

Exhibit No. ____
Issues: Response to Staff Rebuttal Report
Witness: Kris Zadlo
Type: Surrebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Invenergy Transmission LLC
Case No. EM-2019-0150
Date Testimony Prepared: April 3, 2019

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE NO. EM-2019-0150
SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF KRIS ZADLO
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, INVENERGY LLC

ON BEHALF OF JOINT APPLICANTS

APRIL 3, 2019

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Kris Zadlo and I am the Senior Vice President, Commercial Analytics, Regulatory Affairs and Transmission for Invenergy LLC. My business address is One South Wacker Drive, Suite 1800, Chicago, IL 60606.

Q. Did you file Direct Testimony in this matter on February 1, 2019?

A. Yes, I did. I also filed Supplemental Direct Testimony on November 12, 2018, and Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony on December 10, 2018, in Case No. EA-2016-0358, the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (“CCN Case”).

Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of this surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich filed on March 27, 2019 and the Rebuttal Report also filed on March 27, 2019 by the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff (“Commission”).

Q. Will you please summarize the Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Dietrich and the Staff Rebuttal Report?

A. As noted in Ms. Dietrich’s testimony, and as more fully detailed in the Rebuttal Report, the Commission Staff recommends that the Commission approve the Joint Application of Invenergy Transmission LLC (“Invenergy”) and its parent company Invenergy Investment Company LLC, together with Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC (“Grain Belt”) and its parent company Grain Belt Express Holding LLC, which requested that the Commission approve a change in ownership of Grain Belt. Along with recommending approval of the proposed transaction, Staff also recommended that the Commission find

1 that the proposed transaction is not detrimental to the public interest, subject to the
2 conditions ordered by the Commission in its March 20, 2019 Report and Order on
3 Remand in the CCN Case.

4 **Q. What is your response to the recommendations made by the Commission Staff?**

5 **A.** Invenergy agrees that the transaction is not detrimental to the public interest and is
6 appreciative of Staff's analysis and its recommendation that the transaction be approved.
7 Further, Invenergy agrees to the conditions outlined by the Commission in its March 20,
8 2019 Report and Order on Remand that was issued in the CCN Case.

9 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

10 Yes.

