Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Block Usage Kim Cox

Witness: Sponsoring Party:

MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony

Type of Exhibit: Case No.:

ER-2019-0335

Date Testimony Prepared:

January 21, 2020

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

TARIFF/RATE DESIGN DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIM COX

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Ameren Missouri

CASE NO. ER-2019-0335

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2020

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	KIM COX
5 6	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Ameren Missouri
7	CASE NO. ER-2019-0335
8 9	RESPONSE TO AMEREN MISSOURI REGARDING NORMALIZED FIRST BLOCK USAGE1
10	LIPATED WEATHER AND DAYS REVENUE

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		KIM COX
4 5	·	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANÝ, d/b/a Ameren Missouri
6		CASE NO. ER-2019-0335
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	Kim Cox, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as
11 -	a Policy Ana	alyst in the Tariff and Rate Design Department of the Industry Analysis Division
12	of the Comn	nission Staff.
13	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony in this case?
14	A.	Yes. I provided testimony in the Cost of Service direct filing.
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
16	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to Union Electric Company,
17	d/b/a Amere	en Missouri's ("Ameren Missouri") witness Michael Harding regarding Ameren
18	Missouri's n	nethod of normalizing the percentage of kilowatt-hours ("kWh") billed in the first
19	rate block fo	or residential and small general service ("SGS") customers and provide updated
20	weather and	days revenue for the Res, SGS, LGS, SPS and LPS rate classes.
21 22	RESPONSE BLOCK US	TO AMEREN MISSOURI REGARDING NORMALIZED FIRST AGE
23	Q.	What is the current rate design on Ameren Missouri's residential tariff?

- A. Residential customers are billed a customer charge that is the same amount year round, plus a flat per kWh rate for usage during the months of June, July, August, and September, and a declining block rate for usage over 750 kWh for all other months of the year.
 - Q. What is the current rate design on Ameren Missouri's SGS tariff?
- A. For the winter months, an SGS customer's usage is divided between Base and Seasonal usage. Seasonal usage is defined as usage greater than 1,000 kWh and in excess of the lesser of a) the kwh use during the preceding May billing period, or b) October billing period, or c) the maximum monthly kWh use during any preceding summer month, which is then billed at a rate that is less than the rate charged for a customer's base usage or a customer's usage under 1,000 kWh. For the summer months, a flat rate per kWh used during the summer months of June, July, August, and September is charged.
- Q. How did Ameren Missouri determine the amount of normalized kWh that should be billed in the first rate block during the winter months?
- A. Ameren Missouri used a regression analysis that studied the relationship between the average billing month heating degree days ("HDD") and the percent of actual kWh billed in the first block for each winter month from 2007 to 2018. Then Ameren Missouri applied the outcome of the regression to the normal HDD of the applicable winter month of the test year to find the percent of normalized kWh that should be billed in the first block for the month.
- Q. Did Staff review Ameren Missouri's data for the winter months for the years 2007 through 2018?

Rebuttal Testimony of Kim Cox

A. Yes. The table below from Ameren Missouri's work paper¹ displays that January 2018 had the highest HDDs out of all of the years presented.

Color of the control of the Color of the Col	one to severe and the second s		
	<u>Jani</u>	January	
2	% Block 1	Actual HDD	
200	7 49.41%	790.4	
200	8 43.64%	994.2	
200	9 41.16%	1084.2	
201	0 38.88%	1196.0	
201	1 40.35%	1166.5	
201	2 47.38%	826.6	
201	3 44.69%	936.1	
201	4 40.76%	1156.2	
201	5 44.61%	995.2	
201	6 48.29%	846.2	
201	7 44.58%	974.3	
201	8 41.26%	1209.9	

Q. Does the Company's regression appropriately capture the relationship between weather and usage for current customers?

A. No. For example, January 2018 had a higher percentage of usage billed in the first rate block compared to January 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2014 where the percent of usage billed in the first block was lower than January 2018 even though there were fewer HDDs on average. Given the relationship between HDDs and customer usage, the Company's regression fails to capture that variables other than weather have impacted a customer's overall response to weather. Further, the Company's individual monthly regressions for the months of May, October, November and December result in questionably low R² values. The closer the R² value is to 1.0 the more reasonable it is to assume that the variance of weather explains the variance of usage.

