1 STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  2 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  4 5 Discovery Conference 6 7 May 15, 2014 Jefferson City, Missouri 8 Volume 3 9 10 In the Matter of Liberty Utilities) (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. ) 11 d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152 Revisions Designed to Implement a) 12 General Rate Increase for Natural ) Gas Service in the Missouri Service) 13 Areas of the Company ) 14 15 16 RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 22 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551 23 24 25		Page 24
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  Discovery Conference  May 15, 2014 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 3  In the Matter of Liberty Utilities) (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.  Myb/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff (Case No. GR-2014-00152 Revisions Designed to Implement a)  General Rate Increase for Natural (Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  Areas of the Company (Case No. GR-2014-00152 Revisions Designed to Implement a)  Reported By: Monate Service  REPORTED BY: Monate S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, Mo 65109 (573) 636-7551	1	
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  4  5 Discovery Conference  6  7 May 15, 2014     Jefferson City, Missouri  8 Volume 3  9  10 In the Matter of Liberty Utilities)     (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. )  11 d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152     Revisions Designed to Implement a )  12 General Rate Increase for Natural )     Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  13 Areas of the Company )  14  15  16  RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  7 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18  19  20  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  21 Midwest Litigation Services     3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  22 Jefferson City, MO 65109     (573) 636-7551  23  24		PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  4  5	2	
Discovery Conference  May 15, 2014  Jefferson City, Missouri  Volume 3  In the Matter of Liberty Utilities) (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. )  Ab/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff (Case No. GR-2014-00152) Revisions Designed to Implement a )  General Rate Increase for Natural (Case No. GR-2014-00152)  Areas of the Company (Case No. GR-2014-00152)  Revisions Designed to Implement a )  Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  Areas of the Company (Case No. GR-2014-00152)  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551	3	
5 Discovery Conference 6 7 May 15, 2014 Jefferson City, Missouri 8 Volume 3 9 10 In the Matter of Liberty Utilities) (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. ) 11 d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152 Revisions Designed to Implement a ) 12 General Rate Increase for Natural ) Gas Service in the Missouri Service) 13 Areas of the Company ) 14 15 16 RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551		TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
May 15, 2014 Jefferson City, Missouri  Volume 3  In the Matter of Liberty Utilities) (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.  Id/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff (Case No. GR-2014-00152) Revisions Designed to Implement a (Case No.	4	
May 15, 2014 Jefferson City, Missouri  Volume 3  In the Matter of Liberty Utilities) (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.  Myb/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff (Case No. GR-2014-00152) Revisions Designed to Implement a (Case No.	5	Discovery Conference
Jefferson City, Missouri  8	6	
8 Volume 3 9 10 In the Matter of Liberty Utilities)   (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. ) 11 d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152   Revisions Designed to Implement a ) 12 General Rate Increase for Natural )   Gas Service in the Missouri Service) 13 Areas of the Company ) 14 15 16   RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20   REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services   3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 22 Jefferson City, MO 65109   (573) 636-7551 23 24	7	May 15, 2014
9 10 In the Matter of Liberty Utilities)   (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. ) 11 d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152   Revisions Designed to Implement a ) 12 General Rate Increase for Natural )   Gas Service in the Missouri Service) 13 Areas of the Company ) 14 15 16   RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20   REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services   3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 22 Jefferson City, MO 65109   (573) 636-7551 23 24		Jefferson City, Missouri
10 In the Matter of Liberty Utilities) (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. 11 d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152 Revisions Designed to Implement a ) 12 General Rate Increase for Natural ) Gas Service in the Missouri Service) 13 Areas of the Company ) 14 15 16  RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 22 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551 23 24	8	Volume 3
(Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. )  11    d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152     Revisions Designed to Implement a )  12    General Rate Increase for Natural )     Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  13    Areas of the Company )  14  15  16  RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18  19  20  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  21    Midwest Litigation Services     3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  22    Jefferson City, MO 65109     (573) 636-7551  23  24	9	
11 d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152 Revisions Designed to Implement a )  12 General Rate Increase for Natural ) Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  13 Areas of the Company )  14  15  16  RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18  19  20  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  22 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551  23  24	10	In the Matter of Liberty Utilities)
Revisions Designed to Implement a )  12 General Rate Increase for Natural ) Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  13 Areas of the Company )  14  15  16  RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18  19  20  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551		(Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.
General Rate Increase for Natural )  Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  Areas of the Company )  Reported By:  Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551	11	d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff )Case No. GR-2014-00152
Gas Service in the Missouri Service)  13 Areas of the Company )  14  15  16  RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18  19  20  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  21 Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551		Revisions Designed to Implement a )
Areas of the Company  14  15  16  RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  17  SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18  19  20  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  21  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  22  Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551  23  24	12	General Rate Increase for Natural )
14 15 16 RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 22 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551 23 24		Gas Service in the Missouri Service)
15 16 RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding 17 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551 23 24	13	Areas of the Company )
RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551	14	
RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding  SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18  19  20  REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551	15	
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE  18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551  23 24	16	
18 19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551 23 24		RONALD PRIDGIN, Presiding
19 20 REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR 21 Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 22 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551 23 24	17	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551	18	
REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR  Midwest Litigation Services  3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551	19	
Midwest Litigation Services 3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551  23 24	20	
3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207  22 Jefferson City, MO 65109  (573) 636-7551  23 24		REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR
22 Jefferson City, MO 65109 (573) 636-7551 23	21	Midwest Litigation Services
(573) 636-7551 23 24		3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
23 24	22	Jefferson City, MO 65109
24		(573) 636-7551
	23	
25	24	
1	25	

	Page 25
1	APPEARANCES
2	For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:
3	Mr. Jeffrey Keevil
	Public Service Commission
4	200 Madison Street
	P.O. Box 360
5	Jefferson City, MO 65102
	(573) 526-4887
6	
7	For Office of Public Counsel and the Public:
8	Mr. Marc Poston
	Office of Public Counsel
9	200 Madison Street
	P.O. Box 2230
10	Jefferson City, MO 65102
	(573) 751-5558
11	
12	For Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a
	Liberty Utilities:
13	
	Mr. Larry W. Dority
14	Mr. James M. Fischer
	Fischer & Dority, PC
15	101 Madison Street, Suite 400
	Jefferson City, MO 65101
16	(573) 636-6758
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 26

