BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE
October 4, 2016

Volume 1

Jefferson City, Missouri

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,

Complainant,

VS.

File No. GC-2016-0149

Missouri Gas Energy, An Operating Unit of Laclede Gas Company, Respondent.

> NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY: Li sa M. Banks, CCR, 1081 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1		APPEARANCES		
2				
3	RICK ZU	JCKER, attorney at Law 700 Market Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101		
4	FOR:	314. 621. 6960 Mi ssouri Gas Energy		
5				
6 7	MARK JO	OHNSON, Staff Counsel Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street		
8		P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.6514		
9	FOR:	The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission		
10	MARK POSTON, Counsel			
11	WAKK FC	Office of Public Counsel 200 Madison Street		
12		P. O. Box 2230		
13	EOD.	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.5558		
14	FUR:	Office of Public Counsel and the Public		
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: This is the time set for a
3	procedural conference in The Staff of the Missouri Public
4	Service Commission versus Missouri Gas Energy, operating company
5	or Operating Unit of Laclede Gas, Case No. GC-2016-0149. It
6	is October 4th. And I'm Nancy Dippell, the regulatory law judge
7	assigned to this and I will start with entries of appearance.
8	Can we start with Staff.
9	MR. JOHNSON: On behalf of Staff, Mark Johnson.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel?
11	MR. POSTON: Mark Poston appearing for the
12	Office of the Public Counsel.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: And MGE or Laclede, which should
14	I call you?
15	MR. ZUCKER: You should call us MGE for this
16	case.
17	JUDGE DI PPELL: Okay.
18	MR. ZUCKER: Rick Zucker here on behalf of
19	Missouri Gas Energy, also known as MGE.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: So again, I set this time for
21	basically the procedural prehearing conference so you all could
22	figure out dates and so forth, but let me just start by asking
23	because when I read through the complaint and the answer, it
24	really looks like there's not a lot of facts in dispute. It
25	looks to me like there's legal issues of was there a variance

required and if so or if not, was the notice sufficient. Do you 1 2 all see other factual issues that are --3 MR. ZUCKER: There really aren't many factual 4 I agree with you. Whether the variance is required, I i ssues. 5 think Staff has moved on from that to the proration issue. 6 JUDGE DI PPELL: 0kay. 7 MR. ZUCKER: Originally the case was about the 8 variance and now it's about proration. We are trying to develop 9 the facts on how many were prorated and when, so there is some 10 -- there are some facts to develop from that standpoint. 11 Otherwise, you're right; it's not -- it shouldn't be a real 12 difficult case from a factual standpoint. 13 Well, I would encourage JUDGE DI PPELL: Okay. 14 you all then to -- as you're looking at this, consider whether 15 this is appropriate for a motion for summary judgment, maybe 16 just oral arguments and not -- you know, if you guys could 17 stipulate to the facts, or even if you can't stipulate to all of 18 the facts, if you could stipulate to -- I mean, like you say, 19 there's a lot of agreement in the answer and the complaint as to 20 the basics, so if you guys could stipulate to those facts then 21 that would make any hearing more arguments or whatever, that 22 much easier and really narrow it down to whatever's at stake. 23 Do you all have any questions for me? 24 MR. POSTON: No. I don't think so. 25 MR. JOHNSON: No.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I printed out a few
2	months, like through February of the Commission's calendar. But
3	as you probably all are aware it gets really busy with rate
4	cases after the first of the year. So I also I don't know
5	how involved you all are in the rate cases, so if you know,
6	you might consider I'm not that busy right now, so you might
7	consider getting this over with before the first of the year,
8	too, if you can manage that. If not, it may have to be
9	schedul ed even farther out.
10	MR. JOHNSON: I know Mark is probably, Mark
11	Poston probably busier than I am.
12	MR. POSTON: I am with yeah, with those rate
13	cases.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. So well, I'll let you all
15	like I say, my calendar is pretty open right now, so I will
16	probably most likely be happy with whatever you come up with.
17	If there's nothing else for me, then we can go
18	off the record and I'll leave you all to it.
19	(Hearing has concluded.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, LISA M. BANKS, a Certified Court Reporter, within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

John Banks CCB MR Banks

			l	I
A	Commission 1:1	F	K	October 1:6 3:6
ability 6:7	1:10 2:6,9 3:4	facts 3:24 4:9,10	know 4:16 5:4,5	Office 2:11,13
action 6:9,13	Commission's	4:17,18,20	5:10	3:12
agree 4:4	5:2	factual 4:2,3,12	known 3:19	Okay 3:17 4:6
agreement 4:19	company 1:13	farther 5:9		4:13 5:1,14
answer 3:23	3:4	February 5:2	L	open 5:15
4:19	Complainant	figure 3:22	Laclede 1:13 3:5	operating 1:12
appearance 3:7	1:11	File 1:11	3:13	3:4,5
appearing 3:11	complaint 3:23	financially 6:12	law 1:16 2:2 3:6	oral 4:16
appears 6:5	4:19	first 5:4,7	leave 5:18	Originally 4:7
appropriate	concluded 5:19	foregoing 6:5	legal 3:25	outcome 6:12
4:15	conference 1:5	forth 3:22	Lisa 1:19 6:3,15	P
arguments 4:16	3:3,21	further 6:10	LLC 1:20	
4:21	consider 4:14		looking 4:14	P 2:1,1 3:1
asking 3:22	5:6,7	G	looks 3:24,25	P.O 2:7,12
assigned 3:7	counsel 2:6,10	G 3:1	lot 3:24 4:19	parties 6:9,11
attorney 2:2	2:11,13 3:10	Gas 1:12,13 2:4	Louis 2:3	Poston 2:10 3:11
6:11	3:12 6:8,11	3:4,5,19	M	3:11 4:24 5:11
aware 5:3	Court 1:20 6:3	GC-2016-0149		5:12
		1:11 3:5	M 1:19 6:3	prehearing 3:21 Presiding 1:15
<u>B</u>	\mathbf{D} 3:1	getting 5:7	M.Banks 6:15	U
Banks 1:19 6:3	dates 3:22	go 5:17	Madison 2:7,11	pretty 5:15 printed 5:1
basically 3:21	deposition 6:5	guys 4:16,20	manage 5:8	printed 5.1 probably 5:3,10
basics 4:20	6:10	H	Mark 2:6,10 3:9	5:11,16
behalf 3:9,18	develop 4:8,10	-	3:11 5:10,10 Market 2:3	procedural 1:5
best 6:7	difficult 4:12	happy 5:16 hearing 4:21	mean 4:18	3:3,21
Box 2:7,12	Dippell 1:15 3:2	5:19	MGE 3:13,15,19	PROCEEDIN
busier 5:11	3:6,10,13,17	3.19	Missouri 1:2,7	1:4
busy 5:3,6	3:20 4:6,13 5:1	I	1:10,12 2:3,4,8	prorated 4:9
<u>C</u>	5:14	interested 6:12	2:9,12 3:3,4,19	proration 4:5,8
C2:13:1	direction 6:8	involved 5:5	6:4	Public 1:1,10
calendar 5:2,15	dispute 3:24	issue 4:5	months 5:2	2:6,9,11,13,13
call 3:14,15	duly 6:5	issues 3:25 4:2,4	motion 4:15	3:3,10,12
case 3:5,16 4:7			motion 4.13	
4:12	E	J		Q
cases 5:4,5,13	E 2:1,1 3:1,1	Jefferson 1:7 2:8	N	questions 4:23
CCR 1:19 6:15	easier 4:22	2:12	N 2:1 3:1	
CERTIFICATE	employed 6:9,11	Johnson 2:6 3:9	Nancy 1:15 3:6	R
6:1	employee 6:11	3:9 4:25 5:10	narrow 4:22	R 2:1 3:1
Certified 6:3	encourage 4:13	judge 1:16 3:2,6	neither 6:8	rate 5:3,5,12
certify 6:4	Energy 1:12 2:4	3:10,13,17,20	notice 4:1	read 3:23
City 1:7 2:8,12	3:4,19	4:6,13 5:1,14	0	real 4:11
come 5:16	entries 3:7	judgment 4:15		really 3:24 4:3
			O 3:1	4:22 5:3

record 5:18	TIGER 1:20	4		
reduced 6:7	time 3:2,20	4 1:6		
regulatory 1:16	TRANSCRIPT	4th 3:6		
3:6	1:4			
related 6:8	trying 4:8	5		
relative 6:10	typewriting 6:7	573.751.5558		
REPORTED		2:13		
1:19	U TI TI 12 2 5	573.751.6514		
Reporter 6:1,3	Unit 1:12 3:5	2:8		
REPORTING	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			
1:20	variance 3:25	6		
required 4:1,4	4:4,8	63101 2:3		
Respondent	versus 3:4	65102 2:8,12		
1:13	Volume 1:8	7		
Rick 2:2 3:18	vs 1:11	700 2:3		
right 4:11 5:6,15		. 30 2.5		
S	$oldsymbol{ ext{W}}$			
S 2:1 3:1	whatever's 4:22			
scheduled 5:9	witness 6:4,6			
see 4:2	T 7			
Service 1:1,10	X			
2:6,9 3:4	Y			
set 3:2,20	yeah 5:12			
St 2:3	yean 5.12 year 5:4,7			
Staff 1:10 2:6,9	year 5.4,7			
3:3,8,9 4:5	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$			
stake 4:22	Zucker 2:2 3:15			
standpoint 4:10	3:18,18 4:3,7			
4:12				
start 3:7,8,22	0			
State 1:2 6:4	1			
stipulate 4:17,17				
4:18,20	1 1:8 1081 1:19			
Street 2:3,7,11	1001 1.19			
sufficient 4:1	2			
summary 4:15	200 2:7,11			
sworn 6:6	2016 1:6			
	2230 2:12			
taken 6:6,10	3			
testimony 6:5,6				
thereto 6:12	314.621.6960 2:4			
think 4:5,24	360 2:7			
	300 4.7			
	1	1	<u> </u>	I