member of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
3 (IEEE).

4 Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission?

5 A. Yes, a list of the cases in which I have filed reports or testimony is
6 attached as Schedule 1 to this Direct Testimony. In particular, I have filed testimony on
7 jurisdictional allocations and system energy losses in electric rate cases involving Aquila,
8 Inc, d/b/a Aquila Networks – MPS and Aquila Networks – L&P (Case No. ER-2004-
9 0034) as well as for Missouri Public Service, at the time a division of Utilicorp United,
10 Inc. (Case No. ER-2001-672), and Empire, (Case Nos. ER-2002-424 and ER-2004-0570).
11 In addition, I filed testimony on losses and jurisdictional allocations in a complaint case
12 involving Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (Case No. EC-2002-1) and filed
13 true-up testimony concerning jurisdictional allocations in an electric rate case involving
14 Empire (Case No. ER-2001-299).

15 Q. To which of the operations of Aquila, Inc. are you directing your
16 testimony?

17 A. My testimony is directed towards the electric operations of Aquila, Inc. in
18 Missouri.

19 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

20 A. The purpose of this testimony is to recommend that the Commission adopt
21 the system energy loss factors that I calculated for Aquila Networks – MPS (MPS) and
22 Aquila Networks – L&P (L&P), as illustrated on Schedules 2 and 3 respectively, attached
23 to this Direct Testimony. I also recommend the adoption of jurisdictional allocation

Direct Testimony of
Alan J. Bax

factors for demand and energy that I calculated for MPS as illustrated on Schedules 4 and 5 respectively, attached to this Direct Testimony. My testimony also describes how I determined these factors.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Q. Would you please summarize the results of your testimony?

A. I have calculated the following system energy loss factors:

MPS – 6.52% of Net System Input

L & P – 6.09% of Net System Input

I have calculated the following jurisdictional demand and energy allocation factors for MPS:

	<u>Retail</u>	<u>Wholesale</u>
Demand	.9951	.0049
Energy	.9942	.0058

SYSTEM ENERGY LOSSES

Q. What is the result of your system energy loss factor calculation?

A. As shown on Schedule 2 attached to this Direct Testimony, I have calculated the system energy loss factor for MPS to be 0.0652, or 6.52% of MPS's Net System Input (NSI). Schedule 3 shows my calculated system energy loss factor for L&P to be 0.0609, or 6.09% of L&P's NSI.

Q. What are system energy losses?

A. System energy losses are the energy losses that occur in the electrical system (e.g., transmission and distribution lines, transformers, etc.) between the generating sources and the customers' meters. Also considered as system energy losses

1 are other amounts of energy such as diversion (stolen energy) or energy utilized in
2 unmetered locations. However, these other items are comparably minute.

3 Q. How are system energy losses determined?

4 A. The basis for this calculation is that NSI equals the sum of "Retail Sales",
5 "Wholesale Sales" (as applicable), "Company Use," and "System Energy Losses." This
6 can be expressed mathematically as:

7
$$\text{NSI} = \text{Retail Sales} + \text{Wholesale Sales} + \text{Company Use} + \text{System Energy Losses}.$$

8 NSI, Company Use, Retail Sales and Wholesale Sales are known; therefore, system
9 energy losses may be calculated as follows:

10
$$\text{System Energy Losses} = \text{NSI} - \text{Retail Sales} - \text{Wholesale Sales} - \text{Company Use}.$$

11 The system energy loss factor is the ratio of system energy losses to NSI:

12
$$\text{System Energy Loss Factor} = (\text{System Energy Losses} \div \text{NSI})$$

13 Q. How is NSI determined?

14 A. In addition to the relationship expressed in the equation above, NSI is also
15 equal to the sum of net generation, the net interchange and applicable resultant
16 inadvertent flows. Net generation is the total energy output of each generating station
17 minus the energy consumed internally to enable its production. Net interchange is the
18 resultant of summing the following calculations:

19 1. The net of off-system purchases and sales and

20 2. The net of purchases and sales between operating divisions of Aquila.

