```
Page 1
 1
 2
                        STATE OF MISSOURI
 3
                    PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 4
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 6
                      Prehearing Conference
                           June 3, 2014
 8
                     Jefferson City, Missouri
9
                             Volume 1
10
11
    MICHAEL STARK
                                        )
12
                Complainant,
                                       ) File No.
                                       ) GC-2014-0202
13
       VS.
                                        )
14
     SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, )
     INC.
                                        )
15
16
                  KENNARD L. JONES, presiding,
                                SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
    REPORTED BY:
22
    Patricia A. Stewart
     RMR, RPR, CCR 401
23
    Midwest Litigation Services
     3432 West Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
24
    Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
     (573) 636-7551
25
```

```
Page 2
 1
    APPEARANCES:
    FOR MICHAEL STARK (TELEPHONICALLY):
 3
    PRO SE
 4
     FOR SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC.
 5
    (TELEPHONICALLY):
    Diana Carter, Attorney at Law
 6
     312 East Capitol Avenue
 7
    Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
     (573) 635-7166
 8
 9
    FOR STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
    Alexander Antal, Legal Counsel
10
     John Borgmeyer, Deputy Counsel
    P. O. Box 360
11
     Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
    (573) 751-8517
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 3 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE JONES: All right. On the record in 3 Case No. GC-2014-0202, Michael Stark versus Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc. 4 5 At this time we'll take entries of 6 appearances. 7 Mr. Stark, just introduce yourself. 8 MR. STARK: My name is Michael Stark. I'm the Complainant in the case against Summit Natural Gas. 10 JUDGE JONES: And from Summit Natural Gas. MS. CARTE: Diana Carter with the law firm 11 12 Brydon, Swearengen & England, 312 East Capitol Avenue, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for Summit Natural Gas 13 14 of Missouri. 15 JUDGE JONES: And, Ms. Carter, are you the only one on the line? 16 17 MS. CARTER: Yes. JUDGE JONES: Okay. And for the Staff of the 18 19 Commission. 20 MR. ANTAL: Alex Antal and John Borgmeyer for 21 Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. 22 JUDGE JONES: Now, I will note for the record 23 24 that although the Office of Public Counsel is a party in all of our cases, they have not participated in this 25

Page 4 complaint. 1 2 Well, for your benefit, Mr. Stark, this is a 3 prehearing conference, the purpose of which is to give the parties an opportunity to settle the matter or to 4 5 agree on a procedural schedule towards an evidentiary 6 hearing. 7 We'll have an evidentiary hearing if there are any facts in dispute. I've looked through most of 8 these pleadings, and someone will have to tell me if 10 there are any facts in dispute. MR. STARK: Yes, there are. 11 12 JUDGE JONES: So there are some facts that 13 the company has set out that you disagree with? 14 MR. STARK: Absolutely. 15 JUDGE JONES: You don't need to go over them right now. 16 17 Ms. Carter --MS. CARTER: Yes. 18 19 JUDGE JONES: -- is that your sentiment also, that there are facts in dispute in this matter? 20 21 MS. CARTER: There is no dispute that Summit was not in their right-of-way. Summit has 22 admitted that or acknowledged that they do not have an 23 easement on Mr. Stark's property and the actual county 24 right-of-way is not where they thought it was. 25

	Page 5
1	So there is no dispute that Summit did not
2	have a right-of-way where they placed the pipe.
3	JUDGE JONES: Okay. And that to me seems to
4	be the issue you really have.
5	MR. STARK: The issue is what they did after
6	I told them it was not appropriate for them to be there,
7	that they had no authorization to be there and that they
8	continued their work afterwards, after they were told it
9	was my property, and that's that's the issue that is
10	in dispute.
11	In fact, there's even disputes between what
12	the attorney for Summit Gas has submitted to the Public
13	Service Commission and what they've submitted to the
14	court in my civil suit against them.
15	So there is even there's one version for
16	the civil suit and another version for the Public
17	Service Commission.
18	JUDGE JONES: Okay.
19	Well, if that's the case, then you-all should
20	be working on a procedural schedule.
21	What I would like is a statement of facts
22	that you all agree on so that we don't have to have
23	evidence on facts that no one disputes.