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good morning. We are on
- 3 the record. This is a discovery conference in
- 4 Case No. GR-2014-0152. I'm Ron Pridgin. I'm the
- 5 Regulatory Law Judge assigned to preside over this
- 6 conference that's being held on May 15th, 2014, in
- 7 Jefferson City, Missouri, at the Governor Office
- 8 Building. The time is 10 a.m.
- 9 I'd like to get oral entries of appearance
- 10 from Counsel, please, beginning with the Staff of
- 11 the Commission.
- MR. KEEVIL: Yes. Judge, representing the
- 13 PSC Staff, Jeff Keevil. I've handed the reporter a
- 14 written entry. My address is on there. And 200
- 15 Madison, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City,
- 16 Missouri.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Keevil, thank you. On
- 18 behalf of Liberty Utilities, please.
- 19 MR. DORITY: Thank you, Judge. Larry
- 20 Dority and James Fischer with Fischer & Dority, PC.
- 21 Our address is 101 Madison, Suite 400, Jefferson
- 22 City Missouri, 65101, appearing on behalf of
- 23 Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.
- 24 doing business as Liberty Utilities.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Dority, and,

Page 27

- 1 Mr. Fischer, thank you. On behalf of the Office
- 2 Public Counsel, please.
- 3 MR. POSTON: Mark Poston appearing for the
- 4 Office of the Public Counsel, P.O. Box 2230,
- 5 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Poston, thank you.
- 7 Anyone else wishing to enter an appearance?
- 8 MR. DORITY: I would note, your Honor,
- 9 that we have the Director of Regulatory for the
- 10 company on the line with us this morning,
- 11 Christopher Krygier, and he's located in Jackson,
- 12 Missouri.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Mr. Dority,
- 14 thank you. Anything else from Counsel before, I
- 15 guess, we proceed with letting Mr. Keevil, I guess,
- 16 proceed with his motion? Is there anything else
- 17 Counsel wants or needs to do before we do that?
- 18 All right. And, Mr. Keevil -- and I've
- 19 read the motion, obviously. Mr. Keevil, I just
- 20 want to give you a chance if there's anything else
- 21 you'd like to say.
- 22 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, I don't really have
- 23 anything really additional. Just by way of
- 24 background, what we're here regarding this morning
- 25 -- well, as you know, the procedural schedule

Page 28

- 1 provided for certain discovery conferences. And I
- 2 believe there was also a delegation order that
- 3 delegated you the authority to rule on discovery
- 4 motions and matters regarding discovery.
- 5 And what -- what we are here for this
- 6 morning concerns some data that Staff requested
- 7 from Liberty Utilities, and I've got the specific
- 8 DR numbers in the motion.
- 9 And -- and what that -- those DRs were
- 10 originally provided or submitted, I should say, to
- 11 -- to Liberty in early March and were among the DRs
- 12 that Liberty indicated back in April that they
- 13 would be responding to, but would be responding to
- 14 beyond the 20 -- the initial 20-day response time.
- 15 We did then receive the -- the initial
- 16 responses. I believe we received one on April 11th
- 17 and the other three on the April 14th.
- 18 And at that time, it became -- part of the
- 19 response itself said that Liberty -- due to the
- 20 transition from the previous owner to Liberty and
- 21 the fact that the previous owner had been providing
- 22 certain services through the -- through what's
- 23 called a Continuing Services Agreement up to a
- 24 certain date, Liberty didn't have information --
- 25 part of the test year information that we were

Page 29

- 1 looking for because of this -- the fact that the
- 2 previous owner was doing the services through, I
- 3 believe it was, February of 2013. February or
- 4 March. It's in the motion.
- 5 And then we say, well, okay, that's fine.
- 6 But we -- it's -- we're talking about test year
- 7 months here. So have you requested it from the
- 8 previous owner?
- 9 And apparently, they -- they did. Then --
- 10 they -- Liberty did request it from the previous
- 11 owner but waited until we asked them had they
- 12 requested it to -- to turn around and ask for it,
- 13 which, you know, my understanding currently is that
- 14 -- in fact, we have -- to Liberty's benefit, they
- 15 have gotten us some of the information since I
- 16 filed this thing last week.
- 17 And I'm glad to see -- I know some of the
- 18 information even came in as early as -- not as
- 19 early, but as recently as this morning. So they're
- 20 -- they're trying to get us the stuff.
- 21 The problem is I don't know whether or not
- 22 what we've received is everything. And -- because
- 23 like I said, some of it, we got as recently as this
- 24 morning.
- 25 And because of the time delay here, what

Page 30

- 1 this has caused is we don't want to bump the entire
- 2 procedural schedule because you'll recall we had a
- 3 problem even coming up with the procedural schedule
- 4 because of some of the cases going on at the same
- 5 time as this case.
- 6 So what we kind of came up with as an
- 7 alternative was if Liberty is able to get us the
- 8 information from the previous owner by the -- next
- 9 week, May 20th, Staff would then be able -- not to
- 10 use that necessarily -- we may. We may not.
- 11 I mean, it's going to depend on how complicated
- 12 things get and everything.
- But we probably will need additional time
- 14 to use that information that we get next week. So
- 15 what we're proposing, we, Staff, are proposing is
- 16 go ahead and file a regular direct case with some
- 17 substitute information that we receive from
- 18 Liberty. And I'll explain that further if you want
- 19 me to.
- 20 But what we'd really like -- we'll file
- 21 that as our initial direct filing June 6th when
- 22 it's currently scheduled, but then use the -- the
- 23 data that the company is getting us from the prior
- 24 owner and file supplemental direct testimony by
- June 18th, assuming we get the stuff by May 20th,

Page 31

- 1 which would then put us back to where we should
- 2 have been on June 6th.
- 3 And -- and the reason that I say the
- 4 substitute date, the substitute data that we'll be
- 5 using for the June 6th filing won't be test year
- 6 data. So the stuff that -- the information they're
- 7 getting is from the previous owner is the test year
- 8 data that we actually need to do the test year
- 9 itself.
- 10 So that's why -- I mean, we will -- June
- 11 6th, we'll have a decent temporary fix, but we need
- 12 eventually to file the supplemental with the --
- 13 with the Atmos data.
- 14 And in order to file supplemental, I was
- 15 looking through the rules, and I was hoping I could
- do this without an actual motion or an order or
- 17 anything of that nature.
- But I looked at the supplemental testimony
- 19 rule, and it says, No party shall file supplemental
- 20 without an order of the Judge or the Commission
- 21 unless it merely replaces projected financial data.
- 22 And I don't think this would fall under the
- 23 replacing projected financial data exception.
- So that's kind of what got us here today.
- 25 The company's working with us. I mean, grant them

Page 32

- 1 that. But because of the timing and the rule
- 2 requirement to get an order to file supplemental,
- 3 you know, we -- that's what kind of brought us here
- 4 today. We -- it's the -- it's the best option of
- 5 the list of poor options.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- 7 MR. KEEVIL: And that's the best we could
- 8 come up with at this point. We didn't want to wait
- 9 until we're on top of the filing date and come
- 10 running to you and say, Judge, Judge, because
- 11 that's kind of what's gotten the Staff and parties
- 12 into hot positions in the past, so we didn't want
- 13 to wait to bring this up.
- 14 So that's kind of what got us here today.
- 15 And like I said, we've been receiving some of the
- 16 updated -- not the updated, some of the missing
- 17 data from -- from Liberty within the past week.
- 18 Don't know whether we've got everything we need or
- 19 not because, like I say, we just got some this
- 20 morning even.
- 21 But I think everybody's working -- trying
- 22 to make this work, but we need -- an order from you
- 23 authorizing the supplemental filing on the 18th of
- 24 June, assuming we get all the data we need by next
- 25 week. So that's, again, that's why we're here.

