Exhibit No.:Issue(s):Quality of ServiceWitness:Curt B. GateleySponsoring Party:MoPSC StaffType of Exhibit:Surrebuttal TestimonyCase No.:WR-2023-0006Date Testimony Prepared:July 21, 2023

# **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## **INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION**

# WATER, SEWER, & STEAM DEPARTMENT

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

# **CURT B. GATELEY**

## CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

CASE NO. WR-2023-0006

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2023

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	CURT B. GATELEY
4	CONFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5	CASE NO. WR-2023-0006
6	Local Public Hearing1
7	Lake Virginia2
8	Glen Meadows
9	Service Call Charges
10	Prioritization of Upgrades
11	Customer Communications
12	Operations, Maintenance, and Oversight7

1		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF	
2		CURT B. GATELEY	
3	CO	NFLUENCE RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.	
4		CASE NO. WR-2023-0006	
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
6	А.	My name is Curt B. Gateley. My business address is 200 Madison Street,	
7	Jefferson City	v, Missouri 65101.	
8	Q.	Are you the same Curt B. Gateley who filed Direct testimony on May 26, 2023	
9	in this case?		
10	А.	Yes.	
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal testimony?	
12	А.	I will be providing information Staff learned at the Local Public Hearings	
13	("LPHs") for this case, which ended just prior to the filing of Rebuttal. I will also be addressing		
14	statements ma	ade by Confluence witnesses Josiah Cox and Todd Thomas, and Office of the	
15	Public Counse	el ("OPC") witness Dr. Geoff Marke.	
16	<u>Local</u>	Public Hearing	
17	Q.	What did Staff learn at the LPHs?	
18	А.	Staff learned of:	
19	•	Sanitary Sewer Overflows <sup>1</sup> ("SSOs") at Lake Virginia	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An SSO is a discharge of untreated sewage. There are two types of SSOs, wet weather and dry weather. A wet weather SSO is caused by precipitation entering a collection system in sufficient quantity to create more flow than the collection system is designed to carry, causing partially diluted sewage to back up until it finds a location to overflow. A dry weather SSO is generally caused by a mechanical failure at a lift station, or blockage of a sewer line due to grease build up, tree root intrusion, a collapsed pipe, etc. The sewage overflow typically occurs at a manhole or into a customer's home.

1	• Bypassing <sup>2</sup> and failure to conduct routine maintenance at Glen Meadows
2	• A customer receiving threats of service call charges when calling to complain
3	about odors from the sewage treatment system at Hillcrest lagoon <sup>3</sup>
4	• Customers dissatisfied with the speed of upgrades at multiple facilities
5	• Complaints about not being notified of boil advisories
6	Lake Virginia
7	Q. What was the source of the SSOs at Lake Virginia?
8	A. The collection system at Lake Virginia is in poor condition. Portions of the
9	collection system have been uncovered due to inappropriately shallow installation and erosion.
10	Some manholes are constructed of stacked blocks without mortar, which allows for intrusion
11	of precipitation into the sewer system. There is a history of blocked sewer lines and dry weather
12	SSOs at Lake Virginia.
13	A customer at Lake Virginia sent Staff emails during the period in which the LPHs were
14	occurring. This customer stated he had been calling Confluence about sewage odor near his
15	home, but the problem had not been resolved. When Staff contacted Confluence about this
16	situation, Confluence walked the collection system near the customer's home and found
17	evidence of two significant SSOs. Based on photographs supplied by Confluence, at least one
18	of the SSOs occurred during dry weather and had been occurring for at least several days.
19	Confluence has stated these were due to blockages in the sewer lines that were resolved with
20	jetting, and that the lines would be re-investigated every eight weeks due to their poor condition.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A bypass is a discharge of partially treated sewage, where the waste stream is diverted around a portion of the treatment system. Unanticipated bypasses can be caused by a mechanical failure, such a pump breaking, or can be caused by too much precipitation entering the collection system, overwhelming the flow capacity of the treatment system. Bypasses can also be intentional, such as when some portion of the treatment system must be taken offline for emergency repairs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Statements of Mr. Bridges, June 14, 2023 LPH during the Q&A portion.

1

2

Q. What is Staff's recommendation to correct the problems that have led to the SSOs at Lake Virginia?

3 A. It is Staff's position that the Commission order Confluence to have an engineering report prepared and submitted to Staff within one year, focused on rehabilitating 4 5 the sewer collection system at Lake Virginia. After the report is submitted, it would be Staff's 6 expectation that Confluence would then start making the appropriate improvements to the system. Rehabilitating a poorly constructed collection system (Confluence acquired the system) 7 in 2020<sup>4</sup>) can be complex, particularly if the existing lines are not buried deep enough,<sup>5</sup> or if 8 the lines do not have the proper slope to carry away solids.<sup>6</sup> Changing the depth of sewer lines 9 10 to protect them from damage and freezing may require changes to a customer's service 11 connections. In the meantime, Confluence should be educating customers about the 12 vulnerability of the collection system, and the importance of not exacerbating problems by dumping oil and grease or other unsuitable materials into the collection system. 13

- 13
- 14

### **Glen Meadows**

Q. Can you elaborate on the bypassing and maintenance failures at Glen Meadows?
A. The president of the homeowners association ("HOA") at Glen Meadows,
Joe Maixner, reported that Confluence's contractor had failed to mow the grass on the lot with
the well owned by Confluence.<sup>7</sup> He reported making several calls to Confluence, but no action
was taken by Confluence until the HOA threatened to fine Confluence for failure to maintain
the lot. He also complained that although Confluence has owned the Glen Meadows system

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> WA-2019-0299.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 10 CSR 20-8.120(3)(A)2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 10 CSR 20-8.120(3)(A)1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Statements of Joe Maixner, June 12, 2023 LPH during the Q&A and testimony portions.

for over six months,<sup>8</sup> little action has been taken to improve the failing sewer system. At the
 June 23, 2023 LPH,<sup>9</sup> a customer reported that the sewage treatment plant had again bypassed,
 resulting in sludge in the receiving stream. According to Mr. Maixner, Confluence responded
 that it would pump the sludge out by June 26, 2023.

5

6

Q. What action would Staff recommend in response to these complaints related to the Glen Meadows system?

A. Besides taking care of its property to comply with HOA rules or those of any
local government, it is Staff's recommendation that Confluence ensures routine maintenance is
performed. Confluence has stated that it is assessing the system and determining what upgrades
are appropriate. It is Staff's recommendation that Confluence present Staff with its planned
upgrades once its assessment is complete, and to inform Staff when the upgrades are finished.
Confluence is expected to complete the upgrades as quickly as possible to eliminate further
pollution of the receiving stream.

14

## Service Call Charges

Q. Can you please describe the situation where a customer was threatened with being charged a fee when calling to complain about odors from a lagoon, as described by the customer at the LPH on June 14, 2023?

A. The customer stated he had made multiple complaints about the odors from the
lagoon. During a recent call, the customer stated he was told by Confluence that further calls
may result in him being charged for a service call.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> WA-2023-0006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Unknown customer statement during the Q&A portion of the LPH.

- Q. Is charging a type of service call fee for customers complaining about odors
   authorized in the tariff for this system?
  - A. No, it is not.

Q. What is the purpose of the service call fee that is in the tariff?

5 Service call fees are for circumstances where a customer needs company staff to A. 6 perform some particular action, such as inspecting work done by a customer's plumber, 7 responding to damage to a sewer line caused by a customer, etc. Confluence is not allowed to 8 charge a customer a fee when the customer complains about odors. To resolve the odor issue, 9 Confluence stated they installed new equipment into the lagoon to increase aeration. 10 Staff contacted Confluence about the service call fee threat and informed the company that 11 charging such fees to customers complaining to the company would be a violation of the tariff. 12 In addition, charging such fees would have a chilling effect on customers who are often the first 13 to notice problems. Since Confluence agreed not to violate their tariff, and the fee was never 14 actually charged, Staff does not intend to file a complaint.