¹ Harding, Work paper RES and SGS Block Normalization.

	Company Calculated % for	
Month	Block 1	Adjusted R ²
January	42.14%	0.93178
February	46.47%	0.96130
March	53.13%	0.97941
April	62.89%	0.94884
May	73.09%	0.49695
October	71.85%	0.81987
November	69.13%	0.67494
December	52,55%	0.80472

Q.	Did the results of Ameren Missouri's study determine a reasonable amount of	
nalized kWh for the first rate block?		

A. No. For example, for the months of January and April 2019, Ameren Missouri applied a 98.5 and 92.6 weather factor² to normalize actual usage for those months. This can be interpreted as January and April 2019 were colder than normal months and customers used more kWh than normal. Therefore, total kWh would need to decrease by 98% and 93% in order to normalize the usage or similarly customers would have used less kWh if January and April 2019 were normal. Given this example, normalized kWh in either of Ameren Missouri's two residential rate blocks should decrease since Ameren Missouri has both customers whose usage does not exceed 750 kWh in a winter month, and whose usage does exceed 750 kWh in a given winter month. However, Ameren Missouri's percentage of kWh to be billed in January and April's first residential rate block, as determined by the Company's regression, resulted in the "actual" first residential rate block and only the second block was adjusted.

² Weather factor = Normal Weather usage (kWh)/ Actual Weather usage (kWh).

Rebuttal Testimony of Kim Cox

20

21

1	Q.	Is this a reasonable result?
2	A.	No. If the weather factor was less than one, then both blocks should decrease
3	by some perce	entage.
4	Q.	How did Staff determine the amount of normalized kWh for the residential class
5	that should be	e billed in the first rate block during the winter months for the residential class?
6	A.	Staff reviewed actual monthly cumulative frequency distribution data ³ for the
7	residential cla	ass and performed an analysis using the change in average usage per customer
8	when kWh is	normalized to develop a normalized percentage of usage for the first rate block.
9	Q.	What is the difference between the actual monthly usage provided by Amerer
10	Missouri and	the cumulative frequency distribution data?
11	A.	The cumulative frequency data only includes usage from customers who
12	received a ful	Il bill in the month, so any customer who received a partial bill was excluded
13	Therefore, the	e total number of customers and kWh in the cumulative frequency data does no
14	exactly mate	h the test year billing determinants that are being normalized; however
15	the cumulativ	e frequency is still reasonable to use because it reflects the blocking for a ful
16	month custom	ner.
17	Q.	Why did Staff use this method over a regression that provides the relationship
18	between avera	age usage per customer and the percent of kWh billed in the first block?
19	A.	Staff did attempt to use a regression for the Residential class; however, the

results during the winter months did not produce a reasonable outcome.

Q. Did Staff use this same method for the SGS class?

³ Cumulative frequency distribution data is the distribution of customer bills and kWh over various block sizes. This data shows how many customers and how much kWh exceed or do not exceed certain rate blocks.

Rebuttal Testimony of Kim Cox

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

A. No. Staff used a regression and tested its reasonableness against the cumulative frequency distribution and kWh billed sales for the month.

UPATED WEATHER AND DAYS REVENUE

- Q. Why did Staff update the weather and days revenue?
- A. Staff witness Michael L. Stahlman provided updated weather normalization factors. Staff adjusted the billing units by the updated factors Mr. Stahlman provided and applied the current rates to determine the updated weather normalization revenues. Mr. Stahlman discusses the update to weather in his rebuttal testimony.
 - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
 - A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Decrease Its Revenues for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2019-0335
AFFIDAVIT	OF KIM COX
STATE OF MISSOURI)	
COUNTY OF COLE) ss.	•
COMES NOW KIM COX and on her oath	declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age;
that she contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Tecorrect according to her best knowledge and bel	estimony of Kim Cox; and that the same is true and lief.
Further the Affiant sayeth not.	Kin Cox
JUI	RAT
	nstituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for
the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my off	fice in Jefferson City, on this 1644 day of
January, 2020.	
•	
	Dianna L. Vanor
DIANNA L. VAUGHT	Notary Public
Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: July 18, 2023 Commission Number: 15207377	