Page 33 1 So --JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. All right, 3 Mr. Keevil, thank you. Liberty, any response, Mr. Dority or Mr. Fischer? 4 5 MR. DORITY: Yes. Thank you, Judge. We 6 certainly have no objection to Staff's request to 7 file supplemental direct testimony as Mr. Keevil set forth in his motion. 8 9 We would respectfully suggest that the Motion to Compel is moot to the reasons that he 10 just elaborated. 11 12 As the Commission's own records in EFIS will reflect, we filed the information in 13 supplemental responses to the data requests that 14 15 were at issue on May 9th. Upon receiving additional inquiries from 16 17 Staff regarding those responses, we requested and personally participated in a meeting here in the 18 Commission's offices just two days ago seeking 19 clarification as to what their particular needs 20

Fax: 314.644.1334

And we have conveyed those additional

Mr. Keevil indicated, we've already received some

of that additional information, and we filed it

requests to Atmos, and we've already -- as

are.

21

22

23

24

25

Page 34

- 1 this morning in EFIS.
- We did provide all of the information in
- 3 our possession that we had in order that Staff
- 4 would have a full year's data in the format that
- 5 they requested.
- 6 But the information going back into those
- 7 early months in the test year that they need to --
- 8 if I understand it correctly, is to prepare a
- 9 weather normalization type adjustment. They need
- 10 more -- the information more granular than what we
- 11 had in summary format that we had received from
- 12 Atmos at that point in time, billing cycle type
- 13 data.
- So we went back and requested of Atmos to
- 15 go in and provide it. This is data that's
- 16 hopefully in the former billing system that Atmos
- 17 no longer uses. So they've had their IT people
- 18 going back and extrapolating the data to the extent
- 19 they can.
- 20 And we did -- as I say, we did receive it.
- 21 We filed it. Staff had identified some concerns,
- 22 and we've gone back and we're trying to make sure
- 23 we get the information that they need so they can
- 24 make -- make their filing.
- 25 So from that standpoint, we would

Page 35

- 1 certainly object to any Motion to Compel being
- 2 ordered because the information is not in our
- 3 possession. But we are certainly working hard to
- 4 get everything the Staff needs.
- 5 And I would agree with Mr. Keevil that,
- 6 you know, I think we've collectively been trying to
- 7 make sure that they have the information they need
- 8 so they can make the filing that they wish to file.
- 9 So --
- 10 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Dority, thank you.
- 11 Mr. Poston, anything?
- 12 MR. POSTON: I have no comment. Thank
- 13 you.
- 14 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Mr. Keevil, it
- 15 sounds like we have no objection to the
- 16 supplemental direct order, and I'll be glad to do
- 17 that from the Bench or in writing or both,
- 18 whichever the parties prefer.
- 19 MR. KEEVIL: Well, Judge, I'm a little bit
- 20 concerned because we got some of this data this
- 21 morning. I don't know that we've received
- 22 everything in the format that we need it.
- 23 My people probably haven't even had time
- 24 to review it adequately would be my guess.
- 25 MS. COX: I believe last night in EFIS, we

Page 36

- 1 received the cycle that we were needing, the cycle.
- 2 We did receive that. And today it appears it was
- 3 just the cities that were put into divisions for
- 4 us. So we do still have some outstanding items.
- 5 MR. KEEVIL: Okay.
- 6 MR. DORITY: I think what we provided this
- 7 morning, also, was all of the revenue information
- 8 that you were looking for. I think we're still
- 9 looking for some volume information.
- MS. COX: Yes. Uh-huh.
- 11 MR. DORITY: And it's my understanding
- 12 that that's forthcoming.
- MS. COX: Okay.
- MR. KEEVIL: Judge, I mean, because of
- 15 that -- and like I say, they're trying to get it,
- 16 Judge. But I do think we still need the Motion to
- 17 Compel to continue the -- I mean, because, again,
- 18 it's true they had to go back to Atmos to get it.
- 19 I grant you that.
- 20 But if you look back at the -- the
- 21 documentation from that Atmos acquisition case,
- 22 this was stuff that they should have received from
- 23 Atmos, or at least Atmos was under a contractual
- 24 agreement to give it to them if they ask for it.
- 25 So there shouldn't have been any

Page 37

- 1 hesitation there from -- on the part of Liberty to
- 2 ask Atmos for it. And for some reason, apparently,
- 3 it took, like I said previously, until after we got
- 4 a response back to the first part before they even
- 5 asked Atmos, Hey, can you give us this -- do you
- 6 have it, can you give it to us.
- 7 You know, and we -- like I said, we need
- 8 the information fairly soon in order to even make
- 9 that June 18th date. So, I mean, it's kind of
- 10 keep, you know, the ball moving here.
- I do think we still need the Motion to
- 12 Compel just -- again, just to keep things moving
- 13 because if -- if you give us till the 18th to file
- 14 our testimony and we still don't get data, it
- doesn't do us any good to give us to the 18th to
- 16 file the testimony, you know.
- So I hate to -- that it's come to this,
- 18 but, I mean, I think it has. And I -- again, I
- 19 don't understand really why Liberty was hesitant to
- 20 ask Atmos initially for the data when they knew it
- 21 was test year -- it's not like it was, you know,
- 22 like a -- sometimes you'll see data requests that
- 23 say, Give us three years worth of this or, you
- 24 know, four years worth of this.
- This was test year information. This was

Page 38

- 1 not stuff going back. This was test year stuff
- 2 that we needed.
- 3 And as Mr. Dority indicated, Liberty has
- 4 since given us the similar information for the
- 5 following five months since that isn't where it was
- 6 in Liberty's possession because it was after the
- 7 transfer. But -- and that's what I referred to
- 8 initially as temporary data that we're kind of
- 9 substituting.
- 10 But even though we can use it on a
- 11 temporary basis to get an initial case, filed it's
- 12 not test year data, so it's not going to be
- 13 properly matched up with expenses. And -- and you
- 14 can't really normalize and annualize it the way you
- 15 should because it's not going to fit with the rest
- of the seven months of the test year.
- 17 So I do still think we need the Motion to
- 18 Compel even though there's still -- they're trying,
- 19 apparently, to get the information from Atmos.
- 20 So -- and as to your question, I think
- 21 we'd be better off, I think, if you did issue a
- 22 written order --
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly.
- MR. KEEVIL: -- as soon as you could.
- 25 So --

Page 39

- 1 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. I can certainly do
- 2 that. And any further response on the Motion to
- 3 Compel?
- 4 MR. DORITY: Yes, Judge. We did provide
- 5 data for the test year. It was just in a summary
- 6 format that we had received from Atmos. It was not
- 7 in the granular format that Staff is claiming that
- 8 they need on a billed cycle sort of basis.
- 9 So once that confusion was clarified, then
- 10 we have gone to Atmos. We've now got the
- 11 information. And I just don't want to put my
- 12 client in the position to where they're under an
- 13 order compelling them to provide information that
- 14 they don't have possession or control of.
- We've been working closely with Atmos to
- 16 get it. They've been cooperating with us. And to
- 17 the extent it exists, I have every reason to
- 18 believe we're going to be able to provide it.
- 19 But I would object to the entry of an
- 20 order at this point when we're still trying to get
- 21 the information together.
- 22 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Anything
- 23 further from Counsel? All right. I will -- I'm
- 24 going to take the Motion to Compel under
- 25 advisement. I'm going to look at it, and I may

Page 40

- 1 order further written responses.
- 2 I know time is very short. So anything
- 3 that I would order would be, you know, within the
- 4 next day or two because I -- the Motion -- the
- 5 Motion asked that the information be provided or
- 6 compelled -- Liberty to provide the information by
- 7 May 20th.
- 8 And, obviously, if we're going to do that,
- 9 Liberty is entitled to some time to meet that 20th
- 10 deadline. And so I would rather -- well, with the
- 11 understanding that -- that the company may be
- 12 ordered to do that, I may order parties to respond
- 13 rather quickly so that I can get you an order as
- 14 quickly as I can to know if you've got a deadline
- on the 20th or not, if that makes any sense.
- I may order for some sort of written
- 17 response, if you will, to Staff's Motion to Compel
- 18 based on what you've told me today so I can read
- 19 it, digest it and issue a written order as quickly
- 20 as I can. That's -- that's where I'm trying to go
- 21 with this, if that makes sense.
- MR. DORITY: I see. Withhold ruling
- 23 until --
- 24 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I'm glad to issue an
- 25 order to grant the -- the Motion to allow Staff to

Page 41

- $1 \quad \ \ \, -- \$  to file that supplemental direct testimony as I
- 2 don't hear an objection to that. Is there -- is
- 3 there anything else Counsel wants to bring to my
- 4 attention, any questions or anything?
- 5 MR. DORITY: Well, I'm just wondering,
- 6 rather -- as Mr. Keevil has indicated, I -- I
- 7 think, collectively, we're getting the information
- 8 that they need.
- 9 Would there be any resistance to just
- 10 withholding the ruling on the Motion to Compel
- 11 until we see what the first of the week brings in
- 12 terms of getting you the information?
- 13 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I'll let -- I'll let
- 14 Counsel reply to that.
- MR. KEEVIL: I -- run that by me again.
- 16 What are you suggesting?
- 17 MR. DORITY: I was just suggesting that
- 18 the Judge could withhold ruling on the Motion until
- 19 we see if we're getting you the information that --
- 20 that you need in order to make the adjustment that
- 21 you're talking about.
- 22 MR. KEEVIL: How do we -- let's say you
- 23 aren't and we don't get it by the 20th. Then what
- 24 do we do?
- 25 MR. DORITY: Well, I suppose we could just

Page 42

- 1 simply notify the Judge that there's still
- 2 disagreement as to whether the information has been
- 3 provided.
- I mean, based upon our conversations
- 5 Tuesday of this week, it's my understanding that if
- 6 we got the information to you next week that that
- 7 hopefully would -- would serve your needs.
- 8 We're hoping that we'll get it to you by
- 9 Tuesday, the 20th. It might be a day or two after
- 10 that. But I just want to be sure we're on the same
- 11 page.
- 12 MR. KEEVIL: I can -- I mean --
- 13 MR. FISCHER: I think it's our
- 14 understanding that we're down to 15 customers.
- 15 That's what we're talking about still out there.
- MS. COX: For the small and medium
- 17 transport customers.
- MR. FISCHER: Yeah. So that's -- we're
- 19 working to get that done, but that's what we
- 20 understand is still likely to be needed.
- MS. COX: Yeah. And there was additional
- 22 three large transportation customers that were not
- 23 included as well. So I don't know the counts of
- 24 the 15, if that's accurate or not, but --
- MR. FISCHER: Okay.

		Page 43
1	MS. COX: That's the data that I'm	
2	showing.	
3	MR. FISCHER: We're almost there, we hope.	
4	MR. DORITY: Chris, did you have any	
5	clarification on that? Are we are we correct in	
6	what we're reciting here?	
7	MR. KRYGIER: Yes. So, basically, the 15	
8	is what I'm is what I'm referring to, kind of	
9	the all in individual, the small medium	
10	transportation and the few others that you	
11	identified.	
12	MS. COX: That would be accurate.	
13	MR. KEEVIL: What was the revenue stuff	
14	that was missing?	
15	MS. COX: I had the revenue?	
16	MR. KEEVIL: Didn't you say	
17	MS. COX: No. They said that was part of	
18	what was filed this morning.	
19	MR. KEEVIL: That was part of what was	
20	filed this morning. Okay.	
21	MS. COX: But I need actual usage	
22	associated with	
23	MR. KEEVIL: Oh, the usage, yes.	
24	MR. DORITY: Yeah. The usage piece is	
25	still coming, right, Chris? Is that	

Page 44

- 1 MR. KRYGIER: So, basically, what's kind
- 2 of -- what's still outstanding is those customers
- 3 that came, and Joel identified what will be
- 4 provided in the volumes, plus the dollars for those
- 5 15 customers.
- 6 MS. COX: The other item, Chris, is the
- 7 month of March that's missing for all the transport
- 8 customers.
- 9 MR. KRYGIER: Yeah. And that's -- I'm
- 10 still tracking that down, but I kind of had that in
- 11 a separate bucket if that makes sense.
- MS. COX: Okay. That's --
- 13 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. So let me, I
- 14 guess, inquire of Counsel. I think Liberty has
- 15 suggested that I defer ruling on the Motion to
- 16 Compel. And I quess I would welcome any responses
- 17 or quidance as to other parties' positions on that.
- 18 MR. KEEVIL: Yeah. I think could probably
- 19 do that, Judge, with the understanding that I'm
- 20 certainly not abandoning it or withdrawing it or
- 21 anything.
- 22 And I would hope that -- I guess I've been
- 23 through this rodeo so many times, not with Liberty,
- 24 but with other companies. I hope that Tuesday gets
- 25 here and we don't have the information we need that

Page 45

- 1 we're not going to have to go through this again
- 2 because, again, time is of the essence here.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct.
- 4 MR. KEEVIL: And we're -- we're actually
- 5 in the stage of preparing -- actually preparing the
- 6 testimony now for the June 6th, May 15th --
- 7 preparing testimony for the June 6th filing, early
- 8 stages of it, obviously.
- 9 But there's not a whole lot of leeway that
- 10 we have here, not a whole lot of free time we have
- 11 to be following up on things that we could have
- 12 been ruled on today.
- 13 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I understand.
- MR. KEEVIL: So, I mean, I'm willing to do
- 15 that, but --
- MR. DORITY: And we would --
- 17 MR. KEEVIL: -- very reluctantly would
- 18 be --
- 19 MR. DORITY: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to
- 20 interrupt. And we would commit that we're going to
- 21 continue to work as diligently as we can to get the
- 22 information that exists.
- We simply may be in a position where they
- 24 cannot get information for whatever reason. But to
- 25 the extent it exists, we've made it clear that we

Page 46 need it as quickly as possible. 2 We hope to have it by Tuesday. It may be 3 a date or two later in the week, but we're -- we're hoping that we can meet the Tuesday deadline. 4 5 So --6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. All right. 7 certainly understand, Mr. Keevil, you're not withdrawing or abandoning your motion, and I'm 8 showing it's still pending. 9 10 Is there anything further Counsel wants to bring to my attention? Okay. I'm hearing nothing, 11 12 and I'll leave it up to the parties. You're 13 certainly welcome to continue to use this room if you'd like to talk, and I'll keep the line open. 14 15 And I'll excuse myself here in just a moment. 16 Is there anything else before we go off 17 the record? All right. Hearing nothing, we will go off the record. That concludes this procedural 18 19 conference in GR-2014-0152. Thank you very much. 20 We're off the record. 21 (The proceedings were concluded at 10:25 a.m. on 22 May 15, 2014.) 23 2.4 25

	Page 47
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MISSOURI )
	)ss.
4	COUNTY OF OSAGE)
5	
6	I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
7	Certified Court Reporter #0538, and Registered Professional
8	Reporter, within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby
9	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings as
10	set forth in the caption sheet hereof; that I then and there
11	took down in stenotype the proceedings had at said time and
12	was thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately
13	set forth in the preceding pages.
14	
15	
16	
17	Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0538
18	Registered Professional Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

	1 25 20 20 10		1 22 12 10	GOVENIUM 15 4
A	37:20 38:19	C	33:12,19	COUNTY 47:4
abandoning	39:6,10,15	C 25:1 26:1	<b>commit</b> 45:20	Court 47:7
44:20 46:8	attention 41:4	<b>called</b> 28:23	companies 44:24	COX 35:25 36:10
<b>able</b> 30:7,9 39:18	46:11	caption 47:10	company 24:13	36:13 42:16,21
accurate 42:24	authority 28:3	case 24:11 26:4	27:10 30:23	43:1,12,15,17
43:12	authorizing	30:5,16 36:21	40:11	43:21 44:6,12
accurately 47:12	32:23	38:11	company's 31:25	<b>CSR</b> 24:20 47:17
acquisition 36:21	<b>a.m</b> 26:8 46:21	cases 30:4	<b>Compel</b> 33:10	currently 29:13
actual 31:16	B	caused 30:1	35:1 36:17	30:22
43:21		<b>CCR</b> 24:20 47:17	37:12 38:18	customers 42:14
additional 27:23	back 28:12 31:1	certain 28:1,22	39:3,24 40:17	42:17,22 44:2,5
30:13 33:16,22	34:6,14,18,22	28:24	41:10 44:16	44:8
33:25 42:21	36:18,20 37:4	certainly 33:6	compelled 40:6	<b>cycle</b> 34:12 36:1
address 26:14,21	38:1	35:1,3 38:23	compelling 39:13	36:1 39:8
adequately 35:24	background	39:1 44:20 46:7	complicated	
adjustment 34:9	27:24	46:13	30:11	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D}}$
41:20	<b>ball</b> 37:10	CERTIFICATE	concerned 35:20	<b>D</b> 26:1
advisement	based 40:18 42:4	47:1	concerns 28:6	data 28:6 30:23
39:25	basically 43:7	Certified 47:6,7	34:21	31:4,6,8,13,21
ago 33:19	44:1	certify 47:9	concluded 46:21	31:23 32:17,24
agree 35:5	basis 38:11 39:8	chance 27:20	concludes 46:18	33:14 34:4,13
agreement 28:23	beginning 26:10	Chris 43:4,25	conference 24:5	34:15,18 35:20
36:24	behalf 26:18,22	44:6	26:3,6 46:19	37:14,20,22
ahead 30:16	27:1	Christopher	conferences 28:1	38:8,12 39:5
allow 40:25	<b>believe</b> 28:2,16	27:11	confusion 39:9	43:1
alternative 30:7	29:3 35:25	cities 36:3	continue 36:17	date 28:24 31:4
annualize 38:14	39:18	City 24:7,22 25:5	45:21 46:13	32:9 37:9 46:3
apparently 29:9	<b>Bench</b> 35:17	25:10,15 26:7	Continuing	day 40:4 42:9
37:2 38:19	benefit 29:14	26:15,22 27:5	28:23	days 33:19
appearance 26:9	best 32:4,7	claiming 39:7	contractual	deadline 40:10
27:7	<b>better</b> 38:21	clarification	36:23	40:14 46:4
appearing 26:22	beyond 28:14	33:20 43:5	control 39:14	decent 31:11
27:3	billed 39:8	clarified 39:9	conversations	<b>defer</b> 44:15
appears 36:2	<b>billing</b> 34:12,16	clear 45:25	42:4	<b>delay</b> 29:25
April 28:12,16	<b>bit</b> 35:19	client 39:12	conveyed 33:22	delegated 28:3
28:17	<b>Boulevard</b> 24:21	closely 39:15	cooperating	delegation 28:2
Areas 24:13	Box 25:4,9 26:15	collectively 35:6	39:16	<b>depend</b> 30:11
asked 29:11 37:5	27:4	41:7	Corp 24:10 25:12	Designed 24:11
40:5	<b>bring</b> 32:13 41:3	come 32:8,9	26:23	<b>digest</b> 40:19
assigned 26:5	46:11	37:17	correct 43:5 45:3	diligently 45:21
associated 43:22	<b>brings</b> 41:11	coming 30:3	correctly 34:8	<b>direct</b> 30:16,21
assuming 30:25	brought 32:3	43:25	Counsel 25:7,8	30:24 33:7
32:24	<b>bucket</b> 44:11	comment 35:12	26:10 27:2,4,14	35:16 41:1
Atmos 31:13	<b>Building</b> 26:8	Commission	27:17 39:23	Director 27:9
33:23 34:12,14	<b>bump</b> 30:1	24:1 25:2,3	41:3,14 44:14	disagreement
34:16 36:18,21	business 26:24	26:11 31:20	46:10	42:2
36:23,23 37:2,5		Commission's	counts 42:23	discovery 24:5
30.43,43 31.4,3				

26:3 28:1,3,4	<b>fact</b> 28:21 29:1	General 24:12	honofully 24:16	Loffway 25.2
	29:14		hopefully 34:16 42:7	<b>Jeffrey</b> 25:3 <b>Joel</b> 44:3
divisions 36:3	1 29:14 <b>fairly</b> 37:8	getting 30:23		_
documentation 36:21	fall 31:22	31:7 41:7,12,19 give 27:20 36:24	<b>hoping</b> 31:15 42:8 46:4	<b>Judge</b> 24:17 26:2 26:5,12,17,19
		_	hot 32:12	
doing 26:24 29:2 dollars 44:4	February 29:3,3	37:5,6,13,15,23	not 32:12	26:25 27:6,13 27:22 31:20
	<b>file</b> 30:16,20,24	given 38:4	I	
<b>Dority</b> 25:13,14	31:12,14,19 32:2 33:7 35:8	<b>glad</b> 29:17 35:16 40:24	identified 34:21	32:6,10,10 33:2 33:5 35:10,14
26:19,20,20,25		<b>go</b> 30:16 34:15	43:11 44:3	/
27:8,13 33:4,5 35:10 36:6,11	37:13,16 41:1 <b>filed</b> 29:16 33:13	36:18 40:20	<b>Implement</b> 24:11	35:19 36:14,16 38:23 39:1,4,22
38:3 39:4 40:22	33:25 34:21		included 42:23	, ,
		45:1 46:16,18	Increase 24:12	40:24 41:13,18
41:5,17,25 43:4	38:11 43:18,20	going 30:4,11	indicated 28:12	42:1 44:13,19
43:24 45:16,19 <b>DR</b> 28:8	<b>filing</b> 30:21 31:5 32:9,23 34:24	34:6,18 38:1,12 38:15 39:18,24	33:24 38:3 41:6	45:3,13 46:6 <b>June</b> 30:21,25
	35:8 45:7		individual 43:9	
<b>DRs</b> 28:9,11 <b>due</b> 28:19		39:25 40:8 45:1	information	31:2,5,10 32:24
	<b>financial</b> 31:21 31:23	45:20	28:24,25 29:15	37:9 45:6,7
<b>d/b/a</b> 24:11 25:12	51:23 fine 29:5	good 26:2 37:15 gotten 29:15	29:18 30:8,14	<u>K</u>
$\mathbf{E}$	<b>first</b> 37:4 41:11	32:11	30:17 31:6	keep 37:10,12
E 25:1,1 26:1,1	Fischer 25:14,14	<b>Governor</b> 26:7	33:13,25 34:2,6	46:14
early 28:11 29:18	26:20,20 27:1	grant 31:25	34:10,23 35:2,7	Keevil 25:3 26:12
29:19 34:7 45:7	33:4 42:13,18	36:19 40:25	36:7,9 37:8,25	26:13,17 27:15
<b>EFIS</b> 33:12 34:1	42:25 43:3	granular 34:10	38:4,19 39:11	27:18,19,22
35:25	fit 38:15	39:7	39:13,21 40:5,6	32:7 33:3,7,24
elaborated 33:11	five 38:5	GR-2014-00152	41:7,12,19 42:2	35:5,14,19 36:5
enter 27:7	fix 31:11	24:11	42:6 44:25	36:14 38:24
entire 30:1	following 38:5	GR-2014-0152	45:22,24	41:6,15,22
entitled 40:9	45:11	26:4 46:19	initial 28:14,15	42:12 43:13,16
entries 26:9	<b>format</b> 34:4,11	guess 27:15,15	30:21 38:11	43:19,23 44:18
<b>entry</b> 26:14	35:22 39:6,7	35:24 44:14,16	initially 37:20	45:4,14,17 46:7
39:19	former 34:16	44:22	38:8	kind 30:6 31:24
essence 45:2	<b>forth</b> 33:8 47:10	guidance 44:17	inquire 44:14	32:3,11,14 37:9
eventually 31:12	47:13		inquiries 33:16	38:8 43:8 44:1
everybody's	forthcoming	H	interrupt 45:20	44:10
32:21	36:12	<b>handed</b> 26:13	issue 33:15 38:21	knew 37:20
exception 31:23	four 37:24	hard 35:3	40:19,24	know 27:25
excuse 46:15	free 45:10	hate 37:17	item 44:6	29:13,17,21
exists 39:17	<b>full</b> 34:4	hear 41:2	items 36:4	32:3,18 35:6,21
45:22,25	<b>fully</b> 47:12	<b>hearing</b> 46:11,17		37:7,10,16,21
expenses 38:13	further 30:18	held 26:6	<u> </u>	37:24 40:2,3,14
explain 30:18	39:2,23 40:1	<b>hereof</b> 47:10	Jackson 27:11	42:23
<b>extent</b> 34:18	46:10	hesitant 37:19	James 25:14	Krygier 27:11
39:17 45:25		hesitation 37:1	26:20	43:7 44:1,9
extrapolating	G	<b>Hey</b> 37:5	Jeff 26:13	
34:18	<b>G</b> 26:1	<b>Honor</b> 27:8	<b>Jefferson</b> 24:7,22	L L
	<b>Gas</b> 24:10,12	hope 43:3 44:22	25:5,10,15 26:7	large 42:22
F	25:12 26:23	44:24 46:2	26:15,21 27:5	<b>Larry</b> 25:13
	l	1		l

26.10	42.16	22.24.24.7.0.22	and and 25.2	
26:19 L 24 17 26 5	medium 42:16	32:24 34:7,9,23	ordered 35:2	prefer 35:18
Law 24:17 26:5	43:9	35:7,22 36:16	40:12	prepare 34:8
leave 46:12	meet 40:9 46:4	37:7,11 38:17	originally 28:10	preparing 45:5,5
leeway 45:9	meeting 33:18	39:8 41:8,20	<b>OSAGE</b> 47:4	45:7
letting 27:15	merely 31:21	43:21 44:25	outstanding 36:4	present 47:9
let's 41:22	Midstates 24:10	46:1	44:2	preside 26:5
<b>Liberty</b> 24:10,11	25:12 26:23	needed 38:2	owner 28:20,21	Presiding 24:16
25:12,12 26:18	Midwest 24:21	42:20	29:2,8,11 30:8	previous 28:20
26:23,24 28:7	missing 32:16	needing 36:1	30:24 31:7	28:21 29:2,8,10
28:11,12,19,20	43:14 44:7	needs 27:17	P	30:8 31:7
28:24 29:10	<b>Missouri</b> 24:1,7	33:20 35:4 42:7	P 25:1,1 26:1	previously 37:3
30:7,18 32:17	24:12 25:2 26:7	night 35:25		<b>Pridgin</b> 24:16
33:3 37:1,19	26:16,22 27:5	normalization	page 42:11	26:2,4,17,25
38:3 40:6,9	27:12 47:3,8	34:9	pages 47:13	27:6,13 32:6
44:14,23	MO 24:22 25:5	normalize 38:14	part 28:18,25	33:2 35:10,14
Liberty's 29:14	25:10,15	note 27:8	37:1,4 43:17,19	38:23 39:1,22
38:6	moment 46:15	<b>notify</b> 42:1	participated 33:18	40:24 41:13
line 27:10 46:14	<b>Monnie</b> 24:20	numbers 28:8		44:13 45:3,13
list 32:5	47:6,17	0	particular 33:20	46:6
Litigation 24:21	month 44:7	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 26:1	parties 32:11 35:18 40:12	<b>prior</b> 30:23
little 35:19	months 29:7 34:7		44:17 46:12	<b>probably</b> 30:13
located 27:11	38:5,16	<b>object</b> 35:1 39:19		35:23 44:18
longer 34:17	moot 33:10	<b>objection</b> 33:6 35:15 41:2	party 31:19 PC 25:14 26:20	problem 29:21
look 36:20 39:25	morning 26:2			30:3
<b>looked</b> 31:18	27:10,24 28:6	<b>obviously</b> 27:19	pending 46:9	procedural 27:25
looking 29:1	29:19,24 32:20	40:8 45:8	<b>people</b> 34:17 35:23	30:2,3 46:18
31:15 36:8,9	34:1 35:21 36:7	Office 25:7,8		<b>proceed</b> 27:15,16
<b>lot</b> 45:9,10	43:18,20	26:7,15 27:1,4	personally 33:18 47:9	proceedings 24:3
	motion 27:16,19	offices 33:19 Oh 43:23		46:21 47:9,11
	28:8 29:4 31:16		piece 43:24	Professional 47:7
M 25:14	33:8,10 35:1	okay 29:5 32:6	please 26:10,18	47:18
Madison 25:4,9	36:16 37:11	33:2 36:5,13	27:2	projected 31:21
25:15 26:15,21	38:17 39:2,24	39:1 42:25	plus 44:4	31:23
Marc 25:8 March 28:11	40:4,5,17,25	43:20 44:12	<b>point</b> 32:8 34:12 39:20	properly 38:13
29:4 44:7	41:10,18 44:15	46:6,11 <b>once</b> 39:9		proposing 30:15
29.4 44.7 Mark 27:3	46:8		poor 32:5	30:15
matched 38:13	motions 28:4	open 46:14 option 32:4	<b>position</b> 39:12 45:23	provide 34:2,15
<b>Matter</b> 24:10	<b>moving</b> 37:10,12	options 32:4		39:4,13,18 40:6
matters 28:4	N	options 32:5 oral 26:9	<b>positions</b> 32:12 44:17	<b>provided</b> 28:1,10
	$\frac{1}{N}$ N 25:1 26:1	order 28:2 31:14		36:6 40:5 42:3
<b>Mealy</b> 24:20 47:6 47:17	N 23:1 20:1 Natural 24:10,12	31:16,20 32:2	<b>possession</b> 34:3 35:3 38:6 39:14	44:4
47:17 mean 30:11	25:12 26:23	31:16,20 32:2		providing 28:21
	nature 31:17	35:16 37:8	possible 46:1 Post 26:15	PSC 26:13
31:10,25 36:14			Post 20:15 Poston 25:8 27:3	Public 24:1 25:2
36:17 37:9,18	necessarily 30:10 need 30:13 31:8	38:22 39:13,20		25:3,7,7,8 27:2
42:4,12 45:14		40:1,3,12,13,16	27:3,6 35:11,12	27:4
45:19	31:11 32:18,22	40:19,25 41:20	preceding 47:13	<b>put</b> 31:1 36:3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

