1		** ²⁹ I have reviewed the Company's
2		modeling input files as provided to the parties in discovery, 30 and I have found **
3		**. However, I am not privy to all aspects of the Company's modeling process.)
4	Q.	WHAT WAS THE CALCULATED IMPACT OF REMOVING MERAMEC FROM "MUST-RUN
		STATUS IN THE PROSYM MODEL?
5	A.	As reported by Ameren, removing the must-run designation from Meramec in the PROSYM model for the
6		test-year period (April 2013 through March 2014) resulted in a reduction of output of **
7		** and reduced fuel costs of ** **, offset by reduced off-system sales revenue of
8		** **, resulting in net benefit of ** **.31 However, based on the model output
9		files provided by the Company, 32 I calculate that the avoided fuel cost would be ** **;
10		avoided operations and maintenance (O&M) costs, which were not reported by Ameren, total **
11		**; and the decrease in off-system sales totals ** **; for a net ratepayer benefit of
12		** **. **. ** **. ** **. ** Much of the benefit not reported by Ameren stems from avoided O&M costs, and I
13		do not know the source of the remaining discrepancy between the model files provided by the Company
		and the claimed impact of removing the Meramec must-run status on costs and revenues.
14		Based on the model output files provided by the Company, ³⁴ I calculated independently that the
15		avoided fuel cost would be ** **, as claimed by Ameren; in addition, avoided operations and
16		
17		
18		
19		²⁹ Response to MPSC Data Request 0079, ¶4ii. ³⁰ Response to Sierra Club Data Request 008; Response to MIEC Data Request 1.11.
20		³¹ Response to Sierra Club Data Request 008, ¶c. ³² I refer specifically to the monthly output files provided by the Company in response to Sierra Club Data
21		Request 008. Monthly output with Meramec set to must run was provided in the file "SC_1 SC_008_S_Bector Att SC008 MPSC2014PolarVMerMRws HC.pdf", and output with Meramec set
		as dispatchable was provided in the file "SC_1 SC_008_S_Bector Att SC008—MPSC2014PolarVSx90ws—HC.pdf".
22		The details of these calculations are reflected in my workpapers. 1 I refer specifically to the monthly output files provided by the Company in response to Sierra Club Data
23		Request 008. Monthly output with Meramec set to must-run was provided in the file "SC_1-SC 008 S Bector-Att-SC008 - MPSC2014PolarVMerMRws - HC.pdf", and output with Meramec set
24		as dispatchable was provided in the file "SC 1-SC 008 S Bector-Att-SC008 - MPSC2014PolarVSx90ws - HC.pdf".
25	D:	

Direct Testimony of Ezra D. Hausman

Case No. ER-2014-0258 Page 15 of 21

Q.

maintenance (O&M) costs, which were not reported by Ameren, total ** **. I find that the
decrease in off-system sales totals **
The primary source of the discrepancy is Ameren's failure to consider avoided O&M costs. I do not know
the source of the discrepancy in net off-system sales revenues between the model files provided by the
Company and the claimed impact of removing the Meramec must-run status.
On the cost side, in addition to the loss of off-system sales revenue included in the above
calculation, there may be some additional operational costs that are not captured by the model, such as
increased wear on the units leading to more frequent shutdowns. However, the Company has not
quantified such costs to my knowledge, and I have no independent way of estimating them.
WHAT WOULD BE THE IMPACT OF **
**?
**
**_Ameren has not performed this analysis, 36 so the magnitude of the potential
savings is unknown.
The details of these calculations are reflected in my workpapers. Response to MPSC Data Request 0079, ¶3: **
**

Direct Testimony of Ezra D. Hausman

Case No. ER-2014-0258

Page 16 of 21