15

3

4

## **Prioritization of Upgrades**

Q. You said several customers expressed dissatisfaction with the progress ofupgrades?

A. Yes, and this is a concern that Staff shares. Multiple customers complained in particular with facing higher rates while enjoying little benefit to this point. While the Department of Natural Resources ("DNR") sets a minimum compliance deadline in the orders it issues to Confluence, these deadlines can be extended by DNR. In theory, Confluence purchasing and committing to upgrade and operate a system is a good first step, as it has the resources to rapidly make upgrades and commit to proper operation. In practice, some

Page 5

systems should be much higher priorities than others due to the severity of the systems specific 1 issues, and making upgrades for systems already purchased should generally be a higher priority 2 3 than future acquisitions. Confluence appears to be directing a great deal of resources into acquisitions, causing concern amongst Staff.<sup>10</sup> Confluence witness Josiah Cox, in his rebuttal 4 5 testimony, has agreed to begin filing a 5-year capital improvement plan, which will provide Staff an opportunity to review and participate in the development of the plan.<sup>11</sup> This would 6 7 begin to ease Staff's concerns and ensure that all parties are on the same page regarding 8 prioritization.

9

### **Customer Communications**

10 Q. Has Confluence addressed the customer complaints about communication of
11 boil advisories?

12 A. It is my understanding that Confluence is already using its website and social 13 media to communicate with customers, but they have also proposed emails and potentially text 14 notifications. This is in addition to physical signage posted on community bulletin boards or 15 entrances to subdivisions. Because there are no regulations dictating the method that is used to 16 communicate precautionary boil advisories, Staff works with companies to ensure that adequate 17 communication with customers occurs. There is always the problem of customers maintaining 18 up to date contact information for electronic notifications, or unsafe locations at entrances to 19 stop and view a sign. Confluence has an unusual exposure to problems with communication 20 directly to customers due to its purchasing of distressed systems that may not have good records, 21 and often the need to make many physical repairs to distribution systems. As communications

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> WA-2023-0450, SA-2023-0437, WA-2023-0295, WA-2023-0284, SA-2023-0215.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Page 21, line 20.

with customers continue to evolve, Confluence has shown they are willing to adapt. It is Staff's
 recommendation that Confluence continue to pursue improvement in their efforts to
 communicate with customers.

4 Q. Overall, how does the information revealed at the LPHs impact Staff's opinion
5 of Confluence's operations?

A. Some of the information Staff has received can be explained as 'growing pains'
of a rapidly expanding company. Some of the issues are the type expected when dealing with
distressed systems. Staff remains generally satisfied with Confluence's responses when
problems arise, but Staff continues to have concerns about oversight of contract employees.
Long term dry weather bypasses of the extent seen at Lake Virginia should not occur, and
Confluence must ensure that basic maintenance such as mowing grass occurs as scheduled.

12

### **Operations, Maintenance, and Oversight**

Q. Do you agree with Mr. Cox's suggestions in Rebuttal that the bypassing at
Fox Run and the lack of upgrades at Auburn Lakes are problems to be expected when
Confluence purchases distressed systems?<sup>12</sup>

A. Absolutely not. Dry weather bypasses and the pollution they cause are never acceptable, and all possible efforts must be made to avoid them. They are most commonly due to some critical equipment failure, and are generally repaired immediately. However, because a critical piece of equipment will eventually fail at the majority of mechanical treatment plants, dry weather bypasses are inevitable. Issues of this nature should be eliminated as quickly as possible and mitigated by pumping and hauling wastewater as needed during repairs. As previously stated in my Direct testimony and the Rebuttal testimony

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Page 43, line 3 through page 45, line 19.

of Staff witness Daronn A. Williams, the bypassing at Fox Run had been going on for at least several days, and possibly weeks. Mr. Cox's statements in his Rebuttal are distressing in that he indicates that such problems are simply the nature of the beast. It is understood that some noncompliance will occur when Confluence purchases distressed systems. Some facilities require major upgrades, which take time. But Confluence must operate these systems to perform to the best of their ability while upgrades are being made.

7 After Confluence personnel became aware of the bypassing at Fox Run the Company 8 took action to fix it. This bypass occurred because of a failure to maintain the system by the 9 previous owner, but also a failure by Confluence to conduct the needed deferred maintenance 10 themselves when it purchased the system, and lack of proper oversight of both the system and 11 the contracted employees following acquisition. This situation is not acceptable, and some 12 change must happen so that Confluence consistently responds to problems when they occur, 13 not when Staff or DNR complains to the Company. As I stated in my Direct testimony, 14 additional oversight of the contract employees who are operating the water and sewer systems 15 appears to be necessary.

16

Q.

### What about Mr. Cox's position on Auburn Lakes?

A. As described in detail in Staff witness Andrew Harris's Rebuttal testimony, the
water system serving Auburn Lakes was adequate for the number of customers when purchased
by Confluence. It became inadequate as customer growth occurred, with Confluence not taking
timely steps to make upgrades to the system. Proper capital planning, and improved
communications with contract operators, would have mitigated this problem. Instead, from
what Staff has been able to determine, Confluence became aware of the extent of the problem
due to Staff investigations of customer complaints.

1 2 Q. Do you agree with Confluence witness Todd Thomas's allegation that recirculating sand filter media status and performance is difficult to ascertain?<sup>13</sup>

3 A. Not in my experience. The pooling of wastewater on the surface of the media as described by Mr. Thomas is indicative of a failing media, and requires a response. 4 5 Management of wastewater across a filter media can generally be controlled via distribution 6 valves, and it is not uncommon for sectors of a filter media to need to be turned off for a period of time to allow the biofilm to die back. This valving apparatus is included in the design 7 8 specifically for this reason. When an operator cannot control pooling of wastewater on the 9 surface of the media in this way, it is a clear indication that something is wrong. If the problem 10 is the eventual accumulation of sludge and biofilm that fills the media bed, then the typical 11 response is to excavate the media, inspect and repair the liner as necessary, and subsequently 12 install new media. The most important issue regarding this situation is that Confluence should 13 have made the assessment of the media condition described by Mr. Thomas upon closing on 14 the purchase of this system. Staff expects more from Confluence than simply buying a system, 15 running it to failure, and then taking appropriate action. Staff expects Confluence to be 16 proactive to prevent problems to the extent possible.

Q. Did Mr. Thomas's statements in his Rebuttal testimony make Staff more
confident that Confluence is properly operating and maintaining their systems?

A. No. Particularly disappointing was Mr. Thomas's statement that someone at
Confluence was notified within 24 hours of the bypass beginning. That means that Confluence
chose not to respond immediately with appropriate actions to the bypass, and instead responded
after Staff's discovery of the bypass during its inspection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Beginning on page 15, line 19.

Q. Do you believe that Confluence's planned upgrades to the Fox Run system mitigates the need to prevent bypasses as Mr. Thomas suggests?<sup>14</sup>
 A. No. Confluence's customers, and persons who live downstream of their

4 sewer treatment plants, deserve for the treatment plants to be operated to perform to the best of
5 their ability.

6

1

2

3

Q. Staff's position in your direct testimony was that additional oversight of contract employees was needed. Has Staff's position changed?

7

8 A. Somewhat. It is Staff's position that additional oversight of contract employees, 9 in the form of dedicated Missouri personnel, is likely the best next step in improving the 10 situation. Staff is not in the position to determine how many personnel are necessary, or 11 whether these should be new positions or reassignment of existing personnel. Staff does find 12 it notable that Confluence has only one employee certified in Missouri to operate drinking water 13 treatment systems, only two certified in drinking water distribution systems, and none certified for operation of sewer treatment.<sup>15</sup> It appears to Staff that in order to ensure proper oversight 14 15 of contract operators it would be wise for Confluence employees to have the same training as 16 Confluence's innovative approach to running a utility company its contract operators. 17 continues to cause Staff significant concern. A utility company cannot delegate responsibility 18 for maintenance, operations, or capital planning. Contract employees can perform certain 19 functions well, but company oversight of those limited functions must be maintained. Staff 20 believes that Confluence's current business model of contracting nearly all of the tasks of 21 running a utility is not sustainable in the long term.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Pages 18-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Data Request No. 0427, and Staff review of the DNR list of certified operators at Confluence personnel listed in Data Request No. 0037.3.

1

2

Q. Are you then in agreement with OPC witness Dr. Geoff Marke<sup>16</sup> that Confluence should be ordered to hire its own employees to operate the utilities?

3 A. Yes, but I propose a different timeline. I believe that cutting funding for current contracts is not in the best interest of customers. But I do believe that Confluence must move 4 5 toward a more normal, sustainable utility business model. The current problems are likely to 6 get worse as Confluence continues to grow. To that end, Staff recommends that in addition to immediately hiring or designating additional personnel for overseeing contract operators, the 7 8 Commission should order Confluence to begin hiring its own employees such that it may end 9 its contracts for operation to the extent practicable. Staff recommends that within 60 days of 10 the effective date of an order on this rate case, Confluence should be required to submit a plan 11 to reduce reliance on contract operators, with a goal of no less than 50% of its plants being 12 operated by Confluence employees prior to its next rate case. As other utilities have 13 experienced, it may be difficult to retain employees in all areas of the state that Confluence 14 operates, and temporary contracts may be needed when employee turnover or a new acquisition occurs. As evidenced by the employees currently working under contract, certified operators 15 16 exist throughout the state and could be hired by Confluence.

17 Q. Do you believe that it will cost customers more money for Confluence to hire18 additional employees?

A. Confluence has made no demonstration that contracting the entirety of its
operations, as well as most other tasks of running the utility company, saves customers any
money. Confluence and other utilities have demonstrated that contract employees can
sometimes provide less than optimal service. The other two larger utilities in Missouri employ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Rebuttal of Geoff Marke, beginning on page 9.

- the majority of their operators themselves, and only use contract operators where necessary.
   Some limited problems with contract operators employed by those other utilities have occurred,
   and those companies keep their contract operators to a minimum as a result.
- 4 Q. How will Staff improve its regulatory approach to Confluence going forward to
  5 address these concerns?

A. In addition to the remedies identified in Staff's direct testimony, Staff intends to 6 7 identify schedules for completing upgrades in a timely manner for those systems that are not 8 capable of providing safe and adequate service at the time of purchase by Confluence. This 9 may mean working with Confluence to identify schedules that exceed the minimum 10 requirements of DNR, or other 'check in' conditions where the necessary and most cost 11 effective changes have not been identified. When a distressed system is acquired by another 12 utility, some investigation of conditions is needed before investment is made so that the right 13 solution is achieved. Examples include determining dry vs wet weather flows to a sewage 14 treatment plant, peak usage of drinking water on holidays at a lake community, etc. Such 15 investigation needs must be considered when establishing such a schedule. For other situations 16 where the solution has already been identified, Staff intends to recommend more certain 17 schedules going forward when appropriate. It should be noted that Confluence also acquires 18 systems that appear to only need repair and proper maintenance, and in those situations a 19 schedule may not be necessary at all.

20 21

Q. Does Staff intend to begin including conditions in its recommendations for fundamental activities, such as installation of master meters at drinking water systems?

A. No. If a utility company is unable to perform the basic functions of improving
a system to attain good performance, such as knowing how much water they were pumping,

- Staff would not recommend approval of that company's application for a CCN (Certificate of
   Convenience and Necessity). Due to facts discovered during this case Staff will attempt to
   identify, in greater detail, the needs of a system and the acquiring company's intentions for
   completing those basic, fundamental needs. This of course applies to all companies, not just
   Confluence.
- 6

7

- Does this conclude your Surrebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes it does.

Q.

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

In the Matter of Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc.'s Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water Service and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas

Case No. WR-2023-0006

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF CURT B. GATELEY**

SS.

STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE

COMES NOW CURT B. GATELEY and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony of Curt B. Gateley; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

CURT B. GATELEY

#### JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this day of July 2023.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

ellankin Notary Public