Exhibit No.:

Issue: Transportation Witness: Lesa Jenkins

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: GR-2014-0086

Date Testimony Prepared: July 11, 2014

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

REGULATORY REVIEW UTILITY SERVICES PROCUREMENT ANALYSIS

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

LESA JENKINS

SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC.

CASE NO. GR-2014-0086

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2014

** Denotes Highly Confidential Information **

PR

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
2	LESA JENKINS
3	SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC.
4	CASE NO. GR-2014-0086
5	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
6	CASHOUT OF MONTHLY IMBALANCES OF TRANSPORTATION CUSTOMERS3
7	TRANSPORTATION SUPPLY BALANCING FOR SCHOOLS10

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	OF
2	LESA JENKINS	
3	SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISS	SOURI, INC.
4	CASE NO. GR-2014-008	6
5	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
6	A. Lesa Jenkins, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, N	MO 65102.
7	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capa	acity?
8	A. Procurement Analysis Unit, Utility Service	es Department with the Missouri
9	Public Service Commission (Commission).	
10	Q. Are you the same Lesa Jenkins that sponsor	red portions of Staff's Class Cost-
11	Of-Service Report in this case addressing miscellane	eous tariff issues pertaining to
12	transportation service and Missouri school program transpor	rtation service?
13	A. Yes, I am.	
14	Q. Did you sponsor any schedules attached	to the Staff's Class Cost-Of-
15	Service Report?	
16	A. Yes. Schedule LJ-1 contained my credenti	als and a list of cases in which I
17	have previously filed testimony or Staff recommendations	as well as the issues that I have
18	addressed in testimony. Additionally, Schedule LJ-2, a sta	andard form for the pool operator
19	agreement was attached.	
20	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
21		mony in this case.

A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Michelle Moorman for Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc., (SNG) and the direct testimony of Louie Ervin Sr. for the Missouri School Boards' Association (MSBA). In summary, Staff does not oppose the imbalance tiers proposed by SNG, but Staff recommends a different monthly imbalance cashout methodology than the methodology proposed by SNG. Staff does not oppose MSBA's proposal to cashout school transportation customer imbalances at the Tier-1 charge, but Staff recommends that SNG monitor its transportation customers' monthly imbalances to ensure that the tiers provide the proper incentive for all transportation customers to modify nominations to stay in balance.

Q. How does your rebuttal testimony contrast with the direct testimony of Ms. Moorman and Mr. Ervin?

A. Ms. Moorman's testimony discusses significant changes to SNG's transportation and school aggregation tariffs, changes to balancing provisions (including a tiered cash-out provision), and changes to the school transportation/aggregation program pertaining to capacity release provisions. Mr. Ervin's testimony discusses tariff changes pertaining to school transportation issues of (1) cashout of monthly imbalances and (2) interruption or curtailment of customer supply.

Staff recommends revisions to SNG's proposed tariff changes pertaining to transportation balancing provisions and the related cashout of monthly imbalances for transportation customers, including a separate cashout provision for school transportation customers. Staff does not support Mr. Ervin's proposed change to the tariff pertaining to interruption or curtailment of supply for school transportation customers. Staff continues to

_

¹ Moorman Direct, pages. 15-17

² Ervin Direct, pages. 6-11

recommend the tariff revision for capacity release for school transportation as addressed in Staff's Class Cost-Of-Service Report, page 16, lines 23 to 32.

CASHOUT OF MONTHLY IMBALANCES OF TRANSPORTATION CUSTOMERS

Q. SNG has proposed imbalance tiers and a referenced "Cashout Price Determinant" to be used in the calculation of the imbalance cashout.³ What is a transportation imbalance and how is it addressed in the SNG tariff?

A. Each transportation customer—or each pool of transportation customers—obtains its own natural gas supplies, which may be obtained through a third party. The supply is transported on pipeline capacity acquired by the transportation customers. The transportation capacity may be obtained from SNG or from a third party, such as an interstate pipeline. Ideally, the natural gas supplies nominated for the transportation customer or transportation pool, scheduled and received on the pipeline equals the natural gas delivered to SNG and ultimately used by the transportation customer(s) that purchased the natural gas. However, the natural gas delivered to SNG, after reduction for fuel and loss, may not equal the natural gas used by each transportation customer or each pool of transportation customers. The difference is referred to as an "imbalance." Transportation imbalances, especially large imbalances, may cause SNG to change its natural gas supply purchasing practices for its sales customers to keep the SNG system in balance which could increase natural gas costs to sales customers.

SNG's proposed tariff sheets describe the company's proposed transportation imbalance formula, imbalance percentage formula and positive and negative imbalance as follows:⁴

³ SNG Proposed Tariff Sheet Revisions, filed as Original Sheet No. 36, Cancelling 1st Revised Sheet No. 29A

