EXHIBIT

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s):

Financial Analysis of Company

Witness/Type of Exhibit:

Burdette/Supplemental

Rebuttal

Sponsoring Party:

Public Counsel

Case No.:

GM-2001-585

SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MARK BURDETTE

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

GATEWAY PIPELINE COMPANY, INC., MISSOURI GAS COMPANY AND MISSOURI PIPELINE COMPANY Case No. GM-2001-585

Date 9-05-01 Case No.Cm-2001-585

Reporter Ks

August 13, 2001



BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Gateway Pipeline Company, Inc., Missouri Gas Company and Missouri Pipeline Company.) Case No. GM-2001-585)			
AFFIDAVIT OF MARK BURDETTE				
STATE OF MISSOURI)				
COUNTY OF COLE) ss				
Mark Burdette, of lawful age and beir	ng first duly sworn, deposes and states:			
My name is Mark Burdette. Counsel.	I am a Financial Analyst for the Office of the Public			
2. Attached hereto and made a p testimony consisting of pages <u>I</u> through <u>4</u> °	art hereof for all purposes is my supplemental rebuttal			
3. I hereby swear and affirm that true and correct to the best of my knowledge	my statements contained in the attached testimony are and belief.			
	Mark Burdette Mark Burdette			
Subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and sworn to me this 13 th day of the subscribed and subscribed	Bonnie S. Howard Notary Public			
My commission express May 3, 2005.				

1		SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		MARK BURDETTE
4		GATEWAY PIPELINE COMPANY, INC.
5		CASE NO. GM-2001-585
6		
7		INTRODUCTION
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
9	A.	Mark Burdette, P.O. Box 7800, Ste. 650, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-7800.
10	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
11	Α.	I am employed by the Office of the Public Counsel of the State of Missouri (OPC or Public
12	ı	Counsel) as a Public Utility Financial Analyst.
13 14	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN THIS PROCEEDING?
15	A.	Yes. I filed Rebuttal testimony.
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
17	Α.	I will make additional comments on the proposed acquisition by Gateway Pipeline Company,
18		Inc. (Gateway) from UtiliCorp United Inc. (UtiliCorp, UCU), all outstanding shares of
19		UtiliCorp Pipeline Systems, Inc. (UPL), the unregulated parent company of Missouri Gas
20		Company (MGC) and Missouri Pipeline Company (MPC).
21 22	Q.	DO YOU BELIEVE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SHOULD GRANT APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION?
23	A.	No.

1 2	Q.	WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THE MPSC SHOULD DENY THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION?
3	A.	I do not think the companies have provided sufficient evidence or support to establish that
4		this transaction is financially sound and will not be detrimental to the public interest.
5	Q.	HAVE COMPANY RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS PROVIDED THE DETAILED AND COMPLETE INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR YOUR ANALYSIS?
7	Α.	No. As I said in my rebuttal testimony, the information provided has been insufficient to
8		allow for a complete analysis. For example, below is the question asked in Public Counsel
		data request RO13, and an excerpt from the company response:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31		
20 29		**
30 31		Unfortunately, ** ** is not sufficient, **
32		**, to alleviate fears of detriment. **
33		
34		
35		
	44	

Mark Burdette - Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony GM-2001-585 Gateway Pipeline Company

1		
2		
3		
4		·
5		
6		**
7 8 9	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY PROVIDED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON ISSUES WHERE THE PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED INFORMATION WAS LACKING OF INCOMPLETE?
10	Α.	Yes, some data request responses have been updated.
11	Q.	DO THESE UPDATES ALLEVIATE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S CONCERNS?
12	A .	No.
13	<u> </u> 	
14		**
15		In fact, the updated response provides an area of additional concern.
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE AREA OF ADDITIONAL CONCERN?
17	Α.	*
18	<u>:</u> :	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Mark Burdette - Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony GM-2001-585 Gateway Pipeline Company

1		
2		
3		
4		
I		•
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		** Beyond
15		that, the MPSC is facing a murky picture of Gateway and this proposed transaction.
16	Q.	DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
17	A.	Yes.
	8	