39:11	REPORTED	41.10 19 44.15	store 15.5	15.67
<b>P.O</b> 25:4,9 27:4	24:20	41:10,18 44:15 run 41:15	stage 45:5 stages 45:8	45:6,7 <b>thank</b> 26:17,19
<b>P.O</b> 23:4,9 27:4	reporter 26:13		stages 45:8 standpoint 34:25	27:1,6,14 33:3
0	47:6,7,8,18	running 32:10	<b>State</b> 24:1 47:3,8	33:5 35:10,12
question 38:20	REPORTER'S	S	,	46:19
questions 41:4	47:1	S 24:20 25:1 26:1	<b>stenotype</b> 47:11 <b>Street</b> 25:4,9,15	thing 29:16
quickly 40:13,14		47:6,17	stuff 29:20 30:25	things 30:12
40:19 46:1	representing 26:12	says 31:19	31:6 36:22 38:1	37:12 45:11
		schedule 27:25	38:1 43:13	think 31:22
R	request 29:10 33:6	30:2,3	submitted 28:10	32:21 35:6 36:6
<b>R</b> 25:1 26:1		scheduled 30:22	substitute 30:17	36:8,16 37:11
<b>Rate</b> 24:12	requested 28:6 29:7,12 33:17	see 29:17 37:22	31:4,4	37:18 38:17,20
read 27:19 40:18	34:5,14	40:22 41:11,19	substituting 38:9	38:21 41:7
really 27:22,23	requests 33:14	seeking 33:19	suggest 33:9	42:13 44:14,18
30:20 37:19	33:23 37:22	<b>SENIOR</b> 24:17	suggest 33.9 suggested 44:15	three 28:17 37:23
38:14		sense 40:15,21	suggesting 41:16	42:22
reason 31:3 37:2	requirement 32:2	44:11	41:17	till 37:13
39:17 45:24	resistance 41:9	separate 44:11	Suite 24:21 25:15	time 26:8 28:14
reasons 33:10	respectfully 33:9	serve 42:7	26:21	28:18 29:25
recall 30:2	respectiony 33.9 respond 40:12	<b>Service</b> 24:1,12	summary 34:11	30:5,13 34:12
receive 28:15	responding	24:12 25:2,3	39:5	35:23 40:2,9
30:17 34:20	28:13,13	services 24:21	supplemental	45:2,10 47:11
36:2	response 28:14	28:22,23 29:2	30:24 31:12,14	times 44:23
received 28:16	28:19 33:3 37:4	set 33:8 47:10,13	31:18,19 32:2	timing 32:1
29:22 33:24	39:2 40:17	seven 38:16	32:23 33:7,14	today 31:24 32:4
34:11 35:21	responses 28:16	sheet 47:10	35:16 41:1	32:14 36:2
36:1,22 39:6	33:14,17 40:1	short 40:2	suppose 41:25	40:18 45:12
receiving 32:15	44:16	Shorthand 47:6	suppose 41.23 sure 34:22 35:7	told 40:18
33:16	rest 38:15	showing 43:2	42:10	top 32:9
reciting 43:6	revenue 36:7	46:9	system 34:16	tracking 44:10
record 26:3	43:13,15	similar 38:4	system 54.10	transcribed
46:17,18,20	review 35:24	simply 42:1	T	47:12
records 33:12	Revisions 24:11	45:23	take 39:24	TRANSCRIPT
referred 38:7	right 27:13,18	small 42:16 43:9	talk 46:14	24:3
referring 43:8	33:2 35:14	soon 37:8 38:24	talking 29:6	transfer 38:7
reflect 33:13	39:22,23 43:25	sorry 45:19	41:21 42:15	transition 28:20
regarding 27:24	44:13 46:6,17	sort 39:8 40:16	<b>Tariff</b> 24:11	transport 42:17
28:4 33:17	rodeo 44:23	sounds 35:15	temporary 31:11	44:7
Registered 47:7	Ron 26:4	specific 28:7	38:8,11	transportation
47:18	RONALD 24:16	ss 47:3	terms 41:12	42:22 43:10
regular 30:16	room 46:13	Staff 25:2 26:10	test 28:25 29:6	true 36:18
Regulatory	RPR 24:20	26:13 28:6 30:9	31:5,7,8 34:7	<b>Truman</b> 24:21
24:17 26:5 27:9	rule 28:3 31:19	30:15 32:11	37:21,25 38:1	trying 29:20
reluctantly 45:17	32:1	33:17 34:3,21	38:12,16 39:5	32:21 34:22
replaces 31:21	ruled 45:12	35:4 39:7 40:25	testimony 30:24	35:6 36:15
replacing 31:23	rules 31:15	<b>Staff's</b> 33:6	31:18 33:7	38:18 39:20
reply 41:14	ruling 40:22	40:17	37:14,16 41:1	40:20
	1 uning TU.22			10.20

<b>Tuesday</b> 42:5,9	46:13	year's 34:4	<b>65101</b> 25:15	
44:24 46:2,4	went 34:14		26:22	
turn 29:12	we'll 30:20 31:4	#	<b>65102</b> 25:5,10	
two 33:19 40:4	31:11 42:8	<b>#0538</b> 47:7,17	27:5	
42:9 46:3	we're 27:24 29:6	1	<b>65109</b> 24:22	
type 34:9,12	30:15 32:9,25	1		
	34:22 36:8 38:8	10 26:8	7	
U	39:18,20 40:8	<b>10:25</b> 46:21	<b>751-5558</b> 25:10	
<b>Uh-huh</b> 36:10	41:7,19 42:8,10	<b>101</b> 25:15 26:21		
understand 34:8	42:14,15,18	11th 28:16	9	
37:19 42:20	43:3,6 45:1,4,4	<b>14th</b> 28:17	9th 33:15	
45:13 46:7	45:20 46:3,3,20	<b>15</b> 24:7 42:14,24		
understanding	we've 29:22	43:7 44:5 46:22		
29:13 36:11	32:15,18 33:23	<b>15th</b> 26:6 45:6		
40:11 42:5,14	33:24 34:22	<b>18th</b> 30:25 32:23		
44:19	35:6,21 39:10	37:9,13,15		
updated 32:16	39:15 45:25			
32:16	whichever 35:18	2		
usage 43:21,23	willing 45:14	<b>20</b> 28:14		
43:24	wish 35:8	<b>20th</b> 30:9,25 40:7		
use 30:10,14,22	wishing 27:7	40:9,15 41:23		
38:10 46:13	withdrawing	42:9		
uses 34:17	44:20 46:8	<b>20-day</b> 28:14		
<b>Utilities</b> 24:10,11	withhold 40:22	<b>200</b> 25:4,9 26:14		
25:12,12 26:18		<b>2013</b> 29:3		
26:23,24 28:7	41:18	<b>2014</b> 24:7 26:6		
20.23,24 20.7	withholding	46:22		
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	41:10	<b>207</b> 24:21		
<b>volume</b> 24:8 36:9	wondering 41:5	<b>2230</b> 25:9 27:4		
volumes 44:4	work 32:22			
volumes 44.4	45:21	3		
$\mathbf{W}$	working 31:25	<b>3</b> 24:8		
W 24:21 25:13	32:21 35:3	<b>3432</b> 24:21		
wait 32:8,13	39:15 42:19	<b>360</b> 25:4 26:15		
waited 29:11	worth 37:23,24			
want 27:20 30:1	writing 35:17	4		
30:18 32:8,12	written 26:14	<b>400</b> 25:15 26:21		
39:11 42:10	38:22 40:1,16			
wants 27:17 41:3	40:19	5		
46:10		<b>526-4887</b> 25:5		
way 27:23 38:14	Y	<b>573</b> 24:22 25:5		
weather 34:9	<b>Yeah</b> 42:18,21	25:10,16		
week 29:16 30:9	43:24 44:9,18			
	year 28:25 29:6	6		
30:14 32:17,25	31:5,7,8 34:7	<b>6th</b> 30:21 31:2,5		
41:11 42:5,6	37:21,25 38:1	31:11 45:6,7		
46:3	38:12,16 39:5	<b>636-6758</b> 25:16		
welcome 44:16	years 37:23,24	<b>636-7551</b> 24:22		