Imbalance Formula: Variances between Shipper's gas receipts 1 2 adjusted for Fuel Reimbursement (a) and Shipper's deliveries (b) 3 shall result in the Month End Imbalance Volume (c). 4 (a) - (b) = (c)5 Imbalance Percentage Formula: Month End Imbalance Volume (c) divided by Shipper's deliveries (b) 6 7 (c)/(b) = Imbalance %8 A positive imbalance is said to have occurred when the Month End 9 Imbalance Volume (c) results in a positive number. This is to say 10 that the Shipper has delivered to Company more gas than Shipper has consumed (over-delivery), resulting in Company purchasing 11 excess gas from Shipper. 12 13 A negative imbalance is said to have occurred when the Month End Imbalance Volume (c) results in a negative number. This is to 14 15 say that the Shipper has delivered to Company less gas than Shipper has consumed (under-delivery), resulting in Company 16 selling additional gas to Shipper. 17 **Cashout Provisions:** 18 19 Month End Imbalance Volumes (c) shall be cashed out according 20 to the appropriate Tables below by applying only one (1) Cashout 21 Price Adjustment to ALL of the imbalance volumes as determined 22 by the calculated month end imbalance percentage. 23 Q. Ms. Moorman's direct testimony, page 16, lines 2-7 references the imbalance 24 tiers in the SNG proposed tariff revisions. What is an imbalance tier? An imbalance tier is a distinct level of imbalance that is intended to measure 25 A. 26 the magnitude of the imbalance for the purpose of applying different cash out rates as 27 imbalances become larger, and therefore, of greater concern. For the SNG proposed tariff, 28 when an imbalance occurs, the transportation customer responsible for the imbalance must 29 either (1) make a payment (a "cashout") to compensate SNG for having to purchase additional 30 gas (in the case of a negative imbalance) or (2) receives a credit for excess gas (in the case of

⁴ SNG Proposed Tariff Sheet Revisions, filed as Original Sheet No. 35, Cancelling 2nd Revised Sheet No. 29

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

a positive imbalance) for SNG to absorb (by injecting in storage or reducing its natural gas supply nominations) or selling excess natural gas supplies.

SNG proposes increased levels of cashouts as the monthly imbalances become larger.⁵ SNG proposes three cashout levels/tiers set in five percent increments. The first tier is for imbalances that are zero to five percent. The second tier is for imbalances that are greater than five percent to 15 percent. The third tier is for imbalances that are greater than 15 percent. The cashout of the monthly imbalance is calculated using the factor in each tier times the imbalance times a referenced price referred to as a "cashout price determinant."⁶

- Q. Does Staff agree with the proposed imbalance tiers and the cashout price determinant?
- A. Staff does not oppose the proposed imbalance tiers. The use of tiers for cashout of imbalances provides a financial incentive to minimize the imbalance. However, Staff does not support the cashout price determinant (d) proposed by SNG to be used in the cashout calculation. SNG's proposed tariff revision references a cashout price determinant that is based on the higher or lower of the following:
 - Beginning Storage Weighted Average Cost of Gas (WACOG) as calculated by Company for the Delivery Month
 - Actual Purchase WACOG for the Delivery Month as calculated by the Company
 - Currently in effect Purchases Gas Adjustment (PGA)
- Q. Please explain why Staff disagrees with the SNG proposed cashout price determinants.

⁵ Moorman Direct, page 16, lines 2-7

⁶ SNG Proposed Tariff Sheet Revisions, filed as Original Sheet No. 36, Cancelling 1st Revised Sheet No. 29A

A. Although the SNG proposal is to use the higher or lower of these three factors, these factors do not reasonably reflect the actual natural gas costs SNG could incur for natural gas supply when the imbalance occurs. Thus, the cashout may not provide a reasonable financial incentive to minimize the imbalance.

The beginning storage WACOG is inappropriate in the imbalance cashout calculation because SNG's gas supply plans are to inject the natural gas supplies into storage for later withdrawal to provide natural gas for SNG's natural gas sales customers. No storage gas is being reserved to serve transportation customers. If SNG plans to use storage gas as one means of balancing transportation customers, then a portion of the fixed costs associated with storage, the storage reservation costs, should be allocated to transportation customers.

The actual purchase WACOG for the delivery month is also inappropriate in the imbalance cashout calculation, because natural gas purchased for the delivery month includes baseload⁷ and swing gas⁸ contracted in advance to serve SNG's natural gas sales customers. If SNG resolves imbalances by purchasing gas at daily prices throughout the month, this natural gas can have a much higher cost than the actual purchase WACOG for the delivery month. For example in a cold winter, there can be many instances when the daily price of natural gas is higher than the actual purchase WACOG for the delivery month that includes fixed price or monthly index priced natural gas.

_

⁷ "Baseload" supply agreements are for the same contracted quantity to flow each day of the month during the term of the agreement (one month or multiple months). Baseload supply agreements may be set up in the month prior to the date of flow or may be set up many months in advance of the flow month.

⁸ "Swing gas" supply agreements have a specified maximum daily quantity, but allow nominations of zero up to the maximum daily quantity. Swing supply agreements may be for one or multiple months and are generally set up prior to the beginning of the winter. Swing agreements provide the LDC with flexibility to increase or decrease nominations, daily if needed, in response to changing weather and customer requirements and for flexibility in managing storage balances, but without the necessity to be in the daily market trying to find natural gas supplies.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Finally, SNG's currently effective PGA rate is not appropriate because it is based on an estimate of gas costs which is trued up through the annual Actual Cost Adjustment (ACA) process. The PGA/ACA does not reflect the variability in each month's natural gas prices, which is one reason why the PGA/ACA is for natural gas sales customers and not transportation customers. Other problems with use of the currently effective PGA rate to cashout monthly imbalances are addressed in the direct testimony of Mr. Ervin.⁹

What cashout price determinant does Staff recommend? Q.

A. Staff recommends the cashout price determinant be based on a published index price that more reasonably reflects the price of natural gas that SNG may have to purchase to cover the transportation imbalances. Different publications publish index prices. **

** and thus this publication would be available to reference index prices in the monthly imbalance cashout formula. Staff recommends SNG use Gas Daily because it publishes daily and weekly index prices for the natural gas transported on the pipelines that serve SNG's divisions.

