

Exhibit No.: _____

Issue(s):

Strategic Plan and
Corrections to Rebuttal

Witness // Type of Exhibit:

Bolin/Supplemental
Rebuttal

Sponsoring Party:

Public Counsel

Case Nos.:

GM-2001-585

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

FILED³

OF

AUG 13 2001

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

Missouri Public
Service Commission

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

Gateway Pipeline Company, Inc.

Missouri Gas Company

Missouri Pipeline Company

Case No. GM-2001-585

August 13, 2001

NP

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Joint Application)
of Gateway Pipeline Company, Inc., Missouri)
Gas Company and Missouri Pipeline Company)
and the Acquisition by Gateway Pipeline)
Company of the Outstanding Shares of)
UtiliCorp Pipeline Systems, Inc.)


Case No. GM-2001-585

AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
COUNTY OF COLE)

Kimberly K. Bolin, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:


1. My name is Kimberly K. Bolin. I am a Public Utility Accountant for the Office of the Public Counsel.
2. Attached, hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes, is my supplemental rebuttal testimony consisting of pages 1 through 3 and schedules.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Kimberly K. Bolin

Subscribed and sworn to me this 13th day of August, 2001.



My Commission expires May 3, 2005.


Bonnie S. Howard, Notary Public

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

GATEWAY PIPELINE COMPANY, INC.

CASE NO. GM-2001-585

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.**

2 A. Kimberly K. Bolin, P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

3 **Q. ARE YOU THE SAME KIMBERLY K. BOLIN WHO FILED REBUTTAL**
4 **TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?**

5 A. Yes.

6 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

7 A. The purpose of my supplemental rebuttal testimony is to further express the Public Counsel's
8 position regarding the approval of the proposed acquisition of Utilicorp Pipeline Systems (UPL) by
9 Gateway Pipeline Company (Gateway or Company). I will also make a correction to my rebuttal
10 testimony filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission on July 30, 2001.

11 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY HAVE A BUSINESS STRATEGIC PLAN?**

12 A. ** _____ **

13 **Q. WHAT IS A BUSINESS STRATEGIC PLAN?**

14 A. A business strategic plan is a statement of the company's goals and needs for the future. The plan
15 should outline how the company will achieve its future goals and who will be responsible for
16 meeting these goals. One example of what the strategic plan should include is the company's need

for expansion, when and where this expansion will occur and how the company will finance the expansion. The strategic plan should also outline who will be responsible for the expansion and provide a possible timeline for the expansion.

Q. WHY SHOULD THE COMMISSION REVIEW A COMPANY'S STRATEGIC PLAN BEFORE APPROVING THIS TYPE OF TRANSACTION?

A. The Commission should review a company's strategic plan in order to gain a sense of what direction the new company will take in the future. The Commission could then determine whether the future plans for the utility could possibly be detrimental to the ratepayers.

CORRECTION TO REBUTTAL

Q. DO YOU HAVE A CORRECTION TO MAKE TO YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY FILED WITH THE COMMISSION ON JULY 30, 2001?

A. Yes. Starting on pages five, line 27 my testimony reads:

In the future, if the Company activates this pipeline the pipeline will be regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission(FERC). The Missouri Public Service Commission will not have jurisdiction over the pipeline since it crosses the Missouri/Illinois state line.

Also, if the Company activates the pipeline held by UPL and connects MGC and MPL to this system, the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) will no longer regulate MGC and MPL, but FERC will since the pipelines will become an interstate pipeline

My testimony corrected should read:

In the future, if the Company activates this pipeline, the pipeline could be regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Thus, the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) may not have jurisdiction over the pipeline if certain conditions are met.

1 Also, if the Company activates the pipeline held by UPL and connects
2 MGC and MPL to this system, the Commission may no longer have
3 jurisdiction to regulate MGC and MPL, but FERC may if certain
4 conditions are met to make this pipeline an interstate pipeline.

5 **Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY MORE CORECTIONS TO MAKE TO YOUR REBUTTAL**
6 **TESTIMONY?**

7 A. No I am not aware of any corrections that need to be made at this time.

8 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

9 A. Yes.

SCHEDULE KKB-5

HAS BEEN DEEMED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY.