

Missouri Public Service Commission Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Depreciation of Plant

Witness:

Guy C. Gilbert, P.E., P.G.

Type of Exhibit: Sponsoring Party: Direct Testimony MO PSC Staff

Case No.:

GR-2000-512

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

d/b/a AmerenUE

CASE NO. GR-2000-512

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GUY C. GILBERT, P.E., P.G.

Jefferson City, Missouri August 2000

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY					
2	OF					
3	GUY C. GILBERT, P.E., P.G.					
4	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY					
5	d/b/a AmerenUE					
6	CASE NO. GR-2000-512					
7						
8	Q. Please state your name and business address.					
9	A. Guy C. Gilbert, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.					
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?					
11	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission					
12	(Commission) as an engineer in the Engineering and Management Services Department.					
13	Q. What are your duties as an engineer in the Engineering and					
14	Management Services Department?					
15	A. I have the responsibilities of performing studies regarding					
16	depreciation, reviewing plant property records and utility property sales, and conducting					
17	technical engineering analysis of issues that may come before the Commission.					
18	Q. Would you please state briefly your qualifications, educational					
19	background and experience.					
20	A. I have earned degrees in Economics and Engineering from the					
21	University of Missouri. I was a National Science Foundation Research Grant participant					
22	and a student research assistant at Cloud Physics Space Sciences Research Center. After					
23	graduation, I was employed by General Dynamics' Freeman United Coal Mining					

1 | Cor 2 | cert 3 | Lev 4 | and 5 | qua 6 | No. 7 | Cla 8 | Min 9 | Em 10 | and 11 | 12 | cor 11 | cor

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Company as Assistant to the Superintendent, at Crown Complex. I have received certifications and qualifications from the United, States Department of Labor in Noise Level Testing, Dust Sampling/Calibration, Electricity Low/Medium/High Voltage, Dam and Refuse Impoundment Inspector/Instructor. I have received certifications and qualifications from the State of Illinois as Mine Manager, No. 6634; Mine Examiner, No. 10324; Electrical Hoisting Engineer, No. 2427; Sewage Treatment Plant Operator, Class K; Industrial Wastewater Treatment Works Operator, Class K; State of Illinois Mine Rescue Team, No. 2; Certified Benchman for Mine Rescue Equipment; and Emergency Medical Technician-Ambulance. I am a Registered Professional Engineer and a Registered Professional Geologist in the State of Missouri.

In 1988, I was hired by the Illinois Commerce Commission. My duties consisted of preparing management studies of publicly held utilities operating within the State of Illinois. In this position, I successfully completed Management Analyst Training, Telecommunications Auditing and EDP (Electronic Data Processing) Auditing.

In 1991, I accepted a position with the Illinois Department of Energy and Natural Resources, Office of Coal Development and Marketing. While in this position, I worked with various regulatory agencies, the United States Department of Energy, and trade personnel, both nationally and internationally. I also provided engineering and economic oversight to the state-funded implementation of clean coal technologies.

In 1994, I joined the Commission as an Engineer IV - Depreciation. In this position, I have successfully completed training programs in Basic Depreciation Concepts, Models Used In Life and Salvage Studies, Forecasting Life and Salvage, and Advanced Topics in Analysis and Forecasting.

Direct Testimony of Guy C. Gilbert, P.E., P.G.					
Q. Please state the purpose of your testimony in this case.					
A. The purpose of my testimony is to make recommendations to the					
Commission for AmerenUE Corporation (UE or Company) concerning depreciation. I					
will be recommending new depreciation rates and a transfer of accrued reserve between					
two distribution accounts.					
Q. When were depreciation rates for UE last ordered by the					
Commission?					
A. Depreciation rates were last ordered for UE in Case No.					
GR-97-393 and became effective December 23, 1997.					
Q. Has Staff conducted a depreciation study of the gas utility property					
of UE in this case?					
A. Yes, I conducted a Broad Group - Average Service Life					
depreciation study of the gas utility property of UE including site visit and operations					
reviews.					
Q. What were the results of the study?					
A. The attached Schedule 1 delineates by account: plant balance,					
current depreciation rates, and a recommended set of depreciation rates.					