Staff proposes the cashout price determinant reference be to either the highest or lowest of the Gas Daily "Weekly weighted average prices" determined for each cashout month for all imbalances. Transportation customers may be able to obtain natural gas at a lower price than the weekly weighted average price. If transportation customers obtain more natural gas on their own and thereby stay in balance, they will not be subject to the monthly imbalance cashout price. In this way, the weekly weighted average price acts as an incentive for the transportation customers to stay in balance and not rely on SNG for balancing.

⁹ Ervin Direct, page 9, lines 18-23 and page 10, lines 1-7

Rebuttal Testimony of Lesa Jenkins

Therefore Staff proposes to replace the wording in SNG tariff sheets as described in more 1 2 detail in Schedule LJ-3. 3 Do others use this methodology for cashout of transportation imbalances? Q. 4 A. Yes. Various interstate pipelines reference the Gas Daily weekly or daily 5 index prices and apply a multiplier for the cashout tiers of monthly imbalances. In drafting 6 the recommended revision to the cashout price determinant, Staff used the wording in the 7 Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC tariff for reference. Midcontinent Express Pipeline refers 8 to the Weekly Weighted Average Prices in Gas Daily ** ** Some pipelines cashout formulas reference prices in 9 Natural Gas Week, but ** 10 11 ** Is Midcontinent Express' cashout methodology used by the pipelines serving 12 Q. the SNG divisions? 13 14 A. No. The two pipelines serving the SNG divisions are ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) and Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline (SSCGP). Although both of these pipeline 15 16 tariffs have provisions for cashout of monthly imbalances, the cashout methodology is different for each pipeline and is different from that proposed by SNG. Although SNG's 17 18 system imbalances are cashed out using the ANR or SSCGP tariffs, SNG may change its 19 behaviors for natural gas supply purchasing based on the level of the transportation 20 imbalances on its system. SNG's natural gas supply purchasing will be based on the 21 operational requirements of its system and the nature of its gas supply planning. Thus, Staff 22 does not oppose a different cashout methodology for monthly imbalances from those in the ANR and SSCGP pipeline tariffs, but the fact that Staff's proposed cashout methodology is 23

PR

- similar to that of another pipeline, Midcontinent Express, shows that Staff's proposal is not unreasonable for SNG.
 - Q. SNG's proposed tariff change in Original Sheet No. 34 states that determination of imbalances will be made after SNG adjusts the transportation customer(s) natural gas receipts for fuel reimbursement (fuel usage and/or unaccounted for line losses). Does Staff agree with this proposed tariff change?

A. Yes. Fuel usage and/or unaccounted line losses would reduce the volume of natural gas that reaches the SNG city gates or town border stations. In addition, it is appropriate for transportation customers to pay for the additional transportation costs incurred when negative imbalances occur. When SNG has to buy natural gas to cover negative imbalances of transportation customers, SNG must transport the additional gas using the transportation capacity it had reserved to serve its natural gas sales customers. Natural gas sales customers pay for that transportation capacity through the PGA/ACA process. Therefore, transportation customers should reimburse SNG and the natural gas sales customers for the use of the transportation capacity that the sales customers have paid for. The cashout provisions in the tariff should clarify the inclusion of the pipeline fuel, pipeline capacity, and any pipeline commodity charges related to transporting gas to cover the negative imbalance. Staff recommends SNG's proposed tariff, Original Sheet No. 35, Cancelling 2nd Revised Sheet No. 29 be modified, with the revision underlined below:

Cashout Provisions:

Month End Imbalance Volumes (c) shall be cashed out according to the appropriate Tables below by applying only one (1) Cashout Price Adjustment to ALL of the imbalance volumes as determined by the calculated month end imbalance percentage, <u>plus pipeline fuel</u>, <u>pipeline capacity and pipeline commodity charges</u>.

TRANSPORTATION SUPPLY BALANCING FOR SCHOOLS

Q. Does Staff oppose Mr. Erwin's proposal to cash out all school imbalances in Tier-1, regardless of the actual size of the imbalance?¹⁰

A. No. At this time, Staff is not opposed to Tier-1 cashout for SNG school transportation customers using the same cashout price determinant methodology Staff has proposed for cashout of transportation service monthly imbalances for other transportation customers, as discussed previously. However, Staff recommends that SNG monitor school imbalances to determine whether a Tier-1 cashout provides the appropriate incentive for the schools to minimize their monthly imbalances.

If such a revision is made, SNG must clarify the Tier-1 cashout provision for school transportation customers in its proposed tariff, Original Sheet No. 47, cancelling Original Sheet No. 41 in the Missouri School Program Transportation Service Rate Schedule, Section 4., pertaining to Shipper(s) Balancing Obligation.

At this time Staff can accept separate treatment for this group of transportation customers because few schools have daily telemetry that would provide information regarding daily usage, which makes it difficult to monitor actual imbalances. All other transportation customers require daily telemetry. Only school transportation customers with meters over one hundred thousand therms annually (10,000 dekatherms/year) require daily telemetry.

- Q. Without daily metering, how do schools or the pool operator monitor imbalances?
- A. The schools or pool operators have access to weather reports and would know if its scheduled quantity of natural gas is based on normal, warm, or cold weather. Schools

_

¹⁰ Ervin Direct, page 6, lines 9-11.