24	Mr. Stark.
25	MR STARK. I would agree with the attorney

	Page 6
1	for Summit's statement that they had no easement and no
2	right to be on my property and I would agree there is a
3	point of agreement.
4	JUDGE JONES: Okay.
5	Are there any witnesses you'll need to call,
6	Mr. Stark?
7	MR. STARK: Perhaps so
8	JUDGE JONES: Okay.
9	MR. STARK: but I'm not sure that I'll
10	have all
11	JUDGE JONES: You'll have time to think that
12	through, but that is something that I'll need to
13	consider.
14	I don't anticipate this being more than a
15	one-day hearing, probably a half-day hearing, and you
16	all have to agree on a date. It will be here, down the
17	hall in the larger hearing room, or it could probably be
18	held in 305.
19	Let's see.
20	Do you have any questions about this process?
21	MR. STARK: Yes, I do, a couple things that I
22	have to do.
23	I'd like to know what sort of criteria the
24	court will require from witnesses. Will witnesses be
25	allowed to phone in? Witnesses, will I be allowed to

	Page 7
1	bring a statement from them, a written statement? If I
2	have a written statement, do you want it to be
3	notarized? Or do you actually feel as though those
4	witnesses actually have to be here at the hearing?
5	JUDGE JONES: Well, being at the hearing, of
6	course, is always best because then their credibility
7	can be observed by myself and the commissioners.
8	An affidavit is fine. Yes, it does have to
9	be notarized. Telephone, we'll have to play that by ear
10	if it's absolutely necessary, because in an actual
11	evidentiary hearing it will create a difficulty. It's a
12	logistical issue but it's possible.
13	MR. STARK: I understand.
14	JUDGE JONES: It's not impossible.
15	Everything is possible.
16	MR. STARK: The second question I have, news
17	reports about my incident with the gas company having
18	come without a legitimate basis for doing so and
19	destroying my property and not taking care of it, as
20	well as other similar instances in our area, are now
21	part of news reports, and the attorney for Summit has
22	made those news reports part of the record by referring
23	to them in her response to the Commission.
24	So I'd like to know in what format I can have
25	those news reports conveyed to the court? Can I simply

	Page 8
1	provide the link to those news articles or what will the
2	court want?
3	JUDGE JONES: What you're doing now and
4	giving you procedural advice as far as how to proceed in
5	the case in this matter, I don't have a problem with
6	that, but you're starting to drift into me giving you
7	legal advice.
8	MR. STARK: I'm just asking what the court
9	will consider that they want to to represent those
10	news reports?
11	JUDGE JONES: Well, the reason I say that is
12	for me to suggest to you a method of presenting those
13	news reports assumes that the news reports are evidence.
14	MR. STARK: Well
15	JUDGE JONES: They're not evidence.
16	MR. STARK: Well, at this point they would be
17	evidence because they're
18	JUDGE JONES: Not when I said they're not
19	evidence.
20	MR. STARK: Okay, sir.
21	JUDGE JONES: There is no record for there to
22	be evidence at this point.
23	MR. STARK: They are in the
24	JUDGE JONES: So for me to suggest to you a
25	format to present something creates presumption that it

Page 9 is admissible. 2 MR. STARK: So then --3 JUDGE JONES: I'm not going to advise you on that. 4 5 MR. STARK: Then am I to understand that the 6 references to it in the Plaintiff's response to the 7 Commission's demand for an explanation of why it was on my property is -- am I to understand then that those 8 will not be considered? 10 JUDGE JONES: No, you're not to understand it. You're not to understand anything from my response. 11 12 That's the point I'm making is that I'm not going to create any fertile ground for you to be able to present 13 anything that presents your case. 14 15 MR. STARK: I understand. 16 JUDGE JONES: Consult a lawyer or read a book 17 or something, I don't know, to figure out how those 18 things can be presented to the Commission. 19 MR. STARK: Okay. 20 JUDGE JONES: What I'm asking you is if you 21 have questions about the process that we're going 22 through. 23 Like now we're having a procedural hearing. You and our Staff and Summit will then discuss a 24 procedural schedule, the timeframe under which certain 25

	Page 10
1	things will happen and what is necessary to happen in
2	order to come to the resolution of the case.
3	MR. STARK: Am I to understand that the
4	purpose of this conference is to encourage the parties
5	to come to some sort of a maybe we'll use the word
6	compromise or consensus?
