In the Matter of:

BEFORE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

GR-2018-0227

October 25, 2018



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	Procedural Conference
7	October 25, 2018
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 2
10	
11	
12	In The Matter of The Propriety Of)
13	
14	Doing Business as Ameren Missouri)
15	JOHN CLARK, Presiding
16	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
25	Lisa M. Banks, CCR No. 1081 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	JAMES LOWERY
2	Smith Lewis 111 S. Ninth Street, Suite 200
3	Columbia, Missouri 65101 573.443.3141
4	FOR: Union Electric Company
5	TIM OPITZ 409 Vandiver Drive
6	Building 5, Suite 205 Columbia, Missouri 65202
7	FOR: Renew Missouri Advocates
8	RICHARD BROWNLEE, III 121 Madison Street
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.616.19811
10	FOR: Missouri School Board Association
	MARC POSTON
11	Department of Economic Development Office of the Public Counsel
12	200 Madison Street PO Box 2230
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.526.4975
14	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
15	JEFFREY KEEVIL WHITNEY PAYNE
16	Department of Economic Development Public Service Commission
17	200 Madison Street PO Box 360
18	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.751.7431
19	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE CLARK: Today is Thursday, October 25th.			
2	The time is 12:59 p.m. The Commission has set aside time today			
3	for a procedural conference in the matter captioned as In The			
4	Manner of The Propriety Of The Rate Schedules For Natural Gas			
5	Service Of Union Electric Company Doing Business as Ameren			
6	Missouri in File No. GR-2018-0227.			
7	At this time I'd like counsel to enter their			
8	appearance starting with the company.			
9	MR. LOWERY: James B. Lowery, Smith Lewis LLP,			
10	PO Box 918, Columbia, Missouri 65201 on behalf of Ameren			
11	Missouri.			
12	MR. KEEVIL: On behalf of the Staff Jeff Keevil			
13	and Whitney Payne, 200 Madison Street, Jeff City, Missouri			
14	65102.			
15	MR. POSTON: Marc Poston on behalf of the Office			
16	of Public Counsel.			
17	MR. OPITZ: Tim Opitz on behalf of Renew			
18	Missouri.			
19	JUDGE CLARK: Anybody else?			
20	MR. BROWNLEE: Richard Brownlee with Mike			
21	Parnell on behalf of Missouri School Board Association.			
22	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you all. At this			
23	time are there any preliminary matters? Seeing none, I'm just			
24	going to cut right to the chase of everything. I see that Mr.			
25	Lowery filed something on			

1	MR. LOWERY: Should have been yesterday.
2	JUDGE CLARK: Yesterday on behalf of the
3	Company. I think this is the obvious the third one of these
4	that is going on today. Oh, we have got somebody else.
5	MR. LOWERY: She's an Ameren employee just
6	observing.
7	JUDGE CLARK: What I am going to ask is I know
8	everybody's got other cases going on so what I would like the
9	parties to do if possible is set a procedural schedule on this.
10	Is two weeks going to be enough time to do that?
11	MR. KEEVIL: That's what we did on the one this
12	morning.
13	JUDGE CLARK: And that's what I understood.
14	That's kind of why I'm doing this.
15	MR. KEEVIL: Let me ask you a question, Judge,
16	if I could. The same question I asked the judge this morning.
17	What is there anything specific that you saw coming out of
18	this procedural conference? Because it I mean, Staff filed a
19	motion for clarification of the previous order or the new order.
20	And then Ameren opposed it. And then the prehearing this
21	meeting was sent without anybody actually asking for a
22	procedural conference be set. And so like I asked Judge Pridgin
23	this morning: Are you looking for a procedural schedule for an
24	AAO hearing?
25	JUDGE CLARK: Yes.