21 Inadvertent flows is the term often utilized in the electric utility industry to
22 describe the portion of the actual physical flows on one's electrical grid structures that are
23 not accounted for in existing contractual and/or scheduled agreements. The output of

Direct Testimony of
Alan J. Bax

1 each generating station is monitored continuously, as is the net of inter-company and off-
2 system purchases and sales and any resultant inadvertent flows. I obtained this
3 information from data supplied by Aquila in response to Staff Data Request Nos. 81, 91,
4 92, 130, and 134.

5 Q. Why are inadvertent flows only included in the calculation of MPS?

6 A. In the response to Staff Data Request 130, Aquila reported the inadvertent
7 flows reflected in Schedule 1 as pertaining to MPS and provided no information for L&P.
8 Upon further questioning, I learned that MPS and L&P were considered as one control
9 area, not separate divisions, in the monitoring and reporting of inadvertent flows. I was
10 informed it would be next to impossible to allocate the data received between MPS and
11 L&P. Therefore, without a means of allocating the reported information between the two
12 operating divisions, I applied the total of the inadvertent flows to MPS.

13 Q. What are Retail Sales, Wholesale Sales and Company Use?

14 A. Retail Sales and Wholesale Sales represent the jurisdictional energy
15 metered within a particular system. In this case, MPS has both wholesale and retail
16 customers on its system, while L&P has only retail customers. Company Use is the
17 electricity used by Aquila at their facilities, with the exception of its power plants, such
18 as the corporate office building. Retail Sales and Wholesale Sales data was provided in
19 response to Staff Data Request No. 136. Company Use data was provided in response to
20 Staff Data Request No. 135.

21 Q. Which Staff witness used your calculated system energy loss factors?

22 A. I provided my calculated system energy loss factors to Staff witness
23 Shawn E. Lange.

JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATIONS

Q. Please define the phrase “jurisdictional allocation”.

A. For purposes of my testimony, jurisdictional allocation refers to the process by which demand-related and energy-related costs are allocated to the applicable jurisdictions. Demand-related and energy-related costs are divided between two jurisdictions: retail and wholesale operations. The application of a particular allocation factor is dependent upon the types of costs being allocated. These calculations were performed for MPS only. L&P has no electric wholesale customers; thus, these calculations were not necessary for that division.

DEMAND ALLOCATION FACTOR

Q. What is the definition of demand?

A. Demand refers to the rate of electric energy that is delivered to a system to meet the energy requirements of its customers, generally expressed in kilowatts or megawatts, either at an instant in time or averaged over a designated interval of time. In my analyses, I used hourly demands.

Q. What types of costs are allocated on the basis of demand?

A. Capital costs associated with generation and transmission plant and certain operational and maintenance expenses are allocated on this basis. This is appropriate because generation and transmission are planned, designed and constructed to meet the anticipated demand.

Q. What methodology did you use to determine the demand allocators?

A. I used what is known as the Four Coincident Peak (4 CP) methodology.

Q. What is meant by “coincident peak”?

Direct Testimony of
Alan J. Bax

1 A. The term coincident peak refers to the load in megawatts (MWs) in each
2 of the jurisdictions that coincides with the hour of MPS's overall system peak recorded
3 for each month in the test period.

4 Q. Why use peak demand as the basis for allocations?

5 A. Peak demand is the largest electric requirement occurring within a
6 specified period of time (e.g., day, month, season, year) on a utility's system. In addition,
7 for planning purposes, an amount must be included for meeting required contingency
8 reserves. Since generation units and transmission lines are planned, designed, and
9 constructed to meet a utility's anticipated system peak demands plus required reserves,
10 the contribution of each individual jurisdiction to these peak demands is the appropriate
11 basis on which to allocate the costs of these facilities.