7	JUDGE JONES: It is. It is.
8	MR. STARK: Okay. Thank you.
9	JUDGE JONES: Okay. Mr. Antal,
10	Mr. Borgmeyer, do you have any questions?
11	MR. BORGMEYER: Just to see whether or not
12	you have any the Commission has any specific
13	expectations in terms of filing testimony or if
14	that's
15	JUDGE JONES: Prefiled testimony is overkill
16	in this case. We won't need any prefiled testimony.
17	In some of our cases we have witnesses
18	prefile testimony. It's a method of discovery they
19	usually only have in large rate cases.
20	MR. BORGMEYER: So the Commission is not
21	necessarily anticipating some sort of report from the
22	Staff prior to the hearing?
23	JUDGE JONES: No.
24	MR. STARK: I'm curious if this would be an
25	appropriate question to ask.

	Page 11
1	If and when the Commission determines that
2	Summit violated its tariff by not having the appropriate
3	easements to come on to my property, what is the course
4	of action then that the Public Service Commission might
5	take as a result of that?
6	JUDGE JONES: I won't speak for the
7	Commissioners.
8	MR. STARK: Okay.
9	JUDGE JONES: Ms. Carter, do you have any
10	questions or concerns?
11	MS. CARTER: No, Judge, unless you have a
12	goal for a hearing date on how quickly you'd like us
13	to
14	JUDGE JONES: That's between you-all. I'll
15	be here.
16	MS. CARTER: Okay.
17	JUDGE JONES: Okay. Anyone else have any
18	questions?
19	Well, good luck. Maybe we won't need a
20	hearing. Maybe you all will come to an agreement of
21	some sort. All right.
22	Okay. With that we'll go off the record.
23	WHEREIN, the prehearing conference concluded
24	at 10:13.
25	

	Page 12			
1				
2				
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER			
4				
5	I, Patricia A. Stewart, RMR, RPR, CCR, a			
6	Certified Court Reporter in the State of Missouri, do			
7	hereby certify that the testimony that appears in the			
8	foregoing transcript was taken by me to the best of my			
9	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me;			
10	that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed			
11	by any of the parties to the action in which this			
12	hearing was taken, and further that I am not a relative			
13	or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the			
14	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested			
15	in the outcome of the action.			
16				
17				
18				
19	Patricia A. Stewart			
20	CCR No. 401			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

A	Borgmeyer 2:10	complaint 4:1	6:1	good 11:19
ability 12:9	3:20 10:10,11	compromise 10:6	easements 11:3	ground 9:13
able 9:13	10:20	concerns 11:10	East 2:6 3:12	
absolutely 4:14	Boulevard 1:23	concluded 11:23	employed 12:10	H
7:10	Box 2:11 3:22	conference 1:6	12:13	half-day 6:15
acknowledged	bring 7:1	4:3 10:4 11:23	employee 12:13	hall 6:17
4:23	Brydon 3:12	consensus 10:6	encourage 10:4	happen 10:1,1
action 11:4 12:11		consider 6:13 8:9	England 3:12	hearing 4:6,7
