

**KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI
OPERATIONS COMPANY (GMO)**

**2013 ANNUAL RENEWABLE ENERGY
STANDARD COMPLIANCE PLAN**

May 28, 2013



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION 2: RES COMPLIANCE PLAN	3
2.1 RULE (7) (B) 1 A:.....	3
2.1.1 NON-SOLAR COMPLIANCE	3
2.1.2 SOLAR COMPLIANCE.....	4
2.1.3 STANDARD OFFER CONTRACT	4
2.2 RULE (7) (B) 1 B:.....	4
2.3 RULE (7) (B) 1 C:.....	5
2.4 RULE (7) (B) 1 D:.....	5
2.5 RULE (7) (B) 1 E:.....	6
2.5.1 THIRD PARTY SOLAR SREC PROCUREMENT	6
2.6 RULE (7) (B) 1 F	7
2.7 RULE (7) (B) 1 G	7
SECTION 3: RATE ANALYSIS	9
3.1 RETAIL RATE IMPACT	9
3.2 TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS	10
3.3 RESOURCE PLAN SOURCES	11
3.4 ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE	12
3.5 RATE IMPACT COMPARISON	13
3.6 REBATES	13
3.7 ADJUSTMENTS	14
3.8 FEDERAL PROGRAM COSTS.....	14

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 1: GMO List of Executed Contracts for Renewable Wind Energy 5

Table 2: GMO Retail Sales, RES Requirements and Compliance Plan 5

Table 3: GMO Annual Retail Rate Impacts 10

Table 4: GMO Annual RES Expenditure Limits 11

Table 5: GMO Solar Compliance & Rebates 13

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO"), a Delaware Corporation, has filed its 2013 Annual Renewable Energy Standard Compliance Plan ("2013 Plan") in compliance with the Missouri Public Service Commission's ("Commission") Electric Utility Renewable Energy Standard Requirements [4 CSR 240-20.100] that became effective September 30, 2010. Section (7) of the rule requires that each public utility file with the Commission a Renewable Energy Standard (RES) Compliance Plan by April 15 of each year.

Specifically, Section 7 (B) of the rule requires that the plan shall cover the current year and the immediately following two (2) calendar years. The RES compliance plan shall include, at a minimum:

- A. A specific description of the electric utility's planned actions to comply with the RES;
- B. A list of executed contracts to purchase Renewable Energy Credits (RECs) (whether or not bundled with energy), including type of renewable energy resource, expected amount of energy to be delivered, and contract duration and terms;
- C. The projected total retail electric sales for each year;
- D. Any differences, as a result of RES compliance, from the utility's preferred resource plan as described in the most recent electric utility resource plan filed with the commission in accordance with 4 CSR 240-22, Electric Utility Resource Planning;

E. A detailed analysis providing information necessary to verify that the RES compliance plan is the least cost, prudent methodology to achieve compliance with the RES;

F. A detailed explanation of the calculation of the RES retail impact limit calculated in accordance with section (5) of this rule. This explanation should include the pertinent information for the planning interval which is included in the RES compliance plan; and

G. Verification that the utility has met the requirements for not causing undue adverse air, water, or land use impacts pursuant to subsection 393.1030.4. RSMo and the regulations of the Department of Natural Resources.

The 2013 Plan presents GMO's planned renewable compliance efforts and purchases that are currently underway and that will continue through 2013-2015 to achieve the requirements of 4 CSR 240-20.100.

SECTION 2: RES COMPLIANCE PLAN

Rule (7) (B) 1: The plan shall cover the current year and the immediately following two (2) calendar years. The RES compliance plan shall include, at a minimum -

2.1 RULE (7) (B) 1 A:

A specific description of the electric utility's planned actions to comply with the RES;

2.1.1 NON-SOLAR COMPLIANCE

GMO obtains renewable energy generated at the Gray County wind facility located in Kansas under a Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) and will continue to do so during the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period. GMO purchases generation under this PPA based on approximately 60 MW of capacity. As of December 31, 2012, this wind resource has created a bank with approximately 514,000 Renewable Energy Credits (RECs) attributable to Missouri customers that GMO intends to use to comply with its 2013 RES requirements shown in Table 2. Additionally, GMO entered into a PPA with Ensign Wind, LLC, whose parent company is NextEra, to purchase energy from a 98.9 MW wind project also located in Gray County, Kansas. This facility went into service November 22, 2012.

In addition, GMO completed a project in late 2011 to convert methane gas into electricity at the St. Joseph, MO Sanitary Landfill. The output from this 1.6 MW facility qualifies for RES compliance.

Accordingly, GMO expects to have banked RECs available to meet its RES requirements in 2014 and 2015 based on RECs unexpired at the end of 2013 in addition to the RECs created from wind facilities' generation. This generation reflects available transmission and interconnection capacity. The estimated 2013 combined generation from the Gray County and Ensign facilities is approximately

414,000 MWh. The RECs generated from these renewable resources in addition to the banked RECs will fulfill GMO's Missouri RES non-solar requirements for the RES Compliance Plan period shown in Table 2 below.

2.1.2 SOLAR COMPLIANCE

GMO continues to monitor the feasibility and economics of constructing and operating utility scale solar generation. GMO uses multiple sources to identify general cost trends as well as specific project costs, including data from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and the SNL Energy website. While solar technology costs have decreased significantly over the past several years, the cost of complying by purchasing Solar Renewable Energy Credits (SRECs) remains a fraction of what it would cost to build and operate a solar facility. Therefore, GMO plans to continue to utilize SRECs for compliance during the RES Compliance Plan period as shown in Table 2 below.

2.1.3 STANDARD OFFER CONTRACT

GMO does not have a Standard Offer Contract tariff in place at this time.

2.2 RULE (7) (B) 1 B:

A list of executed contracts to purchase RECs (whether or not bundled with energy), including type of renewable energy resource, expected amount of energy to be delivered, and contract duration and terms;

Table 1 below provides the details of GMO's executed contracts to purchase wind energy.

Table 1: GMO List of Executed Contracts for Renewable Wind Energy

Project Name	Contracting Parent Company	Contract Type	Project Size (MW)	COD Date	Term (Yrs)	Expected Energy (2013 MWh)
Gray County	NextEra	Energy & RECs	60	3/13/2001	15	84,400
Ensign	NextEra	Energy & RECs	98.9	11/22/2012	20	385,400

To comply with the Missouri 2013-2015 solar RES requirements, GMO expects to purchase SRECs from qualified facilities likely located outside of Missouri. The purchases are expected to be for SRECs only with no delivered energy. The SRECs will be registered in WREGIS (Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System) and will have been transferred to NARR (North American Renewables Registry). Please see Section 2.5.1 for information concerning 2013 SREC purchases.

2.3 RULE (7) (B) 1 C:

The projected total retail electric sales for each year;

GMO's forecasted Missouri retail electric sales, associated RES requirements, and GMO's compliance shown in terms of RECs are provided in Table 2 below. This forecast is taken from GMO's 2012 IRP filing.

Table 2: GMO Retail Sales, RES Requirements and Compliance Plan

Year	Retail Electric Sales (MWh)	Non-Solar Req. (MWh)	Solar Req. (MWh)
2013	8,810,821	172,692	3,524
2014	8,923,601	437,256	8,924
2015	9,014,377	441,704	9,014

2.4 RULE (7) (B) 1 D:

Any differences, as a result of RES compliance, from the utility's preferred resource plan as described in the most recent electric utility resource plan

filed with the commission in accordance with 4 CSR 240-22, Electric Utility Resource Planning;

There are no differences from GMO's preferred resource plan filed on April 9, 2012 as a result of RES compliance during the 2013-15 RES Compliance Plan period as no additional renewable resources are required for compliance.

2.5 RULE (7) (B) 1 E

A detailed analysis providing information necessary to verify that the RES compliance plan is the least cost, prudent methodology to achieve compliance with the RES;

The Gray County wind PPA being utilized for non-solar compliance was in effect for several years prior to the passage of the RES rules and was justified at the time it was consummated. Since this facility is already in place, the wind energy provided by this facility represents the least cost approach for achieving non-solar compliance for the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period.

Additionally, GMO submitted a Request for Proposal (RFP) to meet wind requirements in August 2011. A single RFP was issued to cover both KCP&L and GMO non-solar requirements. A complete evaluation of the proposals received was conducted and resulted in consummation of a PPA with NextEra Energy for the Ensign wind farm mentioned above. This PPA was entered into to take advantage of low-cost energy prices and will be used to meet GMO non-solar RES requirements.

2.5.1 THIRD PARTY SOLAR SREC PROCUREMENT

For solar compliance, the purchase of SRECs through an industry broker is currently the least expensive alternative. For 2013, GMO has purchased 3,200 SRECs needed for compliance at a cost that is significantly less than the projected cost to add new solar capacity. The SRECs were purchased from

3Degrees. The cost of the SRECs purchased for 2013 compliance was approximately \$15,200, or about \$4.74 per SREC. Note that 1 SREC represents 1 MWh of solar generation. The cost for compliance under a solar PPA option would be approximately \$130 - \$140 per MWh.

2.6 RULE (7) (B) 1 F

A detailed explanation of the calculation of the RES retail impact limit calculated in accordance with section (5) of this rule. This explanation should include the pertinent information for the planning interval which is included in the RES compliance plan;

See Section 3 of this RES Compliance Plan for a description of the retail rate impact calculation.

2.7 RULE (7) (B) 1 G

Verification that the utility has met the requirements for not causing undue adverse air, water, or land use impacts pursuant to subsection 393.1030.4. RSMo, and the regulations of the Department of Natural Resources.

The purchases of SRECs that will be used for GMO's RES solar compliance will be registered in WREGIS (Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System) and have been transferred to NARR (North American Renewables Registry), and are also National Green-e Certified.

Wind generation specifically conforms to the eligible renewable energy resources listed in section (2) of Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) rule 10.CSR 140-8.010. The Gray County and Ensign wind facilities are located in Kansas and are not owned by GMO, and the owner-operator would be responsible for ensuring that it has not caused any undue adverse air, water, or land use impacts.

All generating facilities utilized by GMO to meet the requirements of the Missouri RES have, to its knowledge, received all necessary environmental and operational permits and are in compliance with any necessary federal, state and/or local requirements related to air, water and land use.

SECTION 3: RATE ANALYSIS

PURPOSE: This report demonstrates compliance with 4 CSR 240-20.100(5) and determines the average rate impact within a ten-year period and incorporating the effects of future GHG legislation and costs.

3.1 RETAIL RATE IMPACT

Rule (5)(A): The retail rate impact, as calculated in subsection (5)(B), may not exceed one percent (1%) for prudent costs of renewable energy resources directly attributable to RES compliance. The retail rate impact shall be calculated on an incremental basis for each planning year that includes the addition of renewable generation directly attributable to RES compliance through procurement or development of renewable energy resources, averaged over the succeeding ten (10)-year period, and shall exclude renewable energy resources owned or under contract prior to the effective date of this rule.

The retail rate impact was calculated by comparing a non-renewable generation and purchased power portfolio to a RES-compliant portfolio with sufficient renewable resources to achieve the renewable standards. For each year of the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period, the annual retail rate impact is limited to a maximum of 1% of the 10-year average non-RES compliant revenue requirement. The Ensign PPA, entered into prior to the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period, was not removed from the non-renewable portfolio revenue requirements since it was not directly attributable to meeting the RES. This project was determined to have been economic and would have been completed absent the RES.

For any year in the succeeding ten (10)-year period where wind builds were required for RES compliance, the solar rebate payments were reduced or eliminated to avoid exceeding the one percent (1%) cap. When wind or solar resources are required for compliance, GMO models the addition of renewable

generating resources required to meet the RES as these resources would provide benefits to all retail customers as compared to investing the renewable funding available under the 1% cap on projects that benefit a limited number of retail customers (i.e., solar rebates).

If the retail rate impact still exceeded one percent (1%) after eliminating solar rebates, the amount of new wind builds was reduced until the costs did not exceed the 1% maximum. The annual retail rate impacts for GMO for the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period are show in Table 3 below. GMO has assumed that the solar requirements will be met with SREC purchases.

Table 3: GMO Annual Retail Rate Impacts

GMO Annual Retail Rate Impact	
Year	Rate Impact
2013	1.00%
2014	1.00%
2015	1.00%

3.2 TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

Rule (5)(B): The RES retail rate impact shall be determined by subtracting the total retail revenue requirement incorporating an incremental non-renewable generation and purchased power portfolio from the total retail revenue requirement including an incremental RES compliant generation and purchased power portfolio. The non-renewable generation and purchased power portfolio shall be determined by adding to the utility's existing generation and purchased power resource portfolio additional

non-renewable resources sufficient to meet the utility’s needs on a least-cost basis for the next ten (10) years. The RES-compliant portfolio shall be determined by adding to the utility’s existing generation and purchased power resource portfolio an amount of renewable resources sufficient to achieve the standard set forth in section (2) of this rule and an amount of least-cost non-renewable resources, the combination of which is sufficient to meet the utility’s needs for the next ten (10) years.

The following table shows the projected RES expenditures and retail rate impact based on an average of the next ten years of non-renewable portfolio revenue requirements.

Table 4: GMO Annual RES Expenditure Limits

GMO Annual Rate Impact			
Year	Rev Requirement (Succeeding 10-Yr Avg)	Plan Year RES Exp (\$M)	Rate Impact
2013	\$ 1,078.7	\$ 10.8	1.00%
2014	\$ 1,135.2	\$ 11.4	1.00%
2015	\$ 1,191.7	\$ 11.9	1.00%

3.3 RESOURCE PLAN SOURCES

Rule (5)(B): These renewable energy resource additions will utilize the most recent electric utility resource planning analysis.

The GMO RES Compliance Plan is based upon the assumptions used in the 2012 GMO IRP Case EO-2012-0324 filed on April 9, 2012.

3.4 ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE

Rule (5)(B): These comparisons will be conducted utilizing projections of the incremental revenue requirement for new renewable energy resources, less the avoided cost of fuel not purchased for nonrenewable energy resources due to the addition of renewable energy resources. In addition, the projected impact on revenue requirements by non-renewable energy resources shall be increased by the expected value of greenhouse gas emissions compliance costs, assuming that such costs are made at the expected value of the cost per ton of greenhouse gas emissions allowances, cost per ton of a greenhouse gas emissions tax (e.g., a carbon tax), or the cost per ton of greenhouse gas emissions reductions for any greenhouse gas emission reduction technology that is applicable to the utility's generation portfolio, whichever is lower. Calculations of the expected value of costs associated with greenhouse gas emissions shall be derived by applying the probability of the occurrence of future greenhouse gas regulations to expected level(s) of costs per ton associated with those regulations over the next ten (10) years. Any variables utilized in the modeling shall be consistent with values established in prior rate proceedings, electric utility resource planning filings, or RES compliance plans, unless specific justification is provided for deviations.

During the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period, no additional renewable resource are required for compliance. The 10-year average non-RES compliant revenue requirement is based on the 2012 GMO IRP that includes the expected value of greenhouse gas compliance costs. The variables used are those from the 2012 IRP

3.5 RATE IMPACT COMPARISON

Rule (5)(B): The comparison of the rate impact of renewable and non-renewable energy resources shall be conducted only when the electric utility proposes to add incremental renewable energy resource generation directly attributable to RES compliance through the procurement or development of renewable energy resources.

While GMO is not proposing to add any incremental renewable energy resources directly attributable to RES compliance during the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period, the retail rate impact was calculated for each year and is provided in Table 4 above.

3.6 REBATES

Rule (5)(C) Rebates made during any calendar year in accordance with section (4) of this rule shall be included in the cost of generation from renewable energy resources.

Solar rebates have been included in the analysis and are provided in the following table, along with Solar Renewable Energy Credit (S-RECs) costs and administrative costs. Since GMO is projected to exceed the 1% retail rate impact in 2013, 2014 and 2015 due to solar rebates, solar rebate payments assumptions were limited to maintain the 1% cap. Any solar rebates not honored in a given year would be the first rebates considered for payment in the following year.

Table 5: GMO Solar Compliance & Rebates

GMO COMPLIANCE EXPENDITURES						
Year	S-RECs	S-REC Price	S-REC Cost	Admin Cost (NAR)	Solar Rebates	Total
2013	3,524	\$ 5.03	\$ 17,713	\$ 32,181	\$ 10,138,390	\$ 10,188,284
2014	8,924	\$ 4.00	\$ 35,694	\$ 72,393	\$ 10,722,434	\$ 10,830,521
2015	9,014	\$ 5.00	\$ 45,072	\$ 73,405	\$ 11,344,965	\$ 11,463,442

3.7 ADJUSTMENTS

Rule (5)(D) For purposes of the determination in accordance with subsection (B) of this section, if the revenue requirement including the RES-compliant resource mix, averaged over the succeeding ten (10)-year period, exceeds the revenue requirement that includes the non-renewable resource mix by more than one percent (1%), the utility shall adjust downward the proportion of renewable resources so that the average annual revenue requirement differential does not exceed one percent (1%). In making this adjustment, the solar requirement shall be in accordance with subsection (2)(F) of this rule. Prudently incurred costs to comply with the RES standard, and passing this rate impact test, may be recovered in accordance with section (6) of this rule or through a rate proceeding outside or in a general rate case.

For the 2013-2015 RES Compliance Plan period, no additional renewable resources are required to meet the RES requirements, therefore no adjustments are necessary.

3.8 FEDERAL PROGRAM COSTS

Rule (5) (E) Costs or benefits attributed to compliance with a federal renewable energy standard or portfolio requirement shall be considered as part of compliance with the Missouri RES if they would otherwise qualify under the Missouri RES without regard to the federal requirements.

GMO does not have a federal obligation at this time.