Exhibit No.:

Issues: Rate Design

Witness:

Janice Pyatte MO PSC Staff

Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

Direct Testimony

Case No.:

HR-2005-0450

Date Testimony Prepared:

October 28, 2005

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JANICE PYATTE

FILED FEB 2 4 2006

Missouri Public

AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS--L&P

CASE NO. HR-2005-0450

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2005

Case No(s). A Rptr 16

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Aquila, Inc. d/b/s Networks-L&P, for Authority Tariffs Increasing Steam Rates Service Provided to Customers Aquila Networks-L&P Area.	to File) for the) Case No. HR-2005-0450						
AFFIDAVIT OF JANICE PYATTE							
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)							
preparation of the following Direct — pages of Direct Testimony the following Direct Testimony w	ge, on her oath states: that she has participated in the ct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters that such matters are true to the best of her knowledge and						
	Janice Pyatte						
Subscribettand sworn to before m Subscribettand sworn to before m Subscribettand sworn to before m NOTARY SEAL NOTARY	ne this 26 th day of October, 2005. Notary Public						

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	JANICE PYATTE
4	AQUILA, INC.
5	D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P
6	CASE NO. HR-2005-0450
7	
8	Q. Are you the same Janice Pyatte who filed direct testimony on October 14.
9	2005 on the issue of Sales and Revenue?
10	A. Yes, I am.
11	Q. What is the purpose of this direct testimony?
12	A. The purpose of this testimony is to present the Staff's rate design
13	recommendation for Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks-L&P ("L&P") steam service.
14	Q. Please describe L&P's steam operations.
15	A. The steam operations of L&P provide service to a small number of
16	industrial customers located in the vicinity of the Lake Road power plant in St. Joseph
17	Missouri. Prior to December 30, 2000, these customers were served by St. Joseph Light
18	& Power Company ("SJLP"). UtiliCorp, United acquired SJLP, including its steam
19	operations, on December 30, 2000, and, in February 2002, UtiliCorp changed its name to
20	Aquila, Inc. Aquila, Inc. is authorized by this Commission to provide electric and steam
21	service in the St. Joseph, Missouri area as Aquila Networks—L&P.
22	Q. Please provide a brief history of L&P's steam rate case activity since
23	1988.

Direct Testimony of Janice Pyatte

1

٥

3

A. The steam rates for SJLP that resulted from Case No. HR-88-116 were in effect from 1988 until 1993. In 1993 the Commisssion, in Case No. HR-94-177,

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

revenue.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

In 1995 the Staff, the Office of the Public Counsel, SJLP, and Ag Processing, Inc. ("AGP") participated in Case No. EO-94-36. The purpose of this case was to evaluate the cost assignment of plant and expenses for common uses between SJLP's electric.

authorized SJLP to increase its steam revenue by \$800,000 annually.

industrial steam and natural gas operations. Detailed allocation procedures were

developed and approved by the Commission in that proceeding. In the associated case

(Case No. EO-93-351) that implemented these allocation procedures, the Commission

ordered a \$550,000 reduction in revenue from SJLP's industrial steam operations, a

\$500,000 increase in SJLP's electric revenue, and a \$50,000 increase in SJLP's gas

In Case No.HR-99-245, steam revenue was reduced by \$25,000. In Case Nos. ER-2004-0034 & HR-2004-0024 (consolidated), steam revenue was increased by \$1.3

million, applied as a uniform increase of 18.77% to all steam rate values. The steam rates

Q. Please describe the L&P steam rate schedules.

resulting from the latter case are still in effect.

A. L&P has four steam rate schedules: Steam Service (Schedule MO981);

Contract Steam Service (Schedule MO982), Standby or Supplementary Service and the

Ag Processing Special Contract.

No customers are currently served under either Contract Steam Service (Schedule MO982) or Standby or Supplementary Service. One customer (three accounts) was

served under Schedule MO981 during the first part of the test year and under the Ag

Direct Testimony of Janice Pyatte

1 2

Processing Special Contract during the later months of the test year. The remaining six existing accounts (plus one projected account) are served under Schedule MO981.

Staff made numerous annualizations designed to more accurately depict L&P's

steam costs and revenue on a going-forward basis. For more information on the annualizations to steam sales, rate revenue, and hourly loads included in Staff's case, please see my direct testimony on the issue of Sales and Revenue filed October 14, 2005.

Q. Please describe Staff's analysis of the overall revenue adequacy of L&P's

- Q. Please describe Staff's analysis of the overall revenue adequacy of L&P's steam revenue.
- A. Staff filed direct testimony and Accounting Schedules on October 14, 2005 that quantified the revenue shortfall associated with L&P's industrial steam operation at approximately \$4.1 million. An increase in annual steam revenue of \$4.1 million (34.18%) would be required to cover the total costs of providing industrial steam service, based on Staff's recommended level of annualized revenue.
- Q. Do you have a recommendation for the Commission regarding how any increase in L&P steam revenue should be applied to rate components?
- A. I recommend that any overall increase in annual steam rate revenue be achieved by increasing all rate values on the steam service rate schedules by an equal percentage. The rate values that would result are illustrated on Schedule 1. The Contract Steam Service (Schedule MO982) and the Ag Processing Special Contract rate schedules do not have rate values shown on the tariff sheets.
 - Q. How may the scheduled true-up affect your rate design recommendation?
- A. The scheduled true-up of costs and revenues through October 31, 2005 may modify Staff's overall recommended dollar and percentage increase; however, it is

	Direct Testimony of Janice Pyatte
1	unlikely to affect Staff's recommended methodology for adjusting rate values to recover
2	those costs from customers.
3	Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony on rate design issues in this
4	case?
5	A. Yes, it does.

AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P STEAM CASE NO. HR-2005-0436 STAFF PROPOSED RATES

Steam Service (MO981)

	(4/24/04)			
	Current	Proposed	Change in Rate	
	Rates	Rates	\$	%
Reserved Capacity	\$2 94 .08	\$3 94 .61	\$100.53	34.18%
First 3 MMBTU	\$4.2747	\$5.7360	\$1.4613	34.18%
Over 3 MMBTU	\$3.4741	\$4.6617	\$1.1876	34.18%

Standby or Supplementary Steam Service

	(4/24/04) Current	Proposed	Change in Rate	
Reserved Capacity	Rates \$546.78	Rates \$733.694	\$ \$186.914	% 34.18%
Per MMBTU	\$1.0892	\$1.4615	\$0.3723	34.18%