Exhibit No.: Issue: Capital Structure Witness: John Gillen Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Missouri Gas Energy Case No.: GR-2004-0209 Date Filed: May 24, 2004

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2004-0209

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN J. GILLEN

ON BEHALF OF MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

Jefferson City, Missouri

May 2004

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN GILLEN ON BEHALF OF MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	А.	My name is John J. Gillen. My business address is 10 Indian Spring Road, Media, PA.
3		
4	Q:	What's your current occupation?
5	A.	I am a consultant providing advice to companies on a variety of business matters
6		including: business integration, acquisitions, internal control and compliance and
7		regulatory matters.
8		
9	Q:	Briefly describe your education background and professional history.
10	A:	I graduated from Widener University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting.
11		Prior to joining PricewaterhouseCoopers L.L.P, ("PwC"), I spent over three years as an
12		audit supervisor with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, ("FERC"). In that
13		capacity, I was in charge of field staff audits and was responsible for determining whether
14		the accounting records of electric and gas utilities, subject to FERC regulation, complied
15		with the Uniform System of Accounts. I reported directly to a Washington, D.C. Branch
16		Chief within the Office of the Chief Accountant.
17		
18	Q:	Are you a member of any professional associations?
19	A:	Yes, I am a Certified Public Accountant and a member of the American Institute of
20		Certified Public Accountants and Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

2

Q: What were your responsibilities at PwC?

A: During my career at PwC I held numerous positions and served as the audit partner on
many of the Firms electric and gas utility clients.

5

6 Q: Please describe your professional history at PwC.

7 I was hired by PwC, (formally Coopers and Lybrand), in 1983 as a supervisor of audit and A: 8 consulting services for the electric and gas industry. I was promoted to manager in 1985 9 and elected into the partnership in 1990. In 1992, I was named the Chairman of the 10 Electric and Gas Industry Practice. In 1998, post merger between Coopers and Lybrand 11 and Price Waterhouse, I was named the East Coast Leader of the Electric and Gas 12 Industry. Most recently, in 2000 the Electric and Gas industry was consolidated into the 13 Consumer and Industrial Products and Services practices, which I led for the Philadelphia 14 office until my retirement in 2003.

15

16 Q: What were your specific duties while a partner at PwC?

A: I was a partner on several of PwC's major utility clients, including Exelon Corporation,
Entergy Corporation, Puget Sound Energy, Madison Gas and Electric, Southern Union
Company ("Southern Union"), and Kansas City Power and Light Company. I was also
the partner on several engagements involving special audit reports on various accounting
and/or ratemaking issues, quarterly and annual reports to the Securities and Exchange
Commission ("SEC"), stock registration, debt financings and annual reports to the FERC.

1		In addition, I provided consulting services to numerous electric and gas utility companies
2		throughout the United States and have provided expert testimony before regulatory
3		agencies on a variety of matters.
4		
5	Q:	Please describe any other responsibilities you had at PwC.
6	A:	In addition to my audit responsibilities I was also the PwC's representative to the
7		American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Public Utility Committee from 1994
8		to 1998 and Chairman of this committee from 1996 to 1998. I spoke before the staff of
9		the FERC, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the SEC on financial
10		accounting and ratemaking matters. In addition, I was responsible for numerous speeches
11		before electric and gas industry associations on a variety of accounting matters.
12		
12 13	Q:	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this case?
	Q: A:	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this case? The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Commission Staff
13		
13 14		The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Commission Staff
13 14 15		The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Commission Staff witness David Murray. In connection with this I have prepared a capitalization statement
13 14 15 16		The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Commission Staff witness David Murray. In connection with this I have prepared a capitalization statement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for Southern Union
13 14 15 16 17		The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Commission Staff witness David Murray. In connection with this I have prepared a capitalization statement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for Southern Union excluding Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company ("Panhandle Eastern"), a wholly-owned
13 14 15 16 17 18		The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Commission Staff witness David Murray. In connection with this I have prepared a capitalization statement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for Southern Union excluding Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company ("Panhandle Eastern"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Union. I also explain the correct methodology for preparing such
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 		The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Commission Staff witness David Murray. In connection with this I have prepared a capitalization statement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for Southern Union excluding Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company ("Panhandle Eastern"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Union. I also explain the correct methodology for preparing such

1	Q.	Would you please explain how Missouri Gas Energy ("MGE") and Panhandle
2		Eastern fit into Southern Union's corporate structure?
3	A.	Southern Union consists of a number of "local natural gas distribution company"
4		operating divisions. These operating divisions are not "incorporated" as separate
5		subsidiary corporations, but rather, are part of Southern Union. MGE is one of these
6		operating divisions. The advantage of this operating division structure is that the
7		divisions can benefit from numerous economies of scale including debt and equity
8		financing.
9		
10 11	Q	If this is so why does Panhandle Eastern continue to have debt in its own name?
12		Southern Union is also the parent company of Panhandle Eastern. Unlike the relationship
13		with MGE and the other operating divisions, Panhandle Eastern is a wholly-owned
14		subsidiary of Southern Union, a separate corporation which issues its own debt for
15		investment in its own assets.
16		
17	Q.	Given the corporate structure you describe, is it possible to prepare a statement of
18		capitalization for Southern Union excluding its wholly-owned subsidiary, Panhandle
19		Eastern?
20	A.	Certainly. Panhandle Eastern maintains separate books and records. In addition,
21		Panhandle Eastern issues its own publicly-held debt securities and, as such, is required to
22		file reports with the SEC pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These reports

clearly set forth Panhandle Eastern's capital structure.

γ
~

3	Q.	Have you prepared any schedules which reflect the capitalization of Southern Union
4		excluding Panhandle Eastern?
5	А.	Yes, I have prepared two schedules. Rebuttal Schedule JJG-1 and Rebuttal Schedule JJG-
6		2 reflect the capitalization of Southern Union excluding Panhandle Eastern as of the
7		periods ended December 31, 2003, and March 31, 2004, respectively.
8		
9	Q.	Did Mr. Murray attempt to determine what Southern Union's capital structure
10		would be if Panhandle Eastern was excluded from Southern Union's consolidated
11		capital structure?
12	А.	Yes. Beginning on line 18, Page 21 and ending on line 9, Page 22 of his direct testimony,
13		Mr. Murray sets forth his methodology for excluding Panhandle Eastern from Southern
14		Union.
15		
16	Q.	Do you agree with Mr. Murray's approach?
17	А.	No. Mr. Murray incorrectly assumes that simply taking Southern Union's consolidated
18		capitalization as shown in its December 31, 2003, Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and
19		subtracting Panhandle Eastern's capitalization as shown in its December 31, 2003,
20		Annual Report on Form 10-K is a proper methodology for calculating Southern Union's
21		capitalization excluding Panhandle Eastern Pipe.
22		

1	Q.	Does Mr. Murray's methodology comply with generally accepted accounting
2		principles?
3	A.	No, it does not.
4		
5	Q.	Please comment further on why Mr. Murray's method is incorrect.
6	A.	Mr. Murray's calculation of Southern Union's capital structure ignores the concepts of
7		Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). In his testimony Mr. Murray is
8		removing from the Southern Union capital structure the equity of Panhandle Eastern that
9		has already been eliminated through the consolidation process.
10		
11	Q:	Could you explain the accounting literature and principles that apply to Southern
12		Union's acquisition of Panhandle Eastern?
13	A:	The operative accounting literature that applies is Statement of Financial Accounting
14		Standards No. 141, Business Combinations, (FAS 141). Under the concepts of FAS 141
15		purchase accounting, Southern Union is required to account for the Panhandle Eastern
16		transaction as an acquisition of assets and liabilities.
17		
18	Q:	Please explain the accounting required by FAS 141.
19	A:	Essentially, Southern Union is required to allocate the purchase price paid for Panhandle
20		Eastern to the assets and liabilities of Panhandle Eastern and to report those assets and
21		liabilities at their new Fair Market Value, (FMV). Once Southern Union allocates the
22		purchase price to all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, if an amount is

1		remaining, that amount would be recorded by Southern Union as goodwill.
2		
3	Q:	Was any goodwill credited as part of the Panhandle acquisition?
4	A:	No.
5		
6	Q:	What happened to the historical Panhandle Eastern capital structure after the
7		acquisition by Southern Union?
8	A:	As I mentioned earlier in my testimony the liabilities of Panhandle Eastern, including
9		debt assumed by Southern Union in the acquisition, is recorded by Southern Union at its
10		FMV. With respect to the Panhandle Eastern equity, that is eliminated by Southern
11		Union as it is the Southern Union stand alone equity that now represents all of Southern
12		Union's shareholder value.
13		
14	Q:	Does the Panhandle Eastern equity simply disappear?
15	A.	Again, following the concepts of FAS141 purchase accounting, Southern Union actually
16		acquired the assets and liabilities of Panhandle Eastern from its former owners. The
17		equity historically reported by Panhandle Eastern represented equity of those former
18		investors and was essentially returned to them from the purchase price paid them by
19		Southern Union.
20		
21		
22		

2

Q. Could you please explain Rebuttal Schedule JJG-1 and Rebuttal Schedule JJG-2 of your testimony?

3 A Yes. Rebuttal Schedule JJG-1 and Rebuttal Schedule JJG-2 reflect the Southern Union 4 and Panhandle Eastern capitalization including short-term as of December 31, 2003 and 5 March 31, 2004 respectively. These schedules report a consolidated capital structure for 6 Southern Union which is the first column titled "Total Consolidated". This would 7 represent the GAAP capital structure for Southern Union on a consolidated basis. As can 8 be seen from the column headings, the last two columns reflect the capital structure of 9 Southern Union and Panhandle Eastern on a stand alone basis prior to consolidation. The 10 column immediately to the left titled "Subtotal Consolidating" is the combination of the 11 last two columns. The amounts of \$1,547,065,540 and \$1,915,769,581 for the periods 12 December 31, 2003 and March 31, 2004, respectively, are not amounts that are reported 13 in any GAAP financial statements but simply the combined stand alone capital structures 14 of Southern Union and Panhandle Eastern.

15

16 Q. Why is the "Subtotal Consolidating" column not a GAAP reported amount?

A. Simply because it counts the Panhandle Eastern equity twice. Therefore, when calculating
the Southern Union capital structure, GAAP requires that the Panhandle Eastern equity be
eliminated as is done in each of these schedules under the column "Eliminations".

- 20
- 21

1	Q.	What did Mr. Murray do in his capital structure calculation?
2	A.	In Mr. Murray's testimony page 22 line 2 he stated "that Southern Union shows a
3		\$646,818,000 common equity balance for Panhandle". This is simply just not the case.
4		
5	Q.	On a GAAP basis, what is the appropriate column from these schedules to use to
6		determine Southern Union's capital structure excluding Panhandle Eastern?
7	A.	The appropriate GAAP column would be the column in these schedules titled "Southern
8		Union Stand Alone".
9		
10	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
11	A.	Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates for Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

GR-2004-0209

AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN J. GILLEN

STATE OF

COUNTY OF

John J. Gillen, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

\$\$.

JOHN GULEN

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2004.

of FLAC

My Commission Expires:

Notarial Scal Bonny M. Nallon, Notary Public City of Wilkes-Barre, Luzerne County My Commission Expires June 1, 2006

Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries

Southern Union Company and Panhandle Capitalization including Short-term Debt December 31, 2003

Schedule JJG-1

<u>Capitalization:</u>	Total Consolidated (A)	Reclass	Eliminations	Subtotal Consolidating	Conso Southern Union Stand Alone	Consolidating nion Panhandle ne Stand Alone (C)
Stockholders' equity Common stock, \$1 par value Preferred Stock	\$ 73,181,956	с, ,	с I Ф	\$ 73,181,956 -	\$ 73,181,956 -	су
Premium on capital stock Retained earnings-Beg Balance Retained earnings-Current Year	903,757,804 (45,024,754) 30,710,860	- - (1,957,000) (B)	(593,994,472) (4,612,118) -	 (B) 1,497,752,276 (B) (40,412,636) 32,667,860 	903,757,804 (46,396,236) (14,172,205)	593,994,472 5,983,600 46,840,065
Unreatized holding gain/(loss) on securities Less: Treasury Stock Less: CS held in trust	- (10,466,493) (5.657,423)		1 1 1	- (10,466,493) (5.657.423)	- (10,466,493) (5.657,423)	
Total common stockholders' equity	946,501,950	(1,957,000)	(598,606,590)	1,547,065,540	900,247,403	646,818,137
Preferred Stock	230,000,000		,	230,000,000	230,000,000	·
Long-term debt Long-term debt due currently Short-term debt - Notes payable Total debt	2,004,408,263 260,729,190 252,000,000 2,517,137,453		1 1 1	2,004,408,263 260,729,190 252,000,000 2,517,137,453	1,008,635,467 51,058,190 252,000,000 1,311,693,657	995,772,796 209,671,000 - 1,205,443,796
Total capitalization	\$ 3,693,639,403	\$ (1,957,000)	\$ (598,606,590)	\$ 4,294,202,993	\$ 2,441,941,060	\$ 1,852,261,933

(A) Southern Union 12/31/03 Form 10-Q.
(B) Eliminate Southern Union investment in Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line
(C) Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Form 12/31/03 Form 10-K

Southern Union Company and Panhandle Capitalization including Short-term Debt March 31, 2004

Schedule JJG-2

N.	Total Consolidated (A)	Eliminations	Adjustments	Subtotal Consolidating	Consol Southern Union Stand Alone	Consolidating nion Panhandle ne Stand Alone (C)
Stockholders' equity Common stock, \$1 par value Preferred Stock Premium on capital stock Retained earnings Less: Treasury stock Less: CS held in trust Total stockholders' equity	 73,387,820 230,000,000 903,971,735 54,701,988 (10,466,493) (5,657,423) 1,245,937,627 	\$ (586,203,472) (B) (B) (83,628,482) (B) (B) (669,831,954)	θ	 73,387,820 230,000,000 1,490,175,207 138,330,470 (10,466,493) (5,657,423) 1,915,769,581 	\$ 73,387,820 230,000,000 903,971,735 54,701,988 (10,466,493) (5,657,423) 1,245,937,627	\$ 586,203,472 83,628,482 - -
Long-term debt Long-term debt due currently Notes payable Total long-term and short-term debt	2,188,820,231 99,501,290 75,500,000 2,363,821,521			2,188,820,231 99,501,290 75,500,000 2,363,821,521	998,547,290 36,058,290 75,500,000 1,110,105,580	1,190,272,941 63,443,000 1,253,715,941
Total capitalization	\$ 3,609,759,148	\$ (669,831,954)	۲ ب	\$ 4,279,591,102	\$ 2,356,043,207	\$ 1,923,547,895

(A) Southern Union 3/31/04 Form 10-Q.
(B) Eliminate Southern Union investment in Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line
(C) Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Form 3/31/04 Form 10-Q