

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Evidentiary Hearing
December 15, 2017
Jefferson City, Missouri
Volume 21

In the Matter of Laclede)
Gas Company's Request to) File No. GR-2017-0215
Increase Its Revenue for)
Gas Service)

In the Matter of Laclede)
Gas Company d/b/a Missouri)
Gas Energy's Request to) File No. GR-2017-0216
Increase Its Revenues for)
Gas Service)

NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

DANIEL Y. HALL, Chairman,
WILLIAM P. KENNEY,
MAIDA J. COLEMAN,
COMMISSIONERS

REPORTED BY:
AMANDA N. FARRAR, CCR
ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

For Spire:

MR. RICK E. ZUCKER
MR. MICHAEL C. PENDERGAST
MR. LARRY W. DORITY
MR. JAMES M. FISCHER
700 Market Street, Sixth Floor
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
rick.zucker@spireenergy.com

For The Missouri Division of Energy:

MR. BRIAN T. BEAR
301 W. High Street, Room 680
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
bbear.deenergycases@ded.mo.gov

For The National Housing Trust:

MR. ANDREW J. LINHARES
409 Vandiver Drive, Building 5, Suite
205
Columbia, Missouri 65202
andrew@renewmo.org

For The Environmental Defense Fund:

MS. NATALIE KARAS
1875 Connecticut Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20009
nkaras@edf.org

For The Midwest Energy Consumers Group:

MR. DAVID WOODSMALL
308 E. High Street, Suite 204
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

For The Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers:

MR. LEWIS MILLS
MR. EDWARD F. DOWNEY
221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
lewis.mills@bryancave.com

For The Consumers Council of Missouri:

MR. JOHN B. COFFMAN
871 Tuxedo Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63119
john@johncoffman.net

For The City of St. Joseph, Missouri:

MR. WILLIAM D. STEINMEIER
2031 Tower Drive
Jefferson City, Missouri 65110
wds@wdspc.com

For MoGas Pipeline, LLC:

MR. TERRY M. JARRETT
514 E. High Street, Suite 22
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
terry@healylawoffices.com

For the Missouri School Boards' Association:

MR. RICHARD S. BROWNLEE, III
121 Madison
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
rbrownlee@rsblobby.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

For The Office of the Public Counsel:

MS. LERA SHEMWELL
MR. RYAN SMITH
MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS
MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS
Office of the Public Counsel
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
lera.shemwell@ded.mo.gov

For the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:

MS. WHITNEY PAYNE
MR. MARK JOHNSON
MS. CASI ASLIN
MS. MARCELLA FORCK
MS. NICOLE MERS
MR. JEFF KEEVIL
MR. KEVIN THOMPSON
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
whitney.payne@psc.mo.gov

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 (The hearing commenced at 9:00 a.m.)

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Good morning. I am
4 Nancy Dippell. I'm the Regulatory Law Judge
5 assigned to this matter and we are here on
6 December 15th, 2017, for what I hope is our last day
7 of this hearing in GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216.

8 And we broke yesterday a little early so
9 that the parties could discuss settlement of the
10 remaining issues, and I'm looking for an update on
11 that this morning.

12 Who is our spokesperson today?

13 Mr. Zucker.

14 MR. ZUCKER: I can talk about one or two
15 issues.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. If you would,
17 please.

18 MR. ZUCKER: I think the highlights.
19 Should I just talk about it from here?

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's fine.

21 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. So, the parties
22 settled transition costs yesterday. Transition cost
23 issue is that when Laclede did the MGE acquisition
24 they were allowed to keep half of the transition
25 costs to the extent they could justify them with

1 synergy savings. And so, the parties have agreed
2 that Laclede and MGE will receive nine and a half
3 million in transition costs as being the half that,
4 that the company's agreed to. That will be as other
5 assets are in rate base and I think will be split
6 56/44 between MGE and Laclede based on their general
7 customer counts.

8 The other issue of any note is
9 hydrostatic testing. That was an issue of whether
10 it should be capitalized or expensed. We have
11 agreed on with OPC to go ahead and expense them in
12 the future. We've put a certain amount in rates to
13 cover those expenses and the previous amounts that
14 were capitalized will just stay where they are.

15 I think that covers it.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And then the
17 other issue from yesterday was incentive
18 compensation. Where are we on that?

19 MR. PENDERGAST: Yes. As I indicated
20 yesterday, the parties had reached an agreement in
21 principle on that and the agreement in principle is
22 primarily focused really on two things.

23 One is how we treat incentive
24 compensation both for capitalization purposes in the
25 future and how we go ahead and really organize our

1 own incentive compensation structure. You know,
2 we've agreed to look at pursuing ones that are more
3 specifically focused on operational metrics, even
4 though we have that in a lot of our incentive
5 programs now, and less focused on earnings-related
6 metrics.

7 We've also agreed not to seek to include
8 earnings-related incentive in capital items after a
9 certain date and to do that for a certain period of
10 time. And we've, I think, worked out sort of an
11 overall framework for that.

12 We are also discussing the specific
13 adjustments that should be made in this case in
14 recognition of the settlement that we've reached.
15 And I think, as we indicated yesterday, we hope to
16 have that concluded in the very near future. If
17 there is still something that needs to be reconciled
18 in terms of the numbers, we have the true-up coming
19 up where we only have, I think, one other issue that
20 needs to be addressed and we would address it at
21 that time.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And the true-up
23 is scheduled at this point for January 3rd, the
24 hearing on that.

25 So, what about, then, the rate

1 stabilization mechanism and rate design, cost of
2 service issues that we were going to cover today?
3 Is there any, any settlement or agreements on any of
4 those parts of those issues?

5 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah, there is, Your
6 Honor. I think as far as the cost of service
7 allocations, we have reached, you know, an agreement
8 in principle. It's pretty specific on how costs
9 should be allocated between the various classes,
10 what methodology should be used, what shifts the
11 parties believe are appropriate.

12 I'm a seniorly poor person to go ahead
13 and try to explain to you exactly what those shifts
14 were, but I believe there's somebody from the
15 technical staff here who would be happy to go over
16 that, if you would prefer.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I am seeing that,
18 yes, we would like information on that, more
19 information.

20 MR. JOHNSON: Robin Kliethermes can give
21 you an explanation.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right.

23 MS. KLIETHERMES: Do you want me to go
24 up to the stand or right here?

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: You can just -- I guess

1 is Ms. Kliethermes just making a statement as to the
2 agreement terms? She's not actually testifying or
3 giving evidence at this point?

4 MR. JOHNSON: She would just be giving a
5 statement.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

7 MR. PENDERGAST: At this point.

8 MR. JOHNSON: At this point, yes.

9 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: If she uses a
10 dais, is that like a demotion in job status?

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Although, if -- well,
12 that's fine. I just started to say if the
13 Commission should need her testimony to make a
14 decision on whether or not to approve the agreement,
15 perhaps I should swear her in.

16 MR. KEEVIL: We can present her later,
17 if you wanted to. She'll be on the stand.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

19 MR. KEEVIL: There's one part of this
20 that has not settled is my understanding.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

22 MR. KEEVIL: And she'll be up on stand
23 for that. So, if you have questions of her, you
24 could ask them then.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: That sounds good,

1 Mr. Keevil.

2 Go ahead, Ms. Kliethermes. Sorry.

3 MS. KLIETHERMES: Okay. For MGE, we
4 have the rate classes of residential, SGS, LGS,
5 large volume and gaslight.

6 Prior to any retail increase being
7 applied to the classes, there is going to be a
8 revenue neutral shift from the LGS to the large
9 volume or from the LGS and from the large volume
10 that will go to SGS. So, SGS will have an
11 additional 640,000, and LGS and large volume will
12 decrease by 320,000 respectively.

13 Then, the retail increase will be
14 allocated on equal percent basis to each class.
15 That's MGE.

16 For Laclede, we have the classes of
17 residential, SGS, LGS, large volume, LV transport,
18 interruptible sales, general LP gas, which is liquid
19 propane, unmetered gaslight and vehicular fuel up to
20 Staff's direct filed revenue requirement of 11.9 --
21 approximately, 11.9 million. The -- if you
22 allocated that retail increase to each class
23 equally, the revenue -- the increased responsibility
24 of the large volume transport and interruptible
25 sales class gets shifted to SGS so that at a revenue

1 requirement increase of 11.9 million large volume,
2 LV transport and interruptible sales have no
3 increase in base rates.

4 Up to Laclede's ISRS value of 32.6, the
5 difference between 32.6 and 11.9 is allocated on an
6 equal percent basis to each class. Then, a negative
7 shift of four -- a negative shift at the 32.6 of
8 450,000 is shifted away from transport and absorbed
9 three-fourths by SGS and a quarter by residential.

10 If the rate increase revenue requirement
11 is between 32.6 and 11.9, those shifts adjust down
12 so that no class is getting a decrease in base rates
13 and every class is getting some level of an
14 increase.

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner, did you
16 have any questions?

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I didn't
18 understand a damn thing she said.

19 MS. KLIETHERMES: It's a very -- it's a
20 very complex adjustment, but did I do that
21 accurate --

22 MR. MARKE: Well, that was the revenue
23 allocation part.

24 MS. KLIETHERMES: That was the revenue
25 allocation. Do you want me to go into rate design?

1 MR. WOODSMALL: I don't.

2 MS. KLIETHERMES: Okay.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead with the rate
4 design.

5 MR. KEEVIL: Oh, you really got it here.

6 MS. KLIETHERMES: Okay. So, the, the
7 rate design part, and this is commercial and
8 industrial rate design only. Residential is still
9 left to be heard today. For large volume -- oh,
10 let's start out Laclede.

11 For Laclede, any increase in a large
12 volume class will be recovered through an increase
13 in the customer charge. For LV transport for
14 Laclede, any increase in the class will be applied
15 to the customer charge.

16 For MGE, the -- for the large volume
17 class at the ISRS value of 16.4 million, the
18 customer charge will be increased to \$1,100. The
19 additional meter charge will be increased to \$300,
20 and then the volumetric rates will adjust to match
21 target revenues. And then for large -- for LGS for
22 MGE, it will be the company's proposed customer
23 charge and volumetric rates will adjust.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: That wasn't so bad.

25 MS. KLIETHERMES: I didn't even have

1 that written down. So, that's from memory.

2 So, Lewis --

3 MR. MILLS: That's fine.

4 MS. KLIETHERMES: -- that was correct?

5 MR. MILLS: Yeah.

6 MR. WOODSMALL: I think.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you,

8 Ms. Kliethermes.

9 MS. KLIETHERMES: Thank you.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: I appreciate that.

11 MR. MILLS: And just to add to that,

12 Ms. Kliethermes didn't put it in there, but we
13 actually have the per therm volumetric charge worked
14 out based on the billing determinants as we know
15 them today.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. That sounds very
17 comprehensive and I appreciate that.

18 Okay. So, that is -- that gets to the
19 other point, then, rate design for residential
20 remains, and I assume that means also the rate
21 stabilization mechanism issue remains?

22 MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.

23 MR. KEEVIL: There is a question, Judge,
24 as to whether we're going to do them combined or
25 separately. You may want to address that. I'm not

1 sure because there are different -- they were set up
2 as different issues on the list. There are some
3 difference in the witnesses, at least for Staff. I
4 don't know about the other parties. So, but I know
5 there's different attorneys, different witnesses for
6 Staff on those other issues, but...

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Pendergast, you look
8 like you had something else to say about that?

9 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. I think from our
10 perspective and in the interest of, you know,
11 efficiently addressing this issue, it makes sense
12 just to do the rate design and RSM together. To my
13 knowledge, at least, really the only outstanding
14 rate design issue is the interrelationship for
15 customer charges and whether or not the Commission
16 adopts an RSM. And so, rather than having people go
17 up multiple times, we suggest that we just go ahead
18 and put them up once and let them be questioned on
19 both issues.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: I think that's my
21 preference as well just to cut down on the shifting
22 around. I understand that the way it was arranged
23 and the way parties may have addressed it with
24 multiple attorneys, that we may need to have some
25 leeway go in there and allow multiple attorneys on

1 certain witnesses to question those and so forth,
2 but I think my preference would be to just call the
3 witnesses up once and cover everything at that point
4 and, as well as, I am going to want, then, you-all
5 to make an opening statement about where your
6 positions are and so forth, and if you need to
7 combine that with various attorneys, I'll let you do
8 that as well.

9 Then, the other updates that we wanted
10 to get this morning, then, the Commission was
11 interested in where you had come out on the
12 re-branding issue in your settlement.

13 MR. PENDERGAST: Yes, Your Honor. You
14 know, I think that the transition costs -- and I
15 should clarify. We still have the Alagasco synergy
16 issue, which was set aside from that, and that we
17 can address if folks want to after the RSM, but
18 because it was a black box and because the amount
19 that's being provided is less than what it would be
20 if you had included those re-branding costs, I think
21 Staff will probably tell you from their perspective
22 they are not included in what the parties have
23 proposed. And like all settlements, you can view
24 them as to what went in and what didn't, but we're
25 certainly not going to disagree with their

1 perception of it.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Commissioner
3 Coleman, did you have any specific questions about
4 that?

5 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: I did, but not
6 after the explanation I just got. So, I need to
7 think on it.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, certainly.
9 They're here all day.

10 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Yeah.

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Yeah.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, if something --

13 MR. JOHNSON: If it would be helpful, I
14 could just give a very short explanation from
15 Staff's perspective.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: That would be helpful.

17 MR. JOHNSON: On the transition costs
18 specifically, Mr. Pendergast captured it perfectly.
19 From our perspective, there are no re-branding costs
20 included in that amount. It is all simply one-time
21 nonrecurring for noncapital costs, excluding all
22 re-branding. There was also an additional issue of
23 re-branding costs that were included in the test
24 year. The company and Staff got together and made
25 an adjustment to Staff's adjustment to include some

1 costs that are reoccurring and shouldn't have been
2 associated specifically with re-branding, but from
3 Staff's perspective, that test year adjustment also
4 captures re-branding costs. So, that will not be
5 included in the cost of service.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Does anyone else
7 want to make any statement about that?

8 MR. SMITH: No. Thank you.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Okay. Thank
10 you, Mr. Johnson. That is helpful.

11 Okay. Then, were there any other
12 settlement issues that needed to be updated?

13 Oh, Mr. Pendergast, you mentioned when
14 you were talking about the synergy part of the
15 transition costs.

16 MR. PENDERGAST: That's correct.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, is that still
18 something that we're going to need to hear today or
19 was that going to be just submitted on the briefs?

20 MR. PENDERGAST: The company was fine
21 with just submitting it on the briefs, but I'm not
22 sure where the other parties are on that.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anyone?

24 MS. PAYNE: Judge, Staff is also okay
25 with submitting on the briefs. My understanding was

1 that OPC wanted to make a statement.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: And Mr. Smith.

3 MR. SMITH: Yeah. I think that would be
4 our preference. We're flexible, but, yeah, I think
5 that would be our preference.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Your preference is?

7 MR. SMITH: To make a short statement on
8 that.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: To make a statement, but
10 not have witnesses on that?

11 MR. SMITH: I guess if the Commission
12 would want to ask questions or the other parties
13 would want to cross, we would go ahead and offer our
14 witness.

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: And are you prepared to
16 do that now or do you want to wait till the last for
17 that?

18 MR. SMITH: Can we -- I think our
19 preference would be to go after RSM.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

21 MR. SMITH: Since I think most of the
22 parties available for that are here and ready.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And then is
24 there -- are there any other issues besides that
25 synergy savings and RSM and then rate design? Am I

1 missing anything?

2 MS. PAYNE: No, Judge.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then, I think we can go
4 ahead and begin with opening statements on the rate
5 design and RSM parts.

6 So, we will begin with Spire.

7 Sorry. I'm getting a question from the
8 back of the room.

9 MR. KEEVIL: Yeah.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Keevil.

11 MR. KEEVIL: I was told that Mr. Majors
12 has a newborn child that he's only seen once in the
13 last two weeks.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Oh, my.

15 MR. KEEVIL: And that he is the
16 synergy -- that synergy issue witness and we were
17 hoping that he could go first, we could wrap up that
18 synergy thing and get him out of here so he could
19 see the newborn. So, if that would be agreeable to
20 everyone, that would be our preference.

21 MR. PENDERGAST: And for the company's
22 part, we're certainly willing to waive cross of
23 Mr. Majors. I don't know if the other parties have
24 any questions for him or if the Commission does, but
25 we're happy to let him be sent on his way as soon as

1 possible.

2 MR. SMITH: Same with OPC.

3 MR. WOODSMALL: Same here.

4 MR. MILLS: We'd waive. Yeah, MIEC will
5 waive.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Well, then I
7 think that's the best of all worlds.

8 Mr. Majors, it sounds like there are no
9 further questions for you. Congratulations and you
10 may be excused.

11 All right. Then, we can go ahead and
12 begin with our opening statements.

13 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Pendergast.

15 MR. PENDERGAST: We are here this
16 morning to address an issue that has come before
17 this Commission in one form or another for, at
18 least, the last decade and a half, if not longer,
19 and that's the issue of how we should deal with the
20 variations in revenues that occur between rate cases
21 as a result of changes in customer usage, mostly due
22 to weather, but also due to declining usage because
23 of energy efficiency and conservation measures.

24 And the issue is important, I think, for
25 a number of reasons. Unexpected and significant

1 changes in revenues can destabilize customer bills,
2 help or hurt utility earnings and have other
3 problematic financial effects, most of which are due
4 to factors that are completely beyond the control of
5 either the utility or its customers. And these --
6 and absent a mechanism for addressing these revenue
7 variations, it also stands as a barrier to pursuing
8 as aggressively as possible those energy efficiency
9 programs that, you know, basically try and help
10 customers use less of our product and, obviously,
11 having the mechanism that makes the company
12 financially indifferent to that assist in being able
13 to go out there and try and sell customers on the
14 benefits of reducing their usage.

15 And, you know, I want to take a moment
16 here to give credit to the other stakeholders in
17 this room. As I said, this has been a long-standing
18 series of discussions before the Commission. And in
19 the past, we have been able to work with the Staff.
20 We have been able to go ahead and work with the
21 Office of Public Counsel. To their credit, they
22 have recognized, you know, some of the
23 considerations I mentioned and the need to address
24 them.

25 I can recall, it was Bob Schallenberg

1 who years ago recognized that dealing with the
2 impacts of weather and other things on utilities and
3 their customers was an important thing to do and he
4 stepped forward and said that.

5 You know, Barb Meisenheimer, I don't
6 know if she's here today, but she's with the
7 Division of Energy now, and before that she was with
8 the Office of Public Counsel and she worked with the
9 company to help develop our weather mitigation rate
10 design, which was helpful in trying to ameliorate
11 the effects of weather on both the company and the
12 customer and we got that weather mitigation rate
13 design in effect, you know, a good number of years
14 ago. And there have been other Staff and Public
15 Counsel professionals that have helped out on this
16 issue. And I really think that what we've managed
17 to achieve in the past is that outstanding example
18 of what collaborative constructive regulatory action
19 should be. And I just don't want that to go
20 unnoticed as we address the issues that we have
21 today.

22 But as constructive as those efforts
23 have been, like anything else in life, one solution
24 begets, you know, additional problems. And I think
25 what you've seen is that as we pursue rate design

1 solutions to these revenue variations, you know, one
2 of them, for example, has been the straight fixed
3 variable rate design that was approved for Missouri
4 Gas Energy several years ago where you simply raised
5 the customer charge until all fixed costs were
6 recovered in that charge and then it didn't really
7 matter, you know, the degree to which it was colder
8 or warmer or, you know, conservation occurred.

9 Now, you know, that solved that set of
10 problems, but then I think the Office of Public
11 Counsel, AARP, Consumers Council have raised
12 concerns repeatedly about the impact of those higher
13 customer charges on low-use customers. And during
14 the public hearings in this case, you heard a number
15 of our customers come forward and say that they wish
16 we could come up with something that would lower
17 that fixed monthly burden on low-use customers.

18 And, you know, we, like our weather
19 mitigation rate design, we don't think it's as good
20 as the RSM we're proposing, but that's interjected a
21 degree of complexity into our rate structure that,
22 while nobody's really complained about it, it could
23 probably stand a little simplification.

24 So, what we've proposed to do is use the
25 statutory tool that has been available to the

1 Commission since I think 2005, which permits a
2 customer usage adjustment mechanism that is designed
3 to account for weather variations due to customer
4 usage changes whether due to weather or due to
5 conservation or both, and seize that regulatory tool
6 and create a new mechanism for dealing with this
7 issue that at the same time will help to address
8 some of these other unintended issues that have been
9 created.

10 And to that end, we have proposed our
11 revenue stabilization mechanism, and under that
12 mechanism we can adjust rates up to four times a
13 year to account for variations in revenues due to
14 weather or due to conservation. There is a
15 mechanism involved so that everything in the end is
16 reconciled so that we never recover more or recover
17 less than the revenues that you have authorized
18 and/or determined, have determined to be appropriate
19 to collect from our customers.

20 Now, this is only on revenues. It
21 doesn't apply to costs. If we have cost increases
22 between cases, you know, we continue to go ahead and
23 absorb those, and the same thing with cost
24 decreases. It's just designed to address the
25 revenue side. It excludes the impacts of either

1 losing customers or adding customers. So, there's
2 still an incentive to go out and try and organically
3 grow the business and we're still at risk if we fail
4 to do that and actually lose customers.

5 It is a mechanism that also helps us
6 move beyond the problems we've had. As I said, we
7 have proposed in our case that if we can get that
8 mechanism adopted, we have a lot more flexibility in
9 reducing customer charges, and we've actually
10 proposed to reduce them, I think, in a significant
11 way if we can go ahead and be assured that that's
12 not going to expose us to significant losses if it's
13 really warm and not expose our customers to having
14 to pay significantly-higher bills, at least from the
15 distribution cost side, because it's been
16 substantially colder.

17 And, you know, from a stabilization
18 standpoint on customer bills, the beauty of this
19 mechanism is that if you go into a winter and it's
20 very cold, and a lot of times when it's cold, gas
21 prices tend to go up, you know, supply and demand,
22 that we would be over-recovering our fixed costs
23 under those circumstances. And this mechanism would
24 give us an opportunity to come and give the customer
25 a credit on the bill at the time, really, the

1 customer needs it the most.

2 And on the other hand, if it's a really
3 warm winter and people aren't having much gas and,
4 you know, that's having a significantly adverse
5 impact on our earnings, we could come in and propose
6 or effectuate a surcharge, but that would take place
7 when customers bills are lower than they otherwise
8 would be, and its impact in the context of what the
9 customer is paying is going to go ahead and be less.
10 It would also enable us to continue to aggressively
11 pursue energy efficiency. We would not be sitting
12 there, you know, taking a hit because we have
13 successfully and robustly convinced our customers to
14 use less of our product.

15 Now, once again, this isn't a path we
16 want to walk alone. You know, we have obviously
17 been talking to the parties, and once again, I think
18 some constructive suggestions have been made should
19 the Commission adopt this mechanism.

20 My point to Mr. Marke over here, who has
21 suggested a number of things in his testimony, that
22 should the Commission approve it, I'm not implying
23 that he's endorsing that, but that I think would be
24 positive additions to it, you know, having a cap of
25 3 percent at least from our perspective, a soft cap

1 that would make sure we're not increasing rates by
2 too large a margin at one point in time and that
3 would just be a cap on upward adjustment. We could
4 make whatever downward adjustment on customer bills
5 were appropriate and I think that's generally what
6 we would tend to do.

7 Mr. Marke also had some suggestions on
8 how to communicate with customers about this
9 mechanism, how to notify them about how it works and
10 we think those kind of things make a whole lot of
11 sense. There's a few other suggestions Mr. Marke
12 has made that we're not quite as thrilled about, but
13 I want to commend him for making some positive
14 recommendations.

15 The Staff has also, you know, raised
16 some concerns, talked in terms of maybe whether a
17 weather normalization approach would be better. We
18 still think the RSM is more appropriate because it
19 does hit that conservation thing as well as weather,
20 but we appreciate the way they have engaged in the
21 process and the way they have come forward and, and
22 tried to give the Commission additional information.

23 I'd be remiss if I didn't mention the
24 Division of Energy, the National Housing Trust, and
25 in particular, the National Housing Trust which has

1 been, I think, pretty supportive of the RSM
2 mechanism, and I think that's been a nice national
3 perspective on these mechanisms and their worth.

4 And I just want to make sure the
5 Commission understands, you know, this isn't, you
6 know, a leap into the wild blue yonder. Okay? You
7 know, we have been adjusting for revenue differences
8 because customer usage changes and conservation as
9 part of our purchased gas adjustment mechanism for
10 decades. I mean, when it's colder or warmer, you
11 know, we have these fixed pipeline costs.

12 Everything gets adjusted so that we come out in the
13 end collecting what those particular costs are.

14 To my knowledge, in the decades that
15 that has been operating, nobody has raised a concern
16 about that being something that's caused any
17 problems. And all I'm really talking about is
18 taking, you know, a concept that's similar to that
19 and applying it to base rates, and that's something
20 that dozens of other states have already done. I
21 haven't read anything in the press that has
22 suggested they've run into all kinds of problems
23 because they've done it. So, I think it's something
24 that you can feel very comfortable moving forward
25 with seizing this tool that the legislature has

1 given you and using it to enhance the regulatory
2 process in Missouri.

3 I would be remiss if I didn't mention,
4 though, that if, for any reason, the Commission
5 decides not to approve the RSM, you know, in order
6 to make sure we didn't take a giant step backwards,
7 we would need to have higher customer charges than
8 what we have proposed. We would need to go ahead
9 and maintain our weather mitigation rate design that
10 we have on the Laclede side and extend it to MGE.

11 Quite frankly, that's not our preferred
12 alternative. We hope that in the end, the
13 Commission can agree that this is a worthwhile step
14 to make and that you will ultimately conclude that
15 this is an appropriate mechanism to approve. I
16 think you have an outstanding record that's been
17 developed on this issue. That should give you
18 confidence that doing so is the right thing to do.

19 Thank you.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

21 Are there any Commission questions for
22 Mr. Pendergast?

23 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: No.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Thank you,
25 Mr. Pendergast.

1 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is Staff going to make
3 an opening statement?

4 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.

5 May it please the Commission.

6 I'm going to address the RSM issue and
7 then Mr. Keevil is going to make a brief opening
8 statement concerning the remaining rate design
9 issue. That's simply how Staff has prepared for
10 those issues.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. That's fine.

12 MR. THOMPSON: So, I'll try to be as
13 brief as I can.

14 With respect to the RSM, I suggest we
15 start with the basics and the basic is statute
16 386.266.3, which authorizes it. That states,
17 "Subject to the requirements of this section, any
18 gas corporation may make an application to the
19 Commission to approve rate schedules authorizing
20 periodic rate adjustments outside of general rate
21 proceedings to reflect the non-gas revenue effects
22 of increases or decreases in residential and
23 commercial customer usage due to variations in
24 either weather, conservation, or both."

25 The statute is simple and

1 straightforward. There are only certain usage
2 variations that can be encompassed by a
3 properly-constructed RSM and Staff suggests that the
4 RSM that has been brought to you by the company is
5 not properly constructed, that it will include
6 variations that are caused by other factors than
7 weather and conservation.

8 And our witness, Michael Stahlman, will
9 be happy to address those matters and answer any
10 questions that you might have about what those
11 factors are and, in fact, our rate design witness,
12 Robin Kliethermes, can do that as well.

13 The statute is simple and
14 straightforward, but actually doing what the statute
15 suggests, what it authorizes is not easy to do.
16 Again, those witnesses will tell you why.

17 Additionally, those witnesses will tell
18 you that it's not needed. There is no evidence that
19 these companies need a revenue stabilization
20 mechanism.

21 Let's think about conservation for a
22 moment. Conservation works by an individual
23 homeowner keeping their thermostat low during the
24 winter, for example. Now, if this revenue
25 stabilization mechanism is put in place, that

1 homeowner will lose the benefit of their
2 conservation effort because they're going to pay
3 more then for the service they actually used.
4 They're going to pay an additional amount to reflect
5 the gas that Laclede hoped it would sell, but did
6 not.

7 Is that really the direction that the
8 Commission wants to go?

9 Let's think also about risk. This
10 company came in here, was Monday, I believe, and
11 asked for a return on common equity of
12 10.35 percent, a very large number compared to what
13 other gas companies had been getting in the past
14 year or two. Certainly not the highest number, but
15 very close.

16 Mr. Murray and Mr. Gorman told you that
17 the appropriate number is somewhere in the range of
18 9.20 to 9.25. Well, if you give them a revenue
19 stabilization mechanism, then a large part of their
20 business risk suddenly goes away because their
21 business risk is that they're going to provide gas
22 to customers who then aren't going to pay for it.
23 That's part of their business risk.

24 Part of their business risk is that the
25 weather is going to be warmer than anticipated.

1 They're not going to sell as much gas as they had
2 planned to sell. That's business risk, too. So,
3 you can see that those risks are being removed from
4 the equation and the return on common equity is
5 supposed to reflect risk, financial risk and
6 business risk. Well, if you reduce the business
7 risk significantly, then I suggest that the return
8 on common equity has to be correspondingly reduced.

9 Now, I'm not an expert and we didn't ask
10 the experts these questions, but I would suggest
11 that 100 basis points is the correct neighborhood
12 and 100 basis points below 9.2 to 9.25 would be 8.2
13 to 8.25. If you give them an RSM, their risk is
14 diminished significantly and the return on equity
15 must be diminished significantly as well.

16 Thank you very much.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

18 Do we have any questions for

19 Mr. Thompson?

20 Thank you.

21 Mr. Keevil.

22 MR. KEEVIL: Thank you, Judge. I will
23 try to keep this brief.

24 It's my understanding that all of the
25 issues that were listed on the list of issues under

1 class cost of service and rate design have settled
2 except for the issue list regarding the residential
3 customer charge, so I will focus on that particular
4 issue.

5 Currently, Laclede's residential rate
6 consists of a customer charge of \$19.50 and a
7 seasonal volumetric charge of .91686 per therm for
8 the first 30 therms used in the winter, but no
9 charge, no volumetric charge for therms used after
10 30 therms in the winter.

11 In the summer, it is .31290 per therm
12 for the first 30 therms and .15297 for all therms
13 over 30.

14 Now, while Laclede's customer charge is
15 currently set at \$19.50, due to this what has been
16 referred to as the weather mitigated rate design,
17 this rate design results in a flat charge of \$47.01
18 for virtually all customers, all customers in the
19 winter billing months.

20 Due to this current rate design under
21 Staff's direct filed rate recommendation, which
22 include the higher customer charge and is currently
23 in effect, in winter month a customer would have to
24 use between 125 and 150 therms to equal the charge
25 that the customer would have incurred for any usage

1 over 30 under the existing rate design.

2 Now, switching to MGE, MGE's residential
3 rate currently consists of a customer charge of \$23
4 and a flat volumetric rate of .07380 per CCF.

5 Staff's class cost of service study
6 found that according to a strict cost allocation,
7 the cost to be recovered through the residential
8 customer charge, which should be recovered, is
9 approximately \$26 per customer for Laclede and
10 \$17.01 for MGE.

11 Now, of the parties that filed rate
12 design rebuttal in this case, Laclede proposed a
13 customer charge of \$17 after October 2018 and MGE
14 proposed a customer charge of \$20 after
15 October 2018.

16 Prior to October 2018, both companies
17 have proposed significantly higher customer charges
18 than the post-October 2018 charges and they have
19 termed these interim or these charges that would be
20 in effect until October as transition rates.

21 Actually, what Laclede has proposed until
22 October 2018 is \$23.50 and MGE has proposed \$25.50.

23 Excuse me. Office of Public Counsel
24 proposed a customer charge of \$14 for both Laclede
25 and MGE. Division of Energy simply said they

1 support low customer charges.

2 You know, I was here last Wednesday,
3 which at this point seems like a lifetime ago, and I
4 heard Mr. Bear, the counsel for Division of Energy,
5 during his general opening statement, and this is
6 reflected in the transcript from last Wednesday, say
7 that it's the high volatility bills that often can
8 be disastrous for those high energy burdened
9 households. And the problem with -- I agree with
10 that statement.

11 The problem is that the position of the
12 Division of Energy witnesses ignores the volatility
13 aspect of this issue by saying simply, we'll keep
14 the customer charge low and then the rest of it goes
15 on volumetric by default. It has to go on
16 volumetric if it doesn't go on customer charge. So,
17 I was surprised to hear Mr. Bear say that last
18 Wednesday. We'll see if his witnesses say the same
19 thing here today.

20 I would also mention that of all the
21 parties to the case, only the company and Staff did
22 a class cost of service study. I think that's
23 important, too, for your -- when you're considering
24 this that Staff and the company are the only ones
25 that actually have cost of service studies to

1 support their positions.

2 Now, for MGE, Staff is recommending a
3 customer charge of \$20 plus a flat volumetric rate.
4 However, Staff has also proposed an alternative
5 inclining block rate design for the Commission's
6 consideration based on some Commission decisions and
7 discussions in recent past electric cases.

8 For Laclede, if the Commission wishes to
9 move to a true cost of service, Staff has proposed a
10 customer charge of \$26 plus a flat volumetric rate.
11 However, in recognition that other parties have
12 expressed concerns with a customer charge of that
13 magnitude and in recognition that the Commission may
14 want to move more gradually toward true cost of
15 service, the Staff will propose a customer charge of
16 \$22 plus a volumetric rate, which would also move
17 toward cost of service without getting all the way
18 there. And Staff has also proposed an alternative
19 which includes an inclining block rate design before
20 Commission consideration for the same reason. We
21 proposed one for MGE which is basically because of
22 the Commission's past recent discussions on the
23 electric side.

24 So, we've proposed several different
25 alternatives and recognized that there are different

1 functions that the Commission may wish to pursue in
2 setting the rates. So, that's why we've laid some
3 simple alternatives out there for your
4 consideration.

5 Now, Staff will be presenting the --
6 excuse me. Before I get to that, Mr. Pendergast
7 mentioned during his opening the weather mitigated
8 rate design of Laclede and Staff recommends that
9 that rate design be modified as I discussed
10 previously. If you set the rates correctly, you
11 don't -- you shouldn't need a weather mitigated rate
12 design.

13 Now, Staff will be presenting Ms. Robin
14 Kliethermes as its witness on class cost of service
15 and rate design. And in the interest of time, I'll
16 conclude there and attempt to answer any questions
17 you might have. Thanks.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any questions?

19 Thank you, Mr. Keevil.

20 Public Counsel.

21 MR. SMITH: Morning. May it please the
22 Commission:

23 And I'm not sure -- do we have the
24 PowerPoint up?

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let me see if I can

1 accomplish that.

2 MR. SMITH: Thank you. Thank you,
3 Judge.

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: I've got it up online,
5 but I do not have it up on that screen.

6 MR. WOODSMALL: That's controlled down
7 here.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you,
9 Mr. Woodsmall.

10 MR. THOMPSON: Thank God, you know how
11 to work that stuff.

12 MR. WOODSMALL: I've done it enough.

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

14 MR. SMITH: One of the largest concerns
15 with the company's proposal from the Office of the
16 Public Counsel's perspective is that the company is
17 currently requesting up to four rate adjustments per
18 year. Presumably, these rate adjustments would
19 happen between both Laclede and MGE customers, which
20 would mean possibly up to eight adjustments per
21 year. And presumably, these adjustments would also
22 happen between different classes of customers, which
23 could mean 16 adjustments per year. And if the
24 company doesn't actually come in for another rate
25 case until four years, which would be consistent

1 with their ISRS statute, there could be up to 64
2 rate adjustments during that time. These are all
3 rate modifications outside of the context of a rate
4 case and, therefore, outside of the context of all
5 relevant factors. That is a concern for OPC.

6 A second concern is that the company's
7 proposal needs to match the statute. Staff witness,
8 Mr. Michael Stahlman, as indicated by counsel for
9 Staff, has identified some problems with the
10 company's current proposal. The company's proposal
11 goes beyond weather mitigation and would impact
12 other matters, fuel switching, rate switching, new
13 customers with non-average use. OPC interprets the
14 testimony that the company is acknowledging that,
15 basically, their proposal does go beyond the
16 statute, but the company seems to defend their
17 position by saying that the unlawful elements of
18 their proposal would only have a minor impact.
19 Those are large concerns for OPC, but above and
20 beyond those concerns, the company does not need
21 this relief.

22 Spire's been serving ratepayers in
23 Missouri through the Laclede group for 160 years.
24 They've done very well in this time because of the
25 regulatory compact that exists and the fact that

1 they have captive ratepayers. Most competitive
2 companies do not do this well. In fact, they do not
3 survive this long. That slide above shows that the
4 average company life span for S&P 500 Index back in
5 the '60s used to be that you survived a little
6 longer. Nowadays, most competitive companies don't
7 last that long.

8 So, this company has done well. In
9 fact, arguably, they've done too well. In
10 April 2016, OPC filed an over-earnings complaint
11 relying on surveillance reports in SEC filings. OPC
12 witness, Mr. Robinett, had included in his testimony
13 this quote. "Spire's capital expenditure levels
14 have grown faster than any other RRA covered
15 utility, surging 34 percent annually over the fiscal
16 2011 through 2016 period."

17 Related to the company's enormous
18 growth, consider the fact that Spire already has
19 company-friendly mechanisms. Those include a PGA or
20 purchased gas adjustment and an ACA, an ISRS or an
21 infrastructure system replacement surcharge,
22 historically-favorable rate design, and now the
23 question is: Does the company need a revenue
24 stabilization mechanism? OPC argues they do not.

25 In continuing along, these mechanisms

1 suggested earlier could be a slippery slope to
2 cruise control regulation. The company's current
3 proposal for an RSM, as stated earlier, seeks up to
4 four different rate adjustments in a single year.
5 You heard earlier from the company that they're
6 doing this to prevent unexpected and significant
7 changes in revenue variations. Well, if that's the
8 purpose of their proposal, then there should be a
9 recognition, an express recognition in any order
10 granting something like this that there is a risk
11 return tradeoff.

12 OPC would ask this Commission to
13 recognize that risk transfer and give the company an
14 ROE that would be closer in line to the low end of
15 Mr. Michael Gorman, his range of 8.9 to 9.4. In
16 other words, somewhere below his midpoint of 9.2 and
17 8.9.

18 Dr. Marke is available for questions on
19 how he would quantify the actual risk adjustment
20 that the Commission could take, and I would
21 encourage you to ask him questions about that.

22 And Dr. Marke and OPC isn't alone in
23 this. You also heard comments from counsel for
24 Staff address the risk return tradeoff, and parties
25 to this case aren't alone in recognizing a risk

1 return tradeoff with this mechanism. You know,
2 Moody's has made comments on those gas utilities
3 that have decoupling initiatives generally stand a
4 better chance in protecting their gross margins and
5 overall credit metrics. And Dr. Geoff Marke can
6 answer questions on why, why the company would be
7 exposed to less risk.

8 Those reasons include, but are not
9 limited to facts like that the company would be less
10 exposed to weather volatility and fluctuations in
11 the economy due to contractions. Again, OPC would
12 ask if the Commission does consider this proposal,
13 that there should be an express acknowledgment of
14 that risk transfer.

15 Now, let's say this: OPC is not opposed
16 to decoupling in every instance and Dr. Marke can
17 tell you those instances in which OPC believes that
18 decoupling might make sense, but not in this
19 instance. OPC's position on the customer charge is
20 that a \$14 residential customer charge would be
21 appropriate.

22 And I think you heard from counsel for
23 the company that there was some recognition as to
24 OPC's recommendations. If, you know, if the
25 Commission did want to consider decoupling, it

1 should certainly consider additional
2 recommendations. Dr. Marke's available to discuss
3 those.

4 So, things like customer notice would be
5 important. I'm glad to hear the company's open to
6 rate caps. How often? Is four times per year
7 perhaps unreasonable? What would be the timing?
8 What would happen with economic contractions or
9 depressions? These are just a few of Mr. -- sorry,
10 Dr. Marke's recommendations and he's available to
11 answer all your questions on these to the best of
12 his ability.

13 So, in closing, OPC's request is, one,
14 the Commission should just reject the revenue
15 stabilization mechanism, but if the Commission
16 grants a revenue stabilization mechanism, that it
17 include an ROE finding and make additional detailed
18 recommendations of Dr. Marke and also consider the
19 detailed recommendations of the other parties.

20 Thank you. And if there are any
21 questions, I'm available.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any questions?

23 Thank you, Mr. Smith.

24 And I'll see if I can undo what I did.

25 Is there an opening from the Division of

1 Energy?

2 MR. BEAR: Yes, Your Honor.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: If you will hold on just
4 one moment, Mr. Bear --

5 MR. BEAR: Sure.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- I will try to see if
7 I can figure out the camera.

8 Making progress, believe it or not.

9 I did it.

10 All right. Thank you, Mr. Bear, for
11 your patience. You may proceed.

12 MR. BEAR: Sure. I always enjoy it
13 whenever an opening I gave, I guess, two weeks ago
14 is brought up in argument as we go forward, and I do
15 think it is important to go back to my initial
16 opening statement.

17 I was asked by the Chairman way back
18 when, what's the position on RSM and what would the
19 Division of Energy do based on the case. And we
20 said at the time that if a lot of the low-income
21 programs, the energy efficiency, if those things
22 that were in DE's proposals were granted, that we'd
23 get out of the way of RSM. Thankfully, it looks
24 like we're in that position, although there are some
25 outstanding issues with low income. Specifically,

1 the Commission is going to make a very important
2 determination as to how much money to put into
3 low-income bill assistance, but we're there now.
4 And as such, DE does not object to a rate
5 stabilization mechanism. But that non-objection
6 does not mean that we don't have a position on the
7 issue. I think that there are some important public
8 policy concerns for the Commission to understand
9 going forward if you decide to incorporate that RSM.

10 One of the important ones is that it is
11 a boom for the company. The company does enjoy less
12 risk. That is the entire function of an RSM, but
13 that's not necessarily a bad thing. Certainly, that
14 frees up a space for the company to potentially
15 offer more innovative programs with energy
16 efficiency and conservation, something that's very
17 close to the heart of the Division of Energy.

18 And certainly, if the Commission decides
19 to go for the RSM, as we move into the future, it's
20 going to be important for the Commission to push on
21 Laclede and, I should say, Spire to be innovative,
22 to reflect in customer charges and the ultimate bill
23 a reflection that the RSM has removed some of the
24 risk from that equation. And so, those are going to
25 be important things to decide both today as a public

1 policy matter, but also on the horizon if this comes
2 back in front of the Commission again.

3 I appreciate Staff's reference to
4 preventing high-volatility bills. You'll recall
5 that I specifically made that with high energy
6 burdened consumers, but it is a true point. Of
7 course, the premise is a little bit faulty. If the
8 only two levers that you had on regulation were the
9 customer charge and the volumetric rates, that's
10 absolutely true. The only way that you can prevent
11 a volatile bill is to raise the customer charge.
12 That's not the only lever you have.

13 One of the things that has kind of gone
14 by the wayside is the fact that one of the
15 alternative mechanisms that the Commission could use
16 to deal with high volatility and large bills is to
17 incorporate Mr. Hyman's tail block as a proposal for
18 large-use consumers. That is another alternate way
19 to get exactly in the same position.

20 If the Commission is primarily
21 concerned, as was the context of that argument, in
22 high energy burdened customers, there's another way
23 to do that. That is, by providing a robust billing
24 assistance program during the winter months. And
25 we're helpful that we will have a proposal to you on

1 that, but that proposal is carving out one very
2 important thing for the Commission, which I
3 mentioned earlier, which is how much money do you
4 want to put into that program.

5 You'll recall that Consumer Council has
6 pointed out that there's probably \$5 million of
7 need. We joined them in their 1.5, 1.6 million, I
8 believe, proposal. But if you're concerned about
9 those high-volatility bills, it's not just the
10 customer charge, it's not just the volumetric rates,
11 although those are important. The Commission would
12 help alleviate those risks for low income, high
13 energy burdened consumers by robustly funding that.

14 So, it's important that we don't deal
15 with these issues in isolation. Wisely, the
16 Commission has decided and the Judge has decided to
17 combine RSM along with rate design because they do
18 affect each other and the way that you come out on
19 one does affect the other. It's important to
20 realize that holistically with the outstanding
21 issues, new requirement being one of them, those are
22 all going to affect the overall design and there's
23 not one way to get it.

24 And so, about customer charges, yes, the
25 Division of Energy believes that lower customer

1 charges should be a goal and reducing volatility on
2 the bills. Those are not mutually exclusive
3 propositions.

4 You know, thankfully, the Commission
5 allowed us to access some of the consumer complaints
6 within the Staff's database, and like you-all heard
7 at the public hearings, the consumer complaints
8 basically follow the same formula. If there's one
9 thing consumers really care about, it's the monthly
10 charge. That seems to pop up quite a bit.

11 So, to the extent that we can put
12 pressure on that charge to lessen it, we should
13 engage in that. And to the extent that we're
14 worried about volatility, we should look at the
15 whole host of policy options before the Commission
16 to ameliorate that problem and not just think that
17 it's an all or nothing proposition with limited
18 tools. You have all the tools available to you to
19 make that decision.

20 With that, I'm open to any questions.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any questions?

22 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Bear.

24 Is there an opening from National
25 Housing Trust?

1 MR. LINHARES: Yes, Judge, briefly.

2 Morning. I'm here on behalf of National
3 Housing Trust to offer a full throat of support of
4 Spire's proposed revenue stabilization mechanism or
5 RSM as referred, as well as the proposed decrease in
6 the residential customer charge. And that proposal
7 is from \$19.50 down to \$17 for Laclede and from \$23
8 down to \$20 for MGE.

9 As the National Housing Trust witness,
10 Annika Brink, laid out in her direct testimony on
11 rate design in this case, we believe rate design can
12 be used to support the aims of energy efficiency
13 programs and address the unique energy burden faced
14 by low-income and multifamily households.

15 So, first on the revenue stabilization
16 mechanism, we've heard that it's authorized by
17 section 386.266.3. We believe that the gas
18 utilities like Spire should be committing to
19 decoupling energy sales volume from company profit.

20 Revenue decoupling has the benefit of
21 removing disincentives for utilities to properly
22 treat energy efficiency as an essential resource for
23 addressing customer demand while avoiding new
24 supply, lowering energy burden on customers
25 including low-income single family and low-income

1 multifamily buildings.

2 While decoupling can take various forms
3 under the authority in Chapter 386, the key focus we
4 think here should be aligning incentives so that
5 both the utility and customers can benefit from
6 pursuing energy efficiency as a key resource.

7 Now, given that the parties have agreed
8 to a robust set of energy efficiency investments, we
9 believe that it's fair to the company that they not
10 be made worse off for causing those terms to be
11 saved. We don't agree with Staff on the point that
12 an RSM would be -- would somehow reverse the
13 incentive in the direction away from conservation
14 here, although, I understand the thrust of that
15 argument. I believe that the rate increase from
16 this case and the attractiveness of energy
17 efficiency incentives will keep customers focused on
18 lowering their bills. We simply want to make sure
19 that the company's incentive is also pointed in this
20 same direction.

21 Now, briefly on the proposed residential
22 customer charge, we think that the company should
23 stay committed to lowering fixed customer charge
24 even further beyond this case, but we do agree that
25 the proposed decrease represents, represents a start

1 in the right direction.

2 Lower customer charges incentivize
3 energy conservation. They prevent low-energy users
4 from being unfairly overcharged for their usage
5 patterns. And it's interesting to look at how in
6 the low-income multifamily sector, how these
7 midwestern multifamily homes stack up against other
8 types of residential customers.

9 Midwestern multifamily households use 43
10 percent more energy per square foot than single
11 family detached homes. However, at the same time,
12 they use less total energy than other households,
13 less than half of the traditional midwestern single
14 family home. As comparatively low-energy users,
15 low-income multifamily households are, thus,
16 particularly at risk of, of harm from high fixed
17 charges.

18 May also be briefly relevant to note
19 that -- and you can find this in the direct
20 testimony of Annika Brink, rate design, Laclede Gas
21 and MGE have some of the highest residential
22 customer charges in their -- in their gas utility
23 peer group across the midwest and upper southeast.

24 So, in closing here, and I'm happy to
25 entertain any questions, we think the proposal of

1 the customer charge here moves the company in a
2 right direction and is a complement to their
3 investments in energy efficiency, which the parties
4 have thankfully been able to agree on. So, thank
5 you and I'm happy to address any questions.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

7 Commissioner Kenney, you had a question?

8 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Yeah. Just real
9 briefly.

10 Are you familiar that within I know my
11 tenure here on the Commission that this Commission
12 has been trying to get closer to a customer charge
13 that represents the fixed cost to the company? I
14 mean, we've been -- but that this Commission also
15 has been trying to do more towards low-income rates,
16 where reduction of fixed costs for a program to take
17 into account for low-income, reduction of the fixed
18 costs?

19 MR. LINHARES: Yes, I'm aware of that,
20 both that general discussion and the Commission's
21 views on low-income customers, absolutely.

22 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: So, your belief is
23 that the fixed cost should not represent the
24 customer charge, that we should -- that it should
25 be -- are you supporting volumetric charge or an

1 inclining or...?

2 MR. LINHARES: Yes. Yes. And please
3 stop me if I get too far afield.

4 I do believe that an inclining
5 volumetric charge has value in terms of
6 incentivizing conservation. In terms of whether the
7 fixed charge -- I think this is where your question
8 is getting at, Commissioner, in terms of whether the
9 fixed charge, the customer charge should reflect all
10 the fixed costs. I do believe there's sufficient
11 consensus and, and historical precedent for the fact
12 that those shouldn't have parody with one another,
13 that there are reasons -- there are reasons beyond
14 simply recovering all fixed costs that we chose to
15 have a lower -- a lower customer charge rather than
16 reflecting all fixed costs within that.

17 There's also a larger national and
18 historical discussion on what exactly are fixed
19 costs and what the true cost of serving a meter,
20 both electric and gas. So, that would be another
21 major area that I would want to unpack if we were
22 going to have a discussion.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Is your support of
24 the RSM more leaning towards the fact that it
25 represents a lower customer charge?

1 MR. LINHARES: I believe those two
2 issues are linked, and I think the company has, has
3 linked those pretty clearly, and I would say that
4 from NHT's perspective, those issues are linked as
5 well.

6 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Thank you.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

8 MR. LINHARES: Thank you.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

10 MR. THOMPSON: Judge, is Ms. Brink going
11 to be here for cross-examination?

12 MR. LINHARES: I have reached out to the
13 parties and I believe all parties have waived her
14 cross-examination at this point.

15 MR. THOMPSON: I don't think you reached
16 out to me.

17 MR. LINHARES: Did I not?

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Ms. Brink wasn't on the
19 list for today, but is she available if there should
20 be --

21 MR. LINHARES: She's not available
22 today. I mean, given the chaotic nature of the
23 hearing and we weren't sure when we were going to
24 get to this or whether it was going to settle, we
25 hadn't arranged her travel. I'm happy to not offer

1 her testimony as an exhibit if you have -- I
2 apologize for not --

3 MR. THOMPSON: That's all right. I'm
4 told that someone waived on my behalf. So, I
5 apologize, Mr. Linhares, and I apologize to the
6 bench.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. No problem.
8 Thank you. Thank you for that, Mr. Linhares.

9 MR. LINHARES: Okay.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there anything from
11 the Midwest Energy Consumers?

12 MR. KEEVIL: And while you're up there,
13 can you fix that television set?

14 (A discussion was held off the record.)

15 MR. WOODSMALL: Good morning. I'll be
16 brief.

17 As the parties have talked about, while
18 the statute has been in existence for about 12
19 years, this is a novel issue for the Commission.
20 The Commission hasn't addressed the implementation
21 of an RSM. So, given that, given it's kind of an
22 issue of first impression, I want to go back to the
23 statute and talk about a couple of things in the
24 statute.

25 The first thing I wanted to talk about

1 was, as several parties have talked about, the
2 statute in play here is Section 386.266, and
3 specifically, the RSM applicable to gas is
4 386.266.3. But there is a provision in a subsequent
5 statute that I think is very appropriate or very
6 important to talk about, and that is in 386.266.4.
7 It says that the Commission can approve this, but it
8 says, quote, Provided that it finds that the
9 adjustment mechanism set forth in the schedules is
10 reasonably designed to provide the utility with a
11 sufficient opportunity to earn a fair return on
12 equity, unquote.

13 I have problem understanding how we
14 implement an RSM for a company in a case where the
15 vast majority of the evidence points to the fact
16 that the company is already over-earning. They
17 don't need this RSM in order to earn a fair return
18 on equity. They're already doing it.

19 Now, this isn't just a short one-time
20 situation. There's other pieces of evidence that
21 indicates, historically, the company has earned its
22 authorized return. In the last case, four years
23 ago, the company agreed to a settlement in which
24 they settled for zero increase. So, rates haven't
25 changed for, at least, eight years. So, for eight

1 years the company has been earning its authorized
2 return.

3 Let's look at what S&P says about this.
4 S&P, in their rating regarding Laclede and MGE, they
5 talk about, quote, that Missouri is, quote, A
6 regulatory environment which has enabled the company
7 to consistently earn at or close to its authorized
8 returns.

9 They don't need this in order to earn a
10 fair return on equity. They're already doing it.

11 So, the statute would tend to indicate
12 that you really can't authorize this for them. And
13 maybe that's why in 12 years, no gas company has
14 gotten this RSM.

15 Another issue to talk about is
16 386.266.7. And Mr. Thompson and Mr. Smith kind of
17 talked around this. They talked about it, but they
18 didn't refer specifically to the statute. There it
19 says that the Commission should take into account
20 reduction in business risk associated with granting
21 this RSM in setting the return on equity, and we
22 agree with that. An RSM will reduce the company's
23 risk and it should also reduce the company's return
24 on equity. So, we would encourage you, if you do
25 implement such an RSM, that you take that into

1 account in setting the return on equity.

2 The third thing I wanted to talk about
3 was a specific provision in 386.266.3. There it
4 says the company can ask for and the Commission can
5 grant an RSM, quote, to reflect the non-gas revenue
6 effects of increases or decreases in residential and
7 commercial customer usage due to variations in
8 either weather, conservation, or both. So, it's for
9 residential and commercial.

10 Now, residential is pretty obvious. In
11 fact, the company has a rate schedule entitled
12 "Residential Service," but what is commercial?
13 That's not defined by the statute, that's not
14 defined by the company.

15 My concern is, while the company is
16 asking for it to apply to small general service in
17 this case, that under the guise of applying it to
18 commercial customers, they may extend it later.

19 So, if you do implement such a
20 mechanism, we ask you to explicitly find that
21 commercial for purposes of this statute only refers
22 to small general service and so that it ends there.

23 The final thing I wanted to talk about
24 was a comment made by the company in its opening
25 statement. There the company made a reference

1 designed to give you comfort with their proposal by
2 referring to the PGA and the fact that these
3 adjustments have been made in the PGA. There's a
4 big distinction here, a big distinction. That is,
5 in the PGA, costs are tracked down to the penny and
6 it's tracked so that the company can't make excess
7 earnings because of this. There is nothing in the
8 RSM that keeps the company from using it to make
9 excess earnings. So, the company could be
10 over-earning as they are here today and use this RSM
11 to make further adjustments just to increase their
12 over-earning.

13 So, one of the things I'd ask you to
14 consider, if you implement such an RSM, is make a
15 finding that it can only be used to make positive
16 adjustments if the company is over-earning, that
17 they can't seek these adjustments from customers if
18 they're already earning their authorized return.

19 That was all the comments I had and I
20 appreciate your time.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

22 Any questions?

23 MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you,

25 Mr. Woodsmall.

1 Is there anything from MIEC?

2 MR. MILLS: Once again, one of the
3 benefits of going late in the process is that much
4 of what I would have said has already been said.
5 So, I'll be very brief.

6 The MIEC opposes the establishment of an
7 RSM in this case for the reasons set forth in the
8 rebuttal testimony of Greg Myer and primarily for
9 the reasons you heard about today. The company has
10 failed to show that it's necessary and there is
11 insufficient evidence in the record for the
12 Commission to accurately establish a reduction in
13 return on equity to account for the reduction in
14 risk that this would implement.

15 And that's all I have. I'm happy to
16 answer any questions.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Mills, I just wanted
20 to clarify. Mr. Myer is also not here today to
21 testify because his cross-examination had been
22 waived, correct?

23 MR. MILLS: That's correct.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Just wanted to, to
25 clarify that.

1 All right. I think, then, there are no
2 other intervenors present that wanted to make an
3 opening statement.

4 Seeing none, let's go ahead, then, and
5 take a short break and return at 10:40.

6 Let's go off the record.

7 (A short break was taken.)

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: We are back on the
9 record after our break. And where did "Your Honor"
10 come from?

11 Mr. Bear.

12 MR. BEAR: I believe I have an agreement
13 of the parties. Mr. Hyman has a graduation to
14 attend, and I believe there's an agreement for him
15 to go first. And in exchange for that, I would
16 waive after that the cross-examination I have with
17 the remainder of the witnesses.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. That sounds fine.
19 Before we get to the witnesses, though, I want to
20 mark Mr. Smith's demonstrative exhibit, his
21 PowerPoint slides from his opening statement, and
22 that is marked as Exhibit 437 for demonstrative
23 purposes.

24 Okay. So, it sounds like there is an
25 agreement of the parties to revise the order of

1 witnesses and begin with Division of Energy's
2 witness. You-all take a minute here to shift gears
3 on the bench, but give me just a moment.

4 (The witness was sworn in.)

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Hang on just one moment,
6 Mr. Bear, before you begin.

7 MR. BEAR: Sure thing.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Go ahead.

9 MR. BEAR: And I want to thank the
10 Commission and the parties for allowing this
11 accommodation.

12 MARTIN HYMAN,
13 having been called as a witness herein, having been
14 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
15 follows:

16 DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. BEAR

18 Q. Could you state your name for the
19 record, please.

20 A. Martin Hyman, H-Y-M-A-N.

21 Q. And how are you employed?

22 A. As an energy policy analyst with the
23 Division of Energy.

24 Q. And have you caused to be filed in this
25 proceeding direct, rebuttal, rebuttal and

1 surrebuttal testimonies?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. All right. And if I were to ask you the
4 questions contained in those documents, would you
5 answer those questions as set forth in those
6 documents?

7 A. Yes, with one minor correction.

8 Q. And what is that correction?

9 A. In my rebuttal rate design testimony on
10 page 8, line 13, I missed a word. The word
11 "increase" should be in there right after the word
12 "noticeable." So, it should read "noticeable
13 increase in customer complaints and inquiries."

14 Q. Other than that, is there any other
15 changes that you need to make?

16 A. No, sir.

17 MR. BEAR: Okay. Since this is the last
18 issue, I'd move for the admission of the prefiled
19 testimony, Your Honor.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I'm still playing
21 catch up just a little bit here.

22 Your correction was on page 8, line
23 13; is that correct?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes. Rebuttal rate
25 design.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: And what were -- what
2 was the inserted word?

3 THE WITNESS: "Increase."

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Oh, okay.

5 THE WITNESS: Right after the word
6 "noticeable."

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you.

8 Now, we have several pieces of testimony
9 for Mr. Hyman.

10 MR. BEAR: I believe it's 500 -- I'd
11 have to look at my laptop.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: I have 504 is the
13 rebuttal testimony for -- oh, I'm sorry. 500 is the
14 direct testimony. 504 is the rebuttal for revenue
15 requirement. 505 is the rebuttal for rate design.
16 508 is the surrebuttal.

17 And is that all?

18 MR. BEAR: Yes, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Four exhibits?

20 MR. BEAR: Yes, Your Honor. And that's
21 my recollection as well.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
23 objection to Exhibits 500, 507, 508 -- I'm sorry.
24 Not 507. 508, 509 -- well, I just keep going down
25 the list for no reason at all.

1 Starting over. Exhibits 500, 504, 505
2 and 508?

3 Seeing no objection, I will admit those
4 last four stated exhibits.

5 (DE's Exhibits 500, 504, 505 and 508
6 were admitted into evidence.)

7 MR. BEAR: I tender the witness.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then, is
9 there any cross-examination by Spire?

10 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. ZUCKER

13 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hyman.

14 A. Good morning, sir.

15 Q. The Division of Energy testified that it
16 would support the RSM conditioned upon certain rate
17 design -- rate design -- rate designs and energy
18 efficiency cooperation on the part of the
19 company; is that right?

20 A. Not exactly.

21 Q. Okay. Can you explain that?

22 A. The testimony, which it's in a few
23 places. Well, I guess we can go to rebuttal rate
24 design. It's just as good as any. Is that we're
25 not opposed, and I'm trying to find that particular

1 language.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Page 8.

3 THE WITNESS: Page 8 of rebuttal rate
4 design?

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah.

6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7 A. Is that we're not opposed to the
8 implementation of the RSM if the company moves
9 forward with the efficiency recommendations which we
10 have settled out and with the rate design stuff that
11 we are here today for.

12 Q (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. And Spire
13 represented that it would be flexible on rate
14 design, particularly customer charges, and would be
15 supportive of energy efficiency if the RSM is
16 approved. Do you agree with that is Spire's
17 position?

18 A. That is my general recollection.

19 Q. Okay. And in your view, has Spire acted
20 consistent with its representations?

21 A. I think on energy efficiency, yes. We
22 have yet to see what the final rate design will look
23 like in this case, but in terms of what Spire has
24 proposed for the customer charges, I think that
25 that's good movement. I think there's still an

1 outstanding issue on the winter tail block for
2 Laclede customers.

3 Q. Okay. Would you turn to your
4 surrebuttal testimony?

5 A. Sure. Which page?

6 Q. Page 4.

7 A. Okay. And which line or lines?

8 Q. Lines 11 to 13.

9 A. Okay. There.

10 Q. So, you talk about recognizing that the
11 proposed RSM would adjust for other causes to
12 changes in revenue such as changes in economic
13 conditions. Do you see that?

14 A. I do.

15 Q. And when you're talking about other
16 causes, you're talking about other than weather
17 changes or conservation, correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And can you explain to me what you mean
20 by "changes in economic conditions"?

21 A. Well, for example, if the economy goes,
22 shall we say, south, if economic conditions worsen,
23 customers may choose to use less gas for any number
24 of reasons, and not necessarily for, you know,
25 conservation or efficiency, per se. In that case,

1 that would still be reflected in the RSM adjustment
2 as proposed by the company since while it is based
3 on a per customer adjustment, and that omits
4 variations due to the number of customers, it would
5 still capture any other changes in average usage.

6 Q. Okay. So, if a customer decides that he
7 or she wants to conserve energy by turning down the
8 thermostat, let's say the customer usually has the
9 thermostat at 72 and turns it down to 70, would you
10 agree that that's conservation?

11 A. Generally, yes.

12 Q. And if the customer wants to save money
13 by getting -- by having a lower bill and turns the
14 thermostat down from 72 to 70, would you agree that
15 that's conservation?

16 A. I'm sorry. I'm not catching the
17 difference in the two questions. Can you repeat
18 that?

19 Q. And neither am I.

20 If the customer wants to save money on
21 their energy bill and turns the thermostat down from
22 72 to 70, would you agree that that's conservation?

23 A. Generally, yes.

24 MR. ZUCKER: All right. That's all the
25 questions I have. Thank you.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any
2 cross-examination by Staff?

3 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. I'm
4 cross-examining on the RSM issue.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. THOMPSON

8 **Q. What benefit do customers receive by**
9 **practicing energy efficiency with respect to natural**
10 **gas?**

11 A. In general, the concept from a direct
12 customer participant's perspective, it is saving
13 money on bills, but there also could be other
14 nonenergy benefits, such as improved comfort,
15 health, safety. It could be any number of things.
16 From the broader system perspective, it helps reduce
17 the overall cost incurred to serve all customers in
18 the long run.

19 **Q. So, if customers are paying more because**
20 **of an RSM, that's going to reduce the benefit**
21 **they're going to see in terms of saving money on**
22 **their personal bill; isn't that correct?**

23 A. Not precisely.

24 **Q. Not precisely. In what way is that not**
25 **correct?**

1 A. To the extent that the RSM leads to an
2 upward adjustment, then customers would be paying
3 more to some extent; however, it is not, and I
4 believe this is in my surrebuttal testimony, it's
5 not a one-for-one adjustment. If I use one less
6 therm, then I'm not billed for that one less therm
7 in the subsequent RSM adjustment. The way it works
8 under the company's proposal is that it would be
9 adjusted on average across all customers.

10 So, you would still see a benefit in
11 that respect, and to the extent that the RSM leads
12 to an upward adjustment, you would still see a
13 benefit because you're still saving on what you
14 would have used absent the RSM adjustment.

15 **Q. I wonder if you could make that simple**
16 **enough for even a lawyer to understand.**

17 A. Yeah. I'm sorry. That was --

18 **Q. Let's talk money.**

19 A. Okay.

20 **Q. If I lower my thermostat --**

21 A. Okay.

22 **Q. -- I will pay less under the current**
23 **rate structure; isn't that correct?**

24 A. Yes, in certain ways. I think if you're
25 looking at a --

1 Q. My customer charge will remain the
2 same --

3 A. Right.

4 Q. -- month-to-month, right?

5 A. Right.

6 Q. But my volumetric charge will be lower
7 because I have used less; isn't that correct?

8 A. From the PGA perspective, that's
9 generally correct. In the winter, for Laclede
10 customers in the second rate block, since there is
11 no volumetric charge under the current weather
12 mitigated rate design, there is actually no savings
13 on that part of the distribution charge.

14 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you very
15 much. No further questions.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there -- are there --
17 well, I guess, are there any other Staff questions
18 on this -- for this witness on --

19 MR. KEEVIL: No, Judge. No questions.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- on rate design?

21 Okay. Office of Public Counsel.

22 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.

23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. SHEMWELL:

25 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hyman.

1 A. Good morning, ma'am.

2 Q. I'm Lera Shemwell representing the
3 Public Counsel.

4 Do you recall your counsel stating that
5 low-income assistance should be increased possibly
6 as much as 5 million?

7 A. I recall him saying that we were
8 agreeing with the 1.4 to 1.5 million.

9 Q. Did he suggest a higher amount?

10 A. What I recall is that he said that there
11 was potentially a need for 5 million based on the
12 testimony of Ms. Hutchinson on behalf of Consumer's
13 Council.

14 Q. Thank you.

15 Do you know whether or not the company's
16 existing low-income program actually uses its full
17 budget?

18 A. Well, I am not the witness on that
19 issue. I believe the witness you would be looking
20 for on that would be Erin Kohl.

21 Q. I was asking do you know?

22 A. My understanding is that they generally
23 have not used all of that money.

24 Q. Do you know if, with other utilities,
25 their shareholders actually match some low-income

1 **assistance programs?**

2 A. I know -- again, I'm not the witness on
3 this particular issue, but I know from what I've
4 heard at hearing that there is some sharing on with
5 Ameren's Keeping Current program.

6 **Q. Is your testimony that you don't know**
7 **the amount of that sharing?**

8 A. What I've heard, if I recall correctly,
9 is 40 percent shareholder sharing, but that would --

10 **Q. Thank you.**

11 A. Yeah.

12 **Q. Have you heard the testimony that**
13 **Laclede matches \$1 for every \$5 customers donate to**
14 **assist Laclede's most vulnerable customers?**

15 A. I'm not sure if I recall the exact
16 amounts, but that sounds right.

17 MS. SHEMWELL: That's all I have for
18 you. Thank you.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from MIEC?

20 MR. MILLS: No questions.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy

22 Consumers.

23 National Housing Trust. They seem to
24 have left me.

25 Any questions from the bench for

1 Mr. Hyman?

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Sure.

3 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Good morning.

4 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Chairman.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: What are the best
6 arguments in favor of an RSM for a gas corporation?

7 THE WITNESS: I think from our
8 perspective, there are few. One is that, it makes
9 the company indifferent to changes in revenue due to
10 weather or efficiency, which doesn't automatically
11 make them do more efficiency but it at least enables
12 them to do so. Hence, the recommendation, and I
13 hope, you know, in some level, the settlement that
14 we got for more efficiency spending.

15 I think the other part is that, it
16 enables more flexibilities on rate designs, so you
17 can, for example, have a lower customer charge,
18 which helps your low-income customers, which tend to
19 be low use.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: And then -- and then
21 what are the -- what are the major justifications --
22 those are -- those are the possible benefits?

23 THE WITNESS: Right.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: What are the major
25 justifications in this case for the application for

1 an RSM?

2 THE WITNESS: I would say they're
3 similar, if not the same. I would say that, you
4 know, we're looking at increasing efficiency
5 funding, thanks to a stipulation that was entered
6 into, and we're looking at the possibility of moving
7 away from the weather mitigated rate design and
8 from -- it's not a straight fixed variable for MGE
9 anymore, but it's maybe one case past having been a
10 straight fixed variable rate design or two cases, if
11 I recall correctly. So, you're looking at more
12 customer-friendly rate design.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Would you say that, that
14 declining consumption in some context, perhaps,
15 water or electricity, but maybe gas as well could be
16 a justification for employing an RSM?

17 THE WITNESS: I think it might be from a
18 company's perspective.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Explain that.

20 THE WITNESS: Well, from -- well, I
21 guess I could see it from both sides in that case.
22 I think, to some extent, the traditional regulatory
23 process has accounted for declines or increases in
24 consumption through regular rate cases. The RSM
25 just allows that process to be a little, I guess,

1 faster, for lack of a better word, and allows the
2 company to, in some cases, incent those declines in
3 usage through efficiency, for example.

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Are we seeing the same
5 kind of level to declining consumption that we're
6 seeing in water and electricity? Are we seeing that
7 in gas?

8 THE WITNESS: My general understanding
9 is, if you kind of had to rank them, that water
10 would be seeing some of the most dramatic declines,
11 then maybe gas, to some extent, you know, there's a
12 lot more weather variability, I think, in that
13 regard. And then electric is kind of last in terms
14 of how much variability you're having a declining
15 sales. You're maybe at flat to declining load
16 growth in electric.

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, gas would probably
18 have the most variability, but overall, your
19 understanding is that, in terms of level of
20 consumption over time, it's, it's, it's in between
21 water and electricity?

22 THE WITNESS: I'd say so. I think my
23 assumption would be that is declining based on what
24 I know, but, you know, I would assume that water is
25 probably going a little faster because just how

1 things are going.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: And is that a factor
3 that we should take into account when determining
4 whether or not an RSM is appropriate?

5 THE WITNESS: I think it is one of the
6 factors you could take into account.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: Now, my understanding of
8 the -- of the statute authorizing an RSM, it allows
9 for adjustments for weather conservation or both.
10 Is that your understanding as well?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes. That is my
12 recollection, 386.266.3.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: 386.266?

14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: You were close.

16 THE WITNESS: Well --

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Anyway. Is it -- and I
18 believe you have some testimony on this, and I think
19 you had a couple of questions from Spire's counsel
20 on this. To what extent do you think that there --
21 that there's a way to ensure that decreases in
22 consumption are limited to weather, conservation, or
23 both?

24 THE WITNESS: Well, I'll start by saying
25 that, you know, we don't really have a stake in the

1 exact assign of the RSM, if one is implemented. I
2 would say that I think Staff makes a good point that
3 the average -- the use of -- average use per
4 customer strictly isn't quite getting at what the
5 statute, you know, speaks to. I think we'd be open
6 to some suggestions on a better way to match to the
7 statute.

8 For example, Staff had a recommendation
9 based on -- I think it was Atmos in Virginia, if I'm
10 recalling correctly. That would do it based on
11 weather normalization. So, you look at changes in
12 heating degree days and usage and basically customer
13 elasticity with respect to that is kind of my
14 understanding. So, that's one way you could get at
15 weather strictly. Conservation or efficiency are
16 somewhat trickier, I will admit, as, as we have
17 found out with MEEIA.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. I mean, the
19 questions from, from Mr. Zucker made clear, I don't
20 know how you would distinguish economic conditions
21 from conservation.

22 THE WITNESS: It is --

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: Because economic
24 conditions could trigger conservation.

25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I agree. That's

1 kind of a little bit of a tricky thing to
2 disentangle.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Comparing water, gas,
4 and electric, to you what's the hierarchy in your
5 view as to the appropriateness of an RSM, putting
6 the statute aside?

7 THE WITNESS: Well, setting aside that
8 we're not opposed to an RSM, I would say it probably
9 makes the most sense for, in this case, for a gas
10 company at this time. I think with electric, you
11 don't -- you have MEEIA to account for some of this
12 already and you have the FAC.

13 With water, it's a little trickier, and
14 I think I might have to stop there because I don't
15 want to go too far into the American Water rate case
16 that I'm in. Sorry.

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, what is your
18 position on, on inclining block rates either with an
19 RSM or without an RSM?

20 THE WITNESS: I think, ultimately, it
21 depends on, you know, what's the revenue requirement
22 that we end up with. I think that's going to
23 influence a lot of what the bill impacts are going
24 to look like. If the bill impacts aren't
25 extraordinary with the revenue requirement that's

1 ultimately ordered, I think that an inclining block
2 rate could be implemented, but I think in the
3 interest of gradualism, we'd have to be very careful
4 with, with what the bill impacts would be.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: And that's your position
6 whether, whether we employ an RSM or not?

7 THE WITNESS: I would say so, yes.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: And what is your
9 position on the customer charge?

10 THE WITNESS: Well, DE supports lower
11 customer charges generally.

12 And if I may, I wanted to respond to
13 something I heard from Commissioner Kenney, which is
14 that, that you're trying to move towards the
15 recovery of fixed costs in customer charges. And my
16 understanding of cost of service rate design is that
17 customer charges aren't for covering the fixed costs
18 per se. What they're recovering are the
19 customer-related fixed costs, so the cost to serve
20 an additional customer.

21 It's kind of a subtle point, but it is
22 important because basically that, that's just
23 recovering things like the meter, the, you know,
24 meter reading, the billing and collecting. It's not
25 the same as recovering every short run fixed cost.

1 In the long run, virtually, all costs are variable.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Well, that may
3 have been his question.

4 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: My question is, what is
6 your position on the -- on the customer charge?

7 THE WITNESS: Generally, we'd like to
8 see them fairly low. I think Staff put 22 and 20
9 out there. I think we'd like to see somewhere lower
10 than 20 ideally.

11 CHAIRMAN HALL: And obviously, if we
12 were to employ an RSM, you would be comfortable
13 going even lower?

14 THE WITNESS: Oh, I would say so, yes.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Did you put an actual
16 dollar amount in your testimony?

17 THE WITNESS: I did not, except that I
18 said that I didn't agree with Staff's \$26 charge.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: What would your position
20 be on -- if we were to institute an RSM requiring
21 the company to come back after a year and defend
22 again and, and allow the parties to take another
23 whack at, at the issue and give the Commission
24 another opportunity to determine whether or not it's
25 actually working as envisioned?

1 THE WITNESS: So, in terms of some of
2 whether or not that that could be done, I think I'd
3 probably have to defer to my counsel on that. I
4 think, you know, from a policy perspective, that's
5 certainly interesting possibility.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: What would be the
7 benefits of that from your perspective?

8 THE WITNESS: It would sort of be a
9 check-in, if you will, to make sure that it's
10 working as intended; that we're getting the benefits
11 that we were hoping for from it; that there weren't
12 some serious adverse impact on customers. So, I
13 think there could be some benefits in those regards.

14 CHAIRMAN HALL: What do you think about
15 MECG's position that upward adjustments be
16 contingent upon a determination that the company is
17 not over-earning? Is that something that makes
18 sense to you?

19 THE WITNESS: I don't know if I can
20 speak to that precisely, but it seems -- it seems
21 like it's, you know, something theoretically that
22 would make sense. I guess the question would be,
23 how you determine the over-earnings at that point.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you know how many --
25 strike that.

1 Let me ask it this way: Do you know how
2 many gas utilities right now have something
3 comparable to an RSM?

4 THE WITNESS: I don't know the exact
5 number. I know the company had some information in
6 the testimony from -- I think it was a, a gas group
7 on companies that have RSMs. Although, the copy-out
8 would be that some of those would technically
9 include a straight fixed variable rate design, but
10 that's not the best way to do decoupling in our
11 office's view.

12 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, I'll direct that
13 question --

14 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: -- to a company witness.
16 All right. Thank you.

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
18 Thank you.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any further
20 cross-examination based on the Chairman's questions
21 from Spire?

22 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, Your Honor.

23 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. ZUCKER

25 **Q. Mr. Hyman, you said you were opposed to**

1 **Staff's \$26 recommendation on customer charges?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. Are you more comfortable with Spire's**
4 **proposed customer charges in connection with their**
5 **RSM rate design of \$20 and \$17?**

6 A. 17 for Laclede and 20 for MGE is my
7 recollection, yes. I think I'm more comfortable
8 with those.

9 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you. No further
10 questions.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from Staff?

12 MR. THOMPSON: No. Thank you, Judge.

13 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, I have one, if I
14 may.

15 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. KEEVIL

17 **Q. Mr. Hyman, in response to question by**
18 **Chairman Hall, you responded to a previous question**
19 **from Commissioner Kenney, and my -- you got off into**
20 **talking about allocation of costs or something fixed**
21 **costs versus customer related.**

22 By that discussion -- you do recall
23 that, do you not, sir?

24 A. Yes. Yes.

25 **Q. And by that discussion, you did not mean**

1 to imply that you've done a class cost of service
2 study in this case, did you?

3 A. I have not. Though, I have been to --

4 MR. KEEVIL: Okay. Thank you.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Office of Public
6 Counsel.

7 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MS. SHEMWELL

9 Q. Mr. Hyman, if the Commission decided not
10 to grant an RSM, would you still support the lower
11 customer charge?

12 A. I think so, generally.

13 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: MIEC.

15 MR. MILLS: No questions.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect -- oh,
17 I'm sorry. Division of Energy, you are redirect.
18 Never mind. I'll get with the program here
19 eventually.

20 MR. BEAR: Anytime I'm remembered, I'm
21 happy. So, yeah, just a little bit of redirect
22 here.

23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. BEAR

25 Q. You were asked by Staff whether or not

1 you did a class cost of service and you had
2 something to say.

3 What were -- could you finish your
4 thought, Mr. Hyman?

5 A. Sure. I mean, I've looked at relevant
6 portions of cost allocation or manuals on cost
7 allocations that are used in these industries and
8 I've been to rate design school that's hosted by, I
9 believe, it's NARUC, and the Institute for Public
10 Utilities in Michigan. So, I'm certainly familiar
11 with the general principles of rate design.

12 Q. And so, you know, just as a general
13 proposition, would it be fair to say that, you know,
14 it's kind of a given that there's more than just the
15 straight fixed cost in the customer charge, right?

16 A. Absolutely. As, as I was saying to
17 Chairman Hall, it's, it's more about the
18 customer-related costs than it is about the, quote,
19 short run fixed costs or the fixed costs in the
20 accounting sense. In the long run in economics,
21 virtually, all costs are variable. The customer
22 charge is really just a recognition that there are
23 certain costs incurred to serve a particular
24 customer in terms of things like metering, billing,
25 collecting, et cetera.

1 Q. And just for the fairness of the record,
2 the Chairman had asked you what are the best
3 arguments for an RSM. Let's just ask the converse
4 of that for the record. What are the best arguments
5 against an RSM?

6 A. Well, there are certainly concerns that
7 it may not take into account all relevant factors,
8 which is one of the classical -- classic tenants of
9 ratemaking. It could also lead, to some extent, to
10 less-predictable bills for customers to the extent
11 that they can anticipate a particular RSM
12 adjustment.

13 Q. But given the -- depending on rate
14 design in this case and the other stipulations that
15 have been entered into, do you feel confident that
16 those concerns and those arguments are, at least,
17 mitigated or addressed?

18 A. I would say so. The proposal that I've
19 seen from the company for the RSM is that there
20 would be up to four adjustments, but at least one
21 per year. So, there would be some, some opportunity
22 for parties to come in and discuss the adjustments.
23 There would be more opportunities for customers to
24 save money through efficiency, and under the
25 volumetric and fixed charge proposals we would like

1 to see, customers would have quite a bit of their
2 adverse bill impacts mitigated.

3 Q. You were asked about by the Chairman
4 about the possibility of coming back in if we give a
5 test run of the RSM, and although I'm not supposed
6 to suggest testimony, let's say that your counsel
7 did agree with that, what would be the length of
8 time that would be needed to really give this thing
9 a full test run and figure out where there might be
10 some issues?

11 A. Well, you probably would need a few
12 years to get a decent amount of data. And I mean,
13 just in terms of litigating the issues, if you
14 wanted to treat it as a traditional rate case, it
15 would probably be, you know, 11 months from when you
16 start the process of reviewing it.

17 Q. What are the things that -- if there
18 were -- if there was a possibility built into this,
19 to the Commission's ultimate determination, you
20 know, what are the things we need to be looking for
21 if there's this test period? What should the
22 Commission specifically direct the parties to look
23 at to figure out whether or not this RSM is working?

24 A. The Chairman mentioned over-earnings
25 and, I think, to some extent, you could look at what

1 the ROE is of the company, the actual realized ROE.
2 You could look at to what extent the company has
3 been supporting efficiency programs. You could look
4 at what customers have been saying through
5 complaints and inquiries or other -- or other flora.
6 Those are some of the things you could look at.

7 Q. One of the questions that was posed to
8 you or kind of a general proposition by both the
9 Chairman and some of the parties were, as in my
10 nonexpert way of conceiving it, trying to figure out
11 when a consumer turns down the thermostat, the
12 reason why they're doing that. Do you recall that?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And so, your testimony was, it's
15 difficult to know whether they're doing that
16 because, you know, they're earning less money, their
17 economic conditions or whether they're trying to,
18 you know, just save energy and save gas?

19 A. Yes. Yes.

20 Q. What are some of the ways or do you have
21 any thoughts to share with the Commission of ways
22 that you could better link conservation intent with
23 an RSM?

24 A. That would be difficult, but not
25 necessarily impossible. I know that in MEEIA -- I'm

1 sorry. I'm throwing an acronym out there without
2 explaining it. The Missouri Energy Efficiency
3 Investment Act, on the electric side, that there's
4 quite a bit of evaluation, measurement and
5 verification to try to attribute the causes of
6 changes in customer consumption on efficiency, you
7 know, more so than conservation, but the two
8 concepts are closely linked.

9 And so, you can look at things like
10 customer surveys as to why they took an incentive in
11 the case of efficiency. So, I think those are the
12 types of things you would have to get to.

13 **Q. Would it be fair to state, I don't know**
14 **what your answer's going to be on this, that one of**
15 **the ways that you could ensure that or, at least,**
16 **have more certainty that the behavior in turning**
17 **down the thermostat is tied to an intent for**
18 **conservation, to push a robust energy efficiency**
19 **program through the company?**

20 A. I would say that's, that's part of it
21 and, you know, to the extent that there is EMV for
22 those efficiency programs, yes.

23 MR. BEAR: Okay. That's all the
24 questions I have. Thank you.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let me just get one more

1 clarification. You used the term "EMV," if you want
2 to clarify that.

3 THE WITNESS: Oh, my apologies.

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's all right.

5 THE WITNESS: That is evaluation,
6 measurement, and verification.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

8 All right. Then, I believe that that
9 concludes the testimony for Mr. Hyman, and you may
10 be excused.

11 THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.

12 MR. BEAR: Your Honor, since I waived
13 cross-examination, may I be excused from the
14 remainder of the hearing?

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: You may, Mr. Bear.

16 MR. BEAR: Thank you very much.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: With my usual caveat, if
18 you're not here, you run the risk of what I might
19 do.

20 All right then. I think we can get back
21 on track then and go back to the company witnesses.

22 MR. MILLS: Judge, while the company
23 witnesses are coming forward, can I ask a procedural
24 question in terms of evidence and testimony?

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, you may.

1 MR. MILLS: We're coming towards the end
2 of the evidentiary hearing. We have a number of
3 witnesses whose cross-examination has been waived at
4 various times. How do you intend to address the
5 admission of that testimony?

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: I was going to, at the
7 end, go through the exhibit list and see about
8 admitting whatever had not yet been admitted.

9 MR. MILLS: Okay. Thank you.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right.

11 MR. PENDERGAST: The company would call
12 C. Eric Lobser to the stand.

13 And I believe Mr. Lobser had all the
14 preliminaries done. So, I will just tender him for
15 cross.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

17 Mr. Lobser, you've been previously sworn
18 in --

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- and so you remain
21 under oath for our purposes.

22 Is there cross-examination for
23 Mr. Lobser from Staff?

24 MR. THOMPSON: Not on RSM.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Keevil.

1 C. ERIC LOBSER,
2 having been called as a witness herein, having been
3 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
4 follows:

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. KEEVIL

7 Q. Just one question, Mr. Lobser. What's
8 the "C" stand for?

9 A. Charles.

10 MR. KEEVIL: Thank you.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any relevant
12 cross-examination from Office of the Public Counsel?

13 MR. SMITH: Just one question.

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. SMITH

16 Q. Does the company support Staff's
17 alternative inclining block rate design?

18 A. No, it does not.

19 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you. No
20 further questions.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything -- I looked up
22 and I have fewer attorneys in the room. I'm not
23 seeing anyone else.

24 Are there any questions from the bench
25 for Mr. Lobser on -- we're on rate design and RSM.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Sure. Good morning.

2 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Chairman.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you know how many gas
4 corporations currently have something similar to the
5 RSM being sought in this case?

6 THE WITNESS: I believe that's addressed
7 in a -- in an attachment to surrebuttal from Witness
8 Weitzel. I believe the number is over 60 with
9 decoupling, and then there's a revenue stabilization
10 where they have some mix of either weather
11 normalization or decoupling, but the weather
12 normalization with an annual review resets. So, it
13 essentially acts like decoupling. And there's a
14 number of companies that have revenue stabilization
15 of about 15. So, somewhere in the neighborhood of
16 75, 80.

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: There was some
18 discussion of this in the beginning of the case,
19 which seems like it was a couple of months ago, but
20 it was really just last -- a week ago Monday.

21 Has Laclede or MGE ever sought an RSM
22 before? Is this the first time?

23 THE WITNESS: To the best of my
24 knowledge, yes. I think we've -- as prior to
25 Laclede purchasing MGE, they had a straight fixed

1 variable, but I don't believe we've sought an RSM.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Can you -- can you
3 explain to me what are the -- or the current
4 circumstances that brought you to make this
5 application in this case? What's changed?

6 THE WITNESS: I guess from the
7 standpoint of having a better understanding of how
8 different mechanisms work in different states,
9 that's one of the benefits of the growth and the
10 acquisitions that we've had is that we've had
11 firsthand knowledge of how things work in different
12 states and gotten a better feel for exactly
13 positives and negatives. We've also listened to
14 stakeholders', you know, concerns last year or I
15 think may have been the year before. We had a
16 working group to assess, you know, low-income versus
17 low-usage and a number of the parties expressed, you
18 know, concerns about the customer charge. And so, I
19 guess the combination of input from other
20 stakeholders and experience from other companies,
21 you know, it made a lot of sense to us to pursue
22 this, and it enabled us to balance, you know, what
23 we have to do as a company.

24 We've got the responsibility to
25 customers and employees and shareholders in the

1 community to pursue something that we thought
2 allowed us to be more responsive to the needs of all
3 those stakeholders so that we could further support
4 energy efficiency --

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Tell me again who were
6 those stakeholders that you were responding to?

7 THE WITNESS: In the process, it was on
8 that discussion of low-income versus low-use. It
9 was Staff and Office of Public Counsel, and there
10 may have been another party involved in that. I
11 believe the Division of Energy may have been
12 involved in that discussion as well. And we've had
13 discussions with them outside the context of that
14 working group as well.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: I thought you included
16 shareholders in that list.

17 THE WITNESS: That's a different set of
18 stakeholders.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Give me that list.

20 THE WITNESS: Sure. As a -- as a
21 company, our stakeholders aren't just singular.
22 It's a responsibility to customers, employees,
23 shareholders and the community.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Would -- what would your
25 view be of, if the Commission were to authorize an

1 RSM requiring that the company come back in a year
2 or two years or at some, some specified time and
3 look at the issue again, give all the parties
4 another opportunity to, to look at the numbers, look
5 at whether it's working or not and give the
6 Commission another opportunity to determine whether
7 it's appropriate going forward? Is that a process
8 that makes sense to you?

9 THE WITNESS: Review of a process to
10 ensure that it's working as intended and for the
11 various stakeholders, I believe, makes sense in
12 general. In terms of the timeframe as a business
13 and, frankly, you know, customers as well, some
14 degree of stability, I think, is helpful. And so,
15 if you set that timeframe too short, you might not
16 have enough information. You might undertake a
17 bunch of expenses to make some changes in the
18 systems that you would then potentially be reversing
19 in a very short period of time. So, I'm not sure
20 that would do justice to the mechanism for the
21 stakeholders.

22 So, if the process were reviewed at some
23 point down in future, maybe at the next rate case,
24 it would give some time for people to understand how
25 it's working. I think that could make since, but it

1 also doesn't give the company a great deal of
2 certainty, but I think it could make sense to assess
3 whether it's working as intended.

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, I gather from that,
5 that one year is too short. Four years would be
6 your preference and --

7 THE WITNESS: Of those two.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: And because it seems to
9 me that if we were to do this, that a couple of
10 years might be enough evidence for us to determine
11 whether it's working or not. You disagree?

12 THE WITNESS: We don't know and that's,
13 that's the problem with weather. You don't know
14 what's going to happen. It's not likely that we're
15 going to have two normal winters back-to-back. We
16 may have a very cold one and a very warm one and
17 that might provide a decent amount of information as
18 to how it's working, but that's just sort of the --

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Right.

20 THE WITNESS: -- the nature of this is
21 customers and the company don't know what the
22 weather's going to do.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: Of course, if we came
24 back in two years and it was the company's position
25 that we didn't have enough information to make a

1 change, you could certainly advocate that.

2 THE WITNESS: Well, I would assume that
3 we wouldn't have our rights to advocate for our
4 position taken away. So, I would assume that's
5 correct.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: What is your -- does the
7 company's position on ROE change with or without an
8 RSM?

9 THE WITNESS: I think your initial
10 question got at the heart of this discussion, which
11 is whether it's strict decoupling or through rate
12 stabilization or companies that have weather
13 normalization. There are, in our peer group, vast
14 majority of companies that have such mechanisms.
15 And if you're comparing our ROE to the peer group,
16 you're comparing apples to apples at that point.

17 And so, if we've set an ROE based off of
18 peers, then there shouldn't really need to be any
19 change if we have similar mechanisms to what those
20 peers have.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. It seemed every
22 expert on, on cost of capital made clear, at least,
23 to me that the amount of risk is the most
24 significant factor to take into account when setting
25 ROE. Is it not without a doubt that an RSM reduces

1 your risk as a company?

2 THE WITNESS: I thought that question
3 was related to ROE, which was set based off of
4 peers. So, I was comparing our risk to peers.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: The experts that -- in
6 this hearing room told us that the amount of risk is
7 the single most important factor for setting ROE.
8 So, my question for you: Is it not, in fact, true
9 that an RSM greatly reduces the company's risk?

10 THE WITNESS: We already have a weather
11 mitigated rate design which accomplishes a
12 significant amount. So, I wouldn't -- I'm not
13 trying to argue on the risk, but you've said greatly
14 or significantly, and I can't remember which, sorry.
15 But given that we already have a relatively high
16 customer charge and we have a weather mitigated rate
17 design, the change to RSM would reduce our risk as
18 well as the customers' risk. I'm not sure whether I
19 would characterize it as significantly or greatly.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So, it would --
21 it would reduce your risk. Your, your -- and so,
22 then my question is -- I'll move on.

23 Do you believe that it would be possible
24 or appropriate if we were to institute some type of
25 two-year review of the -- of the RSM to specifically

1 include some type of ROE adjustment if we were to
2 give you an RSM here, but take it away in two years?

3 THE WITNESS: I think that gets at the
4 heart of what I've heard a lot of people
5 characterize as, quote, over-earnings. You would
6 have to take a look at more variables than just one
7 single one, and I think the Commission would need to
8 take a look at what's going on in the markets as to
9 whether a change in ROE up or down was, was
10 appropriate. They would also have to take a look at
11 all the other factors, adjust and normalize those.
12 So, it's a pretty complex process. And so, to just
13 adjust it because one variable has changed without
14 going through that in-depth process, I think there
15 could be some concerns with that from, from all
16 parties. So, it would definitely change how we
17 would compare to the peers and how it was set in
18 January or February when the Commission determines
19 what the ROE should be, but two years from now,
20 there's a lot of things that may have changed. And
21 so, whether that ROE should go up or down based off
22 of a single variable would be difficult to
23 determine.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, you would be opposed
25 to the Commission making an ROE adjustment two years

1 from now hypothetically after removing an RSM or are
2 you simply saying that you don't think we could
3 remove an RSM in a single issue procedure, that
4 would have to be part of a rate case?

5 I'm giving you an off-ramp here.

6 THE WITNESS: I think it would -- I
7 think you'd have a lot of concerns from all parties
8 about changing something related to rate design that
9 changes how we would recover costs in the -- without
10 the context of looking at the other variables. So,
11 outside of a rate proceeding, I think it would be
12 problematic to do so.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: What is the company's
14 position on -- and maybe you're not the correct
15 witness. You just tell me if that's so.

16 What's the company's position on
17 inclining block rates either with an RSM or without
18 an RSM?

19 THE WITNESS: So, an inclining block
20 rate with an RSM, that's significantly different
21 than the rate design that we currently have. Moving
22 to --

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: Which is, in fact, a
24 declining, is it not?

25 THE WITNESS: For Laclede, it is kind

1 of -- and depending on the time of year, after 30
2 CCF or 30 therms, sorry, that drops to zero, and
3 there's also a change in the PGA that helps offset
4 that. So, it's a relatively complex structure.

5 So, an inclining block, I think, would
6 be a pretty significant change. I think in terms
7 of, you know, gradualism, putting more emphasis on
8 volumetric charge, that helps with lowering the
9 customer charge and also meeting kind of some of the
10 signals for energy conservation. I think that would
11 be a meaningful step in the right direction, whether
12 that next step towards inclining block rates makes
13 sense or not, probably would be something that we
14 would want to assess in the context of additional
15 information to see how the RSM worked with the --
16 with the more emphasis on volumetric rates.

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: What is going on both
18 nationally and with, with your company on
19 consumption year-to-year?

20 THE WITNESS: AGA's done some studies
21 where they normalize for weather, and over the last
22 several decades, just as across the board high-level
23 consumption for natural gas residential customers
24 has dropped approximately 1 percent on an annual
25 basis. So, there's between efficient appliances and

1 more well-insulated homes, kind of tightening that
2 envelope. Customers have been able to, you know,
3 reduce consumption on a -- on an overall basis year
4 after year, but it's depending on the geography.
5 Some are higher than 1 percent, some are lower than
6 that.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, that's national.
8 How about Spire?

9 THE WITNESS: It's been a little less
10 than that. I would say, not significantly less, but
11 probably in the neighborhood of .6, .7 percent that
12 we've seen. You can just take a look back at I
13 think it's our 2009 rate case compared to current
14 with the normalized usage and that reduction, I
15 think, is in that range.

16 There are -- there are additional
17 efforts that I think we've proposed and additional
18 funding that would only be -- you know, be part of
19 future rates if it's used. So, it's not sort of a
20 budget for it, but it would be what would be
21 available that we could use to help customers kind
22 of move towards that national average and help some
23 of the customers whether it's a low-income,
24 multifamily or whether it's a single family be more
25 energy efficient and move towards that national

1 average.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: How big of a factor is
3 that annual declining consumption for you in terms
4 of the company's need for an RSM?

5 THE WITNESS: It's a meaningful factor.
6 You know, I think Witness Buck went through the
7 various rate cases that he's been involved in during
8 his career, and most of those were every other year
9 and, you know, we would like to do what we can to
10 manage costs and stay out of rate cases as long as
11 possible. I think that's good for the customer. I
12 think it's good for the company. I think it's good
13 for the community. And, you know, this is something
14 that would help us to -- or at least wouldn't fight
15 against us to stay out of rate cases longer, which I
16 think would be in everybody's -- all the
17 stakeholders' benefit.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Because between rate
19 cases any, any amount of declining consumption is a
20 direct hit on, on your revenue requirement -- on
21 revenues; is that right?

22 THE WITNESS: With that rate design,
23 yes.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: With current rate
25 design?

1 THE WITNESS: With the RSM rate design.
2 With the current rate design, as you pointed out
3 earlier and we talked about, there's --

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: No.

5 THE WITNESS: -- there's some over 30
6 that doesn't have any revenue --

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: Right, right.

8 THE WITNESS: -- attached to it for base
9 rates.

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: I just wanted to connect
11 the dots with what you said.

12 The fact that there is a declining
13 consumption, .6, .7 annually, that is something that
14 under the current rate structure is a motivation to
15 get back to file another rate case because between
16 rate cases, when there is a declining consumption,
17 that's a direct impact on revenues?

18 THE WITNESS: It's a factor.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: A factor, a direct
20 impact. We don't need to quibble.

21 All right. That's all I have. Thank
22 you.

23 THE WITNESS: Okay.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have no

1 questions.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Mr. Lobser,
3 there -- well, let's do recross.

4 Is there further cross-examination based
5 on the Chairman's questions from Staff?

6 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.

7 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. THOMPSON

9 Q. You recall that the Chairman asked you
10 some questions about consumption trends?

11 A. Yes.

12 MR. THOMPSON: May I approach, Judge?

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.

14 Q. (By Mr. Thompson) I'm going to show you
15 these two charts, Mr. Lobser. You're aware, are you
16 not, that the two companies each files an annual
17 report with the Public Service Commission?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And those reports contain certain data,
20 do they not?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And that data include consumption data;
23 isn't that true?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And if I were to represent to you that

1 each of those charts is based on the data contained
2 in the annual reports submitted to this Commission
3 by Laclede Gas Company and Missouri Gas Energy,
4 would you have any reason to doubt that?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Taking a look at the one that's marked
7 Laclede's residential annual -- excuse me,
8 residential average annual consumption, what sort of
9 trend do you see there?

10 A. Based off of the title, I'm assuming
11 this is a non-weather normalized average consumption
12 for the year; is that correct?

13 Q. I'm sure you're correct.

14 MR. ZUCKER: Can we see a copy of what
15 you --

16 MR. THOMPSON: Well, I haven't offered
17 it yet, so...

18 MR. ZUCKER: Will you offer it to me?

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Thompson, can you
20 please let the witness's counsel see a copy.

21 THE WITNESS: So, I guess to address --

22 MR. THOMPSON: Do you want me to hand
23 these out, Judge?

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Sure. I really just
25 wanted you to let counsel see it.

1 MR. THOMPSON: I guess we'll need a
2 number then.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: It only needs a number
4 if you're going to offer it into evidence.

5 MR. THOMPSON: I think I will offer it
6 if I get enough of a foundation from Mr. Lobser.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's wait until that
8 time.

9 Q. (By Mr. Thompson) And you've been
10 interrupted in an answer you were proceeding to
11 give. I wonder if you could give that answer.

12 A. Sure. It would be hard to do a
13 regression analysis in my mind on this, but I guess
14 the thing that would jump out to me on these is the
15 variability of weather would be the trend that I
16 see, but overall, it looks like there's -- if I were
17 to draw a line between that kind of puts above and
18 below to create a regression, it would probably
19 slope downward.

20 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Lobser.
21 Could I have numbers, Judge. I'll go ahead and
22 offer these.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Staff's next
24 exhibit. Staff's next exhibit number is 279.

25 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Could we make the

1 Laclede one 279 and the MGE one 280?

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there --

3 Mr. Thompson has offered these two charts, the
4 Laclede residential average annual consumption and
5 the MGE residential average annual use is the title.
6 Would there be objections to these two documents?

7 MR. SMITH: No objection.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing no objection.
9 Then I will admit these into evidence.

10 (Staff's Exhibits 279 and 280 were
11 admitted into evidence.)

12 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.

13 **Q. (By Mr. Thompson) And assuming that**
14 **these are as you suggested, not weather normalized,**
15 **if they were, would a trend in terms of declining**
16 **usage or inclining usage be more clearly**
17 **perceptible?**

18 A. Yes. If the weather normalization was
19 done correctly, yes, that would be what it would
20 show.

21 **Q. Okay.**

22 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, which one of those
23 was which now? Laclede was 279?

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.

25 MR. KEEVIL: Okay. Thank you.

1 **Q (By Mr. Thompson) And Mr. Lobser, from a**
2 **revenue sufficiency point of view, is it your**
3 **position that the companies need an RSM because**
4 **their revenue is insufficient?**

5 A. No. I think an RSM is not because our
6 revenue is insufficient. We would be setting rates
7 that would provide sufficient rates going forward.
8 An RSM would help us to support energy efficiency
9 programs and, you know, not have the detrimental
10 effect of that conservation impact our bottom line
11 for helping customers.

12 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. That's all the
13 questions I have. Thank you, Judge.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Keevil, you look
15 like you maybe had some more on the other issues?

16 MR. KEEVIL: Just a couple, Judge.

17 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. KEEVIL

19 **Q. Mr. Lobser, early in your discussion**
20 **with the Chairman on these issues, I believe you**
21 **indicated that customers or stakeholders had**
22 **expressed an interest in a lower customer charge?**

23 A. Yes.

24 **Q. Okay. Did those customers also express**
25 **an interest in a lower volumetric rate?**

1 A. At one local public hearing, we had a
2 customer express that point of view.

3 Q. Okay. And I guess part of my question
4 here is: Do you believe that your residential
5 customers clearly differentiate in their own mind
6 between the customer charge portion and the
7 volumetric portion of their gas bill?

8 A. From the experience of 11 local public
9 hearings and from the number of comments, they were,
10 were very focused on that customer charge.

11 Q. Okay. So, even though that in your
12 weather mitigated rate design, the first 30 therms
13 is really where all the money is loaded, so to
14 speak, you, you think they still understand that
15 it's the difference between the customer charge and
16 the volumetric rate?

17 A. Well, they only expressed their concern
18 about the customer charge. I don't know what was in
19 their mind as to how they felt about the volumetric
20 except for the one customer, I believe it was at the
21 Sunset Hills local public hearing, that talked about
22 the volumetric rate.

23 Q. Okay. You also mentioned during your
24 discussion with the Chairman that the PGA clause has
25 a weather mitigation component or something to

1 that -- or shouldn't say mitigation, but recognizes
2 the weather factor component that -- would you agree
3 with that?

4 A. Yes. It has two blocks to it.

5 Q. And, in fact, those blocks go in the
6 opposite direction that the base rate blocks go,
7 correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Okay. So, that means the more you use,
10 the less you pay -- no. The more you use, the more
11 you pay on that one then, right?

12 A. For the PGA portion.

13 Q. Right. Now, as part of the stipulation
14 and agreement that was filed whenever in this case,
15 were the PGA issues resolved that were outstanding?

16 A. Could you repeat the question?

17 Q. Yeah. The stipulation and agreement
18 that was filed either yesterday or the day before in
19 this case, didn't that resolve certain modifications
20 to the PGA that had previously been controverted?

21 A. I believe the -- and I may be
22 misremembering, but I believe the PGA/ACA issue was
23 not part of the stipulation, but --

24 Q. Well, no. I'm not referring to the gas
25 inventory. Is that -- is that what you're thinking

1 of?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Oh, okay.

4 A. There was an issue in the case PGA/ACA,
5 and I think one of the main, main parties to this
6 was the Environmental Defense Fund, and I don't
7 believe they've come to a resolution on it, so --

8 Q. Yeah. I'm sorry. That's not the issue
9 really I'm referring to.

10 When the company initially filed its
11 case, it proposed several changes to PGA language,
12 did it not?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And throughout the course, it may have
15 happened early on, but the stipulation only got
16 filed a few days ago that, that parties came to a
17 resolution regarding a lot of PGA language change
18 matters throughout the course of the case, correct?
19 Not the one -- not the issues that was --

20 A. Yes. There were certain elements like
21 the GSIP and off-system sales and making certain
22 elements more consistent.

23 Q. And what's your understanding of the PGA
24 changes as regards the two block aspect of the PGA?

25 A. Could you repeat that question?

1 Q. Yeah. As a result of the changes that
2 have been agreed to during the course of this case
3 regarding the language to the PGA, what is your
4 understanding of how those changes affect the
5 current aspect of the PGA which provide for the two
6 block PGA?

7 A. I don't believe those specific aspects
8 address that.

9 Q. Okay. So, it's your understanding,
10 then, that after the case is resolved, the PGA would
11 still have the current rate structure that it had
12 going into that case?

13 A. It was my understanding that there was
14 resolution on specific elements of the PGA.

15 Q. But --

16 A. But not necessarily all elements of the
17 PGA.

18 Q. Okay. So, would anything change in your
19 mind with regard to that element of the PGA?

20 A. That element would have to be addressed
21 based off of what the overall rate design change
22 would need to be for Laclede. I don't believe there
23 would be a change necessary for MGE.

24 Q. Okay. And when would that -- when would
25 those changes be addressed?

1 A. Well, I guess we would have to have an
2 approved rate design before we could determine which
3 approach those would need to be addressed to,
4 whether it's moving MGE to a weather mitigated rate
5 design or moving both companies to an RSM or
6 something other depending on what the Commission
7 orders.

8 **Q. Okay. So, that would be subsequent to**
9 **the resolution of this rate case that that would**
10 **take place because it's dependent on -- according to**
11 **your opinion, I believe, would be dependent upon the**
12 **outcome of this rate case?**

13 A. If not settled before, I guess that
14 would be the case.

15 MR. KEEVIL: Okay. Nothing further.
16 Thank you.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Anything from Public
18 Counsel?

19 MR. SMITH: Yes, Judge.

20 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. SMITH

22 **Q. Do you recall questions with the**
23 **Chairman and also with counsel for Staff about usage**
24 **statistics?**

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And --

2 A. Are you referring to these charts or
3 something different?

4 Q. I'm referring to those, but also, I
5 think, you gave some high level --

6 A. From AGA.

7 Q. From AGA, exactly. Who is AGA?

8 A. It's American Gas Association. It's a
9 group that represents gas and combination utilities.

10 Q. And when you say "represent," do you
11 also mean lobby?

12 A. I believe there is a portion of their
13 work that's related to lobbying, but it's a very
14 small portion of what they do.

15 Q. And the small portion, that's based on
16 just your opinion, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Moving on to a different topic,
19 you and the Chairman had discussed questions about
20 an ROE and what would happen outside of a rate case,
21 whether there could be an adjustment there. Do you
22 recall that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And wasn't one of your concerns that
25 that should probably not happen because you wouldn't

1 **be considering all relevant factors?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. Okay. Do you recall in your own**
4 **testimony related to performance metrics that you**
5 **had recommended an adjustment to the ROE outside of**
6 **a rate case?**

7 A. I believe the proposal was for a
8 deferred account that would not change rates between
9 a rate case.

10 **Q. And would there be an adjustment to the**
11 **ROE as a part of achieving or not achieving**
12 **performance metrics in your testimony?**

13 A. Are you talking direct change to ROE or
14 are you talking about an effective change to ROE?

15 **Q. Either one or both, I should say.**

16 A. It didn't propose a change to the ROE
17 directly. It was a change that was scoped using ROE
18 and the company's capital structure to assess the
19 scale of that. And I believe in the surrebuttal we
20 clarified that, you know, the dollar amounts could
21 be fixed at a, a level. I believe it was 2 million
22 per utility for that, but if we achieved those, the
23 effect on our gap earnings could change our
24 effective ROE either up or down.

25 MR. SMITH: Okay. No further questions.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there anything from
2 Midwest Energy Consumers?

3 MR. WOODSMALL: Briefly, Your Honor.

4 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. WOODSMALL

6 Q. Mr. Thompson followed up on a question
7 from the Chairman and asked you if an RSM was
8 designed to give you revenue sufficiency. Do you
9 recall that question?

10 A. I recall the question. I don't know
11 whether it was stated exactly that same way.

12 Q. But you stated the goal wasn't revenue
13 sufficiency, but to allow you to roll out energy
14 efficiency. Was that your answer?

15 A. Well, I think the question was whether
16 we were proposing an RSM in order to obtain revenue
17 sufficiency, and I think my answer related to the
18 rate case proceeding would set revenue sufficiency.

19 Q. And so, but a rate case always sets
20 revenue sufficiency, doesn't it?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay. And so, why then do you need the
23 RSM if the rate case sets revenue sufficiency?

24 A. We propose an RSM because we've -- we
25 are trying to address the needs of multiple

1 stakeholders, some of which are looking to improve
2 energy efficiency, some of which are looking to have
3 lower customer charges. Most, if not all of which,
4 are looking to have fewer rate cases.

5 **Q. Okay. You mentioned stakeholders'**
6 **interest. Why is Laclede itself wanting an RSM if**
7 **revenue sufficiency is set through a rate case?**

8 A. Because we look at the interests of all
9 those stakeholders. I mean, that's -- one of our
10 responsibilities is not simply to one stakeholder or
11 the other. We have customers and shareholders and
12 employees. So, we're not just looking at a single
13 element. We're trying to balance the needs of all
14 of our stakeholders.

15 **Q. And you would agree, customers are one**
16 **of your stakeholders?**

17 A. Yes.

18 **Q. And you would agree that all the**
19 **customers in this case are against your revenue**
20 **sufficiency mechanism?**

21 A. I would not agree with that.

22 **Q. What customers want a revenue**
23 **sufficiency mechanism?**

24 A. I guess in terms of what customers have
25 expressed interest in revenue sufficiency, none

1 specifically related to that.

2 Q. Okay. So, all the customers represented
3 in this case, which are a stakeholder, are in
4 opposition to the company's RSM; is that correct?

5 A. Opposition, I think, would be different
6 than whether they have expressed interest in revenue
7 sufficiency.

8 Q. Have they expressed -- have customers
9 expressed interest in a revenue sufficiency
10 mechanism?

11 A. I believe your question was whether they
12 expressed opposition to that.

13 Q. Right.

14 A. And I think that's different than
15 whether they've opposed it.

16 Q. Okay. So, customers aren't supporting
17 the RSM and you said the company is doing this to
18 meet shareholder -- or stakeholder interest; is that
19 correct?

20 A. By aren't supporting this, do you also
21 mean they haven't opposed it?

22 Q. Just tell me --

23 A. That's the nuance that you were trying
24 to convey in that.

25 Q. Well, let's just go through this.

1 **Who are the customers represented in**
2 **this case?**

3 A. Part of that is hard to tell because
4 some of the intervenors haven't expressed who their
5 customers are, but those would be the 1.1 million
6 customers which are families and businesses that we
7 serve.

8 **Q. And they're represented by Public**
9 **Counsel; is that correct?**

10 A. Yes.

11 **Q. And is Public Counsel supporting your**
12 **RSM?**

13 A. No, they are not.

14 **Q. And would you agree that MCEG represents**
15 **transportation and other large customers of Laclede**
16 **and MGE?**

17 A. I'm not aware of which customers those
18 are.

19 **Q. But do you have any reason to quibble**
20 **with me if I told you that that's who MCEG**
21 **represents?**

22 A. I would because I've never seen what
23 that customer looks like.

24 **Q. Okay. So, what in your mind is MCEG's**
25 **interest in this case?**

1 A. That's a good question.

2 Q. Okay. Well, let's move on. MIEC --

3 A. Since we're talking about a mechanism
4 that doesn't impact industrial customers, I'm sort
5 of puzzled as to what their interests are.

6 Q. Okay. So, to the best of your
7 knowledge, then, the only customers represented here
8 are represented by Public Counsel; is that correct?

9 A. If Public Counsel is charged with
10 representing all customers, then, I guess, that
11 would be true that the only customers represented
12 here are represented by the Office of Public
13 Counsel. I think that would be a truism.

14 Q. Okay. And again, Public Counsel's
15 opposing your RSM; is that correct?

16 A. Yes, they are.

17 Q. And so, to that extent, the company's
18 RSM is not meeting that stakeholder interests; is
19 that true?

20 A. The advocates for that group, yes. They
21 have varied interests that may not align with every
22 single customer that they're representing.

23 Q. Okay. Does the company believe that it
24 represents customers in this case?

25 A. Yes.

1 **Q. Do you believe your interests go beyond**
2 **simply your shareholders and that you represent the**
3 **interests of customers?**

4 A. Yes. To provide them safe, reliable,
5 reasonably-priced natural gas service.

6 **Q. And do you think you're capable of**
7 **providing safe and reliable service at just and**
8 **reasonable rates without an RSM?**

9 A. Well, going back to the chart that was
10 shown earlier today, we've been doing so for 150
11 years. I guess there was some hoax that if we
12 weren't regulated, we might not have lasted that
13 long, but it would depend on the period of time that
14 we would then get revenue recovery of losses in
15 revenue, but we can survive as a company without
16 RSM.

17 **Q. We're talking -- you switched gears**
18 **here. I was asking about customers. You said you**
19 **also represent customers and now you just shifted it**
20 **to, we can survive as a company, which is seemingly**
21 **representing shareholders. So, we're talking about**
22 **the extent to which Laclede represents customers**
23 **here?**

24 A. Yes.

25 **Q. Okay. Do you believe that Laclede**

1 **representing customers can provide safe and adequate**
2 **service at just and reasonable rates without an RSM?**

3 A. Absolutely, but we're the only party
4 tasked with meeting the needs of multiple
5 stakeholders in this, which has made things very
6 difficult.

7 MR. WOODSMALL: I don't believe I have
8 any further questions. Thank you.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect?

10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. PENDERGAST

12 Q. **Mr. Lobser, as a customer of Laclede Gas**
13 **Company, would it help if I said I support the RSM?**

14 A. Thank you.

15 Q. I just have a couple of questions.

16 You had a back and forth with
17 Mr. Woodsmall and you indicated that you didn't know
18 who he's representing in this proceeding; is that
19 correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. But to the extent that he's indicated he
22 represents transportation customers, would this
23 mechanism even apply to those customers?

24 A. No, it would not.

25 Q. Do you have any understanding of why he

1 would be so actively involved in the issue given the
2 fact it doesn't affect his customers?

3 A. Well, I think earlier in his opening
4 statement, his concern related to the potential
5 adoption of RSM to customers that might be in his
6 customer class, which wouldn't be able to be
7 achieved outside of a rate case setting, but I guess
8 it sounded to me like interest of that specific
9 customer group may have been why he was proposing
10 it, even though it didn't affect his customers.

11 Q. Okay. And does the company have any
12 present proposal to extend the RSM beyond small
13 general service customers?

14 A. Absolutely not.

15 Q. Okay. Just to make sure we're all clear
16 about what these consumption figures mean here, as
17 you indicated, I think, these have not been weather
18 normalized?

19 A. I don't believe so.

20 Q. And if you look at 2013 and '14.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: And let me just clarify
22 that you're referring to Staff's Exhibits 279 and
23 280?

24 MR. PENDERGAST: That is correct, Your
25 Honor.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

2 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) And if you look at
3 279 and 280, you see those points of consumption go
4 up significantly in 2013, '14?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you recall if there was any kind of
7 weather event that happened during that particular
8 winter?

9 A. I believe that was what was referred to
10 as the polar vortex.

11 Q. And is that -- is the term "polar" meant
12 to imply that it was kind of cold?

13 A. It was -- it was very cold. I happened
14 to be driving into work the day that it was at its
15 coldest and there was a lot of snow on the ground.
16 I stopped off at our gas control area just to see
17 how the company would respond to such an event. It
18 was a pretty amazing sight how much coordination had
19 to occur between that group and the folks out in the
20 field.

21 Q. Okay. But to the extent that affected
22 consumption in that particular period, would that
23 have anything to do with whether or not there's a
24 general trend of declining consumption?

25 A. Yes. If you don't weather normalize for

1 that extreme weather event, that would impact the
2 outcome of this trend.

3 Q. And if somebody were to say to you,
4 Mr. Lobser, I'd like you to figure out whether there
5 is a declining trend and here is the unweather
6 normalized, you know, consumption patterns over the
7 last seven or eight years, would this be of any
8 value to you in making that determination?

9 A. No, it would not. And I think that the
10 question that was first asked, if it was a trend
11 that popped into mind, and I answered that it showed
12 the variability in weather, I guess, it would help
13 assess whether trends.

14 Q. Okay. And Chairman Hall understandably
15 had some questions about why we're pursuing this
16 mechanism now and not before.

17 My first question to you is: Do you
18 know whether the Commission had historically tried
19 to address these issues through rate design?

20 A. Could you be more specific?

21 Q. Sure. I mean, for example, to address
22 the problem of over-, under-recovery of fixed costs
23 for MGE, for example, did the Commission adopt a
24 straight fixed variable rate design?

25 A. It did.

1 Q. And that's one approach for addressing
2 that particular issue?

3 A. It is.

4 Q. And do you know whether in the last
5 case, that change, at the request of other parties,
6 was made to reduce that straight fixed variable and
7 put some additional amount on usage?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. And once again, do you disagree
10 that that particular solution has caused additional
11 concerns by some parties about the impact of that
12 rate design on low-use customers?

13 A. Could you repeat the first part of the
14 question? I couldn't understand --

15 Q. Yeah. A straight fixed variable rate
16 design, would you envision all fixed costs being
17 recovered in the customer charge; is that correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Taking that approach has engendered some
20 concerns by some parties about the impact of that on
21 low-use customers?

22 A. Absolutely, yes.

23 Q. Okay. And does the revenue
24 stabilization mechanism provide an opportunity to
25 address that concern to some degree?

1 A. To, to a great degree, it allows the
2 company flexibility to meet the needs of multiple
3 stakeholders.

4 Q. Okay. And given the fact that we were
5 starting to move away from the rate design solution
6 that had been adopted in the MGE case, did that play
7 any factor in whether or not you thought it was a
8 particularly appropriate time to go forward with the
9 RSM?

10 A. Could you repeat the question, please?

11 Q. Yes. Given the fact that in the last
12 MGE case, we sort of started moving away from this
13 rate design approach for addressing --

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. -- the impact of weather variability,
16 did that play a role in coming forward at this point
17 with an RSM?

18 A. Yes. You know, particularly, the last
19 few years with the variability in the weather, that
20 could be clearly seen in the impact on both
21 customers and the company. In looking for solutions
22 that better met the needs of both of those
23 stakeholders became apparent.

24 Q. Okay. And you had a discussion, I
25 think, with Chairman Hall and, perhaps, some of the

1 other parties about whether or not an adjustment to
2 ROE should be made if the RSM was adopted. Do you
3 recall that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And is it your testimony that the risk
6 associated with having a mechanism like that or not
7 having a mechanism like that is already baked into
8 the ROE analysis that's done?

9 A. Yes. The ROE analysis is comparing us
10 to peers, and as stated, those peers have such
11 mechanisms for the most part. There may be an
12 exception in there, but the vast majority have
13 similar mechanisms to that.

14 Q. Okay. And is it your recollection that
15 other parties have proposed alternatives to the RSM
16 rate design alternatives?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. For example, has Public Counsel proposed
19 that the customer charge be dropped to \$14?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Has Public Counsel proposed that not
22 only drop the customer charge to \$14, but not have
23 an RSM that goes along with it?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Would that be a substantial increase in

1 the company's exposure to the impacts of weather?

2 A. It would be a significant exposure to
3 both the company and the customer.

4 Q. To both the company and the customer.
5 And if you want to look at what mechanisms interject
6 risk or reduce risk, would that increase the
7 company's risk?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Do you recall -- did Public
10 Counsel, when it made its proposal, have a companion
11 piece to talk about what upward adjustment to the
12 return on equity would need to be made to
13 accommodate that increased risk?

14 A. No. I believe the only reference to ROE
15 related to our proposal on the RSM, but they did not
16 propose a change in ROE if we adopted that \$14
17 customer charge structure.

18 Q. To your knowledge, has any party in
19 suggesting changes to the company's rate design and
20 even though those changes may increase our exposure
21 to weather variability and the customers, have any
22 of them suggested the need for an ROE adjustment?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Is it your view that when you evaluate
25 these kind of issues, that the only thing you should

1 be concerned about is whether going to a particular
2 mechanism might reduce the company's risk as opposed
3 to increasing?

4 A. Could you repeat the question, please?

5 Q. Is it your view that there should be
6 some symmetry as you talk about the impact on the
7 company's risk by various proposals, that you should
8 look at both those proposals that increase risk and
9 those proposals that decrease risk?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. And with respect to various
12 revenue requirement issues, have there been changes
13 proposed in this case that would significantly
14 change how various costs are recovered, pensions,
15 for example?

16 A. Yes. I believe there was some proposals
17 to change how those have been treated over the last
18 several rate cases.

19 Q. And in your view, would that potentially
20 produce any risk?

21 A. Yes, it would. I believe that's kind of
22 how -- it's one of the elements that's used by RRA
23 to understand the risk of the company to recover its
24 costs. And so, it ranks state's based off of that.
25 And so, that would be, you know, element of cost

1 recovery, and whether that was at risk would
2 definitely be a factor.

3 Q. And I think you were asked a number of
4 questions about revenue sufficiency.

5 Do you recall those?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And that the Commission tries to
8 establish revenue sufficiency in a rate case?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. Does the RSM play a role in
11 maintaining that revenue sufficiency between rate
12 cases?

13 A. Yes. Similar to the weather
14 normalization, RSM would help ensure that the
15 impacts that the company was faced would help to
16 achieve that, the rates that developed that revenue
17 sufficiency.

18 Q. Okay. And would it also help to ensure
19 that it wouldn't get over-sufficient?

20 A. Yes, it would.

21 Q. Okay. You know, there was some
22 questions about, you know, who's representing
23 customers and that type of thing. In this
24 proceeding, excuse me, do you know whether the
25 company did a survey of its customers to, excuse me,

1 to determine exactly how they felt about the revenue
2 stabilization mechanism and all its associated
3 issues?

4 A. I don't believe that we did a survey on
5 that.

6 Q. Okay. And do you know if the Office of
7 Public Counsel has done that kind of survey?

8 A. I don't know whether they've done that
9 survey.

10 Q. And would it be fair to say that during
11 the public hearing, you heard a lot of comments
12 about customers wanting a lower customer charge?

13 A. Absolutely at every single one.

14 Q. Okay. And do you think the RSM is
15 responsive to what you heard from a lot of
16 customers?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. As far as you know, is that kind of the
19 only survey that's been done on this issue?

20 A. Yes.

21 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you. I have no
22 further questions.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

24 Mr. Lobser, you may step down.

25 I had hoped to be a little bit further

1 along before we take a lunch break. So, I think
2 we'll go ahead and get the next witness up on the
3 stand and see, see how far we can get before we
4 break for lunch.

5 MR. PENDERGAST: We would call Timothy
6 S. Lyons to the stand.

7 TIMOTHY S. LYONS,
8 having been called as a witness herein, having been
9 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
10 follows:

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. PENDERGAST

13 Q. Good morning, Mr. Lyons.

14 Are you the same Timothy S. Lyons who
15 has previously caused to be filed in this case?

16 A. I am.

17 Q. And is that testimony consist of direct
18 testimony, rebuttal testimony on revenue
19 requirement, revised rebuttal testimony, rebuttal
20 testimony on rate design and surrebuttal testimony?

21 A. It does.

22 Q. And have those previously been marked as
23 Exhibits 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Do you have any corrections to make to

1 **any of your testimonies?**

2 A. Yes. In my rebuttal testimony on
3 page 13 --

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Can you just clarify
5 which rebuttal?

6 And also, Mr. Pendergast, can you help
7 me. I wasn't sure from the descriptions in your --
8 your exhibit list that you gave me which exhibit you
9 had intended to mark with which number.

10 MR. PENDERGAST: Yes. Direct testimony,
11 Exhibit 10; rebuttal testimony, No. 11. And then we
12 have revised rebuttal testimony.

13 **Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Was that revised**
14 **rebuttal testimony the same as your rebuttal, just**
15 **with some revisions?**

16 A. Yes. I think --

17 **Q. Did it completely replace it?**

18 A. Yes.

19 MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. Well, then, I
20 think we can dispense with the original rebuttal
21 testimony in 11. And 12 would then be the revised
22 rebuttal testimony on revenue requirement. 13 would
23 be the rebuttal testimony on rate design, and 14
24 would just be the surrebuttal testimony.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: I think that, that helps

1 me there.

2 A. So, in the rebuttal testimony in the
3 cost of service/rate design on page 13, there's a
4 table that's labeled "figure 2," comparison of SGS,
5 LGS demand characteristics. And in the row that's
6 labeled "LGS," the number that is now 63160 should
7 be 35562, and the number that's in there, 39185,
8 should be 22788.

9 And up on line 7, it says more than 30
10 times, the "30" should be replaced by "15."

11 Further down that line, the "20" should
12 be replaced by "12."

13 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Aside from those
14 corrections, do you have any others?

15 A. There are none.

16 Q. And with those corrections, if I were to
17 ask you the same questions today that appear in your
18 direct, rebuttal, rebuttal, and surrebuttal
19 testimony, would your answers be the same?

20 A. They would.

21 Q. And are those answers true and correct
22 to the best of your knowledge and belief?

23 A. They are.

24 MR. PENDERGAST: With that, Your Honor,
25 I would, I think, offer these into evidence since I

1 believe Mr. Lyons will be done after he testifies,
2 and tender him for cross-examination.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: And let me just clarify
4 again. Was the -- were the corrections on what has
5 now been marked as Exhibit 13?

6 MR. PENDERGAST: Yes. Those are under
7 rate design, yes.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Would there be
9 any objection to Exhibits 10, 12, 13 and 14?

10 Seeing no objection. I will receive
11 those into evidence.

12 (Laclede's Exhibits 10, 12, 13 and 14
13 were admitted into evidence.)

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there
15 cross-examination by Staff?

16 MR. THOMPSON: None on RSM, Judge.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Keevil?

18 MR. KEEVIL: I've got just a very brief
19 question here, Mr. Lyons.

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. KEEVIL

22 Q. Attached to your direct testimony, I
23 believe, is a schedule --

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: And, Mr. Keevil, could I
25 get you to talk into your microphone just so

1 everybody else can hear you. Thank you.

2 Q (By Mr. Keevil) Mr. Lyons, attached to
3 your direct testimony is -- I'm trying to find the
4 schedule, schedule TSL D-4, it looks like?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. At the top of each page of that it says
7 "draft, privileged and confidential." And I'm
8 trying to figure out, is that really draft,
9 privileged and confidential or what's going on
10 there?

11 A. No. That's -- that should have been
12 taken off when it was filed.

13 Q. Okay. Excuse me. Just a couple more
14 questions.

15 You are not an actual Laclede employee?
16 You're a consultant brought in for this case,
17 correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What consulting firm are you with?

20 A. I'm with ScottMadden.

21 Q. ScottMadden.

22 All right. Going through the questions
23 we've heard previously from consultants, how much --
24 what's your hourly rate?

25 A. 440.

1 **Q. 440. And is that a flat rate, I mean,**
2 **for this project?**

3 A. There was an agreement in terms of the
4 amount to do prefiled testimony, so that was a fixed
5 fee. And then after that, it was on a time and
6 material basis.

7 **Q. How much was the fixed fee contract?**

8 A. I, I don't recall. For -- there was --
9 there was multiple filings. There was the cost of
10 service for both Laclede and MGE and then the cash
11 working capital study as well for both companies.
12 Maybe in total, about 125, 150,000, somewhere in
13 that range.

14 **Q. Okay. So, that would have been up to**
15 **the direct testimony filing?**

16 A. Yes.

17 **Q. So, rebuttal and surrebuttal and hearing**
18 **preparation and your time here for the hearing, that**
19 **would all be on top of that at an hourly rate?**

20 A. Yes.

21 **Q. Okay. Now, are you the only person that**
22 **worked on your studies that has been presented in**
23 **this case from your firm?**

24 A. No. There's, there's some folks, some
25 other consultants that help in terms of the modeling

1 and then the auditing process.

2 Q. Okay. And would their rates be higher,
3 lower, the same as yours?

4 A. They would be lower.

5 Q. Lower.

6 Do you have -- maybe I've asked you this
7 earlier and I forgot.

8 Do you have any idea how much time since
9 you've kicked on to the hourly rate portion of your
10 engagement, how much time you spent on this project?

11 A. I, I don't. Usually it's somewhere in
12 the range of maybe 25 to 50 percent of what it costs
13 for the direct filing in terms of the preparation of
14 the remainder of the case, but again, it depends on
15 the number of interrogatories, rebuttal, you know,
16 the subsequent testimonies, rebuttal, surrebuttal,
17 and then the hearing time.

18 Q. Was there any sort of cap on the total
19 there that could be charged?

20 A. I don't believe so.

21 MR. KEEVIL: Thank you, Mr. Lyons.

22 THE WITNESS: Sure.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any
24 cross-examination by Public Counsel?

25 MR. SMITH: Yeah. Very briefly.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. SMITH

3 Q. I think on Monday there were a few other
4 ScottMadden consultants. Are you aware of that?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And there isn't any sort of discount or
7 bundle rate you get if you hire three ScottMadden
8 consultants, is there?

9 A. Well, in terms of the, the studies that
10 I mentioned, in the initial proposal that we made,
11 we discounted for the four studies. We provided a
12 discount related to the entire package. So, for all
13 those four studies, what was in that fixed fee or
14 the not to exceed fee was lower than it would be if
15 they were individual -- individually prepared.

16 Q. And that's if you hire more -- three or
17 four you get that discount?

18 A. Yeah. It's -- it has to do with the
19 type of study as well as, yes, the amount, the
20 number of them.

21 Q. But for your project specifically, I
22 think you said there wasn't a ceiling?

23 A. In terms of the direct testimony, it
24 was. And then after that, as I mentioned, it was
25 time and material.

1 Q. Okay. In your professional opinion, do
2 you think that an inclining block rate would be
3 appropriate for the company at this point?

4 A. I don't think so at this point.

5 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you. No
6 further questions.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

8 Is there anything from MIEC -- oh, I'm
9 sorry, Midwest Energy Consumers.

10 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Questions from the
12 bench? Chairman Hall?

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Briefly.
14 Morning.

15 THE WITNESS: Morning.

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: My understanding is that
17 you are supporting an RSM for the company in this
18 case; is that correct?

19 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: How many other utilities
21 have you represented on, on the appropriateness of
22 an RSM or some type of decoupling mechanism?

23 THE WITNESS: There's been only two or
24 three that -- in terms of a pure decoupling, there's
25 only been two or three that I can remember.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: And in those two or
2 three, were you also supportive of an RSM?

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Lyons, can I get you
4 to use your microphone. Thank you.

5 THE WITNESS: Oh, sure. Yeah.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: Were you supportive of
7 the RSM or that similar decoupling mechanism?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you believe that an
10 RSM or some other type of decoupling mechanism is
11 appropriate for all gas corporations?

12 THE WITNESS: Well, it's hard to say a
13 universal, but certainly, in this case, there's
14 information. There's support for the RSM. And I
15 think in terms of general support there's --
16 inherently the way that rates are designed, there's
17 a large portion that's recovered through the
18 volumetric charges, and in those case, it creates a
19 misalignment between the costs that are incurred by
20 the utility and how the revenues come in, and a
21 decoupling mechanism helps set that alignment much
22 better.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: What I'm trying to
24 understand is whether or not there are any
25 circumstances specific to Missouri, specific to

1 Spire, Laclede, MGE customers that make the RSM
2 mechanism more appropriate than perhaps other
3 utilities in other states or is it simply decoupling
4 RSM mechanism for gas corporations is appropriate in
5 your view?

6 THE WITNESS: Well, I think the --
7 again, there's two factors, major factors. One is
8 the weather and the second is the declining use per
9 customer and, certainly, in those cases where --

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So, those two
11 variables, that is something that is -- that is in
12 play for all gas corporations, correct?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes. I guess some of the
14 numbers that were thrown out earlier in terms of the
15 severity of the declining use per customer. It may
16 be less for other utilities, so may be less of a
17 factor in terms of this declining use, but
18 certainly, those are very compelling numbers for
19 Laclede and MGE.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, what I heard in
21 the testimony is that, nationally, there's about a
22 1 percent decline in consumption on a weather normal
23 -- on a weather normalized basis. And for Laclede
24 and MGE, it's .6 and .7. So, on that basis, isn't
25 there less of a need for decoupling or, or an RSM

1 for Spire than for other gas corporations?

2 THE WITNESS: I would still say even the
3 .6, even if it's less than the national number, it's
4 still a significant decline. That's pretty
5 significant.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So, going back to
7 my prior question. Is there anything unique or
8 specific to Spire that should provide a basis for
9 this Commission to employ an RSM in this case,
10 separate and apart from what is in play for all gas
11 corporations?

12 THE WITNESS: Well, I think the other
13 consideration is the type of rate design, the
14 weather mitigated rate design that's in place today.
15 That is -- it's very unique. It's a type of rate
16 design that I don't think I haven't seen anywhere
17 else in the country to have such a high head block,
18 no tail block in the distribution charge, to have a
19 head block and tail block design in the PGA. It's
20 just something that haven't seen before. So, it's
21 kind of a confusing sort of rate design. So, if a
22 RSM would replace that, then I think that's a unique
23 aspect to, to doing it in Missouri.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Of course, an
25 alternative would be to not employ an RSM and modify

1 that rate design significantly as well. That would
2 be another way of addressing that concern?

3 THE WITNESS: It would, but, you know,
4 it's a balancing act.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Right.

6 THE WITNESS: There's a lot of
7 considerations that go into that. And I think --

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Let me -- turning to
9 page 3 of your surrebuttal. You mentioned a couple
10 of benefits of the RSM, and I wanted to ask about
11 the second benefit at line 15.

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Here's my question:
14 MECG recommended that if the Commission were to
15 employ an RSM, we should only allow for an upward
16 adjustment if the company is not over-earning. I
17 was wondering if you had a reaction to that.

18 THE WITNESS: I really don't. I think
19 the answer that was provided earlier, that during
20 the rate case proceeding, what the Commission does
21 is it sets the authorized revenue level. And then
22 what this mechanism does is it helps ensure that the
23 revenues that are authorized by the Commission are
24 realized then by the company.

25 CHAIRMAN HALL: The third benefit on

1 line 19, it would eliminate Laclede and MGE's
2 financial disincentive to aggressively promote
3 conservation.

4 Do you have -- are you aware of any
5 instance where, under the current rate structure,
6 without an RSM, Laclede and MGE are, are failing to
7 aggressively promote energy conservation?

8 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of anything
9 specifically.

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: That's all I have.
11 Thank you.

12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

13 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
14 Thank you.

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

16 Is there further cross-examination based
17 on the Chairman's questions from Staff?

18 MR. THOMPSON: None on the RSM. Thank
19 you, Judge.

20 MR. KEEVIL: No, Judge. Thank you.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel.

22 MR. SMITH: No, Judge. Thank you.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
24 Consumers.

25 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions. Thank

1 you.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect?

3 MR. PENDERGAST: No questions, Your
4 Honor.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then, thank
6 you, Mr. Lyons, and you may step down. You may be
7 excused.

8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. With that,
10 we've been in here a couple of hours. So, we need a
11 break and it is the lunch hour already. So, we will
12 go ahead and break for lunch, but we're going to
13 make this one fairly quick. We're going to come
14 back at one -- or I'm sorry, 1:45.

15 I'm sorry. Did I say that wrong?

16 Okay. A little over an hour, just
17 barely. Come back prepared to hit the ground
18 running.

19 Go off the record.

20 (A lunch recess was taken.)

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: We are back on the
22 record after our lunch break and we are ready to
23 move on to the next company witnesses.

24 Is there going to be cross-examination
25 for the other company witnesses?

1 MS. SHEMWELL: OPC does not have any
2 cross. Thank you.

3 MR. WOODSMALL: Nor does MECG.

4 MR. THOMPSON: Nor does Staff.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right.

6 Mr. Chairman, will you have questions for
7 Mr. Weitzel or Mr. Buck on these?

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: I have a few for
9 Mr. Weitzel.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. So, there will be
11 some Commission questions for Mr. Weitzel.

12 MR. ZUCKER: The company calls Scott
13 Weitzel.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Very good.

15 MR. ZUCKER: Where is he?

16 There he is.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Weitzel, you were
18 previously sworn. So, you will remain under oath.

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 SCOTT WEITZEL,
21 having been called as a witness herein, having been
22 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
23 follows:

24

25

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. ZUCKER

3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Weitzel.

4 A. Hello, sir.

5 Q. You already made any changes that you
6 intend to make, I assume?

7 A. Yes.

8 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. I will turn
9 Mr. Weitzel over probably for questions from the
10 bench.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. And there
12 are no cross-examination questions for Mr. Weitzel,
13 that's correct?

14 Seeing none, then, Mr. Chairman, you
15 have questions?

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, just a few.

17 Good afternoon.

18 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Turning to page 22 of
20 your direct.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: I want to understand a
23 little bit more about the adjustments and how
24 they're made under the RSM that you're proposing.
25 Some of this could probably be cut short a little

1 bit.

2 Is it, in fact, true that the RSM that
3 you're proposing here is modeled after the RSM that
4 Missouri American has been talking about for a long
5 time?

6 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware that we
7 modeled it after them.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, then, I have to
9 ask a couple of questions.

10 THE WITNESS: Okay.

11 CHAIRMAN HALL: The adjustment up or
12 down on the basis of consumption, is that adjustment
13 on a volumetric basis?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Explain that to me.

16 THE WITNESS: So, what we'll do is find
17 that credit or debit to the customer, that dollar
18 amount, and then we will divide it by that class's
19 annual usage to come to some rate and apply that --
20 apply that to the volumetric piece.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, if, if the
22 consumption was, was low by a specific amount and
23 that impacted the revenue, the -- your revenues by,
24 by X amount, then you're going to increase the
25 volumetric rate by an amount in order to recover

1 that X amount --

2 THE WITNESS: I believe so, correct.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: -- with true-ups and...?

4 Okay. So, the -- a customer who is
5 trying to conserve -- is trying to lower his or her
6 or its bill by, by consuming less would, in fact,
7 have a lower bill by reducing the customer usage?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, because there's the
9 PGA gas cost of service component as well.

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, that side.

11 THE WITNESS: Okay.

12 CHAIRMAN HALL: Just based on the
13 volumetric rate under the RSM, there would -- so,
14 the customer who, who, who uses more when there's
15 going to be an upward adjustment will pick up a
16 larger share of that adjustment.

17 THE WITNESS: Correct, based on
18 volumetric charge usage.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Turning to page 5 of
20 your surrebuttal.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: You're taking the
23 position that any amount of consumption decline
24 other than related to customer growth or loss would,
25 would -- should be included in the RSM; is that

1 correct?

2 THE WITNESS: With weather and
3 conservation.

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: But, I mean, you're
5 not -- you're not trying to figure out what, what
6 reduced consumption is a result of weather or what
7 reduced consumption is a result of conservation or
8 what reduced consumption is a result of anything
9 else? You're just saying, if there's reduced
10 consumption, it's going to run through the RSM?

11 THE WITNESS: Based on your base usage
12 rates set in this proceeding, yes.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: And that's because you
14 are taking the position that, that all consumption
15 increase -- or any consumption decrease would be a
16 result of a -- of -- would be a function of weather
17 or conservation?

18 THE WITNESS: The great vast majority,
19 yes.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Well, help me out
21 here. If, if the company -- if the Commission were
22 to determine that an RSM is appropriate and the --
23 and the Commission were to structure it the way that
24 the company has proposed, you know that there's
25 going to be a lawsuit that, that the -- that the RSM

1 is including consumption factors unrelated to
2 weather and conservation, right?

3 THE WITNESS: I, I don't know legal
4 proceedings.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Well, is there
6 any kind -- what kind of factual basis is there for
7 making -- for drawing the conclusion that you're
8 asking us to draw?

9 THE WITNESS: That, you know, Staff has
10 provided even in surrebuttal that variation and
11 changes is 97 percent weather. No one's tied down
12 what conservation may not be. So, we're -- you
13 know, we're about 99.9 percent there and we're
14 willing to work with Staff, which we've made clear,
15 to try to fine-tune this to account, you know, for
16 that fraction, fraction of a percent that could have
17 some variation.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Turning to page
19 13 of your surrebuttal, and I want to talk about the
20 rate design, what type of rate design should be
21 employed if the Commission does not endorse the RSM.

22 Do you believe that there is, is enough
23 bill determinants, enough data that, that it would
24 be possible to structure an inclining block rate
25 structure in the summer and a level block rate -- a

1 level block in the winter?

2 THE WITNESS: I believe we have enough
3 data to determine several types of rate design
4 including those inclining block rates.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Then on page 17, where
6 you're responding to an argument made by Dr. Marke,
7 and I want to make sure I understand this.

8 THE WITNESS: Okay.

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: There's an argument
10 that, that an RSM is a disincentive to business
11 efficiency, and that's not my understanding.

12 My understanding is that -- is that the
13 RSM is related to the revenue side and the company
14 would still have all the same incentives to cut
15 costs and be efficient. And I believe that's
16 essentially what you're saying here on page 17, but
17 I want to make sure that that's in page 17.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes. That is correct.
19 You know, this is recovering our distribution and
20 the capital that's been laid out in this case. And
21 costs, you know, if we're increasing our costs as a
22 corporation, we can't go and apply that to the RSM.
23 That RSM is set on base rates that are going to be
24 set in this proceeding. So, you know, if we spend
25 money, if we overspend on our budget, expenses,

1 that, that can't be touched through this.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. I have no further
3 questions. Thank you.

4 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have no
5 questions. Thank you.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

7 Is there cross-examination based on the
8 Chairman's questions from Staff?

9 MR. THOMPSON: No. Thank you, Judge.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel?

11 MS. SHEMWELL: No. Thank you, Judge.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy

13 Consumers?

14 MR. WOODSMALL: Real briefly, Your
15 Honor.

16 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. WOODSMALL

18 Q. Good afternoon, sir.

19 A. Good afternoon.

20 Q. In response to some questions from
21 Commissioner Hall, you stated that 97 percent of the
22 usage decline is associated with weather; is that
23 correct?

24 A. Usage variation, yes, is weather.

25 Q. 97 percent associated with weather?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And --

3 A. According to rebuttal from the Staff. I
4 didn't do analysis on it.

5 Q. Okay. And I believe it was stated
6 earlier that Laclede's usage is going down about
7 .7 percent a year?

8 A. That's what was stated.

9 Q. Okay. So, at 97 percent weather, if you
10 got a weather RSM, you would be tracking .679 of
11 that .7 percent; is that correct?

12 A. Can you repeat the question or
13 statement?

14 Q. Usage is declining .7 percent?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And 97 percent of that is weather,
17 correct?

18 A. Uh-huh.

19 Q. So .679 of that is weather. Would you
20 agree with that math? .9 times -- or .97 times .7?

21 A. 97 percent of weather and then, yes,
22 what other...

23 Q. Okay. So .679 --

24 A. Sure.

25 Q. -- is weather?

1 **If the Commission gave Laclede an RSM**
2 **that was only weather, would Laclede be satisfied**
3 **with that?**

4 A. I don't believe so. Just because in our
5 current proposed rate design, we're shifting, we're
6 protected. Our revenue is protected currently right
7 now. Based on weather and our current rates,
8 there's \$20 million on the table for Laclede. I'm
9 just talking Laclede, not MGE.

10 With our current proposed rate design,
11 we're going to have \$187 million now on the
12 volumetric side. So, that's putting us at more risk
13 with weather. And when you're talking another
14 couple percent that could be exposed, I'm sure
15 there -- you know, there would be some problem with
16 that.

17 **Q. Okay. So, an RSM that tracks 97 percent**
18 **of your usage decline wouldn't be enough for Laclede**
19 **is what you're saying?**

20 A. We would like --

21 **Q. Not what you like, but would it be**
22 **enough for Laclede?**

23 A. I can't make that comment or decision.

24 **Q. You're not the policy guy on this issue?**

25 A. I'm not the vice president or CEO, no,

1 I'm not.

2 Q. Would you be satisfied with that, just
3 as a policy guy?

4 A. No, since the state statute allows the
5 gas corporation to collect weather variations and
6 conservation.

7 Q. But you can't limit the other 3 percent
8 to just conservation, can you?

9 A. We have not done analysis on that.

10 Q. Okay. So, you want the 3 percent even
11 though it includes more than just conservation?

12 A. That hasn't been quantified.

13 Q. Right. But there are other factors
14 besides weather and conservation in declining
15 usage; is that correct?

16 A. There could be fractions of other
17 factors.

18 Q. Right. And you haven't quantified that?

19 A. No.

20 MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. No further
21 questions.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Is there any
23 redirect?

24 MR. ZUCKER: Just a few, Your Honor.

25

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. ZUCKER

3 Q. Good afternoon, again, Mr. Weitzel.

4 A. Hello.

5 Q. When an earlier witness was talking
6 about the .6 or .7 percent decline, wasn't that just
7 about conservation?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. So, that didn't have anything to do with
10 weather; is that correct?

11 A. No.

12 Q. No, it's not correct?

13 A. It has nothing to do with weather.

14 Q. Okay. So, taking 97 percent of the
15 .7 percent, it isn't -- it isn't applicable, right?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. In other words -- I'm sorry. I didn't
18 ask that question very well.

19 It isn't appropriate to take 97 percent
20 of the .7 percent because you're mixing weather with
21 conservation; is that right?

22 A. That is right. And that's why I had
23 hesitation on a Friday afternoon after a long eight
24 days on the math.

25 Q. That's a good answer.

1 Chairman Hall asked you about weather
2 and conservation and the statute. As you sit -- as
3 you sit there today, can you tell me one item that
4 would -- that might apply here that is not either
5 caused by weather or conservation that might apply
6 to the RSM?

7 A. I, I don't have anything specific.

8 Q. So, let's take economic conditions for
9 example.

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. The other side -- the other side. Some
12 of the other parties have raised that. If the
13 economy has a downturn and people decide they have
14 extra incentive to save and they turn down their
15 thermostats, would you consider that to be
16 conservation?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So, is what you're saying that economic
19 conditions isn't really a factor separate from
20 conservation?

21 A. You conserve to save money. So, you're
22 correct.

23 Q. Okay. So, other than economic
24 conditions, can you think of anything else?

25 A. No.

1 Q. So, in effect, you're saying weather and
2 conservation together is 100 percent of the -- of
3 consumption difference? Is that what you're saying?

4 A. It gets you to just about right there,
5 if not there.

6 Q. Well, you know, I want to pursue that.

7 Can you think of anything that would --
8 that would cause a difference between 100 percent
9 and not 100 percent, that is not weather or
10 conservation?

11 A. Not as I sit on the stand.

12 Q. Nothing?

13 A. It's, it's --

14 Q. I need a -- I need a verbal answer.

15 A. Okay. Weather and conservation will --
16 is the majority -- it gets you to the 100 percent.

17 Q. Let me ask you again then.

18 MR. THOMPSON: Judge, this is asked and
19 answered.

20 MS. SHEMWELL: Yeah.

21 A. Repeat your question.

22 MR. ZUCKER: I'm not sure. He gave me
23 two different answers, majority and 100 percent.

24 Q. (By Mr. Zucker) So, which, which one is
25 it, Mr. Weitzel?

1 MR. THOMPSON: It's your witness.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. One at a time,
3 please.

4 I'm going to let the -- he's correct,
5 the witness sort of equivocated there.

6 So, Mr. Weitzel, which is it, 100 or a
7 majority or you don't know?

8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Without having the,
9 the statistical data behind it, you know,
10 conservation in weather gets you there. You know,
11 I'm not a -- I'm not a Ph.D or statistician. So,
12 you know.

13 Q. (By Mr. Zucker) Okay. So, then last
14 question.

15 When the statute said weather or
16 conservation or both, in your opinion, when it said
17 "both," did that cover the entire waterfront?

18 A. As is meant in the legislation, yes.

19 MR. ZUCKER: No further questions.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

21 Thank you, Mr. Weitzel. I believe you
22 may step down.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I need to ask a
24 question of company counsel. It's not -- no.
25 You're done. It's just a different.

1 Mr. Zucker. Is it Zucker or Zucker?

2 MR. ZUCKER: Zucker.

3 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Zucker. I didn't
4 even get it right either way.

5 MR. ZUCKER: As in hooker, right.

6 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Zucker, Zucker.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: You did it again.

8 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Mr. Zucker,
9 Mr. Zucker, I'm in the MGE territory. Would it
10 surprise you to know that when I went to my post
11 office box on December 6th I pulled out of it and in
12 there were five orange envelopes.

13 MR. ZUCKER: Why, why five?

14 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Five accounts for
15 MGE. But was that the first month that those
16 envelopes went out?

17 MR. ZUCKER: In December? I think -- I
18 thought they went out in, like, September, October.

19 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I don't remember
20 seeing them. Maybe that was when I didn't pay my
21 bill. I don't know.

22 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. So, yeah.

23 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: But I have a more
24 extensive question that deals with this rate case.

25 MR. ZUCKER: I'm ready.

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay. Is the
2 orange pen, the orange band on your left cuff and
3 your orange tie all part of corporate identity and
4 re-branding costs associated in this rate case?

5 MR. ZUCKER: I'm going to answer that
6 with two-thirds.

7 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: The cuff and the
8 tie, or the -- or the pen and the tie?

9 MR. ZUCKER: The pen and the tie.

10 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: So, that's part of
11 this rate case expense?

12 MR. ZUCKER: No.

13 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No?

14 MR. ZUCKER: The tie is -- I bought the
15 tie myself, three for \$25.

16 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Was that at a
17 Spire gift shop?

18 MR. ZUCKER: No. It was at the
19 Chesterfield Mall and --

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Come on.

21 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Well, someone
22 wants to get serious. I'm sorry. I apologize.

23 MR. ZUCKER: I was not sworn in.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Let me digress.

25 MR. ZUCKER: Mr. Buck is next. Are

1 there any questions for him?

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Did -- do the parties
3 have any questions for Mr. Buck?

4 MS. SHEMWELL: OPC does not. Thank you.

5 MR. THOMPSON: Staff does not.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Does the Commission have
7 any questions for Mr. Buck?

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: No.

9 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I believe
11 Mr. Buck doesn't have to reappear at this time.

12 So, the next witness is Staff's, Staff's
13 witness.

14 MR. THOMPSON: Staff would call Michael
15 Stahlman.

16 MICHAEL STAHLMAN,
17 having been called as a witness herein, having been
18 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
19 follows:

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. THOMPSON:

22 **Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stahlman.**

23 **State your name and spell your last name**
24 **for the reporter, if you would.**

25 A. Michael L. Stahlman, S-T-A-H-L-M-A-N.

1 Q. And how are you employed, Mr. Stahlman?

2 A. The regulatory economist with the Public
3 Service Commission.

4 Q. And are you the same Michael Stahlman
5 that prepared or caused to be prepared rebuttal
6 testimony marked as Exhibit 238 and surrebuttal
7 testimony marked as Exhibit 260?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did you happen to contribute to the
10 Staff cost of service revenue requirement report?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And did you happen to contribute to the
13 Staff cost of service rate design report?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. With respect to all of those
16 testimonies, if I were to -- first of all, do you
17 have any corrections?

18 A. I have two corrections.

19 Q. Okay. To which?

20 A. First is the rebuttal testimony.

21 Q. All right.

22 A. Page 7.

23 Q. Yes.

24 A. Line 23.

25 Q. Yes.

1 A. And I would like to add "assumes it"
2 between "and" and "would" so that the line reads "on
3 the outcome of a rate case and assumes it would only
4 change as a result of weather and conservation."

5 **Q. Very good.**

6 **Do you have any other corrections?**

7 A. Yes. Surrebuttal page 9, line 8.

8 **Q. Go ahead.**

9 A. The end of that sentence should be a
10 period instead of a question mark.

11 **Q. And with those corrections --**

12 MS. SHEMWELL: Would you repeat that,
13 please?

14 **Q. (By Mr. Thompson) Could you repeat that**
15 **for Ms. Shemwell?**

16 A. On surrebuttal page 9, line 8, the
17 sentence ends in a question mark and should be a
18 period.

19 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you. Thank you,
20 Mr. Stahlman.

21 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

22 **Q. (By Mr. Thompson) With those corrections**
23 **in mind, Mr. Stahlman, if I were to ask you those**
24 **questions today, would your answers be the same?**

25 A. Yes.

1 **Q. And would those answers be true and**
2 **correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?**

3 A. Yes.

4 MR. THOMPSON: At this time, I will
5 offer Exhibits 238 and 260.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
7 objection to Exhibit 238 or Exhibit 260?

8 Seeing none. Then I will admit those
9 into evidence.

10 (Staff's Exhibits 238 and 260 were
11 admitted into evidence.)

12 MR. THOMPSON: And I will tender
13 Mr. Stahlman for cross-examination.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there
15 cross-examination by Public Counsel?

16 MS. SHEMWELL: Very briefly.

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. SHEMWELL

19 **Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stahlman.**

20 A. Good afternoon.

21 **Q. On page 6 of your rebuttal, line 12 and**
22 **13, you state that Laclede's revenue stabilization**
23 **mechanism would adjust for all changes in average**
24 **customer use?**

25 A. Correct.

1 **Q. What's Staff's concern with that?**

2 A. There are other things that can change
3 that cause an average use change in overall
4 customers. I mentioned specifically rate switching.
5 One of the larger concerns that's in the testimony
6 of Ms. Kliethermes is that the -- there's a lot of
7 SGS customers currently that should be in a
8 different rate category, and that moving -- these
9 customers, they're generally higher than average
10 usage customers. So, if they just switched to their
11 proper rate, that would cause the average of the
12 current SGS class to go down, and that would lead to
13 an adjustment that Laclede would be able to recover
14 extra money without any change in usage.

15 **Q. Under their proposed RSM?**

16 A. Correct.

17 **Q. Okay. Thank you.**

18 **I don't want to misstate this, but I**
19 **thought I heard Mr. Weitzel say that no one has**
20 **really tied down what conservation includes, but**
21 **anyway, on page 7 of your rebuttal, you do attempt**
22 **to do that?**

23 A. To be fair, on page 7, I think, I left
24 it an open question in general what conservation
25 actually meant. That was not very clear when I

1 looked in the statute to try and find any language
2 on how they defined it in the statute, but I pointed
3 to that when I would deal in energy efficiency
4 collaboratives, there was generally a
5 distinguishment between energy efficiency and
6 conservation.

7 **Q. So there, lines 13 through 19, you have**
8 **drawn a distinction between the two?**

9 A. Yes.

10 MS. SHEMWELL: That's all I have for
11 this witness. Thank you.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

13 Is there any cross-examination by
14 Midwest Energy Consumers?

15 MR. WOODSMALL: Very briefly, Your
16 Honor.

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. WOODSMALL

19 **Q. A question that I've had going through**
20 **all this, do you distinguish between conservation**
21 **and just simple efficiency gains in furnaces and**
22 **water heaters and things like that?**

23 A. I think it depends in the context.
24 Generally, as when I deal with energy efficiency
25 collaboratives, we generally do make that

1 distinguishment, and that's where I leave it an open
2 question. When we're dealing with a statute,
3 though, it's not really clear and, you know, if
4 I'm -- if -- from what I have discussed with people
5 on the street, they may not make a clear
6 distinguishment.

7 Q. So, if I have a house that has a
8 30-year-old furnace and I need to replace it, and
9 even if I go out and buy the least efficient furnace
10 there is out there, it still may be more efficient
11 than the furnace I'm replacing; is that correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And that's not through any conservation
14 effort on my part, is it?

15 A. No. That would be considered an
16 efficiency improvement.

17 Q. Okay. And that goes beyond simply
18 weather and conservation in the statute in your
19 mind?

20 A. I think that could be a legal question.

21 Q. Okay. Well, in your laymen's expert
22 terms, not in a legal term, would you distinguish
23 between efficiency and conservation?

24 A. Yes. As I -- in most collaboratives, we
25 do distinguish between those two terms.

1 MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. Thank you. No
2 further questions.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there questions from
4 Spire?

5 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. Thank you, Your
6 Honor.

7 CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. ZUCKER

9 Q. Assume with me that the word
10 "conservation" means to use less.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. Okay. If you went out and bought a
13 highly-efficient furnace, would it cause you to
14 conserve?

15 A. With a definition of conservation being
16 to use less and ceteris paribus on the, you know,
17 the temperature settings of your house and leaving
18 windows shut and things like that, you would
19 generally use less energy. So, it would be
20 conservation.

21 Q. I'm going to admit that I don't know the
22 Latin term you just used.

23 A. Ceteris paribus is "and the rest
24 remaining equal."

25 Q. Okay. Thank you.

1 Did you see Mr. Weitzel's testimony that
2 any growth that Laclede tends to have is single
3 family housing that has above average use?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And do you have any reason to dispute
6 that?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Would you say it's accurate to say that
9 budget billing, which you bring up on page 8 of your
10 rebuttal testimony, allows customers to spread
11 costs, but not avoid them in, let's take for
12 example, a cold winter?

13 A. I would almost say, it's spreading the
14 expenditure of a cold winter over the summer months.

15 Q. Okay. Now, did you go to any of the
16 local public hearings or read the transcripts?

17 A. I've looked through some transcripts. I
18 did not go to local public hearings.

19 Q. Did you see any comments by customers
20 that they did not like budget billing?

21 A. I don't recall any comment.

22 MR. ZUCKER: I think that's all I have.
23 Thank you, Mr. Stahlman.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there questions from
25 the bench? Mr. Chairman?

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah, just a few.
2 Good afternoon. Could, could you
3 explain to me what the rationale was for Laclede's
4 current rate design with the no charge in the winter
5 above 30 therms? What's the -- what's the -- what's
6 the policy basis for that rate design?

7 THE WITNESS: For -- and I'll direct
8 that Ms. Kliethermes is the rate design witness.

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: I thought it was you as
10 well.

11 Would you prefer to defer to her on
12 that? That's fine.

13 THE WITNESS: I can, depending on how
14 much you want to go into rate design.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: If she's the one, I'll
16 take that out. I'll raise that with her. I
17 apologize.

18 THE WITNESS: All right.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you believe that
20 there is a correlation between income and
21 consumption?

22 THE WITNESS: I -- when I graph that on
23 just based on the residential energy consumption
24 survey, it wasn't quite as clear. There, there may
25 be a slight one, but it did not show, based on the

1 residential energy consumption survey data, that
2 there's, if any, a strong one.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Not a strong one, but
4 there was some correlation?

5 THE WITNESS: Let me direct you to the
6 page. I have it on figure 1 on page 12 of rebuttal.
7 That was comparing income, and this is specifically
8 with natural gas consumption. I can't recall if
9 your question was with energy or not.

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: It was -- well, with
11 gas.

12 THE WITNESS: And there was -- it was --
13 it had a -- it went with, with the trend analysis I
14 put in there. There was initial downturn and
15 turning slightly up, but it was very insignificant
16 overall. I mean, the R score of that regression was
17 3 percent.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: The concern that you
19 raised that I guess it was -- it was raised by
20 Ms. Kliethermes specifically, but you, you echoed
21 it, concerning rate switching as, as being a factor
22 that could cause an upward adjustment in the RSM
23 without an actual decrease in consumption; is that
24 correct?

25 THE WITNESS: Correct.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Is that something that
2 could be -- if -- could a properly structured RSM
3 address that concern?

4 THE WITNESS: I think what you would
5 have to do is go back through the class and there
6 would have to be some mechanism that ensures that
7 even in the future, you don't get additional
8 customers switching the rate classes in and out of
9 the RSM. I don't know that you could really -- if
10 you excluded the SGS class, I think that becomes
11 less of an issue with residential because your
12 residential, you don't switch classes on that.
13 There would be other concerns about the addition of
14 new customers or leaving of new customers to the
15 extent they aren't average, but...

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. I have no further
17 questions.

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
19 Thank you.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any further
21 cross-examination based on the Chairman's questions
22 from Public Counsel?

23 MS. SHEMWELL: No. Thank you.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
25 Consumers?

1 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Spire?

3 MR. ZUCKER: Real briefly, Your Honor.

4 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. ZUCKER

6 Q. Could you look at that chart on page 12?

7 If that -- if that chart on the left side went up to
8 only --

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry, Mr. Zucker.

10 Q. (By Mr. Zucker) Page 12 of your
11 rebuttal.

12 A. You're talking figure 1?

13 Q. Yes, figure 1.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. So, if that chart was spread out more so
16 that it went from zero just to 1,500, would that
17 line look a little more -- would it be increasing
18 more?

19 A. From zero to --

20 Q. Yeah, just 1,500.

21 A. 1,500. So, that's less than the 20,000?

22 Q. No. On the, the left side of the chart,
23 the Y axis.

24 A. Okay. I think it would look a little
25 less flat. I don't know that it would look a lot

1 more curved, though.

2 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there redirect by
4 Staff?

5 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, there is. Thank
6 you, Judge.

7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. THOMPSON

9 Q. Mr. Stahlman, it's your testimony, isn't
10 it -- or I'm sorry. Maybe I shouldn't lead.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

12 Q. (By Mr. Thompson) Mr. Stahlman, do you
13 believe that conservation and weather account for
14 all of the variability in residential and small
15 commercial customer gas usage?

16 A. No. However, if you did assume that
17 weather and conservation accounted for all usage, I
18 still would not recommend their, their design. I
19 would actually recommend that you would just fully
20 decouple the volumetric rate billing determinant and
21 then -- so that any future billing determinant would
22 go back to the one set in rate case.

23 So, if it was a January and it got real
24 cold, you would look at what the billing
25 determinants were set for the month of January in a

1 rate case and capture that difference in a rate
2 adjustment mechanism.

3 **Q. Okay. For those of us who are slow, you**
4 **said decouple the billing determinants?**

5 A. The volumetric rate billing
6 determinants.

7 **Q. What does that mean, to decouple the**
8 **volumetric rate billing determinants?**

9 A. Essentially, what you're doing, when we
10 set rates, you have the -- there's the customer
11 accounts and then there's the amount of usage that
12 is normalized and determined through all the changes
13 in a rate case. You have the revenue requirement
14 and you take out the change due to the customer.
15 So, you're left with just a volumetric portion of
16 revenue requirement left to recover.

17 With a flat rate basis, you just take
18 that divided by the total usage in that given -- for
19 that time period, and that would be your rate. So,
20 that is a volumetric rate billing determinant.

21 **Q. And what would that improve?**

22 A. It would -- again, if we have a finding
23 that there is no change other than weather and
24 conservation and gas volumes, you would exclude a
25 number of concerns you would have on rate switching

1 and the -- or no, not necessarily resolve. It would
2 be a lot simpler design and be less likely to have
3 issues with, like, which customers' accounts would
4 be used. It would just be a straight decoupling
5 mechanism on the volumetric portion only.

6 **Q. Okay. Has Staff proposed anything like**
7 **that in this case?**

8 A. No, we have not. And in part there --
9 we're almost looking for interesting guidance from
10 the Commission to, to an extent. We thought that
11 the statute was pretty clear limiting to weather and
12 conservation and that these other factors, such as
13 rate switchers and the increase of non-average use
14 customers, that that was outside of weather and
15 conservation.

16 Now, I left conservation undefined in my
17 testimony. I left it more open, but I thought those
18 changes were things that were definitely not
19 conservation.

20 And I lost my train of thought.

21 **Q. It's okay. I have another question and**
22 **that will start a new one.**

23 **Mr. Zucker asked you to assume**
24 **conservation means to use less. Do you recall that?**

25 A. Yes.

1 **Q. Is that how you would define**
2 **conservation?**

3 A. No. And it would be -- and even with
4 that definition of using less, it would still have
5 some problems of, what if average use increases. So
6 if, you know, there's an -- my income goes up, so I
7 raise the temperature higher, then I would be using
8 more gas on my conservation and that would be like
9 an anticonservation. It would be using more, but
10 that would still be captured through the RSM.

11 **Q. Do you consider conservation and energy**
12 **efficiency to be equivalent?**

13 A. On -- it depends on the context, but
14 generally, when I use the terms, they're distinct
15 difference between the two terms.

16 **Q. I thought I heard a witness earlier**
17 **today suggest that Staff had proposed an alternative**
18 **RSM mechanism based only on weather; is that**
19 **correct?**

20 A. Yes.

21 MR. THOMPSON: I wonder if I might
22 approach, Judge?

23 And could I get a number? Could I get a
24 witness?

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Do you want just any

1 number or would you like the next one on your list?

2 MR. THOMPSON: Preferably, the number
3 that the lotto is going to come out.

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: 281 is your next
5 exhibit.

6 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.

7 I'm going to show something to
8 Mr. Stahlman.

9 Q. (By Mr. Thompson) Mr. Stahlman, I'm
10 showing a document that's been marked as Staff
11 Exhibit 281. Do you recognize that document?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Could you tell me what it is?

14 A. This is a specimen tariff sheet for
15 Laclede on trying to capture a weather normalize --
16 the normalization adjustment rider. So, it would be
17 like the revenue stabilization mechanism, but only
18 for weather as Staff has discussed in rebuttal and
19 surrebuttal.

20 Q. So, if I understand correctly, it would
21 be essentially an RSM for weather variability?

22 A. Only, yes.

23 Q. And could you explain how it would work
24 or is that something that's not possible here?

25 A. I can try to give a brief rundown.

1 Essentially, what we would use is -- this would be
2 very similar to the weather normalization adjustment
3 we make in a rate case. So, we use -- we've done
4 this calculation already. We used Staff's weather
5 method. And the concept is, you would take the --
6 using Staff weather method, you would compute the
7 normal heating degree days and subtract the actual
8 heating degree days of the applicable weather
9 station.

10 **Q. Let me break in just a moment.**

11 **What is a "heating degree day"?**

12 A. A heating degree day is a concept --
13 it's trying to capture how hot or cold the weather
14 is and it is used to try and determine -- weather is
15 very -- as I have in testimony, weather is very
16 correlated to usage of energy, be it electricity or
17 natural gas. Heating degree day is basically a way
18 to measure how hot or cold it is.

19 **Q. So, if it were 70 degrees outside, would**
20 **there be a heating degree day number associated with**
21 **that?**

22 A. Not when you're using a 65 heating
23 degree day.

24 **Q. So, does that mean the weather has to be**
25 **65 or below?**

1 A. The average temperature for the day
2 would have to be below 65.

3 **Q. I interrupted your explanation of how
4 this would work. Do you have more to say on those
5 lines?**

6 A. Yes. You would basically take the
7 difference between the normal heating degree day and
8 the actual heating degree day and you would multiply
9 that by the coefficient we came up with on our --
10 during our weather normalization process in the rate
11 case and multiply that by the number of customer
12 charge accounts in the billing cycle. And that
13 difference would then be calculized by whatever the
14 residential volumetric rate is at the end of this
15 rate case and that should be the whole monthly
16 difference of what is -- how much they were impacted
17 by the weather.

18 **Q. Okay. Now, you're not an attorney, are
19 you?**

20 A. No.

21 **Q. But does this proposal conform to what
22 you believe the statute authorizes?**

23 A. Yes.

24 **Q. And why does this not include a
25 correction for conservation-based variability?**

1 A. There is a couple of reasons. One of
2 them was that when I did the regression, just
3 comparing weather with consumption, there was very
4 little difference. I mean, it was 95 to 98 percent.
5 So, there's very little difference between that and
6 100 percent additionally there. It's very difficult
7 to define exactly what conservation is. I think
8 there could be a latitude in allowing some things,
9 but not other things and so, having experience with
10 the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Acts, for
11 example, that could be highly litigious and cause a
12 lot of heartache and gnashing of teeth of trying to
13 determine what the exact values are.

14 **Q. Would I be correct in summarizing your**
15 **answer as that energy efficiency variability is**
16 **difficult to capture?**

17 A. Yes.

18 MR. THOMPSON: I have no further
19 questions, Judge. I would like to offer Exhibit
20 281.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be objection
22 to Exhibit 281?

23 MR. ZUCKER: Your Honor, ceteris
24 paribus, we have no objection.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm glad that we could

1 all learn something new today.

2 All right. Seeing no objection. I will
3 admit Exhibit 281.

4 (Staff's Exhibit 281 was admitted into
5 evidence.)

6 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Stahlman.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: I do have a question.
8 This, this was prepared by Laclede?

9 MR. THOMPSON: This was prepared by
10 Staff, I believe.

11 THE WITNESS: Yes. I prepared it with
12 some other Staff members.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: The bottom says "issued
14 by C. Eric Lobser VV."

15 THE WITNESS: That was because I just
16 copied the header and footer trying to make it easy
17 to see what it would look like on an actual tariff
18 sheet.

19 MR. THOMPSON: It was not your intention
20 to forge a tariff sheet.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Thank you.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I will say that
23 Exhibit 281 is a specimen tariff sheet for weather
24 normalization adjustment rider.

25 THE WITNESS: And for Laclede only. I

1 do not have MGE on this tariff sheet.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Stahlman.
3 I believe that concludes your testimony and you may
4 step down. And this was your only issue?

5 THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: And you may be excused.
7 Would Staff like to go ahead and call
8 its next witness?

9 MR. KEEVIL: Yeah. Staff would call
10 Ms. Robin Kliethermes, Judge.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Ms. Kliethermes, have
12 you actually testified before in this proceeding?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: I thought you had, but I
15 was getting myself confused with your statement this
16 morning.

17 So, you have previously been sworn and
18 you will remain under oath for these proceedings.

19 THE WITNESS: Okay.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

21 Do you have anything, Mr. Keevil?

22 MR. KEEVIL: I do, as a matter of fact.

23

24

25

1 ROBIN KLIETHERMES,
2 having been called as a witness herein, having been
3 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
4 follows:

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. KEEVIL

7 Q. When you previously testified,
8 Ms. Kliethermes, do you recall whether -- well,
9 first of all, let me just ask you: Did you
10 contribute to the Staff's revenue requirement cost
11 of service report?

12 A. Not the revenue requirement.

13 Q. Okay. But you did contribute to the
14 class cost of service report, correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. And you also had rebuttal and
17 surrebuttal, correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. And I believe your rebuttal was
20 marked as Exhibit 236, and your surrebuttal was
21 marked as Exhibit 240-something. Where is it?
22 There it is. 249, is that your understanding?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. Now, do you have any additions or
25 corrections you'd like to make to any of those

1 **pieces of testimony?**

2 A. I do have an addition to my surrebuttal
3 testimony based on your opening this morning,
4 Mr. Keevil, on the option of Staff's offering an
5 additional option for customer charge for Laclede.
6 If the Commission, full cost of service is at \$26,
7 if rather than taking that full jump, would rather
8 do the \$22, Staff would offer that as a reasonable
9 option.

10 And on page 11 of my surrebuttal
11 testimony, I have a table that has the different --
12 the current rate design, Staff's direct filed rate
13 design, and a rate design at \$17 customer charge
14 with corresponding volumetric rates. I've added a
15 table that adds that \$22 option and the volumetric
16 rates that would fall out under Staff's direct filed
17 revenue requirement.

18 **Q. So, basically, if I understanding, I**
19 **think you just said you added a table. You added a**
20 **line to the table, did you not?**

21 A. Yes. I added a line to the table.

22 **Q. Correct.**

23 A. I'm sorry.

24 **Q. So, this exhibit --**

25 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, I need an exhibit

1 number. 282, I guess?

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: I believe that's
3 correct.

4 MR. KEEVIL: Give me just a second to
5 mark that down.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, 282.

7 Q. (By Mr. Keevil) Okay. So, that Exhibit
8 282 relates to the table that was in the bottom of
9 page, what'd you say, of your testimony?

10 A. Page 11 of my surrebuttal.

11 Q. All right. Thank you.

12 Did you also -- in connection with that
13 table, did you also cause to be prepared any bill
14 impacts?

15 A. I did and I have -- so, attached to my
16 surrebuttal were bill impacts with the \$26 customer
17 charge and the 17, and I have also updated that with
18 a comparison of the 26 or -- and the 22 compared
19 together including PGA rates.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Ms. Kliethermes, can you
21 state that page number again?

22 THE WITNESS: It's actually attached to
23 my surrebuttal. I think it's a -- I think it was a
24 sched -- schedule RK S-1.

25 MR. KEEVIL: All right.

1 Ms. Kliethermes -- Judge, I guess I need another
2 number. Was it 283?

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: You are correct.

4 Q (By Mr. Keevil) Okay. Ms. Kliethermes,
5 with those additions, corrections, whatever, do you
6 have any further additions or corrections to your
7 surrebuttal or rebuttal testimony?

8 A. I do not.

9 MR. KEEVIL: All right. Judge, I
10 believe this is Ms. Kliethermes' last time appearing
11 in this proceeding, and I also think she's the last
12 CCOS witness of Staff. So, I would offer the
13 Staff's CCOS report Exhibit 208, confidential; 209,
14 public; 210 and 211, the appendixes to the CCOS
15 report; and Ms. Kliethermes' rebuttal, which is 236;
16 surrebuttal, 249; as well as those two exhibits I
17 just marked 282 and 283.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Let me back up
19 to -- which part of the -- it was just 204 and
20 205 -- or which Staff report? I'm sorry. I lost
21 track.

22 MR. KEEVIL: We might as well just do
23 them both. It doesn't matter to me. The ones that
24 I offered were 208, 209, 210, and 211.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Let's start with

1 those.

2 Would there be any objection to
3 Exhibit 208, which is the confidential version; 209,
4 which is the public version; 210 and 211, which are
5 the appendixes to the Staff class cost of service
6 report?

7 MS. SHEMWELL: Public Counsel has no
8 objection.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing no objections. I
10 will admit Exhibits 208, 209, 210 and 211.

11 (Staff's Exhibits 208 through 211 were
12 admitted into evidence.)

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then would there be
14 any objection to Ms. Kliethermes' testimony in
15 Exhibit 236 and 249?

16 Seeing no objection. I will receive
17 those into evidence.

18 (Staff's Exhibits 236 and 249 were
19 admitted into evidence.)

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: And finally, would there
21 be any objection to what have been marked as
22 Exhibits 283 -- I mean, 282 and 283?

23 MS. SHEMWELL: No.

24 MR. PENDERGAST: I don't believe we have
25 any objection, but obviously, we're seeing this for

1 the first time. And I think it would be helpful,
2 not only to ask a couple of questions about it, but
3 also to the extent that in looking this over and
4 having any improvements or changes that we think
5 would be necessary, that we be given an opportunity
6 to do that. What I would suggest is that, if we did
7 have any incremental changes to this, that we would
8 go ahead and provide it to the parties, explain it
9 and at the true-up hearing on the 3rd, that we would
10 have an opportunity to go ahead and then just
11 submit -- have Mr. Lobser submit a second tariff
12 that, you know, would just reflect any changes. And
13 I'm not suggesting there would be some, but just so
14 that the Commission has a fully-considered record on
15 this particular issue.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: I would -- I would allow
17 that, any sort of post-rebuttal to this particular
18 or questions at the true-up. We can revisit those
19 exhibits, but with that qualification, you're not
20 objecting to that going ahead and being admitted?

21 MR. PENDERGAST: No, Your Honor.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then I will
23 admit Exhibits 282 and 283 and make note that we
24 will revisit those at the true-up hearing on
25 July 3rd, just in case there are some other

1 discrepancies noted by, by any of the parties for
2 that matter.

3 (Staff's Exhibits 282 and 283 were
4 admitted into evidence.)

5 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, Mr. Thompson
6 reminded me and your question did as well, we might
7 as well go ahead at this time and offer the other
8 Staff report. According to my exhibit list, the
9 Staff accounting schedules have already been
10 received into the record. It's just the cost of
11 service report and the appendices thereto that,
12 apparently, have not been received, and I don't know
13 if they're all listed on my report -- I mean on my
14 list here, but I would go ahead and offer
15 Exhibit 204, 205, 206, and -- let's see.

16 Well, yeah. That's the accounting
17 schedule -- not the accounting schedules, the
18 reports for now.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah. I think that's
20 all I have as far as the reports that have not
21 previously been entered.

22 Would there be any objection to Exhibit
23 204, which is a confidential version, and 205 and
24 206, which is the appendices?

25 Seeing no objection. I will enter those

1 into evidence.

2 (Staff's Exhibits 204 through 206 were
3 admitted into evidence.)

4 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, since I have the
5 mic, never give me the mic.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm learning.

7 MR. KEEVIL: Looking at the list, this
8 testimony related directly to those reports that
9 have just been received. Ms. Jamie Myers filed
10 direct both supporting the cost of service report
11 and also supporting the class cost of service
12 report. The testimony, according to this list, has
13 been marked 201 and 207, and since she won't be
14 testifying anymore as far as I'm aware of, I'll go
15 ahead and offer that.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
17 objection to Ms. Myers' testimony, Exhibits 201 and
18 207?

19 Seeing none. Then I will admit that
20 into evidence as well.

21 (Staff's Exhibits 201 and 207 were
22 admitted into evidence.)

23 MR. KEEVIL: Thank you, Judge.

24 **Q. (By Mr. Keevil) Ms. Kliethermes, back to**
25 **your testimony now.**

1 With the Exhibits 282 and 283 that
2 you've identified this morning or this afternoon, if
3 I ask you the questions contained in your testimony
4 as updated with these new exhibits, would your
5 answers be the same as contained in your testimony?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And is everything true and correct to
8 the best of your information and knowledge?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Thank you.

11 And as I understand it, Ms. Kliethermes,
12 you are here today primarily to testify on the issue
13 of the residential customer charge as opposed to the
14 RSM mechanism; is that correct?

15 A. That is correct.

16 MR. KEEVIL: Okay. Judge, I would
17 tender the witness for cross.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

19 Are there any cross-examination
20 questions by Public Counsel?

21 MS. SHEMWELL: Public Counsel does not
22 have any cross. Thank you.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
24 Consumers?

25 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions. Thank

1 you.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Spire?

3 MR. PENDERGAST: Just a few.

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. PENDERGAST

6 Q. Good afternoon.

7 A. Good afternoon.

8 Q. Just a couple of questions. I know
9 we'll have an opportunity to look this over, and if
10 we need to discuss it further with Staff and at
11 the -- at the true-up hearing, but on this proposed
12 weather normalization adjustment rider, is the
13 coefficient you're using there just an annual
14 coefficient?

15 A. That is the coefficient that we found
16 that was weather responsive based on data for a
17 12-month period, yes.

18 Q. Okay. And, you know, other items of
19 this formula are expressed on a monthly basis; is
20 that correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And would you have any specific problem
23 with using a monthly coefficient for each of the
24 months?

25 A. There has not been a monthly co- --

1 Staff has not calculated a monthly coefficient, and
2 I think the company had some monthly coefficients,
3 but were not significant. Nor -- I think Staff also
4 addressed issues with that methodology in; rebuttal
5 and surrebuttal testimony. So, to my knowledge, we
6 do not have monthly coefficients.

7 **Q. Okay. You don't have monthly**
8 **coefficients, but monthly coefficients can be**
9 **calculated?**

10 A. I don't know.

11 **Q. Okay. You don't know, but you've seen**
12 **it done by others, right?**

13 A. What I said was that they were not
14 significant.

15 **Q. Okay.**

16 A. So, I would have concerns with the
17 accuracies of those coefficients.

18 **Q. You say they've been done, but in your**
19 **view, they're not significant?**

20 A. There has been a calculation of a
21 monthly coefficient done. I would have concerns
22 that that is an accurate coefficient.

23 **Q. Okay. Well, I don't want to spoil all**
24 **the fun that we can save for the true-up hearing, so**
25 **I'll leave it at that, but I do want to ask you, so**

1 you basically -- Staff's position is that because it
2 believes, you know, some level of revenue impacts
3 might squeeze in under the conservation thing that
4 you don't think belongs there, Staff feels more
5 comfortable going solely with a weather adjustment
6 feature; is that basically correct?

7 A. So, I'm going back to what Mr. Stahlman
8 said since I think he just provided testimony on
9 conservation and Staff's concerns with that, but I
10 think it was, what is conservation and how to
11 quantify, and weather normalization based on his
12 analysis is about 95 to 98 percent, and that -- we
13 feel comfortable with weather.

14 Q. Sure. And, and once again, I'm not
15 trying to put words in your mouth, but the statute
16 says "due to weather, conservation, or both" and you
17 feel comfortable with the weather part?

18 A. With the weather calculation as
19 expressed on this tariff, yes.

20 Q. Right. And, and would it be fair to say
21 that even though the statute says weather
22 conservation or both, because Staff believes that by
23 looking at the conservation element of it because
24 some other cost might -- or revenue impacts might
25 filter through, that it's better not to have

1 **anything on the conservation side at all?**

2 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, I'm going to object
3 because I don't believe -- unless Mr. Pendergast can
4 direct me to it, I don't believe Ms. Kliethermes had
5 testimony on this. Like I said, she was brought up
6 here to address the residential customer charge
7 issue. She's not listed under the RSM, which is
8 what he's crossing her on. She's only -- and they
9 were combined because you wanted to combine the
10 issues. Otherwise, she wouldn't have even been here
11 on RSM. So, unless he can connect this to some of
12 her testimony, I'm going to have to object to this
13 as being improper cross.

14 **Q (By Mr. Pendergast) Well, I thought you**
15 **had expressed some concerns about average use and**
16 **the impact of other factors on average use?**

17 A. I discuss rate switching with SGS, and I
18 think Michael connects that with average use.

19 **Q. Okay. And you were expressing it not**
20 **for purposes of use in the evaluation of the RSM,**
21 **just, you know, to talk about rate switching?**

22 A. Well, rate design.

23 **Q. Okay. Just to talk about rate design.**
24 **You didn't talk about rate switching in the context**
25 **of what it means as far as whether the Commission**

1 **should adopt an RSM?**

2 A. Let me check.

3 Do you know if there's a line in my
4 testimony that I state that exactly?

5 **Q. I know -- I know you talk about average**
6 **usage and the impact of rate switching. Is that**
7 **correct? Do you recall that?**

8 A. Well, I'm trying to find. I know I
9 talked about the class consolidation and why SGS and
10 LGS, that was Staff's proposal to go to general
11 service consolidation. I'm trying to find if I made
12 a statement. Like, I don't know off the top of my
13 head.

14 **Q. That's fine. You talked about the**
15 **subject, but not necessarily with regard to its**
16 **significance on the RSM?**

17 A. I don't know for sure. That's why I was
18 looking through -- I don't know exactly what.
19 That's why I was looking on the line if I -- I know
20 I talked about a lot of different things from my
21 testimony and I don't know that I exactly made that
22 statement.

23 **Q. Okay. Fair enough. I don't have your**
24 **testimony in front of me, and I'm not going to go**
25 **ahead and take any more time to hunt it down, but I**

1 appreciate -- I appreciate your testimony.

2 Thank you.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are there questions from
4 the bench for Ms. Kliethermes?

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Briefly.

6 Good afternoon.

7 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Could you explain the
9 policy rationale for Laclede's current rate design
10 where there is no charge for therms after 30 in the
11 winter?

12 THE WITNESS: My understanding of the
13 rationale for the rate design was revenue recovery.
14 And so, if you put the cost recovery upfront on the
15 first 30 and you have -- and in the winter over 80
16 percent of the customers are using over 30 therms,
17 then you have, you know, recovery of distribution
18 cost.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: When was that put in
20 place?

21 THE WITNESS: It was for sure in place
22 in the last rate case in 2013, and I think it was in
23 place in 2010 as well because 2013, there was no
24 direct filed. So, it was I know in 2010 for sure.

25 CHAIRMAN HALL: I know Staff does not

1 support that, that rate design here.

2 Are there any parties that are
3 supporting that rate design?

4 THE WITNESS: I don't think any party
5 has said to stay with the current Laclede rate
6 design. I think everybody has said go to a customer
7 charge and a volumetric rate.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: What is your position on
9 inclining block rates, not in general, but for, for
10 Spire in this rate case?

11 THE WITNESS: So, Staff did propose the
12 alternative inclining block rate based on interest
13 from the Commission on past electric cases, and then
14 looking at it on a -- we block it at a 50-therm.
15 That's where it seemed reasonable that if you're
16 going to block, it gives customers kind of a usage
17 to go -- to go to with still leaving enough billing
18 determinant so the incline is not very steep.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. I understand that
20 you have proposed that. My question for you is:
21 What is your position on -- is it just an
22 alternative or is it -- are you recommending to us
23 that we institute it?

24 THE WITNESS: So, for Staff, it is an
25 alternative. And in gas with -- so, PGA costs or

1 gas costs and they're completely taken out. So,
2 this is just the non-gas part of the bill that a
3 flat volumetric rate is, is the most reasonable, but
4 if there was interest in designing your rate for
5 energy efficiency, then the incline would be
6 reasonable.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So, so flat is
8 the most reasonable. By that, should I just take it
9 that you mean the simplest?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, that would be, but
11 also to recover costs since there -- the costs that
12 we're discussing, the non-gas costs, our
13 distribution and plant, that that would be best just
14 through a volumetric rate.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do you have a concern
16 that if we were to structure the rate design using
17 inclining block rates, that the company would not
18 recover its revenue requirement?

19 THE WITNESS: No. Based on how we
20 designed it with the 50 block, it still gives
21 billing determinants, a healthy amount of billing
22 determinants in that block to recover. So, no.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: So, from your
24 perspective, if the Commission wanted to promote
25 energy conservation, we could do it by instituting

1 the inclining block rates, and you have no concern
2 that the company would still be able to recover its
3 revenue requirement?

4 THE WITNESS: I think they'd be able to.
5 I don't -- I wouldn't say 100 percent they're going
6 to be able to, because we've all been talking about
7 weather variability. So, there's always risk with
8 that, but I think the way this inclining block rate
9 that Staff designed with using the 50 therms,
10 there's still plenty of billing determinants that
11 there is -- I do not have concerns that they would
12 not recover revenue.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. You provided an
14 additional line on a chart with the -- with the \$22
15 customer charge?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: That was with level
18 block rates, correct?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Have you done the \$22
21 with an inclining block rate structure?

22 THE WITNESS: No, but I can, and -- so,
23 if you did this on the incline, you'd be looking at
24 slightly lower first block with a slightly higher
25 tail block. So, it would be around that rate. It's

1 not going to be far and probably to the same
2 percentage as the one I had in direct.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Could, could we
4 ask Staff to do that analysis, distribute it to the
5 parties, and then let everyone look at it and take
6 it up at true-up with this document as well?

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, we can.

8 THE WITNESS: I can, yeah.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: We can. And, in fact,
10 I'll go ahead and assign it an exhibit number at
11 this time as exhibit --

12 MR. KEEVIL: Mr. Chairman, if I can just
13 clarify. So, what you're asking for is an inclining
14 block rate design with a \$22 customer charge?

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Correct.

16 MR. KEEVIL: Okay. Thanks.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, I'll just mark that
18 as Exhibit 284 and Staff can submit that after this
19 part of the hearing adjourns and before -- in time
20 before the true-up that if there are any issues, we
21 can deal with it then.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: If the Commission were
23 to decide that it wanted to do an inclining block
24 rate structure, is there a reason why we might want
25 to go with a higher customer charge?

1 THE WITNESS: With the higher customer
2 charge, it does leave less revenue that has to be
3 recovered through the volumetric rate. So, if there
4 is concerns on revenue recovery with an inclining
5 block, if you go with that higher customer charge,
6 then that further alleviates concern that they're
7 not going to recover revenue.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: But you've done that
9 analysis already, right?

10 THE WITNESS: The 26? Yes.

11 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Okay. So, that's
12 already in the record?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN HALL: And just so I'm clear,
15 this new analysis that you did for the \$22 customer
16 charge, is that just for Laclede or is that for
17 Laclede and MGE?

18 THE WITNESS: Just for Laclede. That
19 would just be Staff coming down from the 26.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. And so, is
21 there -- what is the Staff recommendation for the
22 customer charge for MGE?

23 THE WITNESS: It's \$20.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: And it is -- it's at 23
25 right now, isn't it?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: And so, what is the rate
3 design recommendation for Staff or MGE?

4 THE WITNESS: So, it's \$20 and then a
5 flat volumetric rate or the alternative of \$20 and
6 an inclining block.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: I think that's all I
8 have. Thank you.

9 THE WITNESS: Thanks.

10 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No questions.
11 Thank you.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any further
13 cross-examination based on the Chairman's questions?
14 Public Counsel.

15 MS. SHEMWELL: No. Thank you.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: From Midwest Energy
17 Consumers?

18 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: From Spire?