1	MR. KEEVIL: Okay. That's what I gleaned from
2	the order setting the hearing.
3	JUDGE CLARK: Yes. I think in the motion for
4	clarification I think two things came out of that.
5	MR. KEEVIL: Right.
6	JUDGE CLARK: One is that not a deferral order.
7	MR. KEEVIL: Right.
8	JUDGE CLARK: I think the objection from the
9	Company was that you can't do a deferral order without a hearing
10	and without giving them an opportunity to be heard.
11	MR. LOWERY: It was the Company's contemplation
12	that we set up we would talk about it and try to come to an
13	agreement on a procedural schedule. That's what I was thinking
14	coming into the conference today.
15	JUDGE CLARK: That's what I was thinking too.
16	Once that's is set up for a shell for everything else kind of
17	revolves around that. I'd like to get a hearing scheduled so
18	that we know at least which direction we are headed.
19	MR. KEEVIL: So two weeks would be November 8th.
20	JUDGE CLARK: I don't have a calendar in front
21	of me, but that sounds fine.
22	MR. LOWERY: I think we will have an agreement
23	on one or know we don't have an agreement by then, but I think
24	we could probably agree on one.
25	JUDGE CLARK: Okay.

MR. LOWERY: I'm hopeful.
JUDGE CLARK: If for whatever reason you haven't
been able to agree on a procedural schedule by then, I'd like a
status report from somebody. Two weeks is longer than usual,
usually given for procedural schedule, so I would be surprised
if you can't come up with one. I don't have anything else on my
get done last. Is there anything else any party has at this
time?
MR. LOWERY: I don't think so, Judge.
MR. KEEVIL: Not from Staff.
MR. LOWERY: Not from the Company.
JUDGE CLARK: Seeing nothing, I'll adjourn this
hearing and we'll go off the record.
(OFF THE RECORD.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Lisa M. Banks, CCR within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Lisa M. Banks, CCR No. 1081

The Bank S

direction duly 90:6 3:20 Electric	on 90:6,11 1 88:18 90:9 6
duly 90:6 5:20 5:5 Electric	James 86:9 Jeff 86:12,13 judge 86:1,19,22
5:20 Electric	Jeff 86:12,13 judge 86:1,19,22
amnlova	86:5 87:2,7,13,15,16,22,25 88:3,6,8,15,20,25
	ed 90:10,12 89:2,9,12
employe enter 86:	ee 87:5 90:12 K
everyboo	dy's 87:8 Keevil 86:12 87:11,15 88:1,5,7,19 89:10
E 90:2	F kind 87:14 88:16
File 86:6 filed 86:2	L
financial	Lewis 86:9
87:19 foregoing	
,19,22 88:3,6,8, 2,12 front 88:	longer 89:4 Lowery 86:9,25 87:1, 5 88:11,22 89:1,9,11
Gas 86:4	4 M
giving 88 gleaned 88:11 GR-2018	Madison 86:13
86:3	H matter 86:3
on 88:11 headed 88:7,16 90:9,	87:24 88:2,9, Missouri 86:6.10.11.
	7,16 90:9, heard 88

motion 87:19 88:3		status 89:4	
	Q	Street 86:13	
N	question 87:15,16	surprised 89:5	
Natural 86:4		sworn 90:6	
November 88:19	R		
	Rate 86:4	T	
0	reason 89:2	talk 88:12	
objection 88:8	record 89:13,14	testimony 90:5,7	
observing 87:6	reduced 90:8	thereto 90:13	
obvious 87:3	related 90:9	things 88:4	
October 86:1	relative 90:11	thinking 88:13,15	
Office 86:15	Renew 86:17	Thursday 86:1	
Opitz 86:17	report 89:4	Tim 86:17	
opportunity 88:10	REPORTER 90:2	time 86:2,7,23 87:10	
opposed 87:20	revolves 88:17	89:8	
order 87:19 88:2,6,9	Richard 86:20	today 86:1,2 87:4 88:14	
outcome 90:13	s	typewriting 90:8	
P			
<u> </u>	schedule 87:9,23	U	
p.m. 86:2	88:13 89:3,5 scheduled 88:17	understood 87:13	
Parnell 86:21	Schedules 86:4	Union 86:5	
parties 87:9 90:10,12	School 86:21	usual 89:4	
party 89:7	Service 86:5		
Payne 86:13	set 86:2 87:9,22	W	
PO 86:10	88:12,16	weeks 87:10 88:19	
Poston 86:15	setting 88:2	89:4	
prehearing 87:20	shell 88:16	Whitney 86:13	
preliminary 86:23	Smith 86:9	Υ	
previous 87:19	sounds 88:21		
Pridgin 87:22	specific 87:17	yesterday 87:1,2	
procedural 86:3 87:9,18,22,23 88:13 89:3,5	Staff 86:12 87:18 89:10		
Propriety 86:4	starting 86:8		
Public 86:16	State 90:4		