¹¹ Section 393.310.4(3) RSMo and as discussed in Staff's Class Cost-Of-Service Report, Witness Kim Cox, page 21, lines 28-29 through page 22, lines 1-7.

and pool operators also have access to historical data they can use to estimate usage for normal, warm, and cold weather, summer usage, and evaluate impacts to estimated usage for days that school is not in session in both summer and winter months. To minimize imbalances, the schools—through its pool operator—should be making revisions to its scheduled quantity of natural gas for changes in actual and predicted weather and changes in school operations (such as snow days, summer school, and holidays). For example, if the pool operator scheduled a quantity of natural gas at the start of the month based on a forecast of warm weather, and the weather is actually normal or cold, and forecasts call for the remainder of the month to continue to be normal or cold, the scheduled quantity should be increased throughout the month. In this scenario, the schools, through its pool operator, would have to acquire additional daily or multiple-day supply of natural gas to increase the scheduled quantity.

- Q. Why are imbalances a problem for the utility?
- A. Failure of the schools' pool operator to balance gas supply receipts and deliveries for the schools can cause SNG to buy additional higher-priced gas in the daily gas market for those imbalances, to inject or withdraw natural gas in storage for those imbalances (which impacts the planned availability of storage to serve firm sales customers), and/or increase or decrease monthly scheduled quantity of natural gas supplies. All of these actions can result in higher cost of gas to serve firm sales customers. For the SNG proposed tariff, over or under-deliveries of natural gas to the schools will result in cashout of the monthly imbalances.

1	Q. Do you agree with Mr. Ervin's comments that school transportation customer
2	imbalances are at least in part created by SNG placing a higher priority on sales service
3	supply and curtailing MSBA commodity deliveries? ¹²
4	A. No. As explained below, school transportation customer imbalances are
5	primarily caused by failure of the pool operator on the schools' behalf to adjust its natural gas
6	supply purchases as the schools' needs for natural gas supply change throughout the month.
7	First of all, contrary to Mr. Ervin's testimony, evidence shows that **
8	** SNG's highly
9	confidential response to Data Request (DR) No. 203 indicates **
10	** SNG city
11	gates/town border station (TBS) ¹³ **
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	**

PR

¹² Ervin Direct, page 10, lines 10 - 22

Distribution lines typically take natural gas from the large transportation pipelines and deliver the gas to retail customers. While some large consumers – industrial and electric generation, for example – may take service directly off a transmission pipeline, most receive their gas through their local gas utility, or local distribution company (LDC). These companies typically purchase natural gas and ship it on behalf of their customers, taking possession of the gas from the pipelines at local citygates and delivering it to customers at their meters. (Energy Primer, A Handbook of Energy Market Basics, A staff report of the Division of Energy Market Oversight Office of Enforcement, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, July 2012)

Staff requested MSBA provide pipeline and supplier documents to explain whether any of these type of curtailments were made because the pipeline or supplier made reductions/cuts/or curtailments to the MSBA nominations. The MSBA response indicates it was not in possession of such documents and that SNG should be able to provide the information requested as to why they make these common allocations or curtailments. (MSBA Public response to Staff DRs Nos. 1 and 2, attached as Schedule LJ-4.

In addition, contrary to Mr. Erwin's testimony, data shows that SNG is not making significant reductions to schools' allocations. Review of MSBA data for calendar year 2013, as summarized in the table below, shows that five of the 12 months had no allocation reductions, four months had allocation reductions of less than 5 percent, two months had allocation reductions of less than 10 percent, and one month had an allocation reduction of 10.8 percent.

L	3	

Schools		Allocated
Scheduled	Allocated	Quantity as % of
Quantity	Quantity	Scheduled
16,554	16,554	100.0%
13,552	13,552	100.0%
7,688	7,688	100.0%
2,190	2,190	100.0%
1,271	1,192	93.8%
360	328	91.1%
1,519	1,477	97.2%
2,356	2,276	96.6%
2,250	2,007	89.2%
4,743	4,677	98.6%
10,186	10,176	99.9%
14,787	14,787	100.0%
	Scheduled Quantity 16,554 13,552 7,688 2,190 1,271 360 1,519 2,356 2,250 4,743 10,186	Scheduled QuantityAllocated Quantity16,55416,55413,55213,5527,6887,6882,1902,1901,2711,1923603281,5191,4772,3562,2762,2502,0074,7434,67710,18610,176

Calendar Year 2013 data from MSBA Public Response to Staff DR No.1

Rebuttal Testimony of Lesa Jenkins

confidential Schedule LJ-6.

Data shows that school transportation customers' imbalances are not primarily caused
by SNG's service priority or curtailments, but rather the imbalances are primarily caused by
failure of the pool operator on the schools' behalf to adjust its nominations as the schools'
needs for natural gas supply changes throughout the month. Review of MSBA data for
calendar year 2013 shows the quantity of natural gas that the pool operator scheduled for
schools was generally constant each day of the month. The schools' pool operator did not
increase the scheduled quantity when the weather turned cold. The pool operator did not
reduce the scheduled quantity when the weather turned warm. The pool operator did not
reduce the scheduled quantity to account for any of the typical school holidays in November
through January. The pool operator did not reduce the scheduled quantity for weekends.
In fact, the only change in the scheduled quantity occurred at the start of November
2013. MSBA scheduled natural gas on two contracts in November 2013 and the data
provided indicates that on one of the contracts it scheduled the same quantity every day, but
the other contract was scheduled to begin flowing the same daily quantity of natural gas
beginning on the 5^{th} day of the month. The information is attached as Schedule LJ-5. This
information shows that the school transportation customers' imbalances are caused by failure
of the pool operator on the schools' behalf to adjust its gas supply nominations as the schools'
needs for natural gas supply change throughout the month.
In other words, school imbalances primarily occur because natural gas purchased and
delivered for schools are not matching the schools' usage. For calendar year 2013 school
transportation imbalances exceeded **

PR

The information is attached as highly

Rebuttal Testimony of Lesa Jenkins

Q. Do you agree with Mr. Ervin's comments that natural gas supply for school
transportation customers should have the same level of priority for purposes of interruptions
curtailments and reductions as when they were purchasing natural gas from SNG and that a
change in the tariff is required? ¹⁴
A. No. SNG is responsible for natural gas supply for firm sales customers
Transportation customers are responsible for acquiring natural gas supply for their needs
Reductions of natural gas supply to transportation customers is limited as described
previously. If the school transportation customers have not purchased a sufficient quantity of
natural gas to meet its daily usage and **
** Staff does not support this tariff change proposed by Mr. Ervin.
Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
A. Yes it does.

 \mathbf{P} age 15

¹⁴ Ervin Direct, page 8, lines 4-15.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Summit Missouri Inc.'s Filing of Revincease its Annual Revenues I Service	ised Tariffs To) Case No. GR-2014-0086)
	AFFIDAVIT OF	LESA JENKINS
STATE OF MISSOURI) COUNTY OF COLE)	SS.	
the foregoing Rebuttal Testimor presented in the above case; that	ny in question an at the answers in the matters set t	es: that she has participated in the preparation of ad answer form, consisting of/5 pages to be the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by forth in such answers; and that such matters are I belief.
	_Ω	Asa A. Jenhung Lesa Jenkins
Subscribed and sworn to before D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri	me this	day of July, 2014. Solution Solution Public
Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016 Commission Number: 12412070		

Cashout Price Determinant for Cashout of Transportation Imbalances

Revise SNG proposed tariff, SNG Original Sheet No. 36, 1st Revised Sheet No. 29A and Original Sheet No. 37, 1st Revised Sheet No. 30:

Replace wording in proposed tariff below the 2 tables for Imbalance Tiers through just before the sentence that begins, "Company reserves the right to ..."

The Cashout Price Determinant (d) for the delivery month shall be derived from prices as reported in Platts McGraw Hill Financial publication *Gas Daily* for the applicable locations for each SNG service area. (For example, "Southern Star, TX.-Okla." may be used for the Rogersville and Branson divisions for supply that is generally sourced at that location.) The Cashout Price Determinant (d) shall use either the highest or lowest of the "Weekly weighted average prices" determined for each cashout month for all imbalances as described below.

The average price for each week shall be the price for the applicable location in the referenced publication in the table entitled "Weekly weighted average prices", or the superseding reference if the publication titling is revised. The issues of such publication to be used in determining each Month's highest weekly average price and lowest weekly average price shall include all issues containing the above-referenced table with publication dates within the calendar month in which the imbalance occurred, plus the first publication of the next month after the imbalance occurred containing the above-referenced table. If the weekly price for one or more of the locations below is no longer published by *Gas Daily*, the equivalent prices in the Energy Intelligence Group publication "Natural Gas Week", under the column labeled "\$/MMBtu" in the table entitled "Natural Gas Weekly Spot Prices" will be used to determine the Cashout Price Determinant (d) for the applicable location(s) for each SNG service area.

- (1) For positive imbalances (over-delivery), the Cashout Price Determinant (d) shall be the lowest of the "Weekly weighted average prices" for the applicable location(s) for the month in which the imbalance occurred.
- (2) For negative imbalances (under-delivery), the Cashout Price Determinant (d) shall be the highest of the "Weekly weighted average prices" for the applicable location(s) for the month in which the imbalance occurred.

(SNG may want to list the location for each division here rather than using the example in the 1st paragraph above.)

Positive Imbalance Cashout Formula:

The absolute value of the month-end imbalance volume (c) multiplied by the Cashout Price Determinant (d) shall result in the amount to be credited to Shipper as a purchase of gas by the Company from the Shipper (e). Such amount is subject to the corresponding Tier Cashout Price Adjustment as described above for Positive Imbalance.

(c)
$$x(d) = (e)$$

Negative Imbalance Cashout Formula:

The absolute value of the month-end imbalance volume (c) multiplied by the Cashout Price Determinant (d) shall result in the amount to be charged to Shipper as a sale of gas to Shipper by the Company (f). Such amount is subject to the corresponding Tier Cashout Price Adjustment as described above for Negative Imbalance.

(c)
$$x(d) = (f)$$

Missouri School Boards' Association

Data Request

Data Request No.: 1

Company Name: Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.

Case/Tracking No.: GR-2014-0086

Date requested: June 5, 2014

Requested from: Melissa K. Randol; Richard S. Brownlee

Missouri School Boards' Association (MSBA)

Requested by: John Borgmeyer; Phil Lock; Lesa Jenkins

Description: Regarding the direct testimony of Louie Ervin on behalf of Missouri School

Boards' Association (MSBA), statement on page 10, "It has not been uncommon, particularly during summer months when the Company is making storage injections, for the Company to reduce the nominated deliveries made by MSBA's Pool Operator, which is a Company caused

imbalance."

- (A) Please explain what is meant by "has not been uncommon". Provide documents supporting the frequency of the reductions to MSBA nominated deliveries and provide a breakout for each of the Company's areas: (1) Northern-ANR, (2) Southern (Warsaw) SSCGP, (3) Rogersville-SSCGP, and (4) Branson SSCGP.
- (B) Please provide documents to support the statement regarding the Company reducing the MSBA nominated volumes and provide a breakout for each of the Company's areas: (1) Northern-ANR, (2) Southern (Warsaw) SSCGP, (3) Rogersville-SSCGP, and (4) Branson SSCGP.

Due Date: June 25, 2014

Security: Public

Response:

(A) Because schools in the Missouri School Program who do not purchase their gas commodity supply from SNG but nominate third-party of deliveries from the interstate pipeline to the SNG system for re-delivery to schools, these participating schools should never be interrupted/curtailed/allocated for other than to maintain the integrity of the system and then only on the same basis in the same manner as for schools that purchase gas supply from SNG, any other reduction of delivery would be "uncommon" for all other area gas utilities. So, I consider allocated changes to schools nominated deliveries a total of 97 days from

2010 through 2013 to not be uncommon, or an improper common practice by SNG. Attached are school pool documents with raw daily nominated and delivered volumes (see right-most columns) and a pull-off summary of allocated delivery days in attached spreadsheets, which support the frequency of the reductions to MSBA nominated deliveries. All participating schools are in the Rogersville area. It appears that Missouri schools are being used to help provide a balance service for SNG's supply and then being penalized rather than compensated for such service.

(B) All participating schools are in the Rogersville area. It appears that Missouri schools are being used to help provide a balance service for SNG's supply and then being penalized rather than compensated for such service.

Please see the following attachments:

- 1) SOMO Actuals 2010.xls
- 2) SOMO Actuals 2011.xls
- 3) SOMO Actuals 2012.xls
- 4) SOMO Actuals 2013.pdf
- 5) Data Extracted from SOMO Actuals 2010-2013.xls

Response Provided by: Louie R. Ervin Sr.

Missouri School Boards' Association

Data Request

Data Request No.: 2

Company Name: Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.

Case/Tracking No.: GR-2014-0086

Date requested: June 5, 2014

Requested from: Melissa K. Randol; Richard S. Brownlee

Missouri School Boards' Association (MSBA)

Requested by: John Borgmeyer; Phil Lock; Lesa Jenkins

Description: Regarding the direct testimony of Louie Ervin on behalf of Missouri School

Boards' Association (MSBA) statement on page 10, "Although schools pay full costs of service rates for use of the Company's delivery system, when school chose transport service over Company commodity supply they are penalized. The penalty is in the form of increased imbalances at punitive cashout prices when those imbalances were, at least in part, created by the Company placing a higher priority on sales service supply and curtailing

MSBA commodity deliveries."

(A) Please provide documents to support the imbalances and cashout prices and provide a breakout for each of the Company's areas: (1) Northern-ANR, (2) Southern (Warsaw) - SSCGP, (3) Rogersville-SSCGP, and (4) Branson – SSCGP.

- (B) Please provide documents to support that the Company is curtailing MSBA commodity deliveries. Provide a breakout for each of the Company's areas: (1) Northern-ANR, (2) Southern (Warsaw) SSCGP, (3) Rogersville-SSCGP, and (4) Branson SSCGP.
- (C) Please provide pipeline and supplier documents explaining whether any of these curtailments were made because the pipeline or supplier made reductions/cuts/or curtailments to the MSBA nominations. Provide a breakout for each of the Company's areas: (1) Northern-ANR, (2) Southern (Warsaw) SSCGP, (3) Rogersville-SSCGP, and (4) Branson SSCGP.

Due Date: June 25, 2014

Security: Public

Response:

- (A) See response to DR No. 1 above.
- (B) See response to DR No. 1 above.
- (C) My information is from SNG. I am not in possession of pipeline documents or reasons. SNG should be able to provide the information requested as to why they make these common allocations or curtailments.

Response Provided by: Louie R. Ervin Sr.

Summit Natural Gas Rate Case, GR-2014-0086 Schools Scheduled & Allocated Quantity, CY2013

Staff Summary of Data from MSBA Response to Staff DR1, Public

	Schools						
			_				
	Scheduled	Allocated	Allocated Quantity as				
Month	Quantity	Quantity	% of Scheduled				
Jan-13	16,554	16,554	100.0%				
Feb-13	13,552	13,552	100.0%				
Mar-13	7,688	7,688	100.0%				
Apr-13	2,190	2,190	100.0%				
May-13	1,271	1,192	93.8%				
Jun-13	360	328	91.1%				
Jul-13	1,519	1,477	97.2%				
Aug-13	2,356	2,276	96.6%				
Sep-13	2,250	2,007	89.2%				
Oct-13	4,743	4,677	98.6%				
Nov-13	10,186	10,176	99.9%				
Dec-13	14,787	14,787	100.0%				
CY 2013 data from MSBA Response to Staff DR No.1, Public							

Day SRV Req K Net SRV Req K SRV Req K Net SRV Req K Net SRV Req K SRV Req K Net SRV Req K SRV Req K Net SRV Req K SRV Req K	Allocated QTY 4 534
Day SRV Req K Net) & Net) SRV Req K Net) QTY 1/1/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 53 1/2/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/3/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/4/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/5/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 <td>Allocated QTY 4 534</td>	Allocated QTY 4 534
1/1/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/2/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/3/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/4/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/5/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 <t< td=""><td>4 534 4 534</td></t<>	4 534 4 534
1/3/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/4/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/5/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 <tr< td=""><td>4 534 4 534</td></tr<>	4 534 4 534
1/3/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/4/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/5/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 <tr< td=""><td>4 534 4 534</td></tr<>	4 534 4 534
1/3/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/4/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/5/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 <tr< td=""><td>4 534 4 534</td></tr<>	4 534 4 534
1/4/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/5/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 <tr< td=""><td>4 534 4 534</td></tr<>	4 534 4 534
1/5/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 <t< td=""><td>4 534 4 534</td></t<>	4 534 4 534
1/6/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534	4 534 4 534
1/7/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534	4 534 4 534
1/8/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534	4 534 4 534
1/9/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 <td>4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534</td>	4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534
1/10/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 534 534 535 534 534 536 534 534 537 534 534 538 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 539 534 534 <t< td=""><td>4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534</td></t<>	4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534
1/11/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534 54 534 534 534 55 534 <td>4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534</td>	4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534
1/12/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 534 534 534	4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534
1/13/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 534 534 534	4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534
1/14/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/15/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 534 534 534 53 534 534 534	4 534 4 534 4 534 4 534
1/16/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 534 534 534	4 534 4 534
1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53	4 534
1/17/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/18/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53	
1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 53	4 53/
1/19/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 534 534 534 534 534 534 53	
I 1/20/2013 RA19573 534 534	
1/21/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 534 534 534 534 534 534 53	
1/22/2013 RA19573 534 534 53 1/23/2013 RA19573 534 534 534	
1/24/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 53	
1/25/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 53	
1/26/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 53	
1/27/2013 RA19573 534 534 534	
1/28/2013 RA19573 534 534 53	
1/29/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 53	
1/30/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 53	4 534
1/31/2013 RA19573 534 534 534 53	
2/1/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/2/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 48	
2/3/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/4/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 48	
2/5/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 2/6/2013 RA19573 484 484 484	
2/6/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 2/7/2013 RA19573 484 484 484	
2/8/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/9/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484 484	
2/10/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/11/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/12/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/13/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	4 484
2/14/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	4 484
2/15/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/16/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/17/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/18/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 48	
2/19/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 484 48	
2/20/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 2/21/2013 RA19573 484 484 484	
2/21/2013 RA19573	
2/22/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484 484 484 484	
2/24/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/25/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/26/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/27/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484	
2/28/2013 RA19573 484 484 484 484 484	4 484
3/1/2013 RA19573 248 248 248 248	
3/2/2013 RA19573 248 248 248 248	
3/3/2013 RA19573 248 248 248 248	8 248

		Scheduled	Allocated		Scheduled	Allocated	Total	
		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross	Scheduled	Total
Day	SRV Req K	Net)	& Net)	SRV Req K	Net)	& Net)	QTY	Allocated QTY
3/4/2013	RA19573	248	248		,	,	248	248
3/5/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/6/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/7/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/8/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/9/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/10/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/11/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/12/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/13/2013 3/14/2013	RA19573 RA19573	248 248	248 248				248 248	248 248
3/14/2013	RA19573 RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/16/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/17/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/18/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/19/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/20/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/21/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/22/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/23/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/24/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/25/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/26/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/27/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/28/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/29/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/30/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
3/31/2013	RA19573	248	248				248	248
4/1/2013 4/2/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/3/2013	RA20227 RA20227	73 73	73 73				73 73	73 73
4/4/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/5/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/6/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/7/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/8/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/9/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/10/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/11/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/12/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/13/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/14/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/15/2013	RA20227	73	73				73 70	73
4/16/2013		73	73				73	73
4/17/2013 4/18/2013	RA20227 RA20227	73 73	73 73				73 73	73 73
4/18/2013	RA20227 RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/20/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/21/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/22/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/23/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/24/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/25/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/26/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/27/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/28/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/29/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
4/30/2013	RA20227	73	73				73	73
5/1/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/2/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/3/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/4/2013 5/5/2013	RA20227 RA20227	41	41 41				41 41	41 41
5/6/2013	RA20227 RA20227	41	41				41	41
3/0/2013	11/1/2022/	41	41	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		41	41

		Scheduled	Allocated		Scheduled	Allocated	Total	
		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross		QTY (Gross &		Scheduled	Total
Day	SRV Req K	Net)	& Net)	SRV Req K	Net)	& Net)	QTY	Allocated QTY
5/7/2013	RA20227	41	41		1101)		41	41
5/8/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/9/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/10/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/11/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/12/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/13/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/14/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/15/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/16/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/17/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/18/2013 5/19/2013	RA20227 RA20227	41 41	39 41				41 41	39 41
5/20/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/21/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/22/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/23/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/24/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/25/2013	RA20227	41	36				41	36
5/26/2013	RA20227	41	29				41	29
5/27/2013	RA20227	41	38				41	38
5/28/2013	RA20227	41	18				41	18
5/29/2013	RA20227	41	7				41	7
5/30/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
5/31/2013	RA20227	41	41				41	41
6/1/2013 6/2/2013	RA20227 RA20227	12	12				12 12	12
6/3/2013	RA20227	12 12	5 12				12	5 12
6/4/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/5/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/6/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/7/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/8/2013	RA20227	12	10				12	10
6/9/2013	RA20227	12	10				12	10
6/10/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/11/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/12/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/13/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/14/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/15/2013	RA20227	12	9				12	9
6/16/2013	RA20227 RA20227	12	9				12 12	9
6/17/2013 6/18/2013	RA20227 RA20227	12 12	12				12	12 12
6/19/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/20/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/21/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/22/2013	RA20227	12	9				12	9
6/23/2013	RA20227	12	7				12	7
6/24/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/25/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/26/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/27/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/28/2013	RA20227	12	12				12	12
6/29/2013	RA20227	12	7				12	7
6/30/2013 7/1/2013	RA20227	12 49	10 49				12 49	10
7/1/2013	RA20227 RA20227	49	49				49	49 49
7/3/2013	RA20227 RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/4/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/5/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/6/2013	RA20227	49	41				49	41
7/7/2013	RA20227	49	45				49	45
7/8/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/9/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
						l l		

		Scheduled	Allocated		Scheduled	Allocated	Total	
		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross		QTY (Gross &		Scheduled	Total
Day	SRV Req K	Net)	& Net)	SRV Req K	Net)	& Net)	QTY	Allocated QTY
7/10/2013	RA20227	49	49	OKV KCY K	TVCt)	a Not)	49	49
7/11/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/12/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/13/2013	RA20227	49	45				49	45
7/14/2013	RA20227	49	45				49	45
7/15/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/16/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/17/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/18/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/19/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/20/2013	RA20227	49	41				49	41
7/21/2013	RA20227	49	46				49	46
7/22/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/23/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/24/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/25/2013	RA20227	49	49				49	49
7/26/2013	RA20227	49	49		1		49	49
7/27/2013	RA20227	49	44		1		49	44
7/28/2013	RA20227 RA20227	49 49	49 49		1		49	49 49
7/29/2013 7/30/2013	RA20227 RA20227	49	49				49 49	49
7/30/2013	RA20227	49	49		 		49	49
8/1/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/2/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/3/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	58	76	58
8/4/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	70	76	70
8/5/2013	RA20227	0	0	RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/6/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/7/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/8/2013	RA20227	0	0	RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/9/2013	RA20227	0	0	RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/10/2013	RA20227	0	0	RA20166	76	60	76	60
8/11/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	65	76	65
8/12/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/13/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/14/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/15/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/16/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/17/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	73	76	73
8/18/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	62	76	62
8/19/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/20/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76 76	76 76	76
8/21/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76 76		76 76	76 76
8/22/2013 8/23/2013	RA20227 RA20227	0		RA20166 RA20166	76 76	76 76	76 76	76 76
8/24/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/25/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/26/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/27/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/28/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/29/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/30/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	76	76	76
8/31/2013	RA20227	0		RA20166	76	64	76	64
9/1/2013	RA20227	75	37				75	37
9/2/2013	RA20227	75	50				75	50
9/3/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/4/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/5/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/6/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/7/2013	RA20227	75	50		ļ		75	50
9/8/2013	RA20227	75	48				75	48
9/9/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/10/2013	RA20227	75	75		<u> </u>		75	75
9/11/2013	RA20227	75	75		<u> </u>		75	75

		Scheduled	Allocated		Scheduled	Allocated	Total	
		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross	Scheduled	Total
Day	SRV Reg K	Net)	& Net)	SRV Req K	Net)	& Net)	QTY	Allocated QTY
9/12/2013	RA20227	75	75	OKV KCY K	1401)	Q (VCt)	75	75
9/13/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/14/2013	RA20227	75	54				75	54
9/15/2013	RA20227	75	57				75	57
9/16/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/17/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/18/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/19/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/20/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/21/2013	RA20227	75	53				75	53
9/22/2013	RA20227	75	57				75	57
9/23/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/24/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/25/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/26/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/27/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
9/28/2013	RA20227	75	49				75	49
9/29/2013	RA20227	75	52				75	52
9/30/2013	RA20227	75	75				75	75
10/1/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/2/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/3/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/4/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/5/2013	RA20227	153	120				153	120
10/6/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/7/2013	RA20227 RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/8/2013 10/9/2013	RA20227	153 153	153 153				153 153	153 153
10/9/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/10/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/11/2013	RA20227	153	120				153	120
10/13/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/14/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/15/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/16/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/17/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/18/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/19/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/20/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/21/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/22/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/23/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/24/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/25/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/26/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/27/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/28/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/29/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/30/2013	RA20227	153	153				153	153
10/31/2013	RA20227	153	153	D 404400	000	200	153	153
11/1/2013				RA21130	330	330	330	330
11/2/2013				RA21130	330	330	330	330
11/3/2013 11/4/2013				RA21130 RA21130	330 330	330 330	330	330
11/5/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	330 341	330 341
11/6/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/7/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/8/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/9/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/10/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/11/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/12/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/13/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/14/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			550		, J.,

		Scheduled	Allocated		Scheduled	Allocated	Total	
		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross		QTY (Gross &	QTY (Gross	Scheduled	Total
Day	SRV Reg K	Net)	& Net)	SRV Reg K	Net)	& Net)	QTY	Allocated QTY
11/15/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/16/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/17/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/18/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/19/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/20/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/21/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/22/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/23/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/24/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/25/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/26/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/27/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/28/2013	RA20978	11	11	RA21130	330	330	341	341
11/29/2013	RA20978	11	8	RA21130	330	330	341	338
11/30/2013	RA20978	11	4	RA21130	330	330	341	334
12/1/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/2/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/3/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/4/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/5/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/6/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/7/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/8/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/9/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/10/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/11/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/12/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/13/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/14/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/15/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/16/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/17/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/18/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/19/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/20/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/21/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/22/2013	RA21303	477	477 477				477	477
12/23/2013	RA21303	477					477	477
12/24/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/25/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/26/2013	RA21303	477 477	477 477				477 477	477 477
12/27/2013	RA21303							
12/28/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/29/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/30/2013	RA21303	477	477				477	477
12/31/2013	RA21303	477	477]			477	477

SCHEDULE LJ-6

HAS BEEN DEEMED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY