Page 375

	r age 373
1	
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	Evidentiary Hearing
8	December 6, 2017
9	Jefferson City, Missouri
10	Volume 14
11	
12	In the Matter of Laclede)
13	Gas Company's Request to) File No. GR-2017-0215 Increase Its Revenue for) Gas Service)
14	Gas Service)
15	In the Matter of Laclede)
16	Gas Company d/b/a Missouri) Gas Energy's Request to) File No. GR-2017-0216
1 7	Increase Its Revenues for)
17	Gas Service)
18	NIANON DEDDELL Description
19	NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20	DANIEL V LIALL Chairman
21	DANIEL Y. HALL, Chairman, STEPHEN M. STOLL,
22	WILLIAM P. KENNEY, SCOTT T. RUPP,
23	MAIDA J. COLEMAN, COMMISSIONERS
24	REPORTED BY:
25	AMANDA N. FARRAR, CCR

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 14 12/6/2017

Page 376

1	APPEARANCES
2	For Spire:
3	MR. RICK E. ZUCKER
	MR. MICHAEL C. PENDERGAST
4	MR. LARRY W. DORITY MR. JAMES M. FISCHER
5	700 Market Street, Sixth Floor
	St. Louis, Missouri 63101
6	rick.zucker@spireenergy.com
7	
8	For The Missouri Division of Energy:
0	MR. BRIAN T. BEAR
9	301 W. High Street, Room 680
1.0	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
10	bbear.deenergycases@ded.mo.gov
11	For The Netional Housing Trust:
12	For The National Housing Trust:
	MR. ANDREW J. LINHARES
13	409 Vandiver Drive, Building 5, Suite 205
14	Columbia, Missouri 65202
1.5	andrew@renewmo.org
15	
16	For The Environmental Defense Fund:
17	MS. NATALIE KARAS 1875 Connecticut Avenue NW
18	Washington, DC 20009
	nkaras@edf.org
19	
20	For The Midwest Energy Consumers Group:
21	MR. DAVID WOODSMALL 308 E. High Street, Suite 204
22	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com
23	
24	
25	
	I

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 14 12/6/2017

Page 377

	Fage 377
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	For The Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers:
3	
4	MR. LEWIS MILLS MR. EDWARD F. DOWNEY 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
5	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 lewis.mills@bryancave.com
6	-
7	For The Consumers Council of Missouri:
8	MR. JOHN B. COFFMAN 871 Tuxedo Boulevard
9	St. Louis, Missouri 63119 john@johncoffman.net
10	J = J = = = = =
11	For The City of St. Joseph, Missouri:
12	MR. WILLIAM D. STEINMEIER 2031 Tower Drive
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65110 wds@wdspc.com
14	
15	For MoGas Pipeline, LLC:
16	MR. TERRY M. JARRETT 514 E. High Street, Suite 22
17	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 terry@healylawoffices.com
18	
19	For the Missouri School Boards' Association:
20	MR. RICHARD S. BROWNLEE, III
21	121 Madison Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
22	rbrownlee@rsblobby.com
23	
24	
25	

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 14 12/6/2017

Page 378

	. age e.re
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	For The Office of the Public Counsel:
3	MS. LERA SHEMWELL MR. RYAN SMITH
4	MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS
5	Office of the Public Counsel
6	200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
7	lera.shemwell@ded.mo.gov
8	For the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:
9	
10	MS. WHITNEY PAYNE MR. MARK JOHNSON
11	MS. CASI ASLIN MS. MARCELLA FORCK
12	MS. NICOLE MERS MR. JEFF KEEVIL
13	MR. KEVIN THOMPSON Missouri Public Service Commission
14	200 Madison Street, Suite 800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
15	whitney.payne@psc.mo.gov
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(The hearing commenced at 9:00 a.m.)
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: Good morning. This is
4	December 6th, 2017, and we are meeting at the
5	offices of the Public Service Commission for an
6	evidentiary hearing in Case Nos. GR-2017-0215 and
7	GR-2017-0216 in the matter of Spire Missouri, Inc.'s
8	request to increase its revenue for gas service.
9	Originally, when these cases were filed, they were
10	captioned with the previous company names, Spire Gas
11	Company for its Laclede service territory or
12	division, and its Missouri Gas Energy, MGE, service
13	territory or division. The names just so it's on
14	the record, the names Spire and Laclede and MGE will
15	be used throughout these proceedings somewhat
16	interchangeably. The cases have not been
17	consolidated completely, but were consolidated for
18	the hearing purposes. So, if there is MGE or
19	Laclede specific information, I hope that you all
20	will point that out and, and help us keep the record
21	clear on that.
22	My name is Nancy Dippell and I'm the
23	regulatory law judge assigned to precede over this
24	hearing, and we're going to begin this morning with
25	entries of appearance. And so, can I start with the

1	company.
2	MR. ZUCKER: Yes. Thank you, Your
3	Honor.
4	Rick Zucker, Michael C. Pendergast and
5	James Fischer and Larry Dority here on behalf of
6	Laclede Gas Company, now known as Spire Missouri,
7	Inc., and Missouri Gas Energy called referred to
8	as Spire Missouri West.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: And the Staff.
10	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Judge.
11	Mark Johnson, Whitney Payne, Casi Aslin,
12	Marcy Forck, Nicole Mers, Jeffrey Keevil and Kevin
13	Thompson appearing on behalf of the staff of the
14	Missouri Public Service Commission.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel.
16	MR. SMITH: Yes. Thank you, Judge.
17	Ryan Smith, Lera Shemwell, Nathan
18	Williams, Hampton Williams appearing on behalf of
19	the Office of the Public Counsel. Thank you.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
21	MR. BEAR: Brian Bear on behalf of the
22	Division of Energy.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust.
24	MR. LINHARES: Yes. Thank you, Judge.
25	Andrew Linhares appearing for the

1	National Housing Trust.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense
3	Fund.
4	MS. KARAS: Good morning, Your Honor.
5	Natalie Karas on behalf of the
6	Environmental Defense Fund.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
8	Consumers.
9	MR. WOODSMALL: Good morning, Your
10	Honor. David Woodsmall appearing on behalf of MECG.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.
12	MR. MILLS: Good morning.
13	On behalf of the MIEC, let the record
14	reflect the appearance of Lewis Mills and Ed Downey.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council.
16	MR. COFFMAN: Yes. John B. Coffman
17	appearing on behalf of the Consumers Council of
18	Missouri.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: City of St. Joseph.
20	MR. STEINMEIER: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	Please let the record reflect the
22	appearance of William D. Steinmeier on behalf of the
23	City of St. Joseph, Missouri.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: MoGas Pipeline.
25	MR. JARRETT: Good morning, Judge.

1 Terry Jarrett appearing on behalf of MoGas Pipeline. 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: KCPL and GMO asked -- or 3 4 earlier contacted me and said that they would not be 5 present. So, they have been excused. Is there anyone here for the unions? 6 7 And Missouri School Boards' Association. MR. BROWNLEE: Richard Brownlee, RSB 3, 9 LLC, on behalf of the Missouri School Boards' 10 Association. Thank you. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: I will ask you all to be 12 sure and silence your cell phones or other 13 electronic devices. 14 The exhibits, you were each assigned a 15 block of exhibit numbers and I would like you to go 16 ahead and prenumber those. We will make sure we have those straight as we get to them. We're going 17 to follow the witness list in order of cross that 18 19 you all set out in your memorandum that you filed. 20 I believe -- were there any other pending -- were there pending motions that I hadn't 2.1 2.2 ruled on? There had been a couple of late motions 23 to file things late. 2.4 Mr. Jarrett? 25 MR. JARRETT: Yes. Judge, I had

- 1 previously filed a motion, a request to be excused
- 2 for portions of the hearing where my client may not
- 3 be interested in those issues.
- 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you,
- 5 Mr. Jarrett.
- 6 MR. WOODSMALL: And I --
- 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, and I would -- oh,
- 8 Mr. Woodsmall.
- 9 MR. WOODSMALL: I was going to ask for
- 10 the same motion, Your Honor.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I'll get to that,
- 12 then, and I should have gone there first. So, you
- 13 don't -- I'll excuse those of you who wish to be
- 14 excused. You don't need to ask my permission. If
- 15 you're here when we start, then you'll have the
- 16 opportunity to participate. If you're not here when
- 17 you start, then you and your client will not have
- 18 the opportunity to raise any objections or
- 19 participate in the discussions that are taking place
- 20 at that time. So, I'll leave that to your
- 21 discretion.
- Were there any other -- I say I think
- 23 there were -- I think I ruled on some of the motions
- 24 for late filing. If I didn't, then those are
- 25 granted. There were some affidavits and things that

came in late. 1 2. Mr. Zucker? 3 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. 4 The only other issue is we would like to 5 have put into the record our original filings, the 6 minimum filing requirements for Laclede and MGE and 7 our depreciation study. JUDGE DIPPELL: And those were the 9 original filings that you made? 10 MR. ZUCKER: On April 11th. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Have you -- you 12 didn't set those out in your exhibit list, did you? MR. ZUCKER: Yes. They're Exhibits 1, 13 14 2, and 3. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. 16 MR. ZUCKER: And I'm just trying to get 17 them in as... 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: You're just offering 19 those now. Is that...? 20 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. And obviously, other 21 parties can respond. 22 (Laclede's Exhibits 1 through 3 were 23 offered into evidence.) 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any 25 objection to Laclede's Exhibits -- or Spire's

1 Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 at this time? 2. MS. SHEMWELL: No, Your Honor. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then I'll go 4 ahead and admit Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. (Laclede's Exhibits 1 through 3 were 5 admitted into evidence.) 6 7 And, Mr. Zucker, have you given the 8 court reporter copies of those? 9 MR. ZUCKER: They're in a suitcase sitting next -- they're in a suitcase sitting next 10 11 to her. So, I can break them out. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: No. That's fine, as 13 long as we have them with the court reporter. 14 Appreciate that. All right. Was there -- were there any 15 16 other preliminary matters? 17 MS. PAYNE: Your Honor, at this time I would go ahead and offer Staff's accounting 18 19 schedules for LAC and MGE that were handed out prior 20 to the start of the hearing. 2.1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. 22 MS. PAYNE: We have the LAC one marked 23 as Exhibit 66 and the MGE one marked as Exhibit 67. 24 (Staff's Exhibits 266 and 267 were offered into evidence.) 25

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: And what you gave us at
2	the beginning of the hearing, that is just the MGE
3	one?
4	MS. PAYNE: No. You should have two
5	separate packets. One is for Laclede and one is for
6	MGE.
7	MR. STEINMEIER: Excuse me, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, sir.
9	MR. STEINMEIER: So, Laclede 0215 is
10	Exhibit 66?
11	MS. PAYNE: Yes.
12	MR. STEINMEIER: And MGE is 67?
13	MS. PAYNE: 67.
14	MR. STEINMEIER: Thank you.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: And that's 266 and 267,
16	correct? Because staff's numbering blocks began
17	with the 200s.
18	MS. PAYNE: I will trust your
19	designation on that.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Would there be
21	any objection to admitting Staff's accounting
22	schedules 266 and 267?
23	MS. SHEMWELL: No, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Zucker, are you
25	MR. ZUCKER: No objection.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. All right, then. I will admit Exhibits 266 and 267. 2. (Staff's Exhibits 266 and 267 were 3 4 marked for identification.) 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Were there other 6 preliminary? 7 Mr. Brownlee? MR. BROWNLEE: Yes. I'm not sure. 9 know the order involving the joint stipulation and agreement on behalf of the School Boards' 10 11 Association would be a matter of record, but I'm not 12 sure and I don't believe the actual stipulation is 13 listed as an exhibit. So, in order to make sure it 14 is included in any later record, I'd like to offer 15 that as an exhibit or whatever seems to fit the 16 situation. I just don't want to later on have it 17 not be officially part. 18 That's fine. I believe JUDGE DIPPELL: 19 Spire does have that attached as Exhibit 54, but I 20 have no problem with offering it now if that's the 2.1 way we want to take care of that. 22 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, that's fine. the same question as Mr. Brownlee. So, out of 23 caution I put it on our list as Number 54 and we 24 would offer it. 25

(Laclede's Exhibit 54 was offered into 1 evidence.) 2 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Would there 4 be any objection to Exhibit No. 54 coming in at this 5 time? 6 Seeing none. Then Exhibit No. 54, the 7 joint stipulation and agreement between Spire and the school boards, which has actually already been 9 approved by the Commission and will be admitted. (Laclede's Exhibit 54 was admitted into 10 11 evidence.) 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Are there any 13 other preliminary exhibits that need to come in at 14 this time? 15 Okay. What we're going to do is have some very brief, I hope, overview, general opening 16 17 remarks and then we'll begin with our first issue 18 and as we go we're going to have mini opening 19 statements so you can kind of tee up the issues for 20 that part. If you want to go straight into your 21 opening for the issue, that's fine as well, but it 2.2 might be clearer if we just hit the overview and 23 then come back to that. 24 So, with that we can begin with opening 25 statements with Spire.

1	MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	May it please the Commission:
3	Your Honor, I will be as brief as
4	possible, but I do have some because this is the
5	policy and I do have a little bit of length in the
6	opening statement, but given the fact that I
7	represent two utilities. It won't be that much.
8	Okay. Since 2010 the entire rate
9	increase for both Laclede and MGE has been the
10	safety and relocation work we've been doing. Other
11	than the cost of that work, customers are paying the
12	same rates today, the same distribution rates that
13	they paid in 2010. Thanks to our efforts to control
14	the historically inclining cost of gas utility
15	service and thanks also to a change in the gas
16	supply market that has greatly reduced the cost of
17	gas, customers' bills are actually less than they
18	were ten years ago.
19	And so, now after more than seven years
20	without a non-ISRS increase, how much are we asking
21	for in this case? Laclede seeks a \$27 million
22	increase and MGE requests 35 million, both above the
23	ISRS. So, after more than seven years, the two
24	largest gas LDCs in the state, covering
25	approximately 83 percent of the Commission-regulated
Ī	

- 1 gas market, are asking for a total of 62 million.
- 2 How did we do this? The simple answer is by joining
- 3 forces.
- 4 When the old Laclede Gas Company
- 5 acquired MGE, we brought that company into the fold
- of a gas utility company that is in the gas utility
- 7 business. Now, I'm not going to cast dispersions on
- 8 Southern Union or on ETE, but let's face it, they
- 9 were both pipeline companies and, and they were
- 10 pipeline companies that just happened to own a
- 11 utility. Once Southern Union decided to sell their
- 12 utilities, which was -- which were MGE and New
- 13 England Gas, Southern Union stopped investing in
- 14 them. Wouldn't you? Divesters don't invest. MGE
- 15 was sold to ETE by Southern Union. ETE is another
- 16 pipeline company whose main interest in the
- 17 utilities was to monetize them. When ETE completed
- 18 their purchase and unveiled the map of their new
- 19 organization to their employees, the MGE property
- 20 was omitted. It was not on the map, and I think the
- 21 MGE people got a hint from that about what was to
- 22 happen. Again, divesters are not investors.
- 23 When Laclede became the next owner of
- 24 MGE, we set about the task of operating the company
- 25 and not just owning it. Laclede acquired a

- 1 cash-starved company operating on a shoestring
- 2 making due with antiquated systems that were run by
- 3 amazing people. That's why MGE's modest rate
- 4 increase of 35 million is actually higher than
- 5 Laclede's because MGE needed to do something it
- 6 hadn't done in a long time and that was invest in
- 7 its future.
- 8 One of the main investments it needed
- 9 was a new information system and this is where both
- 10 MGE and Laclede customers caught a break. Laclede
- 11 had just upgraded its system in 2013 and it was able
- 12 to bring MGE into its platform for a fraction of the
- 13 cost that Laclede had paid and further saved money
- 14 for both companies by splitting the cost of the
- 15 combined platform with MGE.
- Okay. So, despite the fact that Laclede
- 17 paid a premium above book value for MGE, paid
- 18 transaction costs to close the transaction, and paid
- 19 the cost to integrate the two companies, which is
- 20 transition costs, Laclede was permitted to recover
- 21 only half of those transition costs and none of the
- 22 other two, and we were allowed to recover that half
- 23 of the transition costs only if we could show
- 24 synergy savings in excess of those costs to achieve.
- 25 We were, in fact, able to show savings well in

- 1 excess of those costs. And so, part of our request
- 2 in this case consists of half of the transition
- 3 costs we spent to achieve the savings that we
- 4 brought to customers.
- 5 In order to make this acquisition work
- 6 by not recovering the premium or the transaction
- 7 costs, in order to make it work, we had to reduce
- 8 costs and we did -- and we did so by spreading them
- 9 over a larger customer base. In buying MGE, Laclede
- 10 nearly doubled its size, but did not double its
- 11 costs. Having achieved a successful integration in
- 12 Missouri, our parent, now known as Spire, Inc., but
- 13 then called the Laclede Group looked for and found
- 14 more opportunities for growth.
- 15 Spire acquired Alabama Gas Company, now
- 16 known as Spire Alabama, and its 420-plus-thousand
- 17 customers; also acquired Mobile South -- the Mobile
- 18 Gas Corporation, sorry, now known as Spire Gulf and
- 19 its 85,000 customers; and finally, also acquired
- 20 Willmut Gas of Mississippi, now known as Spire
- 21 Mississippi and its nearly 20,000 customers. So,
- 22 all told, where five years ago where Laclede Gas
- 23 about 630 customers in Eastern Missouri, the Spire
- 24 organization, still headquartered in St. Louis,
- 25 serves well over 1.6 million customers. This has

- 1 allowed us to further spread costs that benefit all
- 2 customers and it is undisputed that these activities
- 3 have reduced our cost of service in this case by
- 4 tens of millions per year.
- 5 In 2012 Laclede customers paid for an
- 6 entire CEO, the company's CEO. Five years later
- 7 those same customers are paying only about
- 8 44 percent of the cost of that same CEO. That's
- 9 called scale. Now, do the customers get all 56
- 10 percent of the savings? Well, not quite. In order
- 11 to find and motivate a leader to get these kind of
- 12 results, you have to be willing to offer a
- 13 compensation package that includes base salary plus
- 14 incentives. Do the customers have to pay for all of
- 15 those incentives? Not in this case. In this case
- 16 we are asking to include the costs of only the cash
- 17 incentives. We are not asking for any compensation
- 18 for equity incentives for the CEO and 100 of our top
- 19 executives and managers. So, only the same cash
- 20 incentives that are part of a compensation package
- 21 at nearly every sizable company in America.
- Is it fair to ask customers to pay for
- 23 incentive compensation? In the case of our CEO, it
- 24 has turned out to be one of the best investments
- 25 we've ever made. As for other employees, incentives

- 1 are just part of a normal market-based compensation
- 2 package and they deserve to be fully compensated.
- 3 Would you take a \$3 gallon of milk to the counter
- 4 and expect to pay 2.50 for it and walk away? No.
- 5 If you want the milk, you have to pay for the gallon
- 6 of milk. The transformation of this company from a
- 7 stodgy and conservative Laclede to the dynamic and
- 8 growing Spire has been a spectacular success and for
- 9 me a thrill to watch.
- 10 Ms. Shemwell here used to say that
- 11 Laclede displayed trailing edge technology, but not
- 12 anymore. That was a pretty good line by
- 13 Ms. Shemwell, but not anymore.
- We've been talking about money for a
- 15 while now, but that's only half of the equation and
- 16 it's not even the most important half. Certainly we
- 17 expect to maintain just and reasonable rates, but
- 18 our number one focus is on safety. Our mandate is
- 19 to provide safe and adequate service and we not only
- 20 meet that mandate, but we strive to motivate our
- 21 employees to exceed it.
- 22 At the same time we've been controlling
- 23 costs, we have been improving service and the proof
- 24 is in the reports that we provide staff and OPC
- 25 every month. We have made great strides in reducing

leak response time, reducing abandoned call rates, 1 reducing the average speed of answer, and reducing 2. the number of estimated bills. In the last J.D. 3 4 Power survey scores for MGE and Laclede both soared 5 and at a time when we were changing our name. 6 Now, I could go on and on, but I'm not 7 Instead, I'm going to talk about this There's an old joke no good deed goes 9 unpunished, and it's supposed to be funny because the actual saying is no good deed goes unrewarded, 10 11 but, unfortunately, in this case it's true. 12 company comes in for a modest increase after seven 13 years with millions of dollars in real customer 14 savings resulting from our investment in other 15 utilities and what do we get? The savings are fully 16 captured without even the full recognition of the 17 very modest costs incurred to achieve them and then 18 one punitive adjustment after another is proposed 19 with potentially damaging writeoffs as if the 20 regulatory process in Missouri passibly abhors any 2.1 attempt by a Missouri based holding company to grow 22 even in ways that unquestionably benefit customers. 23 For example, with tens of millions in synergy savings we are struggling to drag out of the 24 25 other parties and, and mostly Staff in this case

1 the -- our, our half of the transition costs. Equity ratios or our capital structure have been 2. 3 proposed at well below historical norms in order to 4 misappropriate millions of dollars that rightfully 5 belong to the company. The longstanding treatment 6 of the company's pension asset has proposed to be 7 radically modified to deprive the company of the return it has normally been granted on its 9 investment in those assets and just as it is finally beginning to draw down the cost of the asset. 10 The costs of the entire information 11 12 management system for MGE were proposed to be simply 13 wished away at one point by OPC and OPC still seeks 14 to allocate away the costs of -- allocate away the costs to entities that don't benefit from the 15 In other words, the MGE and Laclede system 16 17 serve Laclede and MGE. They do not serve the Alabama utilities and, yet, OPC is trying to 18 19 allocate some of the cost of that to Alabama. 20 Even statutorily-authorized regulatory mechanisms like the company's proposed revenue 2.1 stabilization mechanism and its environmental 2.2 23 compliance cost tracker are opposed for reasons that evade all understanding. In the process, any 24 25 opportunity to reduce customer charges in a way that

- 1 parties in the past have long requested and our
- 2 customers seem to have strong interest in is lost.
- 3 So, we are offering the revenue stabilization
- 4 mechanism. If we get that, that allows us to not
- 5 have to set rate design in a way that protects us
- 6 from weather and conservation, but allows us to have
- 7 different rate designs, be flexible and open on rate
- 8 designs and other parties have been pushing for
- 9 lower customer charges for years. And so, finally
- 10 we came to this case and we were excited to work
- 11 with OPC who has been asking for these low customer
- 12 charges, but instead they have opposed the revenue
- 13 stabilization mechanism.
- 14 Another example, as part of a number of
- 15 consistency changes, we're seeking to move Laclede
- 16 Gas' in Eastern Missouri, their storage gas into
- 17 rate base. Now, that would be the same thing that
- 18 MGE has, storage gas in rate base, and it's the same
- 19 thing that every other gas utility has in Missouri.
- 20 Again, OPC opposes this. Staff was okay with it
- 21 originally and then reversed itself two weeks ago in
- 22 its surrebuttal testimony and seeks to change the
- 23 cost of capital for that storage gas to simply
- 24 short-term debt.
- Despite multiple black box settlements,

1 Staff wants to reach back into the past decade to remove allegedly disputed incentive compensation 2. 3 from our rate base. So, not only are they denying 4 us recovery of part of the fair market compensation 5 we pay our employees now, they are going back to previously settled cases and trying to take away 6 7 those rates retroactively. Their irresponsible actions threaten us with a \$33 million write-off. 9 This is my sixth rate case in 16 years 10 and I've honestly never seen anything like it. 11 We've been able to work with the parties in my past five rate cases and this is the first time I've had 12 to stand before you and, and present issues and, 13 14 unfortunately, we have approximately 30 of them 15 right now. We sincerely believe that the company 16 17 and the other utilities regulated by this Commission deserve a better and more enlightened regulatory 18 19 response when they succeed at producing the kind of 20 substantial customer benefits that we have brought 2.1 to our Missouri customers in these cases. 2.2 because we were unable to reach a full settlement 23 with the parties, we are depending on you in this case to do the right thing and come to a rational 24 25 and, and correct conclusions.

1 We look forward to addressing all of these issues in greater detail in this proceeding. 2 3 Thank you, Judge. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 5 Commissioners, were there questions for Mr. Zucker? 6 7 Good morning. CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. MR. ZUCKER: Good morning. 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Is this the first time 10 that the company has sought an RSM? 11 MR. ZUCKER: I believe so. I don't 12 remember if we sought one in the last case. We do have a weather -- on the Laclede Gas side of the 13 14 state we have a weather mitigated rate design, which 15 consists of a fairly high customer charge plus a low 16 first block so that we collect more of our money 17 upfront. 18 MGE at one point up until recently had 19 what's called a straight fixed variable rate design where they collected all of their money in a 20 2.1 customer charge. 22 CHAIRMAN HALL: Right. Well, I'm 23 speaking specifically about 386 --2.4 MR. ZUCKER: 266. 25 CHAIRMAN HALL: -- .266 sub 3, which

1 authorizes the RSM, and it appears to me that that's been in place since at least 2005; is that correct? 2. 3 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. I believe that's 4 correct, yes. 5 CHAIRMAN HALL: I'm wondering why the 6 company never sought an RSM before if that's, in 7 fact, the case. What is -- what's going on right now that makes the RSM so much more important? 9 MR. ZUCKER: I would say nothing. RSM would have been as useful in 2005 as it is 10 11 today. The reason that we haven't, I think, in the 12 past is because we didn't think the Commission was 13 ready to use one of these tools and we also had a 14 weather mitigated rate design. The benefit we see 15 from this is it allows us to be flexible on the rate 16 design and offer the low customer charge. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: I understand the principles behind it. 18 19 MR. PENDERGAST: Commissioner, if I could just answer the question, too. Mr. Zucker --20 2.1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Could you use your 22 microphone, Mr. Pendergast. 23 MR. PENDERGAST: Mr. Zucker's absolutely 24 correct. We haven't proposed what is called a 25 revenue stabilization mechanism in the past, but we

- 1 have proposed weather adjustment mechanisms in the
- 2 past. In fact, I think we've proposed a variety of
- 3 them, all in recognition of the statutory authority
- 4 that exists for it. So, while this specific
- 5 nomenclature hasn't been used in the past, we have
- 6 proposed those kind of adjustment mechanisms.
- 7 CHAIRMAN HALL: Those kind of adjustment
- 8 mechanisms that would authorize rate adjustments
- 9 outside of a rate case?
- MR. PENDERGAST: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. My understanding
- 12 is that under the stipulation approved by the
- 13 Commission authorizing the merger there were
- 14 periodic filings required by the company outlining
- 15 the synergies to be enjoyed by the companies as a
- 16 result of the merger. What are those documents
- 17 called?
- 18 MR. ZUCKER: I don't recall exactly what
- 19 they're titled, but they're synergy saving documents
- 20 and we present them quarterly to Staff and OPC along
- 21 with I think we're -- we were supposed to file
- 22 annually or present annually to the parties
- 23 transition cost reports. We provided them quarterly
- 24 just so that the parties could see them as they
- 25 developed over time.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: And which of your witnesses will be prepared to answer questions about 2 3 those filings. 4 MR. ZUCKER: I think -- I believe that's 5 Mr. Lobser. Yeah, that sounds good. Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. That's all I 7 have. Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other 9 Commission questions? 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. 11 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Nothing. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you, 13 Mr. Zucker. 14 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Does Staff have an 16 opening statement? 17 Yes. MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Judge. 18 May it please the Commission: 19 Good morning. I'm Mark Johnson. I'm 20 here on behalf of Staff counsel representing the 2.1 Staff of the Public Service Commission. I just want 2.2 to say first off I realize there are several issues 23 that need to be litigated in this case. So, I will attempt to be as brief as possible. As quick as we 24 25 can get to the other issues, the better.

1	Well, this case represents MGE and
2	Laclede's, really their first rate case since the
3	acquisition of MGE by Laclede Gas. MGE's last rate
4	case was filed immediately after, but if you go back
5	and you look at the merger case and you read through
6	the testimony, there's words that keep popping up,
7	synergies, cost savings, cost spreading. If you
8	look back, the company claims acquisitions, the
9	acquisition would result in more cost effective
10	utility service for the customers through cost
11	spreading, greater efficiencies through the
12	implementation of a shared corporate support
13	services structure and new technologies. We also
14	see claims that the company would be able to attract
15	capital in more favorable terms and in the short
16	term the acquisition would result in the company's
17	ability to reduce the overall cost of capital that
18	is ultimately supported by customers in rates.
19	Now, you heard Mr. Zucker repeat a lot
20	of those claims and state that a lot of those cost
21	savings have been achieved. Additionally, you heard
22	the claim that acquisitions outside of the state of
23	Missouri have furthered cost savings and furthered
24	benefits to Missouri customers. In fact, in the
25	company's testimony they claim that the MGE

- 1 acquisition alone has resulted in synergy savings in
- 2 excess of \$168 million.
- Now, despite the company's claim that
- 4 customers have realized these benefits, it is
- 5 important to note that rates have not changed for
- 6 these customers since 2013 and 2014 respectively.
- 7 Few synergies, if any, have been recognized in
- 8 rates. Any realized savings since then has gone to
- 9 Spire's bottom line. Now, this is something we
- 10 typically refer to as regulatory lag and I know all
- 11 of you are very familiar with that. However,
- 12 usually it's discussed in a negative light generally
- 13 by utilities. In this instance Staff believes this
- 14 is one of those instances where it is very
- 15 beneficial to the company.
- Still, with all of the claimed savings,
- 17 Laclede and MGE are here before you requesting a
- 18 rate increase. When you don't take into account the
- 19 ISRS revenues they currently collect in their rates,
- 20 they're asking for a little more than \$62 million.
- 21 Now, roughly that will break down, including ISRS,
- 22 to around 59 million for Laclede and 51 million for
- 23 MGE, but as part of this case the ISRS rates
- 24 currently on customers' bills will be reset to zero
- 25 and that revenue currently being collected will be

- 1 rolled into the company's base rates.
- Now, this case is somewhat unique. Not
- 3 only is it the first MGE and Laclede case since the
- 4 2013 acquisition, but it is the first case where
- 5 both of these companies have filed simultaneously.
- 6 This has resulted in a lot of ground work for staff,
- 7 not only in auditing two utilities simultaneously,
- 8 but working to confirm synergy savings and
- 9 evaluating the need for several proposed alternative
- 10 regulatory mechanisms. On top of that, there is a
- 11 overearnings complaint rolled into this case filed
- 12 by OPC and held in advance to be discussed during
- 13 this proceeding.
- Now, you might ask if the company has,
- in fact, realized all of these claimed savings, why
- 16 then are they requesting to raise their rates?
- 17 Well, in Staff's view an increase is unnecessary
- 18 and, in fact, it's Staff's position that rates
- 19 should be effectively decreased. Staff's numbers as
- 20 represented in its updated accounting schedules,
- 21 which were submitted into evidence earlier this
- 22 morning, show that Staff's recommended revenue
- 23 requirements for each division stand at roughly
- 24 6.4 million for MGE and 11.4 million for Laclede.
- 25 Now, these numbers were based upon Staff's midpoint

- 1 of its return on equity recommendation of
- 2 9.25 percent. Now, because Staff's recommendation,
- 3 their recommended increase to both of these
- 4 utilities is less than the current revenue they
- 5 collect in their ISRS rates, Staff's recommendation
- 6 would result in a net decrease in revenues for both
- 7 utilities.
- Now, the difference in the numbers in
- 9 this case are pretty large and the largest disputed
- 10 issues in terms of that are capital structure and
- 11 return on equity. Collectively, for Laclede they
- 12 represent a difference of about \$25.2 million. For
- 13 MGE the difference is about 17.4 million. And when
- 14 looking only at return on equity, that equates to
- 15 roughly 11.8 for Laclede and 6.5 million for MGE.
- 16 Now, that is a result of the 110 basis point
- 17 difference between Staff's recommendation and the
- 18 company's. In this instance, the company is
- 19 requesting a 10.35 percent return on equity. And
- 20 just for comparison sake, the Commission recently
- 21 approved a 9.5 percent ROE for Kansas City Power &
- 22 Light, and Staff sees no reason for MGE and Laclede
- 23 to get anything better than that. In fact, Staff
- 24 believes gas utilities are inherently less risky
- 25 than electric utilities in the state of Missouri.

In terms of capital structure, Staff is 1 recommending one based on Spire, Inc.'s consolidated 2 capital structure inclusive of short-term debt. 3 4 Now, Mr. Zucker referred to gas 5 inventories being included in rate base earlier. 6 Staff has not changed its position on that. Staff views capital structure and gas inventory as sister 7 issues I guess you could say. The company funds gas 9 inventory utilizing short-term debt. So, Staff's opinion is that a requisite amount of short-term 10 11 debt should be represented within its capital 12 structure. 13 Now, Staff is recommending the holding 14 company consolidated structure because it is the 15 most market-tested structure and that's in that --16 it's really the only true investable capital 17 structure for equity investors to view. It seems Spire is determined that the low business risk 18 19 associated with its natural gas distribution assets 20 allow for more leverage at the holding company 2.1 level. In other words, ratepayers are providing a 2.2 higher debt capacity for the holding company through 23 a steady low risk cash flow to its investors. it's Staff's position that the cost savings 24 25 associated with this increased debt capacity is best

1 shared by adopting the parent company's structure. 2 Now, in addition to capital structure 3 and ROE, another issue driving the difference in our 4 numbers is pensions. Now, this is an issue that 5 touches rate base as well as expense. In regard to 6 the rate base aspect is the issue of the treatment 7 of the FAS 87 pension assets accumulated prior to 1994, and the FAS 88 pension assets accumulated 9 prior to 1996. Now, this is an issue that's hung around Laclede for a while. To my knowledge, it's 10 11 never been litigated. It has simply been kicked 12 down the line and in Staff's opinion it is time for 13 a resolution to be made on this issue. 14 Staff's recommendation would be to not 15 include any of that pension amount in rate base. 16 Now, this is a balance of roughly \$28 million, and 17 while it's a little more difficult to nail down an actual dollar value for this case, my estimate would 18 19 be around \$5 million. 20 Now, the issue of pension expense as 2.1 opposed to rate base, based on Staff's latest 2.2 true-up numbers, equates to a difference between 23 Staff and company of about -- or Staff and Laclede specifically of about \$2 million. The results of 24 25 Staff's recommendation are based on its position to

- 1 fund these assets at an 80 percent funding level,
- 2 which is in line with ERISA standards. The
- 3 company's proposing to fund these assets at 90
- 4 percent.
- 5 Also at issue between the utilities and
- 6 Staff is the treatment of incentive compensation.
- 7 Now, Mr. Zucker said that they're just trying to pay
- 8 their employees fairly. Well, in this case the
- 9 utility is proposing including earning-based
- 10 compensation, claiming that employees, if they
- 11 can -- if employees can increase the company's
- 12 earnings, customers will also benefit. Staff
- 13 disagrees with this. In fact, we believe it is
- improper to align the employees' interests with the
- 15 shareholders and that's maximizing profits. Staff's
- 16 position on this issue is consistent with past
- 17 Commission treatment and asks the Commission to
- 18 continue that treatment.
- 19 Staff estimates the value, the total
- 20 value of the pension issue to be approximately
- 21 \$6 million for Laclede and \$2 million for MGE.
- Now, in addition to these revenue
- 23 issues, and I guess in the spirit of the holiday
- 24 season, the utilities have included what I'd call a
- 25 wish list of alternative regulatory mechanisms and

1 these mechanisms in Staff's view are essentially designed to reduce the risk to the company. 2. 3 Included in those are trackers. At direct the 4 company requested multiple trackers, but that really 5 has been whittled down to two. One involving Kansas 6 property tax and one involving future environmental 7 expenses related to manufactured gas sites. there are instances when a tracker is the proper 9 regulatory tool and Staff is in agreement with the 10 company that in the instance of Kansas property 11 taxes it's the right one, but in the instance of the 12 environmental tracker Staff does not support it and, 13 in fact, does not believe the company has proposed 14 any evidence that show these costs are imminent. 15 The next proposal is performance 16 Now, the company's requesting that a 17 docket should be opened to evaluate and potentially 18 implement a performance metric mechanism that, 19 according to the company, would incentivize the 20 performance of the utility based on a set of three 2.1 to five metrics. Now, Staff doesn't believe this 2.2 mechanism was explained thoroughly in this case and, 23 so, we are unsure what one would ultimately look like or if one would actually be necessary; but that 24 25 being said, Staff is open to a discussion of

- 1 effective alternative mechanisms and if directed by
- 2 the Commission, we would happily participate in any
- 3 type of working group moving forward.
- 4 The last would be the revenue
- 5 stabilization mechanism. This company is requesting
- 6 an RSM be implemented to offset the effects of
- 7 weather and conservation that would effectively
- 8 shield the company from impacts of weather and
- 9 reduced usage.
- 10 In this case the company has also tied
- 11 the RSM to other issues like reducing the customer
- 12 charge and increasing energy efficiency spending.
- 13 However, Staff's position is that currently an RSM
- 14 is unnecessary. We believe the company is earning
- 15 enough. And also Staff believes that the RSM
- 16 presented by the company is not entirely compliant
- 17 with the authorizing statutes. Staff views the
- 18 company's proposal as being based upon average
- 19 customer bill. The problem with that is that can
- 20 change based off of a number of factors. The
- 21 statute specifically referenced, 386.266 sub 3, only
- 22 authorizes an RSM based off weather and
- 23 conservation. The company's proposal could be
- 24 changed by customer growth, customer reductions or
- 25 any other number of changes.

1	However, if the Commission should
2	determine an RSM is necessary, Staff would recommend
3	that the RSM be limited to weather only and only be
4	applied to the residential class.
5	Finally, turning to rate design. Staff
6	recommends MGE's and Laclede's residential monthly
7	customer charges be set closer to their class cost
8	of service while taking other relevant
9	considerations into account regardless of
10	regardless of the implementation of an RSM.
11	Staff also recommends that Laclede's
12	weather mitigated residential rate design be
13	modified to consist of a customer charge and a per
14	unit charge for all units of gas sold.
15	Staff also recommends that the general
16	service classes of each rate division be
17	consolidated. For Laclede Staff would recommend
18	that their current commercial and industrial classes
19	be consolidated into a single general service rate
20	rate class, and for MGE that their current SGS and
21	LGS classes be consolidated also into a single
22	general service class.
23	Now, throughout this hearing we will
24	present witnesses on each and every issue I've
25	spoken about and that is listed on the issues list

1 and they will be available for any questions you may 2. have. 3 And in closing I would just say it's 4 Staff's opinion that the company is asking for more 5 than they need. We believe the role of the 6 Commission is essentially to determine what is in 7 the public interest, to ensure that utilities have what they need to provide customers with safe and 9 adequate service and charge just and reasonable rates while all at the same time providing an 10 11 opportunity for the utility to earn a reasonable return on its investment. 12 13 Staff's job is to provide 14 recommendations for the Commission and it's our 15 opinion that our recommendations in this case do just that, promote the public interest. 16 17 Thank you very much. Thank you for your time, and I will answer any questions that I may be 18 19 able. 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioners, any 2.1 questions? 22 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Good morning. 23 MR. JOHNSON: Good morning. 2.4 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I wanted to just

clarify a couple of themes I picked up on in your

25

- 1 opening before you got into, like, specific issues.
- 2 So, you kind of stated that the fact that the rates
- 3 haven't gone down after they realized all the
- 4 synergies from the mergers is evidence that money
- 5 was going to the shareholders instead of the
- 6 ratepayers; is that a -- am I summarizing your theme
- 7 correctly?
- 8 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. I think in Staff's
- 9 view the company has realized savings and currently
- 10 this case shows that rates should be adjusted in a
- 11 downward manner.
- 12 COMMISSIONER RUPP: So, you believe that
- 13 the company should have come in and, and offered a
- 14 rate decrease after receiving those synergies?
- MR. JOHNSON: Well, I don't necessarily
- 16 think we would say -- we put it at they should have.
- 17 We recognize they come in regularly and I think the
- 18 intent of these acquisitions is to increase profit.
- 19 Otherwise, I don't believe they would be doing it.
- 20 In a perfect world, yeah, they would have come in
- 21 and had their rates readjusted, but...
- 22 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Okay. And you also
- 23 touched on how much work this is for Staff to do
- 24 both these companies in one rate case. So, you
- 25 believe they should have come in separately at

- 1 different times?
- MR. JOHNSON: No, not necessarily.
- 3 There are benefits to doing it at the same time. I
- 4 think if you ask the company, it was a lot of work
- 5 for them as well. And in combination with the
- 6 change in ownership, I know it's been several years,
- 7 but this is really the first fully litigated rate
- 8 case with the new ownership and the changeover with
- 9 MGE. There was a lot of -- I guess Staff was
- 10 getting used to the way the company is run now. So,
- 11 we had lots of questions.
- 12 COMMISSIONER RUPP: And then when you
- 13 touched on the pension issue, you stated that you
- 14 don't believe that the company should align the
- 15 company's interests with the employees' interests.
- 16 Can you expand on why that is a bad thing in Staff's
- 17 view?
- 18 MR. JOHNSON: Well, in terms of
- 19 incentive comp, Staff's general view -- and Matt
- 20 Young, Staff witness Matt Young will probably be
- 21 able to answer your questions much better. I
- 22 believe he will testify on December 14th on this
- 23 issue. But in terms of earning-based incentive
- 24 comp, if you were rewarding your employees for
- 25 simply increasing the profits of the company for

1 whatever reason, that doesn't necessarily line up with the interests of the rate -- of the ratepayers. 2. 3 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Even if those 4 incentives were through efficiencies and safety and 5 other things that...? MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think those would 6 7 be a different type of incentive compensation. wouldn't be solely based off of earnings. 9 be other -- I mean, I think safety, increasing 10 safety is a legitimate reason and others could be as 11 well, but those aren't explicitly tied to the bottom 12 line of the company. 13 COMMISSIONER RUPP: All right. Thank 14 you. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other commissioner 16 questions? 17 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No. Thank you. 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you. 19 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel. 2.1 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you. 22 Let me just note for a moment before 23 starting, with the Commission's permission, I use trailing edge technology myself these days. So, 24 25 Mr. Smith is going to handle that for me. And if I

- 1 need any defense in using that term, I got it from
- 2 John Hanauer and when I came to the Commission,
- 3 Laclede was still using manual typewriters. So,
- 4 they have come a distance from that.
- 5 So, good morning. May it please the
- 6 Commission:
- 7 I'm Lera Shemwell. I represent the
- 8 Public Counsel. Hampton Williams and we represent
- 9 the public in these cases.
- 10 This case has been a long time coming in
- 11 many respects. Spire has made several acquisitions
- 12 since its last rate case and it did not come before
- 13 the Commission for Commission approval of those
- 14 acquisitions. Staff did an investigation in
- 15 GM-2016-0342 in which it concluded that Spire had
- 16 not complied with the promises it made to this
- 17 Commission and the conditions it willingly accepted
- in Case No. GM-2001-0342. The company ignored its
- 19 commitments in 2014 and in every acquisition since
- 20 and has not come to the Commission for its approval
- 21 of its acquisitions.
- 22 It's Public Counsel's position that
- 23 whenever companies and parties sign a stipulation
- and agreement they should feel bound by those
- 25 agreements.

1 Further in its investigation, Staff found that the acquisition of Energy South provided 2 no benefits to Missouri customers and, in fact, 3 4 created potential detriments. At a public hearing 5 in St. Charles, I believe, Commissioner Coleman, that was when you attended, Mr. Pendergast touted 6 7 reduction in cost to Missouri ratepayers because costs would be spread over all of these new 9 customers. Customers expressed skepticism and 10 rightfully so. In this case Laclede has opposed 11 allocating costs to Alagasco and Energy South. 12 Now turning to the issue of Spire's 13 earnings. Public Counsel did file an overearnings complaint that has been consolidated with these rate 14 15 cases. In that case Public Counsel was opposed by 16 both the Commission and Staff. However, the results 17 of this case show that OPC was correct in filing 18 that complaint. Spire is overearning and the Staff 19 and OPC audits in this case demonstrate that Spire 20 is doing very well as a company. Laclede claims it cannot achieve its earnings, but let's look at its 2.1 2.2 stock price. 23 And I'm trying to find the MR. SMITH: 24 mouse for that. So, if we could take a moment to find that. 25

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Let's go off the record just a second. 2 3 (Pause in proceedings.) 4 MS. SHEMWELL: This is from yesterday 5 from Google and it shows that, in fact, over the 6 past five years Laclede's stock -- I'm sorry. 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. You went 8 back on. Thank you. 9 MS. SHEMWELL: -- has doubled and the 10 increases have been particularly significant in the 11 last year. Shareholders are benefiting. Despite 12 this, the company is asking for \$60 million increase 13 above the amount of ISRS already in this case. The 14 reconciliation, Staff and OPC's reconciliation that 15 I believe was filed -- was it filed this morning, 16 Mark? 17 MR. JOHNSON: We have yet to file. 18 MS. SHEMWELL: Okay. -- is consistent 19 with the fact that the company is overearning. It will either be filed soon, that reconciliation, or 20 2.1 come in as an Exhibit. 22 OPC's interest in this case is to ensure 23 Laclede and MGE's customers pay just and reasonable rates as required by statute. Rates above what is 24 25 just and reasonable harm Missouri's economic

development. Every extra dollar customers must pay

Fax: 314.644.1334

- above the most just and reasonable rate affects 2. 3 every customers' ability to spend their money on 4 their families or in their communities, or in the 5 case of small and large businesses increasing rates affect their ability to spend money on growth or 6 7 employees or advertising for examples. OPC's prominent concerns in this case 9 mirror what Staff has already said and I am going to include in that the cost allocation manual issues, 10 11 pension funding, customer service and 12 nondiscriminatory rates. An example of 13 discriminatory rates we believe is that all 14 customers would be paying credit card fees when only
- 17 customers who are either unbanked or underbanked.
- 18 So, they don't have access to credit cards.
- 19 In terms of the cost allocation manual,

about 30 percent of customers actually use credit

cards and that there are 10 percent of Laclede's

- 20 OPC's position is that the cost allocation manual is
- 21 outdated and must be audited by an outside third
- 22 party. Spire has acquired affiliates, has
- 23 unregulated affiliates and has a services company.
- I'm going to use Ameren as an example.
- 25 Staff and OPC are working with them now and have

1

15

16

- 1 been for a long time and that's going to continue to
- 2 resolve both a bit of CAM and eventually a general
- 3 services agreement. While we have been working
- 4 cooperatively, it probably will still be several
- 5 months before that is filed. However, KCPL agreed
- 6 to similar conditions as OPC's proposal in this case
- 7 and now has a Commission-approved cost allocation
- 8 manual. Empire and Liberty have agreed to work
- 9 towards an approved CAM, and Soma has a CAM case in
- 10 progress. And Mr. Hyneman is able to discuss this
- 11 with you.
- 12 In terms of pensions Public Counsel has
- 13 hired an actuary, Mr. David Pitts. He has presented
- 14 at NARUC. I don't know if any of you have seen him.
- 15 I understand some of you may have served on pension
- 16 committees in the legislature. So, you may have
- 17 some familiarity with pensions.
- 18 Mr. Pitts will explain his position that
- 19 Laclede's prepaid pension plan should be financed
- 20 with long-term debt instead of debt and equity, and
- 21 he will discuss his recommendations on OPEB funding.
- In terms of ROE, OPC notes that the
- 23 average ROE for gas companies in the last year has
- 24 been 9.5 percent and we suggest that Laclede, as
- 25 looking at its stock price, is less risky than many

- 1 other gas companies.
- 2 And a final point that has -- in the
- 3 landscape that has changed since this rate case was
- 4 filed, none of the testimony in this case
- 5 contemplates a reduction in corporate taxes from
- 6 35 percent to a projected 20 percent. That
- 7 reduction will affect every revenue requirement item
- 8 in this case.
- 9 Some of your Staff may have been around
- 10 in 1986 and might know what the Commission did then.
- 11 I do have a statement from the Commission about what
- 12 they did then if the Commission is interested. No?
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead, Ms. Shemwell.
- 14 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you. This is from
- 15 the Commission's 1987 annual report, and in '86, as
- 16 you may be well aware, there was a tax, an
- 17 unprecedented rate reduction due to tax reform.
- 18 This is out of the Commission's 1987 annual report,
- 19 and it said -- it opened with in 1986 President
- 20 Reagan signed into law the most significant overhaul
- 21 of our income tax system in many years. Within two
- 22 weeks of the signing of that bill the Commission
- 23 began an investigation to determine the impact of
- 24 the new income tax structure upon the public
- 25 utilities in Missouri and as a result of the

- 1 investigation the Commission determined that the
- 2 largest utility companies in Missouri would save
- 3 approximately 176 million, and this is in 1986
- 4 dollars, annually, annually over the next -- because
- of the new income tax system. And to ensure that
- 6 the income savings were passed along to ratepayers,
- 7 the Commission directed its Staff to begin informal
- 8 discussions with the public utilities in an effort
- 9 to reach agreements regarding possible rate
- 10 reductions.
- 11 As a result of those discussions
- 12 regarding possible reductions, by the end of the
- 13 fiscal year the Commission had approved rate
- 14 reductions or modifications to scheduled rate
- 15 increases under the electric phase-in plans totaling
- 16 \$135 million.
- 17 And finally, throughout this testimony
- 18 the company describes itself as customer centric.
- 19 To test the reasonableness of that description, I
- 20 urge the Commission to review the Staff's report on
- 21 Spire's customer service that is attached to both
- 22 the testimonies of Dr. Geoff Marke and Mr. Charles
- 23 Hyneman.
- 24 As you contemplate the issues in this
- 25 case, remember this is a monopoly, a state-sponsored

1	monopoly, that is allowed to provide natural gas
2	service to captive customers and, please, review
3	Staff's report with that in mind. Thank you.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there Commission
5	questions?
6	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have one.
7	Good morning.
8	MS. SHEMWELL: Good morning.
9	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I just have a
10	question. I can't really see your chart.
11	MS. SHEMWELL: We will print it out and
12	get it to you.
13	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I just want to ask
14	you a couple questions.
15	So, that's what is the beginning
16	line? What was the date on the far left?
17	MS. SHEMWELL: Five years ago.
18	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Five years ago.
19	And what was the amount of that stock?
20	MS. SHEMWELL: This is a five year.
21	40, I believe.
22	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: And what is it
23	today?
24	MS. SHEMWELL: 80.25.
25	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: And what has the

1 stock market done in those five years? 2. MS. SHEMWELL: Very well. 3 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: So, is that 4 just -- I mean, it's been over a third in just 12 5 months? MS. SHEMWELL: But not all stocks have 6 7 done as well as the overall stock market. COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I know, but if you 9 look at the overall stock market, it's up over 50 10 percent since 2012. 11 MS. SHEMWELL: Certainly I'm not going 12. to argue with you about that. 13 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: So, this would be 14 maybe staying in range? I'm just curious because we 15 put up charts and say the company's done well, but it's just, I mean, the whole market's done amazing 16 17 since, you know, since the recovery. Would you 18 agree? 19 MS. SHEMWELL: I think my point is that 20 Laclede's shareholders have done very well. COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Is that a bad 2.1 2.2 thing? 23 MS. SHEMWELL: It is not. 2.4 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay. Thank you. 25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp.

1 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah. Following up on Commissioner Kenney's. You stated that the 2 3 average ROE for these types of companies is 9.5, but 4 then you said but Laclede is less risky based off of 5 their stock price. Can you explain that? MS. SHEMWELL: Well, I think Laclede --6 7 I'm suggesting that their shareholders see it as less risky because they are continuing to invest in 9 the company. 10 COMMISSIONER RUPP: And then you also 11 made some themes in your opening that I would like clarification on. You said the basic rate structure 12 13 that the company has had is harming Missouri's 14 economic development. Can you expand on that? 15 MS. SHEMWELL: My suggestion in general 16 is that low utility rates benefit economic 17 development. That is my overall theme. 18 COMMISSIONER RUPP: So, do you believe 19 that the company is harming Missouri's economic 20 development? 2.1 MS. SHEMWELL: I'm not saying that they 2.2 are. 23 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Very good. 2.4 MS. SHEMWELL: I'm saying that low 25 utility rates in general help the economy.

1	COMMISSIONER RUPP: And then can you
2	explain to me how the company is being
3	discriminatory in their rate structure? I didn't
4	follow your credit card analogy.
5	MS. SHEMWELL: I'm sorry. I'm
6	suggesting that they should not have rates that are
7	discriminatory in their overall rates. Not their
8	general rate structure, but items like credit cards,
9	inclusion of those in rates is discriminatory
10	because only 30 percent of Laclede's customers use
11	credit cards and all customers are paying for that
12	30 percent to use credit cards, but don't benefit
13	from that. There are other things that where we
14	feel that all customers should not pay for one
15	benefit. For example, the company doesn't pay for
16	your stamp when you mail in. They don't pay for the
17	fee you pay at their pay stations. Customers who
18	are using that service actually pay for that and
19	should.
20	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you.
21	MS. SHEMWELL: You're welcome.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other Commission
23	questions?
24	COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions.
25	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Thank you.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
3	MR. BEAR: Thank you. Brian Bear on
4	behalf of the Division of Energy.
5	I will be brief with this opening and
6	reserve a lot of my comments for the individual
7	issues since the Division of Energy decided not to
8	weigh in on every single issue that's before the
9	Commission, but I do think it's important to look at
10	what this case presents to the Commission. This
11	will be the first public facing opportunity for the
12	Commission to pass on a rate case with Spire as a
13	unified company. And for ratepayers across the
14	state there's going to be a big question of what
15	that merger ultimately meant for them. It's
16	apparent that if you take some of the positions of
17	the parties on some of these issues in a piecemeal
18	fashion, you could have a disastrous outcome for
19	those ratepayers. That being a situation where
20	there's increased revenue for the company, where
21	there is less or in some cases no assistant to low
22	income families and high energy burdened households,
23	which is a proposal that's out there, and also one
24	where there's ultimately less innovation, where we
25	don't pursue pilot projects like combined heat and

1 power in an effort to try ensure resiliency within the state for natural disasters. If that's the 2. outcome that occurs from this rate case, I think 3 4 that the average consumer in the state of Missouri 5 will find that in the merger of these two large gas 6 utilities, creating the largest gas utility in the 7 state, that there's no room for them and the public interest. 9 Thankfully this is just a collection of 10 the issues of the parties being pieced together. No 11 one is actually proposing that outcome, but that is 12 a path the Commission could, unfortunately, go down. 13 I do think that as we start to look at 14 these issues, there are some important public policy 15 concerns that the Commission is going to have to 16 grapple with. One of those is dealing with low 17 income assistance. Some parties have suggested that 18 historically-low gas prices means that the need for 19 these types of assisted measures are no longer 20 relevant in the current marketplace. The Division 21 of Energy urges that any public policy based on the 22 current market price of a volatile commodity is 23 simply not good public policy and that I believe you're going to hear some testimony from the folks 24 25 at Division of Energy to explain how despite the

- 1 fact that we have low gas prices, energy burdened
- 2 and households of modest means throughout the state
- 3 is very high and we need to be mindful of the fact
- 4 that as we move forward with this merger that there
- 5 is a place, there is room within the new Spire for
- 6 such energy assistance programs. And to be fair,
- 7 the company does not oppose these.
- 8 Beyond the measures that we use to reach
- 9 out to low-income consumers within the state, it's
- 10 important to note that some of the issues that the
- 11 Division of Energy has with rate design are tied to
- 12 the continuation of these very important programs.
- 13 The Division of Energy doesn't support, but doesn't
- 14 oppose the idea of an RSM in this case so long as we
- 15 have innovation and outreach to low-income
- 16 consumers. RSMs in a very general since are
- 17 designed to shave off levels of volatility for the
- 18 company and provide some type of stabilization, just
- 19 what it says on the tin.
- 20 It's important to realize when we
- 21 evaluate high energy burdened households that the
- 22 same is a lot true. It's those high volatility
- 23 bills, the ones that are outliers in the winter that
- often can be disasters for those high energy
- 25 burdened households.

1 There had been some proposals that perhaps a way to assist low-income energy households 2 3 is to remove a fixed customer charge. In other 4 words, give a little bit of assistance each month. I don't believe that that's going to meet that 5 6 requirement because it's not the \$20 a month or the 7 \$40 a month gas bill in the summer that is a disaster for these high energy burdened consumers. 9 It's when there's a cold snap and they have an unexpected bill that is outside the normal range. 10 11 believe that you're going to hear testimony to that 12 effect from the Division of Energy and other 13 interested parties. And when we evaluate what is an 14 effective program to deal with this sort of 15 situation, it should deal with those winter bills 16 rather than just the average bill assistance per 17 month. 18 I do think as well one of the important 19 parts as we look at economic development, and I 20 believe that this issue is probably going to be 2.1 resolved, but I do want to talk about the importance 2.2 of EDRs going forward because in my other parts of 23 my practice for the State I wear the general counsel hat for the Department of Economic Development. 24 25 without going into specifics, I do believe EDRs in

- 1 these types of cases are going to be increasingly
- 2 important as we start to market the state to
- 3 businesses that are looking to relocate. You know,
- 4 we're hopeful in the direction that we're going with
- 5 EDRs currently. We know that there are some special
- 6 cases that are going to come from the Division --
- 7 come before the Commission in the near future, but
- 8 DED as a whole and the Division of Energy are highly
- 9 supportive of these going forward. They're
- 10 absolutely critical to being able to attract
- 11 business on a long-term fashion.
- 12 One thing that was proposed within this
- 13 specific case is the idea of tieing this to jobs and
- 14 I do think investment in jobs are the metrics to use
- in the evaluation of these types of proposals.
- 16 Within the Department of Economic Development, when
- 17 we look at incentives, you know, our main focus is
- 18 looking at good-paying, quality jobs for folks
- 19 moving forward.
- 20 Beyond these issues, I'd like to just
- 21 briefly talk about CHP because I do think it does
- 22 present some public policy factors. There have been
- 23 some arguments that perhaps there are issues with
- 24 the promotional practices rule. We'll, you know,
- 25 deal with those on a more granular level when we get

1 to that issue, but I do want to say that the promotional practices rule taken to its extreme and 2. 3 read in a very restrictive way, which hasn't been 4 read before, could prohibit pretty much any type of 5 activity that would help the utility and use innovation to move forward innovative ideas like CHP 6 7 and others if taken to its extreme. And the Division of Energy would urge the Commission not to 9 go down that path. It is your rule and you can interpret it as you see fit. And I believe when we 10 11 come to that issue, there are reasonable ways to read the rule to where it doesn't run afoul of CHP. 12 13 With CHP, I'll just also follow up that 14 when we had the Ameren case earlier in this year, 15 the Commission approved a tariff where we had 16 standby rates to increase transparency to set the table for CHP innovation, and it would be 17 unfortunate if in this case we decide not to take 18 19 that next step and actually start doing pilot 20 programs in the state and exploring this as a way to 2.1 put resiliency within the -- within the State's 2.2 natural disaster preparedness. 23 So, with that being said, you know, we look forward to discussing these issues going 24 25 forward, and I'm open to answering any questions the

1	commissioners might have.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Hall.
3	CHAIRMAN HALL: Good morning.
4	MR. BEAR: Good morning.
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: What is the most
6	important issue for us from the Division's
7	perspective?
8	MR. BEAR: Well, they're all important.
9	It's hard to say which child you love the most, but
10	I do think when it comes on balance it really is the
11	low-income assistance. At the end of the day, you
12	know, I think what has the greatest amount of harm
13	is what could happen to high energy burdened
14	households from the actual raw human suffering
15	component. You know, one of the things that we are
16	not privy to as human beings is what can happen in
17	the future, a cold snap, an ice storm, some
18	disruption to the supply chain. Having to come to
19	the low-income folks in this state and say there was
20	a merger of these gas companies and, although, there
21	were great cost savings to the company and there
22	were great revenues to the company, sorry, there
23	wasn't enough to help you out on your gas bill, that
24	would be an untenable situation, and I think it
25	would have horrible optics for the Commission in

1 addition to being bad public policy. 2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. 3 Second question is: Could you give me a 4 little more explanation for the Division's 5 neutrality on the RSM provision? 6 MR. BEAR: You know, it's the 7 non-opposition that we've taken in this case -- an RSM is certainly a new step for the company and 9 oftentimes while it's very beneficial to the 10 company, there has to be some type of trade-off with 11 ratepayers and with the public getting something out 12 of it as well. The Division was unable to make that leap to full support of an RSM, but felt that if 13 14 these types of programs are continued, because they 15 are so critical, that we would get out of the way if 16 the Commission believes that that RSM is in the best 17 interest of the company. 18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Stoll. 20 COMMISSIONER STOLL: Yeah, just one 2.1 Commission. 22 For a few seconds it was like EDR, what 23 is EDR? Well, it's economic development rate. 24 Right? It finally came to me. 25 MR. BEAR: Yeah. Sorry about that.

1	COMMISSIONER STOLL: That's okay. And
2	isn't the isn't it the economic development rate
3	that no business has taken advantage of or tell me
4	about that briefly.
5	MR. BEAR: I'm not sure of the prior
6	usage within this. I do know that because of the
7	publicity that happened with the last session with
8	the special legislation there is discussions and I
9	can't go into specifics, but there is an interest
10	generally in that sort of utility rates are
11	you know, I think OPC said it best. Those are
12	incredibly important as we start to talk with site
13	selectors throughout the state. This just gives us
14	another tool in the toolbox.
15	COMMISSIONER STOLL: And that was your
16	point about EDRs, correct?
17	MR. BEAR: Correct.
18	COMMISSIONER STOLL: Thank you.
19	MR. BEAR: Thank you.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Kenney.
21	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Thank you.
22	Good morning.
23	MR. BEAR: Good morning.
24	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Just a question or
25	two. You mentioned the company does not object to

the low income. Don't they actually offer low

Fax: 314.644.1334

- income programs and actually offer an increase in 2. 3 the red tag program, things like that? 4 MR. BEAR: That's absolutely true and we 5 support that. And I want to be fair to the company. 6 This is not coming from the company to discontinue 7 these programs. The company does want to continue There are just certain parties that would 9 like to make the leap to shutting them off and we question some of the premises of those. 10 11 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: What would -- and 12 dealing with the winter rates, because I know -- I 13 mean, I've been involved with Christmas in October 14 and Kansas City for weatherization and get a bunch 15 of that done. When we go to the public hearings,
- 18 out. What is DOE's suggestion for a fix to the
- 19 problem in the winter that you mentioned?
- 20 MR. BEAR: I think that there have been

there's an awful lot of low-income assistance groups

that really push for this and trying to get the word

- 21 some positions that we put forward in having a
- 22 collaborative to try and increase the utilization of
- 23 the programs. To be sure, these are not programs
- 24 that are having zero balances. I mean, there is
- 25 usage. I do think when we start to get into highly

16

17

1

- 1 confidential information, there is some head room
- 2 for growth and there is some head room for
- 3 additional outreach. The Division of Energy would
- 4 love to work with the company and other stakeholders
- 5 to try and have full utilization of the programs and
- 6 we think we can get there by working together in a
- 7 collaborative fashion.
- 8 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: All right. Thank
- 9 you.
- MR. BEAR: Thank you.
- 11 COMMISSIONER RUPP: One more. Come on
- 12 back.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Oh, excuse me.
- MR. BEAR: I didn't realize I had the
- 15 hot seat today.
- 16 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I lost my question.
- 17 Oh, yeah. Following up on Commissioner
- 18 Stoll's question and your conversations about EDR.
- 19 Where is the Division of Energy on the consolidation
- 20 of rate classes of, like, large industrial and
- 21 commercial? Does that make it more difficult for
- 22 you to promote certain businesses if there's not a
- 23 specialized class for them.
- MR. BEAR: I believe -- and I'm going to
- 25 glance backwards at my folks. I don't believe we

- 1 took a position on that issue. We certainly, if the
- 2 Commission wants the Division to take a look at
- 3 that, you know, after we've heard the evidence. I
- 4 think we reserve the right to make a statement, but
- 5 we're more than happy to weigh in on that. We just
- 6 limited folks in the Division of Energy, one
- 7 attorney. I couldn't hit it all.
- 8 COMMISSIONER RUPP: You're good to go.
- 9 Thank you, sir.
- 10 MR. BEAR: Thank you, sir.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 12 MIEC.
- MR. MILLS: Good morning. May it please
- 14 the Commission:
- 15 Lewis Mills on behalf of the Missouri
- 16 Industrial Energy Consumers.
- 17 Mindful of the Judge's directive, I'll
- 18 be very brief in this general opening and basically
- 19 just outline the case that we will be presenting,
- 20 and I will be doing mini openings on each of these
- 21 issues that I'm going to briefly talk about today.
- So, the issues that we will be
- 23 presenting witnesses on, there are five of them.
- 24 Later on today on performance metrics you will hear
- 25 from Greq Meyer. Mr. Meyer will testify that there

- 1 is nothing concrete to consider in this case and
- 2 that attempting to implement performance metrics
- 3 outside of the context of a general rate case would
- 4 be problematic. I'll give some more detail on that
- 5 in the mini opening later this afternoon.
- 6 Second you're going to hear from Mike
- 7 Gorman who is a jointly-sponsored witness by MIEC
- 8 and OPC on return of equity and capital structure
- 9 next Monday. Those are, of course, critically
- 10 important issues in this case. There are a lot of
- 11 dollars at stake there. However, return on equity
- is a fairly straight forward issue. It's nothing
- 13 that you haven't heard before. Not to diminish its
- importance, but the really, I think, more
- 15 interesting nuances come in the issues having to do
- 16 with the capital structure and I look forward to
- 17 talking more about that next Monday when that issue
- 18 comes up.
- Third, if there isn't an agreement on
- 20 this issue, Greq Meyer will also address the issue
- 21 of trackers. And it should come as no surprise to
- 22 any of the commissioners that the MIEC is generally
- 23 not in favor of trackers.
- 24 Fourth, the revenue stabilization
- 25 mechanism, the RSM, will be heard on the 14th.

1 Again, you will hear from Mr. Meyer on that issue and he will explain the basis of the MIEC's 2. 3 disagreement with the implementation of an RSM. 4 And then, finally, next Friday you will 5 hear from Brian Collins on rate design and class cost of service for both of the companies. 6 7 And before I -- before I close, I want to echo the point that Ms. Shemwell made, which is 9 the sort of overhanging question of tax reform and 10 the impact that it may have on this particular case. 11 As she pointed out, it certainly -- it's an issue 12 that's going to effect all of the utilities. Tt. 13 will -- if it passes as, as it is currently 14 structured, it will greatly reduce the revenue needs 15 of the companies because it will greatly reduce 16 their expenses and it will be something the Commission will have to deal with on a general 17 basis, but there may be opportunities, simply 18 19 because of the timing of this rate case and the 20 possible passage of that legislation, for the 2.1 Commission to address it in a different fashion, a more direct fashion in this case. I don't know the 2.2 23 answer to that. I hadn't really even begun thinking about this until the last week or so, but it's 24 25 something that we may want to keep in mind as we go

1 forward in this case. Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Chairman Hall, you had a 2. 3 question. 4 CHAIRMAN HALL: I'm a little confused 5 about the discussion of federal tax reform. Are you 6 suggesting that if Congress passes and the President 7 signs tax reform during the pendency of this case, that we should do something concerning the revenue 9 requirement in this case? 10 MR. MILLS: No, I'm not suggesting that. 11 CHAIRMAN HALL: Then why are we talking 12 about it? 13 MR. MILLS: Because I think that's 14 something that we need to think about. 15 CHAIRMAN HALL: I don't think so at all. 16 MR. MILLS: Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Thank you. 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there other 19 commissioner questions? 20 All right. Thank you, Mr. Mills. Midwest Energy Consumers. 2.1

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

talk about that now. Yeah, I learned.

So, I can't put it up on the screen.

MR. WOODSMALL: And I'm not going to

And I didn't put it on a thumb drive.

22

23

24

25

1 Good morning. David Woodsmall appearing on behalf of the Midwest Energy Consumers group. 2 3 I'm here this morning to provide you an overview of 4 the case as well as some background as to how we 5 finally reached this point. 6 On slide two, the first thing that I 7 want to give you is a clear understanding of the financial posture of this case. Yesterday Staff 9 circulated and it handed out this morning its latest 10 EMS runs showing its quantification of the necessary 11 rate increase. As you can see, at its midpoint 12 return on equity of 9.25 percent, Staff recommends 13 that the Commission authorize a base rate increase 14 of 11.38 percent for Laclede and 6.40 percent for 15 Therefore, the total overall increase, base 16 rate increase that Staff is recommending is 17 17.78 percent. Now, the important part of this is that Staff is also saying that in addition to this 18 19 17.78 million base rate increase, that ISRS revenues be reduced to zero. Now, you see the importance of 20 2.1 this on the next page. 2.2 Slide three. What does this all mean? 23 As you can see, Laclede's current ISRS revenues are 32.6 million, and MGE's current ISRS revenues are 24 16.4 million. Therefore, total ISRS revenues are 25

1 \$49 million. Staff is saying we zero these out. So, what we're doing is taking away \$49 million of 2. 3 ISRS revenues and Staff is saying give them back 4 just shy of \$18 million of base rate revenues. 5 So, what does this mean? Make no 6 mistake. This is an overearnings case. Mr. Zucker 7 says that in his 16 years he's never seen a case like this one. Well, that's easily explainable. In 9 16 years the company's never faced an overearnings position. When you get into overearnings cases, 10 11 utilities scratch and claw for every single dollar. 12 Slide four. Now, the company's 13 overearnings should not be surprising. A year and a 14 half ago, Public Counsel told you that Laclede and 15 MGE were overearning. On April 26th, 2016, Public 16 Counsel filed a complaint alleging Laclede/MGE 17 overearnings. Here is a quote from that complaint. In it Public Counsel states that Laclede/MGE is 18 19 earning, quote, Significantly higher than 20 commensurate returns by enterprises having corresponding risk, unquote, and that current 21 22 ordered rates are no longer just and reasonable. 23 Now, there is a well-established playbook for how utilities handle an overearning 24 25 situation. We've seen this same game plan utilized

- 1 repeatedly over the last 25 years. The first step
- 2 that the utilities always take is deny, deny, deny.
- 3 And you see that in some quotes from Laclede's
- 4 answer and motion to dismiss in that complaint case.
- 5 First Laclede claims that, quote, The Commission
- 6 should dismiss OPC's complaint as wholly devoid of
- 7 any facts necessary to support its allegation that
- 8 respondents are overearning. Then Laclede claims
- 9 that OPC made, quote, egregious mistakes in reaching
- 10 its conclusion.
- 11 Slide six. So, what happened to this
- 12 case? Why have customers had to wait almost two
- 13 years in order to get the rates reduced to a just
- 14 and reasonable level. The real nail in the coffin
- 15 was that when Staff filed its response and indicated
- 16 that it simply did not have the resources to
- 17 undertake an audit of Laclede and MGE. Now, I'm not
- 18 blaming Staff. Let's be real clear about that. As
- 19 you will recall, both Ameren -- or all three,
- 20 Ameren, KCP&L and GMO had rate cases pending last
- 21 year. There were just not enough resources on Staff
- 22 or Public Counsel to go around. There was only so
- 23 much Staff can do. But if Staff can't muster the
- 24 resources necessary to audit these companies, how
- 25 can OPC be expected with much smaller Staff?

1 Ultimately, OPC reached the undeniable conclusion that given its, quote, limited resources, 2 3 unquote, it was not able to follow through on the 4 complaint. OPC had to simply stay its complaint and 5 have that consolidated with this current case. 6 Now, it's important to note that Laclede 7 and MGE did not voluntarily file this case that we're in today. The ISRS statutes provide that in 9 order to keep its ISRS, utilities have to file 10 approximately every four years. So, in order to 11 maintain its ISRS, Laclede had to file this case. 12 Laclede didn't want you looking at its overearnings. 13 It just simply had no choice. It had to file this 14 case. 15 Bottom line, and I applaud the Public 16 Counsel for this, they were right. They detected 17 that Laclede and MGE were overearning. They just 18 simply couldn't muster the resources to put the case 19 together. Unfortunately for customers, they've had 20 to wait almost two years for this. What this means 2.1 is that Laclede and MGE have kept these earnings for 2.2 two years. As Mr. Johnson notes, this is one of the 23 points of regulatory lag. If the company is able to take steps to increase its earnings, it gets to 24 25 pocket that money.

1 Now, Laclede in their opening statement would have you believe that all its actions have 2 been for the benefit of customers, that shareholders 3 4 really haven't benefited. This isn't true. 5 Shareholders have benefited immensely. Shareholders 6 have kept all the increase in profits associated 7 with cost savings that the company has undertaken. Now, Ms. Shemwell put up a slide earlier 9 and to answer Commissioner Kenney's question we'll 10 develop this later. The company's stock price in 11 the last five years has gone up 101 percent. Over 12 that same time the Dow Jones industrial average has gone up 77 percent. So, company shareholders have 13 14 done well. They've beaten the market. 15 The second step that a utility always 16 takes when they face an overearnings situation is to 17 manipulate the capital structure, and you see this talked about on slide eight, I believe. Here you 18 19 see Laclede and MGE have proposed a capital 20 structure consisting of 54 percent common equity. 2.1 The company reaches this 54 percent common equity 2.2 capital structure by including goodwill, over 23 \$210 million of goodwill in its capital structure and calling it common equity. Mr. Gorman addresses 24 25 this substantially in his rebuttal testimony, and

1 you see on slide nine Mr. Gorman and Staff's proposed capital structure. Mr. Gorman proposes a 2. 3 capital structure consisting of 47 percent common 4 equity and Staff proposes a capital structure which 5 includes just shy of 46 percent of common equity. Both of these, Staff and Mr. Gorman's 6 7 capital structure, is more in line with the highly-leveraged capital structure utilized by Spire 9 on a consolidated basis. 10 And on slide nine you can see the 11 comparable capital -- the capital structure for 12 comparable companies. Now, as part of any return on 13 equity analysis the analyst goes and looks at 14 comparable companies, companies that face similar 15 ratings, that have similar financial risks, 16 operating risks, and in this case the analysts all 17 agreed on comparable companies and you see the six companies listed here and you see the capital 18 19 structure utilized by these comparable companies. 20 The average is 49 percent, well below the 21 equity-rich capital structure proposed by Laclede 2.2 and MGE. 23 The third step in the playbook for overcoming an overearning situation is to inflate 24 25 the return on equity. You see Laclede's proposed

- 1 return on equity in this case is 10.35 percent. As
- 2 Mr. Johnson noted, this is significantly above the
- 3 return on equities that the Commission has
- 4 authorized for what is generally considered to be
- 5 riskier electric utilities. In contrast, Mr. Gorman
- 6 recommends an ROE at its midpoint of 9.2 percent.
- 7 Staff recommends at its midpoint an ROE of
- 8 9.25 percent.
- 9 On slide 11 I show what I believe is the
- 10 best demonstration of how inflated the company's
- 11 return on equity is. You see for Mr. Gorman's
- 12 schedule MPG 12 the average authorized return on
- 13 equity given by state utility commissions over the
- 14 last decade. 2008 the average authorized return on
- 15 equity was 10.39 percent. It has declined steadily
- 16 over the last decade. Now it is down to 9.5 percent
- 17 for year to date 2017, but yet Laclede wants a
- 18 return on equity of 10.35 percent, basically
- 19 mirroring the financial conditions that were in
- 20 existence ten years ago. So, Laclede's capital
- 21 structure and ROE both do not reflect current
- 22 reality.
- 23 The fourth and final step that utilities
- 24 typically take when facing an overearnings situation
- 25 is to not be responsive to discovery requests, and

- 1 you see this going back to the complaint case that
- OPC filed. OPC stated then that they knew Laclede
- 3 would not be eager to provide answers to discovery,
- 4 but they didn't know that it would take four months
- 5 to get responses. They were stiff-armed at every
- 6 turn in that case.
- 7 In this case discovery disputes were
- 8 seen multiple times. Discovery disputes were
- 9 noticed up by Staff on August 4, OPC on August 7th,
- 10 and MECG on July 10th. One of the big issues was
- 11 ADIT, accumulated depreciation, and there OPC waited
- 12 almost three months to get the responses it needed
- 13 and it caused a significant decline and that is the
- 14 reason for Staff filing its latest EMS run, but it
- 15 reduced the case by almost \$10 million, but the
- 16 company would not provide that information for
- 17 almost three months.
- 18 The status or the poor nature of
- 19 responsive data requests was demon -- was talked
- 20 about in OPC witness Azad's direct. She says, in
- 21 fact, Spire was the least responsive company in
- 22 terms of discovery that I have encountered during
- 23 the course of my career. It's typical. You face an
- 24 overearnings situation, you put up as many obstacles
- 25 to the parties as you can. So, we've seen -- this

1 is an overearnings case. We see it not only by the numbers provided by Staff, but also in the actions 2. of Laclede and MGE. 3 4 That was all I had. I'll have some more 5 mini opening statements later, but here to answer 6 any questions you may have. 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank 9 you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other commissioner 10 11 questions? 12 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Mr. Woodsmall did 14 you give a copy of your presentation to the court 15 reporter? 16 MR. WOODSMALL: Apparently I did. 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to mark that 18 as a demonstrative exhibit just so that we have it 19 in the record. 20 MR. WOODSMALL: Okav. 2.1 JUDGE DIPPELL: And --22 MR. WOODSMALL: I think I'm 700. 23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah. You're in the 750 2.4 block. 25 MR. WOODSMALL: Okay.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I'm going to mark it 2 756 because I think I've got your testimony before 3 that. 4 MR. WOODSMALL: I don't have any testimony. I think you might be including me with 5 6 MIEC. 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Oh, I'm sorry. You're 8 right. You're 700. 9 MR. WOODSMALL: Okav. JUDGE DIPPELL: So, we'll just mark this 10 11 as 700. 12 (MECG's Exhibit 700 was marked for 13 identification.) 14 MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust. 16 MR. LINHARES: Morning. May it please 17 the Commission: 18 My name is Andrew Linhares. 19 representing National Housing Trust in this case. 20 You may be familiar with NHT and our work in a few 2.1 other cases. We helped develop the low income 22 multifamily approach taken in some of the electric 23 energy efficiency cases with Ameren Missouri and Kansas City Power & Light. Now we're working with 24 25 Spire and other parties in this case to design some

best practices gas programs ideally to work in

Fax: 314.644.1334

- conjunction in a co-delivery format with, with 2. Ameren Missouri and KCPL and some of the IOUs. 3 4 So, NHT's primary interest in this case is fairly narrow, that of low income energy 5 6 efficiency. So, we appreciate the parties working 7 with us and allowing this issue to remain prominent. NHT's goal in this case is to protect 9 low income multifamily buildings from bill increases by implementing successful energy efficiency 10 11 programs across both tenant unit and common area 12 bases co-delivered between electric and gas 13 utilities. Now, hopefully tomorrow you'll be
- 15 testimony includes details regarding the potential

hearing from NHT's witness Annika Brink.

- 16 that's out there for deeper savings in this sector
- 17 and the value of pursuing that savings with
- 18 investment from utility gas programs. She'll be
- 19 here to answer any of your questions.
- 20 But first I'd like to -- I'm going to
- 21 keep my comments brief here for the general opening,
- 22 but I'd like to address one of the larger
- 23 discussions in this case and that is whether gas
- 24 utility should be investing in energy efficiency at
- 25 all and whether the low income multifamily sector is

1

14

- 1 important to invest in. We've had a discussion
- 2 around this in testimony. It remains to be seen how
- 3 at issue that will be here in this hearing, but I'd
- 4 like to just flag some of the reasons why NHT
- 5 believes that energy efficiency is essential to
- 6 pursue for low income multifamily buildings.
- 7 First is the issue of affordability.
- 8 Low income customers, they suffer disproportionately
- 9 from rising utility bills and nobody disagrees that
- 10 utilities bills are going to be higher as a result
- 11 of this case. Low income tenants by virtue of being
- 12 low income, they have the highest proportion of
- energy costs to their income and, therefore, they're
- 14 most in need of energy efficiency, they would
- 15 benefit most from energy efficiency.
- 16 They're also -- they have less access to
- 17 energy incentives. There's a classic problem of a
- 18 split incentive here where if you're a renter you
- 19 don't have the opportunity to invest in your
- 20 building because you don't own it, and the owner
- 21 does not have the incentive to invest in his
- 22 building because aside from some common area costs,
- 23 he's not paying utility bills.
- 24 There's also the historical issue. Low
- 25 income multifamily buildings have historically

- 1 received less investment both in weatherization,
- 2 efficiency and otherwise. So, these buildings,
- 3 there's a lot of savings to be had and the people
- 4 who would benefit would receive the most benefit
- 5 across the customer spectrum.
- 6 So, even, even parties like OPC agree
- 7 that energy affordability should be a goal for low
- 8 income energy efficiency in this case and does the
- 9 Division of Energy. There's also other benefits
- 10 like improving the tenant's ability to pay, which is
- 11 a value to the utility and across the utility
- 12 system.
- Now, I do want to provide a brief
- 14 overview of our request to the Commission in this
- 15 case, but first I want to quickly address some other
- 16 issues that we won't be -- I won't be providing a
- 17 separate opening for.
- In the rate design space, the issue of
- 19 the revenue stabilization mechanism is at issue
- 20 here. NHT strongly supports the implementation of
- 21 an RSM for both MGE and Laclede provided that these
- 22 companies pair that mechanism with robust
- 23 investments in energy efficiency. We think that
- 24 those things go together. As our witness states in
- 25 her direct testimony on rate design, revenue

- 1 decoupling can remove disincentives for utilities to
- 2 properly treat energy efficiency as an essential
- 3 resource for addressing customer demand while
- 4 avoiding new supply and lowering the energy burden
- 5 on customers.
- 6 Similarly, we support the company's
- 7 proposal to lower the residential customer charge.
- 8 We would support an even lower customer charge such
- 9 as that has been proposed by OPC, but we believe
- 10 that incrementally lowering that is going to further
- 11 incentivize conservation and help some of these low
- 12 income multifamily customers improve their unit
- 13 affordability and their ability to pay.
- So, I'll be providing more detail
- 15 tomorrow. I have a presentation to explain some of
- 16 the specifics of our ask, and I look forward to
- 17 answering your questions on that, but briefly I want
- 18 to just go over the two primary things that NHT is
- 19 asking the Commission to approve in this case.
- Number one, we're asking the Commission
- 21 to approve a increase in the budget for both Laclede
- 22 and MGE's low income multifamily programs. We
- 23 believe that some parties have raised concern about
- 24 the fact that these budgets in the past have not
- 25 been able to be fully expended and we believe that

- can easily be addressed and there's, there's plenty
- 2 of reason to believe that circumstances have
- 3 changed. There's a new co-delivery model. We've
- 4 seen in the last quarter that spending in these
- 5 programs has arisen significantly. So, I look
- 6 forward to detailing that for you.
- 7 The second thing we're asking for in
- 8 this case is to approve a bonus incentive framework
- 9 for measures flowing through the low income
- 10 multifamily programs. This is similar to an
- 11 approach taken by the electric utilities. We think
- 12 both of these things together, more investment in
- 13 this sector and a higher bonus incentive for some of
- 14 these measures in the program, together those are
- 15 going to get us to the level of participation that
- 16 we need to satisfy the potential that we've
- 17 introduced evidence to show is out there.
- 18 We also would ask that you approve NHT
- 19 as a member of the energy efficiency collaborative
- 20 so we can continue to work on some of the more
- 21 granule details of this program in the future. So,
- 22 I look forward to addressing this with you tomorrow
- 23 and I'll be happy to answer any questions that you
- 24 have for me now. That's all I have.
- 25 CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you,
2	sir.
3	MR. LINHARES: Thank you.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense
5	Fund.
6	MS. KARAS: May it please the
7	Commission:
8	Natalie Karas on behalf of the
9	Environmental Defense Fund.
10	There are signs that Laclede's portfolio
11	management decisions will impose avoidable costs on
12	its customers for little, if any, benefits. EDF is
13	suggesting to the Commission there are regulatory
14	oversight structures that can ensure Laclede's gas
15	supply contracting decisions are rendered with the
16	requisite consideration of the interests of its
17	customers and in the public interest, the
18	cornerstone of the regulatory compact upon which it
19	is entitled to seek ratepayer compensation and a
20	return on its investments.
21	If you look carefully, several data
22	points illuminate risk as to whether Laclede's rates
23	will be just and reasonable. Laclede cannot
24	demonstrate any new load growth. Yet, it has
25	committed its customers to firm transportation

- 1 capacity on its affiliate, Spire STL Pipeline, for
- 2 the next 20 years. Instead of relying on a market
- 3 participant willing to bear the risk of its
- 4 contracting decision, Spire STL is relying on
- 5 Laclede's ratepayers to accept all risk in the event
- 6 the pipeline becomes uneconomic or unneeded by the
- 7 market.
- 8 Enable Mississippi River Transmission, a
- 9 competitor pipeline, has presented compelling
- 10 evidence to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- 11 that the pipeline will decimate the St. Louis
- 12 market, leading to capacity turnback and increased
- 13 cost to customers.
- 14 This Commission has protested Spire
- 15 STL's application at FERC, questioning whether the
- 16 pipeline is needed and asking FERC not to prejudge
- 17 the terms of the Preston agreement.
- 18 Missouri rules do not require Laclede to
- 19 seek prior approval before entering into an
- 20 affiliate agreement, and the Commission standard
- 21 process for review is limited to an after-the-fact
- 22 inquiry into whether Laclede's decision was prudent.
- 23 Once FERC approves STL's application, it will be too
- late for this Commission to engage in the
- 25 establishment of Laclede's rates.

1 The current purchase gas adjustment actual cost adjustment mechanism has to date served 2 3 as a mere passthrough cost and fails to weigh the 4 relative cost effectiveness of each component in 5 Laclede's portfolio. 6 EDF's natural gas expert Greg Lander's 7 testimony presents the Commission with a new set of regulatory tools to protect against this threat. 9 His revisions to the PGA/ACA set forth the ranking system to assess the relative cost effectiveness of 10 11 each component in Laclede's portfolio. They would 12 also ensure that ratepayers are protected against paying for year-round capacity developed by an 13 14 affiliate to only meet a peak design winter need. 15 In short, they are the only tool proposed in this 16 proceeding that would sufficiently protect 17 ratepayers from unreasonable costs, particularly those that would inure to the benefit of Laclede's 18 19 affiliates. 20 Our expert witness, Greg Lander, will be 2.1 here next Friday to further explain our proposal and 22 to answer any specific questions, but I'm happy to 23 answer any questions from the commissioners right 2.4 now. 25 CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank

1	you.
2	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No questions. Thank
3	you.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you very much.
5	Consumers Council.
6	MR. COFFMAN: May it please the
7	Commission:
8	John Coffman representing the Consumers
9	Council of Missouri. I'm happy to be here. I'll
10	just be very brief.
11	We share the perspective on many of
12	these mechanisms that the Office of Public Counsel
13	has. As far as the residential rate design, we too
14	would like to see lower, a lower customer charge.
15	So, we would you know, ideally we'd like to see
16	the \$14 customer charge that OPC's proposing. And
17	we appreciate the fact that Spire is talking about
18	lowering the customer charge and I think they've
19	proposed \$17, but, of course, they've sort of
20	interlinked that with this what they call the RSM
21	mechanism and we have some concerns about that and
22	just, just to be descriptive I call that the
23	decoupling plan. There's so many things out there
24	that different utilities are calling RSM that it
25	gets confusing. So, I don't mean to be I'm
I	

1 trying to do that for clarity. 2 But the main issue, though, that we have 3 provided prefiled testimony here today is on low 4 income assistance. And so, I'd agree with Mr. Bear 5 and the Division of Energy that that is a very 6 important issue and hopefully we'll get a chance to 7 discuss that. I think the many -- the numerous and 9 complex issues that we're dealing here with with two utilities have prevented us from really coming to an 10 11 agreement on what type of low income program, but at 12 this point where these two utilities have merged and 13 we don't think that there'll be an opportunity for 14 at least three years to really get a comprehensive 15 look. We think that this is the time for all the 16 parties to really get together and work on it. 17 So, we're here today asking that in your order that you, you tell the parties to meet and in 18 19 the collaborative fashion and by that I just mean 20 the parties just need to sit down and work, and 2.1 we've had some really good ideas both from the 22 utility company and from Staff, Public Counsel and 23 the Division of Energy, but -- and we appreciate the company putting together a proposal, their proposal 24 25 for a fixed charge plan because I think one, one

1 thing that, that is needed is a plan that tries to 2 keep customers more current year round. 3 Now, our preference would be something 4 that was similar to what Ameren Missouri has, the 5 keeping current program. One thing that we think is 6 also needed is a more tiered approach so that you 7 can provide greater assistance to those that have the greatest need. There's an energy burden that is 9 growing and, you know, we -- you know, we hoped to see a rate reduction out of this case, but if there 10 11 is a rate increase, I think that's another reason 12 that we need a serious attention to this. 13 And so, we're asking that the Commission 14 order that there be a collaborative process, a three 15 months whereby the parties try to work out a 16 comprehensive plan, taking the best practices and 17 successful things that perhaps from the keeping 18 current program or from other programs on what the 19 company has offered and come back to the Commission 20 in hopes that the Commission could then approve the 2.1 details of such a plan in time for next year's 2.2 heating season and that we wouldn't have to wait 23 until the next rate case to do it. 24 So, that's what we're asking. And we do 25 think that there needs to be a little bit higher

- 1 budget, a little higher ceiling so that, you know,
- 2 in the next three years if we come up with some
- 3 better ideas and some modifications that we don't
- 4 get in trouble for not, not being able to have
- 5 enough funds. And the way that low income programs
- 6 have been dealt with is not putting them directly
- 7 into the revenue requirement, but deferring them.
- 8 And so, we're just talking about having a budget,
- 9 kind of a ceiling for where we can go. And so, we
- 10 think there needs to be a greater budget and a more
- 11 concentrated effort for the parties to try to work
- 12 it out. If they don't work it out, then the parties
- 13 can come back and the Commission could approve the
- 14 details a few months after rates go into effect in
- 15 this case.
- So, you'll hear more about this today.
- 17 Our witness is Jackie Hutchinson who has over 30
- 18 years of experience with low income energy policy
- 19 and delivering it. She has been on the front lines
- 20 and also understands utility regulation fairly well.
- 21 She has been involved in every drafting and
- 22 rewriting of the cold weather rule and other
- 23 programs and she has a wealth of experience about
- 24 what elements of low income programs work and what
- 25 don't work. And so, I would ask you to take the

1 opportunity to ask her questions when she's here later today. 2. Thanks a lot. 3 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any questions 5 for Mr. Coffman? 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank 7 you. 8 MR. COFFMAN: Thank you. 9 Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Next I have Missouri Gas Pipeline and 10 11 the City of St. Joe. Are you-all still waiving or, 12 Mr. Jarrett, did you have --13 MR. STEINMEIER: St. Joseph waives, Your 14 Honor. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. MR. JARRETT: Yes, MoGas waives as well. 16 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you. 18 And I hate to do this to you, 19 Mr. Brownlee, but I think we need just a ten-minute 20 break and then we'll come back and finish up with 2.1 Missouri School Boards' Association and we can see 2.2 how far we can get before agenda maybe with opening 23 statements on the first issue. 24 So, let's go off the record. Come back in ten minutes. 25

1 (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. We are going 2 3 to continue with Mr. Brownlee and Missouri School 4 Boards' Association opening statement. And then I 5 was reminded that the first issue is actually 6 overview and regulatory policy. So, I'm assuming 7 that maybe we don't need mini openings on that. 8 everyone pretty much covered that issue? 9 MR. ZUCKER: I don't need one. JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay, okay. So, after 10 11 Mr. Brownlee, then we'll just go ahead and start 12 with our first witness on that topic, but we'll have to adjourn for agenda pretty shortly. 13 14 So, go ahead, Mr. Brownlee. Thank you. 15 MR. BROWNLEE: Good morning. I'm 16 Richard Brownlee. I represent the Missouri School 17 Boards' Association. We're in somewhat of a unique position 18 19 because we've already had a stipulation filed under the Commission rules as well as a Commission order 20 21 approving it and as a consequence I'm not going to 2.2 have a witness. I'm not going to be here for 23 cross-examination or have a witness. So, I thought rather than go through the stipulation, I might give 24 25 you a very brief history of kind of where we are

- 1 with the school boards and why they're here in this
- 2 case.
- 3 The Missouri School Boards' Association
- 4 is a 501(C)(6) trade association. It at the time
- 5 had about 390 elementary and secondary school
- 6 districts for about 2,300 schools within the system
- 7 that were operating. In late 1990s the school
- 8 boards through a consultant as well as my law firm
- 9 at the time, we set up a purchasing cooperative
- 10 which really fit at that time in the late 90s with
- 11 the ability to consolidate purchasing groups, buy
- 12 gas, natural gas on the interstate market and
- 13 utilize the interstate pipelines to ship into the
- 14 city gates of the local distribution companies in
- 15 the state of Missouri and then we would pay them a
- 16 fee to deliver from the city gate, that is into
- 17 their -- into the different schools. And this was a
- 18 -- truthfully, at the time it was a unique program
- 19 and still is actually, and it's worked -- it's been
- 20 an incredible success.
- 21 Those of you who were in the legislature
- 22 in 2002 may have recall that at the time we passed,
- 23 along with a lot of support from the Commission and
- 24 others, Section 393.310 and that recognized this
- 25 purchasing agreement for the schools. It did a

- 1 couple of other things. It required all gas
- 2 utilities to create an experimental tariff so that
- 3 we could have a tariff to handle this transportation
- 4 arrangement and billing arrangement. It was an
- 5 aggregating natural gas program that the legislature
- 6 recognized and it created certain limits on the
- 7 amount of charges, the upper limits that the
- 8 utilities could charge which were called aggregation
- 9 and balancing fees, and it also limited certain
- 10 telemetry involving really what's now become
- 11 electric metering.
- So, we tried to create a system that
- 13 everybody could use, didn't cost the utilities extra
- 14 money, we didn't have to make a company like Spire
- 15 buy \$2 million worth of metering and, in fact, that
- 16 system and that statute has worked very well with
- 17 most utilities. I think that I could generally say
- 18 there's a couple of outriders that have occurred and
- 19 it's mostly in the rating because -- actually, it's
- 20 because we didn't get involved in the cases and
- 21 that's the proverbial if you don't -- if you're not
- 22 there, things don't always go right. But in any
- 23 event, that's the system we're working under,
- 24 393.310.
- We have, again, about 80 percent of the

schools are within, I believe, the Spire service 1 areas, both Kansas City and St. Louis. Laclede or 2. 3 St. Louis system is fine, the tariffs are fine. 4 had a problem with MGE or the Kansas City system 5 basically because there'd been an error in a tariff 6 in 2002 and it really doesn't matter, but it was 7 just something that's been hanging out in there. In any event, in this particular case, 9 we were able to intervene and we utilized, I don't know how often it has been, but the Public Service 10 11 Commission allows a procedure in these cases where 12 you can enter into a stipulation, which we did, the 13 school board, with the companies and it's filed and 14 if no one objects, it becomes a unanimous 15 stipulation under your rule 40 CSR 240-2.115(2). We utilize that. The parties, all everybody here I 16 think agreed that the stipulation we entered into 17 was fair, it brought the MGE side into parity with 18 19 the Laclede or the St. Louis side. It corrected the 20 error that had been in the MGE tariff and the 21 Commission, no one intervened, thank you very much, 2.2 and in the best interest -- the Commission, in fact, 23 on October the 25th, 2017, you-all have approved that stipulation and, in fact, adopted the -- there 24 25 were three particular things that it dealt with,

- 1 which I'll just -- it's in there. I'm not going to
- 2 cover. It dealt with some cash out and balances,
- 3 which were sort of a monthly balancing or
- 4 reconciliation of the amount of gas that came in and
- 5 what was paid for. It handled that. It removed --
- 6 it created a different aggregation fee that was
- 7 within the statute.
- 8 The fees in the various utilities aren't
- 9 all the same. That is the Commission has never said
- 10 the fee's going to be X and all utilities have to
- 11 file it. It just has to be less than four. I
- 12 believe it's four, yeah, four one-hundredth of
- 13 1 percent per therm, which is some number that I
- 14 don't know what it looks like, but that's what it
- 15 says.
- 16 In any event, and it also creates a
- 17 penalty provision that if the company and if in
- 18 particular we as the school boards don't submit and
- 19 balance the proper amount of gas and pay the fees,
- 20 we do that I think three times in one year, we can
- 21 literally be kicked off the system and go back onto
- 22 the regular purchasing.
- 23 Really everything that we've done, it is
- 24 unique. Again, as I said, we don't have witnesses.
- 25 So, I quess my opening's not evidence, but it I hope

- 1 explains a little where we are with the Missouri
- 2 School Boards' Association.
- And with that I'd be happy to answer any
- 4 questions, and otherwise I believe in the order
- 5 already approving we are excused and I'll try to be
- 6 one less yacker.
- 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any questions
- 8 for Mr. Brownlee?
- 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank
- 10 you.
- 11 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have one
- 12 question.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Kenney.
- 14 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Do you recall how
- 15 the three of us voted?
- MR. BROWNLEE: You were -- yeah, you
- 17 voted right.
- 18 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay.
- MR. BROWNLEE: Oh, yeah. I'm sure you
- 20 did.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other
- 22 questions?
- 23 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Thank you.
- 24 MR. BROWNLEE: I know -- I know that
- 25 Senator Stoll voted right, but the other two I don't

1 know. 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right, then. Thank 3 you, Mr. Brownlee. 4 MR. BROWNLEE: Thank you so much. 5 Appreciate it. 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. As I was saying 7 earlier, the first issue is actually overview and regulatory policy. So, we've, we've sort of heard 9 the opening on, on that. So, we'll just go right ahead, then, and let Spire call its first witness. 10 11 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 We call Steven L. Lindsey to the stand. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Lindsey, could you 14 raise your right hand. 15 STEVE LINDSEY, 16 having been called as a witness herein, having been 17 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 18 follows: 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead, Mr. Zucker. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 BY MR. ZUCKER 2.2 Good morning, Mr. Lindsey. Q. A. Good morning. 23 24 Q. Can you spell your name for the record, 25 please.

- 1 A. Sure. It's Steve Lindsey, S-T-E-V-E 2 L-I-N-D-S-E-Y.
- 3 Q. And who are you employed by?
- 4 A. I'm employed by Spire.
- 5 Q. And are you also a -- you also serve as
- 6 an executive at Laclede Gas Company?
- 7 A. Yes. I am the president and CEO of
- 8 Laclede Gas and MGE, as well as chief operating
- 9 officer of distribution operations for Spire.
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- And are you the same Steve Lindsey who
- 12 filed direct testimony in this case on April 11,
- 13 **2017?**
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
- 15 Q. And are you also the same Steve Lindsey
- 16 who filed surrebuttal testimony in this case on
- 17 November 21st, 2017?
- 18 A. Yes, I am.
- 19 Q. If I asked you the questions contained
- in those two pieces of testimony, would your answers
- 21 be the same as contained therein?
- 22 A. Yes, they would.
- 23 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. I offer those
- 24 Exhibits 4 and 5 into evidence.
- 25 (Laclede's Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5 were

offered into evidence.) 1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any objections 2. to Exhibits No. 4 and 5? 3 4 Seeing none. Then I will receive it 5 into the record or them into the record. (Laclede's Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5 were 6 7 admitted into evidence.) MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Thank you, 9 Mr. Lindsey. Tender for cross. 10 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Cross-examination, now, 12. I believe that I'm following the list, but if I got something out of order, someone feel free to correct 13 14 me, but we'll start with Staff. 15 MS. PAYNE: No questions. 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel. 17 MS. SHEMWELL: No questions. Thank you. 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy. 19 MIEC. 20 MR. MILLS: Just briefly, Your Honor. 2.1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. MILLS 23 Q. Good morning. 24 A. Good morning. 25 Q. Do the companies have any anticipation

- 1 of filing a rate increase case before the -- before 2. yours is up for the ISRS required filing? 3 Do we plan on that? Α. 4 0. Yes. 5 I think it would be premature for us to Α. 6 make that evaluation. We continually update our 7 results on a quarterly and an annual basis and we evaluate those relative to where we are within our 9 plan, within our authorized returns. And so, again, 10 I think, you know, we would not want to speculate on 11 something like that at this time. And again, the reason we're here for this case is because of the 12 13 ISRS requirement, as well as the reason these two 14 cases are together is in the acquisition approval we 15 agreed that we would file these contemporaneously. 16 0. Okay. But at this time you have no 17 plans to file something that's outside of the ISRS 18 cycle?
- 19 A. Again, we do not have plans to do that.
- 20 That's not to say we would or wouldn't. We're just
- 21 not in a position to speculate on that.
- MR. MILLS: Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
- 24 Consumers.
- MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust.
2	MR. LINHARES: No questions, Judge.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense
4	Fund.
5	MS. KARAS: No questions, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumer Council.
7	Missouri Gas Pipeline.
8	MR. JARRETT: No questions.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Are there
10	any questions from the bench?
11	Chairman Hall.
12	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. Good morning.
13	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
14	CHAIRMAN HALL: It's my understanding
15	that last legislative session Laclede supported
16	legislation that would have extended the time period
17	that is used that extends the time period for
18	filing of a case under the ISRS law; is that
19	correct?
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's correct. And
21	what we were supporting was legislation that would
22	extend the requirement. It doesn't mean that
23	somebody for example, that we could not come in
24	prior to that timeline, but basically what we're
25	saying is that we felt that when the ISRS

1 legislation was originally enacted, there was a three-year window which ultimately becomes four 2 3 years that was put in place to make sure that 4 everybody had an understanding of the way the 5 mechanism was working, how the overall impact to 6 customers was being -- was being handled, and then I 7 think what we've seen is over time as many other jurisdictions have gone away from those 9 There's only a couple states left in requirements. the country that have minimal requirements such as 10 11 three years. Some of the others have five. Some of 12 the others it's just in a -- in a separate item on 13 the -- on the bill. And so, I think what we've 14 basically come to is we felt it made since to not go 15 through a regulatory proceeding just for in this 16 case the instance of putting ISRS into rate base. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: But isn't that what, 18 what ultimately happened here? I mean, if, if, if 19 you had been successful in that legislation pushing 20 it back to six or eight years or there were some 2.1 other options as well, would you have filed this 2.2 rate case? 23 THE WITNESS: And again, I think that's where we get into differing opinions as to why we 24 25 are here. One is clearly relative to the commitment

1 we made to file these cases together, as well as the ISRS requirement, but I think given the fact that we 2. 3 are recognizing that we feel there is an increase 4 that is needed, we could have come in, but I think 5 we would have ultimately not come in at this time. 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, that's what I'm 7 trying to understand because that's my understanding as, as well. Why would you have not come in for a 9 rate case if, in fact, you are underearning? 10 THE WITNESS: I think you have to put it 11 in the context of where you are in the continuum. 12 It's not to say -- and we do not feel, again, strongly that we do not have a successful operating 13 14 plan that we've put in place, that we continue to manage our expenses, that we're attempting to grow 15 16 revenues through organic growth and those type of 17 initiatives and that through our growth strategy including not just MGE, but Alagasco as well as the 18 19 other two utilities, that we have a long-term plan 20 that we're trying to manage. And again, it's not to say that in this instance if these particular 2.1 22 requirements weren't here, would we be here or not. 23 I think that would be a little hard for me to back cast or look without considering all the variables. 24 25 So, there are, obviously, times as you

1 continue to build expenses, as you continue to, perhaps in our instance, feel that we're not getting 2. 3 everything that we need, that we would come in, but 4 I think you have to balance that relative to the overall business model that we have in place. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, you do believe, 7 based upon the request in this case, that Laclede is, is entitled to a \$27 million increase and 9 Missouri Gas is entitled to roughly a \$35 million? 10 THE WITNESS: And again, you know, we 11 have to always speak to these relative to ISRS going 12 into rate base and this being the net addition. We 13 do. And again, you know, there are variables that 14 go into that, you know, taxes, depreciation, clearly 15 there's a difference in opinion on capital 16 structure, on return. So, those are the main 17 variables that are there, but again, we feel that, 18 yes, everything that we filed is justifiable. 19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Right. I'm still -- I'm 20 trying to understand why, if you believe that 2.1 Laclede is entitled to a \$27 million increase and 2.2 MGE is entitled to a \$35 million increase, why you 23 would not have filed this case but for the statutory requirement under the ISRS law? 24 25 THE WITNESS: And again, I wasn't saying

- 1 that we wouldn't have. I'm saying that we would
- 2 have made a business decision at that point that
- 3 said have we reached that point to where it makes
- 4 sense to come in and file a rate proceedings. So,
- 5 we very well may have made that decision. In this
- 6 instance I'm just giving you the example of the
- 7 reason we're in here is for this and going through
- 8 this process, here's where we feel that we are
- 9 relative to our current financials.
- 10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you know -- let me
- 11 switch gears for a moment. Do you know roughly the
- 12 total amount of savings synergies resulting from the
- 13 merger on an annual basis?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. On an annual basis,
- 15 and we've got some witnesses who will speak more
- 16 specifically, but I believe it's in excess of
- 17 roughly \$50 million on an annual basis.
- 18 CHAIRMAN HALL: And do you know how that
- 19 compares to the -- to the estimated synergies that
- 20 were?
- 21 THE WITNESS: I would not be in a
- 22 position to comment on that, but we do have
- 23 witnesses that can address that, yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN HALL: And you do agree that
- 25 between rate cases those synergies or savings did

1 inure to the benefit of shareholders as opposed to 2. ratepayers? 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I think they're not 4 mutually exclusive. Clearly I think --5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, rates didn't 6 change? 7 Rates did not change, but, THE WITNESS: again, I think there were other things that were 9 changing in our business and costs to the overall 10 business that we were incurring. Clearly, did we do 11 the right things for customers through the synergies? Absolutely. And ultimately those will 12 play out, but you have to look at things in 13 14 totality, not in individual pieces. 15 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, the \$50 million in savings is not a net savings because it's not taking 16 17 into account costs incurred in order to accomplish 18 those savings? 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And you had asked 20 earlier relative to the synergies. We do file a 2.1 quarterly synergy report. In addition to that, we 2.2 also file, I believe, the transition costs that 23 we've been incurring associated with that because ultimately in the stipulation agreement it was 24 25 agreed to that 50 percent of those transition costs

could be included as long as the synergies exceeded 1 2. those costs. 3 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, the 50 million in 4 savings does not include costs in order to --5 THE WITNESS: Those are just the 6 realized synergies, yes, sir. 7 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. THE WITNESS: And that's not an exact. 9 That's an approximation. We can get you the exact numbers. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HALL: I appreciate that. 12 The 50 percent number, that is the 13 number that comes from the -- from the 14 stipulation --15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN HALL: -- in the merger case? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN HALL: And that was a 19 negotiated number? 20 THE WITNESS: It was, and it was agreed upon, and there's a schedule. It's a matrix that 2.1 2.2 identifies what transaction costs are and capital versus O&M and then what the definition of 23 transition costs are, and then of those 50 percent 24 25 would be realized over a five-year period. So,

1 again, that's spread across a five-year period. CHAIRMAN HALL: Is that stipulation an 2 exhibit? 3 4 MR. ZUCKER: No. CHAIRMAN HALL: Could it be made an 5 exhibit and provided? I don't need it for this 6 7 examination, but... JUDGE DIPPELL: We can get it. 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Can you give me a 10 little -- to switch gears again. Give me a little 11 background on the performance metrics --12 THE WITNESS: Sure. 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: -- that the company is 14 proposing. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HALL: Why are you proposing 17 that? 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Performance metrics 19 relative to the rate proceeding? Okay. And I think 20 Eric Lobser will go into more detail on that, but we 2.1 feel that there is a strong alignment, and this goes 2.2 on clearly in other jurisdictions, between the 23 performance of the company and the way regulators should either award or penalize the company and the 24 25 way customers should realize those benefits as well.

- 1 Even if you just go back to, for example, the
- 2 comments relative to incentive comp. We strongly
- 3 link performance of our company all the way down to
- 4 the frontline employees with the success that
- 5 ultimately pays benefits for customers and in this
- 6 case shareholders. We don't view those as mutually
- 7 exclusive. So, we think that performance
- 8 measures -- and if you really distill it down -- and
- 9 I know there's been some discussions relative to
- 10 should earnings be looked at from a customer
- 11 perspective. Whether or not a customer is a
- 12 shareholder, I think earnings is basically revenues
- 13 minus costs, and we do everything we can to try to
- 14 positively effectuate both of those pieces of the
- 15 equation.
- 16 If you think about it on the revenue
- 17 side, as recently as two or three years ago Laclede
- 18 as well as MGE, we were actually experiencing
- 19 negative growth on our system. What I mean by that
- 20 is customer erosion. People think of us and
- 21 oftentimes are characterized as a monopoly. The
- 22 fact is we are a fuel of choice and we were seeing
- 23 customer erosion. So, we have tried to do
- 24 everything we can to address that through energy
- 25 efficiency programs to just our service level

- 1 because some cases -- in some cases I think
- 2 customers just weren't happy with the service level
- 3 they were getting. You know, cost is one thing, but
- 4 then the level that you provide is another. Even on
- 5 the things in our organic growth areas about trying
- 6 to provide gas to underserved areas, to do some
- 7 things with low income and some of the discussions
- 8 we've heard today.
- 9 You shift over to the cost side. If we
- 10 only focused on performance metrics and put our --
- 11 put our hands over our eyes relative to costs, we
- 12 could get incredible metric performance, but at very
- 13 high expenses. We don't do that. And so, even in
- 14 our performance -- excuse me. In our incentive
- 15 compensation, the performance is tied not only to
- 16 how we do on metrics, but there's also a financial
- 17 component. And so, even a frontline employee,
- 18 because we do have the philosophy that our incentive
- 19 compensation should reward everybody in the company
- 20 because we truly feel that it's a team effort, even
- 21 the frontline employee. They're not just
- 22 performance metrics such as leak response and the
- 23 way we answer phones. There's a financial component
- on O&M per customer that's linked directly to that
- 25 and weighted equally.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, do you believe that the company's performance would improve if there 2 3 were performance metrics in place? 4 THE WITNESS: Well, let me characterize 5 just a little bit. Eric will get into more detail, 6 but in the performance metrics what we're in essence 7 saying is there's a band that we should establish for these metrics that we feel that we should 9 operate in, and if we do operate within that, there 10 won't be any kind of adjustments, but if performance 11 dramatically exceeds that, then we think there is a 12 benefit there and if it goes down. 13 So, I would say in some of these metrics 14 part of this structure could be nothing more than to 15 make sure that we continue to do the right things 16 for customers and focus on continuous improvement. So, I think in some instances, yes, it would drive 17 18 performance perhaps above that band, but in essence 19 what we're trying to do, again, is look at it 20 holistically because that's why we're proposing 2.1 multiple performance measures so that you don't just 22 focus on one perhaps at the detriment of others. 23 CHAIRMAN HALL: I have no further 24 questions. Thank you. 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Stoll. 2 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions. 3 Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other commissioner 6 questions? 7 All right. Is there further cross-examination based on questions from the bench 9 from Staff? 10 MS. PAYNE: No questions. Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel. 11 12 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you. 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. SHEMWELL 15 Mr. Lindsey. 0. 16 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. 17 You were turned towards the Chairman. 0. 18 So, I didn't quite understand. 19 You're not proposing to include transaction costs? 20 2.1 Α. No. Not trans -- and again, the matrix 2.2 clearly describes what transaction costs are, what 23 transition and it even specifically breaks apart O&M 24 versus capital and how those are to be treated where 25 we are now.

1	Q. Thank you.
2	You will agree with me that both
3	Missouri companies have Commission-approved areas in
4	which they are the only companies allowed to provide
5	natural gas service?
6	A. Relative to if a customer wanted a
7	natural gas provider that they would
8	Q. You were the only provider?
9	A. Exactly, yes.
10	Q. Thank you.
11	And in performance measures the company
12	didn't actually propose in testimony any specifics
13	or details as to exactly what those measures might
14	be or the bands?
15	A. Yeah. And again, on those we would want
16	to, you know, sit down and work with all parties to
17	determine the ones that we think are most
18	appropriate and, again, that are balanced, because I
19	don't want to focus on, for example, only some
20	customer experience metrics and not focus on
21	operational metrics or safety metrics or financial
22	metrics. So, I think you have to look at it in a
23	very balanced approach.
24	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.

1 MR. BEAR: No questions, Your Honor. JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC. 2. 3 MR. MILLS: No questions. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: MEG or MEC. 5 MR. WOODSMALL: Very briefly. 6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. WOODSMALL On the subject of incentive compensation Q. 9 that you talked about, is it your belief or 10 understanding that OPC or Staff has excluded all 11 incentive compensation? 12 I believe there was a reference to Α. No. 13 the individual component -- or excuse me. The team 14 metrics. 15 So, the company will be recovering some 16 incentive compensation costs from ratepayers; is 17 that correct? 18 Α. Well, but I do want to clarify --19 Q. It's a yes-or-no question. 20 Well, I don't think it is. Α. 2.1 The company will be recovering --Q. 2.2 MR. ZUCKER: Objection. Let him finish the answer, please. 2.3 2.4 MR. WOODSMALL: You can do that on 25 redirect. I'm asking a yes-or-no question and then

1 you can redirect him. 2. Q. (By Mr. Woodsmall) Is it your 3 understanding that the company will recover some 4 incentive compensation costs from ratepayers? 5 In the proposal that's been submitted? Α. Under Staff and OPC's? 6 0. 7 A portion, yes. Α. MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. Thank you. 9 further questions. JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust. 10 11 MR. LINHARES: No questions. Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense 12 13 Fund. 14 MS. KARAS: No questions. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council. 16 MR. COFFMAN: No questions, Your Honor. 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: MoGas. 18 MR. JARRETT: No questions. 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect by 20 Spire? 2.1 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. ZUCKER 24 Q. Good morning again, Mr. Lindsey. 25 A. Good morning, Mr. Zucker.

- 1 Q. Chairman Hall asked you some questions
- 2 about whether Laclede would have filed this rate
- 3 case if they weren't required to under the ISRS
- 4 statute. Do you recall that?
- 5 A. I do, yes.
- 6 Q. Do you believe that there is an expense
- 7 that comes with conducting a rate case?
- 8 A. Yeah. And that was, again, part of the
- 9 support we had relative to the legislation that we
- 10 feel there is a large expense that comes with a case
- 11 such as this, and in the instances in the past where
- 12 we feel, for example, that the case has gone on
- 13 merely because of the ISRS requirement to put that
- into rate base and to reset rates, we don't feel
- 15 there's a need the customers should bare those
- 16 expenses. And so, just to go through a rate case
- 17 for those reasons only was a big reason as to why we
- 18 would support that type of change.
- 19 Q. Is your company, Laclede Gas Company and
- 20 MGE, staffed to handle rate cases on a year-round
- 21 basis?
- 22 A. Not, not on a frequency basis. I think
- 23 you even heard earlier in some of the opening
- 24 remarks that when Staff has to go through these and
- 25 OPC and the other parties it is -- it puts a lot of

- 1 burden on it and it takes away from the focus of
- 2 operating the business and really trying to do the
- 3 things to move that forward. And so, again, I think
- 4 there are times when rate cases are completely
- 5 appropriate. We just felt in that instance that
- 6 only having the requirement because of the ISRS
- 7 statute is not one of those.
- 8 Q. Do you feel like this particular case
- 9 removed the employees from the focus of the
- 10 business?
- 11 A. I think this case, again, as with all
- 12 parties, is a very complex case. We're talking
- about things that we haven't talked about in several
- 14 years. It's two different jurisdictions. So, yes,
- 15 I think this has been something that's taken away
- 16 focus from everybody involved in this. Again, I
- 17 realize that it's a part of the process and we're
- 18 doing everything we can to be as cooperative with
- 19 all parties, but the fact that we presented our case
- 20 and laid it out and we do have -- the reason we're
- in the hearings now is we do continue to have some
- 22 strong disagreements, whether they're philosophical
- 23 or whether they're numbers, as to the positions and
- 24 that's why we're going through this process now.
- 25 Q. Do you recall Chairman Hall asking you

- 1 some questions about the savings that shareholders
- 2 have had during the period since the MGE
- 3 acquisition?
- 4 A. Yes. Savings, yes.
- 5 Q. Do you recall how much Laclede Gas paid
- 6 for MGE?
- 7 A. I don't have the exact amount, but it
- 8 was roughly 1.2.
- 9 Q. Right. I think that included New
- 10 England gas?
- 11 A. Yeah. You're right, yeah.
- 12 Q. Do you recall how much the premium was
- 13 that Laclede paid over the book value?
- 14 A. I do not, but I will say that the
- 15 company, not, not customers are paying for that
- 16 premium, nor the actual transaction costs.
- 17 Q. If the company had to immediately give
- 18 shareholders back the value of synergies that they
- 19 get from a transaction, would Laclede continue to do
- 20 these kind of transactions?
- 21 A. Well, I can't speak specifically on that
- 22 exact transaction, but I think the incentive would
- 23 be clearly taken away. I think part of growth is --
- 24 and again, there are a lot of reasons that support
- 25 that, but part of the reason that we've built our

- 1 growth portfolio is because we are a gas company.
- 2 As you mentioned at the start, that's why we made
- 3 the original acquisition of MGE. They weren't a
- 4 part of a gas company. We feel culturally that's a
- 5 very strong fit. The same thing with Alagasco, and
- 6 I really haven't even mentioned that, but there are
- 7 a lot of synergies that are being realized by
- 8 Missouri customers as a result of that. That
- 9 acquisition, we now spread costs over 1.7 million
- 10 customers, whereas originally it was only over
- 11 \$620,000 customers. So, again, I think if you took
- 12 away a lot of those reasons, I don't know that some
- of those same incentives would be there to grow the
- 14 business such as we have that, again, provides
- 15 benefits to customers as well as shareholders.
- 16 O. Let's talk about incentive compensation
- 17 for a minute. That was raised by the Chairman, I
- think, and Mr. Woodsmall. There is some controversy
- 19 over incentive compensation, what's called
- 20 earnings-based incentive compensation. Do you
- 21 believe the company should recover that from
- 22 customers?
- 23 A. Again, going back to my original
- 24 explanation of the way our program is structured,
- 25 there are corporate business unit and individual and

- 1 team metrics. Some of those relate to earnings or
- 2 financial performance, but I don't think you can
- 3 separate those from the performance of the company
- 4 relative to safety, operational, customer experience
- 5 type measures. Again, even at the frontline field
- 6 level employees have direct linkage between those
- 7 performance measures and we can show you improvement
- 8 over the last four years whether it's leak response
- 9 time, investment in infrastructure, leaks per
- 10 thousand miles. I mean, everything that we've seen
- 11 across the board has shown improvement and I think
- 12 there is a strong linkage to that with the incentive
- 13 program, but again, that is not separated from the
- 14 financial performance. I think those can't be
- 15 pulled apart.
- 16 Q. So, let me concentrate on the financial
- 17 performance then. There's been a thinking that the
- 18 financial incentive compensation just benefits
- 19 shareholders and not customers. Do you agree with
- 20 **that?**
- 21 A. No. I think it can literally have a
- 22 win-win scenario and what I mean by that is when we
- 23 saw the chart with the stock price. That's not
- 24 something we should apologize for. We worked very
- 25 hard to earn that. I think I appreciate your

- 1 comment. The market has moved dramatically in many
- 2 of those years as many of our peer companies, and I
- 3 think if you had that chart up there for most of our
- 4 peer companies you would have seen very similar type
- 5 performances for companies that have the approach we
- 6 do, which is about invest in the company, do the
- 7 right things relative to customers, take care of the
- 8 communities that you serve. So, I don't think
- 9 that's something we should be apologetic for.
- 10 And again, I think the fact that you
- 11 opened your comments in saying even if this were to
- 12 be fully adopted from a rate perspective, customers'
- overall bills will be lower than they were ten years
- 14 ago and that's with the investments we're making and
- 15 we're not even talking about the other types of
- 16 investments in platform such as IT and things like
- 17 that that allow us to be more efficient across our
- 18 larger footprint.
- 19 Q. And I think heard you answer Chairman
- 20 Hall that earnings is just revenues minus costs?
- 21 A. And that's a very simplistic. We have
- 22 many experts that will come and probably give you
- 23 more sophisticated definitions, but at the highest
- 24 level that's in essence what it is.
- 25 Q. So, if costs are reduced, does that

1 improve earnings?

- 2 A. Yeah. And clearly, I think my alma
- 3 mater, Georgia Tech, would be very disappointed if I
- 4 couldn't understand that between the two variables
- 5 if one was impacted that it impact the other side of
- 6 the equation, and it does. And again, our focus is
- 7 on doing everything we can around the revenue side
- 8 as well. We want to grow our footprint. We want to
- 9 keep the customers we have. We want to help the
- 10 customers that are having a challenge paying their
- 11 bill. All those are pieces of the overall equation
- 12 that goes into the earnings piece.
- 13 Q. And if those costs are lowered, does
- 14 that benefit customers?
- 15 A. Absolutely. Now, I will say costs being
- 16 lowered by themselves in a vacuum might not be a
- 17 good thing because those could have service impacts.
- 18 They could have detrimental performance metrics that
- 19 we talked about earlier. So, I think in and of
- themselves maybe on a short-term basis perhaps you
- 21 could say that, but from a long-term perspective
- 22 they have to be clearly balanced with the way we
- 23 invest in our system and the way we perform for our
- 24 customers.
- Q. Okay. Fair point. All else held equal,

- does a reduction in cost by the company that
- increases the company's earnings benefit customers?
- A. Absolutely. If performance is managed
- 4 to the levels that you expect, absolutely.
- 5 Q. And the customers benefit when there's a
- 6 rate case; is that right?
- 7 A. I'm not sure I understand the question,
- 8 Mr. Zucker.
- 9 Q. Well, when the costs are lowered, the
- 10 customer does not benefit right away; is that true?
- 11 A. You talking about in between rate cases?
- 12 **Q. Yes.**
- 13 A. Yes. There is no realization of that,
- 14 nor are there realization of the increased costs in
- 15 some other areas that we take on. For example, we
- 16 are making large investments in infrastructure
- 17 upgrades. That comes with associated depreciation.
- 18 There are other types of things. So, you have to
- 19 look at all the pieces, not just look at one
- 20 individually and say we're going to address that.
- Q. Okay. Well, everything else being held
- 22 equal, if the company was able to reduce cost by 1
- 23 dollar in the year before the test year, the
- 24 shareholder would keep that dollar; is that true?
- 25 A. In the year before the test year?

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. Well, I think, again, usually savings
- 3 are built upon each other. And so, if we put
- 4 something in place that creates savings, they should
- 5 be occurring I would assume in the test year as well
- 6 as opposed to just a one-time savings.
- 7 Q. That was going to be my next question.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. So, if the dollar was saved, then,
- 10 during the test year, would that ultimately go to
- 11 customers?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. One moment, please.
- 14 MR. ZUCKER: I have no further
- 15 questions.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 17 Thank you, Mr. Lindsey. You can step
- 18 down.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you,
- 20 Your Honor, Commissioners, Mr. Chairman.
- 21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Given that it is
- 22 ten till and agenda is due to begin in a few
- 23 minutes, we're going to go ahead and take a lunch
- 24 break here. Let's break until 1 o'clock. Come back
- 25 ready to roll. We can go off the record.

1 (A lunch recess was taken.) JUDGE DIPPELL: We're back on the 2 3 record. 4 While we were off the record, Laclede was kind enough over the lunch break to get the 5 6 stipulation and agreement in GM-2013-0254 that the 7 Chairman had asked for earlier and I have marked it as Exhibit No. 55. Would there be any objection to 9 that exhibit coming into the record? Okay. sorry. And that was the stipulation and agreement, 10 if I said that. 11 12 All right. Then I'm going to admit the 13 stipulation and agreement in Case No. GM-2013-0254 14 as Exhibit 55. 15 (Laclede's Exhibit 55 was admitted into 16 evidence.) 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: And additionally, Ms. Shemwell, you had your exhibit from the chart 18 19 that you put up --20 MS. SHEMWELL: Yes. 2.1 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- during your opening 22 remarks and I was going to mark that as a 2.3 demonstrative exhibit also. 24 MS. SHEMWELL: If you'd like to assign 25 it a number, I will bring copies down at the next

1	break.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right.
3	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: That will be Exhibit
5	427.
6	MS. SHEMWELL: Okay. Thank you.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right, then. Let's
8	go ahead and continue with our next witness.
9	MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	The company would call C. Eric Lobser to
11	the stand.
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: Will you, please, raise
13	your right hand.
14	ERIC LOBSER,
15	having been called as a witness herein, having been
16	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
17	follows:
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
19	Go ahead, Mr. Pendergast.
20	MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. PENDERGAST
23	Q. Mr. Lobser, are you the same C. Eric
24	Lobser that has previously filed direct, rebuttal,
25	and surrebuttal testimony in these proceedings that

- 1 have previously been marked as company Exhibits 006,
- 2 **007 and 008?**
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Do you have any corrections to
- 5 make to your direct, rebuttal, or surrebuttal
- 6 testimony?
- 7 A. No, I do not.
- 8 Q. If I were to ask you the same questions
- 9 today that appear in your direct, rebuttal, and
- 10 surrebuttal testimony, would your answers today be
- 11 the same?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. And are those answers true and correct
- 14 to the best of your knowledge and belief?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR. PENDERGAST: With that, I would
- 17 request that Exhibits 6, 7, and 8 be admitted into
- 18 evidence and I would tender Mr. Lobser for
- 19 cross-examination.
- 20 (Laclede's Exhibits 6, 7, and 8 were
- 21 offered into evidence.)
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
- 23 objection to Exhibits 6, 7, or 8.
- MR. JARRETT: Judge, we just kind of
- 25 talked about this a little on the record. This is

- 1 one of those witnesses who testifies on a lot of
- 2 issues and while I have no objections to the portion
- 3 that deals with policy we're addressing now, I may
- 4 have some problems with some other sections later.
- 5 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, we're fine
- 6 with waiting until Mr. Lobser has finished his
- 7 rather prodigious testifying throughout the case.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then we will hold the
- 10 exhibits until after the witness testifies and deal
- 11 with objections as they come.
- MR. JARRETT: Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- So, and the reference there was before
- 15 we went on the record we did discuss whether it was
- 16 proper to offer some of the larger accounting
- 17 schedules or cost of service reports and so forth
- 18 that multiple witnesses were testifying on at the
- 19 beginning or at the end. We decided it was better
- 20 to offer them at the end. This is kind of one of
- 21 those cases where witness on multiple issues. So, I
- 22 will ask you to go ahead and, and put them in there
- 23 so I can make sure that they're marked and
- everything, if you would, and then we'll offer them
- 25 at the -- at the last witness, if that works okay.

1	All right, then. Let's go ahead and
2	begin with cross-examination. Staff.
3	MS. PAYNE: No questions.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel.
5	MS. SHEMWELL: No questions. Thank you.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
7	MIEC.
8	MR. MILLS: Just briefly.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. MILLS
11	Q. Mr. Lobser, are you familiar with the
12	practice of making adjustments in a rate case for
13	known and measurable changes?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And sometimes, depending on the issue,
16	sometimes that's a revenue increase in adjustment,
17	sometimes a revenue decrease in adjustment?
18	A. Yes.
19	MR. MILLS: I have no further questions.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
21	Consumers.
22	MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust.
24	Environmental Defense Fund.
25	MS. KARAS: No questions, Your Honor.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council?
2	MoGas.
3	MR. JARRETT: No questions.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there questions from
5	the bench?
6	CHAIRMAN HALL: Good afternoon.
7	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
8	CHAIRMAN HALL: There's been some
9	discussion about the synergies or cost savings that
10	resulted from the from the merger
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN HALL: between MGE and
13	Laclede. I guess it was actually an acquisition or
14	was there a merger?
15	THE WITNESS: Between Laclede and MGE
16	was an acquisition of the assets.
17	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. And I believe
18	that that was estimated at around \$50 million a
19	year. Is that an accurate number from your
20	understanding?
21	THE WITNESS: From my understanding, the
22	total amount would be about that. It breaks down
23	into different buckets. There's amounts related to
24	capital savings, which is around 9 or \$10 million a
25	year. There's amounts related to added revenues

1 from additional customers. It's about three-or-so million, and the remainder is O&M between cost 2. 3 savings that O&M. 4 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, the \$50 million 5 figure is, is an accurate number? 6 THE WITNESS: I believe so. It might be 7 slightly less than that, 49-point-something, but that's best of my recollection. 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: And that's an annual 10 amount of savings? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. On a gross basis, 12. because we've had, you know, other cost increase, pay raises in between, but that is a gross number 13 14 for synergy savings. 15 CHAIRMAN HALL: And so, the quarterly 16 reports that the company was required to file 17 explaining those, those synergies, that was pursuant 18 to the stipulation and agreement approved by the 19 Commission? 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2.1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Can you find that for me 2.2 in the --23 THE WITNESS: In the stipulation? 2.4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. 25 THE WITNESS: I don't have the

1 stipulation with me, but I think there's... CHAIRMAN HALL: I'll give you mine --2 oh, I need mine. 3 4 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Give you mine. 5 THE WITNESS: I believe what you're 6 referencing is on page 10 of 43 related to the 7 one-time noncapital costs where we would provide those reports to Staff and OPC each year on 9 January 15th. That that is the one element of it. The synergies themselves I would have thought would 10 11 have been in the same section. Sorry. I'm not able 12 to find the agreement to provide the quarterly reporting on the synergies at the moment, but the 13 14 amount -- or the reporting of the one-time cost for 15 the transitions is on page 10. I would have thought 16 the synergies was in the same section. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I do see in that section where it says that Laclede gas shall provide 18 19 in the rate case a listing of all the annual cost 20 reductions by FERC divisional accounts related to 21 the synergies. Was that provided in this rate case? 22 THE WITNESS: We provided information 23 related to those savings and in a DR we broke out the approximate allocations by FERC account. They 24 25 were provided in a data response during this rate

1 case. CHAIRMAN HALL: So, is that going to be 2 3 introduced into evidence, that DR? 4 MS. PAYNE: Chairman, when the Staff 5 witness Keith Majors is on the stand, I believe it 6 was included with as an attachment to his testimony, 7 but yeah, we can also submit it as a separate 8 exhibit. 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: That's probably 10 unnecessary then. 11 Okay. So, what about the quarterly 12. reports? Are we still --13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: I think on page 20 at 16 number 11 perhaps that's the reporting. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge. CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you know if the -- if 18 19 the quarterly reports required under this section 20 are the basis for the DR that was attached to 2.1 Staff's witness testimony concerning these 22 synergies? Is it going to be the same numbers? 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know that with any 24 certainty. The quarterly reports were the basis for 25 the number that you asked about before, the

\$50 million. 1 2. CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. 3 THE WITNESS: And then the DR was in 4 response to a specific question to provide how those 5 broke out by FERC account. CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I quess I'll get 6 7 into that a little bit more when that issue. What is the issue where that will be at 9 issue? And I quess I'll ask you this. THE WITNESS: Transition costs. 10 MS. PAYNE: Transition costs. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Which actually 13 leads me to my next question. Where in the 14 stipulation is the 50 percent provision for 15 transition costs? THE WITNESS: That was back in the 16 17 section I was at before. I lost track of it, but it 18 was, yeah, the one-time noncapital transition costs 19 I believe referenced a chart that then has that 50 percent as one of the headline numbers and I 20 2.1 believe it was in appendix A. 22 CHAIRMAN HALL: Attachment one. 23 THE WITNESS: Attachment one. Thank 24 you. 25 CHAIRMAN HALL: Which is --

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. There's a grid. CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. That's not 2 included in this document. 3 4 THE WITNESS: I believe it's in my 5 testimony, that page. 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: Oh, well, then that's --7 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Direct. THE WITNESS: That's in my -- I believe 9 in the surrebuttal. Yes, in my surrebuttal towards the, I think, it's the second-to-last or 10 11 third-to-last page. 12 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Yeah. Page 8. 13 MGE transition costs. 14 THE WITNESS: Should look like this. 15 MR. PENDERGAST: Do you have it, Commissioner -- Chairman? 16 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, I do. Thank you. 18 Were you involved in those -- in those 19 negotiations concerning that 50 percent number? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. Somewhat in a 2.1 different capacity. At that time I was working in 2.2 the corporate development area part of the 2.3 acquisition. 24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you believe that that 25 50 percent number was critical to consummating the

1 deal? 2 THE WITNESS: The treatment of the 3 synergies was a significant element to understanding 4 the value of the deal, yes. 5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So, then let me 6 just turn to a moment -- turn for a moment to an 7 issue that was raised I think by Mr. Lindsey in response to a question from counsel, and that is 9 whether or not recovering these synergies is a 10 necessary component of acquisitions, and I believe 11 the question was something along the lines of if, if 12 the synergies had to be returned to ratepayers, then 13 would shareholders have an interest in, in these 14 type of acquisitions. Do you remember that 15 discussion? 16 THE WITNESS: I remember that, yes. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, what is your 18 thought on that? 19 There are, obviously, THE WITNESS: 20 multiple jurisdictions throughout the U.S. with 2.1 somewhat different treatment of synergies. 2.2 allow 50 percent up to ten years and some have 23 earning sharing mechanisms that allow retention of them to the extent that there's no allowance for 24 25 retention of those synergies. Since those are a

- 1 significant element of the value of the deal, it
- 2 would essentially mean that somebody that was in
- 3 that jurisdiction really wouldn't be in contention
- 4 for an acquisition.
- 5 CHAIRMAN HALL: At all or just less
- 6 likely?
- 7 THE WITNESS: At all. The bids are that
- 8 close they would not be in contention at all.
- 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: I think that's all I
- 10 have. Thank you.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other
- 13 questions.
- 14 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions.
- 17 Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Is there
- 19 further cross-examination based on Commission
- 20 questions from Staff?
- MS. PAYNE: I have one question, then.
- 22 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MS. PAYNE
- Q. In response to the Chairman you stated
- 25 that the company provided the information regarding

1	the synergy savings to Staff by FERC account; is
2	that correct?
3	A. As part of a DR, I believe.
4	Q. Would it surprise you if I told you that
5	the company did not, in fact, provide that
6	information by FERC account as they agreed to in the
7	stip?
8	A. I believe that we again, this was a
9	statement of, you know, what I believed. I believed
10	that we had provided that information by FERC
11	account in response to a DR. So, it would be
12	surprise that we had not.
13	MS. PAYNE: Okay. I have no further
14	questions.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel.
16	MS. SHEMWELL: No. Thank you.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
18	MIEC.
19	MR. MILLS: No questions.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: MEC.
21	MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust.
23	Environmental Defense Fund.
24	MS. KARAS: No questions.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council.

1 MoGas. 2 MR. JARRETT: No. Thank you. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. And is there 4 any redirect? 5 MR. PENDERGAST: Yes. Just a few 6 questions. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PENDERGAST 9 You were asked by Chairman Hall about 0. 10 how important being able to retain at least for some 11 period of time the synergies created by an 12 acquisition and bringing two companies together was. Do you recall those questions? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 And do you recall whether Staff witness Q. 16 Mark Oligschlaeger has filed testimony in this case 17 that kind of goes into what the Missouri approach is to this issue? 18 19 Yes, I do. Α. 20 And is it true that Mr. Oligschlaeger 0. 21 has generally said that Staff prefers an approach 2.2 where you go out, you do an acquisition through the 23 operation of regulatory lag, a utility is permitted 24 to go ahead and retain those synergies until a rate

case comes along. That's a value to the utility.

25

- 1 And secondly, that there has been some allowance of
- 2 transition costs incurred to integrate the companies
- 3 and achieve those synergies. Is that your
- 4 recollection of what he has said?
- 5 MR. MILLS: Judge, can I object on that
- 6 basis? That's a leading question.
- 7 MR. PENDERGAST: I'll rephrase.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Has
- 9 Mr. Oligschlaeger addressed this?
- 10 A. Yes, he has.
- 11 Q. And what has he said?
- 12 A. He went into I think it was a couple
- 13 pages of discussion about the treatment of synergies
- 14 and transition costs. It was, from my recollection,
- 15 his belief that the Commission didn't need to
- 16 provide additional incentives, nor should they
- 17 disincentivize companies from acquisitions, but that
- 18 regulatory lag in this case was the incentive that
- 19 he felt was appropriate for retention of those
- 20 synergies in addition to recovery of -- and I don't
- 21 recall whether there was a percentage that he
- 22 stated, but some recovery of those transition costs.
- 23 Q. And is what he said consistent with your
- 24 own view or not of what the regulatory treatment in
- 25 Missouri has been on this issue?

- 1 A. Yeah, it's pretty consistent.
- 2 Q. Okay. And you indicated that there were
- 3 other jurisdictions that do it differently?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And do some jurisdictions permit some
- 6 sort of explicit sharing of those synergies?
- 7 A. Yeah. There's various approaches. Some
- 8 require a showing of the synergies upfront and then
- 9 providing a specific credit to customers and then to
- 10 the extent that they can exceed that, then that's
- 11 sort of theirs to keep over and above that sharing.
- 12 There's some jurisdictions that have a five-year
- 13 period of time or ten-year period of time where
- 14 those -- some portion of those synergies. In the
- 15 case of Georgia I believe it was the -- it's about
- 16 50 percent for ten years were allowed to be
- 17 retained. Other jurisdictions have in place a
- 18 earning sharing mechanism and once the company goes
- 19 over a certain ROE level, then some portion is
- 20 shared with the customers and then usually it
- 21 becomes a smaller percentage the higher the return
- 22 is.
- 23 Q. And have you -- well, are there two sets
- 24 of synergies basically at issue in this case? The
- 25 first having to do with the MGE acquisition and then

1 a second set that have to do with the Alagasco and 2 Energy South acquisitions? 3 Α. I sort of consider those three Yeah. 4 sets, but yes. There's -- a lot of the synergies 5 we've been talking about, the ones that are part of 6 the recording part of the stipulation are part of 7 the Laclede gas purchase of the MGE assets, but then there's also a proposal that we have relating to the 9 synergies that were developed from the acquisition 10 of Alagasco by the Laclede Group at that time and 11 then more recently of Energy South, and there's a 12 proposal in there for some retention of those 13 synergies given the short duration of time. You 14 know, Alagasco being at least a year less than the 15 MGE, and the Energy South having, you know, just 16 recently occurred and a lot of the integration 17 planning just occurring during this year. So, yes, there are definitely distinct sets of synergies that 18 19 are at stake in this proceeding. 20 And would it be fair to say that in your 0. 21 proposal relating to a sharing of the Alagasco 2.2 Energy South synergies, you try to use a Missouri 23 framework for how that might be done? 24 Α. Yeah. One of the proposals I put forth 25 was the period of time that we've been out of the

1 rate case since the MGE acquisitions. You know, roughly four-year period of time using that same 2. 3 time frame, again, with Alagasco being one year less 4 and Energy South being roughly three years less than 5 that MGE acquisition. The look was there's a number 6 of relatively fixed costs from our shared services, 7 which is -- those costs are spread across the additional jurisdictions, which help reduce costs 9 for Missouri ratepayers despite really not having anything to do with, you know, Missouri. 10 11 And so, there's some benefit to those 12 acquisitions that the Laclede Group, now Spire, were 13 able to achieve that are at discussion for this 14 case, and the proposal was to take a look at those 15 sharing of costs and those benefits that would 16 otherwise be rolled up into this rate case and say, 17 you know, have a period of time roughly equivalent to the period of time that we had from the MGE 18 19 acquisition to continue to have some percentage of 20 benefit for those cost savings that Missouri 2.1 customers will benefit from. 2.2 And just from a quantification Q. 23 standpoint, what proportion of those synergies 24 relating to these other acquisitions would be passed

through to customers in this case and what

25

- 1 percentage would be retained by the company under
- 2 that proposal you talked about?
- 3 A. The 2017 run rate for those net
- 4 allocations, again, the cost sharing to those
- 5 jurisdictions was about \$19 million, and we had
- 6 proposed that we would take the one year related to
- 7 Alagasco and three years related to Energy South,
- 8 that a combined number of that I think
- 9 coincidentally is close to \$19 million and then
- 10 amortize that over a four-year period of time after
- 11 just taking -- I believe the percentage was
- 12 50 percent of that and it came out to 3.2 million,
- 13 which is about 17 percent of the run rate savings
- 14 that Missouri customers will experience from the
- 15 sharing of those costs related to acquisitions done
- 16 by the parent company, not by Laclede, Laclede Gas.
- 17 Q. Okay. So, under that proposal
- 18 83 percent gets flowed through to Missouri customers
- 19 immediately. The company --
- 20 MS. SHEMWELL: Judge, I'm going to
- 21 object to this. This doesn't seem related to any
- 22 questions from the Commission.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Well, I think there was
- 24 a question about synergies and treatment and how
- 25 significant a factor that was in whether you go

- 1 forward with acquisitions or not. So, I think this
- 2 is perfectly within the scope of that.
- 3 MS. SHEMWELL: About MGE. The
- 4 Commissioner raised questions about MGE.
- 5 MS. PAYNE: Judge, I'll also go ahead
- 6 and raise an objection that this actually isn't even
- 7 an issue in this case if you review the list of
- 8 issues.
- 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I'm going to
- 10 allow him to ask the question just because I think
- 11 that it was made clear that there are different
- 12 synergies involved here and I want that to be clear.
- Go ahead, Mr. Pendergast.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. I'll be real
- 15 brief.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) So, under your
- 17 proposal 83 percent of these synergies would be
- 18 flowed through to customers immediately and
- 19 17 percent would be retained by the company until
- 20 the next rate case?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. Thank you. I
- 23 have no further questions.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- Mr. Lobser, I believe that's all for

1 now. 2 THE WITNESS: And just doing the, the math in my head, I think it was -- if it's 16 for 3 4 Alagasco and three years of Energy South, I think 5 the total was 25. So, I'm sorry. I misspoke on that. 6 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Can you hand me 9 my...? 10 Thank you. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: I think our next witness is with Staff. 12 13 MS. PAYNE: Yes. Thank you. 14 Judge, Staff would call Jamie Myers to 15 the stand. 16 JAMIE MYERS, 17 having been called as a witness herein, having been 18 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 19 follows: 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 2.1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MS. PAYNE 23 Can you, please, state and spell your 0. 24 name for the court reporter. 25 Yes. Jamie Myers, J-A-M-I-E M-Y-E-R-S. Α.

1 0. And, Ms. Myers, how are you employed and 2 what is your position? 3 I'm employed with the Missouri Public Α. 4 Service Commission. My position is Staff deputy 5 counsel or Staff -- pardon. No longer counsel. I'm 6 the deputy director. 7 All right, Ms. Myers. And did you 0. 8 prepare or cause to be prepared direct testimony in 9 this case currently labeled as Exhibits 201 and 207? T did. 10 Α. 11 Q. And do you have any changes or 12 corrections to make to that testimony at this time? 13 No corrections. Α. 14 And are the answers contained in that 0. 15 testimony true and correct to the best of your 16 knowledge and belief? 17 Α. Yes, they are. 18 Q. And if I asked you the questions 19 contained in that testimony today, would your 20 answers be the same? 2.1 Α. They would be. 2.2 MS. PAYNE: All right. Judge, at this 23 time I will move for the admission of Exhibits 201 and 207, the direct testimony of Jamie Myers. 24

MS. SHEMWELL: Pardon me. Do we have

Fax: 314.644.1334

25

1 the same issue that she's going to be testifying again on other issues and was the plan to hold off? 2. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Her testimony references 4 the policy in the case. So, I think it might be 5 appropriate to offer it for admission now, but if 6 you prefer I wait, I can. 7 MS. SHEMWELL: Yeah. She does the next 8 performance metrics and --9 Since clearly there's some MS. PAYNE: concern about this, I will wait to offer this 10 11 testimony. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. That's fine. 13 MS. PAYNE: However, if I can, I will go 14 ahead and offer Staff's accounting schedules at this 15 time labeled as Exhibits 202 and 203. 16 (Staff's Exhibits 202 and 203 were 17 offered into evidence.) 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any 19 objection to Staff accounting schedules 202 and 203? 20 MR. WOODSMALL: And just to clarify, 2.1 those are the original accounting schedules? 22 MS. PAYNE: Those are the accounting 2.3 schedules that were filed with our direct testimony, 2.4 correct. 25 MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you. No

- 1 objection.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Great. Then I will
- 3 admit Exhibits 202 and 203.
- 4 (Staff's Exhibits 202 and 203 were
- 5 admitted into evidence.)
- 6 MS. PAYNE: And if I could also move for
- 7 the admission of Staff's reconciliations to be
- 8 marked as Exhibits 268 and 269 that were distributed
- 9 prior to the start of the afternoon portion of this
- 10 hearing to everyone.
- 11 (Staff's Exhibits 268 and 269 were
- 12 offered into evidence.)
- 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: And can you designate
- 14 which one of those is Laclede and which one is MGE?
- 15 MS. PAYNE: The reconciliation for
- 16 Laclede is designated as 268 and the reconciliation
- 17 for Missouri Gas Energy is designated as 269.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: And this is your
- 19 updated?
- 20 MS. PAYNE: And this is our most recent
- 21 reconciliation.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
- 23 objection to 268 or 269?
- MR. ZUCKER: So, do I understand that
- 25 it's dated November 30th? Is that right?

1	MS. PAYNE: That may be the date on
2	there. However, I do know that it was prepared this
3	week.
4	MR. ZUCKER: So, this is Staff's most
5	recent?
6	MS. PAYNE: This is Staff's most recent,
7	correct.
8	MR. ZUCKER: No objections.
9	MS. SHEMWELL: And Laclede is 268?
10	MS. PAYNE: Yes. And MGE is 269.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then seeing
12	no objection, I will enter Exhibit 268 and 269.
13	(Staff's Exhibits 268 and 269 were
14	admitted into evidence.)
15	MS. PAYNE: And with that I will tender
16	this witness for cross.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. I'm catching
18	up here.
19	Is there cross-examination from Public
20	Counsel?
21	MS. SHEMWELL: There is not. Thank you.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.
23	MR. MILLS: No questions.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: MEC.
25	MR. WOODSMALL: Very briefly, Your

Page 526

Fax: 314.644.1334

1 Honor. 2. CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. WOODSMALL 4 0. Good afternoon. 5 Α. Good afternoon. 6 In my opening statement I discussed 0. 7 discovery problems. Are you familiar with any discovery problems that Staff had in this case? 9 Α. I am. 10 0. And can you tell me what kind of 11 problems you had? 12 Yeah. So, we did file a motion in this Α. 13 case and we did request a discovery conference. 14 were able to work that issue out before it got to 15 conference, but just generally, I mean, you know, 16 there were some data-gathering concerns that we had. 17 I think that was also mentioned kind of briefly on 18 cross by Ms. Payne in terms of, you know, trying to 19 get the information by FERC account as well. So, I 20 mean, there were some discovery concerns, but we 2.1 worked with the company. 2.2 Q. And were data request responses 23 generally timely? 24 Α. Generally, yes. I mean, there was some 25 outreach we made to the company, but generally, yes.

- 1 Q. And do you believe that the company's
- 2 discovery responses and timeliness, the adequacy of
- 3 the responses, how do they compare to the responses
- 4 you see in company rate cases?
- 5 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, I'm going
- 6 to object. I've looked at the issues list and I
- 7 don't see anything related to discovery concerns.
- 8 We have a process for raising those. If people have
- 9 a problem with it, we have a number of technical
- 10 conferences or discovery conferences to talk about
- 11 it and I think at this stage these questions have no
- 12 relevance to this proceeding.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to overrule
- 14 your objection. I think that it, it goes to basic
- 15 regulatory policy and the overview, which is the
- 16 issues that we're discussing here today.
- Q. (By Mr. Woodsmall) And I'll be very
- 18 brief. Can you compare how the company's
- 19 responsiveness was to other companies that you've
- 20 dealt with?
- 21 A. I can compare in terms of, yes, I have
- 22 done recent rate cases. I was in a different role,
- 23 though. So, personally I have not had to reach out
- 24 to companies quite as often as I did in this case in
- 25 terms of dealing with that, but I will note, as

- 1 mentioned, these are two cases working at one time.
- 2 So, again, we did reach out to the company. We were
- 3 able to resolve a lot of our issues, but hence, we
- 4 did make a proposal in here for additional
- 5 surveillance reporting and some sort of, you know,
- 6 information concerns that we had that we addressed
- 7 in our cost of service report.
- 8 MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you. No further
- 9 questions.
- 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense
- 11 Fund.
- MS. KARAS: No questions.
- 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: MoGas.
- MR. JARRETT: No questions.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Spire.
- 16 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. PENDERGAST
- 19 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Myers.
- 20 A. Good afternoon, Mr. Pendergast.
- 21 Q. As I understand it, your role here today
- is to testify on the overall policy considerations
- 23 driving Staff's revenue requirement, rate design,
- 24 class cost of service allocations, tariff issues; is
- 25 that correct?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And could you briefly describe your
- 3 employment history at the Commission. I know that
- 4 you mentioned it in your -- one of your pieces of
- 5 direct testimony.
- 6 A. I did, I did. I started here in the
- 7 Staff counsel's office, which probably is why I
- 8 mistakenly called myself counselor earlier, and then
- 9 I transitioned to my current role, which is Staff
- 10 deputy director.
- 11 Q. Okay. Great. Thank you.
- 12 And is this your first time testifying?
- 13 A. It is.
- 14 Q. Congratulations.
- 15 A. Thank you.
- 16 Q. Now, you submitted two pieces of
- 17 testimony in this case; is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And one was direct testimony. I think
- 20 it was filed on September 8th and that's been marked
- 21 as Staff Exhibit 201 --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. -- is that correct?
- And that was basically to go along with
- 25 the revenue requirement, cost of service testimony

- 1 that the Staff filed?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And then subsequently you filed another
- 4 piece of direct testimony, which has been marked as
- 5 **207**; is that correct?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. And that was basically designed to go
- 8 along with the rate design recommendations and class
- 9 cost of service testimony of Staff?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. Fine.
- 12 And did you in your role of deputy
- director look at the various pieces of testimony as
- 14 they were being prepared and filed?
- 15 A. Yes. I read all of our testimony before
- 16 it was filed and after it was filed.
- 17 Q. Wonderful. Was that an enjoyable
- 18 process?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 CHAIRMAN HALL: You are the poster now.
- 21 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) And, you know, I
- just like to start out with kind of a big picture
- 23 here. There was some discussion today about the new
- 24 EMS run that was provided by Staff and I think that
- 25 basically under those EMS runs you're recommending a

- 1 total of 17.7 million for both MGE and Laclede; is
- 2 that about right?
- 3 A. That is about right, yes.
- 4 O. Okay. And that 17.7 million is what
- 5 Staff's recommending and it has to cover the revenue
- 6 requirement of the current ISRS, right? I mean,
- you're rebasing the ISRS. So, it has to cover that?
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. And that's currently at about
- 10 **\$49 million?**
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. So, 17.7 million in a revenue
- 13 requirement to cover \$49 million in revenue
- 14 requirement associated with ISRS; is that right?
- 15 A. Well, it doesn't cover, if that is your
- 16 point.
- 17 Q. Well, you're saying that we can rebase
- 18 ISRS, forty, you know, nine million dollars of that
- 19 and take that down to zero and what comes out the
- other end is 17.7 million; is that right?
- 21 A. What I'm saying is we only believe
- 22 17.7 million is what's needed in this case.
- Q. And that's fine. And all I'm trying to
- 24 do is point out you're saying that needs to be
- rebased, go down to zero, that 49 million goes away

- and in its place we're recommending 17.7 million?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 O. Okay. And that 17.7 million in addition
- 4 to paying for the \$49 million in capital investment
- 5 associated with ISRS, it's Staff's view that's
- 6 sufficient to go ahead and cover all of the other
- 7 non-ISRS capital investments that have been made by
- 8 the company since its last rate case?
- 9 A. From Staff's view, it's what's needed to
- 10 cover all of the investments that we looked at.
- 11 Q. And those would be investments like the
- 12 32.5 million investment that was made to upgrade
- 13 MGE's information management system?
- 14 A. If that was included in the test year.
- 15 Q. Okay. So, once again, that's
- 16 32.5 million and this 17.7 million is covering that
- 17 as well?
- 18 A. Again, we look at the whole picture.
- 19 Again, as we're looking at the big picture, yes.
- 20 Q. And as you look at the whole picture,
- 21 the answer would be yes, it's supposed to cover that
- 22 as well?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And any other investment that the
- 25 company has made over that period of time that was

- 1 for regulated utility service?
- 2 A. Yes.
- O. Okay. And we also have in this case a
- 4 number of regulatory assets, do we not?
- 5 A. I believe so, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. One of them would be the pension
- 7 asset and I think Staff has recognized that it's
- 8 right around 135 million now; is that correct?
- 9 A. I believe in total.
- 10 Q. Okay. And Staff has included in rates
- an allowance to permit that to be amortized over,
- 12 what is it, an eight-year period?
- 13 A. Subject to check, I believe that is Matt
- 14 Young's testimony.
- 15 O. Okay. And included in rate base?
- 16 A. I'm not certain about the rate base.
- 17 Q. Okay. Let's just go with the
- 18 amortization. What would 135 million divided by 8
- 19 **be?**
- 20 A. I couldn't tell you offhand. I would
- 21 probably need a calculator. I could probably give
- 22 you a rough estimation, if that's what you're
- 23 looking for.
- Q. A rough estimation would be just fine.
- A. \$30 million. \$16 million.

- 1 Thank you. I appreciate, if I'm allowed
- 2 to take assistance from the crowd.
- O. Okay. So, so, if rates have \$16 million
- 4 in it that are designed to go ahead and now that
- 5 we're finally trying to draw down on it to help pay
- 6 for that and narrow it down, right?
- 7 A. I can't answer the specifics of that. I
- 8 would suggest you ask Matt Young.
- 9 Q. Well, let's just assume for argument
- sake that it's around \$16 million and my question to
- 11 you is: Is the 17.7 million supposed to cover that
- 12 \$16 million as well?
- A. We're saying in total 17.7 million is
- 14 what is needed in base rates at this point.
- 15 Q. Okay. And so, since you're saying
- 16 that's what's needed in total, that would be
- 17 covering that cost as well?
- 18 A. Yes. We looked at that cost as well.
- 19 O. Okay. And we also have some assets
- 20 associated with our energy efficiency program, do we
- 21 **not?**
- 22 A. Yes, you do.
- Q. That's around \$30 million; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. I believe that is about correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. And that's being amortized in
- 2 rates as well, is it not?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 Q. Okay. And that's being amortized over a
- 5 ten-year period?
- 6 A. I'm not certain about the amortization
- 7 period.
- Q. Well, assume it's ten years. 30 million
- 9 divided by ten. That's another \$3 million that's in
- 10 rates that this 17.7 million is supposed to be
- 11 covering; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, we looked at that as well.
- 13 Q. Okay. And we have other amortization in
- 14 rates. For example, Staff has proposed to amortize
- 15 the cost of the St. Peters lateral over four
- 16 years; is that correct?
- 17 A. I'm not certain that we proposed that
- 18 four years in testimony.
- 19 MS. PAYNE: I'll object, Your Honor.
- 20 The St. Peters lateral is not an issue in this case
- 21 currently.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. Sorry. We've
- 23 had so many issues. If I've gone beyond what's been
- 24 formally put in testimony, I will go ahead.
- 25 O. (By Mr. Pendergast) Does Staff have

- 1 anything in its case for the St. Peters lateral at
- 2 this point?
- A. We do, and Karen Lyons would be the
- 4 witness on that.
- 5 Q. Okay. And do you know what's in its
- 6 case?
- 7 A. I do believe there's an amortization
- 8 period.
- 9 Q. Okay. And so, whatever that
- 10 amortization period is and whatever the cost is,
- 11 that's being covered by the 17.7 million; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. We did look at that, yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. So, it has to be covering that as
- 15 well.
- 16 So, oh, can you explain to the
- 17 Commission what the St. Peters lateral was?
- 18 A. I cannot go into that sort of detail. I
- 19 would suggest Karen Lyons would be the witness for
- 20 that.
- Q. Okay. So, you're not aware of what
- 22 savings were achieved by the company as associated
- 23 with that in pipeline discounts?
- A. I understand there were savings, which
- 25 is why we did suggest an amortization.

- 1 Q. We can discuss that later on.
- 2 So, this 17.7 million is going to be a
- 3 pretty busy dollar amount, isn't it? It's got a lot
- 4 of work to do, does it not?
- 5 A. It would depend on perspective, but I
- 6 would say Staff looked at everything, big picture,
- 7 in total and that was our number.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 9 Now, there's been a lot of discussion
- 10 already about the company's various acquisitions; is
- 11 that correct? And are we dealing with the financial
- 12 effects of those in these cases?
- 13 A. Yes. There has been that conversation
- 14 and discussion.
- 15 O. Yeah. And we got a number of issues in
- 16 this case that some have been worked out and some
- 17 have not; is that right?
- 18 A. I believe so, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And I think Ms. Shemwell talked
- 20 about the Staff investigation that was done in 2016
- 21 regarding Spire's acquisition of Energy South and
- 22 Alagasco. Do you recall that?
- 23 A. I briefly recall she mentioned that in
- 24 opening.
- 25 O. Yes. And did Staff, indeed, conduct

1 such an investigation? Yes, it's my understanding Staff did. 2. Α. 3 0. And did it prepare a report? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. And was that report presented in 0. 6 September 1st, 2016? Does that sound familiar? 7 I can't confirm that exact date, but around that time frame. 9 Okay. And Mr. Hyneman was kind enough 0. 10 to include a copy of that Staff report in his 11 surrebuttal testimony, was he not? 12 I do believe he did, yes. Α. 13 0. And he not only included a copy of that, 14 but he also discussed how it was characterized in 15 the St. Louis papers the day it came out. Do you 16 have a copy of his testimony by any chance? 17 I do not. Α. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Ms. Shemwell just provided me with a Α. 20 copy. 2.1 Fantastic. Q. 22 MS. SHEMWELL: And here's his rebuttal. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 0. (By Mr. Pendergast) And if I could 25 direct your attention to page 29, lines three to six

- 1 of Mr. Hyneman's testimony.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Which testimony are you
- 3 looking at Mr. Pendergast?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 5 MR. PENDERGAST: The testimony of --
- 6 surrebuttal testimony of Charles Hyneman and I do
- 7 not have the exhibit number with me.
- 8 A. I do not have his surrebuttal.
- 9 Ms. Shemwell provided me with his direct and
- 10 rebuttal.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Even bigger.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 13 A. Yes. I now have his surrebuttal.
- 0. (By Mr. Pendergast) Okay. Great.
- 15 And if you -- well, actually turn to
- 16 page 28, line 20.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And that's where he begins to
- 19 talk about the Staff investigation report; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. You know, I apologize. I was actually
- 22 on one of Mr. Hyneman's schedules. So, let me get
- 23 to page 20 of the actual testimony.
- Line 20, is that what you said?
- Q. Down at line 20, yes.

1 Α. Yes. 2. MS. PAYNE: It would be page 28. 3 0. (By Mr. Pendergast) On page 28. 4 Thank you. Α. 5 MS. SHEMWELL: Judge, for clarification, 6 I think we're talking about exhibit, let's see --7 JUDGE DIPPELL: 425. MS. SHEMWELL: 420 -- no. 425 is 9 Robinett. Surrebuttal testimony of Hyneman is 418. 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. That's not what I 11 have on my list, Ms. Shemwell. Maybe I have --12. let's just continue on and we'll get the exhibit 13 number later. 14 MS. SHEMWELL: Jamie, what does your 15 cover say for the exhibit number? 16 THE WITNESS: 425. 17 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you. 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead, 19 Mr. Pendergast. I'm sorry. 20 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Yeah. So, just for 2.1 a little context, Laclede had completed an 2.2 acquisition of Alagasco in 2014 followed by one of 23 Energy South in 2016; is that correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. And I think OPC submitted something with

- 1 the Commission saying we need to have an
- 2 investigation of these acquisitions to determine
- 3 whether they've been detrimental or not and the
- 4 Commission told the Staff to go ahead and conduct an
- 5 investigation, did it not?
- 6 A. Generally that was the procedure.
- 7 Q. Okay. And Staff did its investigation,
- 8 it issued its report and, as Mr. Hyneman notes here,
- 9 that played in our hometown paper that day or the
- 10 next day the first was an article from the
- 11 Post-Dispatch; is that correct?
- 12 A. There is a quote in here from the
- 13 Post-Dispatch paper, correct.
- 14 O. And the first sentence of that says,
- 15 "Rates have gone up and service has decreased for
- 16 Laclede Gas customers following its parent company's
- 17 purchase of a large Alabama natural gas utility an
- investigation from Missouri regulators has found."
- 19 Is that what it says?
- 20 A. That is what it says.
- 21 Q. Okay. First of all, on the rates have
- gone up, has Laclede had a rate case since it
- 23 acquired Alagasco or Energy South?
- A. No. This is the first one.
- 25 O. Okay. So, can you explain to me how

1 rates could have gone up over that period of time 2. without a rate case? 3 MS. PAYNE: I object. This question has 4 nothing to do with the testimony that she submitted 5 and my understanding is that this is a newspaper article that was written and includes statements 6 7 that would not have anything to do with anything that Ms. Myers has stated in this case. 9 MR. PENDERGAST: I think we've 10 established that these are very important financial 11 issues in this case. This Commission specifically 12 told the Staff to go and do an investigation of 13 these acquisitions. The Staff did an investigation 14 of these acquisitions. They reached certain 15 conclusions, and I think that given the fact we have 16 these issues in this case right now it is perfectly 17 appropriate to ask some questions. If she doesn't 18 know about it, she doesn't have to answer it, but I 19 want to find out whether or not any of these statements that were in the press were at all true. 20 2.1 MS. PAYNE: However, what the press said 2.2 is not anything that Staff reported and is not 23 anything that is relevant to this case. financial issues are not relevant based on the 24 25 statements of a journalist.

1 MR. PENDERGAST: I am perfectly fine if she wants to answer based on her knowledge and what 2 3 the Staff report said, that the newspaper got it 4 wrong, if she wants to go ahead and testify to that. 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Pendergast, explain 6 to me again how a newspaper article and 7 Mr. Hyneman's testimony is relevant to Ms. Myers' 8 testimony. 9 MR. PENDERGAST: Because she's the 10 senior staff person here and we have issues 11 associated with the Alagasco acquisition, with the Energy South acquisition. This Commission requested 12 13 that Staff conduct a detailed investigation of that. 14 The Staff issued a report and I think that if that's 15 the case, then I ought to be able to go ahead and 16 ask a few questions about how it was characterized 17 and what conclusions were drawn are true or not. 18 don't know. Mr. Hyneman has put it in the record 19 I don't know if the Commission is going to here. 20 read this and believe that it might be true. It's 2.1 not, but I'd just like to go ahead and clarify that 2.2 it isn't. 23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, I'm going to allow 24 you to ask her about the, the, the study. I don't 25 think it's relevant about what the St. Louis Post

1 had to say that Mr. Hyneman repeated in his testimony that hasn't come into evidence yet. 2 3 MR. PENDERGAST: Fair enough. 4 (By Mr. Pendergast) Do you know if the 0. 5 Staff report said that the rates to Laclede Gas 6 customers had gone up because of its acquisition of 7 either Alagasco or Energy South? No, did not say that. Α. 9 Your report did not say that? Q. 10 No, not to my knowledge. Α. 11 Q. Okay. And then from your personal 12 knowledge, if anybody characterized it that way, 13 they were wrong? 14 MS. PAYNE: Objection. What's the 15 relevancy of that question? 16 0. (By Mr. Pendergast) So, is it your 17 testimony that rates did not go up as a result of 18 our acquisition of Energy South and Alagasco? 19 It's my testimony that this is the first Α. rate case you have had since that acquisition, yes. 20 2.1 Okay. So, rates could not have been Q. 2.2 changed --

-- over that period of time?

Good enough. And the second part of it,

Fax: 314.644.1334

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Correct.

- 1 as far as service decreasing as a result of our
- 2 acquisition of Energy South and Alagasco based on
- 3 what you know of the report and Staff's position on
- 4 this, is there any allegation that service had
- 5 decreased?
- 6 A. I can't speak to that aspect.
- 7 O. You can't speak to that?
- 8 A. No. I'm not aware of that section of
- 9 the report. I am aware of the timing of rate cases
- 10 and I have general knowledge of the report, but I
- 11 cannot speak to that. I don't have personal
- 12 knowledge of that.
- Q. Okay. Well, regardless of what may have
- or may not have been in the Staff report, now that
- 15 we're in a rate case, has the Staff submitted any
- 16 witnesses that have raised service, quality of
- 17 service concerns relating to the acquisition of
- 18 either Alagasco or Energy South?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 O. Okay. And when it comes to service, is
- 21 it the company that has proposed performance
- 22 standards and metrics to hold itself accountable for
- 23 the quality of the service it provides?
- A. They do have a performance metrics
- 25 mechanism proposal, yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And is Staff willing to
- 2 participate in a discussion of that should the
- 3 Commission determine that after this case is
- 4 concluded it has enough value to at least be
- 5 discussed?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. Okay. Thank you.
- 8 Okay. Well, enough about 2016. Let's
- 9 talk about this case.
- 10 As we talked about, there are a number
- 11 of acquisition related issues in this case and
- 12 neither -- well, the company does not dispute that
- 13 there have been net savings associated with both the
- 14 MGE acquisition and with the Alagasco, Energy South
- 15 acquisition.
- 16 A. It's my understanding the issues in this
- 17 case are related to the MGE acquisition.
- 18 Q. Well, I think there's one bullet point
- 19 under there that talks about merger-related savings
- and you know that Mr. Lobser has a proposal to go
- 21 ahead and share synergies associated with the
- 22 Alagasco and Energy South.
- 23 MS. SHEMWELL: Excuse me. Could I
- 24 understand where the one bullet point is, please?
- 25 One bullet point under there you said.

1	MR. ZUCKER: It's under transition.
2	MS. SHEMWELL: In the list of issues?
3	MR. ZUCKER: In the list of issues.
4	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
5	MR. ZUCKER: And I believe it's the last
6	bullet in the list of issues on the transition.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there a page?
8	MS. SHEMWELL: Do you have a page number
9	for that?
10	MR. ZUCKER: Well, I have the number
11	seven on mine, and this is from the December.
12	MS. PAYNE: It's issue 12.
13	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
14	MS. PAYNE: You're welcome.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Are we all in the same
16	place?
17	All right. Go ahead, Mr. Pendergast.
18	Did you have a question?
19	Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Yeah. Assuming
20	there's something on the issues list that says this
21	is still an issue, my question to you is: There is
22	no doubt or dispute on the part of the Staff that
23	both the MGE acquisition and the Alagasco, Energy
24	South acquisitions have produced net financial
25	benefits for customers?
l	

1 Α. For customers or for shareholders? 2 0. Well, I mean, you know, for customers as 3 these rates get established. I mean, didn't Staff 4 witness Major calculate \$10.8 million worth of 5 personnel-related savings in his testimony? 6 Α. In savings for customers? 7 \$10.8 million in synergies that I'm 0. assuming are reflected in Staff's cost of service. 8 9 Yes. And I quess my confusion, 10 Mr. Pendergast, I'm not trying to be trite here, is 11 simply, as we stated, rates have not gone up since 12 the last rate case, which has been about four years. So, to the extent that any savings have been 13 14 realized I don't think they've been realized yet. 15 I'm talking about what savings are 16 included in Staff's proposed cost of service that 17 it's saying should go ahead and be approved by the 18 Commission and used as the foundation for rates in 19 the future. Do you have savings for MGE and 20 acquisition savings or reductions in administrative 2.1 costs associated with the Alagasco and Energy South 2.2 transactions reflected in your cost of service? 23 I don't have specific knowledge if they Α. are reflected of the Alagasco and Energy South. 24 Ι 25 can't speak to that.

0. Okay. And because of that you can't 2 speak to whether anybody on the Staff has disputed 3 that those savings are real and significant? 4 I cannot. No, not at this time. Α. 5 We can ask Mr. Majors that I suppose or 0. 6 somebody else? 7 Α. Yes. Q. Okay. 9 And he will be a witness. Α. 10 We will do that. Q. 11 In your testimony -- and I'm talking 12 about I think 201 here. 13 Uh-huh. Α. 14 You provide sort of a, if you will, Q. 15 revenue requirement formula that's sort of 16 traditional way of thinking about how you come up with a revenue requirement? 17 18 Α. I do. That's on page 6. 19 Yeah. And just a short formula, how 0. 20 does it work?

really what we were trying to get at is typically

in testimony really doesn't get at what is the

revenue requirement. So, we were trying to take

the formula that we provide here or that's provided

Certainly. So, as you can mention here,

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Α.

1

- 1 our, our cost of service formula and then spell out
- 2 that you need to go the extra step to get to the
- 3 revenue requirement, and I will say Mark
- 4 Oligschlaeger and Natelle Dietrich and I worked on
- 5 this together and I included this piece in my
- 6 testimony.
- 7 Q. Well, it's very helpful. And I guess
- 8 the question is, just laying it out for the
- 9 Commission, you get the revenue requirement by doing
- 10 **what?**
- 11 A. Here, and this is page 6 and page 7.
- 12 So, what you do is you take the cost of service and
- 13 you subtract the adjusted current revenues and from
- 14 that you will get revenue requirement.
- 15 Q. Okay. And when you say you adjust it,
- do you subtract the adjusted revenues from the cost
- 17 of service?
- 18 A. Yes. What I'm talking about is you take
- 19 cost of service and then you subtract adjusted
- 20 current revenues.
- 21 Q. Adjusted revenues. Okay.
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 O. Okay. And under that formula to the
- 24 extent that your cost of service is lower, then your
- 25 revenue requirement would be lower, right, all else

- 1 being equal?
- 2 A. Again, the current adjusted revenues
- 3 aspect would need to be included, yes.
- 4 O. Sure. And I'm just saying holding them
- 5 steady and all I'm doing is reducing my cost of
- 6 service, your revenue requirement is going to be
- 7 lower if your cost of service is lower?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And on the other end, if your revenues
- 10 are higher, your adjusted revenues, then your
- 11 revenue requirement is going to be lower?
- 12 A. Again, if we're assuming we're holding
- 13 the adjusted current revenues constant.
- Q. Right.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Assuming everything on that side gets
- 17 held constant.
- 18 And then, you know, there's a lot of
- 19 discussion about earnings and that sort of thing.
- 20 And would you generally agree that to the extent,
- 21 all else being equal, you can reduce the cost of the
- 22 business, its cost of service, if you will, that
- 23 earnings will be higher?
- A. In terms of a regulated utility?
- 25 O. Well, I'm just speaking of a business in

- 1 general.
- 2 A. Yes. A business in general, I would say
- 3 so, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. So, kind of if you go out and you
- 5 reduce your cost of service, your earnings will be
- 6 higher and then if I have a rate case, will that
- 7 reduced cost of service be reflected in a lower
- 8 revenue requirement?
- 9 A. Again, it would depend on the revenues,
- 10 but possibly, yes.
- 11 Q. But all else equal, you know, if that's
- 12 the only thing that's happening, these reduced costs
- of the business that drove earnings up would be
- 14 reflected in a reduction in the revenue requirement
- once they're reflected in rates and you have a rate
- 16 case?
- 17 A. Yes, possibly.
- 18 Q. And if you go ahead and increase your
- 19 earnings outside the rate case, you give your folks
- 20 some incentives to go out there and grow the
- 21 business and that sort of thing and they manage to
- go ahead and increase the earnings, then when you
- 23 have a rate case that would be reflected and
- 24 adjusted revenues and, once again, if they were
- 25 higher, your revenue requirement would be lower?

- 1 A. And we're assuming a regulated utility
- 2 who's chosen to come in for a rate case. Is that
- 3 your --
- 4 O. Yeah. It's a regulated and we're in for
- 5 a rate case. Now, we drove earnings by increasing
- for revenues and here we are and you're going to put
- 7 those in your formula and because your adjusted
- 8 revenues are higher, your revenue requirement is
- 9 going to be lower?
- 10 A. Yes. If it's in our test year, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. So, I mean, basically the same
- 12 thing that drives earnings, the same factors that
- drive earnings, once you have a rate case, they
- 14 drive lower revenue requirements?
- 15 A. There is some relationship there, yes.
- 16 Q. Yeah. And, you know, if you break them
- 17 apart and you say, okay, we're going to have
- incentives, but, you know, they're only for revenues
- 19 and you recognize that increased revenues can result
- in lower revenue requirement, generally speaking
- 21 those kind of incentives are okay?
- 22 A. I can't say with -- you know, I have no
- 23 specific knowledge of whether generally those are
- 24 okay.
- 25 O. Okay. And you don't have any general

- 1 knowledge of if your incentives are related to
- 2 driving efficiencies or reducing the cost of service
- 3 that's also going to show up in a lower revenue
- 4 requirement, whether those are okay or not?
- 5 A. I can't say, no.
- 6 Q. Okay. What we do know, though, is that
- 7 generally speaking if I go ahead and have lower
- 8 costs or higher revenues that drive earnings when I
- 9 have a rate case, that's going to drive lower
- 10 revenue requirement?
- 11 A. I cannot say that that is an absolute
- 12 guarantee, no, because, again, we look at everything
- in the test year.
- 14 O. But just generally.
- 15 A. Again, it would depend on what we look
- 16 at in the test year.
- 17 Q. Okay. And just so we all know, a lower
- 18 revenue requirement means lower rates for customers,
- 19 right, all else being equal?
- 20 A. That, again, depends on class cost of
- 21 service and rate design.
- Q. Okay. But, you know, if I'm looking at
- 23 customers and all else equal, will a lower revenue
- 24 requirement result in lower rates than a higher
- 25 revenue requirement will?

- 1 MS. PAYNE: Objection. I think the
- 2 witness has answered this question. Mr. Pendergast
- 3 is continuing to ask very similar guestions and I
- 4 think my witness has sufficiently answered them.
- 5 MR. PENDERGAST: She's done a splendid
- 6 job of answering them. I'll move on.
- 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) I think there was
- 9 some discussion, I think Mr. Woodsmall talked about
- 10 the equity component in Staff's recommended capital
- 11 structure and also for MIEC, and that equity
- 12 component is around 46 or 47 percent; is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. I believe it's somewhere in there, yes.
- 15 Q. And Laclede's is around 54 percent, a
- 16 little bit above that?
- 17 A. You'd have to ask Dave Murray that
- 18 specific question.
- 19 Q. Can you just tell me how the 46 or
- 20 47 percent equity component compares to Laclede's
- 21 normal equity component that its had in multiple
- 22 cases over the last ten years?
- 23 A. I cannot answer that question. I would
- 24 again suggest you ask Dave Murray.
- 25 Q. So, you're not sure whether it's in the

- 1 norm or outside the norm or anything else?
- 2 A. I cannot say.
- 3 O. Okay. And when you reviewed Dave
- 4 Murray's testimony, did you take a look at that and
- 5 say, hey, Dave, you know, is this 46 or 47 percent
- 6 equity component, is that consistent with what we've
- 7 approved down here at the Commission over the years?
- 8 A. Again, I think you have to look at it as
- 9 a package in terms of what we're also talking about
- 10 in terms of short-term debt inclusion, but yes, I
- 11 did overall think that Dave Murray's suggestion was
- 12 reasonable.
- 13 Q. Okay. So, in thinking it was
- 14 reasonable, you had some sense of what Laclede's
- 15 traditional equity component has been or was that
- just not a relevant factor to you?
- 17 A. Again, looking at Mr. Murray's
- 18 testimony, I found what he was presenting
- 19 reasonable.
- Q. Okay. And when you say -- what some
- 21 people say, don't mean to put words in your mouth,
- 22 that Laclede is overearning, you know, that
- 23 allegation of overearning is based on an assumption
- 24 that Laclede should have a 46 or 47 percent equity
- 25 component rather than something that's a lot more

- 1 consistent with what it's traditionally had; is that
- 2 correct?
- MS. PAYNE: Objection. I don't think
- 4 that Ms. Myers said that Laclede was -- or that the
- 5 company, that Spire was overearning. I think that's
- 6 a mischaracterization.
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Well, for those --
- 8 if somebody were to say that Laclede has been
- 9 overearning based on the analysis that Staff has
- 10 provided in its EMS runs, that overearnings would be
- 11 based on an equity component that is significantly
- 12 lower than Laclede's and perhaps the norm for
- 13 Laclede's equity components, but you're not aware of
- 14 that?
- 15 A. Is that still a question?
- 16 Q. Yeah, it is.
- 17 A. Okay. I just wanted to make sure after
- 18 the last statement.
- 19 **Q.** Sure.
- 20 A. So, what I can say about this
- 21 overearnings piece is that you don't look at just
- 22 one piece. It's a whole package, correct. So, if
- 23 someone's looking at it from Staff's equity
- 24 recommendation in this case, yes, they would look at
- 25 that piece and that specific number. However, I

- 1 can't imagine that's all that you would be looking
- 2 at because you don't look at overearnings as one
- 3 component.
- 4 Q. And just kind of like the formulas we
- 5 talked about before. If this Commission were to
- 6 include, you know, 54 percent equity component,
- 7 that's more appropriate than going down to 46 or
- 8 47 percent, you know, whatever overearnings somebody
- 9 might say are there would certainly be smaller,
- 10 would they not, if not nonexistent? Isn't that the
- 11 way it would work?
- 12 A. Would you, please, rephrase that
- 13 question?
- 14 O. Yeah. If the Commission orders a higher
- 15 equity component as part of the capital structure,
- 16 it proves in this case this 46 or 47 percent, that
- would go ahead and result in a higher revenue
- 18 requirement, would it not?
- 19 A. Yes, it would result in a higher revenue
- 20 requirement.
- 21 Q. Okay. I think I'm done. So, on balance
- 22 is this testifying stuff pretty fun?
- 23 A. It's about as much fun as me being at
- 24 that table.
- 25 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you very much.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2. MR. PENDERGAST: I appreciate it. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there questions from 4 the bench for Ms. Myers? CHAIRMAN HALL: I have no questions. 5 6 Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER STOLL: I have no questions. 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. 10 Thank you. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then is 12 there further cross-examination -- well, I quess we didn't have any questions from the bench. Is there 13 14 redirect? 15 MS. PAYNE: Yes. Thank you, Judge. 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. PAYNE 18 0. All right. Ms. Myers, I think I'm going 19 to go ahead and start from our most recent. 20 Mr. Pendergast asked you about the supposed synergy 2.1 savings from the Alagasco and Energy South 2.2 acquisitions. Do you happen to know the test year 23 in this proceeding? 24 Α. Generally, yes. 25 Q. And could you state that for me?

- 1 A. I believe the test year ended
- 2 December -- or was June of 2017, correct, with an
- 3 update period that ended in generally December.
- 4 Q. Correct. And do you know when that test
- 5 year began?
- 6 A. I do believe it began in June of the
- 7 2016.
- Q. If I said it was January 1st of 2016,
- 9 would you believe me?
- 10 A. Yes, I would believe you.
- 11 Q. And do you happen to have knowledge of
- when the Alagasco and Energy South acquisitions
- 13 occurred?
- 14 A. Generally just dates. I do believe one
- was 2014 and one was roughly 2016.
- 16 Q. And so, would those be considered in
- 17 Staff's test year?
- 18 A. In 2016, you know, that would be part of
- 19 Staff's test year had that been, obviously, June 1st
- 20 or later. 2014, no.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 22 You were asked several questions
- 23 regarding the recommended capital structure and ROE
- 24 in this case. Does Staff have a witness that could
- 25 answer these questions?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And would these questions perhaps be 3 better addressed for Staff's witness Dave Murray? 4 Yes. As I mentioned to Mr. Pendergast, Α. 5 Dave Murray would be the appropriate witness. 6 Okay. Thank you. 0. 7 To your knowledge, when Staff recommends a revenue requirement, would you say that -- what 9 would you say that reflects? What is that 10 recommendation designed to reflect? 11 Α. Yes. That recommendation reflects 12 everything we've looked at in the test year and it's ultimately given that what's in that test year and 13 14 in this case there is also a update and true-up 15 period, then what is that final revenue requirement 16 number. And so, that's what we looked at in this 17 case. 18 Q. Thank you. 19 And do we consider both increases and 20 decreases when we -- when Staff factors a 2.1 recommended revenue requirement at such proceedings? 2.2 Α. Yes, we do. 23 To your knowledge, does -- when Staff 0. 24 recommends a revenue requirement, is it necessary 25 for that to cover anything?

- 1 A. No. We don't assign that to any
- 2 particular one issue.
- 3 O. Do we consider things as a whole
- 4 package?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. All right. And finally, you were asked
- about the, the information that was provided to
- 8 Staff in relation to the MGE acquisition. To your
- 9 knowledge, when the company provided the transition
- 10 cost savings, was that provided by FERC account?
- 11 A. No. It was not originally.
- 12 Q. And to your knowledge, was the agreement
- 13 that they would provide that information by FERC
- 14 account?
- 15 A. It was. There was actual language in
- 16 the agreement.
- 17 MS. PAYNE: I have no further questions.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Ms. Myers,
- 21 you may step down.
- I think we're ready, then, for our next
- 23 witness from Office of Public Counsel.
- 24 MS. SHEMWELL: Public Counsel calls
- 25 Dr. Geoff Marke.

- JUDGE DIPPELL: Dr. Marke, would you,
 please, raise your right hand.
- 3 GEOFF MARKE,
- 4 having been called as a witness herein, having been
- 5 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- follows:
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MS. SHEMWELL
- 10 Q. Would you, please, state your name and
- 11 spell it for the court reporter.
- 12 A. It's Geoff, G-E-O-F-F, Marke, M-A-R-K-E.
- 13 Q. Dr. Marke, what do you do and where do
- 14 you work?
- 15 A. I am the chief economist at the Missouri
- 16 Office of Public Counsel.
- 17 O. How long have you been in that position?
- 18 A. I've been with Public Counsel since
- 19 2014.
- 20 Q. Did you file in this case rebuttal and
- 21 surrebuttal testimony?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. Do you have any corrections or additions
- 24 to your testimony?
- A. No, I do not.

1 0. If I were to ask you the same questions 2 today, would your answers be substantially the same? 3 Yes, they would. Α. 4 Is your testimony true and correct to Q. 5 the best of your knowledge and belief? 6 Α. Yes. 7 MS. SHEMWELL: I'm not going to offer into evidence since Dr. Marke is a witness in a 9 number of cases, and I am not certain as to what the 10 numbers are since we seem to be off. I'll try to 11 coordinate with you during the break, if that's 12 okay. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And just for --14 MS. SHEMWELL: We'll go with your list. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm not sure I've got my 16 list correct. 17 Okay. Just for everyone else's information and to double-check my marking, I have 18 19 it as OPC's 415 as the rebuttal. 20 MS. SHEMWELL: That's what I have. And 2.1 420 for surrebuttal with confidential schedules and 2.2 then 421 with public schedules. So, 420 does have 23 confidential information. 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's what I have as well. 25

1	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
2	I tender the witness for cross. Thank
3	you.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there
5	cross-examination by Staff?
6	MS. PAYNE: No questions. Thank you.
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
8	MR. BEAR: No questions, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.
10	MR. MILLS: No questions.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
12	Consumers.
13	MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust.
15	MR. LINHARES: No questions.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense
17	Fund.
18	MS. KARAS: No questions, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council.
20	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: MoGas.
22	MR. JARRETT: No questions.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Spire.
24	MR. PENDERGAST: Just a few.
25	

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. PENDERGAST
3	Q. Dr. Marke, how are you?
4	A. I'm good. Thank you.
5	Q. Good, good. I just have a couple of
6	questions. I thought it might be helpful to just
7	kind of give the Commission a policy update on some
8	of the issues in this case, and there was some
9	discussion today about the economic development rate
10	or rider and we've got a main extension financing
11	proposal in this case and also one for negotiated
12	gas service contracts that would give us additional
13	tools to meet situations in the competitive
14	marketplace, hopefully attract economic activity in
15	Missouri. Would you generally agree with that?
16	A. I would.
17	Q. And, you know, without talking about
18	whether or not there's an agreement forthcoming, but
19	just looking at the testimony, I think we've had a
20	pretty constructive discussion of that. OPC has
21	proposed some changes to what we filed; is that
22	correct?
23	MS. SHEMWELL: Are you excuse me.
24	Are you referring to negotiations?
25	MR. PENDERGAST: No, I'm not referring

- 1 to negotiations. I'm referring to what has been
- 2 said in testimony.
- 3 A. That it was -- that we're being
- 4 productive?
- 5 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Yes.
- 6 A. Yes. Okay.
- 7 Q. And you've made some recommendations,
- 8 maybe you could improve it here, improve it there?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And, you know, we've indicated, I think,
- in our testimony our willingness to do that. So,
- 12 that's been kind of a cooperative area that we've
- 13 had in this case. Would you agree with that?
- 14 A. It has, yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And I think in, in your testimony
- 16 you talked somewhat about the RSM and, you know, I
- 17 think you've given the company some credit for --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 O. -- what it's tried to do in terms of
- 20 coming up with ways of reducing customer charges and
- 21 that sort of thing?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And we appreciate that. I just
- 24 wanted to go ahead and get a little clarification
- 25 from you. I think on page 9 of your rebuttal

- 1 testimony you talk about the RSM and if you look at
- lines 19 to 20 it says that by ensuring recovery of
- 3 the company's profits irrespective of market
- 4 conditions or inefficient utility behavior. Do you
- 5 **see that?**
- 6 A. That's not what I'm reading. Just for
- 7 clarification, rebuttal?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Page 9?
- 10 Q. Page 8. I'm sorry.
- 11 A. Page 8.
- 12 Q. Page 8, lines 19 and 20.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Can you ask your question
- 14 again, please?
- 15 A. Yeah, please.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Yeah, sure. So,
- 17 there you say that -- well, I think you characterize
- 18 the RSM as ensuring a recovery of the company's
- 19 profits irrespective of market conditions or
- 20 inefficient utility behavior. Do you see that?
- 21 A. I do.
- 22 Q. Yeah. And I just want to make sure the
- 23 Commission's clear. The revenue stabilization
- 24 mechanism is designed to adjust for variations in
- 25 revenues, right?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. And so, if the revenues are less, and
- 3 there's other aspects of it, but if revenues are
- 4 less, you would have been authorized by the
- 5 Commission, you would have an adjustment to
- 6 basically flow that revenue variation through to
- 7 customers; and conversely, if revenues are higher,
- 8 it's a real cold winter, whatever, there would be an
- 9 adjustment to return some of that additional revenue
- 10 back to customers?
- 11 A. In general, that's how the mechanism
- 12 would work.
- Q. Okay. And, and my only point is that,
- 14 you know, if we are just, like, really inefficient
- or we go out and do things that increase, you know,
- our costs, you know, that's not going to be covered
- 17 by the RSM, is it?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so, your comment should be
- 20 read in the context of to the extent that revenue
- 21 variations affect profits or rates for that matter,
- this tends to go ahead and reduce the impact of
- 23 those variations, but to the extent it's related to
- revenues, not to costs?
- 25 A. Mr. Pendergast, I'm going to have you

- 1 repeat that because I don't want to trip up my
- 2 answer.
- 3 O. You know, I probably should have stopped
- 4 while I was ahead. So, if I look at this to the
- 5 extent that revenues affect profits and, you know,
- 6 affect the customers' bill, the revenue
- 7 stabilization mechanism could potentially have an
- 8 impact on that, right?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Okay. But to the extent that profits
- 11 are affected because my costs have gone up maybe
- 12 because of inefficient behavior, that's still going
- 13 to be on the utility?
- 14 A. So, the issue I would take with that
- 15 statement is that in general what we're doing with
- 16 the revenue decoupling mechanism is it falls under
- 17 that universe of single issue ratemaking. Right?
- 18 We're taking now that revenue, it's being adjusted
- on an annual basis, perhaps maybe on a quarterly
- 20 basis so there's more fluctuation with it, but it's
- 21 isolating it. It's just looking at that component.
- 22 It's not looking at the totality, which is in part
- 23 what Ms. Myers was talking about earlier.
- Q. Yeah, but, once again, my point is that
- 25 if our profits are changing because our costs have

- gone up, the revenue stabilization mechanism isn't
- 2 going to impact that?
- 3 A. If your profits are changing because
- 4 costs have gone up?
- 5 Q. Yeah. I mean, you talked about this
- 6 ensures profits by making sure that even if you have
- 7 inefficient behavior and costs, you know, increase,
- 8 you'll be taken care of and the revenue
- 9 stabilization mechanism does not do that?
- 10 A. So, in general, again, I would say that
- 11 properly designed it could help ensure that. The
- 12 concerns in part, and I got to be honest that I
- 13 think Mr. Stahlman probably did a better job of
- 14 articulating that specific mechanism in his
- 15 testimony, but there is a question over how the
- 16 mechanism would actually be designed. So, average
- 17 use. How do we define average use across customers
- 18 over -- there can be huge variations. So, we can
- 19 just say, well, it's the average customer use at
- 20 this time. If we're changing it up on a quarterly
- 21 basis, there's going to be more fluctuation, more
- 22 variation.
- In part, to your question earlier,
- 24 that's why if the Commission elected to go with an
- 25 RSM, we offered up several other amended issues to

- 1 help protect customers. One was the 3 percent rate
- 2 impact cap.
- 3 O. Yeah. And I guess I should mention
- 4 that, too. In addition to progress we made on EDR
- 5 and extension financing, you've also tried to
- 6 provide some constructive suggestions on the RSM as
- 7 well?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Very good.
- 10 MR. PENDERGAST: I think that's all I've
- 11 got. Thank you very much.
- 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 13 Are there questions from the
- 14 commissioners for this witness?
- 15 CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
- 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect from
- 19 Office of Public Counsel?
- MS. SHEMWELL: No. Thank you, Your
- Honor.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then,
- 23 Mr. Marke, you may step down. Thank you.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead with your next

1	witness, Ms. Shemwell.
2	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
3	Public Counsel calls Charles Hyneman.
4	CHARLES HYNEMAN,
5	having been called as a witness herein, having been
6	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
7	follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. SHEMWELL
10	Q. Mr. Hyneman, would you, please, state
11	your name and spell your last name for the court
12	reporter.
13	A. It's Charles Hyneman, H-Y-N-E-M-A-N.
14	Q. Mr. Hyneman, how are you employed?
15	A. The Missouri Office of Public Counsel.
16	Q. And what is your position there?
17	A. Chief public utility accountant.
18	Q. Mr. Hyneman, have you prepared testimony
19	to be filed in this case?
20	A. Yes, I have.
21	Q. You have prepared, I'm sorry, direct
22	A. Rebuttal.
23	Q and rebuttal and surrebuttal?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Do you have any changes to your

1 testimony today? 2. Α. Not at this time. 3 0. If I were to ask you the same questions 4 today, would your answers be the same? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Is your testimony true and correct to 0. 7 the best of your knowledge and belief? Α. It is. 9 MS. SHEMWELL: I offer the witness for 10 cross. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. And just for 12 clarification, I have Mr. Hyneman's testimony marked 13 as Exhibit 403 for the direct, 410 for the rebuttal, 14 and 425 for the surrebuttal. 15 MS. SHEMWELL: Mr. Hyneman, might I 16 retrieve your testimony that I handed --17 THE WITNESS: Yes, certainly. 18 MS. SHEMWELL: -- to Ms. Myers? 19 Yes, Judge. That's what I have. Thank 20 you. 2.1 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Is there 22 cross-examination by Staff? 23 MS. PAYNE: No questions. Thank you. 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy. 25 MR. BEAR: No questions.

- JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.
- 2 MR. MILLS: Just briefly, Your Honor.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. MILLS
- 5 Q. Mr. Hyneman, are you familiar with the
- 6 Commission practice of making adjustments in a rate
- 7 case for known and measurable changes?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. And what -- in order for a change to be
- 10 appropriate for something like that in what way does
- it have to be known?
- 12 A. It is known to have been occurred,
- 13 incurred in a test year.
- Q. Okay. Does it have to occur within the
- 15 test year?
- 16 A. Within test year or true-up period.
- 17 O. Okay. And in order for it to be
- 18 measurable, what does that mean?
- 19 A. It means it needs to be measurable with
- 20 a high degree of accuracy. That's the standard term
- 21 of known and measurable has been applied in Missouri
- 22 for several years.
- 23 Q. And so, could a change in federal tax
- 24 rates qualify to be a known or measurable change
- 25 depending on the timing?

- 1 A. No. That -- in this case that would be
- 2 a specific adjustment outside the true-up period.
- 3 That would not be known or measurable for this case.
- 4 Q. But in general a change in the tax
- 5 rates, like I said, depending on the timing, could
- 6 be a known and measurable change?
- 7 A. Oh, absolutely, yeah. I mean, if the
- 8 tax law went into effect within the true-up period
- 9 in this case, it would certainly be known and
- 10 measurable to this case.
- 11 MR. MILLS: Thank you. That's all I
- 12 have.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: MEC.
- MR. WOODSMALL: Briefly, Your Honor.
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. WOODSMALL
- 17 Q. Good afternoon, sir.
- 18 A. Good afternoon.
- 19 Q. I want to hit on three short points.
- 20 So, I don't think this should take long. Were you
- 21 here for your counsel's opening statement?
- 22 A. I listened to it upstairs, yes.
- 23 Q. And you heard some discussion from her
- 24 about stock price. Do you recall that?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q. Do you know how Spire stock prices
2	changed over the last five years?
3	A. Yeah. I think they roughly doubled, I
4	think, from \$40 to \$80. And I did, I looked it up
5	on Yahoo finance and it looks like, I'm sorry, it
6	looks like the growth rate is 102 percent for Spire.
7	Q. And following up on a question that
8	Commissioner Kenney asked of counsel, do you know
9	how the Dow Jones average, the market conditions
10	have changed over that same time?
11	A. Yes. The Dow Jones industrial average
12	increased 84 percent over that time. I also looked
13	the Dow Jones utility average, which is an average
14	of the 50 largest utility stocks in the nation and
15	that increased 67.2 percent. Now, these numbers
16	fluctuate as the stock prices fluctuate, but that's,
17	that's the order of magnitude of the increase.
18	Q. So, would you accept, subject to change,
19	that Spire stock prices increase almost 52 percent
20	greater than the Dow Jones utility average in
21	percentage terms, not in nominal terms?
22	A. Okay.
23	MS. SHEMWELL: And he's not asking
24	A. Yes.
25	MS. SHEMWELL: for a prediction?

- 1 A. No. It's a rough estimation.
- Yes, that's a good estimation.
- 3 O. (By Mr. Woodsmall) Thank you.
- 4 There were comments made by Mr. Lindsey
- 5 and I've seen you testify before about J.D. Power
- 6 Awards.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So, some comments made by Mr. Lindsey
- 9 about J.D. Power Awards. Were you here for those
- 10 comments?
- 11 A. The only comments I heard were from
- 12 Laclede counsel, Mr. Zucker, about J.D. Power.
- 13 Q. Okay. And what is your understanding or
- interpretation of how Spire's J.D. Power rankings
- 15 have changed?
- 16 A. Yeah. When I heard Mr. Zucker said they
- 17 skyrocketed I think may be the term he used, it
- 18 struck me because I did an analysis of Laclede's
- 19 J.D. Power ratings a few months ago and I noticed
- 20 for both Laclede and MGE from 2008 to 2017 they were
- 21 consistently below the average of the group, the
- 22 peer group for the analysis.
- Q. And what is their peer group?
- A. It's the Midwest large utilities.
- 25 **Q.** Okay.

- 1 A. Large segment.
- 2 Q. So, in 2017 Spire's J.D. Power ranking
- 3 is below the Midwest peer group ranking; is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Below the Midwest average, yes. The
- 6 Midwest large average it was a ranking of 734.
- 7 Laclede was at 732 and Missouri Gas Energy was at
- 8 733.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 Final issue I wanted to address was
- 11 discovery. There were some comments made by your
- 12 consultant in I believe direct testimony. Are you
- generally familiar with discovery problems OPC has
- 14 had not only in this case, but the consolidated
- 15 complaint case?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And can you tell me generally what were
- 18 those problems?
- 19 A. It's consistently late DRs. Some DR
- 20 responses 40, 60 days late. The responses to them
- 21 and a lot of times just made no sense. For example,
- 22 one response -- typically they would say see Staff
- 23 DR 7 for the answer to an OPC data request, and I
- 24 know our consultant had problems with this. She'd
- 25 go to Staff DR 7 response and there was nothing that

1 was relevant to the question that OPC had in its DR, and it was just very frustrating to get that over 2. 3 and over again. And a lot of times you get 4 questions that were simply not answered. So, it was 5 very frustrating in this case dealing with Laclede 6 with the discovery issues. 7 And can you compare the discovery ο. problems in this case to how discovery is handled in 9 rate cases that you've done in your 25 years? I mean, if I was to give a 10 Α. Yeah. 11 ranking -- and I have audited in major rate cases, I 12 think, every large utility in the state. And if I 13 was going to give Laclede ranking, it would be a 14 clear F. I have audited utilities that I would give 15 an A rating, A minus, B, C, but Laclede by far would 16 get an F rating when it comes to discovery. 17 MR. WOODSMALL: I have no further 18 questions. Thank you. 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust. 20 MR. LINHARES: No questions, Your Honor. JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense 2.1 2.2 Fund. 23 No questions. MS. KARAS: 2.4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumer Council. 25 MR. COFFMAN: No questions.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: MoGas. MR. JARRETT: No, thank you. 2. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Spire. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. PENDERGAST 6 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hyneman. 7 Good afternoon, Mr. Pendergast. Α. I asked Ms. Myers if this was her first Q. 9 time to testify. She said it was. And this case 10 may present your last opportunity to testify? 11 Α. It will. 12 It will. So, kind of a circle of life 0. 13 thing. 14 Definitely is true. Α. 15 I just wanted to go ahead and ask a 0. 16 couple questions. You had some discussion about 17 J.D. Powers. Have you looked at the most recent 18 one? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. 2.1 Let me clarify. The most recent Α. 2.2 residential customer survey, yes. Not the business. 23 How much did MGE go up in that one? 0. 24 A. MGE's score in 2016 was 678 and 2017 it was 733. 25

1 0. Great. How about for Laclede? Laclede in 2016 was 697 and in 2017 it 2 Α. 3 was 732. 4 Thank you. 0. 5 You were asked some questions about data 6 requests. Do you have any sense of how many data 7 requests Laclede got in this case for both MGE and Laclede for all parties? 9 Α. I do not. 10 Would you dispute that it was in the Q. 11 thousands? 12 Α. I don't know. 13 Q. You don't know. Okay. 14 So, in formulating your opinion, that 15 was done without having the context of what the 16 onslaught of DRs actually were? 17 Α. Well, I think that the number of DRs 18 are, one, a function of two companies, and it's also 19 a function of the positions taken by the company in direct testimony. There's a lot of different 20 2.1 positions out there. So, if you have a lot of maybe 2.2 unique positions in direct testimony, it will drive 23 more data requests. When you don't answer data requests the first time, it will drive additional 24 25 data requests.

- 1 O. Well, and data requests will also be
- 2 driven by what issues other parties want to raise,
- 3 will it not?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. For example, not picking on them, but we
- 6 have the Environmental Defense Fund in this case and
- 7 it's got some special issues that are of importance
- 8 to them and, so, they are likely to issue quite a
- 9 few data requests to get information they think is
- 10 relevant; is that right?
- 11 MS. SHEMWELL: Excuse me. He can't
- 12 speculate as to what EDF is doing or why.
- 13 A. I don't know if they issued one, five or
- 14 ten DRs.
- 15 O. (By Mr. Pendergast) Okay. So, once
- 16 again, when you made your comments, it was without
- 17 the benefit of knowing what other parties like EDF
- 18 have done as far as the number of data requests?
- 19 A. Yes. I was speaking to Laclede's
- 20 responses to OPC's data requests.
- 21 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- Were you here when I had a discussion
- with Ms. Myers about the Staff investigation report?
- 24 A. I was.
- Q. Okay. And did you hear her testify that

- 1 because Laclede did not have any rate cases since it
- 2 acquired Energy South and Alagasco that Laclede's
- 3 customers could not have had their rates increased
- 4 because of it?
- 5 A. Well, I mean, a general rate case is
- 6 just one way to increase rates for Laclede. Laclede
- 7 rates are also increased through the PGA charges,
- 8 they're increased through the ISRS charges, they're
- 9 increased through, you know, other means other than
- 10 base rating.
- 11 Q. Okay. Well, then tell me how were our
- 12 PGA rates increased as a result of the Alagasco or
- 13 Energy South acquisition?
- 14 A. I do not know.
- 15 Q. You do not know. Okay.
- 16 A. But I know your ISRS rates have
- increased significantly over the last seven years.
- 18 In fact, I think your capital expenditures have
- 19 increased more than any utility in the country over
- 20 recent past.
- 21 Q. And that's because of capital work we're
- 22 doing down in Alabama?
- 23 A. I didn't say that. I said your ISRS
- 24 rates in Missouri have increased dramatically over
- 25 the past several years. So, Laclede has had rate

- 1 increases, not base rates, since the last case.
- Q. Okay. Well, how have our ISRS rates
- 3 increased because of our acquisition of Alagasco?
- 4 A. I didn't say that they had.
- 5 Q. Okay. So, you're not saying there was
- 6 any connection to that?
- 7 A. I don't know of any connection.
- 8 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 9 So, you will acknowledge that our base
- 10 rates didn't increase, you're not aware of how our
- 11 PGA rates could have increased because of these
- 12 acquisitions, and you don't have anything to offer
- 13 as far as whether ISRS charges could have increased
- 14 because of it?
- 15 A. No. If you want to talk about the
- 16 acquisitions, you didn't seek Commission approval of
- 17 an acquisition. Sometimes in acquisitions utility
- 18 will agree to cut rates, what KCPL is proposing to
- 19 do in the acquisition of Westar. They are proposing
- 20 a rate decrease. Could that be something that came
- 21 out of Laclede's acquisition of Alagasco? They
- 22 could have.
- Q. No. Mr. Hyneman, what I'm talking about
- 24 is a article that you put in your surrebuttal
- 25 testimony that showed up in our hometown newspaper

- 1 that purported to say that the rates of our Missouri
- 2 customers had gone up because of these acquisitions,
- 3 that service had decreased because of these
- 4 acquisitions, and I'm asking you do you have any
- 5 basis to support that?
- 6 A. I don't know what was in the
- 7 individual's mind when he made that statement. I do
- 8 know in the report of Staff and I think it was Staff
- 9 witness Schallenberg was one of the most premiere
- 10 experts in affiliate transactions, I think, in the
- 11 nation said that Laclede is violating affiliate
- 12 transactions, and he believes there's a lot of costs
- 13 being pushed out to Missouri that shouldn't be.
- 14 Now, that doesn't directly increase rates from that,
- 15 but it does result in an improper cost allocation.
- 16 Q. Okay. So, you know, you can say
- improper allocation, but, you know, what I'm really
- 18 asking about is had the rates to our customers
- increased as a result of these acquisitions by
- 20 **September 1st, 2016?**
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. You don't know?
- 23 A. No. I would have to think through that.
- 24 Right now I don't know if it did or if it didn't.
- 25 O. Okay. So, so, when you recite that

- 1 article and you put it in your surrebuttal
- 2 testimony, you don't know whether what it's saying
- 3 is true or not?
- 4 A. Well, if I can -- I don't know. I mean,
- 5 that could very well be an untrue statement. I just
- 6 have to think through the process, the period and
- 7 consider all factors whether that is true. I'm not
- 8 prepared to do that right now.
- 9 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 10 A. But I will say the point of including
- 11 that article was to highlight the Staff report, to
- 12 make a note to the Commission. The Commission
- 13 normally says that they address Staff reports in the
- 14 next proceeding. Well, this is the next proceeding
- 15 for Laclede and I recommend to the Commission it
- 16 does address this report, some of the customer
- 17 service concerns Staff outlined and primarily
- 18 affiliate transaction concerns, major ones that
- 19 staff outlined that they should be addressed through
- 20 audit of Laclede's affiliate transactions and
- 21 require Laclede to update its cost allocation manual
- 22 that's actually way outdated.
- So, the purpose of that is to say,
- 24 Commission, this may be the time for you to take
- 25 action on those factors. I wasn't certifying that

- 1 Laclede's rates went up because of the Alagasco.
- 2 That was a comment in the article, but the purpose
- 3 with the article was to illustrate that these
- 4 transactions are important to the citizens of
- 5 Missouri as illustrated by the St. Louis Business
- 6 Journal.
- 7 Q. Okay. So, that's a clarification maybe
- 8 at the conclusion of this proceeding we could give
- 9 our customers that when you thought that you'd heard
- 10 that these acquisitions had increased your rates and
- decreased the quality of your service, you know,
- 12 it's not like anybody's sitting here saying that's
- what actually happened?
- 14 A. I think if you believe that not to be
- 15 true, you should call the newspaper and ask them to
- 16 retract it.
- 17 **Q.** We did.
- 18 A. Okay. Well, then they --
- 19 **Q.** And we --
- 20 A. -- may have had evidence to support.
- 21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Wait, wait, wait. One
- 22 at a time and please ask and answer questions.
- Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Yeah. I guess I was
- answering your question, wasn't I?
- 25 And as far as, you know, the allocations

- 1 and whether or not there's any kind of affiliate
- 2 transaction concern associated with that, when it
- 3 comes to allocations between regulated utilities,
- 4 that's something that's been done for decades, well
- 5 before the affiliate transaction rule, isn't it?
- 6 A. I would say if you had two utilities
- 7 operated under a holding company or controlled by
- 8 one utility, there would be some transactions.
- 9 Q. Well, I'm just saying that if you got
- 10 Ameren and it's got operations in different states,
- 11 you know, Empire, don't they have operations in
- 12 different states, and don't you have to go ahead and
- 13 take their assets and their joint common costs and
- 14 allocate them between jurisdictions?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And that's something the Commission has
- 17 been doing for decades, isn't it?
- 18 MS. SHEMWELL: Mr. Hyneman, do you have
- 19 decades of information?
- THE WITNESS: No. I'm only aware of the
- 21 affiliate transaction since I started auditing
- 22 utilities, which was approximately 2003.
- Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Okay. So, you don't
- 24 know how long the Commission's been doing that.
- 25 Let me ask you this: As far as the

- 1 statement that you repeated in your testimony about
- 2 how we were allocating costs being in violation of
- 3 the affiliate transaction rule, can you tell me
- 4 whether anybody filed a complaint after that report
- 5 was submitted on our corporate allocations?
- 6 A. I don't believe anyone has.
- 7 Q. You don't believe anyone has.
- 8 So, if somebody thought there was a
- 9 violation going on, they didn't respond to it by
- 10 filing a complaint?
- 11 MS. SHEMWELL: I'm going to object to
- 12 that because Mr. Hyneman cannot speculate as to what
- 13 everyone or anyone else would do.
- 14 MR. PENDERGAST: That's fine. It's
- 15 enough for him to say no complaint has been filed.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) And our allocations
- 17 have been looked at to our affiliated utilities in
- 18 this case, have they not?
- 19 A. Not to any great extent, no. We have --
- 20 we have an outside auditor who has looked at cost
- 21 allocations and merger synergies, but for one
- individual to do that, she didn't have the amount of
- 23 time to do a complete audit, and I know Staff --
- 24 Q. Did the Staff look at it?
- 25 A. I don't believe Staff looked at anything

- 1 much at all to do with corporate allocations.
- Q. Okay. So, whatever we allocated,
- everybody just said that looks fine to us, is that
- 4 what happened?
- 5 A. No. They just didn't address it in the
- 6 scope of their audit probably due to resource
- 7 limitations.
- 8 Q. And do you know how many questions were
- 9 asked about allocations?
- 10 MS. SHEMWELL: Again, Mr. Hyneman, I'm
- 11 going to caution that that calls for speculation.
- MR. PENDERGAST: Well, if he
- doesn't know, he can say I don't know.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: If he's simply asked if
- 15 he knows, I'll allow it.
- 16 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 17 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Yeah. Do you know
- 18 how many questions were asked of the company that
- 19 related in one way or another to how it allocates
- 20 its corporate costs?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. And just one last question. At
- 23 the same time the article that you put in your
- 24 surrebuttal testimony was talking about rates going
- up. OPC had a complaint that said that the company

1	was overearning; is that correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. Thank you. I
4	have no further questions.
5	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
7	Are there questions from the bench for
8	Mr. Hyneman?
9	CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank
10	you.
11	COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions.
12	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Rupp.
14	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Good afternoon, sir.
15	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
16	COMMISSIONER RUPP: The J.D. Power
17	average that you testified pertains to 2008 and
18	2016. I know J.D. Power has lots of different
19	categories. What are you specifically referring to
20	with that average?
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah. And this was the
22	gas utility residential customer satisfaction
23	survey. J.D. Power normally does two surveys. They
24	do a business customer and a residential. My focus
25	was strictly on residential.

1	COMMISSIONER RUPP: Residential customer
2	service?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER RUPP: And I'm going to
5	show my ignorance here. Is there any type of
6	penalty or any type of anything happen if there is a
7	DR response that does not come in, that is late, or
8	is it just, hey, you guys are late, get it in? Is
9	there anything in our purview that says if you're
10	late, you're fined this or there's some type of?
11	THE WITNESS: Well, the process, as I
12	understand it, is that if they don't respond to a DR
13	properly or fail to respond, then we'll contact the
14	attorneys, they'll talk it over to see if they can
15	get the issue resolved. If the issue is not
16	resolved, then the hearing, maybe issue a motion to
17	compel. That is a very resource-heavy process that
18	we don't have time to do. We've had dozens of DRs
19	that were not answered. Some that were 40, 30, 40
20	days late. We don't have time for every DR to
21	COMMISSIONER RUPP: No. That's fine. I
22	didn't know if there was a, okay, if you don't get
23	it, then it goes to the judge.
24	THE WITNESS: Right.
25	COMMISSIONER RUPP: And walk me through

- 1 the process. So, if the judge issues a motion to
- 2 compel and they don't -- XYZ company does not compel
- 3 them, what happens?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Well, that's an
- 5 interesting question because that's what happened
- 6 with Laclede before in a process where Staff in the
- 7 process of an ACA audit requested a gas invoice from
- 8 the affiliate and Laclede would not provide it. And
- 9 so, it went before the Commission over and over
- 10 again. The Commission finally ordered them to do
- 11 it. Laclede wouldn't do it. They directed them
- 12 again and Laclede took them to court, I think it
- 13 went to Circuit and Supreme Court and finally the
- 14 Supreme Court ruled, and this is just my
- 15 understanding, that they have to comply with it.
- 16 But that one response, DR response from a simple
- 17 affiliate transaction invoice, in my opinion, wasted
- 18 hundreds, hundreds of hours of Commission time.
- 19 COMMISSIONER RUPP: But that was not in
- 20 this case?
- 21 THE WITNESS: No, but that's how it do.
- 22 If the company is not going to provide data, the
- 23 process goes through the courts.
- 24 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Now, to help me
- 25 understand, you were asked how many DR responses the

- 1 company got and I think it was said in the thousands
- 2 of something. What is an average number that a
- 3 large utility such as Spire or Ameren, Kansas City
- 4 Power & Light, somebody like that, what is the
- 5 typical rate case number of DR responses that a
- 6 company would get during a rate case? Do you have
- 7 an average or can anyone answer that question
- 8 throughout the course of?
- 9 THE WITNESS: I could give you from my
- 10 experience on Staff and with the OPC. Normally on a
- 11 major -- and I'll give you probably on electric
- 12 because I worked a lot of electric rate cases. The
- 13 DR is going to be probably, three, four, five
- 14 hundred, the Staff data request. OPC, depending on
- 15 their resources, involvement in the case, may do
- 16 another 200, 300. So, I think when you talk about
- 17 those two entities, I think between six to eight
- 18 hundred would be a good ballpark number of data
- 19 requests.
- 20 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Okay. That was all
- 21 I had. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- Mr. Hyneman, I just had a couple of
- 25 questions for you just to clarify. So, you talked

- 1 about some stock percentages earlier.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Were those numbers that
- 4 you are giving from today's date or from some time
- 5 in the past?
- 6 THE WITNESS: No. Actually, yeah. And
- 7 I got on the Yahoo Finance during lunch and ran
- 8 these queries and those are the numbers. You run
- 9 them five minutes later, you're going to get a
- 10 different number because it's a floating stock
- 11 price.
- 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: I just wanted to know
- 13 the date.
- 14 THE WITNESS: That's today, yes.
- 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then my ignorance
- 16 here with the J.D. Power numbers that you gave, and
- 17 you gave us some past ones on how they had increased
- 18 some. What is that number? What's that number
- 19 relate to? I mean...
- 20 THE WITNESS: What it is -- and to be
- 21 clear, I don't put a lot of stock in J.D. Power, but
- 22 some entities do, companies do. They consider it
- 23 relevant to their customer service, and it's a
- 24 customer service survey. So, they'll contact
- 25 customers in their service area and ask them

- 1 specific questions and they combine the results and
- 2 do an analysis of all the utilities in the region.
- 3 I think J.D. Power does good work about feedback
- 4 with utility on certain call center processes and
- 5 procedures, how they can improve, but I don't know
- 6 about the overall score being a definite accurate
- 7 picture of the quality of service the utility is
- 8 providing.
- 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, but how does that
- 10 score relate? Is it, like, a credit score? I mean,
- is it 600 out of 1,000 or with 1,000 being better
- 12 than zero or...?
- THE WITNESS: Well, there are different
- 14 factors that J.D. Power asks about, different
- 15 questions. They rank those, they weight them, and
- 16 they come up with a number. And the 217 for
- 17 example, the average number score for the Midwest
- 18 large utilities was 734, that's the number.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
- 20 THE WITNESS: The higher the number the
- 21 better.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. That gives me
- 23 some context. That's what I was looking for.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, that
- 25 document you can get online very easily. So, it's

1 not propriety at all. 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 3 Commissioner Rupp, you had another 4 question. 5 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah. 6 Did I just hear you tell the Judge that 7 you don't put a lot of stock into the J.D. Power report? 9 THE WITNESS: As representative of the 10 quality of utility service, yes, as one factor, but 11 a lot more important factor are customer complaints, 12 average speed of answer. All those metrics that 13 Staff monitors for customers in Missouri, I think 14 they're a lot more important than the J.D. Power 15 scores. 16 COMMISSIONER RUPP: You said you don't 17 put much stock in the J.D. Power score, but yet you 18 used it in the response to a question stating that 19 they were -- 2008 and 2016 they were below their 20 peers? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Below average. What I 2.2 said, I didn't use J.D. Power testimony. The 23 counsel for Laclede said that J.D. Power score's skyrocketed. I don't believe that to be true and 24 25 the evidence I gave here shows that it's not true.

1	COMMISSIONER RUPP: But you don't put a
2	whole lot of stock into J.D. Power surveys?
3	THE WITNESS: I think J.D. Power
4	provides service to utilities as far as, you know, a
5	lot of different things, but if I'm going to say for
6	sure that Laclede got a 730 and MGE got a 890, that
7	MGE definitely has better customer service than
8	Laclede, I can't make that. There are too many
9	other factors that are not considered by J.D. Power
10	that goes into that view.
11	COMMISSIONER RUPP: All right. Thank
12	you.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Is there
14	further cross-examination based on questions from
15	the bench from Staff?
16	MS. PAYNE: No questions.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
18	MR. BEAR: No questions, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.
20	MR. MILLS: No, thank you.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: MEC.
22	MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust.
24	MR. LINHARES: No. Thank you.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense.

1	М	S. KARAS: No questions.
2	J.	UDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council.
3	М	oGas.
4	M	R. JARRETT: No. Thank you.
5	J.	UDGE DIPPELL: All right. Spire.
6	M	R. PENDERGAST: No questions, Your
7	Honor.	
8	J.	UDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect?
9	М	S. SHEMWELL: Yes. Thank you.
10		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. SHEMW	ELL
12	Q. M	r. Hyneman, Mr. Pendergast asked you
13	about whether	rates increased from the Alagasco,
14	Energy South	acquisition. Do you remember that
15	question?	
16	А. У	es.
17	Q. D	id you include the Staff report in your
18	testimony?	
19	A. I:	n my surrebuttal testimony.
20	Q. T	he 2016?
21	А. У	es, I did.
22	Q. A	nd did the Staff report have a
23	conclusion; d	o you recall?
24	A. I	don't recall it right now.
25	Q. L	et me hand you your testimony.

1	Does that refresh your recollection?
2	A. Yes. It's it raises two of the
3	concerns identified in the report and that's capital
4	cost and improper cost allocations.
5	Q. And why did you include that in your
6	testimony?
7	A. The report was that OPC's witness Ara
8	Azad identified problems with cost allocations with
9	Laclede. The Staff has had affiliate transactions
10	and cost allocations problems with Laclede for many
11	years going back at least to 2010-2011. This report
12	says to me it says something needs to be done,
13	and I included in my testimony saying, Commission,
14	we agree something needs to be done and what you can
15	do is order the recommendations that OPC has made in
16	this case. First one is that Laclede needs to
17	update its CAM. It's way outdated. The second one
18	is it needs to order cost allocations/affiliate
19	transactions independent audit so we can put to rest
20	the concerns the Staff outlined in this report.
21	Those are necessary. They're needed. And so, I
22	attached this report to let the Commission know the
23	seriousness of the problems that are going on now.
24	Q. Would you read the conclusion, please.
25	A. Oh, yes. I'm sorry.

1 "The not detrimental to the public interest standard requires a cost/benefit analysis. 2 3 Staff is not aware of any benefits that the 4 transactions have." 5 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, I'm going 6 to object to this. What he's reading are statements 7 that were made by people that are not here to be cross-examined. You know, if the Staff wants to put 9 on witnesses to go ahead and validate whether or not 10 these are true. We've already heard a lot about how 11 they weren't true, at least as reported generally, 12 and I think it's fundamentally unfair to have Mr. Hyneman read these conclusions when the people 13 14 that wrote them aren't here to be cross-examined. 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Ms. Shemwell. 16 MS. SHEMWELL: Judge, it's sworn 17 testimony filed under affidavit by the Staff in a case. So, this is already in the Commission record 18 19 with sworn affidavits. I'm simply asking him what 20 did the Staff conclude in that report. 2.1 JUDGE DIPPELL: I don't think there's a 2.2 need for Mr. Hyneman to read the report. It's 23 already in the record -- well, it may be in the record if it's admitted. It's attached to his 2.4 25 testimony. If it doesn't come in, I'll let you make

- 1 a motion to -- for the Commission to take official
- 2 notice of it later.
- 3 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
- 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there anything
- 5 further?
- 6 Q. (By Ms. Shemwell) In response to a
- 7 question Mr. Pendergast asked, has Public Counsel's
- 8 complaint, the complaint that Public Counsel made
- 9 about overearnings been consolidated in this case?
- 10 A. It has.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Can I get both of you to
- 12 speak into your microphones?
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 15 Q. (By Ms. Shemwell) In its orders and it's
- some of its initial orders, does the Commission
- 17 specifically order a certain response time for data
- 18 requests?
- 19 A. Yes. It's generally 20 days.
- 20 Q. Does it change from 20 days?
- 21 A. Yes. I think after, like, a rebuttal
- 22 filing or something it decreases to ten, maybe five
- 23 days.
- Q. And you've discussed some of the issues
- you had. Can you tell me in terms of accumulated

1 deferred income taxes did you ask a DR? 2. Α. Yes. 3 0. From whom did you ask? 4 It was Laclede. Α. 5 How long did it take you to get a 0. 6 response to that? 7 And the response is still not complete, which is kind of sad, but I think it was a two and a 9 half month period. I think it was around 10 mid-September I requested the DR. They came back 11 with being nonresponsive. I kept pursuing it to get 12 the information, information, and then finally I 13 think the company on November 30th didn't do a 14 reconciliation or explain the timing difference in 15 it, but they indicated that these were the deferred tax component that lined up with their 10K, which 16 was the basis of the DR, and then finally after that 17 the company recognized a problem they had and they 18 19 included an additional \$100 million of deferred income taxes in their rate base. 20 2.1 In their rate base? Q. 22 Yes. There's a rate base offset. Α. 23 MS. SHEMWELL: That's all I have. Thank 24 you. 25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

1 All right. Mr. Hyneman, you may step down for now. Thank you. 2 3 We're going to go ahead then and take a 4 break until 3:25. We can go off the record. 5 (A short recess was taken.) (OPC's Exhibit 427 was marked for 6 identification.) 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. We are back 9 on the record after our break, and we are ready to proceed to the second issue, and we'll start with 10 11 opening statements. Do the mini openings, are we 12. doing those in the order that we did general? 13 Okay. So, we're all on the same page. 14 All right. Then we can go ahead and 15 start with Spire. 16 MR. ZUCKER: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: An opening statement on this issue? 18 19 MR. ZUCKER: Sure. May it please the Commission: 20 At Spire/MGE we believe in providing 2.1 2.2 people incentives and that's because people respond 23 to incentives and we think that that is a good management tool and I think that's the reason it is 24 25 used as a management tool throughout corporate

1 America. Like us at Laclede incenting our employees, we think that you, the Commission, should 2. 3 provide incentives to us. And the -- and the 4 incentives would work both ways. It would provide 5 an opportunity for the company to supplement its 6 income if it can provide the kind of service that is 7 considered above and beyond just adequate. It would also cause the company to lose money if it provided 9 service that was not considered adequate. 10 losing money and getting money is a wide range of 11 just acceptable in which there would be no, no 12 movement either way. We believe that a performance metrics, a 13 14 performance incentive program would dovetail well 15 with our own employee incentive program, and let me explain that, if I could. Let's say that we come 16 17 out of this case with approval of, in rates, for a given employee, that employee's base salary and a 18 19 target incentive of 100 percent, which means the

25 otherwise make. So, some of their, their

employee did what they're expected to do.

100 percent. If you do less, you get under

100 percent, the employee does, and then the

employee makes in effect less than they would

can -- if you do more than that, you get over

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 compensation is at risk. 2 So, let's say in this example, so, we 3 have the 100 percent target in rates and the 4 employee underperforms and performs at a 50 percent level so that we then pay the employee only 5 6 50 percent of his incentive. We collected in rates 100 percent, but only paid 50 percent. The company 7 would be in that case ahead in a situation in which 9 you would assume the company provided poor customer service because of the, the employee's subpar 10 11 performance. The performance incentive from the 12 Commission would then tell us provide some of that 13 money back that you -- that you got in rates because 14 of the poor performance. You wouldn't have to do 15 anything specific. The program would work that way 16 automatically. So, if it's done right, in effect 17 the performance incentive program would dovetail 18 well with the company's incentive program. 19 In this case we did not get to the point 20 of actually proposing detailed metrics and detailed 2.1 levels, but what we're asking the Commission to do 2.2 is order us and the other parties to participate in 23 a working group to try to come up with a program that could work and would serve the benefits that I 24 25 just described. I think that's all I have to say

1 about that. The Staff has filed testimony in which 2. 3 they've said they would participate in such a 4 workshop if we had one or a working group. OPC 5 filed testimony saying that they think it's a waste 6 of time and they weren't interested in it. But we 7 think that it's definitely worth exploring and it -just as we think providing incentives to our 9 employees is good management and supervision, we think that it's a good idea for the Commission to 10 11 provide the company with those. 12 Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Did you have questions? 13 14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes. 15 Good afternoon. 16 MR. ZUCKER: Good afternoon. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Why did the company not 18 come into the rate case with a proposal for three to 19 five metrics? 20 MR. ZUCKER: Well, we had good 2.1 intentions, but we didn't -- we weren't able to pull 2.2 it off and also, you know, we talked to the parties. 23 There's a lot of resistance to it. And so, we just never -- in fact, at one point we had a technical 24 25 conference to discuss various issues and we ended up

1 getting stuck on an issue that I thought was a no-brainer. So, we didn't get very far on those, on 2. 3 those issues and in the end we had to spend a lot of 4 time responding to DRs instead of doing some more 5 proactive things. 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okav. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 9 Is there an opening from Staff? 10 MS. PAYNE: Thank you. May it please 11 the Commission: 12 My name is Whitney Payne, and I think 13 I'd like to open by saying that I believe it's a 14 little unfair that the company characterizes 15 anything from the other parties as resistance. believe that Staff is always open to discussion with 16 17 the companies of any new proposals or things that it 18 believes may improve the company or the customer 19 experience. 20 However, the company's initial proposal 2.1 appeared to tie the mechanism to the customer 2.2 experience, but there was very little detail in its 23 direct testimony regarding this matter and, in fact, Staff was unable to really ascertain how this 24 25 proposal may even appear until Mr. Lobser filed

- 1 surrebuttal testimony to which, obviously, we've not
- 2 had a chance to respond because it was surrebuttal.
- 3 Even at that, I think in response to the
- 4 Chairman's question, this is a very incomplete
- 5 proposal. It's very unclear to the parties exactly
- 6 how something like this would operate. We are
- 7 certain that, that there could be a group to discuss
- 8 this. However, it appears that there's a
- 9 substantial amount of planning remaining before a
- 10 formal proposal could be presented to the
- 11 Commission.
- So, we think that should the Commission
- 13 determine that this is something that it would like
- 14 us to explore, the best matter would be to create a
- 15 stakeholder group of the interested parties to
- 16 discuss the details of this and then present a more
- 17 formal proposal in Spire's next rate case.
- 18 Staff will present its witness Jamie
- 19 Myers to discuss more on this matter to answer your
- 20 questions and such.
- 21 Are there any questions now?
- 22 CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank
- 23 you.
- 24 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
- 25 Thank you.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
2	MS. PAYNE: Thank you.
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel.
4	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you. May it please
5	the Commission:
6	We are in agreement with Staff that the
7	company has not made any sort of complete or formal
8	proposal. There are no specifics. In a data
9	response when Mr. Buck, he indicated he would
10	appreciate input from the parties into what should
11	be included in the performance metrics.
12	OPC is opposed to this issue, which
13	Dr. Marke calls pay for performance, and he is happy
14	to discuss the economic theory behind his position,
15	that while OPC appreciates that some incentives are
16	valuable, this may not be the correct approach.
17	Thank you.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Any questions?
19	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah.
20	Is OPC opposed to performance metrics in
21	all cases? I mean, calling performance metrics pay
22	for performance is a rather derogatory description.
23	So, I'm wondering if there's ever a time that
24	performance metrics are appropriate.
25	MS. SHEMWELL: Dr. Marke can answer that

1 better than I can. 2. CHAIRMAN HALL: Under the bus. MS. SHEMWELL: Which he offered to take 3 4 the fall, just in fairness. He's actually consulted 5 some of Alfred Kahn's writings about the issue. 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: Oh, no. 7 MS. SHEMWELL: Yeah. He's looked into this rather thoroughly and is able to answer your 9 questions, I think. 10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. 11 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy, 13 anything? MR. BEAR: No, Your Honor. 14 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust, 16 anything? 17 Sorry. I keep looking at you. I know 18 you're not. 19 Environmental Defense Fund. 20 MS. KARAS: Nothing, Your Honor. 2.1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy 2.2 Consumers. 23 MR. WOODSMALL: Yes, Your Honor, 24 briefly. 25 I hadn't prepared an opening statement,

- 1 but it struck me this morning as we were listening
- 2 to opening statements just some general thoughts on
- 3 this.
- I want you to think about all the places
- 5 in which this utility extracts money from the
- 6 customers all for the goal of getting them to
- 7 provide safe and adequate service at just and
- 8 reasonable rates. We have salaries. I just looked
- 9 up. Suzanne Sitherwood makes \$3.3 million a year.
- 10 Utility jobs are well-paying jobs. We have
- 11 incentive compensation, which a lot of this is built
- 12 into rates. All for the purpose of incenting them
- 13 to provide just and reasonable rates. We have a gas
- 14 supply incentive plan. All for the purpose of
- 15 getting them to procure gas at the cheapest cost.
- 16 We have a sharing mechanism for off-system sales.
- 17 All for the purpose to getting them to maximize
- 18 these off-system sales. We have a similar sharing
- 19 plan for capacity release. All for the purpose of
- 20 getting them to maximize the revenues at capacity
- 21 release, but, apparently, that's not enough.
- We now need performance metrics, another
- 23 revenue stream just to get them to do the job that,
- 24 apparently, their salary isn't enough to do. At
- 25 \$3.3 million a year, why aren't these managers

1 taking care of that? So, that is my fundamental problem with this. If they want a performance 2. 3 metric, what revenue streams are they going to get 4 rid of? Are they going to get rid of the GSIP? 5 Will the performance metric do the things that the 6 GSIP does or it's just another way to get money? Is 7 it going to get rid of the OSS capacity release sharing plan or is it just another one? Is it going 9 to get rid of some of the incentive comp? questions are left unanswered. To me, to customers 10 11 it just looks like another way to hit the customers 12 for money to do the things that they should do as a 13 regulated monopoly. 14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Questions? 15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes. 16 I'll ask you the same question. So, do 17 you think that performance metrics are ever 18 appropriate? 19 MR. WOODSMALL: I can't say yes or no. 20 I have yet to see a plan that has been fully fleshed 21 out not only from this utility, but any utilities. 2.2 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, you've never seen a 23 performance metric that you thought was appropriate? 24 MR. WOODSMALL: A performance metric 25 just in a vacuum?

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Or a program, a performance based --2 3 MR. WOODSMALL: I've never -- I've never 4 seen a program that I -- that is spelled out in 5 detail that I think is appropriate. I'm not 6 saying --7 CHAIRMAN HALL: In this country? MR. WOODSMALL: In this country. 9 I haven't done an extensive look. not saying it couldn't exist, but I haven't seen one 10 11 fleshed out in enough detail that I could say, yeah, we should do that in Missouri. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Let's say that this 14 Commission decided that there were three or four 15 things that it thinks, the five of us thinks are 16 very, very, very important, more important than 17 anything else whether -- I mean, it would probably 18 involve some kind of safety component, some kind of 19 reliability component, you know, etc. Those are the 20 things that we think are the absolute most 2.1 important. Are you opposed to rates being in some 2.2 way related to the things that we think are the most 23 important? 24 MR. WOODSMALL: Are you asking me if I 25 disagree with the Commission? Possibly.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: You've not shied from saying that before. So, I wouldn't expect you to 2 3 shy away from it now. 4 My, my fundamental MR. WOODSMALL: No. problem is there are incentive comp metrics for 5 6 safety already. There are other incentive comp 7 metrics designed to incent these type of things. So, are we just now making up another program to do 9 the same thing that the incentive comp was designed 10 to do? And so, those are the things I want you to 11 look at. Rather than just looking at this in a 12 vacuum, consider all the other programs that are 13 already in existence. 14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Realizing that we could 15 put metrics in place that could result in a 16 reduction in rates? 17 MR. WOODSMALL: And that's -- it could 18 and that's a bad situation because, obviously, then 19 things haven't, haven't happened well. CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, but it's -- but in 20 2.1 the absence of these performance metrics, those 2.2 things still would not have occurred and ratepayers 23 would be paying more. So, it could -- this program could result in ratepayers paying less and actually 24 25 it's probably a 50/50 call as to what would happen,

1 isn't it? 2. MR. WOODSMALL: As I've seen the limited 3 amount of discussion on the program, the only way 4 that ratepayers would pay less is if service goes down, safety, falls, call center metrics go down. 5 6 The only way customers would pay less is if service 7 goes down, so, or costs go up. CHAIRMAN HALL: Which I quess is about 9 as likely as those metrics moving the other way? MR. WOODSMALL: Frankly, I don't think 10 11 it is as likely. The utility wouldn't be proposing 12. this unless they believed there is upside potential. 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: But it's not a matter of 14 proposing. It's a matter of what this Commission 15 actually decides to do. 16 MR. WOODSMALL: As always. Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you. 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: I don't see any other 19 questions. Thank you, Mr. Woodsmall. 20 MIEC. 2.1 MR. MILLS: And I think rather than 2.2 going ahead with my prepared opening statement, I'll 23 just hit on that last question. And I think one of the issues that we have with performance metrics, 24 25 and I don't disagree that theoretically performance

- 1 metrics could work, the problem is when you set
- 2 forth a limited set of performance metrics the
- 3 utility has every incentive to manage to those as
- 4 opposed to every other facet of its business. So,
- 5 regardless of what you consider to be the most
- 6 important things, that means the utility is going to
- 7 manage to those. So, there's almost never a chance
- 8 that the utility is going to fall short of whatever
- 9 the minimal performance is to achieve at least the,
- 10 the not having the rates go down because they have,
- 11 as Dr. Marke pointed out, that the whole Brewster's
- 12 Millions theme.
- They can throw enough money at whatever
- 14 that particular performance metric is to be able to
- 15 meet it. Even if that means -- for example, if your
- 16 performance metrics are concentrated on customer
- 17 service, even if that means neglecting safety, even
- 18 if that means neglecting something else, they're
- 19 going to meet those metrics.
- 20 And so, the problem with performance
- 21 metrics is they are almost by definition too limited
- 22 and the reason that utility regulation has worked
- 23 for all these years without it is because they have
- 24 an overall performance metric, which is to provide
- 25 safe and adequate service at just and reasonable

- 1 rates and if they don't, then they get dinged, but
- 2 they get to manage all facets of the business in the
- 3 way that they deem appropriate and they have to hit
- 4 all of them. If you single out particular aspects,
- 5 then you've got all kinds of problems with
- 6 everything you haven't singled out.
- 7 So, to your point about it's just as
- 8 likely to go down as go up, I don't think that's the
- 9 case. I think they're able to control that.
- 10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, it sounds to me
- 11 like you're almost endorsing these metrics, because
- 12 you're saying that all we have to do is identify
- 13 what it is important for the company to do and then
- 14 they'll do it. So, if we do a good job of
- 15 identifying what's important, then these metrics are
- 16 awesome because they'll work.
- 17 MR. MILLS: If you can identify the only
- 18 things that are important and be confident that
- 19 there's not something else that's not included in
- 20 the performance metrics, then that's the case, which
- 21 is why I say in theory they can work, but in
- 22 practice there are always things that you leave out
- 23 that don't get incentives that perhaps should have
- 24 been, or things that you do incent that they would
- 25 be doing anyway. And so, it's the -- it's the

1 transition from theory to practice I think is the issue here. 2. 3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you. 4 Then back to a couple of MR. MILLS: 5 points that I wanted to make in addition to that. 6 The question that's presented in this 7 case is not whether or not we should be adopting performance metrics. The company gave up on that 9 when they failed to present it in their direct case. The question is: Should there be another some sort 10 11 of a process to develop performance metrics to 12 implement some other time? And I think you heard 13 from Mr. Zucker just a minute ago that his notion is 14 the Commission would order the parties to get 15 together to work on performance metrics. And that, 16 that in and of itself I believe is, is problematic because not all parties have the same ability to 17 18 participate in some sort of an open-ended kind of 19 workshop like that. 20 But the real problem I think is there's an underlying, I think, assumption there that it may 2.1 2.2 be the case that performance metrics get implemented 23 sometime outside of the context of a rate case. to my mind that is a considerable problem because I 24 25 think that would be single-issue ratemaking, would

1 be inappropriate and probably unlawful. You're, you're picking out particular aspects of the utility 2. 3 business for which they can raise rates, for which 4 rates can be lowered. All of this without looking 5 at all relevant factors. 6 So, if the idea is to simply direct the 7 parties to work together to see if we can come up with some metrics for the next case, that's one 9 thing. We don't like it, but if the idea is to, as 10 the company has I think suggested in the opening 11 statement, come up with a process that would lead to 12 implementation of performance metrics before the next rate case, we would be vehemently opposed to 13 14 that. 15 And I would be happy to take questions. 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other 17 questions? 18 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions. 19 MR. MILLS: Thank you. 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 2.1 Consumers Council, anything? 2.2 MR. COFFMAN: May it please the 23 Commission: 24 I just wanted to briefly give my 25 perspective on performance-based ratemaking, and

- 1 I've seen a good number of proposals and a good
- 2 number of states that have started to implement some
- 3 type of performance-based ratemaking and it's one of
- 4 these things that looks so good in a textbook.
- 5 Theoretically, it makes a lot of sense, but the
- 6 reality has not been positive in my, my view.
- 7 Part of it is that once you start going
- 8 down that road, you had, you know, whatever handful
- 9 of issues that are goals wind up pushing aside often
- 10 the cost efficiency incentive. In my mind, the
- 11 traditional cost of service regulation has been so
- 12 successful for over 100 years because it is a really
- 13 good incentive in and of itself, together with the
- 14 regulatory lag and the pressures on the utility.
- 15 You know, I don't call it regulatory lag. I call it
- 16 the cost efficiency incentive. And when you put
- 17 into place -- when you take incentives and put them
- in the ratemaking, you wind up kind of pushing it
- 19 aside.
- I mean, you know, everyone may agree
- 21 with the four or five, six, ten great things that
- 22 you're moving towards, but if you aren't careful,
- 23 you wind up losing the incentive that is I think the
- 24 basic one for monopoly regulating utilities and that
- 25 is to keep it low.

1 The other thing that is a hazard if you implement these, in my experience, is that 2 3 commissions are hesitant when they start out and 4 they tend to set the bar too low and very often it 5 winds up being a windfall for the utility. So, if 6 you are going down this road, I think you really 7 have to be aggressive to make sure you don't inadvertently create a situation that raises rates. 9 So, that's my perspective and take it for what it's worth. 10 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any questions for Mr. Coffman? 12 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: I think I know what your 14 answer's going to be, but can you respond to 15 Mr. Mills' argument that it would be single-issue 16 ratemaking if we were to do it outside of a rate 17 case, which is actually, unfortunately, I think 18 probably accurate, which is --19 MR. COFFMAN: Well, yeah. I mean, 20 there's a variety of ways you could do it, but 2.1 outside of a rate case, not having all relevant 2.2 factors is a problem. I'm not sure exactly the 23 mechanism that you're talking about, but, yeah, I 24 think you have to worry about that. 25 CHAIRMAN HALL: You're not sure the

- 1 mechanism I'm talking about. What do you mean?
 2 MR. COFFMAN: Well, I guess if you're
- 3 trying to impose a performance-based ratemaking
- 4 after this rate case that would then affect rates.
- 5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. The proposal
- 6 that's at issue in this case.
- 7 MR. COFFMAN: Yeah, that sounds like a
- 8 problem with single-issue ratemaking.
- 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.
- 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. I'm looking
- 11 to see who else is here. Is there anyone else that
- 12 I haven't asked that would like to make an opening
- 13 statement on this? Okay.
- 14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, then before we
- 15 turn to witnesses, I think Mr. Mills has raised an
- 16 interesting issue. I was wondering if Staff, OPC,
- 17 and the company could respond to that, whether or
- 18 not it would constitute single-issue ratemaking.
- 19 MR. ZUCKER: You want a response right
- 20 now?
- 21 CHAIRMAN HALL: Sure.
- 22 MR. ZUCKER: I would love to. Should I
- 23 do it from here or go to the --
- JUDGE DIPPELL: From there is fine. Go
- 25 ahead. Whichever.

1 Go ahead, Mr. Zucker. MR. ZUCKER: In my view this is 2 3 absolutely not single-issue ratemaking because 4 single-issue ratemaking is when you say, okay, we're 5 going to set such-and-such a rate for, let's say, uncollectibles, we'll give you \$1,000 for 6 7 uncollectibles, and then I come in later and say, hey, it turned out that my uncollectibles were 9 So, I would like to have a little case and 10 get the \$1,500. That's single-issue ratemaking. 11 What you're doing is coming up with a 12 new program in which you would pay us nothing unless 13 we did something that you wanted us to do, unless we 14 met a certain criteria. So, it is an incentive 15 What you're saying -- what you would be program. 16 saying is is I've already set your rates for safe 17 and adequate service at just and reasonable rates. 18 Now I want -- now I'm going to give you an 19 opportunity to do X and earn a little more or do Y and have to pay in. So, it is -- there's no need to 20 2.1 look at all relevant factors in that case. You have 2.2 done something specific outside of the -- of the 23 normal ratemaking. 24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, let me -- let me 25 pose this as a possible answer and get your

1 response. That in a proceeding outside of a rate case we set metrics that resulted in the regulatory 2. 3 asset or a regulatory liability that would not be 4 applied until the next rate case and in that next 5 rate case we take all relevant factors into account. MR. ZUCKER: Yes is the answer to that. 6 7 That is a perfectly acceptable way to do it. MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, can I jump 9 in here now to respond to that exact question? If you go back to the 1979 case where 10 11 the Commission implemented an FAC without statutory 12 authority, they deferred increases in fuel costs 13 just in that type of situation, deferred it into the 14 next case and the court said without statutory 15 authority that was unlawful. So, simply putting it 16 into a deferral account and treating it in the next case was deemed to be unlawful there. 17 18 MR. ZUCKER: Yeah, I agree with that. 19 In the situation there there was nothing extra being 20 They just decided to change a cost. 2.1 case we have had, for example, gas supply incentive 2.2 plans that were created outside of rate cases in the 23 same exact way you just described, Chairman Hall. 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: And Staff, do you have 25 some input?

1 MS. PAYNE: Yes, now that I've waited my 2. turn. 3 I think the answer here is twofold. 4 Initially Staff's thought was to implement something 5 in between rate cases would be single-issue 6 ratemaking and that's why we proposed that it would 7 be best saved for the next rate case, any proposal that would come out of such a working group. 9 I think what I'm hearing now from what Mr. Zucker is explaining is that they might suggest 10 11 an amount or a program be put into place in this 12 case and then the actual details of it to be 13 determined in between the rate cases, and I think 14 our problem with that is that there is so little to 15 go on right now I don't think it's appropriate to 16 implement the program and then figure out what that 17 program will look like later. 18 So, I think Staff will stick to its 19 suggestion that the most appropriate thing is that 20 if the company wants this and if the Commission 2.1 would like us to create something like this, it 22 needs to be created by a working group outside of 23 this rate case and the actual proposal needs to be implemented -- or needs to be looked at for 24 25 implementation in the next rate case.

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Do you think that what you just explained was Mr. Zucker was saying we 2 could do? 3 4 MS. PAYNE: To create a program --5 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Well, just like we 6 did in the Empire when there was a pilot program, we 7 stuck an amount of money in, said okay, you guys figure out how you want to do it, you came back and 9 told us how you were going to do it, but we already 10 put the money in and said do it this way -- do 11 something. 12 MS. PAYNE: I am not specifically 13 familiar with the Empire program, but I am going to 14 go on a limb and say that there was probably a 15 little bit more defined parameters for that program. 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I quess my 17 question is does it matter? My question is: Do you think is that legal to do what you just described 18 19 what Mr. Zucker was mentioning? I would have to do a little 20 MS. PAYNE: 2.1 bit more research to determine the exact legality of 2.2 that. Like I said, my understanding coming into the 23 hearing room today was not that that was the proposal. But I don't think that it's appropriate. 24 25 As far as the specific legality, I would have to do

1 a little bit more research. 2 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Zucker, you wanted 4 to reply? MR. ZUCKER: Yes. Thank you, Your 5 6 Honor. 7 Ms. Payne is right. That isn't the 8 proposal. Our proposal -- and maybe I should also 9 straighten something out that I may have misspoke 10 earlier. Our proposal is for you to establish a 11 working group to see if they can come up with a 12 program, there wouldn't be any money in it yet 13 because we wouldn't know if we were going to get 14 money or give money, and anyone who does not want to 15 participate should not be required to. 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: And was there -- Office 17 of Public Counsel, did you have additional comments 18 in answer to the Chairman's questions? 19 MS. SHEMWELL: Well, I think that 20 Mr. Zucker has actually said that there's not 2.1 anything for the Commission to consider here except 2.2 whether or not there should be a working group, 23 which is -- would have no revenue impact. So, the Commission could. Again, there aren't parameters 24 25 for this program. There's nothing proposed. So, it

1 would almost have to go to a working group if the Commission feels that there is sufficient value 2. 3 taking time away from other cases to look at this 4 and right now. 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Were there any other 6 commissioner questions or counsel on the topic? 7 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No. JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then I think 9 we're ready to go forward, then, with the first 10 witness on this topic from the company. 11 MR. ZUCKER: The company calls Mr. Eric 12 Lobser. 13 Before we start here, may I make a 14 request? 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Request away. 16 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. When we do these 17 opening statements, so far I've gone first every time or Mr. Pendergast will go first and then other 18 19 people come up and say things that I don't have a 20 chance to respond to. Mr. Woodsmall for example, he 2.1 doesn't have a witness in the case. So, I can't 2.2 cross-examine anyone and he's said some things that 23 are demonstrably false that I have not gotten a chance to respond to, and I'm not saying that he 24 25 can't say things that are false. He's free to try

1 to say whatever he wants, but what I'm saying is why don't we take turns as to who goes first and who 2. 3 doesn't? 4 MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, it's called 5 burden of proof, and at least on this issue it has 6 been demonstrated by everybody that the company 7 hasn't met their burden of proof. In fact, they've punned saying we haven't done enough. 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I'm not going to 10 get into an argument about, about that. I think for 11 now we'll go ahead and stick to the order. If there 12 is something that is said in the openings that a party whose basically issue this really is feels 13 14 that they need to respond to, I'll give you the 15 opportunity to respond if you feel that it can't be 16 covered in the testimony. 17 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. 19 You were previously sworn. So, you 20 remain under oath and you may continue. 2.1 ERIC LOBSER, 2.2 having been called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 23 2.4 follows: 25

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. ZUCKER
3	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lobser.
4	A. Good afternoon.
5	Q. Are you the same Eric Lobser that filed
6	the direct testimony that you've already admitted to
7	earlier this afternoon?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And rebuttal also?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And surrebuttal also?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. And you have already said that
14	your answers would be the same. Has anything
15	changed in the last couple hours?
16	A. No.
17	MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Your Honor, you
18	know, we have a number of repeat witnesses. I'm
19	wondering if maybe a better use of time from a
20	default standpoint would be to see if there are any
21	of these routine testimonies that, that we've all
22	done that anyone has an objection to?
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm not sure what you're
24	referring to. You mean as the entire group or this
25	witness in particular?

1 MR. ZUCKER: Well, either -- I think either one would be better. The entire group would 2 3 be very efficient in terms of saying, okay, all of 4 these exhibits are basically the people that the 5 witness's prefiled testimony. There's, there's 6 usually not an objection to it, but someone could be 7 free to have an objection. If they want to reserve that, then maybe we should just -- we could go 9 forward on just a witness-by-witness basis. 10 MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, what we've 11 done in other cases is after the first time the 12 party just says tender the witness and skip all 13 this. 14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah. I think that 15 that's appropriate in this case. However, I am open 16 that if you-all want to discuss among yourselves 17 after the hearing today, if you want to agree that 18 there are certain exhibits that you're already --19 you're not going to have any objections to, then at 20 the beginning of the hearing, we could just admit 2.1 all of those and get that out of the way. If that's 2.2 more confusing because there are half that you're 23 going to have objections to and half that you're not, then we'll just go back to our original plan, 24 25 which is just to hold the exhibits.

- 1 But yes, for the repeat witnesses, if
- 2 you just want to tender the witness, I'll assume if
- 3 there is something that has changed in the testimony
- 4 that instead of tendering the witness, you'll bring
- 5 that up.
- 6 MR. ZUCKER: Well, I appreciate
- 7 Mr. Woodsmall's suggestion there and yours, Your
- 8 Honor. So, I will tender the witness.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 All right. Then cross-examination by
- 11 Staff.
- MR. MILLS: Judge, before we get to the
- 13 cross-examination, I do have objections to the
- 14 witness's testimony on this issue.
- 15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, then let's
- 16 go back to -- we did previously offer this testimony
- 17 and --
- 18 MR. MILLS: It's been offered, but not
- 19 admitted.
- 20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, yes. And can you
- 21 tell me your objections -- hold on just one moment.
- MR. MILLS: If it helps you with your
- 23 reference materials, they're all going to have to do
- 24 with 4 CSR 240-2.130(7), which is the Commission's
- 25 regulation on evidence and prefiled testimony.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. Give me that rule again. 2 3 MR. MILLS: It's chapter 2, 130, sub 4 seven. 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Now, go 6 ahead with your objections. 7 The first objection is to MR. MILLS: 8 the rebuttal testimony, page 22, line --9 MR. ZUCKER: Sorry. Rebuttal testimony? MR. MILLS: Rebuttal testimony. 10 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Can I get you to speak 12. into the microphone, Mr. Mills? 13 MR. MILLS: I'm sorry. Page 22, line 14 20, through page 24, line 10. I'm sorry. I'm 15 sorry. Let me correct all of that. You might want 16 to keep it in mind because that's the surrebuttal 17 testimony. In the rebuttal testimony I'm talking 18 19 about page 30, line 20, through page 31, line 16. 20 And the Commission's regulations on rebuttal 2.1 testimony state that rebuttal testimony is 2.2 responsive to testimony in exhibits and any other 23 party's direct case. By Mr. Lobser's own admission, no party in this case filed direct testimony on this 24 25 issue. So, there is, accordingly, nothing in the

- 1 record for Mr. Lobser to have rebutted. Yet,
- 2 nonetheless, he's got a full half a page of
- 3 testimony that is simply bolstering the limited
- 4 amount of testimony that was in the direct case.
- 5 You just can't have rebuttal testimony if there's
- 6 nothing to rebut.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Zucker, you have a
- 8 response?
- 9 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. I was amused to hear
- 10 that with nothing to respond to Mr. Lobser still
- 11 came up with a full half page of testimony. So,
- 12 basically, he said that nobody filed any testimony
- on it and he has very little there.
- MR. MILLS: Very little is still more
- 15 than nothing and this would be, if allowed, evidence
- in the case that's directly in conflict with the
- 17 Commission rules on what rebuttal testimony should
- 18 include.
- 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Does any
- 20 other party have a comment on this issue?
- 21 I'm going to sustain Mr. Mills
- 22 objection. The witness clearly says in his
- 23 testimony that he's responding to nothing, so...
- MR. ZUCKER: Well, Your Honor, what
- 25 about the first two sentences starting -- "Not

- 1 really, "being the first sentence and, "Accordingly,
- 2 I'm reserving my right," being the second sentence.
- 3 Could we leave that in?
- 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: I don't see that it adds
- 5 anything, Mr. Zucker. So, I'm just going to strike
- 6 line 20 through 16, it's page 30, line 20, through
- 7 page 31, line 16.
- 8 MR. MILLS: And then, Judge, I also have
- 9 objections to the surrebuttal testimony, and this is
- 10 where I inadvertently gave the wrong page numbers
- 11 before. In the surrebuttal testimony page 22, line
- 12 20, through page 24, line 10. And the objection
- 13 here is that the Commission, again this is Chapter
- 14 Two, 130, sub seven, requires that a utility or any
- 15 party, but in this case it's the utility who's the
- 16 moving party to include all testimony in exhibits
- 17 asserting and explaining that party's entire case in
- 18 chief in its direct testimony. What we have here
- 19 from Spire is the direct testimony that perhaps
- 20 could have or should have been included, but was
- 21 not. So, we have the party's case in chief here in
- 22 the surrebuttal testimony where no other party has
- 23 the opportunity to file rebuttal to it and, again,
- 24 this is in direct contradiction of the Commission's
- 25 rules on the way testimony is to be filed.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Zucker. MR. ZUCKER: Excuse me. I think that in 2 3 direct we made certain representations and then this 4 just in surrebuttal constitutes a retreat from that 5 to a lesser position. So, I don't see how that is 6 coming up with a, you know, a new deal in 7 surrebuttal. JUDGE DIPPELL: You look puzzled, 9 Did you have something? Mr. Mills. No. Well, I'm, I'm -- no, 10 MR. MILLS: 11 I'm not. Puzzled is not what I am. I'm somewhat 12 taken aback at the gall of saying this is not a new 13 position. It is entirely a new position. There was 14 no program proposed in the direct testimony. It was 15 a general notion that performance metrics would be a 16 good idea and maybe there should be four or five. 17 Now we have an entirely new proposal for an entirely 18 new process by which the performance metrics could 19 be established. It's not in response to anyone It's a whole new proposal based on the fact 20 2.1 that they forgot or neglected or decided not to put 2.2 a proposal in their case in chief, and it simply 23 sandbags all the other parties because we have no way to address this in testimony and this is a new 24 25 proposal that should have been in the direct

- 1 testimony so that in the normal course of events we
- 2 would address it in rebuttal testimony and the
- 3 company could address it in surrebuttal. They, of
- 4 course, as a moving party have the opportunity to
- 5 get the first word and the last word, but the way
- 6 they've done it is they've prevented us from getting
- 7 any word, and that's why the Commission's rules are
- 8 set forth this way.
- 9 MR. ZUCKER: That's actually not true,
- 10 Your Honor. We did get the first word, other
- 11 parties said that they didn't think it was a good
- 12 idea, that's the resistance that I kind of
- 13 mentioned, and then we said okay, how about if we
- 14 just back down to just talking about it. I don't
- 15 think that that is taking a new position. In fact,
- 16 I could just stand up there during my opening
- 17 statement and request that.
- MR. MILLS: And he could do that, but
- 19 that would not be evidence. The problem here is
- 20 this is going to be evidence in the record to which
- 21 other parties have not had the opportunity to
- 22 respond. And I think it's incorrect to say that the
- 23 parties had resistance to the idea of performance
- 24 metrics. The parties that filed testimony said
- 25 there weren't enough specifics to even address it in

1 this case. And so, the company has sort of used that position to bootstrap new direct testimony in 2. 3 its surrebuttal testimony. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to allow this 5 to stay in. We've had a lot of discussions about performance metrics today. I do believe that they 6 7 mentioned a program. They are changing that here. We're going to go forward with it. It stays. 9 Overruled. 10 Did you have any other objections, 11 Mr. Mills? 12 MR. MILLS: No. That's all. Thank you. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Were there any other 14 objections to these portions of Mr. Lobser's 15 testimony? 16 All right. Now, we still have Mr. Lobser on other issues, though, correct? So, 17 we're still not ready for this to come in. Okay. 18 19 All right. Let's go forward then with 20 our cross-examination by Staff. 2.1 MS. PAYNE: No questions. Thank you. 22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Sorry. I lost my place

Public Counsel.

23

24

25

here.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SHEMWELL
3	Q. Mr. Lobser, you agree that what you're
4	suggesting at this point is a working group to
5	consider performance metrics?
6	A. Yes. It's to put into effect a
7	structure that would look at the interest of all
8	stakeholders and better align the company with the
9	employees and the customers.
10	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
11	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
12	MR. BEAR: No questions, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.
14	MR. MILLS: Yes.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. MILLS
17	Q. Let me let me see if I can tie down
18	the question of what is a working group and what
19	you're proposing here is. Say, for example, the
20	Commission does what you suggest in this case and
21	dockets a case and I suppose it would be a GW case
22	for a workshop to look at the question of
23	performance metrics for Laclede and MGE. Would you
24	suggest that that be a formal case with the formal
25	rules of evidence, discovery, the necessity to

- 1 intervene, or do you see it more as an informal case
- where the parties just get together and talk
- 3 informally?
- 4 A. I think, you know, trying to get the
- 5 input from parties to me would be better achieved
- 6 through an informal case, but I'm not aware of the,
- 7 you know, specific differentiations and benefits and
- 8 detriments to the different approaches, but the idea
- 9 would be to provide the best forum for interested
- 10 parties to then weigh in on a way to look at how the
- 11 utility does business. It's not just cost based.
- 12 It's also performance based and outcome based.
- 13 Q. In your surrebuttal testimony at page
- 14 23, line 13.
- 15 A. Could you give that page again, please?
- 16 Q. Yeah. Surrebuttal testimony, page 23,
- 17 line 13.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. You talk about a process that culminates
- in an evidentiary hearing; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. Is that -- is that what you mean
- 23 by a working group?
- 24 A. That would be the -- the outcome of the
- 25 working group would to present something to the

- 1 Commission at which point the other parties would
- 2 have the opportunity to weigh into evidence their
- 3 viewpoints on the -- on what was submitted to the
- 4 Commission.
- 5 Q. If the working group meets, I don't
- 6 know, for some period of time, concedes that they're
- 7 unable to agree on a set of performance metrics,
- 8 under your proposal what would happen then?
- 9 A. Then the, the proposal would be
- 10 submitted by the company. Other parties would be
- 11 free to submit their own proposals. If there's no
- 12 consensus, then obviously it wouldn't be a single
- 13 proposal, and then the Commission could then weigh
- in on what approach it deemed to be appropriate.
- 15 Q. And isn't the company, without any
- 16 action from the Commission in this case, free to
- 17 file such a proposal?
- 18 A. I'm not certain. One of the issues that
- 19 we face, one of the areas of opposition that we face
- 20 a fair amount is concerns about parties bringing
- 21 something to the Commission in between rate cases.
- 22 And so, we were trying to be responsive to parties
- 23 back in as early as July not wanting to be part of
- 24 the formation of what this program was that I
- 25 established in three pages of testimony in my direct

- 1 testimony and provide an opportunity for folks to
- 2 have additional time because stakeholder involvement
- 3 in such a process is an important part of that
- 4 process.
- 5 Q. So, it's your notion that, as Mr. Zucker
- 6 said in his opening statement, that the Commission
- 7 would order parties to participate in this working
- 8 group; is that correct?
- 9 MR. ZUCKER: I'm going to object to that
- 10 because I straightened that out.
- 11 MR. MILLS: I'm asking what his position
- 12 is.
- MR. ZUCKER: Well, ask him what his
- 14 position is then. Don't characterize what I didn't
- 15 say.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Mills) Is it your position that
- 17 you think the Commission should order parties to
- 18 participate in this working group?
- 19 A. I think the parties to this case, to the
- 20 extent that they have -- are impacted by the, the
- 21 outcome, to me it would make sense for them to be
- 22 part of this case.
- Q. That doesn't answer my question.
- 24 A. I don't know whether that means that
- 25 they have to be ordered to do so or they would do so

- 1 voluntarily. You know, I don't have a legal degree.
- 2 So, I don't know, you know, what the requirements
- 3 would be, but for a party to try and weigh in on
- 4 this, they would have to be part of the proceedings.
- 5 And so, there's been a number of parties that have
- 6 expressed interest in opposing this.
- 7 O. Well, if Mr. Zucker says that he does
- 8 not believe the Commission should order parties to
- 9 participate in this, in such a process, would you
- 10 disagree with that?
- 11 A. I would defer to his understanding of
- 12 the legal process.
- 13 Q. So, if the company is not asking the
- 14 Commission to order parties to participate in such a
- discussion, what does the company get out of the
- 16 Commission ordering a process to happen in this case
- 17 that it doesn't get if the company simply proceeds
- 18 on its own?
- 19 A. To me this would be something that would
- 20 provide assurances that this issue, which I think is
- 21 important to all stakeholders, is something that is
- 22 discussed in a timely manner. We decided to shift
- 23 from a formal proposal within the rate case to a
- 24 working group outside of the rate case because there
- 25 was a fair amount of resistance, again, early on in

- 1 the process back in July to having time or having
- 2 any interest in being part of the process to develop
- 3 something that, again, would affect all
- 4 stakeholders. So, we were trying to be responsive
- 5 to that, the feelings of the parties to the case.
- 6 Q. Okay. So, tell me if I'm paraphrasing
- your answer incorrectly, but your notion is that
- 8 having the Commission order such a process in this
- 9 case would put pressure on the parties to
- 10 participate?
- 11 A. It would give it a time frame. It would
- 12 give it party involvement. Parties have expressed
- interest whether it's positive or negative into this
- 14 subject matter. So, I would -- I guess I would
- 15 agree with your paraphrasing that it would put
- 16 pressure on parties to be part of the case.
- 17 Q. And then getting back to the question
- 18 what happens if the parties do meet and are unable
- 19 to come to an agreement. You said you do envision
- 20 an evidentiary hearing and then following the
- 21 evidentiary hearing you would envision the
- 22 Commission issuing an order I assume; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And from your perspective would you

- 1 envision that Commission order establishing a set of
- 2 performance metrics that would be put into place
- 3 outside of the context of a rate case?
- 4 MR. ZUCKER: I'm going to object to that
- 5 calling for a legal conclusion.
- 6 MR. MILLS: Judge, I'm not asking for a
- 7 legal conclusion. I'm asking him how he envisions
- 8 this process working.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: And I'll allow him to
- 10 answer. Overruled.
- 11 A. The process would be something that was
- 12 begun during a rate case process, would put into
- 13 place a mechanism that then had a deferral mechanism
- 14 for those impacts to future rates to be reviewed at
- 15 the next rate case. So, rates would not be changing
- 16 between rate cases.
- 17 Q. (By Mr. Mills) So, your idea would be,
- 18 say, we're a step beyond the evidentiary hearing,
- 19 the Commission orders that this performance metrics
- 20 program be put into place, the company succeeds
- 21 wildly, just assume that for purposes of this
- 22 question, and so is entitled to a significant amount
- of money in response. Okay. Do you have those
- 24 assumptions in mind?
- 25 A. I quess that's a lot of presumptions,

- 1 but that's your supposition.
- Q. But you understand what they are?
- 3 A. I understand what you're saying.
- 4 Q. Okay. In that case it is your proposal
- 5 that whatever that sum of money that the company is
- 6 entitled to because of succeeding wildly on the
- 7 performance metrics is deferred until the next rate
- 8 case?
- 9 A. Yes. That was in the direct testimony
- on, I believe, page 41 of what was submitted back in
- 11 April.
- 12 O. And if in that next rate case the
- 13 Commission determines that the company is, in fact,
- 14 overearning and should have a rate decrease, what
- 15 would happen to the amounts deferred pursuant to the
- 16 performance metrics?
- 17 A. Well, overearning would be something
- 18 that would be related to base rates and this if it
- 19 was part of how a company earned its base rates,
- 20 then the opposite would possibly be true, that, you
- 21 know, if it had done really poorly and lost a lot of
- 22 money, that the company could come in and ask the
- 23 Commission to increase its base rates because of
- 24 this. These are not intended to be part of base
- 25 rates. Otherwise, the incentive doesn't mean

1 anything. MR. MILLS: That's all the questions I 2 3 have. Thank you. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy 5 Consumers. MR. WOODSMALL: Yes, real briefly. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WOODSMALL 9 We've talked about this, and I'm not 0. 10 going to get into the subject, but we've talked 11 about this quite a bit in technical conference and 12 settlement conferences and everything, and I'm just 13 wondering has the company received enough input to 14 this point in time that if it was filing the rate 15 case now, it could propose a more definitive type 16 program? 17 In my estimation, we could propose a Α. 18 mostly one-sided performance mechanism and set of 19 metrics. There hasn't been enough, in my mind, 20 enough input and dialogue between the stakeholders 2.1 to the process to create a more fulsome approach, 2.2 but we certainly could propose something that to me 23 would not achieve the intent of such a mechanism. which is aligning the interest of the stakeholders. 24 25 So, in essence, yes, we could formulate something

1 now, but it's not based off of a lot of input or hardly any input from the stakeholders. 2. 3 So, if assuming that the Commission Q. 4 agrees that this constitutes single-issue ratemaking 5 and can't be implemented between rate cases, you 6 have the input to present something in the next 7 case; is that correct? Well, there would be the opportunity 9 probably to get the input, but as I said before, I really haven't received a whole lot of input. 10 11 mostly been sort of I don't want to talk about this 12 now, you know, I've got too much going on, I have no 13 interest, very little input. So, it would be a 14 matter of trying to get additional input between 15 rate cases, which might be similar to what we received from this since filing in April and our 16

first discovery conference, which was canceled

because there were no issues and we decided to meet

and folks expressed a lot of hesitance to weigh in

on this. So, I don't know that we would actually

between rate cases, but we would try and achieve it.

MR. WOODSMALL: I have no further

get input from parties on something like this

- 24 questions. Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

1 Fund. 2. MS. KARAS: No questions. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council. 4 MR. COFFMAN: No questions. 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any questions for Mr. Lobser from the bench? 6 7 CHAIRMAN HALL: I have no questions. 8 Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have no 10 questions. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any redirect 12 from Spire? 13 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, Your Honor. Real 14 quickly. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. ZUCKER 17 If the Commission created a working 0. 18 group to look into performance metrics, would that 19 signal interest by the Commission? I wouldn't want to speak for them, but 20 Α. 2.1 to me it would signal interest from the Commission. 22 And in response to the situation brought Q. 23 up by Mr. Mills that if the company succeeds wildly, 24 the amount of money that could be involved either 25 plus or minus can be controlled or set by the

1	Commission;	is that right?
2	А.	Correct.
3	Q.	And when you said one-sided set of
4	metrics, yo	u meant one-sided meaning you would only
5	have the con	mpany's input?
6	А.	Yes, that's correct.
7	Q.	Not one-sided meaning it would only go
8	up or down?	
9	Α.	No. I meant getting input from other
10	parties. So	o, it would be the opinion of one side of
11	the situation	on.
12		MR. ZUCKER: Thank you.
13		That's all, Your Honor.
14		JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Lobser.
15	You may ste	o down for now.
16		I think the next witness is from Staff.
17		MS. PAYNE: Yes. Staff calls Jamie
18	Myers.	
19		JUDGE DIPPELL: And Ms. Myers, you were
20	also previo	usly sworn. So, you remain under oath.
21		JAMIE MYERS,
22	having been	called as a witness herein, having been
23	first dul	y sworn, was examined and testified as
24		follows:
25		

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. PAYNE
3	Q. And I'm not going to step you through
4	the basic questions over again, but I do want to ask
5	you do you have any testimony on this issue?
б	A. No, I do not have any prefiled testimony
7	on this issue.
8	Q. Did you review the testimony that was
9	filed on this issue in this case?
10	A. Yes. I reviewed the direct testimony
11	and the surrebuttal testimony of Eric Lobser.
12	MS. PAYNE: All right. Then I will go
13	ahead and tender this witness for cross?
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: Let me just start by
15	asking is there going to be any cross for Ms. Myers
16	on this issue?
17	MR. MILLS: I do have a question, yes.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, let me just
19	go through the list quickly then.
20	Public Counsel.
21	MS. SHEMWELL: No questions.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
23	MR. BEAR: No questions.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Mills.
25	

1	CDOCC EVANTNACTON
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. MILLS
3	Q. Ms. Myers, this is sort of a clarifying
4	question. Who is Brooke Richter?
5	A. Brooke Richter is a member of Staff.
6	Q. And did she not file testimony on this
7	issue?
8	A. She did, but as we previously discussed,
9	the testimony she filed on was reflective of
10	Mr. Lobser's direct, which is no longer entirely
11	reflective of what is the issue now in this case.
12	Therefore, I made myself available to just generally
13	answer any questions regarding Mr. Lobser's
14	surrebuttal.
15	Q. Well, will Staff be offering the
16	testimony of Ms. Richter?
17	A. We had not intended on providing her as
18	a witness.
19	MR. MILLS: Okay. That's all I have.
20	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Anything
21	from Environmental Defense Fund?
22	MS. KARAS: No questions.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council.
24	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Spire.

1 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 2. CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. ZUCKER 4 In her prefiled testimony Ms. Richter Q. 5 said that she -- that Staff would be willing to 6 participate in a working group if the Commission 7 ordered one. Do you adopt that position? Yes, I do. Α. 9 MR. ZUCKER: Permission to approach, 10 Your Honor. 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead. 12 (By Mr. Zucker) I've handed you Staff DR 0. 70.4; is that correct? 13 14 Yes, you have. Α. 15 Q. And can you read the first question 16 there? 17 Listed as Question No. 1 or would you Α. 18 like the description? 19 Listed as Question No. 1. 0. 20 "Please provide the complete," and this 2.1 is in quotations, "listing of all the annual cost 2.2 reductions by FERC divisional accounts related to 23 the synergies generated from the acquisition of Missouri Gas Energy for each year since its 24 25 purchase."

1	Q. And can you read the answer for me?
2	MS. PAYNE: I'm going to object. I'm
3	unclear based on what my witness has just read and
4	has not been provided to me as to how this relates
5	to the performance metrics proposal or lack thereof.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Zucker.
7	MR. ZUCKER: Yeah. This relates to data
8	requests. Now, you won't find data requests on the
9	issues list, but there's been a lot of talk about
10	data requests and, you know, we I don't think we
11	ever had a discovery conference, maybe we had one
12	and there was an issue between Staff and the
13	Division of Energy in that one, but what I've handed
14	her is a question and answer where we provided the
15	information by FERC account that Chairman Hall asked
16	about earlier.
17	MS. PAYNE: Your Honor, this has
18	absolutely no relevancy to the matter at hand.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: I agree. This isn't the
20	time or the place for this questioning, Mr. Zucker.
21	MR. ZUCKER: Will there be a different
22	time or place?
23	MS. PAYNE: Mr. Zucker is welcome to
24	include any of these things in his brief.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm not sure. I opened

1 that door and I'm really regretting that, but this isn't the time or place. 2. 3 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. I think Ms. Payne's 4 suggestion is good. I'll just put it in my brief. 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 6 Is there anything further from Spire for 7 Ms. Myers relevant to this issue? MR. ZUCKER: Let me check, Your Honor. 9 No, Your Honor. That's all I have. 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 11 Are there questions from the bench for 12. Ms. Myers on performance metrics? 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: I just have -- I just 14 have one, I think. 15 If the Commission were to require the 16 parties to put together performance metrics for Spire, on behalf of Staff, would, would the 17 Commission's new customer experience department be 18 19 spearheading that effort on behalf of Staff? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. And I would suggest that there would be some guidance on the focus. 2.1 2.2 would say -- and in discussions with the company 23 their focus wasn't necessarily customer experience in the sense that we often define it. They were 2.4 25 presenting things like, and I think generally these

- 1 are good ideas, that were very safety focused in
- 2 terms of, you know, reacting to safety responses and
- 3 line losses and leaks and things of that nature.
- 4 So, yes, customer experience would be involved, but
- 5 I also think to the extent the Commission finds
- 6 particular metrics important that sort of guidance
- 7 would be helpful.
- 8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any other
- 10 Commission questions?
- 11 All right. Is there any further
- 12 cross-examination based on the Chairman's question?
- MR. WOODSMALL: One question.
- 14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Woodsmall.
- 15 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. WOODSMALL
- 17 O. You were asked a question about -- well,
- 18 first off, you were asked whether Staff would
- 19 participate in a workshop and then you were just
- 20 asked who would be leading that. Is it Staff's
- 21 recommendation that the Commission order such a
- 22 workshop?
- 23 A. Staff has not taken a formal position on
- 24 whether or not that sort of workshop is necessary.
- 25 I think our thought is, you know, that's up to the

1 Commission if it's necessary or not. And I think our point of view is we're happy to participate in 2. that to the extent the Commission would like us to. 3 4 But you have no opinion as to whether Q. 5 such a workshop or performance metrics speaks were furthered? 6 7 No, no opinion. Α. MR. WOODSMALL: No further questions. 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 10 Are there any other further 11 cross-examination based on that question? 12 MR. ZUCKER: No, Your Honor. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then is 14 there redirect? 15 MS. PAYNE: No. Thank you. 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Ms. Myers, you may step down. 17 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Marke, you were 20 previously sworn as well. So... 2.1 MS. SHEMWELL: I tender him for cross. 2.2 Thank you. 23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 2.4 Is there any cross-examination for 25 Mr. Marke by Staff?

1	MS. PAYNE: No. I'm sorry. Thank you.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy.
3	MR. BEAR: No, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.
5	MR. MILLS: No questions.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
7	Consumers.
8	GEOFF MARKE,
9	having been called as a witness herein, having been
10	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
11	follows:
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. WOODSMALL
	DI III. NOODSIIIID
14	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel
14	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel
14 15	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop
14 15 16	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics?
14 15 16 17	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics? A. No.
14 15 16 17	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics? A. No. Q. Can you tell me why?
14 15 16 17 18	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics? A. No. Q. Can you tell me why? A. Sure. I think there's a variety of
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics? A. No. Q. Can you tell me why? A. Sure. I think there's a variety of reasons why. My surrebuttal testimony sort of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics? A. No. Q. Can you tell me why? A. Sure. I think there's a variety of reasons why. My surrebuttal testimony sort of outlines a lot of this. The idea behind performance
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics? A. No. Q. Can you tell me why? A. Sure. I think there's a variety of reasons why. My surrebuttal testimony sort of outlines a lot of this. The idea behind performance metrics isn't something new. Ms. Shemwell offered
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Same question. Does Public Counsel recommend that the Commission establish a workshop to further explore performance metrics? A. No. Q. Can you tell me why? A. Sure. I think there's a variety of reasons why. My surrebuttal testimony sort of outlines a lot of this. The idea behind performance metrics isn't something new. Ms. Shemwell offered this earlier, but Alfred Kahn does talk about this.

- 1 just read one sentence that jumped out at me.
- 2 Speaking about incentive plans he says, "These plans
- 3 have typically been short-lived, being easily
- 4 modified or abandoned when rates of return under
- 5 them become unacceptably high." And he provides
- 6 tons of evidence, you know, dating back well over --
- 7 up to about 100 years ago, so the turn of the
- 8 century, of good intentions gone awry.
- 9 The biggest concern I think Public
- 10 Counsel would have is we do have experience with
- 11 some performance metrics. We have experience with
- 12 MEA. I personally have had experience with MEA. I
- 13 mean, I know other examples have been opined here,
- 14 but there's asymmetrical information that takes
- 15 place. We spoke a lot about DRs and sort of the
- 16 time lag between that, but at the end of the day the
- 17 company has all the information. They have the most
- 18 up to date information on the service they can
- 19 provide and how they can go ahead and enhance that.
- 20 From our perspective as a very
- 21 understaffed group, it's very difficult to go ahead
- 22 and get a handle on the transparency of the metrics
- 23 involved. And I'll just give an anecdotal example.
- 24 We had filed this complaint with Ameren MEA. That's
- 25 a statute that came down that essentially allowed

- 1 the company to go ahead and set their targets. When
- 2 those -- our first year experience with MEA Ameren
- 3 went ahead and met their targets within that first
- 4 year. That means every additional year after that
- 5 first year, according to the company, as they
- 6 delivered was, you know, essentially a windfall
- 7 profit. We took issue with it and, you know, that
- 8 was settled.
- 9 But that's the concern that we have in
- 10 part with opening up a docket like this is that
- 11 there's a lot of information to gather and a lot
- 12 of -- although, I appreciate conceptually
- 13 Mr. Lobser's offering about how performance metrics
- 14 could go ahead and incentivize the sort of direction
- 15 that you want. At the end of the day, we have a lot
- 16 of concerns about teachings to the test, and the
- 17 example that we gave was call metrics. If, if the
- 18 teaching to the test of that performance was how
- 19 quickly do you answer the call, then you're going to
- 20 get that outcome, but it's going to probably be at
- 21 the expense of other issues.
- 22 Q. And just quickly, for those of us that
- aren't a doctorate in economics, can you tell us who
- 24 Alfred Kahn is and what his credentials were?
- 25 A. Alfred Kahn was, was an economist. He

- 1 was a former chair of the New York Public Service.
- 2 Kahn's largely credited with deregulating the
- 3 airline industry. Recently passed away.
- 4 MR. WOODSMALL: No further questions.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from the
- 7 Environmental Defense Fund?
- 8 MS. KARAS: No questions, Your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council.
- 10 MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: And Spire.
- MR. ZUCKER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. ZUCKER
- 15 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Marke.
- 16 A. Afternoon.
- 17 Q. So, you basic -- you just referred to an
- 18 example in your testimony where one particular
- 19 factor was, was selected and your comment was that
- 20 they'll teach -- what is it? Teach to the?
- 21 A. Teach to the test.
- Q. Yeah, teach to the test. So, that the
- 23 company would focus on that factor and maybe
- 24 overspend to reach that factor; is that right?
- 25 A. It's one hypothetical example, yes.

1 0. Okay. And if we also had a cost 2 incentive to go with the performance incentive, 3 would those two offset each other? 4 So, if I understand correctly, a cap on Α. existing expenditures, say, for call service? 5 6 0. Okay. Answer that one. 7 Α. I mean, that's better. If the Commission ordered such a working 0. 9 group that we're talking about, would you be involved in it? 10 11 Α. I suspect so. And would -- do you think the outcome, 12 0. 13 if you were involved in it, would be an ill-designed 14 pay for performance arrangement? 15 I don't know. Α. 16 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. All right. Thank 17 you. 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there any questions 19 from the bench for Mr. -- or Dr. Marke? 20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. Good afternoon. 2.1 22 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 23 CHAIRMAN HALL: My question is relatively simple. Is there ever a circumstance 24 25 where you think performance metrics are appropriate

1 for a regulated utility? THE WITNESS: I think there could be. 2 Т 3 mean, I think the key here -- my experience with 4 looking at performance metrics, and I became really 5 interested in this about two years ago because there was a lot of discussion about this down the street 6 7 as to opening this up as an issue. And again, I think conceptually it makes sense. Let's, you know, 9 let's reward sort of behavior that we want to see. My experience reading the literature and 10 11 looking at what other states are doing is that we have to allocate a fair amount of time and resources 12 to designing it correctly. Our inhibitions from 13 14 Public Counsel, and you've heard this already, is 15 that there was no information offered up. It was, 16 hey, maybe we'll go ahead and do a couple 17 performance metrics, what's your opinion on that? It's difficult to heard cats in short. 18 19 So, I'm trying to CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. understand what would be the basis for determining 20 that performance metrics might be appropriate in one 2.1 2.2 instance, but not in another. I understand your 23 concern that they be crafted correctly. understand that, and I understand your concern that 24 25 it would take resources of all the parties and OPC

has limited resources, and your concern that it 1 would go down this road, we're going to end up with 2. 3 metrics that are easily achievable to the detriment 4 of ratepayers. I understand all that, but what I'm 5 trying to understand is what would be the situation where you think metrics, performance metrics would 6 7 be appropriate and could be helpful? THE WITNESS: Crystal clear direction. 9 I mean, that's the easiest thing. I mean, absent 10 that, I think everybody's going to be free to offer 11 up all sorts of different designs. Usually these 12 performance-based metrics are a package with 13 legislation. It's performance-based metrics plus X, 14 Y, and Z, right? And in that scenario commissions 15 spend a considerable amount of time trying to draft 16 and craft that outcome. I suspect if the commission 17 wanted to go down this route, you would probably hear information from the electric companies and the 18 19 water companies and everybody else. So, clear 20 direction would help. 2.1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. 22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other commissioner 23 questions? 24 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No. Thank you. 25 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Is there

1 further recross based on the commissioner questions from -- I'm just going to --2. 3 MR. WOODSMALL: Same question. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Woodsmall. 5 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WOODSMALL 6 7 There was question and answer discussing Q. legislation. Can you tell me what happened to the 9 performance-based legislation? 10 Α. It didn't get out of committee. 11 Q. So, to your knowledge, is there 12 statutory authority currently for performance-based 13 regulation? 14 Α. No. 15 MR. WOODSMALL: No further questions. 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other recross based 17 on that question. 18 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, Your Honor. 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. ZUCKER 2.1 Chairman Hall asked you about metrics Q. 2.2 and you said there hadn't been any. Is it true that 23 the company's suggested percentage of calls answered 24 in less than a minute might be a good metric? 25 A. Mr. Lobser did offer up a handful of

1 different ideas, yes. 2 And were the other ideas field Q. 3 appointments attained? 4 Α. Uh-huh. 5 Q. Leak response time? That sounds correct. 6 Α. 7 Leaks per thousand miles of main? Q. JUDGE DIPPELL: You need to answer out 9 loud. 10 Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. Α. 11 Q. (By Mr. Zucker) Dig-ins per thousand 12 miles? 13 Yes. Α. 14 And finally, OSHA recordables? 0. 15 Yes. And if I may, the follow-up to Α. 16 that is and to the question you raised earlier, you 17 know, at what cost? Right? 18 Q. Right. 19 Α. Okay. 20 Okay. Thank you. Oh, hold on. Q. 2.1 MR. ZUCKER: Can I have Mr. Pendergast 22 ask a question? I think it would go faster. 23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead. 2.4 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. PENDERGAST 2. 3 0. Yeah. I just wanted to make a 4 clarification, if you will. You talked about some 5 performance legislation not making it out of 6 committee and I want to make sure you weren't 7 talking about -- or are you aware of the Rate Case Modernization Act that was also considered in the 9 last legislative session? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And that had, you know, authorization 12 for performance metrics as part of a lot of different other things, did it not? 13 14 Α. It did, yes. 15 Okay. And it wasn't oriented primarily 0. 16 towards performance regulation. It was oriented 17 more towards extending the Commission's ratemaking 18 powers in periods between rate cases? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. And I just wanted to clarify. 0. 2.1 That did make it out of committee, for what that's 2.2 worth? 23 Α. Yes. 24 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. Okay, great. 25 Thank you.

- 1 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then is
- 2 there any redirect?
- 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. SHEMWELL
- 5 Q. Good evening, Dr. Marke.
- 6 A. Good evening.
- 7 Q. You said that in response to Mr. Zucker
- 8 that having a cap would be better, a better metric.
- 9 What would be the best metric?
- 10 A. I'm not sure I can answer that.
- 11 Q. Okay. You had said, if I may offer,
- 12 well defined. That's all right -- I'll just move to
- 13 my next question.
- 14 A metric that rewards some performance,
- does that mean that other areas of performance may
- 16 be neglected?
- 17 A. It could.
- 18 Q. Is there any way to identify all areas
- 19 of performance that are necessary for the best
- 20 customer experience?
- 21 A. It would be -- as a general rule,
- 22 customers respond to price. So, overwhelmingly
- 23 customers prefer lower bills.
- Q. The ideas that Mr. Lobser offered, were
- 25 those offered, like leak response time, in his

1 surrebuttal? I don't believe so. 2. Α. 3 Do you think they were in his rebuttal? 0. 4 Ms. Shemwell, I would probably need to Α. 5 verify that. 6 0. Okay. 7 Α. Yeah. 0. Is leak response time something that you 9 consider a safety issue that you're going to expect 10 the company to perform at its maximum anyway? 11 Α. So, you know, leak response time is an 12 interesting one. Right? So, we -- part of the problem with understanding the performance metrics 13 14 is determining what a base is, what's our base leak 15 response time. Right? The company is going to be 16 operating -- well, if we base it off of historical 17 precedents, it's going to be difficult to determine 18 just that point alone, what, what are we considering 19 our base? We've got two utilities that are 20 operating with potentially different technology. That just comes to mind right off the bat. 2.1 22 Different territories? Q. 23 Different territories. With the Α. recognition that the technology is such where the 24

industry's just moving towards that anyway. Right?

25

- 1 We're becoming much more advanced in determining how
- 2 to identify leak response time. I could speak more
- 3 to, like, call center metrics. An example would be
- 4 call deferral technology. Right? And I think I
- 5 referenced that in my testimony, but bringing on
- 6 additional technology could lower those metrics,
- 7 but, again, it's at what cost.
- 8 MS. SHEMWELL: That's all I have. Thank
- 9 you.
- 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 11 Dr. Marke, you may step down.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's take a very short
- 14 break before we go to Mr. Meyer. Let's come back at
- 15 five after and we'll wrap up with him and then call
- 16 it a night.
- No. I'm getting some resistance. So
- 18 the court reporter says she's good to go. So, all
- 19 right. Then we'll just continue on.
- 20 MR. COFFMAN: Your Honor, if I may
- 21 inquire. We had hoped to have our witness, Jackie
- 22 Hutchinson on today and it doesn't look like we're
- 23 going to get to her, and I didn't know whether it
- 24 was your hope that we would start the low-income
- 25 assistance programs or not.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let me consult quickly. Will Ms. Hutchinson be available 2 3 tomorrow? 4 MR. COFFMAN: It's my understanding that 5 she could do it first thing in the morning, but if 6 not then, then it would have to be later Friday. 7 MR. ZUCKER: We're willing to let her go 8 out of turn now. 9 MR. BEAR: Your Honor, yeah, the 10 low-income energy issue is probably one of our most 11 importance issues at the Division of Energy as asked 12 by the Chairman of such. If allowing her to go out 13 of order and even before the opening statements, 14 it's a little bit unorthodox. If that works for her 15 schedule, we would have no objection since I do understand that his witness, you know, is making a 16 17 personal sacrifice to be here today by taking 18 vacation. 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. MR. COFFMAN: I don't know. We may be 20 2.1 able to do openings, we'll see, but if it's your 2.2 intention to start with the low-income issues in the 23 morning, then I'm sure we can work that out. 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: We can do that. If she 25 can be available first thing in the morning, we'll

1 make sure we get her in early. 2. MR. COFFMAN: Appreciate the 3 accommodation. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 5 All right. Go ahead, Mr. Mills. No. I'm sorry. I haven't sworn you in. 6 7 We haven't seen you yet today. THE WITNESS: That's right. 9 GREG MEYER, 10 having been called as a witness herein, having been 11 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 12 follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. MILLS 15 Could you state your name for the 0. 16 record, please. 17 Α. Greg Meyer. 18 0. And by whom are you employed and in what 19 capacity? 20 I'm a principal with Brubaker & Α. 2.1 Associates, Inc. 22 And on whose behalf are you testifying Q. 23 here today? 24 The MIEC. Α. 25 Q. And are you the same Greg Meyer that has

- 1 caused to be filed rebuttal testimony in both highly
- 2 confidential and nonproprietary versions?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And if I were to ask you the same
- 5 questions that there are contained therein here
- 6 today, would your answers be the same?
- 7 A. Yes, they would.
- 8 Q. Are those answers true and correct to
- 9 the best of your knowledge information and belief?
- 10 A. Yes, they are.
- 11 Q. Do you have any corrections to make to
- 12 that testimony?
- 13 A. No, I do not.
- 14 MR. MILLS: Okay. Judge, Mr. Meyer is
- 15 testifying on several other issues in addition to
- 16 this. So, I will not offer his testimony at this
- 17 time, but I will note that I have laid the
- 18 foundation, and his next appearances I will simply
- 19 tender him for cross-examination. And I will
- 20 provide the copies for identification to the court
- 21 reporter. Exhibit 753, I'm sorry, HC and NP.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. And I
- 23 believe under our new rule we just have
- 24 confidential, though.
- MR. MILLS: Yes, correct.

JUDGE DIPPELL: So, Exhibit No. 753, is 1 2 that what you had it marked? 3 MR. MILLS: I do, yes. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Mills, I didn't actually get an exhibit list from you, so, for your 5 6 premarked numbers. I'm sorry. We did file it, 7 MR. MILLS: 8 but perhaps I did not give it to you directly. 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Perhaps it was in EFIS. 10 All right. 11 MR. MILLS: And I tender the witness for 12 cross-examination. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. 14 Is there cross-examination from Spire? 15 MR. ZUCKER: Is it possible for us to go 16 on the end? I don't know how we got to be first on 17 this. Could we move? 18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any 19 objection to Spire going last? MR. WOODSMALL: Well, in fact, they're 20 2.1 listed first and last. I just noticed that, so... 22 MR. ZUCKER: You're right. 23 Then that's how you JUDGE DIPPELL: 24 ended up. All right. 25 MR. ZUCKER: Yeah, we're willing to do

1 both, Your Honor. JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. We'll move 2 3 you to last. 4 Staff. 5 MS. PAYNE: No questions. Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel? 6 7 MS. SHEMWELL: No questions. Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Division of Energy. 9 MR. BEAR: No questions. 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy 11 Consumers. 12 MR. WOODSMALL: One question. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. WOODSMALL 15 In your counsel's opening statement he Q. 16 talked about the importance of implementing 17 performance metrics in the context of a general rate 18 case. Do you recall that? 19 Α. I do. 20 And there was discussion about the legal 0. 2.1 reason for that, single-issue ratemaking, and I'm 2.2 not asking you to comment on that, but is there a 23 technical reason why you believe that it should be 24 implemented in a general rate case or was it 25 entirely legal?

1	A. No. I think that you should if
2	you're going to go down this road of putting
3	together performance metrics, I think that the
4	adoption of those should be considered within all
5	the other factors of the rate case and perhaps even
6	concentrating on those metrics versus the making
7	sure those metrics, if you want to approve them,
8	line up with, for instance, incentive compensation
9	plans of the company. So, I think it should be done
10	within the context of a rate case so that all the
11	parties can look at all the operations of the
12	utility at one time and make a decision on what the
13	proper cost of service is going forward with the
14	implementation of those performance metrics, if they
15	are implemented.
16	MR. WOODSMALL: That was all I had, Your
17	Honor.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
19	National Housing Trust.
20	They're not here.
21	Environmental Defense Fund.
22	MS. KARAS: No questions, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE DIPPELL: Consumers Council.
24	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: And Spire.

-	GDOGG DWWWW.TION
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. ZUCKER
3	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Meyer.
4	A. Good afternoon.
5	Q. And your position on performance metrics
6	is that you're against doing it?
7	A. We haven't the company hasn't given
8	me anything to respond to. So, there's nothing out
9	there for me to address except for I just learned of
10	six potential metrics that you might want to
11	consider, but since April this company has not come
12	forward with any formal proposal in any form of
13	testimony at all.
14	Q. And don't you also oppose the RSM even
15	though it doesn't affect your client?
16	A. I do.
17	MR. ZUCKER: Thank you.
18	I'm sorry. That's all I had.
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. I thought
20	you had more.
21	Are there questions from the bench for
22	Mr. Meyer?
23	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah, briefly.
24	Good evening.
25	THE WITNESS: Evening.

CHAIRMAN HALL: You've been involved in 1 cases in a number of states; is that correct? 2 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. Indirectly and 4 directly, yes. CHAIRMAN HALL: Ballpark how many states 5 6 have you been involved in? 7 THE WITNESS: Fifteen. CHAIRMAN HALL: Fifteen. In those 15 states or any other states, for that matter, have 9 10 you seen any performance metrics that you thought 11 were appropriately crafted and applied? 12 THE WITNESS: I can't recall of any at 13 this time, no. 14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you have a sense as 15 to what appropriate metrics would look like either 16 in general or specific to this company? 17 THE WITNESS: No, I have not developed 18 any specific metrics for this company or of the 19 industry in general. I've been involved this 20 utility -- I mean, I'm sorry. This Commission 2.1 attempted this in the past and was called a 2.2 management efficiency adjustment and it was a 40 23 basis point adder to the rate of return for a demonstration that management was efficient and 24 25 providing, you know, quality leadership and service,

- 1 and I can tell you that that was a very contentious
- 2 and very ardent or difficult process. In one case
- 3 alone I had to respond to a 112-page document where
- 4 the utility tried to justify how efficient it was.
- 5 So, this is not a new concept in Missouri.
- 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, what would an
- 7 appropriate performance metric look like or are you
- 8 opposed to all performance metrics in all
- 9 situations?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I haven't seen a
- 11 performance metric yet that I can approve of. I
- 12 haven't tried to develop one. I would suggest that
- 13 you start with the incentive compensation plans of
- 14 the utility and, and, and make sure that those plans
- 15 are driven towards the performance metrics of
- 16 quality of service that you're looking for because I
- 17 think that's the core.
- 18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. I've got some
- 19 questions about that, but we're not on that issue
- 20 right now.
- So, bottom line, you have not been able
- 22 to ascertain any type of performance metric that is
- 23 appropriate in for any utility in any state at any
- 24 time?
- 25 THE WITNESS: I have not been asked to

1 do that, no. 2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I just have one 4 follow-up question. Just curious. What was that 5 company that they did that with the 40 basis points 6 for management? THE WITNESS: Well, it was for 7 several -- I mean, the issue was for several 9 companies. The one that I was describing I believe was Southwestern Bell. 10 11 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay. Thank you. 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any follow-up 13 cross-examination based on the Commissioners' 14 questions? 15 MR. ZUCKER: I had one. 16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Zucker. 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. ZUCKER 19 You answered a question from Chairman Q. 20 Hall about a 40 basis point adder. Laclede has 2.1 suggested five basis points by factor; is that 2.2 correct? 23 Five basis points per metric? Α. 24 Q. Per metric, yes. 25 I agree with that. Α.

1 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect? 2. 3 MR. MILLS: Yes, just briefly. 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. MILLS 6 0. You testified that you've been involved 7 in regulatory proceedings in about 15 states. how many of those have you looked at performance 9 metrics? I've not been asked to look at 10 Α. 11 performance metrics in any of those states. 12 0. Okay. So, so, when you answered 13 questions about you haven't seen one that you 14 thought was appropriate, you have not looked for 15 any, have you? 16 Α. That's not been the scope of my work in 17 those other states. 18 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether it's possible to develop appropriate performance 19 20 metrics? 2.1 Α. I would be very skeptical about a 2.2 performance metric that would be -- that could 23 justify increased profits for a utility. 2.4 And why is that? Q. 25 Α. I believe that there are -- like I said

- 1 before, I think you should concentrate on incentive
- 2 plans to achieve these quality of service
- 3 enhancements. I believe that the utility profits
- 4 that are already included in rates are sufficient
- 5 and that there shouldn't be another incentive for
- 6 them to have to provide quality service. I believe
- 7 that utility employees are well compensated both
- 8 through their base rates and their incentive
- 9 compensation, that they should be able to provide
- 10 quality service for customers.
- 11 Q. And you were asked some questions about
- incentive compensation. How do you -- how do you
- differentiate between the incentives provided to
- 14 company employees through incentive compensation and
- 15 the incentives that would be provided through
- 16 performance metrics? Are they separate or are they
- 17 duplicative?
- 18 A. Well, before you even go -- before you
- 19 even attempt to adopt a performance metric for
- 20 shareholders as I'll define them, I think you must
- 21 define what the incentive compensation plans are and
- 22 make sure that those are in alignment. If they're
- 23 not, then I don't -- I just don't see the, the need
- 24 to have another profit mechanism at the utility
- 25 level. I mean, the utility -- the employees should

1 be concentrating on achieving these types of. Whatever performance you want to enhance, that 2. 3 should be drilled down to the employee through the 4 incentive plans. 5 And incentive compensation plans for Q. 6 most utilities and certainly for this utility are 7 looked at in the context of rate cases, are they not? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 MR. MILLS: I have no further questions. JUDGE DIPPELL: 11 Thank you. 12 All right. Then, Mr. Meyer, that 13 concludes you for the day. You may step down. 14 It looks like then we will start the 15 low-income energy assistance program issue in the 16 morning, and we will make sure to get in 17 Ms. Hutchinson early. 18 Is there any other preliminary matters? 19 MR. WOODSMALL: Is it 8:30 or 9:00? JUDGE DIPPELL: 8:30. And let's get 20 2.1 started at 8:30. We are officially behind. We can 2.2 go off the record. Thank you. 23 (The hearing went into recess for the 24 day at 5:09 p.m.) 25

Page 686

		rage 000
1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Zucker	389
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Johnson	402
5	Opening Statement by Ms. Shemwell	416
6	Opening Statement by Mr. Bear	428
7	Opening Statement by Mr. Mills	439
8	Opening Statement by Mr. Woodsmall	443
9	Opening Statement by Mr. Linhares	452
10	Opening Statement by Ms. Karas	458
11	Opening Statement by Mr. Coffman	461
12	Opening Statement by Mr. Brownlee	466
13		
14	OVERVIEW AND REGULATORY POLICY	
15		
16	STEVE LINDSEY	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Zucker	472
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mills	474
19	Recross-Examination by Ms. Shemwell	487
20	Recross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	489
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Zucker	490
22	ERIC LOBSER	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Pendergast	501
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mills	504
25	Recross-Examination by Ms. Payne	512

Page 687

1	INDEX CONTINUED	
2		PAGE
3	Redirect Examination by Mr. Pendergast	514
4	JAMIE MYERS	
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Payne	521
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	526
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	528
8	Redirect Examination by Ms. Payne	559
9	GEOFF MARKE	
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Shemwell	563
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	566
12	CHARLES HYNEMAN	
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Shemwell	573
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mills	575
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	576
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	581
17	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shemwell	600
18		
19	PERFORMANCE METRICS	
20		
21	Opening Statement by Mr. Zucker	605
22	Opening Statement by Ms. Payne	609
23	Opening Statement by Ms. Shemwell	611
24	Opening Statement by Mr. Woodsmall	612
25	Opening Statement by Mr. Mills	617
L		

Page 688

_		. age cee
1	INDEX CONTINUED	
2		PAGE
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Coffman	621
4	ERIC LOBSER	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Zucker	632
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Shemwell	641
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mills	641
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	649
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Zucker	651
10	JAMIE MYERS	
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Payne	653
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mills	654
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	655
14	Recross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	658
15	GEOFF MARKE	
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	660
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	663
18	Recross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	667
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	667
20	Recross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	669
21	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shemwell	670
22	GREG MEYER	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Mills	674
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	677
25	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	679
1		

Page 689

1	INDEX CONTINUED	
2		PAGE
3	Recross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	682
4	Redirect Examination by Mr. Mills	683
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 690

		Page 690
1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
	OFFERED	/ADMITTED
3	Laclede's EXHIBIT 1 Laclede's Original Filings	384/385
4	Laclede's EXHIBIT 2 Laclede's Original Filings	384/385
5	Laclede's EXHIBIT 3	384/385
6	Depreciation Study	
7	Laclede's EXHIBIT 4 Direct Testimony of Steve Lindsey	474/474
8	Laclede's EXHIBIT 5 Surrebuttal Testimony of Steve Lindsey	474/474
10	Laclede's EXHIBIT 6 Direct Testimony of Eric Lobser	502/
11	-	
12	Laclede's EXHIBIT 7 Rebuttal Testimony of Eric Lobser	502/
13	Laclede's EXHIBIT 8 Surrebuttal Testimony of Eric Lobser	502/
14		
15	Laclede's EXHIBIT 54 Joint Stipulation and Agreement	388/388
16	Laclede's EXHIBIT 55 Stip and Agreement in Case No. GM-2013-0254	/500
17	Staff's EXHIBIT 202 Staff's Laclede Accounting Schedule	523/524
19	Staff's EXHIBIT 203	523/524
20	Staff's MGE Accounting Schedule	
21	Staff's EXHIBIT 266 LAC accounting schedule	385/387
22	Staff's EXHIBIT 267 MGE accounting schedule	385/387
23		
24	Staff's EXHIBIT 268 Laclede Reconciliation	524/525
25	Staff's Exhibit 269	524/525

Page 691

	1 490 001
1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS CONTINUED OFFERED/ADMITTED
2	OPC's EXHIBIT 427 (Marked as demonstrative) 605
3	Chart Presentation
4	MECG Exhibit 700 (Marked as demonstrative) 452 Slideshow Presentation
5	SIIdeshow Flesencacion
6	
7	
8	(The exhibits were retained by the Missouri Public Service Commission.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Amanda N. Farrar, a Certified Court
4	Reporter for the State of Missouri, do hereby
5	certify that the witnesses whose testimony appears
6	in the foregoing transcript were duly sworn; the
7	testimony of said witnesses was taken by me to the
8	best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting by me; that I am neither counsel for,
10	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
11	the action in which this hearing was taken, and
12	further that I am not a relative or employee of any
13	attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto,
14	nor financially or otherwise interested in the
15	outcome of the action.
16	
17	
	a manda de
18	amanda Sauar
18 19	Certified Court Reporter
19	
19	
19 20 21	
19 20 21 22	
19 20 21 22 23	

	-	-	•	-
Α	481:17	420:22	activities 393:2	addresses
a.m 379:2	account 404:18	541:23 584:2	activity 433:5	447:24
aback 638:12	412:9 481:17	acquisition	566:14	addressing
abandoned	507:24 509:5	392:5 403:3,9	actual 387:12	399:1 456:3
395:1 661:4	513:1,6,11	403:16 404:1	395:10 408:18	457:22 503:3
abhors 395:20	526:19 562:10	405:4 417:19	434:14 460:2	adds 637:4
ability 403:17	562:14 626:5	418:2 475:14	493:16	adequacy
420:3,6	626:16 656:15	493:3 494:3,9	539:23	527:2
455:10 456:13	accountable	505:13,16	562:15 627:12	adequate
467:11 620:17	545:22	510:23 512:4	627:23	394:19 413:9
692:8	accountant	514:12,22	actuary 421:13	606:7,9 613:7
able 391:11,25	573:17	516:25 517:9	added 505:25	618:25 625:17
398:11 403:14	accounting	518:5,19	adder 680:23	ADIT 450:11
413:19 415:21	385:18 386:21	537:21	682:20	adjourn 466:13
421:10 432:10	405:20	540:22 543:11	addition 408:2	adjust 550:15
446:3,23	503:16 523:14	543:12 544:6	409:22 435:1	568:24
456:25 464:4	523:19,21,22	544:18,20	443:18 479:12	adjusted 414:10
469:9 498:22	690:18,19,21	545:2,17	481:21 515:20	550:13,16,19
507:11 514:10	690:22	546:11,14,15,17	532:3 572:4	550:21 551:2
518:13 526:14	accounts	547:23	620:5 675:15	551:10,13
528:3 543:15	507:20	548:20 562:8	additional	552:24 553:7
608:21 612:8	655:22	584:13 585:3	438:3 506:1	570:18
618:14 619:9	accumulated	585:17,19,21	515:16 518:8	adjustment
673:21 681:21	408:7,8	600:14	528:4 566:12	395:18 401:1,6
684:9	450:11 603:25	655:23	569:9 582:24	401:7 460:1,2
absence 616:21	accuracy	acquisitions	604:19 629:17	504:16,17
absent 666:9	575:20	403:8,22	644:2 650:14	569:5,9
absolute 554:11	accurate	414:18 417:11	662:4 672:6	576:2 680:22
615:20	505:19 506:5	417:14,21	additionally	adjustments
absolutely	597:6 623:18	511:10,14	403:21 500:17	401:8 486:10
400:23	achievable	515:17 517:2	additions	504:12 575:6
432:10 437:4	666:3	518:1,12,24	563:23	administrative
481:12 497:15	achieve 391:24	519:15 520:1	address 440:20	548:20
498:3,4 576:7	392:3 395:17	537:10 541:2	441:21 453:22	admission
625:3 656:18	418:21 515:3	542:13,14	455:15	522:23 523:5
ACA 594:7	518:13 618:9	547:24	480:23	524:7 635:23
accept 459:5	649:23	559:22	484:24	admit 385:4
577:18	650:22 684:2	560:12 585:12	498:20	387:2 500:12
acceptable	achieved 392:11	585:16,17	579:10 587:13	524:3 633:20
606:11 626:7	403:21	586:2,4,19	587:16 591:5	admitted 385:6
accepted 417:17	536:22 642:5	588:10	638:24 639:2	388:9,10
access 420:18	achieving 685:1	Act 669:8	639:3,25	474:7 500:15
454:16	acknowledge	action 587:25	679:9	502:17 524:5
accommodati	585:9	643:16 692:11	addressed	525:14
674:3	acquired 390:5	692:15	457:1 515:9	602:24 632:6
accomplish	390:25	actions 398:8	528:6 561:3	634:19
	392:15,17,19	447:2 451:2	587:19	admitting
	1	1		·

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

386:21	581:6,7	506:18 507:12	521:4 537:22	allow 407:20
adopt 655:7	592:14,15	562:12,16	540:22	496:17 511:22
684:19	608:15,16	566:18 611:6	541:23 543:11	511:23 520:10
adopted	632:3,4,7	646:19 690:15	544:7,18	543:23 591:15
469:24	663:15,16	690:16	545:2,18	640:4 647:9
496:12	664:21,22	agreements	546:14,22	allowance
adopting 408:1	679:3,4	417:25 423:9	547:23	511:24 515:1
620:7	agenda 465:22	agrees 650:4	548:21,24	533:11
adoption 678:4	466:13	ahead 382:16	559:21 560:12	allowed 391:22
advance 405:12	499:22	385:4,18	584:2,12	393:1 424:1
advanced 672:1	aggregating	422:13 466:11	585:3,21	488:4 516:16
advantage	468:5	466:14 472:10	588:1 600:13	534:1 636:15
436:3	aggregation	472:19	ALARIS 375:25	661:25
advertising	468:8 470:6	499:23 501:8	Alfred 612:5	allowing 453:7
420:7	aggressive	501:19 503:22	660:23	673:12
affect 420:6	623:7	504:1 514:24	662:24,25	allows 397:4,6
422:7 569:21	ago 389:18	520:5,13	align 409:14	400:15 469:11
570:5,6 624:4	392:22	523:14 532:6	415:14 641:8	alma 497:2
646:3 679:15	397:21 424:17	534:4 535:24	aligning 649:24	alternative
affidavit 602:17	424:18 444:14	540:18 541:4	alignment	405:9 409:25
affidavits	449:20	543:4,15,21	483:21	411:1
383:25	484:17 496:14	546:21 547:17	684:22	Amanda 375:24
602:19	578:19 620:13	548:17 552:18	allegation	692:3
affiliate 459:1	661:7 665:5	552:22 554:7	445:7 545:4	amazing 391:3
459:20	agree 425:18	558:17 559:19	556:23	425:16
460:14 586:10	455:6 462:4	567:24	allegedly 398:2	amended
586:11 587:18	480:24 488:2	569:22 570:4	alleging 444:16	571:25
587:20 589:1	495:19 551:20	572:25 581:15	allocate 396:14	Ameren 420:24
589:5,21	566:15 567:13	589:12 602:9	396:14,19	433:14 445:19
590:3 594:8	585:18 601:14	605:3,14	589:14 665:12	445:20
594:17 601:9	622:20	607:8 617:22	allocated 591:2	452:23 453:3
affiliated 590:17	626:18 633:17	624:25 625:1	allocates 591:19	463:4 589:10
affiliates	641:3 643:7	631:11 635:6	allocating 418:11	595:3 661:24
420:22,23	646:15 656:19	653:13 655:11	590:2	662:2
460:19	682:25	661:19,21	allocation	America 393:21
affordability	agreed 421:5,8	662:1,3,14	420:10,19,20	606:1
454:7 455:7	448:17 469:17	665:16	421:7 586:15	amortization
456:13	475:15 481:25	668:23 674:5	586:17 587:21	533:18 535:6
afoul 433:12	482:20 513:6	airline 663:3	allocations	535:13 536:7
after-the-fact	agreement	Alabama	507:24 519:4	536:10,25
459:21	387:10 388:7	392:15,16	528:24	amortize 519:10
afternoon	410:9 417:24	396:18,19	588:25 589:3	535:14
440:5 505:6	421:3 440:19	541:17 584:22	590:5,16,21	amortized
505:7 524:9	459:17,20	Alagasco 418:11	591:1,9 601:4	533:11 535:1,4
526:4,5	462:11 467:25	478:18 494:5	601:8,10	amount 407:10
528:19,20	481:24 500:6	517:1,10,14,21	allocations/aff	408:15 419:13
576:17,18	500:10,13	518:3 519:7	601:18	424:19 434:12
	-	-	-	.

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

470:19 480:12	489:23	671:10,25	appreciates	459:23
493:7 505:22	496:19 532:21	apart 487:23	611:15	approving
506:10 507:14	534:7 542:18	495:15 553:17	approach	466:21 471:5
537:3 590:22	543:2 555:23	apologetic	452:22 457:11	approximate
610:9 617:3	560:25 570:2	496:9	463:6 488:23	507:24
627:11 628:7	579:23	apologize	496:5 514:17	approximately
636:4 643:20	582:23	495:24	514:21 611:16	389:25
645:25	588:22 595:7	539:21	643:14 649:21	398:14
647:22	598:12 610:19	apparent	655:9	409:20 423:3
651:24 665:12	611:25 612:8	428:16	approaches	446:10
666:15	625:25 626:6	apparently	516:7 642:8	589:22
amounts	627:3 629:18	451:16 613:21	appropriate	approximation
505:23,25	644:23 646:7	613:24	488:18 492:5	482:9
648:15	647:10 654:13	appear 502:9	515:19 523:5	April 384:10
amused 636:9	656:1,14	609:25	542:17 558:7	444:15 473:12
analogy 427:4	662:19 664:6	appearance	561:5 575:10	648:11 650:16
analysis 448:13	667:7 668:8	379:25 381:14	611:24 614:18	679:11
557:9 578:18	670:10	381:22	614:23 615:5	Ara 601:7
578:22 597:2	answer's	appearances	619:3 627:15	ardent 681:2
602:2	623:14	376:1 377:1	627:19	area 453:11
analyst 448:13	answered	378:1 675:18	628:24	454:22
analysts 448:16	555:2,4	appeared	633:15 643:14	510:22 567:12
Andrew 376:12	580:4 593:19	609:21	664:25	596:25
380:25	667:23	appearing	665:21 666:7	areas 469:2
452:18	682:19 683:12	380:13,18,25	680:15 681:7	485:5,6 488:3
andrew@rene	answering	381:10,17	681:23 683:14	498:15 643:19
376:14	433:25	382:1 443:1	683:19	670:15,18
anecdotal	456:17 555:6	appears 400:1	appropriately	argue 425:12
661:23	588:24	610:8 692:5	680:11	argument
Annika 453:14	answers 450:3	appendix	approval 417:13	534:9 623:15
annual 422:15	473:20	509:21	417:20 459:19	631:10
422:18 475:7	502:10,13	applaud 446:15	475:14 585:16	arguments
480:13,14,17	522:14,20	application	606:17	432:23
506:9 507:19	564:2 574:4	459:15,23	approve 456:19	arisen 457:5
570:19 655:21	632:14 675:6	applied 412:4	456:21 457:8	arrangement
annually 401:22	675:8	575:21 626:4	457:18	468:4,4
401:22 423:4	anticipation	680:11	463:20	664:14
423:4	474:25	appointments	464:13 678:7	article 541:10
answer 390:2	antiquated	668:3	681:11	542:6 543:6
395:2 400:20	391:2	appreciate	approved	585:24 587:1
402:2 413:18	anybody 544:12	385:14 453:6	388:9 401:12	587:11 588:2
415:21 441:23	549:2 590:4	461:17 462:23	406:21 421:9	588:3 591:23
445:4 447:9	anybody's	472:5 482:11	423:13 433:15	articulating
451:5 453:19	588:12	495:25 534:1	469:23	571:14
457:23	anymore	559:2 567:23	506:18 548:17	ascertain
460:22,23	394:12,13	611:10 634:6	556:7	609:24
471:3 485:23	anyway 619:25	662:12 674:2	approves	681:22
	•	•	•	•

			-	
aside 454:22	asset 396:6,10	551:16 553:1	August 450:9,9	619:16
622:9,19	533:7 626:3	650:3	authority 401:3	awful 437:16
asked 382:3	assets 396:9	assumption	626:12,15	awry 661:8
473:19 481:19	407:19 408:7	556:23	667:12	Azad 601:8
491:1 500:7	408:8 409:1,3	620:21	authorization	Azad's 450:20
508:25 514:9	505:16 517:7	assumptions	669:11	
522:18	533:4 534:19	647:24	authorize 401:8	B
559:20	589:13	assurances	443:13	B 377:8 381:16
560:22 562:6	assign 500:24	645:20	authorized	580:15
577:8 581:8	562:1	asymmetrical	449:4,12,14	back 388:23
582:5 591:9	assigned	661:14	475:9 569:4	398:1,5 403:4
591:14,18	379:23 382:14	attached 387:19	authorizes	403:8 419:8
594:25	assist 431:2	423:21	400:1 411:22	438:12 444:3
600:12 603:7	assistance	508:20	authorizing	450:1 463:19
624:12 656:15	429:17 430:6	601:22	401:13 411:17	464:13
658:17,18,20	431:4,16	602:24	automatically	465:20,24
667:21 673:11	434:11 437:16	attachment	607:16	470:21 477:20
681:25 683:10	462:4 463:7	508:6 509:22	available 413:1	478:23 484:1
684:11	534:2 672:25	509:23	654:12 673:2	493:18
asking 389:20	685:15	attained 668:3	673:25	494:23
390:1 393:16	assistant	attempt 395:21	Avenue 376:17	499:24 500:2
393:17 397:11	428:21	402:24	average 395:2	509:16
404:20 413:4	assisted 429:19	684:19	411:18 421:23	569:10 601:11
419:12 456:19	associated	attempted	426:3 429:4	604:10 605:8
456:20 457:7	407:19,25	680:21	431:16 447:12	607:13 620:4
459:16 462:17	447:6 481:23	attempting	448:20	626:10 628:8
463:13,24	498:17 531:14	440:2 478:15	449:12,14	633:24
489:25	532:5 534:20	attended 418:6	571:16,17,19	634:16 639:14
492:25	536:22 543:11	attention	577:9,11,13,13	643:23 646:1
577:23 586:4	546:13,21	463:12	577:20	646:17 648:10
586:18 602:19	548:21 589:2	538:25	578:21 579:5	661:6 672:14
607:21 615:24	Associates	attorney 439:7	579:6 592:17	background
644:11 645:13	674:21	692:13	592:20 595:2	443:4 483:11
647:6,7	association	attorneys	595:7 597:17	backwards
653:15	377:19 382:7	593:14	598:12,21	438:25
677:22	382:10 387:11	attract 403:14	avoidable	bad 415:16
asks 409:17	465:21 466:4	432:10 566:14	458:11	425:21 435:1
597:14	466:17 467:3	audit 445:17,24	avoiding 456:4	616:18
Aslin 378:10	467:4 471:2	587:20	award 483:24	balance 408:16
380:11	assume 499:5	590:23 591:6	Awards 578:6,9	434:10 470:19
aspect 408:6	534:9 535:8	594:7 601:19	aware 422:16	479:4 558:21
545:6 551:3	607:9 634:2	audited 420:21	536:21 545:8	balanced
aspects 569:3	646:22	580:11,14	545:9 557:13	488:18,23
619:4 621:2	647:21	auditing 405:7	585:10	497:22
asserting	assuming	589:21	589:20 602:3	balances
637:17	466:6 547:19	auditor 590:20	642:6 669:7	437:24 470:2
assess 460:10	548:8 551:12	audits 418:19	awesome	balancing
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

				1
468:9 470:3	449:18 469:5	begins 539:18	491:6 494:21	427:12,15
ballpark 595:18	476:24 477:14	begun 441:23	505:17 506:6	447:3 454:15
680:5	484:12 516:24	647:12	507:5 508:5	455:4,4
band 486:7,18	529:24 530:7	behalf 380:5,13	509:19,21	460:18 481:1
bands 488:14	530:25 553:11	380:18,21	510:4,8,24	486:12 497:14
bar 623:4	569:6 631:13	381:5,10,13,17	511:10 513:3,8	498:2,5,10
bare 491:15	633:4 636:12	381:22 382:1	516:15 519:11	518:11,20,21
base 392:9	basis 406:16	382:9 387:10	520:25 527:1	583:17
393:13 397:17	441:2,18	402:20 428:4	531:21 533:5	benefited 447:4
397:18 398:3	448:9 475:7	439:15 443:2	533:9,13	447:5
405:1 407:5	480:13,14,17	458:8 657:17	534:25 535:3	benefiting
408:5,6,15,21	491:21,22	657:19	536:7 537:18	419:11
443:13,15,19	497:20 506:11	674:22	538:12	benefits
444:4 477:16	508:20,24	behavior 568:4	543:20 547:5	398:20
479:12 491:14	515:6 570:19	568:20	555:14 560:1	403:24 404:4
533:15,16	570:20 571:21	570:12 571:7	560:6,9,10,14	415:3 418:3
534:14 584:10	586:5 604:17	665:9	579:12 588:14	455:9 458:12
585:1,9	633:9 665:20	beings 434:16	590:6,7,25	483:25 484:5
604:20,21,22	680:23 682:5	belief 489:9	598:24	494:15 495:18
606:18 648:18	682:20,21,23	502:14 515:15	605:21 606:13	518:15 547:25
648:19,23,24	bat 671:21	522:16 564:5	609:13,16	602:3 607:24
671:14,14,16,19	bbear.deener	574:7 675:9	620:16 640:6	642:7
684:8	376:10	believe 382:20	645:8 648:10	best 393:24
based 395:21	bear 376:8	387:12,18	671:2 675:23	407:25
405:25 407:2	380:21,21	398:16 399:11	677:23 682:9	435:16 436:11
408:21,25	428:3,3 434:4	400:3 402:4	683:25 684:3	449:10 453:1
410:20 411:18	434:8 435:6	409:13 410:13	684:6	463:16
411:20,22	435:25 436:5	410:21 411:14	believed 513:9	469:22
416:8 426:4	436:17,19,23	413:5 414:12	513:9 617:12	502:14 506:8
429:21 479:7	437:4,20	414:19,25	believes 404:13	522:15 564:5
487:8 512:19	438:10,14,24	415:14,22	406:24 411:15	574:7 610:14
542:24 543:2	439:10 459:3	418:5 419:15	435:16 454:5	627:7 642:9
545:2 556:23	462:4 489:1	420:13 424:21	586:12 609:18	670:9,19
557:9,11	565:8 574:25	426:18	Bell 682:10	675:9 692:8
599:14 615:2	599:18 612:14	429:23 431:5	belong 396:5	better 398:18
638:20 642:11	641:12 653:23	431:11,20,25	bench 476:10	402:25
642:12,12	660:3 673:9	433:10	487:8 505:5	406:23 415:21
650:1 656:3	677:9 686:6	438:24,25	559:4,13	464:3 503:19
658:12 659:11	beaten 447:14	447:2,18	592:7 599:15	561:3 571:13
667:1,16	becoming 672:1	449:9 456:9	651:6 657:11	597:11,21
682:13	began 386:16	456:23,25	664:19 679:21	599:7 612:1
bases 453:12	422:23 560:5	457:2 469:1	beneficial	632:19 633:2
basic 426:12	560:6	470:12 471:4	404:15 435:9	641:8 642:5
527:14	beginning	474:12 479:6	benefit 393:1	664:7 670:8,8
622:24 653:4	386:2 396:10	479:20	395:22	beyond 430:8
663:17	424:15 503:19	480:16 481:22	396:15 400:14	432:20
basically 439:18	633:20	486:1 489:12	409:12 426:16	535:23 606:7
	•	•	•	•

bids 512:7	bootstrap	509:5	492:10 494:14	calling 447:24
big 428:14	640:2	Brooke 654:4,5	494:25	461:24 611:21
450:10 491:17	bottom 404:9	brought 390:5	551:22,25	647:5
530:22	416:11 446:15	392:4 398:20	552:2,13,21	calls 562:24
532:19 537:6	681:21	469:18 651:22	581:22 588:5	573:3 591:11
bigger 539:11	Boulevard	Brownlee	592:24 618:4	611:13 630:11
biggest 661:9	377:8	377:20 382:8	619:2 621:3	652:17
bill 411:19	bound 417:24	382:8 387:7,8	642:11	667:23
422:22 431:7	box 397:25	387:23	businesses	CAM 421:2,9,9
431:10,16	break 385:11	465:19 466:3	420:5 432:3	601:17
434:23 453:9	391:10 404:21	466:11,14,15,16	438:22	canceled
477:13 497:11	465:20	471:8,16,19,24	busy 537:3	650:17
570:6	499:24,24	472:3,4	buy 467:11	cap 572:2
billing 468:4	500:5 501:1	686:12	468:15	664:4 670:8
bills 389:17	553:16 564:11	Brubaker	buying 392:9	capacity
395:3 404:24	605:4,9	674:20		407:22,25
430:23 431:15	672:14	Buck 611:9	C	459:1,12
454:9,10,23	breaks 487:23	buckets 505:23	C 376:3 379:1	460:13 510:21
496:13	505:22	budget 456:21	380:4 501:10	613:19,20
670:23	Brewster's	464:1,8,10	501:23 580:15	614:7 674:19
bit 389:5 421:2	618:11	budgets	687:1 688:1	capital 396:2
431:4 463:25	Brian 376:8	456:24	689:1	397:23
486:5 509:7	380:21 428:3	build 479:1	calculate 548:4	403:15,17
555:16 628:15	441:5	building 376:13	calculator	406:10 407:1
628:21 629:1	brief 388:16	454:20,22	533:21	407:3,7,11,16
649:11 673:14	389:3 402:24	buildings 453:9	call 395:1	408:2 440:8
black 397:25	428:5 439:18	454:6,25	409:24	440:16 447:17
blaming 445:18	453:21 455:13	455:2	461:20,22	447:19,22,23
block 382:15	461:10 466:25	built 493:25	472:10,12	448:2,3,4,7,8
399:16 451:24	520:15 527:18	499:3 613:11	501:10 521:14	448:11,11,18,21
blocks 386:16	656:24 657:4	bullet 546:18	588:15 597:4	449:20
board 469:13	briefly 432:21	546:24,25	616:25 617:5	479:15
495:11	436:4 439:21	547:6	622:15,15	482:22
boards 388:8	456:17 474:20	bunch 437:14	662:17,19	487:24
467:1,8 470:18	489:5 504:8	burden 456:4	664:5 672:3,4	505:24 532:4
Boards' 377:19	525:25	463:8 492:1	672:15	532:7 555:10
382:7,9	526:17 529:2	631:5,7	called 380:7 392:13 393:9	558:15
387:10 465:21	537:23 575:2	burdened		560:23
466:4,17	576:14 612:24	428:22 430:1	399:19 400:24 401:17	584:18,21
467:3 471:2	621:24 649:6	430:21,25	468:8 472:16	601:3
Bolivar 377:4	679:23 683:3	431:8 434:13	494:19 501:15	captioned
bolstering	bring 391:12	bus 612:2	521:17 529:8	379:10
636:3	500:25 634:4	business 390:7	563:4 573:5	captive 424:2
bonus 457:8,13	bringing 514:12	407:18 432:11	631:4,22	captured
book 391:17	643:20 672:5	436:3 479:5	652:22 660:9	395:16
493:13 660:24	Brink 453:14 broke 507:23	480:2 481:9 481:10 492:2	674:10 680:21	card 420:14 427:4
000.24	DIUKE 307.23	401.10 492.2	07 1.10 000.21	4Z1.4

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

				I
cards 420:16,18	453:8,23	576:9,10	452:21,23	473:7
427:8,11,12	454:11 455:8	579:14,15	468:20 469:11	certain 437:8
care 387:21	455:15 456:19	580:5,8 581:9	475:14 478:1	438:22 468:6
496:7 571:8	457:8 463:10	582:7 583:6	480:25 485:1	468:9 516:19
614:1	463:23	584:5 585:1	485:1 491:20	533:16 535:6
career 450:23	464:15 467:2	590:18	492:4 498:11	535:17 542:14
careful 622:22	469:8 473:12	594:20 595:5	503:21 527:4	564:9 597:4
carefully 458:21	473:16 475:1	595:6,15	527:22 528:1	603:17 610:7
case 379:6	475:12 476:18	601:16 602:18	537:12 545:9	625:14 633:18
389:21 392:2	477:16,22	603:9 606:17	555:22 564:9	638:3 643:18
393:3,15,15	478:9 479:7	607:8,19	580:9,11 584:1	certainly 394:16
393:23 395:8	479:23	608:18 610:17	595:12 611:21	425:11 435:8
395:11,25	482:16 484:6	619:9,20	626:22 627:5	439:1 441:11
397:10 398:9	491:3,7,10,12	620:7,9,22,23	627:13 630:3	549:21 558:9
398:24	491:16 492:8	621:8,13	633:11 643:21	574:17 576:9
399:12 400:7	492:11,12,19	623:17,21	647:16 650:5	649:22 685:6
401:9 402:23	498:6 500:13	624:4,6	650:15,22	certainty
403:1,2,4,5	503:7 504:12	625:9,21	669:18 680:2	508:24
404:23 405:2	507:19,21	626:2,4,5,10	685:7	CERTIFICATE
405:3,4,11	508:1 514:16	626:14,17,21	cash 393:16,19	692:1
406:9 408:18	514:25 515:18	627:7,12,23	407:23 470:2	Certified 692:3
409:8 410:22	516:15,24	627:25	cash-starved	692:19
411:10 413:15	518:1,14,16,25	630:21 633:15	391:1	certify 692:5
414:10,24	520:7,20	635:23,24	Casi 378:10	certifying
415:8 417:10	522:9 523:4	636:4,16	380:11	587:25
417:12,18	526:8,13	637:15,17,21	cast 390:7	chain 434:18
418:10,15,17,19	527:24	638:22 640:1	478:24	chair 663:1
419:13,22	529:17 531:22	641:20,21,21	catching 525:17	Chairman
420:5,8 421:6	532:8 533:3	641:24 642:1	categories	375:20 399:7
421:9 422:3,4	535:20 536:1	642:6 643:16	592:19	399:9,22,25
422:8 423:25	536:6 537:16	644:19,22	cats 665:18	400:5,17
428:10,12	541:22 542:2	645:16,23,24	caught 391:10	401:7,11 402:1
429:3 430:14	542:8,11,16,23	646:5,9,16	cause 522:8	402:6 434:3,5
432:13 433:14	543:15	647:3,12,15	606:8	435:2,18
433:18 435:7	544:20	648:4,8,12	caused 450:13	442:2,4,11,15
439:19 440:1	545:15 546:3	649:15 650:7	675:1	442:17 451:7,8
440:3,10	546:9,11,17	653:9 654:11	caution 387:24	457:25
441:10,19,22	548:12 552:6	669:7 677:18	591:11	460:25 465:6
442:1,7,9	552:16,19,23	677:24 678:5	CCR 375:24	471:9 476:11
443:4,8 444:6	553:2,5,13	678:10 681:2	ceiling 464:1,9	476:12,14
444:7 445:4	554:9 557:24	690:16	cell 382:12	477:17 478:6
445:12 446:5	558:16	cases 379:9,16	center 597:4	479:6,19
446:7,11,14,18	560:24 561:14	398:6,12,21	617:5 672:3	480:10,18,24
448:16 449:1	561:17 563:20	417:9 418:15	centric 423:18	481:5,15
450:1,6,7,15	566:8,11	428:21 432:1	century 661:8	482:3,7,11,16
451:1 452:19	567:13 573:19	432:6 444:10	CEO 393:6,6,8	482:18 483:2
452:25 453:4	575:7 576:1,3	445:20	393:18,23	483:5,9,13,16
<u> </u>		<u> </u>		ı

486:1,23	618:7 630:20	468:7 567:20	claim 403:22	679:15
487:17 491:1	630:24	584:7,8	403:25 404:3	close 391:18
492:25	change 389:15	585:13	claimed 404:16	441:7 512:8
494:17 496:19	397:22 411:20	Charles 418:5	405:15	519:9
499:20 500:7	415:6 481:6,7	423:22 539:6	claiming 409:10	closer 412:7
505:6,8,12,17	491:18 575:9	573:3,4,13	claims 403:8,14	closing 413:3
506:4,9,15,21	575:23,24	687:12	403:20	co-delivered
506:24 507:2	576:4,6	chart 424:10	418:20 445:5	453:12
507:17 508:2	577:18	495:23 496:3	445:8	co-delivery
508:4,9,13,14	603:20	500:18	clarification	453:2 457:3
508:18 509:2	626:20	509:19 691:3	426:12 540:5	coffin 445:14
509:6,12,22	changed 404:5	charts 425:15	567:24 568:7	Coffman 377:8
509:25 510:2	407:6 411:24	cheapest	574:12 588:7	381:16,16
510:6,16,17,24	422:3 457:3	613:15	669:4	461:6,8 465:5
511:5,17 512:5	544:22 577:2	check 533:13	clarify 413:25	465:8 490:16
512:9,24	577:10 578:15	657:8	489:18	565:20
514:9 530:20	632:15 634:3	chief 473:8	523:20	580:25
559:5 572:15	changeover	563:15 573:17	543:21 581:21	621:22 623:12
592:9 608:14	415:8	637:18,21	595:25	623:19 624:2
608:17 609:6	changes 397:15	638:22	669:20	624:7 651:4
610:22 611:19	411:25 504:13	child 434:9	clarifying 654:3	654:24
612:2,6,10	522:11 566:21	choice 446:13	clarity 462:1	663:10
614:15,22	573:25 575:7	484:22	class 412:4,7,20	672:20 673:4
615:1,7,13	changing 395:5	chosen 553:2	412:22	673:20 674:2
616:1,14,20	481:9 570:25	CHP 432:21	438:23 441:5	678:24 686:11
617:8,13,17	571:3,20	433:6,12,13,17	528:24 530:8	688:3
619:10 620:3	640:7 647:15	Christmas	554:20	coincidentally
623:13,25	chapter 635:3	437:13	classes 412:16	519:9
624:5,9,14,21	637:13	circle 581:12	412:18,21	cold 431:9
625:24	660:24	Circuit 594:13	438:20	434:17 464:22
626:23 651:7	characterize	circulated	classic 454:17	569:8
656:15 657:13	486:4 568:17	443:9	claw 444:11	Coleman
658:8 664:20	644:14	circumstance	clear 379:21	375:22 418:5
664:23	characterized	664:24	443:7 445:18	collaborative
665:19 666:21	484:21 538:14	circumstances	520:11,12	437:22 438:7
667:21 673:12	543:16 544:12	457:2	568:23	457:19 462:19
679:23 680:1	characterizes	citizens 588:4	580:14 596:21	463:14
680:5,8,14	609:14	city 375:9	666:8,19	collect 399:16
681:6,18	charge 399:15	376:9,22	clearer 388:22	404:19 406:5
682:2,19	399:21 400:16	377:5,11,13,17	clearly 477:25	collected
Chairman's	411:12 412:13	377:21 378:6	479:14 481:4	399:20
610:4 629:18	412:14 413:9	378:14 381:19	481:10 483:22	404:25 607:6
658:12	431:3 456:7,8	381:23 406:21	487:22	collection
challenge	461:14,16,18	437:14 452:24	493:23 497:2	429:9
497:10	462:25 468:8	465:11 467:14	497:22 523:9	Collectively
chance 462:6	charges 396:25	467:16 469:2	636:22	406:11
538:16 610:2	397:9,12 412:7	469:4 595:3	client 383:2,17	Collins 441:5
	-	-	-	-

				1
Columbia	462:10 500:9	449:3 452:17	650:3 651:17	487:4,5 507:4
376:14	567:20 625:11	455:14 456:19	651:19,21	510:7,12,16
combination	628:22 638:6	456:20 458:7	652:1 655:6	512:14,16
415:5	commenced	458:13 459:10	657:15 658:5	520:4 521:8
combine 597:1	379:2	459:14,20,24	658:10,21	559:7,9
combined	commensurate	460:7 461:7	659:1,3	572:17 577:8
391:15 428:25	444:20	463:13,19,20	660:15 664:8	592:11,12,13,14
519:8	comment	464:13	666:16	592:16 593:1
come 388:13,23	480:22 496:1	466:20,20	680:20 691:8	593:4,21,25
398:24 414:13	569:19 588:2	467:23 469:11	Commission's	594:19,24
414:17,20,25	636:20	469:21,22	416:23 422:15	595:20 598:3
417:4,12,20	663:19	470:9 506:19	422:18	598:5,16
419:21 432:6	677:22	512:19 515:15	568:23	599:1,11 609:7
432:7 433:11	comments	519:22 522:4	589:24	610:24 621:18
434:18 438:11	428:6 453:21	529:3 536:17	634:24	628:1,5,16
440:15,21	484:2 496:11	541:1,4 542:11	635:20	629:2 630:6
463:19 464:2	578:4,8,10,11	543:12,19	637:24 639:7	630:7 651:9
464:13	579:11 583:16	546:3 548:18	657:18 669:17	666:22,24
465:20,24	629:17	550:9 556:7	Commission	667:1 682:3,11
476:23 477:14	commercial	558:5,14	421:7 488:3	commissioners
478:4,5,8	412:18 438:21	566:7 569:5	Commission-r	375:23 399:5
479:3 480:4	commission	571:24 575:6	389:25	413:20 434:1
496:22	375:3 378:8	585:16 587:12	commissioner	440:22
499:24 503:11	378:13 379:5	587:12,15,24	400:19 402:10	460:23
544:2 549:16	380:14 388:9	589:16 594:9	402:11 413:22	499:20
553:2 593:7	389:2 398:17	594:10,18	413:24 414:12	572:14
597:16	400:12 401:13	601:13,22	414:22 415:12	Commissione
602:25	402:9,18,21	602:18 603:1	416:3,13,15,17	682:13
606:16	406:20	603:16	418:5 424:6,9	commissions
607:23	409:17,17	605:20 606:2	424:13,18,22	449:13 623:3
608:18 621:7	411:2 412:1	607:12,21	424:25 425:3	666:14
621:11 625:7	413:6,14 417:2	608:10 609:11	425:8,13,21	commitment
627:8 629:11	417:6,13,13,17	610:11,12 611:5	425:24,25	477:25
630:19 640:18	417:20 418:16	615:14,25	426:1,2,10,18	commitments
646:19	422:10,11,12	617:14 620:14	426:23 427:1	417:19
648:22	422:22 423:1	621:23 626:11	427:20,24	committed
672:14 679:11	423:7,13,20	627:20	428:1 434:2	458:25
comes 395:12	424:4 427:22	629:21,24	435:19,20	committee
434:10 440:18	428:9,10,12	630:2 636:17	436:1,15,18,20	667:10 669:6
482:13 491:7	429:12,15	637:13 641:20	436:21,24	669:21
491:10 498:17	432:7 433:8	643:1,4,13,16	437:11 438:8	committees
514:25 531:19	433:15	643:21 644:6 644:17 645:8	438:11,16,17	421:16
545:20 580:16 589:3	434:25		439:8 442:19	commodity 429:22
671:21	435:16,21 439:2,14	645:14,16 646:8,22	447:9 451:10 451:12 461:2	
coming 388:4	439.2,14 441:17,21	647:1,19	471:11,13,14,18	common 447:20,21,24
417:10 437:6	443:13 445:5	648:13,23	471:23 487:1,2	448:3,5
717.10 737.0	773.13 743.3	UTU.13,23	7/1.23 70/.1,2	770.5,5

453:11 454:22	411:5,8,10,14	604:18 606:5	580:7	completely
589:13	411:16 413:4	606:8 607:7,9	compares	379:17 492:4
communities	414:9,13 415:4	608:11,17	480:19	complex 462:9
420:4 496:8	415:10,14,25	609:14,18	555:20	492:12
comp 415:19,24	416:12 417:18	611:7 619:13	comparison	compliance
484:2 614:9	418:20 419:12	620:8 621:10	406:20	396:23
616:5,6,9	419:19 420:23	624:17	compel 593:17	compliant 411:16
compact 458:18	423:18 426:9	627:20	594:2,2	complied 417:16
companies	426:13,19	630:10,11	compelling	comply 594:15
390:9,10	427:2,15	631:6 639:3	459:9	component
391:14,19	428:13,20	640:1 641:8	compensated	434:15 460:4
401:15 405:5	430:7,18	643:10,15	394:2 684:7	460:11 485:17
414:24 417:23	434:21,22	645:13,15,17	compensation	485:23
421:23 422:1	435:8,10,17	647:20 648:5	393:13,17,20	489:13 511:10
423:2 426:3	436:25 437:5	648:13,19,22	393:23 394:1	555:10,12,20
434:20 441:6	437:6,7 438:4	649:13 651:23	398:2,4	555:21 556:6
441:15 445:24	446:23 447:7	657:22 661:17	409:6,10	556:15,25
448:12,14,14	447:13,21	662:1,5	416:7 458:19	557:11 558:3
448:17,18,19	450:16,21	663:23 671:10	485:15,19	558:6,15
455:22	462:22,24	671:15 678:9	489:8,11,16	570:21 604:16
467:14 469:13	463:19 468:14	679:7,11	490:4 494:16	615:18,19
474:25 488:3	470:17 473:6	680:16,18	494:19,20	components
488:4 496:2,4	483:13,23,24	682:5 684:14	495:18 607:1	557:13
496:5 514:12	484:3 485:19	company's	613:11 678:8	comprehensive
515:2,17	488:11 489:15	375:12 393:6	681:13 684:9	462:14 463:16
527:19,24	489:21 490:3	396:6,21	684:12,14,21	concedes
582:18	491:19,19	403:16,25	685:5	643:6
596:22	493:15,17	404:3 405:1	competitive	concentrate
609:17 666:18	494:1,4,21	406:18 408:1	566:13	495:16 684:1
666:19 682:9	495:3 496:6	409:3,11	competitor	concentrated
company	498:1,22	410:16 411:18	459:9	464:11 618:16
375:15 379:10	501:10 502:1	411:23 415:15	complaint	concentrating
379:11 380:1,6	506:16 512:25	425:15 444:9	405:11 418:14	678:6 685:1
390:4,5,6,16	513:5 516:18	444:12 447:10	418:18 444:16	concept 681:5
390:24 391:1	519:1,16,19	449:10 456:6	444:17 445:4	conceptually
392:15 393:21	520:19 526:21	486:2 498:2	445:6 446:4,4	662:12 665:8
394:6 395:12	526:25 527:4	527:1,18	450:1 579:15	concern 456:23
395:21 396:5	528:2 532:8	537:10 541:16	590:4,10,15	523:10 589:2
396:7 398:16	532:25	568:3,18	591:25 603:8	661:9 662:9
399:10 400:6	536:22	607:18	603:8 661:24	665:23,24
401:14 403:8	545:21 546:12	609:20 652:5	complaints	666:1
403:14 404:15	557:5 562:9	667:23	598:11	concerning
405:14 406:18	567:17 582:19	comparable	complete	442:8 508:21
407:8,14,20	589:7 591:18	448:11,12,14,17	590:23 604:7	510:19
407:22	591:25 594:2	448:19	611:7 655:20	concerns 420:8
408:23 410:2	594:22 595:1	compare 527:3	completed	429:15 461:21
410:4,10,13,19	595:6 604:13	527:18,21	390:17 540:21	526:16,20
<u>'</u>				-

				1
527:7 528:6	confusing	397:15	505:1 513:25	672:19
545:17 571:12	461:25	consistent	565:12,19	continued 377:1
587:17,18	633:22	409:16 419:18	600:2 612:22	378:1 435:14
601:3,20	confusion	515:23 516:1	621:21 649:5	691:1
643:20	548:9	556:6 557:1	651:3 654:23	continuing
662:16	Congratulatio	consistently	660:7 663:9	426:8 555:3
conclude	529:14	578:21 579:19	677:11 678:23	continuous
602:20	Congress	consisting	consummating	486:16
concluded	442:6	447:20 448:3	510:25	continuum
417:15 546:4	conjunction	consists 392:2	contact 593:13	478:11
concludes	453:2	399:15	596:24	contracting
685:13	Connecticut	consolidate	contacted	458:15 459:4
conclusion	376:17	467:11	382:4	contracts
445:10 446:2	connection	consolidated	contained	566:12
588:8 600:23	585:6,7	379:17,17	473:19,21	contradiction
601:24 647:5	consensus	407:2,14	522:14,19	637:24
647:7	643:12	412:17,19,21	675:5	contrast 449:5
conclusions	consequence	418:14 446:5	contemplate	control 389:13
398:25	466:21	448:9 579:14	423:24	619:9
542:15 543:17	conservation	603:9	contemplates	controlled
602:13	397:6 411:7,23	consolidation	422:5	589:7 651:25
concrete 440:1	456:11	438:19	contemporan	controlling
conditions	conservative	constant 551:13	475:15	394:22
417:17 421:6	394:7	551:17	contention	controversy
449:19 568:4	consider 440:1	constitute	512:3,8	494:18
568:19 577:9	517:3 561:19	624:18	contentious	conversation
conduct 537:25	562:3 587:7	constitutes	681:1	537:13
541:4 543:13	596:22 616:12	638:4 650:4	context 440:3	conversations
conducting	618:5 629:21	constructive	478:11 540:21	438:18
491:7	641:5 671:9	566:20 572:6	569:20	conversely
conference	679:11	consult 673:1	582:15	569:7
526:13,15	considerable	consultant	597:23	cooperative
608:25 649:11	620:24	467:8 579:12	620:23 647:3	467:9 492:18
650:17 656:11	666:15	579:24	677:17 678:10	567:12
conferences	consideration	consulted 612:4	685:7	cooperatively
527:10,10	458:16	consumer	continually	421:4
649:12	considerations	429:4 476:6	475:6	coordinate
confident	412:9 528:22	580:24	continuation	564:11
619:18	considered	consumers	430:12	copies 385:8
confidential	449:4 560:16	376:20 377:2	continue	500:25
438:1 564:21	599:9 606:7	377:7 381:8,15	409:18 421:1	675:20
564:23 675:2	606:9 669:8	381:17 430:9	437:7 457:20	copy 451:14
675:24	678:4	430:16 431:8	466:3 478:14	538:10,13,16
confirm 405:8	considering	439:16 442:21	479:1,1 486:15	538:20
538:7	478:24 671:18	443:2 461:5,8	492:21 493:19	core 681:17
conflict 636:16	consist 412:13	475:24 490:15 504:21	501:8 518:19 540:12 631:20	cornerstone 458:18
confused 442:4	consistency	490.13 304.21	540.12 651.20	430.10

corporate	502:4 522:12	626:20 642:11	678:23	595:8 639:1,4
403:12 422:5	522:13	655:21 664:1	counsel 378:2	court 385:8,13
494:25	563:23 675:11	668:17 672:7	378:5 380:15	451:14 521:24
510:22 590:5	correctly 414:7	678:13	380:19	563:11 573:11
591:1,20	664:4 665:13	cost/benefit	402:20	594:12,13,14
605:25	665:23	602:2	416:20 417:8	626:14 672:18
Corporation	corresponding	costs 391:18,20	418:13,15	675:20 692:3
392:18	444:21	391:21,23,24	421:12 431:23	692:19
correct 386:16	cost 389:11,14	392:1,3,7,8,11	444:14,16,18	courts 594:23
398:25 400:2	389:16 391:13	393:1,16	445:22	cover 470:2
400:4,24	391:14,19	394:23	446:16 461:12	531:5,7,13,15
418:17 436:16	393:3,8	395:17 396:1	462:22	532:6,10,21
436:17 474:13	396:10,19,23	396:11,14,15	474:16 487:11	534:11 540:15
476:19,20	397:23	410:14 418:8,11	504:4 511:8	561:25
489:17 502:13	401:23 403:7	454:13,22	513:15 522:5	covered 466:8
506:20 513:2	403:7,9,10,17	458:11 460:17	522:5 525:20	536:11 569:16
522:15	403:20,23	481:9,17,22	562:23,24	631:16
523:24 525:7	407:24 412:7	481:25 482:2	563:16,18	covering
528:25 529:1	418:7 420:10	482:4,22,24	572:19 573:3	389:24
529:17,18,23	420:19,20	484:13 485:11	573:15 577:8	532:16 534:17
530:5,6,10	421:7 434:21	487:20,22	578:12	535:11 536:14
533:8 534:24	441:6 447:7	489:16 490:4	598:23 603:8	craft 666:16
534:25 535:11	459:13 460:2	493:16 494:9	611:3 629:17	crafted 665:23
535:16 536:12	460:3,4,10	496:20,25	630:6 640:24	680:11
537:11 539:20	468:13 485:3	497:13,15	653:20	create 468:2,12
540:23 541:11	485:9 498:1	498:9,14	660:14 661:10	610:14 623:8
541:13 544:23	498:22	507:7 509:10	665:14 677:6	627:21 628:4
550:22	503:17 505:9	509:11,15,18	692:9,13	649:21
555:13 557:2	506:2,12	510:13 515:2	counsel's	created 418:4
557:22 560:2	507:14,19	515:14,22	417:22 529:7	468:6 470:6
560:4 564:4	518:20 519:4	518:6,7,8,15	576:21 603:7	514:11 626:22
564:16	528:7,24	519:15 548:21	677:15	627:22 651:17
566:22 572:8	529:25 530:9	552:12 554:8	counselor	creates 470:16
573:24 574:6	534:17,18	569:16,24	529:8	499:4
579:4 592:1,2	535:15 536:10	570:11,25	counter 394:3	creating 429:6
611:16 635:15	548:8,16,22	571:4,7	country 477:10	credentials
640:17	550:1,12,16,19	586:12 589:13	584:19 615:7	662:24
642:20,21	550:24 551:5	590:2 591:20	615:8	credit 420:14,15
644:8 646:23	551:7,21,22	617:7 626:12	couple 382:22	420:18 427:4
650:7 652:2	552:5,7	Council 377:7	413:25 424:14	427:8,11,12
652:6 655:13	554:2,20	381:15,17	468:1,18 477:9	516:9 567:17
668:6 675:8	562:10 586:15	461:5,9 476:6	515:12 566:5	597:10
675:25 680:2	587:21	490:15 505:1	581:16 595:24	credited 663:2
682:22 685:9	590:20 601:4	513:25 565:19	620:4 632:15	criteria 625:14
corrected	601:4,8,10,18	580:24 600:2	665:16	critical 432:10
469:19	613:15 622:10	621:21 651:3	course 440:9	435:15 510:25
corrections	622:11,16	654:23 663:9	450:23 461:19	critically 440:9
	1		1	1

cross 382:18	412:18,20	392:23,25	389:17	541:10 661:16
474:10 525:16	429:20,22	393:2,5,7,9,14	404:24 420:3	662:15 685:13
526:18 565:2	443:23,24	393:22	496:12 570:6	685:24
574:10 653:13	444:21 446:5	395:22 397:2	cut 585:18	days 416:24
653:15 659:21	449:21 460:1	398:21 403:10	cycle 475:18	579:20
cross-examin	463:2,5,18	403:18,24		593:20
466:23 474:11	480:9 529:9	404:4,6	D	603:19,20,23
474:21 487:8	531:6 550:13	409:12 413:8	D 377:12 379:1	DC 376:18
502:19 504:2	550:20 551:2	418:3,9,9	381:22 686:1	deal 431:14,15
504:9 512:19	551:13	419:23 420:1	687:1,1 688:1,1	432:25 441:17
525:19 526:2	currently	420:14,15,17	689:1,1	503:10 511:1,4
528:17 559:12	404:19,24,25	424:2 427:10	d/b/a 375:15	512:1 638:6
565:5 566:1	411:13 414:9	427:11,14,17	damaging	dealing 429:16
574:22 575:3	432:5 441:13	445:12 446:19	395:19	437:12 462:9
576:15 581:4	522:9 531:9	447:3 454:8	DANIEL 375:20	527:25 537:11
599:14 634:10	535:21 667:12	456:5,12	data 450:19	580:5
634:13	customer 392:9	458:12,17,25	458:21	deals 503:3
640:20 641:1	395:13	459:13 463:2	507:25	dealt 464:6
641:15 649:7	396:25 397:9	477:6 481:11	526:22	469:25 470:2
654:1 655:2	397:11 398:20	483:25 484:5	579:23 582:5	527:20
658:12 659:11	399:15,21	485:2 486:16	582:6,23,23	debt 397:24
659:24	400:16 411:11	491:15 493:15	582:25 583:1	407:3,9,11,22
660:12 663:13	411:19,24,24	494:8,10,11,15	583:9,18,20	407:25
669:1 675:19	412:7,13	494:22	594:22	421:20,20
676:12,14	420:11 423:18	495:19 496:7	595:14,18	556:10
677:13 679:1	423:21 431:3	497:9,10,14	603:17 611:8	decade 398:1
682:13 686:18	455:5 456:3,7	497:24 498:2	656:7,8,10	449:14,16
686:24 687:6	456:8 461:14 [°]	498:5 499:11	data-gathering	decades 589:4
687:7,11,14,15	461:16,18	506:1 516:9	526:16	589:17,19
687:16 688:6	484:10,11,20	516:20 518:21	date 424:16	December
688:7,8,12,13	484:23	518:25 519:14	449:17 460:2	375:8 379:4
688:16,17,24	485:24 488:6	519:18 520:18	525:1 538:7	415:22 547:11
688:25	488:20 495:4	541:16 544:6	596:4,13	560:2,3
cross-examine	498:10	547:25 548:1	661:18	decide 433:18
630:22	567:20 571:19	548:2,6	dated 524:25	decided 390:11
cross-examin	581:22 587:16	554:18,23	dates 560:14	428:7 503:19
602:8,14	592:22,24	569:7,10	dating 661:6	615:14 626:20
crowd 534:2	593:1 596:23	571:17 [°] 572:1	Dave 555:17,24	638:21
Crystal 666:8	596:24 598:11	584:3 586:2	556:3,5,11	645:22
CSR 469:15	599:7 607:9	586:18 588:9	561:3,5	650:18
634:24	609:18,21	596:25	David 376:21	decides 617:15
culminates	618:16 657:18	598:13 613:6	381:10 421:13	decimate
642:19	657:23 658:4	614:10,11 617:6	443:1	459:11
culturally 494:4	670:20	641:9 670:22	david.woods	decision 459:4
curious 425:14	customers	670:23	376:22	459:22 480:2
682:4	389:11 391:10	684:10	day 434:11	480:5 678:12
current 406:4	392:4,17,19,21	customers'	538:15 541:9	decisions
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

458:11,15	684:20,21	554:20	534:4 561:10	develop 447:10
decline 450:13	defined 628:15	depreciation	568:24 571:11	452:21 620:11
declined 449:15	670:12	384:7 450:11	571:16 616:7,9	646:2 681:12
decoupling	definite 597:6	479:14 498:17	designing	683:19
456:1 461:23	definitely 517:18	690:6	665:13	developed
570:16	581:14 599:7	deprive 396:7	designs 397:7,8	401:25 460:13
decrease 406:6	608:7	deputy 522:4,6	666:11	517:9 680:17
414:14 504:17	definition	529:10 530:12	despite 391:16	development
585:20	482:23 618:21	deregulating	397:25 404:3	420:1 426:14
648:14	definitions	663:2	419:11 429:25	426:17,20
decreased	496:23	derogatory	518:9	431:19,24
405:19 541:15	definitive	611:22	detail 399:2	432:16
545:5 586:3	649:15	describe 529:2	440:4 456:14	435:23 436:2
588:11	degree 575:20	described	483:20 486:5	510:22 566:9
decreases	645:1	607:25	536:18	devices 382:13
561:20	deliver 467:16	626:23	609:22 615:5	devoid 445:6
603:22	delivered	628:18	615:11	devotes
decreasing	662:6	describes	detailed 543:13	660:24
545:1	delivering	423:18	607:20,20	dialogue
DED 432:8	464:19	487:22	detailing 457:6	649:20
deed 395:8,10	demand 456:3	describing	details 453:15	Dietrich 550:4
deem 619:3	demon 450:19	682:9	457:21 463:21	difference
deemed 626:17	demonstrably	description	464:14 488:13	406:8,12,13,17
643:14	630:23	423:19 611:22	610:16 627:12	408:3,22
deeper 453:16	demonstrate	655:18	detected	479:15 604:14
default 632:20	418:19 458:24	descriptive	446:16	different 397:7
defense 376:16	demonstrated	461:22	determine	415:1 416:7
381:2,6 417:1	631:6	deserve 394:2	412:2 413:6	441:21 461:24
458:4,9 476:3	demonstration	398:18	422:23	467:17 470:6
490:12	449:10	design 397:5	488:17 541:2	492:14
504:24	680:24	399:14,19	546:3 610:13	505:23 510:21
513:23 528:10	demonstrative	400:14,16	628:21 671:17	511:21 520:11
565:16 580:21	451:18 500:23	412:5,12	determined	527:22
583:6 599:25	691:2,4	430:11 441:5	407:18 423:1	582:20
612:19 650:25	deny 445:2,2,2	452:25	627:13	589:10,12
654:21 663:7	denying 398:3	455:18,25	determines	592:18 596:10
678:21	department	460:14 461:13	648:13	597:13,14
defer 645:11	431:24 432:16	528:23 530:8	determining	599:5 642:8
deferral 626:16	657:18	554:21	665:20 671:14	656:21 666:11
647:13 672:4	depend 537:5	designate 524:13	672:1	668:1 669:13
deferred 604:1	552:9 554:15		detriment	671:20,22,23
604:15,19	depending	designated	486:22 666:3	differentiate
626:12,13	398:23	524:16,17	detrimental	684:13
648:7,15	504:15 575:25 576:5	designation 386:19	497:18 541:3 602:1	differentiations 642:7
deferring 464:7 define 571:17	595:14		detriments	
657:24	depends	designed 410:2 430:17 530:7	418:4 642:8	differently 516:3
037.24	l nehelinz	430.17 330.7	+10.4 U4Z.0	310.3

differing 477:24 difficult 408:17 489:24 599:23,25 (674:4 675:22 (676:14,9):13,18 (676:23 677:2) 586:14 636:16 (676:8 680:4 director 522:6 (676:14,9):13,18 (676:23 677:2) 676:23 677:2 (director 522:6 (676:14,9):13,18 (676:23 677:2) 676:23 677:2 (director 522:6 (676:14,9):13,18 (676:23 677:2) 676:23 677:2 (director 522:6 (676:23 677:2) 677:6.8,10 (676:33 677:2 (director 522:6 (576:25 617:25 677:68.10) 529:10 530:13 (disagree 676:23 677:2 (director 522:6 (685:12)) 682:16 683:2 (685:1,20 (685:11,20 direct 410:3 (685:11,20 direct 410:3 414:3 (682:14 683:2 director 522:6 (685:12) 682:16 683:2 (685:11,20 direct 410:3 414:3 (682:14 683:2 director 522:6 (685:12) 685:11,20 direct 410:3 (481:3) 441:22 disagreement 41:3 (481:3) 441:22 disagreement 41:3 (481:3) 441:22 disagreement 42:22 disagreement 42:22:22 disagreement 42:22:22 disagreement 42:22:22 disagreement 42:22:22 disagreement 42:22:22 disagreement 42					1
difficult 408:17 490:10,12,15 600:2.5,8 676:1.4,91,318 676:8 680:4 438:12 661:21 490:10,19 602:15,21 676:23 677:2 director 522:6 665:18 67:17 499:16,21 603:4,11,14 677:6,8,10 529:10 530:13 Jojeins 668:11 501:2,4,7,12 605:17 608:13 678:18,23,25 682:16 683:2 dimpled 619:1 503:9,13 611:18 612:12 685:11,20 direct 410:3 380:9,15,20 505:4 508:13 621:16,20 455:25 d53:9,18 381:1,115,19 512:18 513:15 624:24 472:20 473:12 d95:36 501:21 382:11 383:4,7 513:25 514:3 639:16 630:5 501:24 505:7 d39:38 384:11,15,18 521:7,11,20 630:8,15 502:9 510:7 d49:32 385:12,21 524:22,13,18 632:23 521:21 522:8 433:22 386:18,15,20 524:22 525:11 633:14 634:9 523:23 529:5 disastres 429:2 386:18,15,20 524:22 555:11 633:14 634:9 523:23 529:5 disastres 429:2 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 <td>differing 477:24</td> <td>489.24</td> <td>599.23.25</td> <td>674.4 675.22</td> <td>586:14 636:16</td>	differing 477:24	489.24	599.23.25	674.4 675.22	586:14 636:16
438:21 661:21 490:17,19 602:15,21 676:23 677:2 dector 522:6 529:10 530:13 632:10 603:4,11,14 677:6,8,10 659:10 530:13 639:10 621 603:4,11,14 607:16,8,10 678:18,23,25 509:10 530:13 disagree 615:25 617:25 609:10 530:13 638:12 679:19 682:12 615:25 617:25 415:22<	_		•		
665:18 671:17			• •		
681:2 Dig-ins 668:11 Dig-ins 668:11 500:2,17,21 500:18 502:22 diminish 440:13 dinged 619:1 Dippell 375:19 504:4,6,20 379:3,22 504:23 505:1 505:4 508:13 380:9,15,20 380:23 381:2 508:15 512:12 623:11 624:10 441:23 381:7,11,15,19 512:18 513:15 622:14 632:14 452:25 382:11 383:47 513:25 514:3 629:16 630:5 501:24 502:2 382:11 383:47 513:25 514:3 632:23 495:6 501:21 382:11,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:2,12 382:12,18 523:3,12,18 384:14,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:2,24 385:12,21 524:22,252:11 634:15,20 529:19 530:4 386:24 387:1 525:17,22,24 385:12,21 524:22,13,18 386:24 387:1 525:17,22,24 385:3,12 528:10 538:12,15 540:10,18 431:20 416:15 539:2 540:7 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 431:20 440:22 428:2 559:11 562:20 491:17,422:13 555:7559:3 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 563:14,18,22 637:14,18,22 637:14,18,22 637:14,18,22 637:14,18,22 637:14,18,22 637:14,18,22 637:14,18,22 638:14,24 385:19 565:11,20 635:14,16,19 565:14,16,19		,	· ·		
Dig-lns 668:11 S01:2,4,7,12 G05:17 608:13 G79:19 682:12 G45:10		· ·	· ·	, ,	
diminish 440:13 501:18 502:22 609:8 611:1,3 682:16 683:2 645:10 diaggreement bippell 375:19 504:4,6,20 612:15,21 direct 410:3 441:3 441:3 379:3,22 504:23 505:1 614:14 617:18 441:22 disagreements 441:3 380:23 381:2 508:15 512:12 623:11 624:10 455:25 492:22 disagreements 381:7,11,15,19 512:18 513:15 624:24 472:20 473:12 409:13 454:9 disagreements 382:11 383:4,7 513:17,20,22 626:24 629:3 495:6 501:21 409:13 454:9 disagreements 384:11,15,18 521:71,120 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 495:6 501:21 disagrees 384:11,15,18 521:71,120 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 497:3 disaster 431:8 385:12,21 524:22,13,18 633:14 634:9 522:22 529:1 633:14 634:9 disaster 431:8 388:3,12 525:17,22,24 635:1,51 538:25 53:9 disasters 429:2 438:22 388:3,12 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 <t< td=""><td></td><td>, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td></t<>		, ,			•
dinged 619:1 503:9,13 611:18 612:12 685:11,20 direct 410:3 disagreement 441:3 379:3,22 504:23 505:1 612:15,21 direct 410:3 disagreement 441:3 380:9,15,20 505:4 508:13 621:16,20 450:20 492:22 disagreements 492:2 380:23 381:2 508:15 512:12 623:11 624:10 455:25 492:22 disagreement 492:22 381:7,11,51.9 513:17,20,22 622:24 629:3 455:25 409:13 454:9 382:11 383:47 513:25 514:3 629:16 630:5 501:24 502:5 497:3 384:11,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 433:22 385:12,21 524:2,13,18 632:23 522:24 disasters 429:2 386:18,15,20 524:21,318 633:14 634:9 538:25 539:9 430:24 388:312 528:13,15 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 discontinue 388:31,2 540:10,18 641:11,3 582:20,22 647:9 649:4 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 632:1,6 418:1,7 422:13 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Dippell 375:19 504:4,6,20 612:15,21 direct 410:3 44i:3 disagreements 438:09,15,20 505:4 508:13 621:16,20 450:20 450:20 disagrees 409:13 454:9 disagrees 381:7,11,15,19 512:18 513:15 624:24 472:20 473:12 409:13 454:9 disappointed 381:24 382:3 351:7,20,22 626:24 629:3 506:25 501:21 501:21 501:24 502:5 497:3 disappointed 497:3 4			•		
379:3,22 504:23 505:1 614:14 617:18 441:22 disagreements 380:9,15,20 505:4 508:13 621:16,20 450:20 492:22 disagrees 381:7,11,15,19 512:18 513:15 624:24 472:20 473:12 d99:13 454:9 d19:13 454:9 d19:	_	•		· ·	_
380:9,15,20 505:4 508:13 621:16,20 450:20 492:22 disagrees 380:23 381:2 508:15 512:12 623:11 624:10 455:25 499:12 disagrees 381:7,11,15,19 513:17,20,22 626:24 629:3 495:6 501:21 409:13 454:9 disappointed 382:11 383:4,7 513:25 514:3 629:16 630:5 501:24 502:5 497:3 disappointed 384:14,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 433:22 disasters 43:8 385:12,21 524:213,18 632:23 522:24 430:24 disasters 429:2 386:24 387:1 525:17,22,24 635:1,5,11 538:25 539:9 538:25 539:9 430:24 388:3,12 528:13,15 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 437:6 discontinue 388:3,12 528:13,15 637:4 638:1,8 573:21 574:13 437:6 discounts 389:4 400:21 593:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 discounts 536:23 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 638:3,14,25		' '	•		
380:23 381:2 381:21 508:15 512:12 623:11 624:10 455:25 409:13 454:9 disagrees 409:13 452:15 40:2 438:14 634:9 522:24 433:22 disasters 429:2 438:12,15 529:13 528:10 636:7,19 538:25 539:9 530:4 438:31 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 538:25 539:9 530:4 428:18 discontinue 437:6 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 632:	′				
381:7,11,15,19 512:18 513:15 624:24 472:20 473:12 409:13 454:9 381:24 382:3 513:17,20,22 626:24 629:3 495:6 501:21 497:3 382:11 383:47 513:25 514:3 629:16 630:5 501:24 502:5 630:815 384:11,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 433:22 384:24 385:3 523:31,12,18 632:23 522:24 433:22 386:18,15,20 524:22 525:11 634:15,20 529:19 530:4 430:24 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 disastrous 428:18 389:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 discounts 536:23 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 69:24 635:23,24 452:20,22 discovery 419:1,7 422:13 540:10,18 641:11,13 635:23,24 635:23,24 450:7,822 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 635:23,24 450:7,816 438:13 439:11 566:4,7,9,11 655:21,23 656:19,25	' '		•		
381:24 382:3 513:17,20,22 626:24 629:3 495:6 501:21 disappointed 382:11 383:47 513:25 514:3 629:16 630:5 501:24 502:5 497:3 383:11 384:8 520:9,24 630:8,15 502:9 510:7 disaster 431:8 384:24 385:3 523:3,12,18 632:23 522:24 433:22 386:1,8,15,20 524:2,13,18 633:14 634:9 523:23 529:5 430:24 386:1,8,15,20 525:17,22,24 635:15,511 538:25 539:9 430:24 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 428:18 388:3,12 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 563:8 573:8 399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 536:23 413:20 416:15 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 636:23 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 427:22 48:2 563:1,7 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 526:7,8,13,20 427:24 435:19 564:13,15,24 655:14,16,19 655:14,66:					. •
382:11 383:4.7 513:25 514:3 629:16 630:5 501:24 502:5 497:3 383:11 384:8 520:9,24 630:8,15 502:9 510:7 disaster 431:8 384:11,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 433:22 385:12,21 524:21,31,18 632:23 522:24 430:24 386:1,8,15,20 524:22 525:11 634:15,20 529:19 530:4 430:24 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 428:18 388:3,12 528:13,15 637:4 638:1,8 573:21 574:13 428:18 389:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 428:18 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 636:counts 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 43:2 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 526:7,8,13,20 427:2 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 43:3 439:11 565:4,9,19,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25					
383:11 384:8 520:9,24 630:8,15 502:9 510:7 disaster 431:8 384:11,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 433:22 384:24 385:3 523:3,12,18 632:23 522:24 523:23 529:5 430:24 386:18,15,20 524:22 525:11 636:15,20 529:19 530:4 436:24 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 428:18 389:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 437:6 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 436:20 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 449:25 450:3 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 520:7,9,22 436:20 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 580:6,7,8,16 435:12,123 572:12,18,22 656:19,25 656:10 666:10 455:1,10,15 574:21,24 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,		· · ·			
384:11,15,18 521:7,11,20 631:9,18 521:21 522:8 433:22 disasters 429:2 384:24 385:3 523:3,12,18 632:23 522:24 430:24 disasters 429:2 386:18,15,20 524:22 525:11 634:15,20 529:19 530:4 430:24 430:24 386:24 387:1 525:17,22,24 635:1,5,11 538:25 539:9 428:18 428:18 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 discontinue 437:6 389:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 536:23 437:6 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 640:covery 449:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 579:11,13 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 526:7,8,13,20 438:13 439:11 566:47,9,11 655:24,02,3,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 641:25 650:17 451:21,23 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 686:23 687:5 687:10	· ·				
384:24 385:3 523:3,12,18 632:23 522:24 disasters 429:2 385:12,21 524:2,13,18 633:14 634:9 523:23 529:5 430:24 386:18,15,20 524:22 525:11 634:15,20 529:19 530:4 disastrous 386:24 387:1 525:17,22,24 635:1,5,11 538:25 539:9 428:18 388:3,12 528:13,15 637:4 638:1,8 573:21 574:13 discontinue 399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 discounts 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 discounts 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 discovery 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 427:22 428:2 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 438:13 439:11 566:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 580:6,7,8,16 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 686:23 687:5 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:23 660:2		•	•		
385:12,21 524:2,13,18 633:14 634:9 523:23 529:5 430:24 386:1,8,15,20 524:22 525:11 538:25 539:9 40isastrous 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 428:18 388:3,12 528:13,15 637:4 638:1,8 573:21 574:13 437:6 399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 discounts 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 536:23 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 49:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 438:3 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:14,18,22 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:12,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,15,7 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:1 686:	· · ·		•		
386:1,8,15,20 524:22 525:11 634:15,20 529:19 530:4 disastrous 386:24 387:1 525:17,22,24 635:1,5,11 538:25 539:9 428:18 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 discontinue 388:3,12 528:13,15 640:4,13,22 579:12 536:23 399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 536:23 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 6iscounts 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 699:23 620:9 449:25 450:3 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 438:3 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 579:11,13 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 666:11 455:4,9,15,17 580:19,215 660:4,6				- :	
386:24 387:1 525:17,22,24 635:1,5,11 538:25 539:9 428:18 387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 discontinue 388:3,12 528:13,15 637:4 638:1,8 573:21 574:13 437:6 399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 discounts 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 36:23 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 49:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 648:9 653:1 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 <td< td=""><td>′</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	′				
387:5,18 527:13 528:10 636:7,19 563:8 573:8 discontinue 388:3,12 528:13,15 637:4 638:1,8 573:21 574:13 437:6 399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 discounts 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 536:23 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 449:25 450:3 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 449:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21 660:4,6 <t< td=""><td>' ' '</td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	' ' '		,		
388:3,12 528:13,15 637:4 638:1,8 573:21 574:13 437:6 399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 536:23 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 4iscovery 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 449:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 438:13 439:11 565:4,7,9,11 655:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11					
399:4 400:21 539:2 540:7 640:4,13,22 579:12 discounts 402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 536:23 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 449:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 449:25 450:3 427:22 428:2 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,3 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 42:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discounts 456:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 456:4,9,15,17 580:19,21 666:20,10 688:5,11,23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
402:8,12,15 540:10,18 641:11,13 582:20,22 536:23 413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 discovery 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 449:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 438:13 439:11 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 42:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 687:10,13 420:13 427:3 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:23 660:2 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•		
413:20 416:15 543:5,23 647:9 649:4 609:23 620:9 discovery 416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 449:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 434:2 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:61 451:7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discretion 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,4 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11					
416:18,20 547:7,15 650:25 651:3 621:6 632:1,6 449:25 450:3 419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 434:2 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 42:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 discretion 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 666:2,9,11		· ·	•	•	
419:1,7 422:13 555:7 559:3 651:5,11 635:23,24 450:7,8,22 424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 434:2 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 442:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discretion 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 <		·			_
424:4 425:25 559:11 562:20 652:14,19 636:4 637:18 526:7,8,13,20 427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 434:2 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 442:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 discretion 451:21,23 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23	·	•		· ·	
427:22 428:2 563:1,7 653:14,18,22 637:19,24 527:2,7,10 434:2 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 442:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discretion 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 666:22,25 directed 411:1 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 600:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 d	•		•		
434:2 435:19 564:13,15,24 653:24 638:3,14,25 579:11,13 436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 442:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 656:11 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 600:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13			· ·		
436:20 565:4,7,9,11 654:20,23,25 640:2 643:25 580:6,7,8,16 438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 442:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 discretion 451:21,23 572:25 574:11 658:9,14 686:23 687:5 383:21 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>• •</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>' '</td>		•	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	' '
438:13 439:11 565:14,16,19 655:11 656:6 648:9 653:1 641:25 650:17 442:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 discretion 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed		· · ·			· ·
442:2,18 565:21,23 656:19,25 653:10 654:10 656:11 451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 discretion 451:21,23 572:25 574:11 658:9,14 686:23 687:5 383:21 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 666:20 608:25 610:7 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed			• •		
451:7,10,13,17 572:12,18,22 657:5,10 674:13 686:17 discretion 451:21,23 572:25 574:11 658:9,14 686:23 687:5 383:21 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed					
451:21,23 572:25 574:11 658:9,14 686:23 687:5 383:21 452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 666:20 606:20 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	·	· ·			
452:1,7,10,15 574:21,24 659:9,13,16,19 687:10,13 discriminatory 458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	451:7,10,13,17	572:12,18,22	•		
458:1,4 461:4 575:1 576:13 659:23 660:2 688:5,11,23 420:13 427:3 465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	451:21,23	572:25 574:11	658:9,14	686:23 687:5	383:21
465:4,9,15,17 580:19,21,24 660:4,6 690:7,10 427:7,9 466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	452:1,7,10,15	574:21,24		687:10,13	,
466:2,10 581:1,3 588:21 663:6,9,11 directed 411:1 discuss 421:10 471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	458:1,4 461:4	575:1 576:13	659:23 660:2	688:5,11,23	420:13 427:3
471:7,13,21 591:14 592:6 664:18 423:7 594:11 421:21 462:7 472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	465:4,9,15,17		660:4,6	•	· ·
472:2,6,13,19 592:13 666:22,25 direction 432:4 503:15 537:1 474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	466:2,10	·	663:6,9,11	directed 411:1	
474:2,11,16,18 595:23 596:3 667:4,16 662:14 666:8 608:25 610:7 475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	471:7,13,21	591:14 592:6	664:18	423:7 594:11	421:21 462:7
475:23 476:1 596:12,15 668:8,23 666:20 610:16,19 476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	472:2,6,13,19	592:13	666:22,25	direction 432:4	503:15 537:1
476:3,6,9 597:9,19,22 670:1 672:10 directive 439:17 611:14 633:16 483:8 487:1,5 598:2 599:13 672:13 673:1 directly 464:6 discussed	474:2,11,16,18	595:23 596:3	667:4,16	662:14 666:8	608:25 610:7
483:8 487:1,5	475:23 476:1	596:12,15	668:8,23	666:20	610:16,19
	476:3,6,9	597:9,19,22	670:1 672:10	directive 439:17	611:14 633:16
487:11 488:25 599:17,19,21 673:19,24 485:24 404:12 405:12	483:8 487:1,5	598:2 599:13	672:13 673:1	directly 464:6	discussed
	487:11 488:25	599:17,19,21	673:19,24	485:24	404:12 405:12
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				_
526:6 538:14	distill 484:8	401:16,19	579:23,25	duplicative
546:5 603:24	distinct 517:18	DOE's 437:18	580:1 593:7	684:17
645:22 654:8	distributed	doing 389:10	593:12,20	duration 517:13
discussing	524:8	414:19 415:3	594:16,25	dynamic 394:7
433:24	distribution	418:20 433:19	595:5,13	
527:16 667:7	389:12 407:19	439:20 444:2	604:1,10,17	E
discussion	467:14 473:9	492:18 497:7	611:13,25	E 376:3,21
410:25 442:5	districts 467:6	521:2 550:9	618:11 655:12	377:16 379:1,1
454:1 505:9	divesters	551:5 570:15	663:15 664:19	686:1 687:1,1
511:15 515:13	390:14,22	583:12	670:5 672:11	688:1,1 689:1,1
518:13 530:23	divided 533:18	584:22	draft 666:15	eager 450:3
537:9,14	535:9	589:17,24	drafting 464:21	earlier 382:4
546:2 551:19	division 376:7	605:12 609:4	drag 395:24	405:21 407:5
555:9 566:9	379:12,13	619:25 625:11	dramatically	433:14 447:8
566:20	380:20,22	665:11 679:6	486:11 496:1	472:7 481:20
576:23 581:16	405:23 412:16	dollar 408:18	584:24	491:23 497:19
583:22	428:2,4,7	420:1 444:11	draw 396:10	500:7 529:8
609:16 617:3	429:20,25	498:23,24	534:5	570:23
645:15 665:6	430:11,13	499:9 537:3	drawn 543:17	571:23 596:1
677:20	431:12 432:6	dollars 395:13	drilled 685:3	629:10 632:7
discussions	432:8 433:8	396:4 423:4	drive 376:13	656:16
383:19 423:8	435:12 438:3	440:11 531:18	377:12 442:24	660:23
423:11 436:8	438:19 439:2	door 657:1	486:17 553:13	668:16
453:23 484:9	439:6 455:9	Dority 376:4	553:14 554:8	early 643:23
485:7 640:5	462:5,23	380:5	554:9 582:22	645:25 674:1
657:22	474:18	double 392:10	582:24	685:17
disincentives	488:25 504:6	double-check	driven 583:2	earn 413:11
456:1	513:17 565:7	564:18	681:15	495:25
disincentivize	574:24	doubled 392:10	drives 553:12	625:19
515:17	599:17 612:12	419:9 577:3	driving 408:3	earned 648:19
dismiss 445:4,6	641:11 653:22	doubt 547:22	528:23 554:2	earning 411:14
dispersions	656:13 660:2	dovetail 606:14	drove 552:13	444:19 511:23
390:7	673:11 677:8	607:17	553:5	516:18
displayed	Division's 434:6	Dow 447:12	DRs 579:19	earning-based
394:11	435:4	577:9,11,13,20	582:16,17	409:9 415:23
disproportion	divisional	Downey 377:4	583:14 593:18	earnings
454:8	507:20	381:14	609:4 661:15	409:12 416:8
dispute 546:12	655:22	downward	due 391:2	418:13,21
547:22	docket 410:17	414:11	422:17	446:21,24
582:10	662:10	dozens 593:18	499:22 591:6	484:10,12
disputed 398:2	dockets 641:21	Dr 423:22	duly 472:17	495:1 496:20 497:1,12
406:9 549:2	doctorate	507:23 508:3	501:16 521:18	498:2 551:19
disputes 450:7	662:23	508:20 509:3	563:5 573:6	551:23 552:5
450:8	document	513:3,11	631:23	551.23 552.5
disruption	510:3 597:25	562:25 563:1	652:23	552:15,19,22
434:18	681:3	563:13 564:8	660:10 674:11	554:8
distance 417:4	documents	566:3 579:19	692:6	33 1.0

				1		
earnings-based	537:12	511:3 512:1	endorsing	612:12,21		
494:20	effectuate	elementary	619:11	641:11 649:4		
easiest 666:9	484:14	467:5	energy 376:7	653:22		
easily 444:8	efficiencies	elements	376:20 377:2	655:24		
457:1 597:25	403:11 416:4	464:24	379:12 380:7	656:13 660:2		
661:3 666:3	554:2	else's 564:17	380:20,22	660:6 673:10		
Eastern 392:23	efficiency 411:12	Empire 421:8	381:7 411:12	673:11 677:8		
397:16	452:23 453:6	589:11 628:6	418:2,11 428:2	677:10 685:15		
echo 441:8	453:10,24	628:13	428:4,7,22	Energy's 375:16		
economic	454:5,14,15	employed	429:21,25	engage 459:24		
419:25 426:14	455:2,8,23	473:3,4 522:1	430:1,6,11,13	England 390:13		
426:16,19	456:2 457:19	522:3 573:14	430:21,24	493:10		
431:19,24	484:25	674:18 692:10	431:2,8,12	enhance 661:19		
432:16	534:20	692:13	432:8 433:8	685:2		
435:23 436:2	622:10,16	employee	434:13 438:3	enhancements		
566:9,14	680:22	485:17,21	438:19 439:6	684:3		
611:14	efficient 496:17	606:15,18,20	439:16 442:21	enjoyable		
economics	633:3 680:24	606:23,24	443:2 452:23	530:17		
660:25	681:4	607:4,5 685:3	453:5,10,24	enjoyed 401:15		
662:23	effort 423:8	692:12	454:5,13,14,15	enlightened		
economist	429:1 464:11	employee's	454:17 455:7	398:18		
563:15	485:20	606:18 607:10	455:8,9,23	ensure 413:7		
662:25	657:19	employees	456:2,4	419:22 423:5		
economy	efforts 389:13	390:19	457:19 459:10	429:1 458:14		
426:25	EFIS 676:9	393:25	462:5,23	460:12 571:11		
Ed 381:14	egregious	394:21 398:5	463:8 464:18	ensures 571:6		
EDF 458:12	445:9	409:8,10,11	474:18 475:23	ensuring 568:2		
583:12,17	eight 447:18	415:24 420:7	484:24	568:18		
EDF's 460:6	477:20 595:17	484:4 492:9	488:25 504:6	enter 469:12		
edge 394:11	eight-year	495:6 606:2	504:20 513:17	525:12		
416:24	533:12	608:9 641:9	517:2,11,15,22	entered 469:17		
EDR 435:22,23	either 419:20	684:7,14,25	518:4 519:7	entering 459:19		
438:18 572:4	420:17	employees'	521:4 524:17	enterprises		
EDRs 431:22,25	483:24 544:7	409:14 415:15	534:20	444:20		
432:5 436:16	545:18 606:12	employment	537:21	entire 389:8		
EDWARD 377:4	633:1,2	529:3	540:23	393:6 396:11		
effect 431:12	651:24 680:15	EMS 443:10	541:23 543:12	632:24 633:2		
441:12 464:14	elected 571:24	450:14	544:7,18	637:17		
576:8 606:24	electric 406:25	530:24,25	545:2,18	entirely 411:16		
607:16 641:6	423:15 449:5	557:10	546:14,22	638:13,17,17		
effective 403:9	452:22	Enable 459:8	547:23	654:10		
411:1 431:14	453:12 457:11	enacted 477:1	548:21,24	677:25		
effectively	468:11 595:11	encountered	559:21 560:12	entities 396:15		
405:19 411:7	595:12 666:18	450:22	565:7,11	595:17		
effectiveness	electronic	ended 560:1,3	574:24 579:7	596:22		
460:4,10	382:13	608:25	584:2,13	entitled 458:19		
effects 411:6	element 507:9	676:24	599:17 600:14	479:8,9,21,22		

647:22 648:6	Eric 483:20	475:8	exact 482:8,9	exceeded
entries 379:25	486:5 501:10	evaluating	493:7,22	482:1
environmental	501:14,23	405:9	538:7 626:9	exceeds 486:11
376:16 381:2	630:11 631:21	evaluation	626:23	excess 391:24
381:6 396:22	632:5 653:11	432:15 475:6	628:21	392:1 404:2
410:6,12	686:22 688:4	evening 670:5	exactly 401:18	480:16
458:4,9 476:3	690:10,12,13	670:6 679:24	488:9,13	excited 397:10
490:12	ERISA 409:2	679:25	610:5 623:22	excluded
504:24	erosion 484:20	event 459:5	examination	489:10
513:23 528:10	484:23	468:23 469:8	472:20 483:7	exclusive 481:4
565:16 580:21	error 469:5,20	470:16	490:22 501:21	484:7
583:6 599:25	essence 486:6	events 639:1	514:7 521:21	excuse 383:13
612:19 650:25	486:18	eventually	559:16 563:8	386:7 438:13
654:21 663:7	496:24	421:2	573:8 600:10	485:14 489:13
678:21	649:25	everybody	632:1 651:15	546:23
envision 646:19	essential 454:5	468:13 469:16	653:1 670:3	566:23 583:11
646:21 647:1	456:2	477:4 485:19	674:13 683:4	638:2
envisions 647:7	essentially	492:16 591:3	686:17,21,23	excused 382:5
egual 497:25	410:1 413:6	631:6 666:19	687:3,5,8,10	383:1,14 471:5
498:22 551:1	512:2 661:25	everybody's	687:13,17	executive
551:21 552:11	662:6	666:10	688:5,9,11,21	473:6
554:19,23	establish 486:7	evidence	688:23 689:4	executives
equally 485:25	629:10	384:23 385:6	examined	393:19
equates 406:14	660:15	385:25 388:2	472:17 501:16	exhibit 382:15
408:22	established	388:11 405:21	521:18 563:5	384:12
equation	542:10 548:3	410:14 414:4	573:6 631:23	385:23,23
394:15 484:15	638:19	439:3 457:17	652:23	386:10 387:13
497:6,11	643:25	459:10	660:10 674:11	387:15,19
equities 449:3	establishing	470:25	example	388:1,4,6,10
equity 393:18	647:1	473:24 474:1	395:23	419:21 451:18
396:2 406:1,11	establishment	474:7 500:16	397:14 420:12	452:12
406:14,19	459:25	502:18,21	420:24	473:25,25
407:17 421:20	estimate 408:18	508:3 523:17	427:15 476:23	474:6,6 483:3
440:8,11	estimated	524:5,12	480:6 484:1	483:6 500:8
443:12	395:3 480:19	525:14 544:2	488:19 491:12	500:9,14,15,18
447:20,21,24	505:18	564:8 588:20	498:15 535:14	500:23 501:4
448:4,5,13,25	estimates	598:25	579:21 583:5	508:8 525:12
449:1,11,13,15	409:19	634:25	597:17 607:2	529:21 539:7
449:18 555:10	estimation	636:15 639:19	618:15 626:21	540:6,12,15
555:11,20,21	533:22,24	639:20	630:20 641:19	574:13 605:6
556:6,15,24	578:1,2	641:25 643:2	661:23 662:17	675:21 676:1
557:11,13,23	649:17	661:6	663:18,25	676:5 690:2
558:6,15	ETE 390:8,15,15	evidentiary	672:3	690:4,5,7,8,10
equity-rich	390:17	375:7 379:6	examples	690:11,13,14,16
448:21	evade 396:24	642:20	420:7 661:13	690:17,19,20
equivalent	evaluate 410:17	646:20,21	exceed 394:21	690:22,23,25
518:17	430:21 431:13	647:18	516:10	691:2,4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

exhibits 382:14	661:10,11,12	extent 511:24	584:18	families 420:4
384:13,22,25	662:2 665:3	516:10 548:13	608:24	428:22
385:1,4,5,24	665:10	550:24	609:23 631:7	Fantastic
387:2,3	670:20	551:20	638:20	538:21
388:13 473:24	experiencing	569:20,23	639:15 648:13	far 424:16
474:3 502:1,17	484:18	570:5,10	676:20	461:13 465:22
502:20,23	experimental	590:19	factor 519:25	545:1 580:15
503:10 522:9	468:2	644:20 658:5	556:16 598:10	583:18 585:13
522:23	expert 460:6	659:3	598:11 663:19	588:25
523:15,16	460:20	extra 420:1	663:23,24	589:25 599:4
524:3,4,8,11	experts 496:22	468:13 550:2	682:21	609:2 628:25
525:13 633:4	586:10	626:19	factors 411:20	630:17
633:18,25	explain 421:18	extracts 613:5	432:22	Farrar 375:24
635:22	426:5 427:2	extreme 433:2	553:12 561:20	692:3
637:16 690:1	429:25 441:2	433:7	587:7,25	FAS 408:7,8
691:1,8	456:15 460:21	eyes 485:11	597:14 599:9	fashion 428:18
exist 615:10	536:16 541:25		621:5 623:22	432:11 438:7
existence	543:5 604:14	F	625:21 626:5	441:21,22
449:20 616:13	606:16	F 377:4 580:14	678:5	462:19
existing 664:5	explainable	580:16	facts 445:7	faster 668:22
exists 401:4	444:8	FAC 626:11	fail 593:13	favor 440:23
expand 415:16	explained	face 390:8	failed 620:9	favorable
426:14	410:22 628:2	447:16 448:14	fails 460:3	403:15
expect 394:4,17	explaining	450:23	fair 393:22	federal 442:5
498:4 616:2	506:17 627:10	643:19,19	398:4 430:6	459:10
671:9	637:17	faced 444:9	437:5 469:18	575:23
expected	explains 471:1	facet 618:4	497:25	fee 427:17
445:25	explanation	facets 619:2	517:20 544:3	467:16 470:6
606:20	435:4 494:24	facing 428:11	583:21 585:8	fee's 470:10
expended	explicit 516:6	449:24	587:9 643:20	feedback 597:3
456:25	explicitly 416:11	fact 389:6	645:25	feel 417:24
expenditures	explore 610:14	391:16,25	665:12	427:14 474:13
584:18 664:5	660:16	400:7 401:2	fairly 399:15	478:3,12
expense 408:5	exploring	403:24	409:8 440:12	479:2,17
408:20 491:6	433:20 608:7	405:15,18	453:5 464:20	480:8 483:21
491:10 662:21	expressed	406:23	fairness 612:4	485:20 486:8
expenses 410:7	418:9 645:6	409:13 410:13	fall 612:4 618:8	491:10,12,14
441:16 478:15	646:12 650:19	414:2 418:3	falls 570:16	492:8 494:4
479:1 485:13	extend 476:22	419:5,19 430:1	617:5	631:15
491:16	extended	430:3 450:21	false 630:23,25	feelings 646:5
experience	476:16	456:24 461:17	familiar 404:11	feels 630:2
464:18,23	extending	468:15	452:20 504:11	631:13
488:20 495:4	669:17	469:22,24	526:7 538:6	fees 420:14
519:14 595:10	extends 476:17	478:2,9	575:5 579:13	468:9 470:8
609:19,22	extension	484:22	628:13	470:19
623:2 657:18	566:10 572:5	492:19 496:10	familiarity	felt 435:13
657:23 658:4	extensive 615:9	513:5 542:15	421:17	476:25 477:14
	1			'

492:5 515:19	637:25	fine 385:12	fiscal 423:13	497:6 592:24
FERC 459:15,16	639:24 653:9	387:18,22	Fischer 376:4	657:21,23
459:23	654:9 661:24	388:21 469:3	380:5	663:23
507:20,24	675:1	469:3 503:5	fit 387:15	focused 485:10
509:5 513:1,6	filing 383:24	523:12 530:11	433:10 467:10	658:1
513:10 526:19	384:6 418:17	531:23	494:5	fold 390:5
562:10,13	450:14 475:1	533:24 543:1	five 392:22	folks 429:24
655:22	475:2 476:18	590:14 591:3	393:6 398:12	432:18 434:19
656:15	590:10	593:21	410:21 419:6	438:25 439:6
field 495:5	603:22	624:24	424:17,18,20	552:19 644:1
668:2	649:14 650:16	fined 593:10	425:1 439:23	650:19
Fifteen 680:7,8	filings 384:5,9	finish 465:20	447:11 477:11	follow 382:18
figure 506:5	401:14 402:3	489:22	577:2 583:13	427:4 433:13
627:16 628:8	690:3,4	finished 503:6	595:13 596:9	446:3
file 375:12,16	final 422:2	firm 458:25	603:22	follow-up
382:23 401:21	449:23 561:15	467:8	608:19 615:15	668:15 682:4
418:13 419:17	579:10	first 383:12	622:21 638:16	682:12
446:7,9,11,13	finally 392:19	388:17 398:12	672:15 682:21	followed
470:11 475:15	396:9 397:9	399:9,16	682:23	540:22
475:17 478:1	412:5 423:17	402:22 403:2	five-year	following 426:1
480:4 481:20	435:24 441:4	405:3,4 415:7	482:25 483:1	438:17 474:12
481:22 506:16	443:5 534:5	428:11 443:6	516:12	541:16 577:7
526:12	562:6 594:10	445:1,5	fix 437:18	646:20
563:20	594:13 604:12	453:20 454:7	fixed 399:19	follows 472:18
637:23 643:17	604:17 668:14	455:15	431:3 462:25	501:17 521:19
654:6 676:7	finance 577:5	465:23 466:5	518:6	563:6 573:7
filed 379:9	596:7	466:12 472:7	flag 454:4	631:24
382:19 383:1	financed 421:19	472:10,17	fleshed 614:20	652:24 660:11
403:4 405:5	financial 443:8	501:16 516:25	615:11	674:12
405:11 419:15	448:15 449:19	521:18 529:12	flexible 397:7	footprint 496:18
419:15,20	485:16,23	541:10,14,21	400:15	497:8
421:5 422:4	488:21 495:2	541:24 544:19	floating 596:10	forces 390:3
444:16 445:15	495:14,16,18	563:5 573:6	Floor 376:5	Forck 378:11
450:2 466:19	537:11 542:10	581:8 582:24	flow 407:23	380:12
469:13 473:12	542:24	601:16 630:9	569:6	foregoing
473:16 477:21	547:24	630:17,18	flowed 519:18	692:6
479:18,23	financially	631:2,23	520:18	forgot 638:21
491:2 501:24	692:14	633:11 635:7	flowing 457:9	form 679:12
514:16 523:23	financials 480:9	636:25 637:1	fluctuate 577:16	formal 610:10,17
529:20 530:1	financing	639:5,10	577:16	611:7 641:24
530:3,14,16,16	566:10 572:5	650:17	fluctuation	641:24
566:21 573:19	find 393:11	652:23	570:20 571:21	645:23
590:4,15	418:23,25	655:15 658:18	focus 394:18	658:23
602:17 608:2	429:5 506:21	660:10 662:2	432:17 486:16	679:12
608:5 609:25	507:12 542:19	662:3,5 673:5	486:22	formally 535:24
632:5 635:24	656:8	673:25 674:11	488:19,20	format 453:2
636:12	finds 658:5	676:16,21	492:1,9,16	formation
	I	<u> </u>	I	ı

				1
643:24	449:23	fundamental	392:15,18,20	552:1,2
former 663:1	fraction 391:12	614:1 616:4	392:22	553:25 569:11
formula 549:15	frame 518:3	fundamentally	397:16,18,19	570:15 571:10
549:19,23	538:8 646:11	602:12	397:23	576:4 584:5
550:1,23	framework	funding 409:1	399:13 403:3	605:12 613:2
553:7	457:8 517:23	420:11 421:21	406:24 407:4	638:15
formulas 558:4	Frankly 617:10	funds 407:8	407:7,8,19	660:25
formulate	free 474:13	464:5	410:7 412:14	670:21 677:17
649:25	630:25 633:7	funny 395:9	421:23 422:1	677:24
formulating	643:11,16	further 391:13	424:1 429:5,6	680:16,19
582:14	666:10	393:1 418:1	429:18 430:1	generally
forth 460:9	frequency	456:10 460:21	431:7 434:20	404:12 436:10
503:17 517:24	491:22	486:23 487:7	434:23 453:1	440:22 449:4
618:2 639:8	Friday 441:4	490:9 499:14	453:12,18,23	468:17 514:21
forthcoming	460:21 673:6	504:19 512:19	458:14 460:1	526:15,23,24
566:18	front 464:19	513:13 520:23	460:6 465:10	526:25 541:6
forty 531:18	frontline 484:4	528:8 559:12	467:12,12	551:20
forum 642:9	485:17,21	562:17 580:17	468:1,5 470:4	553:20,23
forward 399:1	495:5	592:4 599:14	470:19 473:6	554:7,14
411:3 430:4	frustrating	603:5 650:23	473:8 476:7	559:24 560:3
431:22 432:9	580:2,5	657:6 658:11	479:9 485:6	560:14 566:15
432:19 433:6	fuel 484:22	659:8,10	488:5,7	579:13,17
433:24,25	626:12	660:16 663:4	491:19 493:5	602:11 603:19
437:21 440:12	full 395:16	667:1,15	493:10 494:1	654:12
440:16 442:1	398:22	685:10 692:12	494:4 507:18	657:25
456:16 457:6	435:13 438:5	furthered	517:7 519:16	generated
457:22 492:3	636:2,11	403:23,23	524:17 541:16	655:23
520:1 630:9	fully 394:2	659:6	541:17 544:5	Geoff 423:22
633:9 640:8	395:15 415:7	future 391:7	566:12 579:7	562:25 563:3
640:19 678:13	456:25	410:6 432:7	592:22 594:7	563:12 660:8
679:12	496:12 614:20	434:17 457:21	613:13,15	687:9 688:15
found 392:13	fulsome 649:21	548:19 647:14	626:21	Georgia 497:3
418:2 541:18	fun 558:22,23		655:24	516:15
556:18	function 582:18	G	Gas' 397:16	getting 415:10
foundation	582:19	G 379:1	gate 467:16	435:11 479:2
548:18 675:18	fund 376:16	G-E-O-F-F	gates 467:14	485:3 606:10
four 444:12	381:3,6 409:1	563:12	gather 662:11	609:1 613:6,15
446:10 450:4	409:3 458:5	gall 638:12	gears 480:11	613:17,20
470:11,12,12	458:9 476:4	gallon 394:3,5	483:10	639:6 646:17
477:2 495:8	490:13	game 444:25	general 388:16	652:9 672:17
535:15,18	504:24	gas 375:12,13,15	412:15,19,22	give 431:4
548:12 595:13	513:23 528:11	375:16,17	415:19 421:2	435:3 440:4
615:14 622:21	565:17	379:8,10,12	426:15,25	443:7 444:3
638:16	580:22 583:6	380:6,7	427:8 430:16	451:14 466:24
four-year 518:2	612:19 651:1	389:14,15,17	431:23 439:18	483:9,10
519:10	654:21 663:7	389:24 390:1	440:3 441:17	493:17
fourth 440:24	678:21	390:4,6,6,13	453:21 545:10	496:22 507:2
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

507:4 533:21	470:21 472:9	664:2 665:16	499:23	395:10 399:7
552:19 566:7	472:19 477:14	666:2,17	500:12,22	399:8 402:5
566:12 580:10	479:14	668:22,23	508:2,22	402:19 413:22
580:13,14	483:20 484:1	672:14,18	519:20 520:9	413:23 417:5
588:8 595:9	491:16,24	673:7,12	523:1 527:5	424:7,8
595:11 621:24	499:10,23,25	674:5 676:15	527:13 537:2	426:23
625:6,18	501:8,19	678:2 684:18	543:19,23	429:23 434:3
629:14 631:14	503:22 504:1	685:22	551:6,11 553:6	434:4 436:22
635:1 642:15	514:22,24	goal 453:8	553:9,17	436:23 439:8
646:11,12	519:25 520:5	455:7 613:6	554:3,9 558:7	439:13 443:1
661:23 676:8	520:13 523:13	goals 622:9	559:18 564:7	462:21 466:15
given 385:7	529:24 530:7	goes 395:8,10	569:16,25	472:22,23
389:6 446:2	531:25 532:6	448:13 483:21	570:12 571:2	474:23,24
449:13 478:2	533:17 534:4	486:12 497:12	571:21 580:13	476:12,13
499:21 517:13	535:24	514:17 516:18	590:9,11	490:24,25
542:15 561:13	536:18 540:18	527:14 531:25	591:11,24	497:17 505:6
567:17 606:18	541:4 542:12	593:23	593:4 594:22	505:7 526:4
679:7	543:4,15,21	594:23	595:13 596:9	526:5 528:19
gives 436:13	544:17	599:10 617:4	599:5 601:11	528:20
597:22	546:20	617:7 631:2	601:23 602:5	544:25 566:4
giving 480:6	547:17 548:17	going 379:24	605:3 614:3,4	566:5,5
596:4	550:2 552:4	382:17 383:9	614:7,8	572:9 576:17
glance 438:25	552:18,20,22	388:15,18	617:22 618:6	576:18 578:2
GM-2001-0342	554:7 558:17	390:7 395:7,7	618:8,19	581:6,7
417:18	559:19 564:14	398:5 400:7	622:7 623:6	592:14,15
GM-2013-0254	567:24	414:5 416:25	623:14 625:5	595:18 597:3
500:6,13	569:15,22	420:9,24	625:18 628:9	605:23 608:9
690:16	571:24	421:1 425:11	628:13 629:13	608:10,15,16
GM-2016-0342	572:25	428:14 429:15	631:9 633:19	608:20 619:14
417:15	579:25 581:15	429:24 431:5	633:23	622:1,1,4,13
GMO 382:3	581:23 589:12	431:11,20,22	634:23	632:3,4
445:20	602:9 605:3	431:25 432:1	636:21 637:5	638:16 639:11
go 382:15	605:4,14	432:4,6,9	639:20 640:4	657:4 658:1
385:3,18	617:5,7 618:10	433:24	640:8 644:9	661:8 663:15
388:18,20	619:8,8	438:24	647:4 649:10	664:21,22
395:6 403:4	624:23,24	439:21 440:6	650:12 653:3	667:24 670:5
419:1 422:13	625:1 626:10	441:12 442:22	653:15 656:2	670:6 672:18
429:12 433:9	627:15 628:14	450:1 451:17	662:19,20	679:3,4,24
436:9 437:15	630:1,9,18	452:1 453:20	666:2,10	good-paying
439:8 441:25	631:11 633:8	454:10 456:10	667:2 671:9	432:18
445:22	633:24	457:15 466:2	671:15,17	goodwill
455:24	634:16 635:5	466:21,22	672:23	447:22,23
456:18 464:9	640:8,19	470:1,10	676:19 678:2	Google 419:5
464:14	652:7 653:12	479:11 480:7	678:13	Gorman 440:7
465:24 466:11	653:19 655:11	492:24	good 379:3	447:24 448:1
466:14,24	661:19,21	494:23	381:4,9,12,25	448:2 449:5
468:22	662:1,14	498:20 499:7	394:12 395:8	Gorman's
L		l	<u> </u>	ı

448:6 449:11	643:5 644:8	636:2,11	626:23 651:7	457:23
gotten 630:23	644:18	Hall 375:20	656:15 657:13	460:22 461:9
GR-2017-0215	645:24 651:18	399:7,9,22,25	658:8 664:20	471:3 485:2
375:12 379:6	655:6 661:21	400:5,17	664:23	611:13 621:15
GR-2017-0216	664:9	401:7,11 402:1	665:19 666:21	659:2
375:16 379:7	groups 437:16	402:6 434:2,3	667:21	hard 434:9
granted 383:25	467:11	434:5 435:2	679:23 680:1	478:23
396:8	grow 395:21	435:18 442:2	680:5,8,14	495:25
granular	478:15 494:13	442:4,11,15,17	681:6,18	harm 419:25
432:25	497:8 552:20	451:8 457:25	682:2,20	434:12
granule 457:21	growing 394:8	460:25 465:6	Hampton 378:4	harming 426:13
grapple 429:16	463:9	471:9 476:11	380:18 417:8	426:19
great 394:25	growth 392:14	476:12,14	Hanauer 417:2	hat 431:24
434:21,22	411:24 420:6	477:17 478:6	hand 472:14	hate 465:18
524:2 529:11	438:2 458:24	479:6,19	501:13 521:8	hazard 623:1
539:14 582:1	478:16,17	480:10,18,24	563:2 600:25	HC 675:21
590:19 622:21	484:19 485:5	481:5,15	656:18	head 438:1,2
669:24	493:23 494:1	482:3,7,11,16	handed 385:19	521:3
greater 399:2	577:6	482:18 483:2	443:9 574:16	headline
403:11 463:7	GSIP 614:4,6	483:5,9,13,16	655:12 656:13	509:20
464:10	guarantee	486:1,23 491:1	handful 622:8	headquartered
577:20	554:12	492:25	667:25	392:24
greatest 434:12	guess 407:8	496:20 505:6	handle 416:25	hear 429:24
463:8	409:23 415:9	505:8,12,17	444:24 468:3	431:11 439:24
greatly 389:16	470:25	506:4,9,15,21	491:20 661:22	440:6 441:1,5
441:14,15	505:13 509:6	506:24 507:2	handled 470:5	464:16
Greg 439:25	509:9 548:9	507:17 508:2	477:6 580:8	583:25 598:6
440:20 460:6	550:7 559:12	508:9,14,18	hands 485:11	636:9 666:18
460:20 674:9	572:3 588:23	509:2,6,12,22	hanging 469:7	heard 403:19,21
674:17,25	617:8 624:2	509:25 510:2	happen 390:22	439:3 440:13
688:22	628:16 646:14	510:6,17,24	434:13,16	440:25 472:8
grid 510:1	647:25	511:5,17 512:5	559:22 560:11	485:8 491:23
gross 506:11,13	guidance	512:9 514:9	593:6 616:25	496:19
ground 405:6	657:21 658:6	530:20 559:5	643:8 645:16	576:23 578:11
group 376:20	Gulf 392:18	572:15 592:9	648:15	578:16 588:9
392:13 411:3	guys 593:8	608:14,17	happened	602:10
443:2 517:10	628:7	609:6 610:22	390:10 436:7	620:12 665:14
518:12 578:21	GW 641:21	611:19 612:2,6	445:11 477:18	665:18
578:22,23	Н	612:10 614:15	588:13 591:4	hearing 375:7
579:3 607:23	H-Y-N-E-M-A-N	614:22 615:1,7	594:5 616:19	379:2,6,18,24
608:4 610:7	573:13	615:13 616:1,14	667:8	383:2 385:20
610:15 627:8 627:22 629:11	half 391:21,22	616:20 617:8	happening 552:12	386:2 412:23
629:22 630:1	392:2 394:15	617:13,17 619:10 620:3		418:4 453:14 454:3 524:10
632:24 633:2	394:16 396:1	623:13,25	happens 594:3 646:18	593:16 627:9
641:4,18	444:14 604:9	624:5,9,14,21	happily 411:2	628:23
642:23,25	633:22,23	625:24	happy 439:5	633:17,20
072.23,23	,	023.27	парру 400.0	055.17,20

642:20	highest 454:12	490:21	452:15,19	647:17 660:21
646:20,21	496:23	499:20 501:9	476:1 490:10	ideally 453:1
647:18	highlight 587:11	501:20 503:5	504:23	461:15
685:23 692:11	highly 432:8	504:25 526:1	513:22 565:14	ideas 433:6
hearings 437:15	437:25 675:1	527:5 528:16	580:19	462:21 464:3
492:21	highly-levera	535:19 565:8	599:23 612:15	658:1 668:1,2
heat 428:25	448:8	565:18 572:21	678:19	670:24
heating 463:22	hint 390:21	575:2 576:14	huge 571:18	identification
held 405:12	hired 421:13	580:20	human 434:14	387:4 452:13
497:25	historical 396:3	599:18 600:7	434:16	605:7 675:20
498:21 551:17	454:24 671:16	602:5 605:16	hundred 595:14	identified 601:3
help 379:20	historically	612:14,20,23	595:18	601:8
426:25 433:5	389:14	626:8 629:6	hundreds	identifies
434:23 456:11	454:25	631:4,17	594:18,18	482:22
497:9 518:8	historically-low	632:17 633:10	hung 408:9	identify 619:12
534:5 571:11	429:18	634:8 636:24	Hutchinson	619:17 670:18
572:1 594:24	history 466:25	639:10 641:12	464:17	672:2
666:20	529:3	651:13 652:13	672:22 673:2	identifying
helped 452:21	hit 388:22	655:1,10	685:17	619:15
helpful 550:7	439:7 576:19	656:17 657:8	Hyneman	ignorance
566:6 658:7	614:11 617:23	657:9 659:12	421:10 423:23	593:5 596:15
666:7	619:3	660:3 663:8	538:9 539:6	ignored 417:18
helps 634:22	hold 503:9	663:12 667:18	540:9 541:8	III 377:20
hesitance	523:2 545:22	672:20 673:9	543:18 544:1	ill-designed
650:19	633:25	677:1 678:17	573:3,4,10,13	664:13
hesitant 623:3	634:21	678:22	573:14,18	illuminate
hey 556:5	668:20	hope 379:19	574:15 575:5	458:22
593:8 625:8	holding 395:21	388:16	581:6 585:23	illustrate 588:3
665:16	407:13,20,22	470:25	589:18 590:12	illustrated
high 376:9,21	551:4,12	672:24	591:10 592:8	588:5
377:16 399:15	589:7	hoped 463:9	595:24	imagine 558:1
428:22 430:3	holiday 409:23	672:21	600:12 602:13	_
430:21,22,24	holistically	hopeful 432:4	602:22 605:1	403:4 493:17
431:8 434:13	486:20	hopefully	687:12	519:19 520:18
485:13	hometown	453:13 462:6	Hyneman's	immensely
575:20 661:5	541:9 585:25	566:14	539:1,22	447:5
higher 391:4	honest 571:12	hopes 463:20	543:7 574:12	imminent 410:14
407:22	honestly 398:10	horrible 434:25	hypothetical	impact 422:23
444:19 454:10	Honor 380:3	hot 438:15	663:25	441:10 477:5
457:13	381:4,10,20	hours 594:18	<u> </u>	497:5 569:22
463:25 464:1 516:21 551:10	383:10 384:3	632:15	ice 434:17	570:8 571:2 572:2 629:23
551:23 552:6	385:2,17	households 428:22 430:2	idea 430:14	
551:23 552:6	386:7,23 389:1,3	430:21,25	432:13 608:10	impacted 497:5 644:20
552.25 553.6	465:14 472:11	430.21,25 431:2 434:14	621:6,9	impacts 411:8
554.6,24	474:20 476:5	Housing 376:11	638:16 639:12	497:17 647:14
569:7 597:20	489:1 490:16	380:23 381:1	639:23 642:8	implement
303.7 337.20	100.11 +30.10	300.23 301.1		inpicinciit

410:18 440:2	improvement	553:18,21	455:8 456:12	419:10 423:15
620:12 622:2	486:16 495:7	554:1 605:22	456:22 457:9	453:9 498:2
623:2 627:4	495:11	605:23 606:3	462:4,11	561:19 585:1
627:16	improving	606:4 608:8	464:5,18,24	626:12
implementation	394:23	611:15 619:23	485:7 604:1	increasing
403:12 412:10	455:10	622:17 684:13	604:20 606:6	411:12 415:25
441:3 455:20	inadvertently	684:15	incomplete	416:9 420:5
621:12 627:25	623:8 637:10	incentivize	610:4	553:5
678:14	inappropriate	410:19 456:11	incorrect	increasingly
implemented	621:1	662:14	639:22	432:1
411:6 620:22	Inc.'s 379:7	inclining 389:14	incorrectly	incredible
626:11 627:24	407:2	include 393:16	646:7	467:20
650:5 677:24	incent 616:7	408:15 420:10	increase 375:13	485:12
678:15	619:24	482:4 487:19	375:16 379:8	incredibly
implementing	incenting 606:1	538:10 558:6	389:9,20,22	436:12
453:10 677:16	613:12	600:17 601:5	391:4 395:12	incrementally
importance	incentive	636:18 637:16	404:18 405:17	456:10
431:21 440:14	393:23 398:2	656:24	406:3 409:11	incurred 395:17
443:20 583:7	409:6 415:19	included 387:14	414:18 419:12	481:17 515:2
673:11 677:16	415:23 416:7	407:5 409:24	433:16 437:2	575:13
important	454:18,21	410:3 482:1	437:22 443:11	incurring 481:10
394:16 400:8	457:8,13	493:9 508:6	443:13,15,16	481:23
404:5 428:9	484:2 485:14	510:3 532:14	443:19	independent
429:14 430:10	485:18 489:8	533:10,15	446:24 447:6	601:19
430:12,20	489:11,16	538:13 548:16	456:21 463:11	INDEX 690:1
431:18 432:2	490:4 493:22	550:5 551:3	475:1 478:3	691:1
434:6,8	494:16,19,20	601:13 604:19	479:8,21,22	indicated
436:12 440:10	495:12,18	611:11 619:19	504:16 506:12	445:15 516:2
443:17 446:6	515:18 606:14	637:20 684:4	552:18,22	567:10 604:15
454:1 462:6	606:15,19	includes 393:13	569:15 571:7	611:9
514:10 542:10	607:6,11,17,18	448:5 453:15	577:17,19	Indirectly 680:3
588:4 598:11	613:11,14 614:9	542:6	584:6 585:10	individual
598:14 615:16	616:5,6,9	including	586:14	428:6 481:14
615:16,21,23	618:3 622:10	404:21 409:9	648:23	489:13
618:6 619:13	622:13,16,23	447:22 452:5	increased	494:25
619:15,18	625:14 626:21	478:18 587:10	407:25	590:22
644:3 645:21	648:25 661:2	inclusion 427:9	428:20	individual's
658:6	664:2,2 678:8	556:10	459:12 498:14	586:7
impose 458:11	681:13 684:1,5	inclusive 407:3	553:19 577:12	individually
624:3	684:8,12,14,21	income 422:21	577:15 584:3	498:20
improper	685:4,5	422:24 423:5	584:7,8,9,12	industrial 377:2
409:14 586:15	incentives	423:6 428:22	584:17,19,24	412:18 438:20
586:17 601:4	393:14,15,17	429:17 437:1,2	585:3,11,13	439:16 447:12
improve 456:12	393:18,20,25	452:21 453:5	586:19 588:10	577:11
486:2 497:1	416:4 432:17	453:9,25	596:17 600:13	industry 663:3
567:8,8 597:5	454:17 494:13	454:6,8,11,12	683:23	680:19
609:18	515:16 552:20	454:13,25	increases	industry's
	1	1	1	1

inefficient	650:13,14,21	624:16 671:12	investments	532:5 584:8
568:4,20	652:5,9	interests 409:14	391:8 393:24	584:16,23
569:14 570:12	inquire 672:21	415:15,15	455:23	585:2,13
571:7	inquiry 459:22	416:2 458:16	458:20	issue 384:4
inflate 448:24	instance 404:13	interlinked	496:14,16	388:17,21
inflated 449:10	406:18 410:10	461:20	498:16 532:7	408:3,4,6,9,13
informal 423:7	410:11 477:16	interpret 433:10	532:10,11	408:20 409:5
642:1,6	478:21 479:2	interpretation	investors	409:16,20
informally	480:6 492:5	578:14	390:22	412:24 415:13
642:3	665:22 678:8	interstate	407:17,23	415:23 418:12
information	instances	467:12,13	invoice 594:7	428:8 431:20
379:19 391:9	404:14 410:8	intervene	594:17	433:1,11 434:6
396:11 438:1	486:17 491:11	469:9 642:1	involve 615:18	439:1 440:12
450:16	integrate 391:19	intervened	involved 437:13	440:17,20,20
507:22	515:2	469:21	464:21	441:1,11 453:7
512:25 513:6	integration	introduced	468:20	454:3,7,24
513:10 526:19	392:11 517:16	457:17 508:3	492:16 510:18	455:18,19
528:6 532:13	intended	inure 460:18	520:12 651:24	462:2,6
562:7,13	648:24	481:1	658:4 661:23	465:23 466:5
564:18,23	654:17	inventories	664:10,13	466:8 472:7
583:9 589:19	intent 414:18	407:5	680:1,6,19	504:15 509:7
604:12,12	649:23	inventory 407:7	683:6	509:8,9 511:7
656:15 661:14	intention	407:9	involvement	514:18 515:25
661:17,18	673:22	invest 390:14	595:15 644:2	516:24 520:7
662:11 665:15	intentions	391:6 426:8	646:12	523:1 526:14
666:18 675:9	608:21 661:8	454:1,19,21	involving 387:9	535:20
infrastructure	interchangea	496:6 497:23	410:5,6	547:12,21
495:9 498:16	379:16	investable	468:10	562:2 570:14
inherently	interest 390:16	407:16	IOUs 453:3	570:17 579:10
406:24	397:2 413:7,16	investigation	irrespective	583:8 593:15
inhibitions	419:22 429:8	417:14 418:1	568:3,19	593:15,16
665:13	435:17 436:9	422:23 423:1	irresponsible	605:10,18
initial 603:16	453:4 458:17	537:20 538:1	398:7	609:1 611:12
609:20	469:22 511:13	539:19 541:2	isolating 570:21	612:5 620:2
Initially 627:4	602:2 641:7	541:5,7,18	ISRS 389:23	624:6,16
initiatives	645:6 646:2	542:12,13	404:19,21,23	631:5,13
478:17	646:13	543:13	406:5 419:13	634:14
innovation	649:24	583:23	443:19,23,24	635:25
428:24	650:13 651:19	investing	443:25 444:3	636:20
430:15 433:6	651:21	390:13	446:8,9,11	645:20 653:5
433:17	interested	453:24	475:2,13,17	653:7,9,16
innovative	383:3 422:12	investment	476:18,25	654:7,11
433:6	431:13 608:6	395:14 396:9	477:16 478:2	656:12 657:7
input 611:10	610:15 642:9	413:12 432:14	479:11,24	662:7 665:7
626:25 642:5	665:5 692:14	453:18 455:1	491:3,13	671:9 673:10
649:13,20	interesting	457:12 495:9	492:6 531:6,7	681:19 682:8
650:1,2,6,9,10	440:15 594:5	532:4,12,24	531:14,18	685:15
	•	-	•	

				1
issued 541:8	521:25	381:16 417:2	416:18,20	539:2 540:5,7
543:14 583:13	J.D 395:3 578:5	461:8	419:1,7 422:13	540:10,18
issues 383:3	578:9,12,14,19	john@johncof	424:4 425:25	543:5,23
388:19 398:13	579:2 581:17	377:9	427:22 428:2	547:7,15
399:2 402:22	592:16,18,23	Johnson 378:10	434:2 435:19	555:7 559:3
402:25	596:16,21	380:10,11	436:20	559:11,15
406:10 407:8	597:3,14	402:17,19	438:13 439:11	562:20 563:1
409:23 411:11	598:7,14,17,22	413:23 414:8	442:2,18	563:7 564:13
412:25 414:1	598:23 599:2	414:15 415:2	451:7,10,13,17	564:15,24
420:10	599:3,9	415:18 416:6	451:21,23	565:4,7,9,11
423:24 428:7	Jackie 464:17	416:19 419:17	452:1,7,10,15	565:14,16,19
428:17 429:10	672:21	446:22 449:2	458:1,4 461:4	565:21,23
429:14 430:10	James 376:4	686:4	465:4,9,15,17	572:12,18,22
432:20,23	380:5	joining 390:2	466:2,10	572:25 574:11
433:24	Jamie 521:14,16	joint 387:9	471:7,13,21	574:19,21,24
439:21,22	521:25	388:7 589:13	472:2,6,13,19	575:1 576:13
440:10,15	522:24	690:15	474:2,11,16,18	580:19,21,24
450:10 455:16	540:14 610:18	jointly-sponso	475:23 476:1	581:1,3 588:21
462:9 503:2	652:17,21	440:7	476:2,3,6,9	591:14 592:6
503:21 520:8	687:4 688:10	joke 395:8	483:8 487:1,5	592:13
523:2 527:6	January 507:9	Jones 447:12	487:11 488:25	593:23 594:1
527:16 528:3	560:8	577:9,11,13,20	489:2,4	595:23 596:3
528:24	Jarrett 377:16	Joseph 377:11	490:10,12,15	596:12,15
535:23	381:25 382:1	381:19,23	490:17,19	597:9,19,22
537:15 542:11	382:24,25	465:13	499:16,21	598:2,6
542:16,24	383:5 465:12	Journal 588:6	500:2,17,21	599:13,17,19
543:10 546:11	465:16 476:8	journalist	501:2,4,7,12	599:21,23,25
546:16 547:2	490:18	542:25	501:18 502:22	600:2,5,8
547:3,6,20	502:24	judge 375:19	502:24 503:9	602:15,16,21
566:8 571:25	503:12 505:3	379:3,23	503:13 504:4	603:4,11,14
580:6 583:2,7	514:2 528:14	380:9,10,15,16	504:6,20,23	604:25 605:8
594:1 603:24	565:22 581:2	380:20,23,24	505:1,4	605:17 608:13
608:25 609:3	600:4	381:2,7,11,15	508:13,15,17	609:8 611:1,3
617:24 622:9	JEFF 378:12	381:19,24,25	512:12,18	611:18 612:12
640:17 643:18	Jefferson 375:9	382:3,11,25	513:15,17,20	612:15,21
650:18 656:9	376:9,22	383:4,7,11	513:22,25	614:14 617:18
662:21 673:11	377:5,13,17,21	384:8,11,15,18	514:3 515:5	621:16,20
673:22	378:6,14	384:24 385:3	519:20 520:5	623:11 624:10
675:15	Jeffrey 380:12	385:12,21	520:9,24	624:24
issuing 646:22	job 413:13	386:1,8,15,20	521:7,11,14,20	626:24 629:3
item 422:7	555:6 571:13	386:24 387:1	522:22 523:3	629:16 630:5
477:12	613:23 619:14	387:5,18	523:12,18	630:8,15
items 427:8	jobs 432:13,14	388:3,12	524:2,13,18	631:9,18
I	432:18 613:10	399:3,4	524:22 525:11	632:23
J 375:22 376:12	613:10	400:21 402:8	525:17,22,24	633:14 634:9
J-A-M-I-E	Joe 465:11	402:12,15,17	527:13 528:10	634:12,15,20
J-A-IVI-I-L	John 377:8	413:20 416:15	528:13,15	635:1,5,11
		·		

636:7,19	492:14 511:20	Keith 508:5	622:18 639:12	583:13 584:9
637:4,8 638:1	516:3,5,12,17	Kenney 375:21	kinds 619:5	584:14,15,16
638:8 640:4	518:8 519:5	402:10 416:17	knew 450:2	585:7 586:6
640:13,22	589:14	424:6,9,13,18	know 387:9	586:8,16,17,21
641:11,13	justifiable	424:22,25	404:10 415:6	586:22,24
647:6,9 649:4	479:18	425:3,8,13,21	421:14 422:10	587:2,4
650:25 651:3	justify 681:4	425:24 428:1	425:8,17	588:11,25
651:5,11	683:23	436:20,21,24	432:3,5,17,24	589:11,24
652:14,19		437:11 438:8	433:23 434:12	590:23 591:8
653:14,18,22	K	451:12 471:11	434:15 435:6	591:13,13,17
653:24	Kahn 660:23	471:13,14,18	436:6,11	592:18
654:20,23,25	662:24,25	471:23 487:4	437:12 439:3	593:22
655:11 656:6	Kahn's 612:5	507:4 510:7	441:22 450:4	596:12 597:5
656:19,25	663:2	510:12 512:14	461:15 463:9	599:4 601:22
657:5,10	Kansas 406:21	521:8 559:9	463:9 464:1	602:8 608:22
658:9,14	410:5,10	572:17 577:8	469:10 470:14	612:17 615:19
659:9,13,16,19	437:14 452:24	592:12 609:7	471:24.24	622:8,15,20
659:23 660:2	469:2,4	610:24 621:18	472:1 475:10	623:13 629:13
660:4.6	595:3	628:1,5,16	479:10,13,14	632:18 638:6
663:6,9,11	Karas 376:17	629:2 651:9	480:10,11,18	642:4,7 643:6
664:18	381:4,5 458:6	666:24 682:3	484:9 485:3	644:24 645:1
666:22,25	458:8 476:5	682:11	488:16 494:12	645:2,2
667:4,16	490:14	Kenney's 426:2	506:12 508:18	648:21 650:12
668:8,23	504:25	447:9	508:23 513:9	650:20
670:1 672:10	513:24 528:12	kept 446:21	517:14,15 518:1	656:10 658:2
672:13 673:1	565:18	447:6 604:11	518:10,17	658:25 661:6
673:19,24	580:23 600:1	Kevin 378:12	525:2 526:15	661:13 662:6
674:4 675:14	612:20 651:2	380:12	526:18 528:5	662:7 664:15
675:22 676:1	654:22 663:8	key 665:3	529:3 530:21	665:8 668:17
676:4,9,13,18	678:22	kicked 408:11	531:18 536:5	669:11 671:11
676:23 677:2	686:10	470:21	539:21 542:18	672:23 673:16
677:6,8,10	Karen 536:3,19	kind 388:19	543:18,19	673:20
678:18,23,25	KCP&L 445:20	393:11 398:19	544:4 545:3	676:16
679:19 682:12	KCPL 382:3	401:6,7 414:2	546:20 548:2	680:25
682:16 683:2	421:5 453:3	464:9 466:25	551:18 552:11	knowing 583:17
685:11,20	585:18	486:10	553:16,18,22	knowledge
Judge's 439:17	keep 379:20	493:20 500:5	554:6,17,22	408:10 502:14
July 450:10	403:6 441:25	502:24	556:5,22	522:16 543:2
643:23 646:1	446:9 453:21	503:20 514:17	558:6,8	544:10,12
jump 626:8	463:2 497:9	526:10,17	559:22 560:4	545:10,12
jumped 661:1	498:24 516:11	530:22 538:9	560:18 566:17	548:23
June 560:2,6	612:17 622:25	552:4 553:21	567:10,16	553:23 554:1
560:19	635:16	558:4 566:7	569:14,15,16	560:11 561:7
jurisdiction	keeping 463:5	567:12 581:12	570:3,5 571:7	561:23 562:9
512:3	463:17	589:1 604:8	577:1,8	562:12 564:5
jurisdictions	Keevil 378:12	606:6 615:18	579:24	574:7 667:11
477:8 483:22	380:12	615:18 620:18	582:12,13	675:9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		-		
known 380:6	451:3 455:21	459:5,22,25	459:24 467:7	477:1,19 491:9
392:12,16,18	456:21	460:5,11,18	467:10 579:19	666:13 667:8
392:20	458:23	473:25 474:6	579:20 593:7	667:9 669:5
504:13 575:7	459:18 469:2	500:15	593:8,10,20	legislative
575:11,12,21	469:19 473:6	502:20	lateral 535:15	476:15 669:9
575:24 576:3	473:8 476:15	555:15,20	535:20 536:1	legislature
576:6,9	479:7,21	556:14 557:12	536:17	421:16 467:21
knows 591:15	484:17 491:2	557:13 578:18	latest 408:21	468:5
	491:19 493:5	583:19 584:2	443:9 450:14	legitimate
L	493:13,19	585:21	law 375:19	416:10
L 472:12	500:4 505:13	587:20 588:1	379:23	length 389:5
L-I-N-D-S-E-Y	505:15 507:18	690:2,3,4,4,5	422:20 467:8	Lera 378:3
473:2	517:7,10	690:7,8,10,11	476:18 479:24	380:17 417:7
labeled 522:9	518:12 519:16	690:13,14,16	576:8	lera.shemwell
523:15	519:16 524:14	Laclede/MGE	laying 550:8	378:6
LAC 385:19,22	524:16 525:9	444:16,18	LDCs 389:24	lesser 638:5
690:21		· ·		
lack 656:5	531:1 540:21	lag 404:10	lead 621:11	let's 390:8
Laclede 375:12	541:16,22	446:23	leader 393:11	418:21 419:1
375:15 379:11	544:5 556:22	514:23 515:18	leadership	445:18
379:14,19	556:24 557:4	622:14,15	680:25	465:24
380:6 384:6	557:8 578:12	661:16	leading 459:12	494:16
	578:20 579:7	laid 492:20	515:6 658:20	499:24 501:7
386:5,9	580:5,13,15	675:17	leads 509:13	504:1 533:17
389:9,21	582:1,2,7,8	Lander 460:20	leak 395:1	534:9 540:6
390:4,23,25	584:1,6,6,25	Lander's 460:6	485:22 495:8	540:12 546:8
391:10,10,13,16	586:11 587:15	landscape	668:5 670:25	606:16 607:2
391:20 392:9	587:21 594:6	422:3	671:8,11,14	615:13 625:5
392:13,22	594:8,11,12	language	672:2	634:15 640:19
393:5 394:7,11	598:23 599:6	562:15	leaks 495:9	665:8,9
395:4 396:16	599:8 601:9	large 406:9	658:3 668:7	672:13,14
396:17 397:15	601:10,16	420:5 429:5	leap 435:13	685:20
399:13 403:3	604:4 606:1	438:20 491:10	437:9	level 407:21
404:17,22	641:23	498:16 541:17	learned 442:23	409:1 432:25
405:3,24	682:20	578:24 579:1	679:9	445:14 457:15
406:11,15,22	690:18,24	579:6 580:12	leave 383:20	484:25 485:2
408:10,23	Laclede's	595:3 597:18	619:22 637:3	485:4 495:6
409:21 412:17	384:22,25	largely 663:2	left 424:16	496:24 516:19
417:3 418:10	385:5 388:1	larger 392:9	477:9 614:10	607:5 684:25
418:20 419:23	388:10 391:5	453:22	legal 628:18	levels 430:17
421:24 426:4	403:2 412:6,11	496:18 503:16	645:1,12	498:4 607:21
426:6 443:14	419:6 420:16	largest 389:24	647:5,7	leverage
444:14 445:5	421:19 425:20	406:9 423:2	677:20,25	407:20
445:8,17	427:10	429:6 577:14	legality 628:21	Lewis 377:3
446:6,11,12,17	443:23 445:3	Larry 376:4	628:25	381:14 439:15
446:21 447:1	448:25	380:5	legislation	lewis.mills@br
447:19 448:21	449:20	late 382:22,23	436:8 441:20	377:5
449:17 450:2	458:10,14,22	383:24 384:1	476:16,21	LGS 412:21
		<u> </u>	1	

liability 626:3	link 484:3	650:13 673:14	456:16 457:5	665:11 681:16
Liberty 421:8	linkage 495:6	LLC 377:15	457:22	looks 448:13
life 581:12	495:12	382:9	458:21 462:15	470:14 577:5
light 404:12	linked 485:24	load 458:24	478:24 481:13	577:6 591:3
406:22	list 382:18	Lobser 402:5	486:19	614:11 622:4
452:24 595:4	384:12 387:24	483:20 501:10	488:22	685:14
limb 628:14	409:25	501:14,23,24	498:19,19	lose 606:8
limitations	412:25 474:12	502:18 503:6	510:14 518:5	losing 606:10
591:7	520:7 527:6	504:11 520:25	518:14 530:13	622:23
limited 412:3	540:11 547:2	546:20	532:18,20	losses 658:3
439:6 446:2	547:3,6,20	609:25	536:13 554:12	lost 397:2
459:21 468:9	564:14,16	630:12 631:21	554:15 556:4	438:16 509:17
617:2 618:2,21	653:19 656:9	632:3,5 636:1	556:8 557:21	640:22
636:3 666:1	676:5	636:10 640:17	557:24 558:2	648:21
limits 468:6,7	listed 387:13	641:3 651:6	568:1 570:4	lot 403:19,20
Lindsey 472:12	412:25 448:18	652:14 653:11	590:24 615:9	405:6 415:4,9
472:13,15,22	655:17,19	667:25	616:11 625:21	428:6 430:22
473:1,11,15	676:21	670:24	627:17 630:3	437:16 440:10
474:9 487:15	listened 576:22	686:22 688:4	638:8 641:7	455:3 465:3
490:24	listening 613:1	690:10,12,13	641:22 642:10	467:23
499:17 511:7	listing 507:19	Lobser's	651:18 672:22	491:25
578:4,8	655:21	635:23	678:11 680:15	493:24 494:7
686:16 690:7	literally 470:21	640:14 654:10	681:7 683:10	494:12 503:1
690:9	495:21	654:13 662:13	looked 392:13	517:4,16
line 394:12	literature	local 467:14	484:10 527:6	528:3 537:3,9
404:9 408:12	665:10	long 385:13	532:10 534:18	551:18 556:25
409:2 416:1,12	litigated 402:23	391:6 397:1	535:12 537:6	579:21 580:3
424:16 446:15	408:11 415:7	417:10 421:1	561:12,16	582:20,21
448:7 539:16	LITIGATION	430:14 482:1	577:4,12	586:12 595:12
539:24,25	375:25	563:17	581:17 590:17	596:21 598:7
635:8,13,14,19	little 389:5	576:20	590:20,25	598:11,14
635:19 637:6	404:20	589:24 604:5	612:7 613:8	599:2,5
637:6,7,11,12	408:17 431:4	long-term	627:24 683:8	602:10
642:14,17	435:4 442:4	421:20 432:11	683:14 685:7	608:23 609:3
658:3 678:8	458:12	478:19 497:21	looking 406:14	613:11 622:5
681:21	463:25 464:1	longer 429:19	421:25 432:3	640:5 647:25
lined 604:16	471:1 478:23	444:22 522:5	432:18 446:12	648:21 650:1
lines 464:19	483:10,10	654:10	532:19	650:10,19
511:11 538:25	486:5 502:25	longstanding	533:23 539:3	656:9 660:21
568:2,12	509:7 540:21	396:5	554:22	661:15 662:11
Linhares 376:12	555:16	look 399:1	556:17	662:11,15
380:24,25	567:24	403:5,8	557:23 558:1	665:6 669:12
452:16,18	609:14,22	410:23 418:21	566:19 570:21	lots 415:11
458:3 476:2	625:9,19	425:9 428:9	570:22	592:18
490:11 565:15	627:14 628:15	429:13 431:19	597:23 612:17	loud 668:9
580:20	628:20 629:1	432:17 433:24	616:11 621:4	Louis 376:5 377:9 392:24
599:24 686:9	636:13,14	439:2 440:16	624:10 665:4	377.9 392.24

459:11 469:2	500:1,5 596:7	394:18,20	577:9	553:11 556:21
469:3,19	Lyons 536:3,19	manipulate	market's 425:16	571:5 575:18
538:15		447:17	market-based	576:7 580:10
543:25 588:5	M	manner 414:11	394:1	584:5 587:4
love 434:9	M 375:21 376:4	645:22	market-tested	596:19 597:10
438:4 624:22	377:16	manual 417:3	407:15	597:24 611:21
low 397:11	M-A-R-K-E	420:10,19,20	marketplace	615:17 622:20
399:15 400:16	563:12	421:8 587:21	429:20	623:19 624:1
407:18,23	M-Y-E-R-S	manufactured	566:14	632:24
426:16,24	521:25	410:7	marking 564:18	642:22
428:21 429:16	Madison 377:21	map 390:18,20	mater 497:3	648:25 661:13
430:1 437:1,1	378:5,13	MARCELLA	materials	664:7 665:3
452:21 453:5	magnitude	378:11	634:23	666:9,9
453:9,25	577:17	Marcy 380:12	math 521:3	670:15
454:6,8,11,12	MAIDA 375:22	mark 378:10	matrix 482:21	680:20 682:8
454:24 455:7	mail 427:16	380:11 402:19	487:21	684:25
456:11,22	main 390:16	419:16 451:17	Matt 415:19,20	meaning 652:4
457:9 462:3	391:8 432:17	452:1,10	533:13 534:8	652:7
462:11 464:5	462:2 479:16	500:22 514:16	matter 375:12	means 429:18
464:18,24	566:10 668:7	550:3	375:15 379:7	430:2 446:20
485:7 622:25	maintain 394:17	Marke 423:22	387:11 469:6	554:18 575:19
623:4	446:11	562:25 563:1	569:21	584:9 606:19
low-income	major 548:4	563:3,12,13	609:23 610:14	618:6,15,17,18
430:9,15	580:11 587:18	564:8 566:3	610:19 617:13	644:24 662:4
431:2 434:11	595:11	572:23 611:13	617:14 628:17	meant 428:15
434:19 437:16	Majors 508:5	611:25 618:11	646:14 650:14	652:4,9
672:24	549:5	659:19,25	656:18 680:9	measurable
673:10,22	making 391:2	660:8 663:15	matters 385:16	504:13 575:7
685:15	496:14 498:16	664:19 670:5	685:18	575:18,19,21
lower 397:9	504:12 571:6	672:11 687:9	maximize	575:24 576:3
456:7,8	575:6 616:8	688:15	613:17,20	576:6,10
461:14,14	669:5 673:16	marked 385:22	maximizing	measures
496:13	678:6	385:23 387:4	409:15	429:19 430:8
550:24,25	manage 478:15 478:20	452:12 500:7	maximum	457:9,14
551:7,7,11	552:21 618:3	502:1 503:23	671:10	484:8 486:21
552:7,25	618:7 619:2	524:8 529:20	MEA 661:12,12	488:11,13
553:9,14,20		530:4 574:12	661:24 662:2	495:5,7
554:3,7,9,17	managed 498:3	605:6 676:2	mean 416:9	MEC 489:4
554:18,23,24	management 396:12 458:11	691:2,4	425:4,16	513:20
557:12	532:13	market 376:5	437:13,24	525:24
670:23 672:6	605:24,25	389:16 390:1	443:22 444:5	576:13 599:21
lowered 497:13	608:9 680:22	398:4 425:1,7	461:25 462:19	MECG 381:10
497:16 498:9	680:24 682:6	425:9 429:22	476:22 477:18	450:10 691:4
621:4	managers	432:2 447:14	484:19 495:10 495:22 512:2	MECG's 452:12
lowering 456:4 456:10 461:18	393:19 613:25	459:2,7,12 467:12 496:1	526:15,20,24	mechanism 396:22 397:4
lunch 499:23	mandate	568:3,19	531:6 548:2,3	396.22 397.4 397:13
IUIICII 433.23		J00.J,13	JJ1.0 J46.2,3	J37.IJ

400:25 410:18	merger 401:13	620:8,11,15,22	395:4 396:12	604:10
410:22 411:5	401:16 403:5	621:8,12	396:16,17	midpoint
440:25	428:15 429:5	626:2 638:15	397:18 399:18	405:25 443:11
455:19,22	430:4 434:20	638:18	403:1,3,25	449:6,7
460:2 461:21	480:13 482:16	639:24 640:6	404:17,23	Midwest
477:5 516:18	505:10,14	641:5,23	405:3,24	376:20 381:7
545:25	590:21	643:7 647:2	406:13,15,22	442:21 443:2
568:24 569:11	merger-related	647:19 648:7	409:21 412:20	475:23
570:7,16 571:1	546:19	648:16 649:19	415:9 443:15	504:20 565:11
571:9,14,16	mergers 414:4	651:18 652:4	444:15 445:17	578:24 579:3
609:21 613:16	Mers 378:11	656:5 657:12	446:7,17,21	579:5,6
623:23 624:1	380:12	657:16 658:6	447:19	597:17 612:21
647:13,13	met 625:14	659:5 660:16	448:22 451:3	649:4 660:6
649:18,23	631:7 662:3	660:22 661:11	455:21 469:4	677:10
684:24	metering 468:11	661:22 662:13	469:18,20	MIEC 381:11,13
mechanisms	468:15	662:17	473:8 478:18	439:12 440:7
396:21 401:1,6	metric 410:18	664:25 665:4	479:22	440:22 452:6
401:8 405:10	485:12 614:3	665:17,21	484:18 491:20	474:19 489:2
409:25 410:1	614:5,23,24	666:3,6,6,12	493:2,6 494:3	504:7 513:18
411:1 461:12	618:14,24	666:13 667:21	505:12,15	525:22 555:11
511:23	667:24 670:8	669:12 671:13	510:13 516:25	565:9 575:1
meet 394:20	670:9,14	672:3,6	517:7,15 518:1	599:19 617:20
431:5 460:14	681:7,11,22	677:17 678:3	518:5,18	641:13 660:4
462:18 566:13	682:23,24	678:6,7,14	520:3,4	674:24
618:15,19	683:22	679:5,10	524:14 525:10	MIEC's 441:2
646:18 650:18	684:19	680:10,15,18	531:1 546:14	Mike 440:6
meeting 379:4	metrics 410:16	681:8,15	546:17 547:23	miles 495:10
meets 643:5	410:21 432:14	683:9,11,20	548:19 562:8	668:7,12
MEG 489:4	439:24 440:2	684:16 687:19	578:20	milk 394:3,5,6
member 457:19	483:11,18	Meyer 439:25	581:23 582:7	million 389:21
654:5	485:10,16,22	439:25	599:6,7	389:22 390:1
memorandum	486:3,6,8,13	440:20 441:1	641:23 690:19	391:4 392:25
382:19	488:20,21,21	672:14 674:9	690:22	398:8 404:2
mention 549:21	488:22	674:17,25	MGE's 391:3	404:20,22,22
572:3	489:14 495:1	675:14 679:3	403:3 412:6	405:24,24
mentioned	497:18 523:8	679:22	419:23	406:12,13,15
436:25	545:22,24	685:12	443:24	408:16,19,24
437:19 494:2	598:12 606:13	688:22	456:22	409:21,21
494:6 526:17	607:20	MGE 379:12,14	532:13 581:24	419:12 423:3
528:1 529:4	608:19 611:11	379:18 384:6	Michael 376:3	423:16 443:19
537:23 561:4	611:20,21,24	385:19,23	380:4	443:24,25
639:13 640:7	613:22 614:17	386:2,6,12	microphone	444:1,2,4
mentioning	616:5,7,15,21	389:9,22	400:22	447:23
628:19	617:5,9,24	390:5,12,14,19	635:12	450:15 468:15
mere 460:3	618:1,2,16,19 618:21 619:11	390:21,24 301:5 10 12 15	microphones 603:12	479:8,9,21,22 480:17 481:15
merely 491:13 merged 462:12	619:15,20	391:5,10,12,15 391:17 392:9		482:3 494:9
mergeu 402.12	019.13,20	J J J J J J J Z . J	mid-September	402.3 434.3

505:18,24	654:19 660:5	375:9,15	529:8	monitors
506:2,4 509:1	674:5,14	376:5,7,9,14	mistakes 445:9	598:13
519:5,9,12	675:14,25	376:22 377:2	mitigated	monopoly
531:1,4,10,12	676:3,4,7,11	377:5,7,9,11,13	399:14 400:14	423:25 424:1
531:13,18,20	683:3,5	377:17,19,21	412:12	484:21 614:13
531:22,25	685:10 686:7	378:6,8,13,14	Mobile 392:17	622:24
532:1,3,4,12	686:18,24	379:7,12	392:17	month 394:25
532:16,16	687:14,25	380:6,7,8,14	model 457:3	431:4,6,7,17
533:8,18,25	688:7,12,23	381:18,23	479:5	604:9
533:25 534:3	689:4	382:7,9	Modernization	monthly 412:6
534:10,11,12,13	Mills' 623:15	392:12,23	669:8	470:3
534:23 535:8	mind 424:3	395:20,21	modest 391:3	months 421:5
535:9,10	441:25 586:7	397:16,19	395:12,17	425:5 450:4
536:11 537:2	620:24	398:21	430:2	450:12,17
548:4,7	622:10 635:16	403:23,24	modifications	463:15 464:14
604:19 613:9	647:24	406:25 418:3	423:14 464:3	578:19
613:25	649:19 671:21	418:7 422:25	modified 396:7	morning 379:3
millions 393:4	mindful 430:3	423:2 429:4	412:13 661:4	379:24 381:4
395:13,23	439:17	439:15	MoGas 377:15	381:9,12,25
396:4 618:12	mine 507:2,3,4	452:23 453:3	381:24 382:2	399:7,8
Mills 377:3	547:11	459:18 461:9	465:16 490:17	402:19
381:12,14	mini 388:18	463:4 465:10	505:2 514:1	405:22
439:13,15	439:20 440:5	465:21 466:3	528:13 565:21	413:22,23
442:10,13,16	451:5 466:7	466:16 467:3	581:1 600:3	417:5 419:15
442:20	605:11	467:15 471:1	moment 416:22	424:7,8 434:3
474:20,22	minimal 477:10	476:7 479:9	418:24 480:11	434:4 436:22
475:22 489:3	618:9	488:3 494:8	499:13 507:13	436:23
504:8,10,19	minimum 384:6	514:17 515:25	511:6,6 634:21	439:13 443:1,3
513:19 515:5	minus 484:13	517:22 518:9	Monday 440:9	443:9 452:16
525:23	496:20	518:10,20	440:17	466:15
565:10 575:2	580:15 651:25	519:14,18	monetize	472:22,23
575:4 576:11	minute 494:17	522:3 524:17	390:17	474:23,24
599:20 617:21	620:13	541:18 563:15	money 391:13	476:12,13
619:17 620:4	667:24	566:15 573:15	394:14 399:16	490:24,25
621:19 624:15	minutes 465:25	575:21 579:7	399:20 414:4	613:1 673:5
634:12,18,22	499:23 596:9	584:24 586:1	420:3,6	673:23,25
635:3,7,10,12	mirror 420:9	586:13 588:5	446:25	685:16
635:13 636:14	mirroring 449:19	598:13 615:12	468:14 606:8	motion 383:1,10
636:21 637:8 638:9,10		655:24 681:5 691:8 692:4	606:10,10 607:13 613:5	445:4 526:12 593:16 594:1
639:18 640:11	misappropriate 396:4	Missouri's	614:6,12	603:1
640:12 641:14	mischaracteri	419:25 426:13	618:13 628:7	motions 382:21
641:16 644:11	557:6	426:19	628:10 629:12	382:22
644:16 647:6	Mississippi	misspoke 521:5	629:14,14	383:23
647:17 649:2	392:20,21	629:9	647:23 648:5	motivate 393:11
651:23 653:17	459:8	mistake 444:6	648:22	394:20
653:24 654:2	Missouri 375:2	mistakenly	651:24	mouse 418:24
			<u> </u>	

	_	_	_	_
mouth 556:21	653:15 654:3	nature 450:18	627:22,23,24	456:18 457:18
move 397:15	657:7,12	658:3	negative	NHT's 453:4,8
430:4 433:6	659:16 687:4	near 432:7	404:12 484:19	453:14
492:3 522:23	688:10	nearly 392:10	646:13	Nicole 378:11
524:6 555:6	Myers' 543:7	392:21 393:21	neglected	380:12
670:12 676:17		necessarily	638:21 670:16	night 672:16
677:2	N	414:15 415:2	neglecting	nine 448:1,10
moved 496:1	N 375:24 379:1	416:1 657:23	618:17,18	531:18
movement	686:1 687:1,1,1	necessary	negotiated	nkaras@edf.org
606:12	688:1,1,1 689:1	410:24 412:2	482:19 566:11	376:18
moving 411:3	689:1,1 692:3	443:10 445:7	negotiations	no-brainer
432:19 617:9	nail 408:17	445:24 511:10	510:19 566:24	609:2
622:22	445:14	561:24 601:21	567:1	nomenclature
637:16 639:4	name 379:22	658:24 659:1	neither 546:12	401:5
671:25	395:5 452:18	670:19	692:9	nominal 577:21
MPG 449:12	472:24	necessity	net 406:6	non-ISRS
multifamily	521:24 563:10	641:25	479:12 481:16	389:20 532:7
452:22 453:9	573:11,11	need 383:14	519:3 546:13	non-opposition
453:25 454:6	609:12 674:15	388:13	547:24	435:7
454:25	names 379:10	402:23 405:9	neutrality 435:5	noncapital
456:12,22	379:13,14	413:5,8 417:1	never 398:10	507:7 509:18
457:10	Nancy 375:19	429:18 430:3	400:6 408:11	nondiscrimina
multiple 397:25	379:22	442:14 454:14	444:7,9 470:9	420:12
410:4 450:8	narrow 453:5	457:16 460:14	608:24	nonexistent
486:21 503:18	534:6	462:20 463:8	614:22 615:3	558:10
503:21 511:20	NARUC 421:14	463:12 465:19	615:3 618:7	nonproprietary
555:21	Natalie 376:17	466:7,9 479:3	new 390:12,18	675:2
Murray 555:17	381:5 458:8	483:6 491:15	391:9 403:13	nonresponsive
555:24 561:3	Natelle 550:4	507:3 515:15	415:8 418:8	604:11
561:5	Nathan 378:4	533:21 541:1	422:24 423:5	norm 556:1,1
Murray's 556:4	380:17	550:2 551:3	430:5 435:8	557:12
556:11,17	nation 577:14	602:22	456:4 457:3	normal 394:1
muster 445:23	586:11	613:22	458:24 460:7	431:10 555:21
446:18	National 376:11	625:20 631:14	493:9 530:23	625:23 639:1
mutually 481:4	380:23 381:1	668:8 671:4	609:17 625:12	normally 396:8
484:6	452:15,19	684:23	638:6,12,13,17	587:13
Myers 521:14,16	476:1 490:10	needed 391:5,8	638:18,20,24	592:23
521:25 522:1	504:23	450:12 459:16	639:15 640:2	595:10
522:7,24	513:22 565:14 580:19	463:1,6 478:4	657:18	norms 396:3
528:19 542:8		531:22 532:9	660:22 663:1	Nos 379:6
557:4 559:4	599:23 612:15 678:19	534:14,16	675:23 681:5	note 404:5
559:18	natural 407:19	601:21	newspaper	416:22 430:10
562:20	424:1 429:2	needs 441:14	542:5 543:3,6	446:6 527:25
570:23	433:22 460:6	463:25	585:25	587:12 675:17
574:18 581:8	467:12 468:5	464:10 531:24	588:15	noted 449:2
583:23 610:19	488:5,7 541:17	575:19 601:12	NHT 452:20	notes 421:22
652:18,19,21	700.5,7 541.17	601:14,16,18	454:4 455:20	446:22 541:8

notice 603:2	564:10 577:15	450:24	380:19 461:12	520:9,22
noticed 450:9	596:3,8,16	obviously	529:7 562:23	523:12 529:11
578:19 676:21	637:10 676:6	384:20	563:16 572:19	530:11 531:4
notion 620:13	numerous	478:25 511:19	573:15 629:16	531:12 532:3
638:15 644:5	462:8	560:19 610:1	officer 473:9	532:15 533:3
646:7	NW 376:17	616:18 643:12	offices 379:5	533:6,10,15,17
November		occur 575:14	official 603:1	534:3,15,19
473:17 524:25	0	occurred	officially 387:17	535:1,4,13,22
604:13	O 379:1 687:1	468:18 517:16	685:21	536:5,9,14,21
NP 675:21	688:1 689:1	560:13 575:12	offset 411:6	537:8,19
nuances 440:15	o'clock 499:24	616:22	604:22 664:3	538:5,9,18
number 387:24	O&M 482:23	occurring	oftentimes	539:14,18
394:18 395:3	485:24	499:5 517:17	435:9 484:21	540:10 541:7
397:14 411:20	487:23 506:2	occurs 429:3	oh 383:7 438:13	541:21,25
411:25 456:20	506:3	October 437:13	438:17 452:7	544:11,21
470:13 482:12	oath 631:20	469:23	471:19 487:16	545:13,20
482:13,19	652:20	off-system	507:3 510:6	546:1,7,8
500:25	object 436:25	613:16,18	536:16 576:7	549:1,8
505:19 506:5	515:5 519:21	offer 385:18	601:25 612:6	550:15,21,23
506:13 508:16	527:6 535:19	387:14,25	668:20	552:4 553:11
508:25 510:19	542:3 590:11	393:12 400:16	okay 383:4,11	553:17,21,24
510:25 518:5	602:6 644:9	437:1,2	384:11,15	553:25 554:4
519:8 527:9	647:4 656:2	473:23	385:21	554:6,17,22
533:4 537:7	objection	503:16,20,24	386:20 387:1	556:3,13,20
537:15 539:7	384:25	523:5,10,14	388:12,15	557:17 558:21
540:13,15	386:21,25	564:7 574:9	389:8 391:16	560:21 561:6
546:10 547:8	388:4 489:22	585:12 634:16	397:20 401:11	564:12,13,17
547:10	500:8 502:23	666:10	402:6 414:22	567:6,15,23
557:25 561:16	520:6 523:19	667:25 670:11	419:1,18	569:13,19
564:9 582:17	524:1,23	675:16	425:24 436:1	570:10 572:9
583:18 595:2	525:12 527:14	offered 384:23	442:16 451:13	575:14,17
595:5,18	544:14 555:1	385:25 388:1	451:20,25	577:22 578:13
596:10,18,18	557:3 632:22	414:13 463:19	452:9 466:10	578:25
597:16,17,18	633:6,7 635:7	474:1 502:21	466:10 471:18	581:20 582:13
597:20 622:1	636:22	523:17 524:12	472:6 473:23	583:15,21,25
622:2 632:18	637:12 673:15	571:25 612:3	474:8 475:16	584:11,15
645:5 680:2	676:19	634:18	482:7 483:18	585:2,5,8
numbering	objections	660:22	483:19 490:8	586:16,25
386:16	383:18 474:2	665:15	497:25	587:9 588:7
numbers	503:2,11	670:24,25	498:21 499:8	588:18
382:15 405:19	525:8 633:19	OFFERED/AD	499:21 500:9	589:23 591:2
405:25 406:8	633:23	690:1 691:1	501:6 502:4	591:22 592:3
408:4,22	634:13,21	offering 384:18	503:25	593:22
451:2 482:10	635:6 637:9	387:20 397:3	505:17 508:11	595:20
492:23	640:10,14	654:15 662:13	509:2,12 511:5	597:19,22
508:22	objects 469:14	offhand 533:20	512:11 513:13	605:13 609:6
509:20	obstacles	office 378:2,5	516:2 519:17	617:17 620:3
	•	•	•	

				1
624:13 625:4	397:11,20	472:9 491:23	477:24	644:7,17
628:7 629:2	401:20 405:12	500:21 526:6	opportunities	645:8,14
630:16 631:9	418:17,19	537:24	392:14 441:18	646:8,22
632:13,17	420:25	576:21 605:11	opportunity	647:1 658:21
633:3 634:9	421:22 436:11	605:17 609:9	383:16,18	673:13
634:15 639:13	440:8 445:9	612:25 613:2	396:25 413:11	ordered 444:22
640:18 642:18	445:25 446:1	617:22 621:10	428:11 454:19	594:10
642:22 646:6	446:4 450:2	624:12 630:17	462:13 465:1	644:25 655:7
647:23 648:4	450:2,9,11,20	639:16 644:6	581:10 606:5	664:8
653:18 654:19	455:6 456:9	662:10 665:7	625:19 631:15	ordering 645:16
657:3 664:1,6	489:10 491:25	673:13 677:15	637:23 639:4	orders 558:14
664:16 668:19	507:8 540:25	686:3,4,5,6,7	639:21 643:2	603:15,16
668:20	566:20	686:8,9,10,11	644:1 650:8	647:19
669:15,20,24	579:13,23	686:12 687:21	oppose 430:7	organic 478:16
670:11 671:6	580:1 591:25	687:22,23,24	430:14 679:14	485:5
673:19 675:14	595:10,14	687:25 688:3	opposed	organization
682:2,11 683:1	601:15 608:4	opening's	396:23	390:19
683:12	611:12,15,20	470:25	397:12 408:21	392:24
old 390:4	624:16	openings	418:10,15 481:1	oriented 669:15
395:8	665:25	439:20 466:7	499:6 611:12	669:16
Oligschlaeger	OPC's 419:14	605:11 631:12	611:20 615:21	original 384:5,9
514:16,20	419:22 420:8	673:21	618:4 621:13	494:3,23
515:9 550:4	420:20 421:6	operate 486:9	681:8	523:21
omitted 390:20	445:6 461:16	486:9 610:6	opposes	633:24 690:3
once 390:11	490:6 564:19	operated 589:7	397:20	690:4
459:23 516:18	583:20 601:7	operating	opposing 645:6	originally 379:9
532:15 552:15	605:6 691:2	390:24 391:1	opposite	397:21 477:1
552:24	OPEB 421:21	448:16 467:7	648:20	494:10 562:11
553:13	open 397:7	473:8 478:13	opposition	OSHA 668:14
570:24	410:25	492:2 671:16	643:19	OSS 614:7
583:15 622:7	433:25	671:20	optics 434:25	ought 543:15
one-hundredth	609:13,16	operation	options 477:21	outcome
470:12	633:15	514:23	order 382:18	428:18 429:3
one-sided	open-ended	operational	387:9,13	429:11 642:12
649:18 652:3	620:18	488:21 495:4	392:5,7	642:24
652:4,7	opened 410:17	operations	393:10 396:3	644:21
one-time 499:6	422:19 496:11	473:9 589:10	445:13 446:9	662:20
507:7,14	656:25	589:11 678:11	446:10 462:18	664:12 666:16
509:18 ones 430:23	opening 388:16 388:18,21,24	opined 661:13 opinion 407:10	463:14 466:20 471:4	692:15 outdated
488:17 517:5	389:6 402:16	408:12 413:4	474:13 481:17	420:21
587:18 596:17	414:1 426:11	413:15 479:15	482:4 575:9	587:22 601:17
online 597:25	428:5 439:18	582:14 594:17	575:17 577:17	outliers 430:23
onslaught	440:5 447:1	652:10 659:4	601:15,18	outline 439:19
582:16	451:5 453:21	659:7 665:17	603:17 605:12	outlined 587:17
OPC 394:24	455:17	683:18	607:22	587:19 601:20
396:13,13,18	465:22 466:4	opinions	620:14 631:11	outlines 660:21
	.55.22 100.1		=====================================	

outlining 401:14	overhaul	648:10 686:2	participate	642:5,10
outreach	422:20	687:2 688:2	383:16,19	643:1,10,20
430:15 438:3	overrule 527:13	689:2	411:2 546:2	643:22 644:7
526:25	Overruled	pages 515:13	607:22 608:3	644:17,19
outriders	640:9 647:10	643:25	620:18 629:15	645:5,8,14
468:18	oversight	paid 389:13	644:7,18	646:5,9,12,16
outside 401:9	458:14	391:13,17,17,18	645:9,14	646:18 650:21
403:22	overspend	393:5 470:5	646:10 655:6	652:10 657:16
420:21 431:10	663:24	493:5,13	658:19 659:2	665:25 678:11
440:3 475:17	overview	607:7	participation	692:10,13
552:19 556:1	388:16,22	pair 455:22	457:15	parts 431:19,22
576:2 590:20	443:3 455:14	paper 541:9,13	particular	party 420:22
620:23	466:6 472:7	papers 538:15	441:10 469:8	631:13 633:12
623:16,21	527:15 686:14	parameters	469:25	635:24
625:22 626:1	overwhelmin	628:15	470:18 478:21	636:20
626:22	670:22	629:24	492:8 562:2	637:15,16,22
627:22	owner 390:23	paraphrasing	618:14 619:4	639:4 645:3
645:24 647:3	454:20	646:6,15	621:2 632:25	646:12
overall 403:17	ownership	pardon 522:5	658:6 663:18	party's 635:23
425:7,9	415:6,8	522:25	particularly	637:17,21
426:17 427:7	owning 390:25	parent 392:12	419:10 460:17	pass 428:12
443:15 477:5		408:1 519:16	parties 384:21	passage 441:20
479:5 481:9	P	541:16	395:25 397:1	passed 423:6
496:13 497:11	P 375:21 379:1	parity 469:18	397:8 398:11	467:22
528:22 556:11	p.m 685:24	part 387:17	398:23	518:24 663:3
597:6 618:24	package 393:13	388:20 392:1	401:22,24	passes 441:13
overcoming	393:20 394:2	393:20 394:1	417:23 428:17	442:6
448:24	556:9 557:22	397:14 398:4	429:10,17	passibly
overearning	562:4 666:12	404:23 443:17	431:13 437:8	395:20
418:18 419:19	packets 386:5	448:12 486:14	450:25	passthrough
444:15,24	page 443:21	491:8 492:17	452:25 453:6	460:3
445:8 446:17	507:6,15	493:23,25	455:6 456:23	path 429:12
448:24	508:15 510:5	494:4 510:22	462:16,18,20	433:9
556:22,23	510:11,12	513:3 517:5,6	463:15 464:11	Pause 419:3
557:5,9 592:1	538:25	517:6 544:25	464:12 469:16	pay 393:14,22
648:14,17	539:16,23	547:22	488:16 491:25	394:4,5 398:5
overearnings	540:2,3 547:7	558:15 560:18	492:12,19	409:7 419:23
405:11 418:13	547:8 549:18	570:22 571:12	582:8 583:2	420:1 427:14
444:6,9,10,13	550:11,11	571:23 622:7	583:17	427:15,16,17
444:17 446:12	567:25 568:9	643:23 644:3	607:22	427:17,18
447:16 449:24	568:10,11,12	644:22 645:4	608:22	455:10 456:13
450:24 451:1	605:13 635:8	646:2,16	609:15 610:5	467:15 470:19
557:10,21	635:13,14,19	648:19,24	610:15 611:10	506:13 534:5
558:2,8	635:19 636:2	662:10 669:12	620:14,17	607:5 611:13
603:9	636:11 637:6,7 637:10,11,12	671:12	621:7 638:23	611:21 617:4,6
overhanging	642:13,15,16	participant	639:11,21,23	625:12,20
441:9	042.13,13,10	459:3	639:24 642:2	664:14
	•	•	•	•

paying 389:11	penalize	687:7,11,16	607:3,4,6,7,7	656:5 657:12
393:7 420:14	483:24	688:20	percentage	657:16 659:5
427:11 454:23	penalty 470:17	pending 382:21	515:21 516:21	660:16,21
460:13 493:15	593:6	382:21	518:19 519:1,11	661:11 662:13
497:10 532:4	pendency	445:20	577:21 667:23	662:18 664:2
616:23,24	442:7	pension 396:6	percentages	664:14,25
Payne 378:9	Pendergast	408:7,8,15,20	596:1	665:4,17,21
380:11 385:17	376:3 380:4	409:20 415:13	perfect 414:20	666:6 669:5
385:22 386:4	400:19,22,23	420:11 421:15	perfectly 520:2	669:12,16
386:11,13,18	401:10 418:6	421:19 533:6	542:16 543:1	670:14,15,19
474:15 487:10	501:9,19,20	pensions 408:4	626:7	671:13 677:17
504:3 508:4	501:22	421:12,17	perform 497:23	678:3,14
509:11 512:21	502:16 503:5	people 390:21	671:10	679:5 680:10
512:23 513:13	510:15 514:5,8	391:3 455:3	performance	681:7,8,11,15
520:5 521:13	515:7,8	484:20 527:8	410:15,18,20	681:22 683:8
521:22	519:23 520:13	556:21 602:7	439:24 440:2	683:11,19,22
522:22 523:9	520:14,16,22	602:13	483:11,18,23	684:16,19
523:13,22	527:5 528:16	605:22,22	484:3,7	685:2 687:19
524:6,15,20	528:18,20	630:19 633:4	485:10,12,14	performance
525:1,6,10,15	530:21	percent 389:25	485:15,22	621:25 622:3
526:18 535:19	535:22,25	393:8,10	486:2,3,6,10	624:3 666:12
540:2 542:3	538:24 539:3	406:2,19,21	486:18,21	666:13 667:9
542:21 544:14	539:5,14	409:1,4	488:11 495:2	667:12
547:12,14	540:3,19,20	420:15,16	495:3,7,14,17	performances
555:1 557:3	542:9 543:1,5	421:24 422:6	497:18 498:3	496:5
559:15,17	543:9 544:3,4	422:6 425:10	523:8 545:21	performs 607:4
562:17 565:6	544:16 547:17	427:10,12	545:24	period 476:16
574:23	547:19 548:10	443:12,14,14	606:13,14	476:17 482:25
599:16	555:2,5,8	443:17 447:11	607:11,11,14,17	483:1 493:2
609:10,12	557:7 558:25	447:13,20,21	611:11,13,20,21	514:11 516:13
611:2 627:1	559:2,20	448:3,5,20	611:22,24	516:13 517:25
628:4,12,20	561:4 565:24	449:1,6,8,15	613:22 614:2	518:2,17,18
629:7 640:21	566:2,25	449:16,18	614:5,17,23,24	519:10 532:25
652:17 653:2	567:5 568:16	468:25	615:2 616:21	533:12 535:5
653:12 656:2	569:25	470:13 481:25	617:24,25	535:7 536:8
656:17,23	572:10 581:5	482:12,24	618:2,9,14,16	536:10 542:1
659:15 660:1	581:7 583:15	509:14,20	618:20,24	544:24 560:3
677:5 686:25	588:23	510:19,25	619:20 620:8	561:15 575:16
687:5,8,22	589:23	511:22 516:16	620:11,15,22	576:2,8 587:6
688:11	590:14,16	519:12,13,18	621:12 638:15	604:9 643:6
Payne's 657:3	591:12,17	520:17,19	638:18	periodic 401:14
pays 484:5	592:3 600:6	555:12,15,20	639:23 640:6	periodic 401.14 periods 669:18
peak 460:14	600:12 602:5	556:5,24	641:5,23	permission
peer 496:2,4	603:7 630:18	558:6,8,16	642:12 643:7	383:14 416:23
578:22,23	668:21 669:2	572:1 577:6,12	647:2,19	655:9
576.22,23	669:24	577:15,19	648:7,16	permit 516:5
peers 598:20	686:23 687:3	606:19,22,23	649:18 651:18	533:11
Pecis 330.20	000.23 007.3	000.13,22,23	043.10 031.10	333.11

permitted	530:13	 playbook	618:11	646:13
391:20 514:23	pilot 428:25	444:24	points 446:23	positively
person 543:10	433:19 628:6	448:23	458:22	484:14
personal 544:11	pipeline 377:15	played 541:9	576:19 620:5	possible 389:4
545:11 673:17	381:24 382:2	please 381:21	682:5,21,23	402:24 423:9
personally	390:9,10,16	389:2 402:18	policy 389:5	423:12 441:20
527:23 661:12	459:1,6,9,11,16	417:5 424:2	429:14,21,23	625:25
personnel-rel	465:10 476:7	439:13 452:16	432:22 435:1	676:15 683:19
548:5	536:23	458:6 461:6	464:18 466:6	possibly 552:10
perspective	pipelines	472:25	472:8 503:3	552:17 615:25
434:7 461:11	467:13	489:23	523:4 527:15	648:20
484:11 496:12	Pitts 421:13,18	499:13 501:12	528:22 566:7	Post 543:25
497:21 537:5	place 383:19	521:23	686:14	Post-Dispatch
621:25 623:9	400:2 430:5	546:24	poor 450:18	541:11,13
646:25	477:3 478:14	558:12 563:2	607:9,14	poster 530:20
661:20	479:5 486:3	563:10 568:14	poorly 648:21	posture 443:8
pertains 592:17	499:4 516:17	568:15 573:10	popping 403:6	potential 418:4
Peters 535:15	532:1 547:16	588:22	portfolio 458:10	453:15 457:16
535:20 536:1	616:15 622:17	601:24 605:19	460:5,11 494:1	617:12 679:10
536:17	627:11 640:22	609:10 611:4	portion 490:7	potentially
PGA 584:7,12	647:2,13,20	621:22 642:15	503:2 516:14	395:19 410:17
585:11	656:20,22	655:20	516:19 524:9	570:7 671:20
PGA/ACA	657:2 661:15	674:16	portions 383:2	power 395:4
460:9	places 613:4	plenty 457:1	640:14	406:21 429:1
phase-in 423:15	plan 421:19	plus 393:13	pose 625:25	452:24 578:5
philosophical	444:25	399:15 651:25	position 405:18	578:9,12,14,19
492:22	461:23	666:13	407:6,24	579:2 592:16
philosophy	462:25 463:1	pocket 446:25	408:25	592:18,23
485:18	463:16,21	point 379:20	409:16 411:13	595:4 596:16
phones 382:12	475:3,9	396:13 399:18	417:22	596:21 597:3
485:23	478:14,19	406:16 422:2	420:20 421:18	597:14 598:7
picked 413:25	523:2 613:14	425:19 436:16	439:1 444:10	598:14,17,22
picking 583:5	613:19 614:8	441:8 443:5	466:18 475:21	598:23 599:2
621:2	614:20	462:12 480:2	480:22 522:2	599:3,9
picture 530:22	633:24	480:3 497:25	522:4 545:3	powers 581:17
532:18,19,20	planning 517:17	531:16,24	563:17 573:16	669:18
537:6 597:7	610:9	534:14 536:2	611:14 638:5	practice 431:23
piece 497:12	plans 423:15	546:18,24,25	638:13,13	504:12 575:6
530:4 550:5	475:17,19	569:13	639:15 640:2	619:22 620:1
557:21,22,25	626:22 661:2	570:24	644:11,14,16	practices
pieced 429:10	661:2 678:9	587:10 607:19	655:7 658:23	432:24 433:2
piecemeal	681:13,14	608:24 619:7	679:5	453:1 463:16
428:17	684:2,21	641:4 643:1	positions	precede
pieces 473:20	685:4,5	649:14 659:2	428:16 437:21	379:23
481:14 484:14	platform 391:12	671:18 680:23	492:23	precedents
497:11 498:19	391:15 496:16	682:20	582:19,21,22	671:17
529:4,16	play 481:13	pointed 441:11	positive 622:6	prediction

577:25	411:16 421:13	577:19	601:10,23	567:4
prefer 523:6	459:9 492:19	primarily 587:17	619:5	profit 414:18
670:23	538:5 610:10	669:15	procedure	662:7 684:24
preference	620:6	primary 453:4	469:11 541:6	profits 409:15
463:3	presenting	456:18	procedures	415:25 447:6
prefers 514:21	439:19,23	principal	597:5	568:3,19
prefiled 462:3	556:18 [°]	674:20	proceed 605:10	569:21 570:5
633:5 634:25	657:25	principles	proceeding	570:10,25
653:6 655:4	presents	400:18	399:2 405:13	571:3,6
prejudge	428:10 460:7	print 424:11	460:16 477:15	683:23 684:3
459:16	president	prior 385:19	483:19 517:19	program 431:14
preliminary	422:19 442:6	408:7,9 436:5	527:12	437:3 457:14
385:16 387:6	473:7	459:19	559:23	457:21 462:11
388:13 685:18	Presiding	476:24 524:9	587:14,14	463:5,18
premarked	375:19	privy 434:16	588:8 626:1	467:18 468:5
676:6	press 542:20	proactive	proceedings	494:24
premature	542:21	609:5	375:5 379:15	495:13
475:5	pressure 646:9	probably	419:3 480:4	534:20
premiere 586:9	646:16	415:20 421:4	501:25 561:21	606:14,15
premises	pressures	431:20	645:4 683:7	607:15,17,18
437:10	622:14	496:22 508:9	proceeds	607:23 615:1
premium 391:17	Preston 459:17	529:7 533:21	645:17	615:4 616:8
392:6 493:12	presumptions	533:21 570:3	process 395:20	616:23 617:3
493:16	647:25	571:13 591:6	396:24	625:12,15
prenumber	pretty 394:12	595:11,13	459:21 463:14	627:11,16,17
382:16	406:9 433:4	615:17 616:25	480:8 492:17	628:4,6,13,15
prepaid 421:19	466:8,13 516:1	621:1 623:18	492:24 527:8	629:12,25
prepare 522:8	537:3 558:22	628:14 650:9	530:18 587:6	638:14 640:7
538:3	566:20	662:20	593:11,17	643:24
prepared 402:2	prevented	666:17 671:4	594:1,6,7,23	647:20
522:8 525:2	462:10 639:6	673:10	620:11 621:11	649:16 685:15
530:14 573:18	previous 379:10	problem	638:18 642:19	programs
573:21 587:8	previously	387:20 411:19	644:3,4 645:9	430:6,12
612:25 617:22	383:1398:6	437:19 454:17	645:12,16	433:20
preparedness	501:24 502:1	469:4 527:9	646:1,2,8	435:14 437:2
433:22	631:19 634:16	604:18 614:2	647:8,11,12	437:7,23,23
present 382:5	652:20 654:8	616:5 618:1,20	649:21 681:2	438:5 453:1,11
398:13 401:20	659:20	620:20,24	processes	453:18
401:22 412:24	price 418:22	623:22 624:8	597:4	456:22 457:5
432:22 581:10 610:16,18	421:25 426:5 429:22	627:14 639:19	procure 613:15	457:10 463:18
620:9 642:25		671:13	prodigious 503:7	464:5,23,24 484:25 616:12
650:6	447:10	problematic		672:25
	495:23 576:24 596:11	440:4 620:16 problems 503:4	produced 547:24	progress 421:10
presentation 451:14 456:15	670:24 596:11	526:7,8,11	producing	572:4
691:3,4	prices 429:18	579:13,18,24	398:19	prohibit 433:4
presented	430:1 577:1,16	580:8 601:8	productive	projected
presented	750.1 577.1,10	300.0 001.0	Productive	Projected

projects 428:25	649:15,17,22	507:12,18	402:21 413:7	379:18 647:21
prominent	proposed	509:4 513:5	413:16 416:20	pursuant
420:8 453:7	395:18 396:3	515:16 549:14	417:8,9,22	506:17 648:15
promises 417:16	396:6,12,21	549:23	418:4,13,15	pursue 428:25
promote 413:16	400:24 401:1	562:13 572:6	421:12 422:24	454:6
438:22	401:2,6 405:9	594:8,22	423:8 428:11	pursuing 453:17
promotional	410:13 432:12	606:3,4,6	429:7,14,21,23	604:11
432:24 433:2	447:19 448:2	607:12 608:11	432:22 435:1	purview 593:9
proof 394:23	448:21,25	613:7,13	435:11 437:15	push 437:17
631:5,7	456:9 460:15	618:24 642:9	444:14,15,18	pushed 586:13
proper 410:8	461:19 519:6	644:1 645:20	445:22	pushing 397:8
470:19 503:16	535:14,17	655:20 661:19	446:15 458:17	477:19 622:9
678:13	545:21 548:16	675:20 684:6	461:12 462:22	622:18
properly 456:2	566:21 627:6	684:9	469:10 474:16	put 384:5
571:11 593:13	629:25	provided	487:11 504:4	387:24 414:16
property 390:19	638:14	401:23 418:2	513:15 522:3	425:15 433:21
410:6,10	proposes 448:2	451:2 455:21	525:19	437:21 442:24
proportion	448:4	462:3 483:6	562:23,24	442:25
454:12 518:23	proposing	507:21,22,25	563:16,18	446:18 447:8
proposal 410:15	409:3,9	512:25 513:10	564:22	450:24 477:3
411:18,23	429:11 461:16	530:24	572:19 573:3	478:10,14
421:6 428:23	483:14,16	538:19 539:9	573:15,17	485:10,11
456:7 460:21	486:20	549:23	602:1 603:7,8	491:13 499:3
462:24,24	487:19 585:18	557:10 562:7	611:3 629:17	500:19
490:5 517:8	585:19	562:9,10	640:24	503:22
517:12,21	607:20 617:11	606:8 607:9	653:20	517:24
518:14 519:2	617:14 641:19	656:4,14	660:14 661:9	535:24
519:17 520:17	propriety 598:1	684:13,15	663:1 665:14	543:18 553:6
528:4 545:25	protect 453:8	provider 488:7	677:6 691:8	556:21
546:20 566:11	460:8,16	488:8	publicity 436:7	585:24 587:1
608:18	572:1	provides 494:14	pull 608:21	591:23 596:21
609:20,25	protected	545:23 599:4	pulled 495:15	598:7,17
610:5,10,17	460:12	661:5	punitive 395:18	599:1 601:19
611:8 624:5	protects 397:5	providing	punned 631:8	602:8 616:15
627:7,23	protested	407:21 413:10	purchase	622:16,17
628:24 629:8	459:14	455:16 456:14	390:18 460:1	627:11 628:10
629:8,10	proverbial	516:9 597:8	517:7 541:17	638:21 641:6
638:17,20,22	468:21	605:21 608:8	655:25	646:9,15
638:25 643:8	proves 558:16	654:17	purchasing	647:2,12,20
643:9,13,17	provide 394:19	680:25	467:9,11,25	657:4,16
645:23 648:4	394:24 413:8	provision 435:5	470:22	puts 491:25
656:5 679:12	413:13 424:1	470:17 509:14	purported	putting 462:24
proposals 431:1	430:18 443:3	prudent 459:22	586:1	464:6 477:16
432:15 517:24	446:8 450:3	public 375:3	purpose	626:15 678:2
609:17 622:1 643:11	450:16 455:13	378:2,5,8,13	587:23 588:2	puzzled 638:8 638:11
	463:7 485:4,6 488:4 507:7	379:5 380:14 380:15,19	613:12,14,17,19	036.11
propose 488:12	400.4 307.7	360.13,19	purposes	

] I		i	İ	
Q	617:23 620:6	504:25 505:3	664:18	391:3 397:5,7	
qualify 575:24	620:10 626:9	505:4 512:13	666:23 667:1	397:7,17,18	
quality 432:18	628:17,17	512:14,16,20	667:15 675:5	398:3,9,12	
545:16,23	641:18,22	513:14,19,21	677:5,7,9	399:14,19	
588:11 597:7	644:23	513:24 514:6	678:22,24	400:14,15	
598:10	646:17	514:13 519:22	679:21 681:19	401:8,9 403:2	
680:25 681:16	647:22	520:4,23	682:14 683:13	403:3 404:18	
684:2,6,10	653:17 654:4	522:18	684:11 685:10	407:5 408:5	
quantification	655:15,17,19	525:23 527:11	quick 402:24	408:6,15,21	
443:10 518:22	656:14 658:12	528:9,12,14	quickly 455:15	412:5,12,16,19	
quarter 457:4	658:13,17	542:17 543:16	651:14 653:19	412:20 414:14	
quarterly	659:11 660:14	555:3 559:3	662:19,22	414:24 415:7	
401:20,23	664:23 667:3	559:5,8,9,13	673:1	416:2 417:12	
475:7 481:21	667:7,17	560:22,25	quite 393:10	418:14 420:2	
506:15 507:12	668:16,22	561:2 562:17	487:18 527:24	422:3,17	
508:11,19,24	670:13 677:12	564:1 565:6,8	583:8 649:11	423:9,13,14	
570:19 571:20	682:4,19	565:10,13,15	quotations	426:12 427:3	
queries 596:8	questioning	565:18,20,22	655:21	427:8 428:12	
question	459:15	566:6 572:13	quote 444:17,19	429:3 430:11	
387:23	656:20	572:15,17	445:5,9 446:2	435:23 436:2	
400:20	questions	574:3,23,25	541:12	438:20 440:3	
424:10 428:14	399:5 402:2	580:4,18,20	quotes 445:3	441:5,19	
435:3 436:24	402:9,10 413:1	580:23,25		443:11,13,16,19	
437:10 438:16	413:18,21	581:16 582:5	R	444:4 445:20	
438:18 441:9	415:11,21	588:22 591:8	R 379:1	455:18,25	
442:3 447:9	416:16 424:5	591:18 592:4	radically 396:7	461:13 463:10	
471:12 489:19	424:14 427:23	592:7,9,11,12	raise 383:18	463:11,23	
489:25 498:7	427:24	595:25 597:1	405:16 472:14	475:1 477:16	
499:7 509:4	433:25	597:15 599:14	501:12 520:6	477:22 478:9	
509:13 511:8	442:19 451:6	599:16,18,22	563:2 583:2	479:12 480:4	
511:11 512:21	451:8,11,12	600:1,6	621:3	480:25	
515:6 519:24	453:19 456:17	608:13 609:7	raised 456:23	483:19 491:2	
520:10 534:10	457:23,25	610:20,21,22	494:17 511:7	491:7,14,16,20	
542:3 544:15	460:22,23,25	610:24 611:18	520:4 545:16	492:4 496:12	
547:18,21	461:2 465:1,4	612:9 614:10	624:15 668:16	498:6,11	
550:8 555:2	465:6 471:4,7	614:14 617:19	raises 506:13	504:12 507:19	
555:18,23	471:9,22	621:15,17,18	601:2 623:8	507:21,25	
557:15 558:13	473:19 474:15	623:11 629:18	raising 527:8	514:24 [°] 518:1	
568:13 571:15	474:17 475:25	630:6 640:21	ran 596:7	518:16 519:3	
571:23 577:7	476:2,5,8,10	641:12 649:2	range 425:14	519:13 520:20	
580:1 588:24	486:24 487:2	650:24 651:2	431:10 606:10	527:4,22	
591:16,22	487:4,6,8,10	651:4,5,7,10	rank 597:15	528:23 530:8	
594:5 595:7	489:1,3 490:9	653:4,21,23	ranking 460:9	532:8 533:15	
598:4,18	490:11,14,16,18	654:13,22,24	579:2,3,6	533:16 541:22	
600:15 603:7	491:1 493:1	657:11 658:10	580:11,13	542:2 544:20	
610:4 614:16	499:15 502:8	659:8 660:5	rankings 578:14	545:9,15	
3.3.1 314.10	504:3,5,19,22	663:4,8,10	rate 389:8	548:12 552:6	
			<u> </u>		

552:15,19,23	616:22,24	661:4 684:4,8	498:13,14	445:14
553:2,5,13	617:4 666:4	rating 468:19	realize 402:22	458:23
554:9,21	rates 389:12,12	580:15,16	430:20	556:12,14,19
566:9 572:1	394:17 395:1	584:10	438:14	613:8,13
575:6 577:6	398:7 403:18	ratings 448:15	483:25	618:25 625:17
580:9,11 584:1	404:5,8,19,23	578:19	492:17	reasonablene
584:5,25	405:1,16,18	rational 398:24	realized 404:4	423:19
585:20 595:5	406:5 413:10	ratios 396:2	404:8 405:15	reasons 396:23
595:6,12	414:2,10,21	raw 434:14	414:3,9 482:6	454:4 491:17
604:20,21,22	419:24,24	rbrownlee@rs	482:25 494:7	493:24
608:18 610:17	420:5,12,13	377:22	548:14,14	494:12
620:23 621:13	426:16,25	reach 398:1,22	Realizing 616:14	660:20
623:16,21	427:6,7,9	423:9 430:8	really 403:2	rebase 531:17
624:4 625:5	433:16 436:10	527:23 528:2	407:16 410:4	rebased 531:25
626:1,4,5,22	437:12 444:22	663:24	415:7 424:10	rebasing 531:7
627:5,7,13,23	445:13	reached 443:5	434:10 437:17	rebut 636:6
627:25	458:22	446:1 480:3	440:14 441:23	rebuttal 447:25
643:21	459:25	542:14	447:4 462:10	501:24 502:5
645:23,24	464:14 481:5,7	reaches 447:21	462:14,16,21	502:9 538:22
647:3,12,15,16	491:14 533:10	reaching 445:9	467:10 468:10	539:10
648:7,12,14	534:3,14	reacting 658:2	469:6 470:23	563:20
649:14 650:5	535:2,10,14	read 403:5	484:8 492:2	564:19
650:15,22	541:15,21	433:3,4,12	494:6 512:3	567:25 568:7
669:7,18	542:1 544:5	530:15	518:9 549:22	573:22,23
677:17,24	544:17,21	543:20	549:24	574:13 603:21
678:5,10	548:3,11,18	569:20	569:14 586:17	632:9 635:8
680:23 685:7	552:15 554:18	601:24 602:13	609:24	635:9,10,18
ratemaking	554:24	602:22	622:12 623:6	635:20,21
570:17	569:21	655:15 656:1	631:13 637:1	636:5,17
620:25	575:24 576:5	656:3 661:1	648:21 650:10	637:23 639:2
621:25 622:3	584:3,6,7,12	reading 568:6	657:1 665:4	671:3 675:1
622:18 623:16	584:16,24	602:6 665:10	reason 400:11	690:12
624:3,8,18	585:1,2,10,11	readjusted	406:22 416:1	rebutted 636:1
625:3,4,10,23	585:18 586:1	414:21	416:10 450:14	recall 401:18
627:6 650:4	586:14,18	ready 400:13	457:2 463:11	445:19
669:17 677:21	588:1,10	499:25	475:12,13	467:22 471:14
ratepayer	591:24 600:13	562:22 605:9	480:7 491:17	491:4 492:25
458:19	606:17 607:3	630:9 640:18	492:20	493:5,12
ratepayers	607:6,13	Reagan 422:20	493:25	514:13,15
407:21 414:6	613:8,12,13	real 395:13	605:24	515:21 537:22
416:2 418:7	615:21 616:16	445:14,18	618:22 677:21	537:23
423:6 428:13	618:10 619:1	520:14 549:3	677:23	576:24
428:19 435:11	621:3,4 623:8	569:8 620:20	reasonable	600:23,24
459:5 460:12	624:4 625:16	649:6 651:13	394:17 413:9	677:18 680:12
460:17 481:2	625:17 647:14	reality 449:22	413:11 419:23	receive 455:4
489:16 490:4	647:15 648:18	622:6	419:25 420:2	474:4
511:12 518:9	648:19,23,25	realization	433:11 444:22	received 455:1

				. 1
649:13 650:10	reconciliation	redirect 489:25	references	634:25
650:16	419:14,14,20	490:1,19,22	523:3	660:25
receiving 414:14	470:4 524:15	514:4,7	referencing	667:13 669:16
recess 466:1	524:16,21	559:14,16	507:6	regulations
500:1 605:5	604:14	572:18 600:8	referred 380:7	635:20
685:23	690:24	600:10 651:11	407:4 663:17	regulators
recite 586:25	reconciliations	651:15 659:14	referring	483:23 541:18
recognition	524:7	670:2,3 683:2	566:24,25	regulatory
395:16 401:3	record 379:14	683:4 686:21	567:1 592:19	375:19 379:23
671:24	379:20 381:13	687:3,8,17	632:24	395:20
recognize	381:21 384:5	688:9,21	reflect 381:14,21	396:20
414:17 553:19	387:11,14	689:4	449:21 561:10	398:18 404:10
recognized	419:2 451:19	reduce 392:7	reflected 548:8	405:10
404:7 467:24	465:24	396:25	548:22,24	409:25 410:9
468:6 533:7	472:24 474:5	403:17 410:2	552:7,14,15	446:23
604:18	474:5 499:25	441:14,15	552:23	458:13,18
recognizing	500:3,4,9	498:22 518:8	reflective 654:9	459:10 460:8
478:3	502:25	551:21 552:5	654:11	466:6 472:8
recollection	503:15 543:18	569:22	reflects 561:9,11	477:15 514:23
506:8 515:4	602:18,23,24	reduced 389:16	reform 422:17	515:18,24
515:14 601:1	605:4,9 636:1	393:3 411:9	441:9 442:5,7	527:15 533:4
recommend	639:20	443:20	refresh 601:1	622:14,15
412:2,17	674:16	445:13 450:15	regard 408:5	626:2,3 683:7
587:15 660:15	685:22	496:25 552:7	regarding	686:14
recommendat	recordables	552:12 692:8	423:9,12	relate 495:1
406:1,2,5,17	668:14	reducing	453:15 512:25	596:19 597:10
408:14,25	recording 517:6	394:25 395:1	537:21	related 410:7
557:24 561:10	recover 391:20	395:2,2 411:11	560:23	505:23,25
561:11 658:21	391:22 490:3	551:5 554:2	609:23	507:6,20,23
recommendat	494:21	567:20	654:13	519:6,7,15,21
413:14,15	recovering	reduction 418:7	regardless	527:7 546:11
421:21 530:8	392:6 489:15	422:5,7,17	412:9,10	546:17 554:1
567:7 601:15	489:21 511:9	463:10 498:1	545:13 618:5	569:23 591:19
recommended 405:22 406:3	recovery 398:4	552:14 616:16	region 597:2	615:22 648:18
	425:17 515:20	reductions 411:24 423:10	regretting 657:1 regular 470:22	655:22
555:10 560:23 561:21	515:22 568:2 568:18	423:12,14	regularly 414:17	692:10 relates 656:4,7
recommending	recross 667:1,16	507:20	regulated	relating 517:8
407:2,13	Recross-Exa	548:20	398:17 533:1	517:21 518:24
443:16	487:13 489:6	655:22	551:24 553:1	545:17
530:25 531:5	512:22 658:15	refer 404:10	553:4 589:3	relation 562:8
532:1	667:5,19	reference	614:13 665:1	relationship
recommends	682:17 686:19	489:12 503:14	regulating	553:15
412:6,11,15	686:20,25	634:23	622:24	relative 460:4
443:12 449:6	688:14,18,19	referenced	regulation	460:10 475:8
449:7 561:7	688:20 689:3	411:21 509:19	464:20	477:25 479:4
561:24	red 437:3	672:5	618:22 622:11	479:11 480:9
		<u> </u>		

481:20 483:19	492:9	represent	629:15	645:25
484:2,9	rendered	389:7 406:12	requirement	672:17
485:11 488:6	458:15	417:7,8 466:16	422:7 431:6	resolution
491:9 495:4	renter 454:18	representatio	442:9 464:7	408:13
496:7 692:12	repeat 403:19	638:3	475:13 476:22	resolve 421:2
relatively 518:6	570:1 591:16	representative	478:2 479:24	528:3
664:24	632:18 634:1	598:9	491:13 492:6	resolved 431:21
release 613:19	repeated 544:1	represented	528:23	593:15,16
613:21 614:7	590:1	405:20 407:11	529:25 531:6	resource 456:3
relevance	repeatedly	representing	531:13,14	591:6
527:12	445:1	402:20	549:15,17,25	resource-heavy
relevancy	rephrase 515:7	452:19 461:8	550:3,9,14,25	593:17
544:15 656:18	558:12	represents	551:6,11 552:8	resources
relevant 412:8	reply 629:4	403:1	552:14,25	445:16,21,24
429:20	report 422:15	request 375:12	553:8,20	446:2,18
542:23,24	422:18	375:16 379:8	554:4,10,18	595:15 665:12
543:7,25	423:20 424:3	383:1392:1	554:24,25	665:25 666:1
556:16 580:1	481:21 528:7	455:14 479:7	558:18,20	respectively
583:10	538:3,5,10	502:17 526:13	561:8,15,21,24	404:6
596:23 621:5	539:19 541:8	526:22	requirements	respects 417:11
623:21 625:21	543:3,14	579:23	384:6 405:23	respond 384:21
626:5 657:7	544:5,9 545:3	595:14 630:14	477:9,10	590:9 593:12
reliability 615:19	545:9,10,14	630:15 639:17	478:22 553:14 645:2	593:13 605:22 610:2
relocate 432:3 relocation	583:23 586:8 587:11,16	requested 397:1 410:4	requires 602:2	623:14 624:17
389:10	590:4 598:8	543:12 594:7	637:14	626:9 630:20
relying 459:2,4	600:17,22	604:10	requisite 407:10	630:24 631:14
remain 453:7	601:3,7,11,20	requesting	458:16	631:15 636:10
631:20	601:22	404:17 405:16	research	639:22
652:20	602:20,22	406:19 410:16	628:21 629:1	670:22 679:8
remainder	reported	411:5	reserve 428:6	681:3
506:2	375:24	requests	439:4 633:7	respondents
remaining	542:22 602:11	389:22	reserving 637:2	445:8
610:9	reporter 385:8	449:25	reset 404:24	responding
remains 454:2	385:13 451:15	450:19 582:6	491:14	609:4 636:23
remarks 388:17	521:24 563:11	582:7,23,24	residential	response 395:1
491:24	573:12 672:18	582:25 583:1	412:4,6,12	398:19 445:15
500:22	675:21 692:1	583:9,18,20	456:7 461:13	485:22 495:8
remember	692:4,19	595:19 603:18	581:22	507:25 509:4
399:12	reporting	656:8,8,10	592:22,24,25	511:8 512:24
423:25 511:14	507:13,14	require 459:18	593:1	513:11 579:22
511:16 600:14	508:16 528:5	516:8 587:21	resiliency 429:1	579:25 593:7
reminded	reports 394:24	657:15	433:21	594:16,16
466:5	401:23 503:17	required 401:14	resistance	598:18 603:6
remove 398:2	506:16 507:8	419:24 468:1	608:23	603:17 604:6
431:3 456:1	508:12,19,24	475:2 491:3	609:15 639:12	604:7 610:3
removed 470:5	587:13	506:16 508:19	639:23	611:9 624:19
	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	ı

626:1 636:8	519:1 520:19	558:17,19	rid 614:4,4,7,9	629:7 630:4,8
638:19	691:8	561:8,15,21,24	rider 566:10	631:18 634:10
647:23	retention 511:23	568:23 569:6	right 385:3,15	635:5 636:19
651:22 668:5	511:25 515:19	569:9,20	387:1 388:3	637:2 640:16
670:7,25	517:12	570:6,16,18	398:15,24	640:19 652:1
671:8,11,15	retract 588:16	571:1,8 613:23	399:22 400:7	653:12
672:2	retreat 638:4	614:3 629:23	402:12 410:11	654:20 658:11
responses	retrieve 574:16	revenues	416:13,18	659:13,16
450:5,12	retroactively	375:16 404:19	435:24 438:8	663:24
526:22 527:2	398:7	406:6 434:22	439:4 442:17	664:16 666:14
527:3,3	return 396:8	443:19,23,24	442:20	666:25
579:20,20	406:1,11,14,19	443:25 444:3	446:16 452:8	668:17,18
583:20	413:12 440:8	444:4 478:16	458:1 460:23	670:1,12
594:25 595:5	440:11 443:12	484:12	465:15,17	671:12,15,21
658:2	448:12,25	496:20	466:2 468:22	671:25 672:4
responsive	449:1,3,11,12	505:25	471:17,25	672:19 674:5
449:25	449:14,18	550:13,16,20	472:2,9,14	674:8 675:22
450:19,21	458:20	550:21 551:2	476:9 479:19	676:10,22,24
635:22	479:16 516:21	551:9,10,13	481:11 486:15	677:2 681:20
643:22 646:4	569:9 661:4	552:9,24	487:7 493:9,11	685:12
responsivene	680:23	553:6,8,18,19	496:7 498:6	rightfully 396:4
527:19	returned 511:12	554:8 568:25	498:10 500:12	418:10
rest 601:19	returns 444:20	569:2,3,7,24	501:2,7,13	rising 454:9
restrictive	475:9	570:5 613:20	504:1 512:18	risk 407:18,23
433:3	revenue 375:13	reversed	514:3 521:7	410:2 444:21
result 401:16	379:8 396:21	397:21	522:7,22	458:22 459:3
403:9,16	397:3,12	review 423:20	524:25 525:11	459:5 607:1
406:6,16	400:25	424:2 459:21	525:17 531:2	riskier 449:5
422:25 423:11	404:25	520:7 653:8	531:3,6,14,20	risks 448:15,16
454:10 494:8	405:22 406:4	reviewed 556:3	533:8 534:6	risky 406:24
544:17 545:1	409:22 411:4	647:14 653:10	537:17 542:16	421:25 426:4
553:19	422:7 428:20	revisions 460:9	547:17	426:8
554:24	440:24 441:14	reward 485:19	550:25 551:14	River 459:8
558:17,19	442:8 455:19	665:9	554:19 559:11	road 622:8
584:12 586:15	455:25 464:7	rewarding	559:18 562:6	623:6 666:2
586:19 616:15	484:16 497:7	415:24	563:2 568:25	678:2
616:24	504:16,17	rewards 670:14	569:1 570:8,9	Robinett 540:9
resulted 404:1	528:23	rewriting	570:17	robust 455:22
405:6 505:10	529:25 531:5	464:22	572:22	ROE 406:21
626:2	531:12,13	Richard 377:20	574:21 583:10	408:3 421:22
resulting 395:14	549:15,17,25	382:8 466:16	586:24 587:8	421:23 426:3
480:12	550:3,9,14,25	Richter 654:4,5	593:24 599:11	449:6,7,21
results 393:12	551:6,11 552:8	654:16 655:4	599:13 600:5	516:19 560:23
408:24 418:16	552:14,25	Rick 376:3	600:24 605:1	role 413:5
475:7 597:1	553:8,14,20	380:4	605:8,14	527:22
retain 514:10,24	554:3,10,18	rick.zucker@s	607:16 624:10	528:21 529:9
retained 516:17	554:23,25	376:6	624:19 627:15	530:12
	•	•	•	•

roll 499:25	run 391:2 415:10	sandbags	608:5 609:13	469:1
rolled 405:1,11	433:12 450:14	638:23	615:6,10 616:2	scope 520:2
518:16	519:3,13	satisfaction	619:12 625:15	591:6 683:16
room 376:9	530:24 596:8	592:22	625:16 628:2	score 581:24
429:7 430:5	runs 443:10	satisfy 457:16	630:24 631:1	597:6,10,10,17
438:1,2	530:25	save 423:2	631:8 633:3	598:17
628:23	557:10	saved 391:13	638:12 648:3	score's 598:23
rough 533:22	Rupp 375:22	499:9 627:7	says 430:19	scores 395:4
533:24 578:1	402:11 413:22	saving 401:19	444:7 450:20	598:15
roughly 404:21	413:24 414:12	savings 391:24	470:15 507:18	SCOTT 375:22
405:23	414:22 415:12	391:25 392:3	541:14,19,20	scratch 444:11
406:15 408:16	416:3,13	393:10 395:14	547:20 568:2	screen 442:25
479:9 480:11	425:25 426:1	395:15,24	587:13 593:9	season 409:24
480:17 493:8	426:10,18,23	403:7,21,23	601:12,12	463:22
518:2,4,17	427:1,20	404:1,8,16	633:12	seat 438:15
560:15 577:3	438:11,16	405:8,15	636:22 645:7	second 419:2
round 463:2	439:8 461:2	407:24 414:9	661:2 672:18	435:3 440:6
route 666:17	592:13,14,16	423:6 434:21	scale 393:9	447:15 457:7
routine 632:21	593:1,4,21,25	447:7 453:16	scenario	517:1 544:25
RSB 382:8	594:19,24	453:17 455:3	495:22	601:17 605:10
RSM 399:10	595:20 598:3	480:12,25	666:14	637:2
400:1,6,8,10	598:5,16	481:16,16,18	Schallenberg	second-to-last
411:6,11,13,15	599:1,11	482:4 493:1,4	586:9	510:10
411:22 412:2,3	Ryan 378:3	499:2,4,6	schedule	secondary
412:10 430:14	380:17	505:9,24	449:12 482:21	467:5
435:5,8,13,16		506:3,10,14	673:15 690:18	secondly 515:1
440:25 441:3	<u>S</u>	507:23 513:1	690:19,21,22	seconds
455:21 461:20	S 377:20 379:1	518:20 519:13	scheduled	435:22
461:24 567:16	S-T-E-V-E 473:1	536:22,24	423:14	section 467:24
568:1,18	sacrifice 673:17	546:13,19	schedules	507:11,16,18
569:17 571:25	sad 604:8	548:5,6,13,15	385:19	508:19 509:17
572:6 679:14	safe 394:19	548:19,20	386:22	545:8
RSMs 430:16	413:8 613:7	549:3 559:21	405:20	sections 503:4
rule 432:24	618:25 625:16	562:10	503:17 523:14	sector 453:16
433:2,9,12	safety 389:10 394:18 416:4	saw 495:23	523:19,21,23	453:25
464:22		saying 395:10	539:22	457:13
469:15 589:5	416:9,10 488:21 495:4	426:21,24	564:21,22	see 400:14
590:3 635:2	615:18 616:6	443:18 444:1,3	school 377:19	401:24 403:14
670:21	617:5 618:17	472:6 476:25	382:7,9	424:10 426:7
675:23	658:1,2 671:9	479:25 480:1	387:10 388:8	433:10 443:11
ruled 382:22	sake 406:20	486:7 496:11	465:21 466:3	443:20,23
383:23	534:10	531:17,21,24	466:16 467:1	445:3 447:17
594:14	salaries 613:8	534:13,15	467:3,5,7	447:19 448:1
rules 459:18	salary 393:13	541:1 548:17	469:13 470:18	448:10,17,18
466:20	606:18 613:24	551:4 585:5 587:2 588:12	471:2	448:25 449:11 450:1 451:1
636:17 637:25 639:7 641:25	sales 613:16,18	589:9 601:13	schools 467:6 467:17,25	461:14,15
053.7 041.23	24.00 0 10.10,10	303.3 001.13	407.17,23	401.14,13

463:10 465:21	680:14	550:1,12,17,19	settlements	441:8 447:8
507:17 527:4	sentence 541:14	550:24 551:6	397:25	474:17 487:12
527:7 540:6	637:1,2 661:1	551:7,22	seven 389:19	487:14 488:24
568:5,20	sentences	552:5,7	389:23	500:18,20,24
579:22	636:25	554:2,21	395:12 547:11	501:3,6 504:5
593:14 614:20	separate 386:5	566:12 586:3	584:17 635:4	513:16 519:20
617:18 621:7	455:17 477:12	587:17 588:11	637:14	520:3 522:25
624:11 629:11	495:3 508:7	593:2 596:23	SGS 412:20	523:7 525:9
632:20 637:4	684:16	596:24,25	share 461:11	525:21 537:19
638:5 641:17	separated	597:7 598:10	546:21	538:19,22
642:1 665:9	495:13	599:4,7	shared 403:12	539:9,11
673:21	separately	606:6,9	408:1 516:20	540:5,8,11,14
684:23	414:25	607:10 613:7	518:6	540:17
seeing 388:6	September	617:4,6 618:17	shareholder	546:23 547:2
474:4 484:22	529:20 538:6	618:25 622:11	484:12	547:4,8,13
525:11	586:20	625:17 661:18	498:24	562:24 563:9
seek 458:19	serious 463:12	663:1 664:5	shareholders	564:7,14,20
459:19 585:16	seriousness	678:13	409:15 414:5	565:1 566:23
seeking 397:15	601:23	680:25 681:16	419:11 425:20	568:13
seeks 389:21	serve 396:17,17	684:2,6,10	426:7 447:3,5	572:20 573:1
396:13	473:5 496:8	691:8	447:5,13 481:1	573:2,9 574:9
397:22	607:24	services 375:25	484:6 493:1	574:15,18
seen 398:10	served 421:15	403:13	493:18 494:15	577:23,25
421:14 444:7	460:2	420:23 421:3	495:19 511:13	583:11 589:18
444:25 450:8	serves 392:25	518:6	548:1 684:20	590:11 591:10
450:25 454:2	service 375:3	session 436:7	sharing 511:23	600:9,11
457:4 477:7	375:13,17	476:15 669:9	516:6,11,18	602:15,16
495:10 496:4	378:8,13	set 382:19	517:21 518:15	603:3,6,15
578:5 614:22	379:5,8,11,12	384:12	519:4,15	604:23 611:4
615:4,10 617:2	380:14 389:15	390:24 397:5	613:16,18	611:25 612:3,7
622:1 674:7	393:3 394:19	410:20 412:7	614:8	612:11 629:19
680:10 681:10	394:23	433:16 460:7	shave 430:17	641:2,10
683:13	402:21 403:10	460:9 467:9	She'd 579:24	653:21 659:21
sees 406:22	412:8,16,19,22	517:1 618:1,2	She'll 453:18	660:22 670:4
segment 579:1	413:9 420:11	623:4 625:5	Shemwell	671:4 672:8
selected 663:19	423:21 424:2	625:16 626:2	378:3 380:17	677:7 686:5
selectors	427:18 441:6	639:8 643:7	385:2 386:23	686:19 687:10
436:13	469:1,10	647:1 649:18	394:10,13	687:13,17,23
sell 390:11	484:25 485:2	651:25 652:3	416:21 417:7	688:6,21
Senator 471:25	488:5 497:17	662:1	419:4,9,18	shied 616:1
senior 375:19	503:17 522:4	sets 516:23	422:13,14	shield 411:8
543:10	528:7,24	517:4,18	424:8,11,17,20	shift 485:9
sense 480:4	529:25 530:9	settled 398:6	424:24 425:2	645:22
556:14 579:21	533:1 541:15	662:8	425:6,11,19,23	ship 467:13
582:6 622:5	545:1,4,16,17	settlement	426:6,15,21	shoestring 391:1
644:21	545:20,23	398:22	426:24 427:5	short 403:15
657:24 665:8	548:8,16,22	649:12	427:21,25	460:15 466:1
	l	l	<u> </u>	I

517:13 549:19	similar 421:6	Sitherwood	snap 431:9	552:21 567:21
576:19 605:5	448:14,15	613:9	434:17	611:7 620:10
618:8 665:18	457:10 463:4	sitting 385:10	soared 395:4	620:18 640:1
672:13	496:4 555:3	385:10 588:12	sold 390:15	650:11 654:3
short-lived	613:18 650:15	situation 387:16	412:14	658:6,24
661:3	Similarly 456:6	428:19 431:15	solely 416:8	660:20
short-term	simple 390:2	434:24	Soma 421:9	661:15 662:14
397:24 407:3	594:16	444:25 447:16	somebody	665:9
407:9,10	664:24	448:24	476:23 512:2	sorts 666:11
497:20	simplistic	449:24	549:6 557:8	sought 399:10
556:10	496:21	450:24 607:8	558:8 590:8	399:12 400:6
shortly 466:13	simply 396:12	616:18 623:8	595:4	sound 538:6
show 391:23,25	397:23 408:11	626:13,19	someone's	sounds 402:5
405:22 410:14	415:25	651:22 652:11	557:23	619:10 624:7
418:17 449:9	429:23 441:18	666:5	somewhat	668:6
457:17 495:7	445:16 446:4	situations	379:15 405:2	South 392:17
554:3 593:5	446:13,18	566:13 681:9	466:18 510:20	418:2,11 517:2
showed 585:25	548:11 580:4	six 445:11	511:21 567:16	517:11,15,22
showing 443:10	591:14 602:19	448:17 477:20	638:11	518:4 519:7
516:8	621:6 626:15	538:25	soon 419:20	521:4 537:21
shown 495:11	636:3 638:22	595:17 622:21	sophisticated	540:23
shows 414:10	645:17 675:18	679:10	496:23	541:23 543:12
419:5 598:25	simultaneously	sixth 376:5	sorry 392:18	544:7,18
shutting 437:9	405:5,7	398:9	419:6,7 427:5	545:2,18
shy 444:4	sincerely	sizable 393:21	434:22	546:14,22
448:5 616:3	398:16	size 392:10	435:25 452:7	547:24
side 399:13	single 412:19,21	skeptical	483:15 487:16	548:21,24
469:18,19	428:8 444:11	683:21	500:10 507:11	559:21 560:12
484:17 485:9	570:17 619:4	skepticism	521:5 535:22	584:2,13
497:5,7	643:12	418:9	540:19 568:10	600:14
551:16 652:10	single-issue	skip 633:12	573:21 577:5	Southern 390:8
sign 417:23	620:25	skyrocketed	601:25 603:13	390:11,13,15
signal 651:19,21	623:15 624:8	578:17	605:16 612:17	Southwestern
signed 422:20	624:18 625:3	598:24	635:1,9,13,14	682:10
significant	625:4,10	slide 443:6,22	635:15	space 455:18
419:10 422:20	627:5 650:4	444:12 445:11	640:22 660:1	speak 479:11
450:13 511:3	677:21	447:8,18 448:1	668:10 674:6	480:15 493:21
512:1 519:25	singled 619:6	448:10 449:9	675:21 676:7	545:6,7,11
549:3 647:22	sir 386:8 439:9	Slideshow	679:18,19	548:25 549:2
significantly	439:10 458:2	691:4	680:20	603:12 635:11
444:19 449:2	482:6 576:17	slightly 506:7	sort 431:14	651:20 672:2
457:5 557:11	592:14	small 420:5	436:10 441:9	speaking
584:17	sister 407:7	smaller 445:25	461:19 470:3	399:23
signing 422:22	sit 462:20	516:21 558:9	472:8 516:6,11	551:25
signs 442:7	488:16	Smith 378:3	517:3 528:5	553:20 554:7
458:10	site 436:12	380:16,17	536:18 549:14	583:19 661:2
silence 382:12	sites 410:7	416:25 418:23	549:15 551:19	speaks 659:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

spearheading	spending 411:12	494:9 518:7	445:15,18,21	626:24
657:19	457:4	spreading	445:23,23,25	627:18 634:11
special 432:5	spent 392:3	392:8 403:7	448:4,6 449:7	640:20
436:8 583:7	Spire 376:2	403:11	450:9,14	652:16,17
specialized	379:7,10,14	St 376:5 377:9	451:2 462:22	654:5,15
438:23	380:6,8	377:11 381:19	474:14 487:9	655:5,12
specific 379:19	387:19 388:7	381:23	489:10 490:6	656:12 657:17
401:4 414:1	388:25	392:24 418:5	491:24 504:2	657:19 658:18
432:13	392:12,15,16	459:11 465:11	507:8 508:4	658:23
460:22 509:4	392:18,20,23	465:13 469:2	512:20 513:1	659:25 677:4
516:9 548:23	394:8 407:2	469:3,19	514:15,21	staff's 385:18
553:23	407:18 417:11	535:15,20	521:12,14	385:24
555:18	417:15 418:18	536:1,17	522:4,5	386:16,21
557:25 571:14	418:19 420:22	538:15	523:19 526:8	387:3 405:17
576:2 597:1	428:12 430:5	543:25 588:5	529:7,9,21	405:18,19,22
607:15	448:8 450:21	stabilization	530:1,9,24	405:25 406:2
625:22	452:25 459:1	396:22 397:3	533:7,10	406:5,17
628:25 642:7	459:4,14	397:13	535:14,25	407:9,24
680:16,18	461:17 468:14	400:25 411:5	537:6,20,25	408:12,14,21
specifically	469:1 472:10	430:18	538:2,10	408:25
399:23	473:4,9	440:24	539:19 541:4	409:15 410:1
408:24 411:21	490:20 518:12	455:19	541:7 542:12	411:13 413:4,13
480:16	528:15 557:5	568:23 570:7	542:13,22	414:8 415:16
487:23	565:23 577:1	571:1,9	543:3,10,13,14	415:19 423:20
493:21 542:11	577:6,19	staff 378:8	544:5 545:14	424:3 448:1
592:19 603:17	581:3 595:3	380:9,13	545:15 546:1	508:21 523:14
628:12	600:5 605:15	394:24	547:22 548:3	523:16 524:4
specifics 431:25	637:19 651:12	395:25	549:2 557:9	524:7,11
436:9 456:16	654:25 657:6	397:20 398:1	560:24 561:7	525:4,6,13
488:12 534:7	657:17 663:11	401:20 402:15	561:20,23	528:23 531:5
611:8 639:25	676:14,19	402:20,21	562:8 565:5	532:5,9
spectacular	678:25	404:13 405:6	574:22	545:3 548:8
394:8	Spire's 384:25	406:22,23	579:22,25	548:16 555:10
spectrum 455:5	404:9 418:12	407:1,6,6,13	583:23 586:8	557:23
speculate	423:21 537:21	408:23,23	586:8 587:11	560:17,19
475:10,21	578:14 579:2	409:6,12,19	587:13,17,19	561:3 627:4
583:12 590:12	610:17	410:9,12,21,25	590:23,24,25	658:20
speculation	Spire/MGE	411:15,17 412:2	594:6 595:10	690:17,18,19
591:11	605:21	412:5,11,15,17	595:14 598:13	690:19,20,22
speed 395:2	spirit 409:23	414:23 415:9	599:15 600:17	690:23,25
598:12	splendid 555:5	415:20 417:14	600:22 601:9	staffed 491:20
spell 472:24	split 454:18	418:1,16,18	601:20 602:3	stage 527:11
521:23 550:1 563:11 573:11	splitting 391:14	419:14 420:9	602:8,17,20	Stahlman 571:13
	spoke 661:15 spoken 412:25	420:25 422:9 423:7 443:8	608:2 609:9	stake 440:11 517:19
spelled 615:4 spend 420:3,6	spoken 412:25 spread 393:1	443:12,16,18	609:16,24 610:18 611:6	stakeholder
609:3 666:15	418:8 483:1	444:1,3	624:16	610:15 644:2
003.3 000.13	710.0 703.1	777.1,5	027.1U	010.13 044.2

stakeholders	430:9 431:23	683:7,11,17	stiff-armed	388:20
438:4 641:8	432:2 433:20	stating 598:18	450:5	399:19 440:12
645:21 646:4	434:19 436:13	stations 427:17	stip 513:7	straighten
649:20,24	449:13 467:15	status 450:18	690:16	629:9
650:2	521:23	statute 411:21	stipulation	straightened
stamp 427:16	559:25	419:24 468:16	387:9,12	644:10
stand 398:13	563:10 573:10	470:7 491:4	388:7 401:12	strategy 478:17
405:23	580:12 635:21	492:7 661:25	417:23 466:19	stream 613:23
472:12 501:11	674:15 681:23	statutes 411:17	466:24	streams 614:3
508:5 521:15	692:4	446:8	469:12,15,17	street 376:5,9
639:16	State's 433:21	statutorily-aut	469:24	376:21 377:4
standard	state-sponsor	396:20	481:24 482:14	377:16 378:5
459:20	423:25	statutory 401:3	483:2 500:6	378:13 665:6
575:20 602:2	stated 414:2	479:23 626:11	500:10,13	strictly 592:25
standards	415:13 426:2	626:14 667:12	506:18,23	strides 394:25
409:2 545:22	450:2 512:24	stay 446:4	507:1 509:14	strike 637:5
standby 433:16	515:22 542:8	640:5	517:6 690:15	strive 394:20
standpoint	548:11	staying 425:14	STL 459:1,4	strong 397:2
518:23	statement	stays 640:8	STL's 459:15,23	483:21
632:20	389:6 402:16	steadily 449:15	stock 418:22	492:22 494:5
start 379:25	422:11 439:4	steady 407:23	419:6 421:25	495:12
383:15,17	447:1 466:4	551:5	424:19 425:1	strongly 455:20
385:20	513:9 526:6	Steinmeier	425:7,9 426:5	478:13 484:2
429:13 432:2	557:18 570:15	377:12 381:20	447:10	struck 578:18
433:19 436:12	576:21 586:7	381:22 386:7	495:23	613:1
437:25 466:11	587:5 590:1	386:9,12,14	576:24 577:1	structure 396:2
474:14 494:2	605:17 612:25	465:13	577:16,19	403:13 406:10
524:9 530:22	617:22 621:11	step 433:19	596:1,10,21	407:1,3,7,12,14
559:19	624:13 639:17	435:8 445:1	598:7,17	407:15,17
605:10,15	644:6 677:15	447:15 448:23	599:2	408:1,2
622:7 623:3 630:13 653:14	686:3,4,5,6,7	449:23	stocks 425:6	422:24 426:12 427:3
672:24	686:8,9,10,11 686:12 687:21	499:17 550:2 562:21	577:14	427:8 440:8
673:22 681:13	687:22,23,24	572:23 605:1	stodgy 394:7 Stoll 375:21	440:16 447:17
685:14	687:25 688:3	647:18 652:15	427:24	447:20,22,23
started 529:6	statements	653:3 659:17	435:19,20	448:2,3,4,7,8
589:21 622:2	388:19,25	672:11 685:13	436:1,15,18	448:11,19,21
685:21	451:5 465:23	STEPHEN	471:25 487:1,2	449:21 479:16
starting 416:23	542:6,20,25	375:21	512:16 559:7	486:14 555:11
636:25	602:6 605:11	steps 446:24	592:11 630:7	558:15
state 375:2	613:2 630:17	Steve 472:15	Stoll's 438:18	560:23 641:7
389:24	673:13	473:1,11,15	stopped 390:13	structured
399:14	states 444:18	686:16 690:7	570:3	441:14 494:24
403:20,22	455:24 477:9	690:9	storage 397:16	structures
406:25	589:10,12	Steven 472:12	397:18,23	458:14
428:14 429:2	622:2 665:11	stick 627:18	storm 434:17	struggling
429:4,7 430:2	680:2,5,9,9	631:11	straight 382:17	395:24
L	<u> </u>	l	l	I

stuck 609:1	sufficiently	supported	501:25 502:5	493:18 494:7
628:7	460:16 555:4	403:18 476:15	502:10 510:9	505:9 506:17
study 384:7	suggest 421:24	supporting	510:9 538:11	507:10,13,16
543:24 690:6	534:8 536:19	476:21	539:6,8,13	507:21
stuff 558:22	536:25	supportive	540:9 563:21	508:22 511:3
sub 399:25	555:24	432:9	564:21	511:9,12,21,25
411:21 635:3	627:10 641:20	supports	573:23 574:14	514:11,24
637:14	641:24	455:20	585:24 587:1	515:3,13,20
subject 489:8	657:20 681:12	suppose 549:5	591:24 600:19	516:6,8,14,24
533:13 577:18	suggested	641:21	610:1,2 632:11	517:4,9,13,18
646:14 649:10	429:17 621:10	supposed	635:16 637:9	517:22 518:23
submit 470:18	667:23	395:9 401:21	637:11,22	519:24 520:12
508:7 643:11	682:21	532:21 534:11	638:4,7 639:3	520:17 546:21
submitted	suggesting	535:10	640:3 642:13	548:7 590:21
405:21 490:5	426:7 427:6	559:20	642:16 653:11	655:23
529:16	442:6,10	supposition	654:14	synergy 391:24
540:25 542:4	458:13 641:4	648:1	660:20 671:1	395:24 401:19
545:15 590:5	suggestion	Supreme	690:9,13	404:1 405:8
643:3,10	426:15 437:18	594:13,14	surveillance	481:21 506:14
648:10	556:11 627:19	sure 382:12,16	528:5	513:1 559:20
subpar 607:10	634:7 657:4	387:8,12,13	survey 395:4	system 391:9,11
subsequently	suggestions	436:5 437:23	581:22	396:12,16,16
530:3	572:6	471:19 473:1	592:23	422:21 423:5
substantial	suitcase 385:9	477:3 483:12	596:24	455:12 460:10
398:20 610:9	385:10	486:15 498:7	surveys 592:23	467:6 468:12
substantially	Suite 376:13,21	503:23 551:4	599:2	468:16,23
447:25 564:2	377:4,16	555:25	suspect 664:11	469:3,4
subtract 550:13	378:5,13	557:17,19	666:16	470:21 484:19
550:16,19	sum 648:5	564:15 568:16	sustain 636:21	497:23
succeed 398:19	summarizing	568:22 571:6	Suzanne 613:9	532:13
succeeding	414:6	599:6 605:19	switch 480:11	systems 391:2
648:6	summer 431:7	623:7,22,25	483:10	
succeeds	supervision	624:21	sworn 472:17	T
647:20	608:9	632:23	501:16 521:18	T 375:22 376:8
651:23	supplement	656:25	563:5 573:6	687:1 688:1
success 394:8	606:5	660:19 669:6	602:16,19	689:1
467:20 484:4	supply 389:16	670:10	631:19,23	table 433:17
successful	434:18 456:4	673:23 674:1	652:20,23	558:24
392:11 453:10	458:15 613:14	678:7 681:14	659:20 [°]	tag 437:3
463:17 477:19	626:21	684:22	660:10 674:6	take 387:21
478:13 622:12	support 403:12	685:16	674:11 692:6	394:3 398:6
such-and-such	410:12 430:13	surprise 440:21	synergies	404:18 418:24
625:5	435:13 437:5	513:4,12	401:15 403:7	428:16 433:18
suffer 454:8	445:7 456:6,8	surprising	404:7 414:4,14	439:2 445:2
suffering 434:14	467:23 491:9	444:13	480:12,19,25	446:24
sufficient 532:6	491:18 493:24	surrebuttal	481:12,20	449:24 450:4
630:2 684:4	586:5 588:20	397:22 473:16	482:1,6	464:25 496:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

498:15	677:16	403:13	term 403:16	578:5 581:9
499:23 518:14	talking 394:14	technology	417:1 575:20	581:10 583:25
519:6 531:19	440:17 442:11	394:11 416:24	578:17	testifying 503:7
534:2 549:25	461:17 464:8	671:20,24	terms 403:15	503:18 523:1
550:12,18	492:12 496:15	672:4,6	406:10 407:1	529:12
556:4 570:14	498:11 517:5	tee 388:19	415:18,23	558:22
576:20	540:6 548:15	telemetry	420:19 421:12	674:22
587:24	549:11 550:18	468:10	421:22	675:15
589:13 603:1	556:9 566:17	tell 436:3	450:22	testimonies
604:5 605:3	570:23	462:18 526:10	459:17 526:18	423:22
612:3 621:15	585:23	533:20	527:21,25	632:21
622:17 623:9	591:24	555:19 579:17	551:24 556:9	testimony
626:5 631:2	623:23 624:1	584:11 590:3	556:10 567:19	397:22 403:6
665:25	635:18 639:14	598:6 603:25	577:21,21	403:25 422:4
672:13	664:9 669:7	607:12 634:21	603:25 633:3	423:17
taken 433:2,7	talks 546:19	646:6 660:18	658:2	429:24 431:11
435:7 436:3	target 606:19	662:23 667:8	territories	447:25 452:2
452:22 457:11	607:3	681:1	671:22,23	452:5 453:15
466:1 492:15	targets 662:1,3	ten 389:18	territory 379:11	454:2 455:25
493:23 500:1	tariff 433:15	449:20	379:13	460:7 462:3
571:8 582:19	468:2,3	465:25	Terry 377:16	473:12,16,20
605:5 638:12	469:5,20	496:13	382:1	488:12 501:25
658:23 692:7	528:24	499:22 511:22	terry@healyla	502:6,10
692:11	tariffs 469:3	516:16 535:8	377:17	508:6,21
takes 447:16	task 390:24	535:9 555:22	test 423:19	510:5 514:16
492:1 661:14	tax 410:6	583:14	498:23,25	522:8,12,15,19
talk 395:7	422:16,17,21	603:22	499:5,10	522:24 523:3
431:21 432:21	422:24 423:5	622:21	532:14 553:10	523:11,23
436:12 439:21	441:9 442:5,7	ten-minute	554:13,16	529:5,17,19
442:23	575:23 576:4	465:19	559:22 560:1	529:25 530:4
494:16 527:10	576:8 604:16	ten-year 516:13	560:4,17,19	530:9,13,15
539:19 546:9	taxes 410:11	535:5	561:12,13	533:14 535:18
568:1 585:15	422:5 479:14	tenant 453:11	575:13,15,16	535:24 538:11
593:14 595:16	604:1,20	tenant's 455:10	662:16,18	538:16 539:1
642:2,19	teach 663:20	tenants 454:11	663:21,22	539:2,5,6,23
650:11 656:9	663:20,21,22	tend 623:4	testified 472:17	540:9 542:4
660:23	teaching	tender 474:10	501:16 521:18	543:7,8 544:2
talked 447:18	662:18	502:18 525:15	563:5 573:6	544:17,19
450:19 489:9	teachings	565:2 633:12	592:17 631:23	548:5 549:11
492:13 497:19	662:16	634:2,8	652:23	549:24 550:6
502:25 519:2	team 485:20	653:13 659:21	660:10 674:11	556:4,18
537:19 546:10	489:13 495:1	675:19 676:11	683:6	563:21,24
555:9 558:5	Tech 497:3	tendering	testifies 503:1	564:4 566:19
567:16 571:5	technical 527:9	634:4	503:10	567:2,11,15
595:25	608:24 649:11	tends 569:22	testify 415:22	568:1 571:15
608:22 649:9	677:23	tens 393:4	439:25	573:18 574:1,6
649:10 669:4	technologies	395:23	528:22 543:4	574:12,16
	•	•	•	•

				1
579:12	428:1,3 435:2	572:20,23,24	426:17 618:12	657:25 658:3
582:20,22	435:18 436:18	573:2 574:11	themes 413:25	669:13
585:25 587:2	436:19,21	574:19,23	426:11	think 383:22,23
590:1 591:24	438:8,10	576:11 578:3	theoretically	390:20 400:11
598:22	439:9,10,11	579:9 580:18	617:25 622:5	400:12 401:2
600:18,19,25	442:1,17,20	581:2 582:4	theory 611:14	401:21 402:4
601:6,13	451:8 452:14	592:3,6,9	619:21 620:1	414:8,16,17
602:17,25	458:1,3	595:21,22,23	thereof 656:5	415:4 416:6,9
608:2,5	460:25 461:2	598:2 599:11	thereto 692:13	425:19 426:6
609:23 610:1	461:4 465:6,8	599:20,24	therm 470:13	428:9 429:3
631:16 632:6	465:9,17	600:4,9	thing 397:17,19	429:13 431:18
633:5 634:3	466:14 469:21	603:3,14	398:24 415:16	432:14,21
634:14,16,25	471:9,23	604:23,25	425:22	434:10,12,24
635:8,9,10,17	472:2,4,11	605:2 608:12	432:12 443:6	436:11 437:20
635:18,21,21	473:10 474:8	609:6,8,10	457:7 463:1,5	437:25 438:6
635:22,24	474:17 475:22	610:22,25	485:3 494:5	439:4 440:14
636:3,4,5,11	486:24,25	611:1,2,4,17	497:17 551:19	442:13,14,15
636:12,17,23	487:3,10,12	612:10,11	552:12,21	451:22 452:2
637:9,11,16,18	488:1,10,24	617:17,19	553:12 567:21	452:5 455:23
637:19,22,25	490:8,11	620:3 621:19	581:13 616:9	457:11 461:18
638:14,24	499:16,17,19	621:20 624:9	621:9 623:1	462:8,13,15
639:1,2,24	499:19 501:3	629:5 631:17	627:19 666:9	462:25 463:5
640:2,3,15	501:6,9,18,20	634:9 640:12	673:5,25	463:11,25
642:13,16	503:8,12,13	640:21 641:10	things 382:23	464:10 465:19
643:25 644:1	504:5 508:17	649:3 650:24	383:25 416:5	468:17 469:17
648:9 653:5	509:23 510:17	651:8 652:12	427:13 434:15	470:20 475:5
653:6,8,10,11	512:10,15,17	652:14 655:1	437:3 455:24	475:10 477:7
654:6,9,16	513:16 514:2	657:5,10	456:18 457:12	477:13,23
655:4 660:20	520:22,24	658:8 659:9	461:23 463:17	478:2,4,10,23
663:18 672:5	521:7,10,13,20	659:15,18,22	468:1,22	479:4 481:3,4
675:1,12,16	523:25	659:23 660:1	469:25 481:8	481:8 483:19
679:13 690:7	525:21 528:8	663:5 664:16	481:11,13	484:7,12,16
690:9,10,12,13	528:16 529:11	666:21,24	485:5,7	484:20 485:1
692:5,7	529:15 534:1	668:20	486:15 492:3	486:11,17
textbook 622:4	537:8 538:23	669:25 672:8	492:13 496:7	488:17,22
thank 380:2,10	539:4,12	672:10,12	496:16 498:18	489:20
380:16,19,24	540:4,17	674:4 676:13	562:3 569:15	491:22 492:3
381:20 382:10	546:7 547:4	677:5,7	599:5 609:5	492:11,15
383:4 386:14	547:13 555:7	678:18 679:17	609:17 614:5	493:9,22,23
389:1399:3,4	558:25 559:1	682:2,11 683:1	614:12 615:15	494:11,18
402:7,12,14,17	559:6,10,15	685:11,22	615:20,22	495:2,11,14,21
413:17,17	560:21 561:6	Thankfully	616:7,10,19,22	495:25 496:3
416:13,17,18,19	561:18 562:18	429:9	618:6 619:18	496:8,10,19
416:21 419:8	562:19,20	thanks 389:13	619:22,24	497:2,19
422:14 424:3	563:7 565:1,2	389:15 465:3	622:4,21	499:2 507:1
425:24	565:6 566:4	theirs 516:11	630:19,22,25	508:15 510:10
427:20,25	572:11,12,15	theme 414:6	656:24	511:7 512:9
		•	•	-

515:12 519:8	624:15 627:3	thousands	476:17 477:7	545:9 575:25
519:23 520:1	627:9,13,15,18	582:11 595:1	478:5 495:9	576:5 604:14
520:10 521:3	628:1,18,24	threat 460:8	510:21 514:11	tin 430:19
521:4,11 523:4	629:19 630:8	threaten 398:8	516:13,13	titled 401:19
526:17 527:11	631:10 633:1	three 410:20	517:10,13,25	today 389:12
527:14 529:19	633:14 638:2	443:22	518:2,3,17,18	400:11 424:23
530:24 533:7	639:11,15,22	445:19 450:12	519:10 522:12	438:15 439:21
537:19 540:6	642:4 644:17	450:17 462:14	522:23	439:24 446:8
540:25 542:9	644:19	463:14 464:2	523:15 528:1	462:3,17
542:15 543:14	645:20	469:25	529:12	464:16 465:2
543:25	652:16 656:10	470:20 471:15	532:25 538:8	485:8 502:9
546:18 548:14	657:3,14,25	477:11 484:17	542:1 544:24	502:10
549:12 555:1	658:5,25	517:3 518:4	549:4 571:20	522:19 527:16
555:4,8,9	659:1 660:19	519:7 521:4	574:2 577:10	528:21
556:8,11	661:9 664:12	538:25	577:12 581:9	530:23 564:2
557:3,5	664:25 665:2	576:19 595:13	582:24	566:9 574:1,4
558:21 559:18	665:3,8	608:18 615:14	587:24	596:14
562:22	666:6,10	643:25	588:22	628:23
566:19 567:10	668:22 671:3	three-or-so	590:23	633:17 640:6
567:15,17,25	672:4 678:1,3	506:1	591:23 593:18	672:22 673:17
568:17 571:13	678:9 681:17	three-year	593:20	674:7,23
572:10	684:1,20	477:2	594:18 596:4	675:6
576:20 577:3	thinking 441:23	thrill 394:9	603:17 608:6	today's 596:4
577:4 578:17	495:17 549:16	throw 618:13	609:4 611:23	told 392:22
580:12 582:17	556:13	thumb 442:24	620:12 630:3	444:14 513:4
583:9 584:18	thinks 615:15,15	tie 609:21	630:18 632:19	541:4 542:12
586:8,10,23	third 420:21	641:17	633:11 643:6	628:9
587:6 588:14	425:4 440:19	tied 411:10	644:2 646:1,11	tomorrow
594:12 595:1	448:23	416:11 430:11	649:14	453:13 456:15
595:16,17	third-to-last	485:15	656:20,22	457:22 673:3
597:3 598:13	510:11	tieing 432:13	657:2 661:16	tons 661:6
599:3 602:12	Thompson	tiered 463:6	665:12 666:15	tool 410:9
602:21 603:21	378:12 380:13	till 499:22	668:5 670:25	436:14 460:15
604:8,9,13	thoroughly	time 383:20	671:8,11,15	605:24,25
605:23,24	410:22 612:8	385:1,17	672:2 675:17	toolbox 436:14
606:2 607:25	thought 466:23	388:5,14	678:12 680:13	tools 400:13
608:5,7,8,10	507:10,15	391:6 394:22	681:24	460:8 566:13
609:12 610:3	511:18 566:6	395:1,5	timeline 476:24	top 393:18
610:12 612:9	588:9 590:8	398:12 399:9	timeliness	405:10
613:4 614:17	609:1 614:23	401:25 408:12	527:2	topic 466:12
615:5,20,22	627:4 658:25	413:10,18	timely 526:23	630:6,10
617:10,21,23	679:19 680:10	415:3 417:10	645:22	total 390:1
619:8,9 620:1	683:14	421:1 447:12	times 415:1	409:19 443:15
620:12,20,21	thoughts 613:2	462:15 463:21	450:8 470:20	443:25
620:25	thousand	467:4,9,10,18	478:25 492:4	480:12
621:10 622:23	495:10 668:7	467:22 475:11	579:21 580:3	505:22 521:5
623:6,13,17,24	668:11	475:16 476:16	timing 441:19	531:1 533:9
	1	1	<u> </u>	1

534:13,16	401:23 481:22	588:15	459:12	692:9
537:7	481:25	598:24,25	turned 393:24	typical 450:23
totaling 423:15	482:24	602:10,11	487:17 625:8	595:5
totality 481:14	487:23	639:9 648:20	turning 412:5	typically 404:10
570:22	509:10,11,15	667:22 675:8	418:12	449:24
touched 414:23	509:18 510:13	true-up 408:22	turns 631:2	549:22
415:13	515:2,14,22	561:14 575:16	Tuxedo 377:8	579:22 661:3
touches 408:5	547:1,6 562:9	576:2,8	two 386:4	
touted 418:6	620:1	truly 485:20	389:7,23	U
Tower 377:12	transitioned	trust 376:11	391:19,22	U 687:1 688:1
track 509:17	529:9	380:23 381:1	397:21 405:7	689:1
tracker 396:23	transitions	386:18 452:15	410:5 422:21	U.S 511:20
410:8,12	507:15	452:19 476:1	429:5 436:25	Uh-huh 531:8
trackers 410:3,4	Transmission	490:10	443:6 445:12	549:13 567:18
440:21,23	459:8	504:23	446:20,22	668:4
trade 467:4	transparency	513:22 565:14	456:18 462:9	ultimately
trade-off 435:10	433:16 661:22	580:19	462:12 471:25	403:18 410:23
traditional	transportation	599:23 612:15	473:20 475:13	428:15,24
549:16 556:15	458:25 468:3	678:19	478:19 484:17	446:1 477:2,18
622:11	treat 456:2	truthfully 467:18	492:14 497:4	478:5 481:12
traditionally	treated 487:24	try 429:1	514:12 516:23	481:24 484:5
557:1	treating 626:16	437:22 438:5	528:1 529:16	499:10 561:13
trailing 394:11	treatment	463:15 464:11	582:18 589:6	unable 398:22
416:24	396:5 408:6	471:5 484:13	592:23	435:12
trans 487:21	409:6,17,18	517:22 564:10	595:17 601:2	609:24 643:7
transaction	511:2,21	607:23	604:8 636:25	646:18
391:18,18	515:13,24	630:25 645:3	637:14	unacceptably
392:6 482:22	519:24	650:22	660:24 664:3	661:5
487:20,22	tried 468:12	trying 384:16	665:5 671:19	unanimous
493:16,19,22	484:23	396:18 398:6	twofold 627:3	469:14
587:18 589:2	567:19 572:5	409:7 418:23	type 411:3 416:7	unanswered
589:5,21	681:4,12	437:17 462:1	430:18 433:4	614:10
590:3 594:17	tries 463:1	478:7,20	435:10 462:11	unbanked
transactions	trip 570:1	479:20 485:5	478:16 491:18	420:17
493:20	trite 548:10	486:19 492:2	495:5 496:4	unclear 610:5
548:22	trouble 464:4	526:18 531:23	511:14 593:5,6	656:3
586:10,12	true 395:11	534:5 548:10	593:10 616:7	uncollectibles
587:20 588:4	407:16	549:22,25	622:3 626:13	625:6,7,8
589:8 601:9	430:22 437:4	624:3 642:4	649:15 681:22	undeniable
601:19 602:4	447:4 498:10	643:22 646:4	types 426:3	446:1
transcript 375:5	498:24	650:14 665:19	429:19 432:1	underbanked
692:6	502:13 514:20	666:5,15	432:15 435:14	420:17
transformation	522:15	turn 450:6 511:6	496:15 498:18	underearning
394:6	542:20	511:6 539:15	685:1	478:9
transition	543:17,20	624:15 627:2	typewriters	underlying
391:20,21,23	564:4 574:6	661:7 673:8	417:3	620:21
392:2 396:1	581:14 587:3,7	turnback	typewriting	underperforms
	•		•	•

	Ī	Ī	1	l
607:4	395:11 398:14	601:17	461:24 462:10	utilization
underserved	429:12 446:19	updated	462:12 468:2	437:22 438:5
485:6	623:17	405:20	468:8,13,17	utilize 467:13
understaffed	unified 428:13	524:19	470:8,10	469:16
661:21	Union 390:8,11	upfront 399:17	478:19 578:24	utilized 444:25
understand	390:13,15	516:8	580:14 589:3	448:8,19
400:17 421:15	unions 382:6	upgrade 532:12	589:6,22	469:9
478:7 479:20	unique 405:2	upgraded 391:11	590:17 597:2	utilizing 407:9
487:18 497:4	466:18 467:18	upgrades	597:18 599:4	
498:7 524:24	470:24	498:17	614:21 622:24	V
528:21	582:22	upper 468:7	671:19 685:6	vacation 673:18
536:24	unit 412:14	upside 617:12	utility 389:14	vacuum 497:16
546:24	453:11 456:12	upstairs 576:22	390:6,6,11	614:25 616:12
593:12	494:25	urge 423:20	397:19 403:10	validate 602:9
594:25 648:2	units 412:14	433:8	409:9 410:20	valuable 611:16
648:3 664:4	universe 570:17	urges 429:21	413:11 423:2	value 391:17
665:20,22,24	unlawful 621:1	usage 411:9	426:16,25	408:18 409:19
665:24 666:4	626:15,17	436:6 437:25	429:6 433:5	409:20
666:5 673:16	unnecessary	use 400:13,21	436:10 447:15	453:17 455:11
understanding	405:17 411:14	416:23 420:15	449:13 453:18	493:13,18
396:24 401:11	508:10	420:24	453:24 454:9	511:4 512:1
443:7 476:14	unneeded	427:10,12	454:23 455:11	514:25 546:4
477:4 478:7	459:6	430:8 432:14	455:11 462:22	630:2
489:10 490:3	unorthodox	433:5 468:13	464:20	Vandiver
505:20,21	673:14	517:22 571:17	514:23,25	376:13
511:3 538:2	unprecedented	571:17,19	533:1 541:17	variable 399:19
542:5 546:16	422:17	598:22	551:24 553:1	variables
578:13 594:15	unpunished	632:19	568:4,20	478:24 479:13
628:22 645:11	395:9	useful 400:10	570:13 573:17	479:17 497:4
671:13 673:4	unquestionably	usually 404:12	577:13,14,20	variation 569:6
understands	395:22	499:2 516:20	580:12 584:19	571:22
464:20	unquote 444:21	633:6 666:11	585:17 589:8	variations
undertake	446:3	utilities 389:7	592:22 595:3	568:24
445:17	unreasonable	390:12,17	597:4,7	569:21,23
undertaken	460:17	395:15 396:18	598:10 613:5	571:18
447:7	unregulated	398:17 404:13	613:10 614:21	variety 401:2
undisputed	420:23	405:7 406:4,7	617:11 618:3,6	623:20
393:2	unrewarded	406:24,25	618:8,22	660:19
uneconomic	395:10	409:5,24	621:2 622:14	various 470:8
459:6	unsure 410:23	413:7 422:25	623:5 637:14	516:7 530:13
unexpected	untenable	423:8 429:6	637:15 642:11	537:10
431:10	434:24	441:12 444:11	665:1 678:12	608:25
unfair 602:12	untrue 587:5	444:24 445:2	680:20 681:4	vehemently
609:14	unveiled 390:18	446:9 449:5	681:14,23	621:13
unfortunate	update 475:6	449:23	683:23 684:3	verify 671:5
433:18	560:3 561:14	453:13 454:10	684:7,24,25	versions 675:2
unfortunately	566:7 587:21	456:1 457:11	685:6	versus 482:23
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

view 405:17	446:12 455:13	415:10 431:2	452:24	485:8 493:25
407:17 410:1	455:15 456:17	433:3,20	456:20 457:7	495:10 506:12
414:9 415:17	475:10 488:15	435:15 464:5	462:9,17	517:5,25
415:19 484:6	488:19 489:18	477:4 483:23	463:13,24	535:22 542:9
515:24 532:5	497:8,8,9	483:25 484:3	464:8 466:18	556:6 561:12
532:9 599:10	520:12 542:19	485:23	468:23	566:10,19
622:6 625:2	568:22 570:1	494:24	475:12,20	567:10,12
659:2	576:19 583:2	497:22,23	476:24 478:15	593:18 602:10
viewpoints	585:15 613:4	544:12 549:16	478:20 479:2	610:1 632:21
643:3	614:2 616:10	558:11 575:10	480:7 486:6	633:10 640:5
views 407:7	624:19 625:18	584:6 587:22	486:19,20	649:9,10
411:17	628:8 629:14	591:19 601:17	492:12,17,20	671:19
violating 586:11	633:7,16,17	606:12 607:15	492:24	wealth 464:23
violation 590:2	634:2 635:15	614:6,11	496:14,15	wear 431:23
590:9	650:11 651:20	615:22 617:3	498:20	weather 397:6
virtue 454:11	653:4 662:15	617:6,9 619:3	499:23 500:2	399:13,14
volatile 429:22	665:9 669:6	626:7,23	503:3,5	400:14 401:1
volatility 430:17	678:7 679:10	628:10 633:21	527:16 532:1	411:7,8,22
430:22	685:2	637:25	532:19 534:5	412:3,12
volume 375:10	wanted 413:24	638:24 639:5	534:13 540:6	464:22
660:24	488:6 557:17	639:8 642:10	545:15 551:12	weatherization
voluntarily	567:24	670:18	551:12 553:1,4	437:14 455:1
446:7 645:1	579:10 581:15	ways 395:22	553:17 556:9	week 441:24
voted 471:15,17	596:12 620:5	433:11 567:20	562:22 567:3	525:3
471:25	621:24 625:13	606:4 623:20	570:15,18	weeks 397:21
	629:3 666:17	wds@wdspc.c	571:20 584:21	422:22
W	669:3,20	377:13	605:3,13	weigh 428:8
W 376:4,9	wanting 643:23	we'll 388:17	607:21 625:4	439:5 460:3
wait 445:12	wants 398:1	432:24 447:9	630:9 640:8	642:10 643:2
446:20	439:2 449:17	452:10 462:6	640:18 647:18	643:13 645:3
463:22 523:6	543:2,4	465:20 466:11	659:2 664:9	650:19
523:10 588:21	602:8 627:20	466:12 472:9	666:2 672:1	weight 597:15
588:21,21	631:1	474:14	672:22 673:7	weighted
waited 450:11	Washington	503:24	676:25 681:19	485:25
627:1	376:18	540:12 564:14	we've 389:10	welcome
waiting 503:6	wasn't 434:23	593:13 605:10	393:25	427:21 547:14
waives 465:13	479:25	625:6 631:11	394:14,22	592:5 656:23
465:16	587:25	633:24	398:11 401:2	well-establish
waiving 465:11	588:24	665:16 672:15	435:7 439:3	444:23
walk 394:4	657:23	672:19 673:21	444:25	well-paying
593:25	669:15	673:25 677:2	450:25 454:1	613:10
want 387:16,21	waste 608:5	we're 379:24	457:3,16	went 419:7
388:20 394:5	wasted 594:17	382:17 388:15	462:21 466:19	503:15 515:12
402:21 424:13	watch 394:9	388:18 397:15	470:23 472:8	576:8 588:1
431:21 433:1	water 666:19	401:21 432:4	472:8 477:7	594:9,13
437:5,7 441:7	way 387:21	432:4 439:5	477:13 478:14	662:3 685:23
441:25 443:7	396:25 397:5	444:2 446:8	480:15 481:23	weren't 478:22
L	ı		ı	ı

485:2 491:3	453:14	595:9,22	442:22 443:1	415:4 421:8
494:3 602:11	455:24	596:2,6,14,20	451:13,16,20	438:4 452:20
608:6,21	460:20	597:13,20,24	451:22,25	453:1 457:20
639:25 669:6	464:17 466:12	598:9,21	452:4,9,14	462:16,20
West 380:8	466:22,23	599:3 601:7	475:25 489:5	463:15 464:11
Westar 585:19	472:10,16	603:13 610:18	489:7,24	464:12,24,25
Whichever	476:13,20	630:10,21	490:2,8	488:16 526:14
624:25	477:23 478:10	631:22	494:18	537:4 549:20
Whitney 378:9	479:10,25	632:25	504:22 513:21	558:11 563:14
380:11 609:12	480:14,21	633:12 634:2	523:20,25	569:12 584:21
whitney.payn	481:3,7,19	634:4,8	525:25 526:3	597:3 606:4
378:14	482:5,8,15,17	636:22	527:17 528:8	607:15,24
whittled 410:5	482:20	652:16,22	555:9 565:13	618:1 619:16
wholly 445:6	483:12,15,18	653:13 654:18	576:14,16	619:21 620:15
wide 606:10	486:4,25	656:3 657:20	578:3 580:17	621:7 673:23
wildly 647:21	499:19 501:8	659:18 660:9	599:22	683:16
648:6 651:23	501:15 503:10	664:22 665:2	612:23 614:19	worked 467:19
William 375:21	503:21.25	666:8 672:12	614:24 615:3	468:16
377:12 381:22	505:7,11,15,21	672:21 673:16	615:8,24	495:24
Williams 378:4	506:6,11,20	674:8,10	616:4,17 617:2	526:21 537:16
378:4 380:18	506:23,25	676:11 679:25	617:10,16,19	550:4 595:12
380:18 417:8	507:5,22	680:3,7,12,17	626:8 630:20	618:22
willing 393:12	507:5,22	681:10,25	631:4 633:10	working 405:8
459:3 546:1	508:23 509:3	682:7	649:6,8	411:3 420:25
655:5 673:7	509:10,16,23	witness's 633:5	650:23	421:3 438:6
676:25	510:1,4,8,14	634:14	658:13,14,16	452:24 453:6
willingly 417:17	510:1,4,5,14	witness-by-wi	659:8 660:13	468:23 477:5
willingness	511:16,19 512:7	633:9	663:4 667:3,4	510:21 528:1
567:11	512:11 514:15	witnesses	667:6,15	607:23 608:4
Willmut 392:20	521:2,11,17	402:2 412:24	676:20	627:8,22
win-win 495:22	525:16 536:4	439:23	677:12,14	629:11,22
wind 622:9,18	536:19	470:24	678:16 685:19	630:1 641:4,18
622:23	538:23 539:4	480:15,23	686:8,20	642:23,25
windfall 623:5	539:12 540:16	503:1,18	687:6,15,24	643:5 644:7
662:6	548:4 549:9	545:16 602:9	688:8,14,16,18	644:18
window 477:2	555:2,4 559:1	624:15 632:18	688:24	645:24 647:8
winds 623:5	560:24 561:3	634:1 692:5,7	Woodsmall's	651:17 655:6
winter 430:23	561:5 562:19	Wonderful	634:7	664:8
431:15 437:12	562:23 563:4	530:17	word 437:17	works 503:25
437:19 460:14	564:8 565:2	wondering	639:5,5,7,10	673:14
569:8	572:14,24	400:5 611:23	words 396:16	workshop
wish 383:13	573:1,5 574:9	624:16 632:19	403:6 407:21	608:4 620:19
409:25	574:17 586:9	649:13	431:4 556:21	641:22 658:19
wished 396:13	589:20 592:5	Woodsmall	work 389:10,11	658:22,24
witness 382:18	592:15,21	376:21 381:9	392:5,7	659:5 660:15
415:20 440:7	593:3,11,24	381:10 383:6	397:10 398:11	world 414:20
450:20	594:4,21	383:8,9	405:6 414:23	worry 623:24
			<u> </u>	

worth 468:15 548:4 608:7 623:10 669:22 523:7 526:12 wouldn't 390:14 416:8 463:22 475:20 480:1 517:24 520:19 553:416 607:14 616:2 671:16 616:2 671:16 162:2 672:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 651:20 662:14 66					
548.4 608.7 623:10 5161.7 517:3 655:24 662:2 437:24 43:20 444:1 656:67,20,21 669:22 wouldn't 390:14 416:8 463:22 years 463:21 year-round 416:8 463:22 years 389:18:19 512:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 617:11 629:12 629:13 643:12 570:24 571:6 558:14 639:12 293:6 617:16 629:12 629:13 643:12 570:24 571:5 388:39:23 392:23 393:6 388:24 388:9 386:24 668:21 670:7 673:7 676:11 651:20 years 389:9 415:6 386:25 679:2,17 679	worth 468:15	510:1.2.12	613:9.25	zero 404·24	655:3.9.12
623:10 669:22 476:20 480:1 517:24 520:14 669:22 475:20 480:1 512:3 594:11 607:14 616:2 677:16 629:12 669:13 643:12 677:16 529:12 677:16 558:14 677:16 558:14 679:16 558:14 679:16 558:14 679:17 629:12 679:18 643:12 679:18 663:13 679:18 663:12 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:12 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13 679:18 643:13					· ·
669:22 wouldn't 390:14		•			
wouldn't 390:14 537:15 year-round 597:12 663:12,14 664:16 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 667:18 668:12 67:20 668:12 67:20 668:12 67:20 668:12 67:20 668:21 670:7 668:16 16,22 389:23 380:24 384:20 385:7 67:7 673:7 676:15 668:21 670:7 673:7 676:15 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 673:7 676:15 668:21 670:7 673:7 676:15 679:21 67:22 5 679:21 679:21 667:18 483:19 483:19 389:23 388:29 386:24 386:24 679:22,17 679:21,7 679:21 661:5 679:21 690:22 499:11 444:17,18 499:6,811,124 400:3,9,20 486:11,66:3 468:11,13 445:11 449:20 447:11,449:20 440:11,449:20 440:11,449:20 440:11,449:20 440:11,449:20 440:11,449:20 440:11,449:20 440:11,449:20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
416:8 463:22			•	·	
475:20 480:1 512:2 594:11 607:14 616:2 607:14 616:2 655:34,16 6558:14 557:16 558:14 557:16 558:14 558:15,16,22 659:13 643:12 651:20 652:21 557:3 576:7 645:11 640:10 652:21 640:20 640:2					•
512:3 594:11 553:4,16 389:23 384:3,10,13,16 668:21 670:7 668:21 670:7 676:22,25 679:2,17 673:7 676:15 673:7 676:15 673:7 676:15 673:20 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 679:2,17 676:22,25 679:2,17 679:2,17 682:15,16,18 399:6,8,11,24 400:3,9,20 401:18 402:4 401:18 402:4 683:15,16,18 686:17,21 686:17,21 400:13,14 688:19,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:11,17,19 688:					
607:14 616:2 617:16 629:12 568:15,16,22 395:13 397:9 385:9 386:24 676:22,25 676:24,27:13,120 472:19,21 472				· ·	
617:11 629:12 629:13 643:12 656:15,16,22 570:24 571:5 576:7 479:64 572:15 576:24 571:5 576:3 576:7 479:64 572:15 479:64 572:15 576:3 578:16 424:17,18 399:68,11,24 400:3,9,20 686:17,21 445:1,13 401:18 402:4 687:21 688:5 689:3 447:11 449:20 403:19 407:4 468:25 689:3 447:11 449:20 403:19 407:4 468:25 689:3 447:11 449:20 403:19 407:4 468:25 689:3 447:11 449:20 403:19 407:4 469:27 466:13 686:1 663:1 666:13 686:1 663:1 666:13 686:1 666:14 665:19 666:13 686:1 666:19 669:24 671:7 578:20 625:19 666:14 492:25 446:10 578:25 507:2 596:7 596:2 560:1 564:31,16 560:517,19 561:12,13 72 500:24 507:6 561:2,13 560:5113,16 560:511		•			
629:13 643:12					
651:20 wrap 672:15					•
wrap 672:15 577:3 578:16 424:17,18 399:6,8,11,24 683:1 686:3 write-off 398:8 580:10 425:1 444:7,9 400:3,9,20 686:17,21 writeoffs 395:19 588:23 591:17 445:1,13 401:18 402:4 687:21 688:5 written 542:6 597:24 598:5 447:11 449:20 403:19 407:4 688:25 689:3 wrote 602:14 611:19 612:7 459:2 462:14 409:7 444:6 688:25 689:3 x 470:10 625:19 623:23 624:5 477:3,11,20 472:19,21 400:23 x 470:10 625:19 666:13 686:1 666:20 496:13 511:22 490:21,23,25 007 502:2 666:13 686:1 666:19 669:3 665:19 669:3 519:7 521:4 524:24 525:4 490:21,23,25 625:19 666:14 498:8 498:1 490:13 511:22 578:12,16 578:12,16 689:1 y375:20 625:19 666:14 424:20 498:23 622:12 578:12,16 498:23 622:12 498:23 622:12 498:23 622:12 498:23 622:12 498:23 622:12 498:23 622:12 498:22 625:1 498:22 625:1 499:24 655:					· ·
write-off 398:8 writeoffs 395:19					
writeoffs 395:19 writings 612:5 588:23 591:17 bp6:6 445:1,13 bp6:22 401:18 402:4 bp6:3,14 bp. 687:21 688:5 bp. 688:25 689:3 bp. 400:23 dp.<21 dp.<2	•		,		
writings 612:5 written 542:6 wrong 543:4 544:13 637:10 wrote 602:14 X X 470:10 625:19 666:13 686:1 687:1 688:1 689:1 XYZ 594:2 Y Y 375:20 625:19 666:14 yacker 471:6 Yahoo 577:5 596:7 yeah 399:7 yeah 399:7 445:21 449:17 yeah 399:7 yeah 399:7 445:21 449:17 yeah 399:7 445:22 449:13 424:20 433:14 444:13 596:7 yeah 399:7 445:21 449:17 yeah 399:7 445:22 449:13 424:20 433:14 444:13 596:7 yeah 399:7 445:21 449:17 yeah 399:7 445:21 449:17 yeah 399:7 463:2 470:20 433:14 444:13 596:7 yeah 399:7 463:2 470:20 498:23,23,25 414:20 426:1 435:20,25 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:15 488:15 491:10 555:12 596:25 507:8 596:26 560:1 596:25 507:8 596:25 507:8 596:25 507:8 596:25 507:8 596:25 507:8 596:25 507:8 596:26 660:1 596:26 660:1 596:26 660:1 596:27 660:1 596:27 660:1 596:27 660:1 596:28 507:1 596:27 660:1 596:28 507:1 596:29 507:1 596:29 507:1 596:29 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 506:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20 507:1 596:20			•		
written 542:6 wrong 543:4 544:13 637:10 wrote 602:14 X X 470:10 625:19 666:13 686:1 687:1 688:1 689:1 XYZ 594:2 Y Y 375:20 625:19 666:14 yacker 471:6 Yahoo 577:5 596:7 yeah 399:7 yeah 3			′		
wrong 543:4 544:13 637:10 wrote 602:14 611:19 612:7 615:11 623:19 459:2 462:14 464:2,18 409:7 444:6 466:9 472:11 472:19,21 Zucker's 400:23 X X 470:10 625:19 666:13 686:1 687:1 688:1 689:1 656:7 663:22 666:9 669:3 666:19 669:3 669:24 671:7 673:9 676:25 679:23 681:18 year 393:4 496:13 511:22 496:13 511:22 496:13 511:22 499:21:4 498:8 499:14 498:8 499:14 498:8 499:14 524:24 525:4 498:8 499:14 524:24 525:4 605:16,19 600:2 498:22 499:24 625:2 626:6 625:17,19 690:2 489:19,25 489:19,25 489:19,25 499:14 443:8 443:8 443:8 443:17 442:23 443:8 443:18 444:20 443:8 443:19 444:20 443:8 443:19 629:3,5,20 630:11,16 630:11,16 630:17,19 630:11,16 630:17,19 630:11,16 630:17,19 630:11,16 630:17,19 630:11,16 630:17,19 630:11,16 630:17,19 630:11,16 630:17,19 630:17,19 630:19 440:18 10000 597:11,11 605:19,10 404:20:16 450:15 5005:24 507:6 507:15 635:14 637:12 10,003 590:11,11 637:12 10,003 590:11,11 637:12 10,003 590:11,11 637:12 10,003 590:11,11 637:12 10,003 590:11,11 637:12 10,003 590:11 10,003 590:11,11 637:12 10,003 590:11,11 649:23 645:7 647:4 649:13 400:23 400:23 472:10,21 472:10,21 473:23 474:8 449:84 499:14 472:20 498:8 499:14 605:15,203 68:19 605:16,19	_			·	
544:13 637:10 wrote 602:14 615:11 623:19 623:23 624:5 623:23 624:5 624:7 626:18 623:23 624:5 624:7 626:18 63:14 642:16 63:14 642:16 63:14 642:16 63:14 665:19 666:22 664:20 666:13 686:1 689:1 77 673:9 676:25 679:23 681:18 yacker 471:6 7ahoo 577:5 596:7 yeah 399:7 402:5 414:8 414:20 426:1 435:20,25 438:17 442:23 471:16,19 438:27 471:16,19 483:15 488:15 488:15 488:15 488:15 488:15 488:15 488:15 488:15 499:22 560:11 499:22 560:14 559:22 550:17 561:16 518:4 450:19 450:19 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 464:2,18 477:3,11,20 472:19,21 472:1					
x 623:23 624:5 477:3,11,20 472:19,21 0 x 470:10 625:19 624:7 626:18 633:14 642:16 484:17 492:14 473:23 474:8 0 0 666:13 686:1 656:7 663:22 496:13 511:22 490:21,23,25 007 502:2 006 502:1 687:1 688:1 665:19 669:3 665:19 669:3 519:7 521:4 524:24 525:4 688:49:14 008 502:2 7 7 665:19 669:3 669:24 671:7 535:8,16,18 525:8 547:1,3 547:5,10 008 502:2 7 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>					
X 624:7 626:18 484:17 492:14 473:23 474:8 0 X 470:10 625:19 656:7 663:22 496:13 511:22 490:21,23,25 007 502:2 666:13 686:1 665:19 669:23 516:16 518:4 498:8 499:14 522:24 525:4 008 502:2 689:1 7 669:24 671:7 535:8,16,18 525:8 547:1,3 524:24 525:4 0215 386:9 7 7375:20 679:23 681:18 555:22 556:7 578:12,16 385:1,4,5 470:13 9car 393:4 419:11 421:23 580:9 584:17 605:16,19 470:13 498:22 7 yeah 399:7 402:5 414:8 445:21 449:17 584:25 601:11 625:2 626:6 625:2 626:6 655:17,19 690:2 438:17 442:23 498:23,23,25 499:10 505:19 498:25 499:5 443:8 70rk 663:1 63:17 632:2 1,500 625:9,10 47:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 499:10 505:19 505:25 507:8 70ung 415:20 63:17 633:1 63:17 633:1 1,625:6 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:38 1,639:225 1,749:9 <			· ·		400:23
X 470:10 625:19 656:7 663:22 496:13 511:22 490:21,23,25 007 502:2 007 502:2 007 502:2 007 502:2 008 502:2	wrote 602:14			,	0
X 470:10 625:19 656:7 663:22 496:13 511:22 490:21,23,25 007 502:2 666:13 686:1 665:19 669:3 519:7 521:4 524:24 525:4 498:8 499:14 208 502:2 689:1 669:24 671:7 535:8,16,18 525:8 547:1,3 547:5,10 1384:13,22 Y375:20 625:19 666:14 498:11 421:23 555:22 556:7 578:12,16 385:1,4,5 yacker 471:6 498:39 3:4 575:22 577:2 605:16,19 470:13 498:22 yacker 471:6 44:20 618:23 622:12 626:18 627:10 626:18 627:10 690:2 yeah 399:7 402:5 414:8 498:23,23,25 498:25 499:5 443:8 63:11,6 629:3,5,20 625:6 65:67,9,24 471:16,19 476:12,20 498:315 488:15 519:6 79:23 681:18 70rk 663:1 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 498:22 499:24 499:24 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 608:16,20 609:2 1,000 597:11,11 605:17,19 606					
666:13 686:1 687:1 688:1 669:1 669:14 671:7 673:9 676:25 679:23 681:18 year 393:4 419:11 421:23 424:20 Yahoo 577:5 yeah 399:7 402:5 414:8 418:2 470:20 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 455:20,25 438:17 442:23 455:20,25 438:17 442:23 455:20,25 438:17 442:23 455:20,25 438:17 442:23 455:20,25 438:17 442:23 455:20,25 438:17 442:23 456:23 470:12 471:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 499:2 566:24 498:8 499:14 524:24 525:4 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 605:16,19 626:18 627:10 626:18 627:1					
687:1 688:1 665:19 669:3 519:7 521:4 524:24 525:4 669:1 77 535:8,16,18 525:8 547:1,3 547:5,10 578:12,16 525:9 565:2 578:12,16 579:23 681:18 575:22 577:2 605:16,19 605:16,19 618:23 622:12 626:26 626:14 423:13 424:20 426:1 449:17 596:7 402:5 414:8 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 438:17 442:23 453:12 471:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 498:15 599:22 560:1 560:5,17,19 560:5,17,19 560:5,17,19 560:5,17,19 560:5,17,19 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 7				• •	
689:1 XYZ 594:2 Y 7375:20 625:19 666:14 yacker 471:6 Yahoo 577:5 596:7 yeah 399:7 402:5 414:8 414:20 426:1 435:20,25 438:17 442:23 451:23 470:12 471:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 669:24 671:7 673:9 676:25 548:12 535:8,16,18 525:8 547:1,3 547:5,10 578:12,16 548:12 575:22 577:2 605:16,19 608:16,20 608:					
Y 538:17 548:12 547:5,10 578:12,16 578:12,16 578:12,16 385:1,4,5 385:1,4,5 385:1,4,5 470:13 498:22 385:1,4,5 470:13 498:22 498:22 499:24 498:22 499:24 499:24 665:517,19 665:517,19 665:517,19 665:517,19 690:2 1,500 625:9,10					0215 386:9
Y Y375:20 665:19 666:14 555:22 556:7 578:12,16 385:1,4,5 385:1,4,5 470:13 498:22 499:24 655:17,19 690:2 499:24 655:17,19 690:2 1,000 597:11,11 690:2 1,000 597:11,11 690:2 1,000 597:11,11 625:6 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,2493:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,2493:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,2493:8 1,632:17 633:1 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9					1
Y y375:20 575:22 577:2 605:16,19 385:1,4,5 470:13 498:22 499:24 499:24 499:24 499:24 499:24 499:24 655:17,19 690:2 499:24 655:17,19 690:2 1,500 625:9,10 625:6 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,2493:8 1,6392:25 1,7494:9 1,6392:25 1,7494:9 1,74	XYZ 594:2			•	1 201.12 22
Y375:20 419:11 421:23 575:22 577:2 605:16,19 470:13 470:13 498:22 499:24 499:24 499:24 499:24 499:24 499:24 655:17,19 665:17,19 690:2 1,000 597:11,11 625:6 655:17,19 690:2 1,000 597:11,11 625:6 655:17,19 690:2 1,000 597:11,11 625:6 1,500 625:9,10 1,2493:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,2493:8 1,6392:25 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 1,7494:9 10420:16 450:15 450:15 505:25 507:6 505:25 507:6 505:25 507:6 633:16 630:7,9,24 637:5 638:1,2 637:5 638:1,2 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 10.35 406:19 637:12 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.21 40:18 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19 10.35 406:19				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
625:19 666:14 yacker 471:6 423:13 584:25 601:11 618:23 622:12 661:7 665:5 620:13 624:19 624:22 625:1 625:2 626:6 624:22 625:1 625:2 626:6 626:8 627:10 625:6 626:18 627:10 6		•		· ·	
yacker 471:6 424:20 584.25 601.11 618:23 622:12 624:22 625:1 499:24 Yahoo 577:5 433:14 444:13 618:23 622:12 624:22 625:1 655:17,19 596:7 445:21 449:17 463:2 470:20 489:19,25 489:23,23,25 443:8 414:20 426:1 498:23,23,25 498:25 499:5 499:10 505:19 443:8 626:18 627:10 626:18 627:10 690:2 438:17 442:23 499:10 505:19 443:8 443:8 630:11,16 1,500 625:9,10 438:17 442:23 499:10 505:19 505:25 507:8 517:14,17 633:16 632:17 633:1 632:17 633:1 1.6 392:25 470:12,20 480:14 481:19 532:14 553:10 532:14 553:10 554:13,16 70ung 415:20 639:9 644:5 507:15 635:14 491:8 493:11,11 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 7 70ung's 533:14 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 67:12,13				· ·	
Yahoo 577:5 596:7 yeah 399:7 402:5 414:8 414:20 426:1 435:20,25 438:17 442:23 451:23 470:12 471:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 506:7 665:5 yes-or-no 489:19,25 yesterday 419:4 443:8 York 663:1 you-all 465:11 469:23 632:17 633:1 632:27 633:1 469:23 633:16 Young 415:20 415:20 534:8 Young's 533:14 450:15 505:24 507:6 507:15 635:14 655:17,19 690:2 1,000 597:11,11 625:6 1,500 625:9,10 1.2 493:8 1.6 392:25 1.7 494:9 10 420:16 450:15 507:15 635:14 637:12 10.35 406:19					
596:7 445:21 449:17 yesh 399:7 626:18 627:10 690:2 402:5 414:8 498:23,23,25 498:25 499:5 498:25 499:5 443:8 630:11,16 630:11,16 625:6 435:20,25 498:10 505:19 505:25 507:8 505:25 507:8 700 625:9,10 1.2 493:8 438:17 442:23 499:10 505:19 505:25 507:8 700 625:9,10 1.2 493:8 471:16,19 517:14,17 469:23 632:17 633:1 632:17 633:1 632:17 633:1 480:14 481:19 518:3 519:6 633:16 636:7,9,24 700 420:16 450:15 480:14 481:19 554:13,16 559:22 560:1 700 53:4 644:9,13 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 497:2 506:24 561:12,13 7	1 -		618:23 622:12		
yeah 399:7 463:2 470:20 489:19,25 628:2,19 625:6 1,000 597:11,11 625:6 1,500 625:9,10 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,500 625:9,10 1,249:8 1,6392:25 1,7494:9 1,6392:25 1,7494:9 1,749			661:7 665:5	625:2 626:6	· ·
402:5 414:8 498:23,23,25 498:23,23,25 498:23,23,25 498:25 499:5 629:3,5,20 630:11,16 631:17 632:2 630:11,16 1.2 493:8 1.500 625:9,10 1.2 493:8 1.6 392:25 1.7 494:9 1.7 494:9 1.7 494:9 1.7 494:9 1.7 494:9 10 420:16 450:15 505:24 507:6 505:24 507			•		
414:20 426:1 435:20,25 438:17 442:23 451:23 470:12 471:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 498:25 499:5 499:10 505:19 505:25 507:8 517:14,17 518:3 519:6 532:14 553:10 554:13,16 559:22 560:1 498:25 499:5 499:10 505:19 505:25 507:8 517:14,17 518:3 519:6 532:14 553:10 554:13,16 559:22 560:1 499:10 505:19 505:25 507:8 517:14,17 518:3 519:6 532:14 553:10 554:13,16 559:22 560:1 499:10 505:19 630:11,16 631:17 632:2 632:17 633:1 634:6 635:9 636:7,9,24 637:5 638:1,2 639:9 644:5 649:118 649:118 649:118 649:118			•	· ·	
435:20,25 499:10 505:19 York 663:1 631:17 632:2 1.2 493:8 435:20,25 499:10 505:19 York 663:1 631:17 632:2 632:17 633:1 1.6 392:25 471:16,19 518:3 519:6 633:16 634:6 635:9 636:7,9,24 637:5 638:1,2 637:5 638:1,2 639:9 644:5 637:15 635:14 637:15 635:14 637:15 635:14 637:15 635:14 637:15 635:14 637:15 635:14 637:15 635:14 637:12		498:23,23,25	yesterday 419:4	629:3,5,20	
438:17 442:23 493:10 303:19 701k 003:1 631:17 032:2 451:23 470:12 505:25 507:8 517:14,17 632:17 633:1 632:17 633:1 471:16,19 518:3 519:6 633:16 634:6 635:9 636:7,9,24 636:7,9,24 480:14 481:19 532:14 553:10 415:20 534:8 639:9 644:5 505:24 507:6 483:15 488:15 559:22 560:1 415:20 534:8 644:9,13 637:12 491:8 493:11,11 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 651:13,16 651:13,16		498:25 499:5	443:8	· ·	•
451:23 470:12 471:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 503:23 507:8 517:14,17 518:3 519:6 532:14 553:10 554:13,16 559:22 560:1 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 503:23 507:8 634:6 635:9 636:7,9,24 637:5 638:1,2 639:9 644:5 649:9,13 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12		499:10 505:19	York 663:1	631:17 632:2	
471:16,19 476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 508:7 500:18 471:16,19 518:3 519:6 532:14 553:10 554:13,16 559:22 560:1 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 561:12,13 577:14,17 503:16 Young 415:20 415:20 534:8 Young's 533:14 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 636:7,9,24 637:5 638:1,2 639:9 644:5 507:15 635:14 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:12 637:13,16		505:25 507:8	you-all 465:11	632:17 633:1	
476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 561:12,13 476:12,20 532:14 553:10 532:14 553:10 532:14 553:10 554:13,16 559:22 560:1 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 505:15 637:5 638:1,2 639:9 644:5 644:9,13 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 70ung's 533:14		517:14,17	469:23	634:6 635:9	
476:12,20 480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 470ung 415:20 415:20 534:8 Young's 533:14 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 450:15 505:24 507:6 507:15 635:14 637:12 637:5 638:1,2 644:9,13 645:7 647:4 651:13,16	· ·	518:3 519:6	633:16	636:7,9,24	
480:14 481:19 483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 561:12,13 415:20 534:8 Young's 533:14 639:9 644:5 644:9,13 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 70:35 406:19	· ·	532:14 553:10	Young 415:20	637:5 638:1,2	
483:15 488:15 491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 560:5,17,19 561:12,13 Young's 533:14 644:9,13 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 507:15 635:14 637:12 10.35 406:19		554:13,16	•	639:9 644:5	
491:8 493:11,11 497:2 506:24 561:12,13 561:12,13 645:7 647:4 651:13,16 637:12 10.35 406:19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		644:9,13	
497:2 506:24 561:12,13 Z 651:13,16 10.35 406:19	1			·	
			Z		
	508:7 509:18	•	Z 666:14	·	449:1,18
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

10.39 449:15	535:10 536:11	529:21 549:12	637:12	533:25
10.8 548:4,7	537:2	2010 389:8,13	240-2.115(2)	534:23 535:8
100 393:18	17.78 443:17,19	2010-2011	469:15	593:19 635:19
604:19 606:19	176 423:3	601:11	240-2.130(7)	637:6
606:22,23	18 444:4	2012 393:5	634:24	300 595:16
607:3,7	1875 376:17	425:10	25 445:1 521:5	301 376:9
622:12 661:7	19 519:5,9	2013 391:11	580:9	308 376:21
101 377:4 447:11	568:2,12	404:6 405:4	25.2 406:12	30th 524:25
102 577:6	1979 626:10	2014 404:6	25th 469:23	604:13
10K 604:16	1986 422:10,19	417:19 540:22	266 385:24	31 635:19 637:7
10th 450:10	423:3	560:15,20	386:15,22	32.5 532:12,16
11 449:9 473:12	1987 422:15,18	563:19 [°]	387:2,3	32.6 443:24
508:16	1990 s 467:7	2016 444:15	399:24,25	33 398:8
11.38 443:14	1994 408:8	537:20 538:6	690:20	35 389:22
11.4 405:24	1996 408:9	540:23 546:8	267 385:24	391:4 422:6
11.8 406:15	1st 538:6 560:8	560:7,8,15,18	386:15,22	479:9,22
110 406:16	560:19	581:24 582:2	387:2,3	384/385 690:2
112-page 681:3	586:20	586:20	690:22	690:4,5
11th 384:10		592:18 598:19	268 524:8,11,16	385/387
12 425:4 449:12	2	600:20	524:23 525:9	690:20,22
547:12	2 384:14 385:1	2017 375:8	525:12,13	386 399:23
121 377:21	385:4 408:24	379:4 449:17	690:23	386.266 411:21
13 642:14,17	409:21 468:15	469:23 473:13	269 524:8,11,17	388/388 690:14
130 635:3	635:3 690:4	473:17 519:3	524:23	389 686:3
637:14	2,300 467:6	560:2 578:20	525:10,12,13	390 467:5
135 423:16	2.50 394:4	579:2 581:24	690:25	393.310 467:24
533:8,18	20 422:6 431:6	582:2	26th 444:15	468:24
14 375:10 461:16	459:2 508:15	202 523:15,16	27 389:21	
14th 415:22	539:16,23,24	523:19 524:3	479:8,21	4
440:25	539:25 568:2	524:4 690:17	28 408:16	4 450:9 473:24
15 680:8 683:7	568:12 603:19	203 523:15,16	539:16 540:2	473:25 474:3
15th 507:9	603:20	523:19 524:3	540:3	474:6 634:24
16 398:9 444:7	635:14,19	524:4 690:19	29 538:25	690:7
444:9 521:3	637:6,6,12	2031 377:12		40 424:21 431:7
533:25 534:3	20,000 392:21	204 376:21	3	469:15 577:4
534:10,12	200 378:5,13	205 376:13	3 382:8 384:14	579:20
635:19 637:6	595:16	207 522:9,24	384:22 385:1	593:19,19
637:7	20009 376:18	530:5	385:4,5 394:3	680:22 682:5
16.4 443:25	2002 467:22	210 447:23	399:25 411:21	682:20
168 404:2	469:6	217 597:16	535:9 572:1	402 686:4
17 461:19 519:13	2003 589:22	21st 473:17	690:5	403 574:13
520:19	2005 400:2,10	22 377:16	3.2 519:12	409 376:13
17.4 406:13	2008 449:14	635:8,13	3.3 613:9,25	41 648:10
17.7 531:1,4,12	578:20	637:11	3:25 605:4	410 574:13
531:20,22	592:17 598:19	221 377:4	30 398:14	415 564:19
532:1,3,16	200s 386:17	23 642:14,16	420:15 427:10	416 686:5
534:11,13	201 522:9,23	24 635:14	427:12 464:17	418 540:9
	l	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

420 540:8	480:17 481:15	502:17,20,23	670 688:21	84 577:12
564:21,22	481:25 482:3	549:18 550:11	674 688:23	85,000 392:19
420-plus-tho	482:12,24	690:10	677 688:24	86 422:15
392:16	505:18 506:4	6.4 405:24	678 581:24	87 408:7
421 564:22	509:1,14,20	6.40 443:14	679 688:25	871 377:8
425 540:7,8,16	510:19,25	6.5 406:15	680 376:9	88 408:8
574:14	511:22 516:16	60 419:12	682 689:3	890 599:6
427 501:5	519:12 577:14	579:20	683 689:4	8th 529:20
605:6 691:2	607:4,6,7	600 597:11	697 582:2	
428 686:6	50/50 616:25	687:17	6th 379:4	9
43 507:6	500 690:16	605 687:21		9 505:24
439 686:7	501 686:23	691:2	7	567:25 568:9
44 393:8	501(C)(6) 467:4	609 687:22	7 502:17,20,23	9.2 449:6
443 686:8	502 / 690:10,11	611 687:23	550:11 579:23	9.25 406:2
452 686:9	690:13	612 687:24	579:25 690:11	443:12 449:8
691:4	504 686:24	617 687:25	70.4 655:13	9.5 406:21
458 686:10	51 404:22	62 390:1	700 376:5	421:24 426:3
46 448:5	512 686:25	404:20	451:22 452:8	449:16
555:12,19	514 377:16	620,000 494:11	452:11,12	9:00 379:2
556:5,24	687:3	621 688:3	691:4	685:19
558:7,16	52 577:19	630 392:23	730 599:6	90 409:3
461 686:11	521 687:5	63101 376:5	732 579:7	90 s 467:10
466 686:12	523/524 690:17	63119 377:9	582:3	
47 448:3	690:19	632 688:5	733 579:8	
555:12,20	524/525	641 688:6,7	581:25	
556:5,24	690:23,25	649 688:8	734 579:6	
558:8,16	526 687:6	650 378:5	597:18	
472 686:17	528 687:7	651 688:9	750 451:23	
474 686:18	54 387:19,24	65101 376:22	753 675:21	
474/474 690:7	388:1,4,6,10	377:5,17,21	676:1	
690:8	447:20,21	65102 376:9	756 452:2	
487 686:19	555:15 558:6	378:6,14	77 447:13	
489 686:20	690:14	65110 377:13	7th 450:9	
49 444:1,2	55 500:8,14,15	65202 376:14	8	
448:20 531:10	690:16	653 688:11	8 502:17,20,23	
531:13,25	559 687:8	654 688:12	510:12 533:18	
532:4	56 393:9	655 688:13		
49-point-som	563 687:10	658 688:14	568:10,11,12 690:13	
506:7	566 687:11	66 385:23	8:30 685:19,20	
490 686:21	573 687:13	386:10	685:21	
5	575 687:14	660 688:16	80 409:1	
	576 687:15	663 688:17	468:25 577:4	
5 376:13 408:19	581 687:16	667 688:18,19	80.25 424:24	
473:24,25	59 404:22	669 688:20	800 378:13	
474:3,6 690:8	6	67 385:23	83 389:25	
5:09 685:24		386:12,13	519:18 520:17	
50 425:9	6 375:8 409:21	67.2 577:15	313.10 320.17	
			1	•