12:15	C	considered 9:9	entries 3:5	6:15,15,17 7:4
actual 4:24 7:10	C 3:1	Consult 9:16	evidence 5:23	7:5,11 9:23
admissible 9:1	call 6:5	continued 5:8	8:13,15,17,19	10:22 11:12,20
admitted 4:23	Capitol 2:6 3:12	conveyed 7:25	8:22	12:12
advice 8:4,7	care 7:19	counsel 2:10,10	evidentiary 4:5,7	held 6:18
advice 9:4,7	CARTE 3:11	3:24 12:10,13	7:11	
affidavit 7:8	Carter 2:6 3:11	county 4:24	expectations	I
agree 4:5 5:22,25	3:15,17 4:17,18	couple 6:21	10:13	impossible 7:14
6:2,16	4:21 11:9,11,16	course 7:6 11:3	explanation 9:7	incident 7:17
*	case 3:3,9 5:19	court 5:14 6:24		instances 7:20
agreement 6:3 11:20	8:5 9:14 10:2	7:25 8:2,8 12:6	F	interested 12:14
Alex 3:20	10:16	create 7:11 9:13	fact 5:11	introduce 3:7
Alexander 2:10	cases 3:25 10:17	creates 8:25	facts 4:8,10,12,20	issue 5:4,5,9 7:12
	10:19	credibility 7:6	5:21,23	
allowed 6:25,25	CCR 1:22 12:5	criteria 6:23	far 8:4	J
Antal 2:10 3:20	12:20	curious 10:24	feel 7:3	Jefferson 1:8,24
3:20 10:9	certain 9:25	curious 10.24	fertile 9:13	2:7,11 3:13,22
anticipate 6:14	CERTIFICATE	D	figure 9:17	John 2:10 3:20
anticipating	12:3	D 3:1	File 1:12	JONES 1:16 3:2
10:21	Certified 12:6	date 6:16 11:12	filing 10:13	3:10,15,18,23
appearances 2:1	certify 12:7	demand 9:7	financially 12:14	4:12,15,19 5:3
3:6	City 1:8,24 2:7	Deputy 2:10	fine 7:8	5:18 6:4,8,11
appears 12:7	2:11 3:13,22	destroying 7:19	firm 3:11	7:5,14 8:3,11
appropriate 5:6	civil 5:14,16	determines 11:1	foregoing 12:8	8:15,18,21,24
10:25 11:2	come 7:18 10:2,5	Diana 2:6 3:11	format 7:24 8:25	9:3,10,16,20
area 7:20	11:3,20	difficulty 7:11	further 12:12	10:7,9,15,23
articles 8:1	Commission 1:3	disagree 4:13		11:6,9,14,17
asking 8:8 9:20	2:9 3:19,21	discovery 10:18	G	Judge 1:16 3:2
assumes 8:13	5:13,17 7:23	discuss 9:24	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 3:1	3:10,15,18,23
attorney 2:6 5:12	9:18 10:12,20	dispute 4:8,10,20	gas 1:14 2:4 3:4,9	4:12,15,19 5:3
5:25 7:21 12:13	11:1,4	4:21 5:1,10	3:10,13 5:12	5:18 6:4,8,11
authorization	commissioners	disputes 5:11,23	7:17	7:5,14 8:3,11
5:7	7:7 11:7	disputes 5.11,25 doing 7:18 8:3	GC-2014-0202	8:15,18,21,24
Avenue 2:6 3:12	Commission's	drift 8:6	1:12 3:3	9:3,10,16,20
	9:7	uiiito.U	give 4:3	10:7,9,15,23
<u>B</u>	company 4:13	E	giving 8:4,6	11:6,9,11,14,17
basis 7:18	7:17	E 3:1,1	go 4:15 11:22	June 1:7
benefit 4:2	Complainant	ear 7:9	goal 11:12	
best 7:6 12:8	Complanialit		_	K
book 9:16	1:12 3:9	easement 4:24	going 9:3,12,21	

know 6:23 7:24	note 3:23	probably 6:15,17	8:13	Stark's 4:24
9:17		problem 8:5	represent 8:9	starting 8:6
	0	procedural 4:5	require 6:24	State 1:2 12:6
L	O 2:11 3:1,22	5:20 8:4 9:23	resolution 10:2	statement 5:21
L 1:16	observed 7:7	9:25	response 7:23 9:6	6:1 7:1,1,2
large 10:19	Office 3:24	proceed 8:4	9:11	Stewart 1:22
larger 6:17	Okay 3:18 5:3,18	PROCEEDIN	result 11:5	12:5,19
law 1:16 2:6 3:11	6:4,8 8:20 9:19	1:4	right 3:2 4:16 6:2	submitted 5:12
lawyer 9:16	10:8,9 11:8,16	process 6:20 9:21	11:21	5:13
legal 2:10 8:7	11:17,22	property 4:24	right-of-way	suggest 8:12,24
legitimate 7:18	one-day 6:15	5:9 6:2 7:19 9:8	4:22,25 5:2	suit 5:14,16
Let's 6:19	opportunity 4:4	11:3	RMR 1:22 12:5	Suite 1:23
line 3:16	order 10:2	provide 8:1	room 6:17	Summit 1:14 2:4
link 8:1	outcome 12:15	Public 1:3 2:9	RPR 1:22 12:5	3:3,9,10,13
Litigation 1:23	overkill 10:15	3:21,24 5:12,16		4:22,22 5:1,12
logistical 7:12		11:4	S	7:21 9:24 11:2
looked 4:8	P	purpose 4:3 10:4	S 3:1	Summit's 6:1
luck 11:19	P 2:11 3:1,22		schedule 4:5 5:20	sure 6:9
	part 7:21,22	Q	9:25	Swearengen 3:12
M	participated 3:25	question 7:16	SE 2:3	
making 9:12	parties 4:4 10:4	10:25	second 7:16	T
matter 4:4,20 8:5	12:11,14	questions 6:20	see 6:19 10:11	take 3:5 11:5
method 8:12	party 3:24	9:21 10:10	SENIOR 1:16	taken 12:8,12
10:18	Patricia 1:22	11:10,18	sentiment 4:19	tariff 11:2
Michael 1:11 2:2	12:5,19	quickly 11:12	Service 1:3 2:9	Telephone 7:9
3:3,8	phone 6:25		3:21 5:13,17	TELEPHONI
Midwest 1:23	pipe 5:2	R	11:4	2:2,5
Missouri 1:2,8	placed 5:2	R 3:1	Services 1:23	tell 4:9
1:14,24 2:4,7,9	Plaintiff's 9:6	rate 10:19	set 4:13	terms 10:13
2:11 3:4,13,14	play 7:9	read 9:16	settle 4:4	testimony 10:13
3:21,22 12:6	pleadings 4:9	really 5:4	similar 7:20	10:15,16,18
	point 6:3 8:16,22	reason 8:11	simply 7:25	12:7
N	9:12	record 3:2,23	sir 8:20	Thank 10:8
N 3:1	possible 7:12,15	7:22 8:21 11:22	sort 6:23 10:5,21	thereto 12:14
name 3:8	prefile 10:18	reduced 12:9	11:21	things 6:21 9:18
Natural 1:14 2:4	prefiled 10:15,16	references 9:6	speak 11:6	10:1
3:4,9,10,13	prehearing 1:6	referring 7:22	specific 10:12	think 6:11
necessarily 10:21	4:3 11:23	REGULATORY	Staff 2:9 3:18,21	think 0.11 thought 4:25
necessary 7:10	present 8:25 9:13	1:16	9:24 10:22	time 3:5 6:11
10:1	presented 9:18	related 12:10	9.24 10.22 Stark 1:11 2:2	time 5.5 0.11 timeframe 9:25
need 4:15 6:5,12	presenting 8:12	relative 12:12	3:3,7,8,8 4:2,11	
10:16 11:19	presents 9:14	report 10:21	4:14 5:5,24,25	told 5:6,8
neither 12:10	presiding 1:16	REPORTED		transcript 1:4 12:8
news 7:16,21,22	presumption	1:21	6:6,7,9,21 7:13	
7:25 8:1,10,13	8:25	Reporter 12:3,6	7:16 8:8,14,16	Truman 1:23
8:13	prior 10:22	reports 7:17,21	8:20,23 9:2,5	typewriting 12:9
notarized 7:3,9	PRO 2:3	7:22,25 8:10,13	9:15,19 10:3,8 10:24 11:8	$oxed{ oxed{ f U}}$
noiarizea / 5 9				

understand 7:13	5		
9:5,8,10,11,15	573 1:24 2:7,12		
10:3	3731.272.7,12		
use 10:5	6		
usually 10:19	635-7166 2:7		
	636-7551 1:24		
V	65101 1:24 2:7		
version 5:15,16	65102 2:11 3:13		
versus 3:3	3:22		
violated 11:2	3.22		
Volume 1:9	7		
VS 1:13	751-8517 2:12		
\mathbf{W}			
want 7:2 8:2,9			
West 1:23			
we'll 3:5 4:7 7:9			
10:5 11:22			
we're 9:21,23			
witnesses 6:5,24			
6:24,25 7:4			
10:17			
word 10:5			
work 5:8			
working 5:20			
written 7:1,2			
WIIII 1.1,2			
Y			
you-all 5:19			
11:14			
11.14			
1			
1 1:9			
10:13 11:24			
2			
2014 1:7			
207 1:23			
3			
3 1:7			
305 6:18			
312 2:6 3:12			
3432 1:23			
360 2:11 3:22			
300 4.11 3.44			
4			
401 1:22 12:20			
4111 ' / / / ' /			