12 Q. Please describe the procedure for calculating the jurisdictional demand
13 allocation factors using the 4 CP methodology.

14 A. The allocation factor for each jurisdiction was determined using the
15 following process:

- 16 a. Identify MPS's peak hourly load in each month for the four -
17 month period June 2004 through September 2004 and sum the
18 hourly peak loads.
- 19 b. Sum the particular jurisdiction's corresponding loads for the hours
20 identified in a. above.
- 21 c. Divide b. above by a. above.

22 The result is the allocation factor for the particular jurisdiction. The sum of the
23 demand allocation factors across all jurisdictions equals one. The system peak and
24 associated jurisdictional peaks were determined from information provided in the
25 response to Staff Data Requests 92, 93 and 133.
26
27
28

Direct Testimony of
Alan J. Bax

1 Q. What are the results of your calculations?

2 A. As shown on Schedule 4 attached to this Direct Testimony, the calculated
3 demand jurisdictional allocation factors for the test year are as follows:

4 Retail 0.9949

5
6 Wholesale 0.0051
7

8 Q. Which Staff witness used your jurisdictional demand allocation factors?

9 A. I provided these jurisdictional demand allocation factors to Staff witness
10 Phillip K. Williams.

11 **ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTOR**
12

13 Q. What types of costs were allocated on the basis of energy?

14 A. Variable expenses, such as fuel and certain operational and maintenance
15 (O&M) costs, are allocated to the jurisdictions based on energy consumption.

16 Q. How did you calculate the energy allocation factor?

17 A. The energy allocation factor for an individual jurisdiction is the ratio of
18 the annual kilowatt-hour (kWh) usage in the particular jurisdiction to the total MPS
19 system kWh usage. The sum of the energy allocation factors across jurisdictions equals
20 one. Applicable jurisdictional kWh usage totals were provided in the response to Staff
21 Data Request Nos. 92 and 136.

22 Q. What are the calculated energy allocation factors in this case?

23 A. The factors are shown in Schedule 5 and repeated here.

24 Retail .9942

25
26 Wholesale .0058
27
28

Direct Testimony of
Alan J. Bax

1 Q. Which Staff witness used your jurisdictional energy allocation factors?

2 A. I provided these jurisdictional energy allocation factors to Staff witness

3 Phillip K. Williams.

4 Q. Does this conclude your prepared Direct Testimony?

5 A. Yes, it does.

TESTIMONY AND REPORTS FILED
BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BY ALAN J. BAX

COMPANY	CASE NUMBER
Aquila Networks – MPS	ER-2004-0034
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EO-2004-0108
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2002-0424
Kansas City Power and Light	EA-2003-0135
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EO-2003-0271
Aquila Networks – MPS	EO-2004-0603
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2002-0117
Three Rivers and Gascoage Electric Coops	EO-2005-0122
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2002-1
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2001-299
Aquila Networks – MPS	EA-2003-0370
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EW-2004-0583
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EO-2005-0369
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2005-0352
Missouri Public Service	ER-2001-672
Aquila Networks – MPS	EO-2003-0543
Macon Electric Coop	EO-2005-0076
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2004-0556
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2004-0598
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2004-0570
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2005-0110
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2005-0177
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2005-0313
Empire District Electric Company	EO-2005-0275
Aquila Networks – MPS	EO-2005-0270

	Net Generation	Net Interchange	Inadvertant Flows	Net System Input (NSI)	Retail Sales	Wholesale Sales	Company Use	Total Sales
January	345,740	181,944	1,763	529,447	470,239	6,687	911	477,836
February	313,162	161,476	(598)	474,040	467,214	7,320	2,543	477,077
March	308,138	128,381	(96)	436,423	405,512	5,689	3,300	414,500
April	219,886	173,623	3,869	397,378	366,063	3,430	(3,339)	366,154
May	245,508	226,620	(1,704)	470,424	385,354	2,031	510	387,895
June	342,588	157,783	(2,111)	498,260	445,913	2,532	459	448,905
July	343,532	140,562	395	484,489	514,920	2,727	534	518,181
August	342,266	208,919	782	551,967	511,888	2,641	506	515,035
September	406,378	87,343	315	494,036	492,574	2,744	532	495,850
October	275,520	136,776	(1,113)	411,183	406,857	2,360	460	409,677
November	233,112	196,261	(598)	428,775	369,288	2,180	467	371,935
December	277,430	228,307	1,730	507,467	426,607	2,529	922	430,058
	3,653,260	2,027,995	2,634	5,683,889	5,262,430	42,871	7,804	5,313,105

System Energy Loss Factor (Percentage) = [NSI - Total Sales]/NSI = .0652 (6.52%)

	Net Generation	Net Interchange	Net System Input (NSI)	Retail	Company Use	Total Sales
January	63,634	126,860	190,494	171,632	506	172,138
February	52,391	118,016	170,407	171,586	525	172,110
March	61,280	93,293	154,573	152,917	471	153,388
April	58,065	78,052	136,117	131,571	401	131,973
May	37,666	115,795	153,461	134,967	259	135,226
June	57,253	102,635	159,888	146,333	307	146,640
July	61,211	120,945	182,156	166,398	697	167,095
August	60,837	111,836	172,673	167,931	-118	167,813
September	58,011	101,486	159,497	157,281	271	157,552
October	50,444	92,447	142,891	139,375	268	139,643
November	57,338	92,827	150,165	129,149	257	129,405
December	55,510	123,529	179,039	158,965	491	159,456
	673,640	1,277,721	1,951,361	1,828,106	4,333	1,832,439

System Energy Loss Factor (Percentage) = [NSI - Total Sales]/NSI = .0609 (6.09%)

Demand Allocation Factor Calculation

MONTH	HOUR	Load at System Peak		SYSTEM PEAK
		RETAIL	WHOLESALE	
1/5/2004	7:00 PM	945.82	5.18	951.00
2/2/2004	7:00 PM	888.09	4.91	893.00
3/4/2004	7:00 PM	731.93	3.07	735.00
4/19/2004	9:00 PM	678.74	3.26	682.00
5/20/2004	6:00 PM	1059.36	4.64	1064.00
6/14/2004	5:00 PM	1165.05	5.95	1171.00
7/13/2004	5:00 PM	1336.81	7.19	1344.00
8/3/2004	5:00 PM	1327.97	7.03	1335.00
9/14/2004	5:00 PM	1128.91	4.09	1133.00
10/28/2004	8:00 PM	723.37	3.63	727.00
11/30/2004	6:00 PM	859.93	4.07	864.00
12/22/2004	7:00 PM	951.47	5.53	957.00
Sum (June to Sept)		4958.73	24.27	4983.00
Allocation Factor		0.9951	0.0049	1.0000

Energy Allocation Factor Calculation

	Retail Sales	Wholesale Sales	Total Sales
January	470,239,005	6,686,690	476,925,695
February	467,214,112	7,320,400	474,534,512
March	405,511,835	5,688,550	411,200,385
April	366,063,437	3,430,460	369,493,897
May	385,354,018	2,031,270	387,385,288
June	445,913,318	2,532,160	448,445,478
July	514,920,176	2,727,250	517,647,426
August	511,887,634	2,641,110	514,528,744
September	492,573,821	2,743,850	495,317,671
October	406,857,285	2,359,740	409,217,025
November	369,287,905	2,180,380	371,468,285
December	426,607,399	2,529,290	429,136,689
Sum	5,262,429,945	42,871,150	5,305,301,095
Adjustment City of Odessa		(12,005,463)	(12,005,463)
Adjusted Sum	5,262,429,945	30,865,687	5,293,295,632
Allocation Factor	0.9942	0.0058	1.0000