- Q. Are there any differences in the methods and assumptions used in your depreciation study from those used previously by Staff for this Company?
- A. Yes. I have used actuarially derived mortality rates to set the average service life (ASL) for all plant in service by account. In this instance, the account is depreciated over the average service life of the assets in the account to determine an appropriate depreciation rate.

Q. How is this different from the methods and assumptions used in your depreciation study from those used previously by Staff for this Company?

- A. The adjustment for net salvage has been removed from the calculation and will be addressed in Mr. Paul Adam's direct testimony.
 - Q. What plant accounts did your depreciation study encompass?
- A. The plant accounts that were reviewed in my depreciation study are detailed in my attached Schedule 1. These plant accounts are divided into four functional groupings. The functional areas are Production Plant, Transmission Plant, Distribution Plant and General Plant.
 - Q. Please describe the purpose of these functional groupings.
- A. Production Plant consists of structures and equipment to provide the Company's gas system with peaking capacity during periods of shortage or excess demand. These components comprise a propane air plant at which air and stored liquefied propane are mixed and injected into the gas distribution system.

Transmission plant consists of major gas mains and measuring equipment.

These systems are used to supply large quantities of natural gas to an area. In the case of a town or city, they are called city gate.

Distribution Plant consists of those components that are used to distribute and provide gas service to customers within a given area (for example a city). Some of the common components of this functional area are structures, mains, measuring equipment, regulating equipment, meters, connections to customers, known as services, and any other items that would be necessary to provide safe and adequate service.

3

4

6

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The last functional area into which the plant equipment is divided is called General Plant. General plant consists of all the items necessary to run a modern business operation. Some of the items are buildings, furniture, office equipment, computer equipment, tools, vehicles, scientific and communications devices.

- Q. Are there any other overriding differences in the methods and assumptions used in your depreciation study from those used in previous studies and resultant depreciation rates adopted by the Commission for this Company?
- A. No, other than to note that there have been some adjustments made to the average service lives and Iowa curve types as indicated in my attached Schedule 2. Typically, I used full experience bands in my study which utilizes all of the historical retirement data available, to arrive at the recommended average service lives and Iowa curve types supporting the depreciation rates.
- Q. Were you able to determine if the Company's depreciation reserve accrual balances are higher or lower then they should be?
- A. Yes, I calculated a theoretical reserve to determine this. The theoretical reserve gives the dollar amount that is expected to be in each account's reserve. This is detailed in my attached Schedule 3.
- Q. What did you determine from this theoretical reserve value for each account?
- A. I compared the theoretical reserve to the actual accrued reserve for depreciation. The result of these differences is an over-accrual of \$6,099,248 for the total Company gas accounts. My Schedule 3 shows the differences by account.

- Q. Is this over accrual in the depreciation reserve of consequence and, if so, how should it be addressed?
- A. I recommend that no action be taken concerning a theoretical reserve true up at this time. However, adopting the depreciation rates as prescribed in Schedule 1 the theoretical reserve imbalance may increase. Future depreciation studies will allow an opportunity to address this imbalance.
 - Q. What is a possible method to address this area in the future?
- A. One method for recovery of the theoretical reserve imbalance is to establish an amortization of the excess over a specified period of time. For example, a theoretical reserve excess of \$6,099,248 could be amortized over a ten year period, in ten equal annual credits to the depreciation reserve of \$609,924.80. These credits would then be used to offset depreciation expense and reduce customer rates.
- Q. Please address the issue of a transfer of accrued reserve between two distributions accounts as you noted in your opening testimony.
- A. As I stated earlier in my testimony the Company's gas accounts can be divided into four functional areas. In the functional area of Distribution, Account 376 Mains and Account 380 Services, there exists imbalance in the theoretical reserves for depreciation. Account 376 is under accrued by \$9,650,807. Account 380 is over accrued by \$15,994,877. This imbalance has developed over a period of time and may be the result of changes in record keeping or to methods of handling these assets. However, under generally accepted gas accounting practices, it is acceptable to transfer accrued reserves for depreciation between accounts for purposes of balancing the theoretical reserve. Consequently, I am recommending that the Company transfer \$10,000,000 from

•	Direct Testimony of Guy C. Gilbert, P.E., P.G.	
1	Account 380 Services, depreciation reserve accrual to Account 376 Mains, de	preciation
2	reserve accrual. This will eliminate the imbalances of the theoretical re-	serves for
3	depreciation.	
4	Q. Please summarize your recommendations regarding this of	ase.
5	A. It is my recommendation that the Commission include i	n its final
6	Report and Order:	
7	1. Adoption the depreciation rates for the gas plant ac	counts as
8	detailed in my attached Schedule 1.	
9	2. Transfer of \$10,000,000 from Account 380 Services de	preciation
10	reserve accrual to Account 376 Mains depreciation reserv	e accrual.
11	Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?	
12	A. Yes, it does.	

AmerenUE Gas BROAD GROUP - AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE DEPRECIATION RATES CASE NO. GR-2000-512

			Currently Ordered Depreciation	Commission Staff Recommended Depreciation
Account	Account	Plant in Service	Rates	Rates
Number	Name	Balance 12/31/98	%	%
	PRODUCTION PLANT			
305	PROPANE STRUCTURES	\$267,109	2.38%	1.47%
311	LPG EQUIPMENT	\$2,127,094	2.81%	2.24%
	TRANSMISSION PLANT			
367	MAINS	\$1,171,879	2.11%	2.11%
369	MEASURING EQUIPMENT	\$31,014	2.65%	2.65%
	DISTRIBUTION			
375	STRUCTURES	\$39,040	2.04%	1.98%
376	MAINS	\$92,160,093	2.50%	2.22%
378	MEASURING AND REGULATING EQUIPMENT- GENERAL	\$2,767,987	2.61%	2.25%
379	MEASURING AND REGULATING EQUIPMENT - CITY GATE	\$223,411	2.61%	2.25%
380	SERVICES	\$59,365,187	4.06%	2.38%
381	METERS	\$10,845,214	2.20%	1.93%
383	HOUSE REGULATORS	\$6,526,959	1.52%	2.25%
385	INDUSTRIAL MEASURING AND REGULATING STATION EQU	\$850,122	3.05%	2.58%
	GENERAL	İ		
390	GENERAL PLANT STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS	\$631,654	2.13%	1.27%
391	OFFICE FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT	\$61,288	4.01%	7.75%
391.1	MAINFRAME COMPUTERS	\$56,396	10.33%	11.12%
391.2	PERSONAL COMPUTERS	\$231,280	10.33%	11.11%
392	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	\$2,948,137	7.04%	7.99%
393	STORES EQUIPMENT	\$50,103	1.97%	6.67%
394	TOOLS, SHOP, AND GARAGE EQUIPMENT	\$1,530,773	5.13%	5.18%
395	LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	\$67,342	2.22%	4.90%
396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	\$1,988,592	6.14%	5.56%
397	COMMUNICATIONS	\$571,814	5.28%	6.06%

AmerenUE Gas BROAD GROUP - AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE DEPRECIATION RATE PARAMETERS CASE NO. GR-2000-512

Account	Account	Current Average Service	Commission Staff Recommeded Average Service	Current Iowa Curve	Commission Staff Recommeded Iowa Curve
Number		Life	Life	Type	Туре
					•
	PRODUCTION PLANT				
305	PROPANE STRUCTURES	N/A	68.2	N/A	R1
311	LPG EQUIPMENT	37.0	44.6	S3	L2
	TRANSMISSION PLANT				
367	MAINS	N/A	N/A	N/A	NF
369	MEASURING EQUIPMENT	N/A	N/A	N/A	NF
	DISTRIBUTION			l	
375	STRUCTURES	N/A	50.5	N/A	R4
376	IMAINS	44.0	45	R3	R3
378	MEASURING AND REGULATING EQUIPMENT- GENERAL	N/A	44.4	N/A	S1.5
379	MEASURING AND REGULATING EQUIPMENT - CITY GATE	N/A	44	N/A	N/A
380	SERVICES	44.0	42	R1	R2.5
381	METERS	45.4	51.8	R2	R3
383	HOUSE REGULATORS	65.8	44.4	L2	S2
385	INDUSTRIAL MEASURING AND REGULATING STATION EQUIPMENT	N/A	38.7	N/A	S1
	CENERAL				
390	GENERAL PLANT STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS	N/A	79	N/A	R2.5
391	OFFICE FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT	23.9	12.9	02	LO
391	MAINFRAME COMPUTERS	9.0	9.0	R4	NF
391	PERSONAL COMPUTERS	9.0	9.0	R4	NF
392	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	12.5	12.5	L3	L3
393	STORES EQUIPMENT	50.4	15.0	R3	R5
394	TOOLS, SHOP, AND GARAGE EQUIPMENT	19.5	19.3	LO	L2
395	LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	45.0	20.4	L1	L0.5
396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	14.5	18.0	L3	S1.5
397	COMMUNICATIONS	18.8	16.5	R3	L1

NF ≈ no curve fit N/A = not applicable or not available

SCHEDULE 2

AmerenUE Gas COMPARISON OF BOOK RESERVE TO THEORETICAL RESERVE CASE NO. GR-2000-512

Account Number	Account Name	Book Reserve Balance 12/31/98	Theoretical Reserve Balance 12/31/98	Difference	(Under) or Over Accrual
	PRODUCTION PLANT				
305	PROPANE STRUCTURES	\$49,534	\$56,478	(\$6,944)	(under)
311	LPG EQUIPMENT	\$735,883	\$784,782	(\$48,899)	(under)
	TRANSMISSION PLANT				
367	MAINS	\$632,640	\$429,962	\$202,678	over
369	MEASURING EQUIPMENT	\$9,079	\$12,523	(\$3,444)	(under)
	DISTRIBUTION				
375	STRUCTURES	\$10,076	\$10,154	(\$78)	(under)
376	MAINS	\$15,979,937	\$25,630,744	(\$9,650,807)	(under)
378	MEASURING AND REGULATING EQUIPMENT- GENERAL	\$1,228,141	\$764,470	\$463,671	over
379	MEASURING AND REGULATING EQUIPMENT - CITY GATE	\$67,555	\$50,253	\$17,302	over
380	SERVICES	\$28,027,390	\$12,032,513	\$15,994,877	over
381	METERS	\$2,227,516	\$2,766,127	(\$538,611)	(under)
383	HOUSE REGULATORS	\$1,228,853	\$1,146,642	\$82,211	over
385	INDUSTRIAL MEASURING AND REGULATING STATION EQUIPMEN	\$88,555	\$69,853	\$18,702	over
	CENERAL				
390	GENERAL PLANT STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS	\$195,165	\$105,098	\$90,067	over
391	OFFICE FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT	\$29,616	\$10,941	\$18,675	over
391	MAINFRAME COMPUTERS	\$23,122	\$19,999	\$3,123	over
391	PERSONAL COMPUTERS	\$34,413	\$93,555	(\$59,142)	(under)
392	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	\$1,240,686	\$1,489,519	(\$248,833)	(under)
393	STORES EQUIPMENT	\$6,225	\$30,463	(\$24,238)	(under)
394	TOOLS, SHOP, AND GARAGE EQUIPMENT	\$237,337	\$489,318	(\$251,981)	(under)
395	LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	\$2,014	\$24,228	(\$22,214)	(under)
396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	\$902,078	\$895,601	\$6,477	over
397	COMMUNICATIONS	\$130,997	\$74,161	\$56,836	over
	TOTAL	\$53,086,812	\$46,987,384	\$6,099,428	over

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In The Matter Of Union Ele Company, d/b/a AmerenUE Authority To File Tariffs In For Gas Service Provided T In The Company's Missouri	, For creasing Rates o Customers)))	Case No. GR-2000-512	
A	AFFIDAVIT O	F GUY C	C. GILBERT	
STATE OF MISSOURI)			
COUNTY OF COLE) ss)			
preparation of the foregoing pages of testimony to be pre	written testimoresented in the a	ny in quest bove case owledge o	a states: that he has participated in stion and answer form, consisting ofe, that the answers in the attached write of the matters set forth in such answers; ge and belief.	7 tten
		_	Guy C. Gilbert	<u>_</u>
Subscribed and sworn to be	fore me this	th	_ day of August, 2000.	
My commission expires	Joyce C. Notary Public, Sta County of	te of Misseuri	Joyn C. Newer Notary Public	-