20 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you.

21 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. PENDERGAST

23 Q. Yes, Ms. Kliethermes. You were asked a
24 number of questions about what sort of analysis you
25 had done with regard to -- on inclining block rate

1 structure, and I think you indicated that with a
2 higher customer charge, there would be less concern
3 on your part about the company's exposure to weather
4 related and other losses. Is that generally
5 correct?

6 A. Yes. So, there is -- with a higher
7 customer charge, less revenue would be recovered
8 through the volumetric rate. So, if you went on the
9 alternative, a lower customer charge and a higher
10 volumetric rate, that would reduce.

11 Q. Okay. And, and have you done an
12 analysis on what impact that higher customer charge
13 would have on people stopping service during the
14 summer?

15 A. You mean, if it would increase the
16 number of people who shut off during the summer?

17 Q. Yes.

18 A. I have not looked at that.

19 Q. Okay. And do you know whether or not
20 MGE had an increase in the number of customers who
21 disconnected during the summer when it went to the
22 straight fixed variable?

23 A. I do not know, but MGE is not where
24 we're introducing the \$26 customer charge. It's the
25 reduced customer charge at MGE.

1 Q. I understand. Just using as an
2 illustrative example on what might happen to a gas
3 utility with a higher customer charge, but you did
4 not do that analysis or you don't recall anything
5 about that?

6 A. I did not.

7 Q. Okay. And an RSM or even a weather
8 normalization cause, the kind that I think you put
9 forward, would that also provide, you know,
10 additional protection if you had an inclining block
11 rate?

12 MR. KEEVIL: Yeah. I'm going to object
13 to the characterization. I don't remember
14 Ms. Kliethermes putting forward any RSM rate design.

15 MR. PENDERGAST: And excuse me, but
16 isn't she the one that had the billing data and this
17 tariff?

18 MR. KEEVIL: Which tariff?

19 MR. PENDERGAST: The weather tariff.

20 MR. THOMPSON: No. That was Stahlman.

21 MR. KEEVIL: That was Stahlman.

22 MR. PENDERGAST: That was Stahlman.

23 Okay.

24 Q. (By Mr. Pendergast) Well, do you have
25 any opinion on whether or not -- you know, whether

1 she's testified on that or not, you would go ahead
2 and also provide additional protection if you had an
3 RSM?

4 A. And you mean in the context of
5 volumetric revenue recovery or customer charge
6 revenue?

7 Q. Volumetric recovery.

8 A. Volumetric. So, if there is -- if you
9 have a volumetric rate and there's a change in
10 weather, there is -- you know, you could -- there is
11 risk. So, if you do have a mechanism that, you
12 know, would solve for that risk, well, then it would
13 reduce risk.

14 MR. PENDERGAST: Okay. Great. Thank
15 you.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

17 Is there redirect by Staff?

18 MR. KEEVIL: Yes, very briefly.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. KEEVIL

21 Q. You were asked a lot of questions about
22 high or low customer charges.

23 Do you remember generally that?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Can you comment regarding the current

1 Laclede weather mitigated rate design, winter rate
2 design and how that would compare to how Staff's
3 proposal would compare to that current rate design?

4 A. Using the \$26 or the 22 or both?

5 Q. Well, yeah, do them both. Why not?

6 A. Okay. So, with the current rate design,
7 and I'm just going to talk about the winter period
8 at 19.50 a customer charge with 91 cents for the
9 first 30 therms. So, once you've used -- so, up to
10 that 30 therms, after that, it's 47 -- you know,
11 it's \$47.

12 Q. Let me stop you right there,
13 Ms. Kliethermes.

14 So, the first 30 therms is .91
15 something, correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. So, and how many therms would a
18 person normally use in the wintertime? Would it be
19 over 30 therms?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. So, essentially, the current
22 Laclede winter rate design has a \$47 customer
23 charge?

24 A. Basically, yes.

25 Q. And can you --

1 A. So --

2 **Q. Go back to your explanation.**

3 A. So, with that, that even -- so, under
4 Staff's current customer charge even at a 22 or a
5 26, it is cheaper for customers in between -- I
6 think it's 20 to about 100 therms, it would be
7 cheaper than it is today for customers and then more
8 expensive for usage over that or more expensive for
9 under that because of, if you use zero, then the
10 change in customer charge is the change, so...

11 **Q. Okay. Mr. Pendergast also asked you**
12 **some questions about Laclede's -- excuse me, MGE's**
13 **old rate design, and I'd like to focus on the**
14 **current rate design.**

15 **Under MGE's current customer charge**
16 **scenario, are customers overpaying through the**
17 **customer charge based on Staff's class cost of**
18 **service?**

19 A. Yeah. So, Staff's class cost of service
20 using the cost of meters, regulators, service lines
21 and billing expense on a per customer basis found
22 for Laclede that it's around, I think, 17, 17 or
23 17.50 compared to the current rate design of 23.
24 And so, keeping bill impacts in mind, Staff moved
25 towards that down to 20, but did not move all the

1 way.

2 Q. Okay. So, Staff is recommending a \$3
3 reduction in the customer charge for MGE?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Even based on a cost of service -- I
6 shouldn't say completely based because the cost of
7 service would go even further, but moving toward
8 cost of service, Staff is recommending a \$3
9 reduction in the customer charge?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. Thank you.

12 MR. KEEVIL: I think that's all I have,
13 Judge.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

15 Thank you, Ms. Kliethermes. I believe
16 that is the end of your testimony and you may step
17 down and you may be excused.

18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. So, we are ready
20 for Office of Public Counsel's witness on these
21 issues, and that is Dr. Marke and he has appeared
22 and he is previously sworn.

23 Ms. Shemwell, do you have anything?

24 MS. SHEMWELL: No. I tender the witness
25 for cross.

1 Just noting that I have his
2 exhibit numbers as 415 for rebuttal, 420 for
3 surrebuttal confidential, and 421 for surrebuttal
4 public.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: And that is also the
6 numbers I have.

7 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any
9 cross-examination by Staff?

10 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, Judge for RSM,
11 possibly for rate design as well.

12 GEOFF MARKE,
13 having been called as a witness herein, having been
14 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
15 follows:

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. THOMPSON

18 **Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Marke.**

19 A. Good afternoon.

20 **Q. You are, I believe, an economist; is**
21 **that correct?**

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 **Q. And you have a Ph.D in economics?**

24 A. It's actually in public policy.

25 **Q. Public policy. I see.**

1 **So, you don't have to count as much?**

2 A. That's right.

3 **Q. Okay. Is your position opposed or**
4 **favorable to the RSM or neutral?**

5 A. No. We oppose it.

6 **Q. You oppose it. And why is that?**

7 A. For a variety of reasons that we --

8 **Q. Could you just summarize them?**

9 A. Sure. As it's presently been presented
10 in this case, we don't think it's the right
11 regulatory environment.

12 **Q. What does that mean?**

13 A. So, you know, as Ms. Shemwell pointed
14 out earlier, there might be -- decoupling might be
15 appropriate in certain situations. I'd offer up
16 that electric would be a good example of that in a
17 state where there's a mandatory energy efficiency
18 standards requirements that need to be met.
19 Decoupling mechanism might be a very transparent way
20 for the utility to remain neutral as it pertains to
21 energy efficiency.

22 **Q. Just so that any layperson that might**
23 **ever read this could understand, what is**
24 **"decoupling"?**

25 A. Decoupling is essentially what the RSM

1 is. We use those phrases interchangeably, but it's
2 allowing the utility to remain neutral to
3 fluctuations in the revenue. It allows them to meet
4 their revenue requirement based off of true-ups.

5 **Q. Okay. Does it reduce the utility's**
6 **vulnerability to underearning or over-earning**
7 **because of fluctuations in usage caused by things**
8 **like weather?**

9 A. Yes.

10 **Q. Okay. And that's what this RSM would**
11 **do; isn't that correct?**

12 A. Correct.

13 **Q. But nonetheless, you oppose it.**

14 **Can you list for me those things that**
15 **you think are bad or detrimental about the proposed**
16 **RSM?**

17 A. So, I think that the biggest concern we
18 have right off, off the bat is the actual design of
19 the RSM that the company has proposed. I would cite
20 to Mr. Stahlman and Ms. Kliethermes or, I guess,
21 Mr. Stahlman in terms of the exemplar tariff, I
22 guess, that was brought out as ways to mitigate
23 that. You know, we've spoken a little bit about
24 average use being an issue, rate switchers as it
25 pertains to commercial customers. Those are also --

1 even if we accept decoupling is a direction the
2 Commission would want to go down to, we would say
3 that just calling something decoupling doesn't make
4 it so. And with, with what's been provided, we have
5 a lot of concerns, and Mr. Smith articulated that in
6 his opening where he said, you know, potentially, 64
7 changes to the bill over the course of, say, a
8 four-year period, you know, that customers would be
9 exposed to.

10 So, all of those things give us concern,
11 but the larger concern I would offer up in this
12 context, it's just not appropriate. Most of the
13 literature espouses decoupling in situations where
14 you really want to promote energy efficiency for a
15 variety of reasons.

16 I've been fortunate enough to work with
17 Spire both on the MGE and the Laclede side with
18 energy efficiency, and I'm actually glad I got the
19 opportunity to say this. I think they've done --
20 out of all the collaboratives that I've worked in,
21 it's been the most productive. And in part, because
22 everybody that's been -- that belongs to that --
23 well, it's been very transparent and that everybody
24 has the same mission in mind, but even with that
25 caveat, we have struggled to promote energy

1 efficiency because it's a tough sell on the natural
2 gas side. It's not like electric.

3 Q. Let me break in, if I could.

4 When you say it's a tough sell, it's a
5 tough sell to the company?

6 A. It's a tough sell to the customer.

7 Q. To the customer. And why is that?

8 A. The most common measure that you'd see
9 on the natural gas side is the furnace.

10 Q. Yes.

11 A. Furnaces are expensive, you know, large
12 upfront capital investment that somebody would make
13 to prematurely retire their furnace is such where
14 it's almost always not cost effective for that
15 individual.

16 Q. When you say "retire a furnace," are you
17 talking about energy efficiency embodied through
18 replacing a non-efficient furnace with a more
19 efficient furnace?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So, that would require a large capital
22 expenditure by the customer?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And perhaps, the premature retirement of
25 a furnace that was working perfectly well?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Inefficiently?

3 A. For example, right now, I have a very
4 inefficient furnace in my house.

5 Q. Does that embarrass you?

6 A. You know, it -- it's old, but it's
7 reliable, and because natural gas prices are so low
8 right now, it's difficult for me to justify dropping
9 a couple thousand dollars to go ahead and get a more
10 efficient furnace.

11 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this, and perhaps
12 you can enlighten me and maybe others. On the
13 electric side, it is often said that energy
14 efficiency is a positive benefit to the customer
15 because they will avoid the cost of building new
16 generation assets in the future. Have you heard
17 that sort of talk on the electric side?

18 A. I have.

19 Q. Do you believe it's true?

20 A. It can be true.

21 Q. So, sometimes true, sometimes not?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. What about on the gas side, what
24 are the avoided costs benefits for the customer on
25 the natural gas side?

1 A. And for the -- for the direct
2 participant, there are advantages to go ahead and
3 having a efficient appliance in its place, but for
4 nonparticipants, the argument isn't as strong, if
5 anywhere near it is on the electric side.

6 Q. And is that part of the reason it's a
7 tough sell?

8 A. It is.

9 Q. Okay. And do you agree with the
10 testimony that Mr. Stahlman gave that the proposed
11 RSM is not, in fact, compliant with the statute that
12 authorizes it?

13 A. I would agree with that.

14 Q. You believe there is variability in
15 customer usage caused by things other than weather
16 and conservation?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And do you think those things are as
19 Mr. Weitzel said, so insubstantial as to the subject
20 to being ignored?

21 A. No.

22 Q. You think they can be substantial?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. Do you have any examples?

25 A. Yes, I do. I mean, the economy being

1 the big one, recessions, depression. Sort of the
2 casebook example for decoupling gone bad is Maine.
3 Maine had a -- the state of Maine issued a
4 decoupling mechanism for one of their utilities and
5 were subject to a large recession that took place
6 there. Consequently, there was a huge risk exposure
7 to ratepayers for an overall loss in revenues that
8 were a direct result of that recession.

9 Price is a really big one. Right. So,
10 people respond to price, price elasticity. If you
11 continue to raise the prices, people are going to
12 respond accordingly. So, there are many things, you
13 know, that can impact. We've thrown out the term
14 "conservation" a lot in this -- in this whole
15 discussion. What we haven't heard is the word
16 "depravation" and I would argue that depravation,
17 conservation, and efficiency can all result in less
18 therms, but how you get there matters.

19 **Q. Depravation, do you mean by depravation**
20 **situations where you --**

21 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, I'm going
22 to just lodge a little objection. We had direct,
23 rebuttal, surrebuttal testimony. It's clear that
24 the Staff's and OPC's interests are aligned and it
25 just seems to me, rather than this being in

1 cross-examination that's designed to go ahead and
2 inquire into the validity of the witness's
3 testimony, it's more like supplemental surrebuttal,
4 and I don't believe it's particularly fair to the
5 company to go ahead and have yet another opportunity
6 from a friendly party to continue to put additional
7 items on the record.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Thompson, do you
9 want to respond?

10 MR. THOMPSON: I'm unaware of any legal
11 basis for that objection. There used to be, in the
12 past, a hearing order that would prohibit friendly
13 cross in PSC practice. There's no such thing to my
14 knowledge today.

15 MR. PENDERGAST: I don't have a cite for
16 you. I think there's something in the Commission's
17 rules of evidence about repetitive evidence, and
18 once again, everybody's had multiple rounds of
19 testimony to address this issue and I think, you
20 know, trying to go ahead and supplement the record
21 at this point in this way is simply not appropriate.

22 MR. THOMPSON: Well, let me cut this
23 short, Judge. I got one more question and I'm done.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: I was about to say, I
25 will overrule the objection, but Mr. Thompson, if

1 you could keep it from being repetitive --

2 MR. THOMPSON: I agree.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- I appreciate that.

4 MR. THOMPSON: I apologize. It's
5 certainly not my intention to upset Mr. Pendergast.

6 Q. (By Mr. Thompson) Dr. Marke, is it your
7 opinion that if an RSM is implemented, that the ROE
8 should be reduced?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And is that in your written testimony?

11 A. Yes, it is.

12 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. I have no
13 further questions.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

15 Mr. Chairman, do you have questions?

16 MR. WOODSMALL: I have some questions,
17 Your Honor.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm
19 sorry. I'm skipping ahead.

20 See, you should worry about upsetting
21 me, Mr. Thompson, and not Mr. Pendergast.

22 MR. THOMPSON: I apologize, Judge.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Midwest Energy
24 Consumers, did you have cross?

25 MR. WOODSMALL: Yes, just real briefly,

1 and I'll try not to be repetitive either.

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. WOODSMALL

4 Q. There were some exhibits that were
5 provided, I guess, by Staff, Exhibits 279 and 280
6 showing annual usage for Laclede and MGE over the
7 last, I guess, eight years?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Have you seen those?

10 A. Yes. I actually have them in front of
11 me.

12 Q. Do you have any thoughts on what that
13 tends to show?

14 A. I think this gets to the heart of it.
15 Right. I mean, when you're --

16 Q. When you say "this," what are you
17 referring to?

18 A. The exhibits that are listed there.
19 Right. The -- this is the Staff Exhibit 279 and 280
20 for residential average usage. I mean, if you were
21 to start this out, the progression line, it could
22 arguably be trending upward if at least flat.
23 Right. So, when Staff brings up their weather
24 mitigation tariff, I -- that's infinitely more
25 appropriate than including this conservation, this

1 sort of -- this extra element here, and then
2 essentially, this graph substantiates that.

3 You're not seeing the, the impact of, of
4 declining usage for MGE and Laclede's customers.
5 The largest single factor is easily weather.

6 MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, I have an
7 exhibit to mark. I think I couldn't get through the
8 hearing without doing, at least, one exhibit. So, I
9 think it's my first one.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, I actually had
11 marked your, your demonstrative exhibit in your
12 opening statement as 700. So, this is 701.

13 Q (By Mr. Woodsmall) Can you identify
14 Exhibit 701?

15 A. This is Spire, Inc., Spire Missouri,
16 Inc., and Spire Alabama, Inc., Form 10-K.

17 Q. And have you looked at this document
18 before?

19 A. I am familiar with the company's 10-K,
20 yes.

21 Q. And is it your understanding that as
22 part of a 10-K, a company identifies certain risk
23 factors?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And would those risk factors include

1 **business risk?**

2 A. Yes, it would.

3 Q. **Would you turn to the page that I have a**
4 **little sticky note on. Tell me when you're there.**

5 A. I'm there.

6 MR. ZUCKER: What page would that be?

7 MR. WOODSMALL: Well, it's -- what
8 actual page --

9 MR. ZUCKER: Page 18?

10 MR. WOODSMALL: 10-Ks have a funky
11 pagination. So, it's 18 under --

12 MR. ZUCKER: Okay.

13 MR. WOODSMALL: It has the sticky note
14 there.

15 MR. ZUCKER: I appreciate it. Oh, it's
16 got -- I got my own sticky note.

17 MR. WOODSMALL: Yeah.

18 MR. ZUCKER: I didn't -- I apologize.

19 MR. WOODSMALL: I didn't go cheap on
20 that.

21 Q (By Mr. Woodsmall) **Can you tell me when**
22 **you're there?**

23 A. I am there.

24 Q. **Okay. Would you read the second bolded**
25 **item in the first paragraph under that?**

1 A. "Significantly warmer than normal
2 weather conditions. The effects of climate change.
3 Legislative and regulatory initiatives in response
4 to climate change are in support of increased energy
5 efficiency and other factors that influence customer
6 usage may affect utility sales of heating energy and
7 adversely impact their financial position and
8 results of operations."

9 Q. And is that the weather component of the
10 **RSM that we've been talking about?**

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. And do you have -- would you turn
13 to the page before that at the bottom of what is
14 labeled as page 16?

15 A. I'm there.

16 Q. Okay. And can you tell me the item
17 that's listed, the bolded item that's listed there?

18 A. "The utilities may be adversely affected
19 by economic conditions."

20 Q. And is that business risk one of the
21 things that you address that should not be included
22 in an RSM?

23 A. Yes, it is.

24 Q. Okay. My final question. There were
25 questions to -- I guess it was Mr. Lyons earlier

1 regarding an adjustment to the ROE, and I guess the
2 comment was made that the ROE, quote, is baked into
3 the analysis, unquote. Were you here with that
4 testimony?

5 A. I'm familiar with it, yes.

6 Q. Okay. Do you believe that since the
7 other proxy companies have an RSM, that no
8 adjustment for an RSM is necessary if the Commission
9 gives an RSM here?

10 A. No, no. You know, the analogy that I
11 would give is -- I would compare it to home buying.
12 Right. If an individual were to have their home
13 appraised, say, for three bedrooms and an unfinished
14 basement, right, that would be comparable to other
15 homes, you know, with the same sort of
16 characteristics, but if that individual were to,
17 say, finish their basement, right, then by default,
18 you'd be putting yourself in a different proxy
19 group. Right. You'd be adding on to the value of
20 that individual home.

21 Effectively, if the company were given
22 Commission approval for a weather mitigating and
23 economic mitigating and efficiency mitigating, well,
24 you know, the realm of risk possibilities, if you're
25 collectively reducing that, then, then all the

1 sudden that proxy group has changed.

2 Q. So, if the company was given an RSM,
3 Spire may not fall in the same proxy group. They
4 may fall into a proxy group with a higher credit
5 rating. Is that --

6 A. And the credit ratings are -- or
7 agencies have been pretty clear about that. I mean,
8 you know -- and Mr. Smith spoke to this earlier. He
9 said in his presentation, you look at the company
10 adding on an additional adjustive mechanism is
11 just -- you know, it's a list of surcharges and
12 then, you know, I think that the term he gave was
13 "regulatory cruise control" and I think that is apt.

14 MR. WOODSMALL: Okay. No further
15 questions. Thank you.

16 I'd like to offer Exhibit 701.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
18 objection to Exhibit 701, which is the Spire, Inc.,
19 Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending 2017 -- in
20 September 2017?

21 Seeing no objection. I will admit that.

22 (MECG's Exhibit 701 was admitted into
23 evidence.)

24 MR. WOODSMALL: No further questions.
25 Thank you.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there
2 cross-examination from Spire?

3 MR. ZUCKER: Yes, Your Honor.

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. ZUCKER

6 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Marke.

7 A. Good afternoon.

8 Q. Have you read Shaylyn Dean's testimony
9 in this case?

10 A. I have.

11 Q. And did you read his rebuttal where he
12 complimented you on your work in the Laclede and MGE
13 EECs?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. In fact, I'm looking it up right now.

16 And you have been a very constructive
17 force on that E -- on those EECs, have you not?

18 A. I've been a participant, yes.

19 Q. Well, according to Mr. Dean, you've been
20 a valuable participant.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Would you agree?

23 A. According to Mr. Dean, yes.

24 Q. And you deserve credit for your role in
25 the EEC's accomplishments over a period of several

1 years, yes?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And yet, when it came time for OPC to
4 file testimony on energy efficiency, you filed no
5 testimony, and instead OPC witness Mantle advocated
6 for the energy efficiency programs to be virtually
7 wiped out?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And can you explain that?

10 A. When we were -- when we were dishing up
11 responsibilities for this case, a command decision
12 was made that I would not file energy efficiency
13 testimony because I was an active member on that
14 collaborative.

15 Q. But then you subsequently did file
16 testimony, though, in the case?

17 A. I did. And as you pointed out, Mr. Dean
18 mentioned me and I felt compelled to offer up some
19 testimony.

20 Q. Did you see the EM&V on the Laclede MGE
21 programs that came out about two weeks ago?

22 A. I did.

23 Q. And did you -- do you agree that the
24 Laclede and MGE programs both passed?

25 A. Yes.

1 MS. SHEMWELL: I'm not sure that's in
2 evidence. Has that been entered into evidence?

3 MR. ZUCKER: Well, the question was
4 asked, did it pass, and he said it did. So, that's
5 the evidence we have.

6 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.

7 Q. (By Mr. Zucker) Did you see exhibit or
8 attachment appendix G to that EM&V?

9 A. Could you remind me what?

10 Q. It had -- it had to do with free
11 ridership.

12 A. I believe so.

13 Q. And did you see where the -- it was, I
14 think, a memo from the contractor.

15 A. I do remember that, yes.

16 Q. And did you see where it said that the
17 free ridership was somewhere in a range of 23 to
18 44 percent?

19 A. That sounds about right.

20 Q. And how did that compare to other energy
21 efficiency programs, if you know?

22 A. I think that the free ride -- all things
23 being equal, I think the free ridership was, was a
24 good outcome for the company. It could have been
25 much higher. I guess it would have been a worse

1 case scenario.

2 Q. A good outcome for the program?

3 A. Yes. A better outcome, but sure.

4 Q. Okay. All else being equal, if there is
5 a cold winter and increased demand for gas service
6 and the price of gas increases based on the
7 increased demand, would you agree that Spire could
8 over-collect its designed revenues?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And if that happened, wouldn't the RSM
11 proposed by Spire provide credits for customers?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And so, would you agree with me that
14 customers have exposure risk to cold weather?

15 Well, without the RSM, they have
16 exposure risk to cold weather?

17 A. So as, as rates are currently designed?

18 Q. Oh, let's imagine that there is -- yeah.
19 Let's just -- let's, let's narrow it just to MGE.
20 So, as MGE's rates are currently designed.

21 A. There could be some exposure.

22 Q. There could be or there is some
23 exposure?

24 A. You know, I mean, again, the devil is in
25 the details, but there would be -- depending on the

1 usage characteristics of that customer, there could
2 be some exposure to price volatility, yes.

3 **Q. Okay. And to paying extra because of**
4 **extra usage?**

5 A. Paying extra for extra usage? Extra for
6 extra usage?

7 So, to the extent that it would be
8 priced that way, those customers would be paying
9 more for the more they use, yes.

10 **Q. Okay. And the RSM would reduce that**
11 **risk; is that correct?**

12 A. I think it would open up other risk.
13 So, I'm not sure I would characterize it as a
14 one-for-one.

15 **Q. Well, I didn't say one-for-one, but it**
16 **would reduce the risk if they had an RSM, if there**
17 **was an RSM and there was a cold winter and increased**
18 **prices and customers had increased usage?**

19 MS. SHEMWELL: Dr. Marke, do you
20 understand the question? Because I don't.

21 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to.

22 MS. SHEMWELL: Okay.

23 A. I'm sorry, Mr. Zucker. Maybe just
24 repeat it one more time for me.

25 **Q. (By Mr. Zucker) Okay.**

1 A. So, MGE customers.

2 Q. MGE customers, cold winter, increased
3 usage, increased price of gas, RSM reduces the
4 customer's risk?

5 A. So, you know, any time that you're
6 buying into, you know, a heating fuel like that,
7 it's been our office's position that we would prefer
8 that customers have control -- as much control as
9 they can over their bills.

10 So, to the extent that customers are
11 high-use customers, the price signal that you would
12 be sending them is that it's more expensive. And in
13 that sense, they would -- we would hope that they
14 would feel compelled to try to control their bill by
15 turning it off, turning off heat or putting on more
16 clothes or something along that would mitigate the
17 use of more natural gas in that sense.

18 Q. Okay. That's fair.

19 So, if they mitigate it, they're doing
20 what they can do, but then doesn't the RSM also help
21 them out by reducing their risk?

22 A. I think -- I guess this is where we
23 agree to disagree. Because of the details of the
24 RSM that's being proposed, and again, I guess I
25 qualify this by saying that our office isn't

1 adamantly against decoupling or the RSM, but we
2 have -- we have concerns with how it would actually
3 be implemented. And this gets back to the average
4 use issue.

5 Q. Okay. So, are you saying that there
6 would be some reduction in risk for customers in
7 that scenario?

8 A. I don't think I can comment without
9 seeing more to that.

10 Q. Okay. Would you say there would be some
11 reduction in reward to Laclede that they would -- to
12 MGE that they would otherwise earn in a cold winter?

13 A. Sure. There's, there's a risk-reward, I
14 guess, that would go both ways for, for any utility
15 with a given rate design, and that's honestly -- I
16 mean, that -- again, that mirrors the market.
17 Right?

18 Q. If that's a question for me, I'm going
19 to say no. I think the customer has a reduced risk,
20 but I'm not testifying. So, we'll move on.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Are you sure about that,
22 Mr. Zucker?

23 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. Am I sure about which
24 one? That I'm not testifying?

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: That you're not

1 testifying.

2 MR. ZUCKER: Well, I just testified
3 briefly.

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

5 **Q. (By Mr. Zucker) And you would agree with**
6 **me that the RSM does not affect the company's costs?**

7 A. I think I would agree with that
8 statement.

9 **Q. Quickly, aren't there other factors that**
10 **impact use, customers' use other than weather that**
11 **would change their use per degree day, such as**
12 **number of appliances?**

13 A. Sure. If you had more natural gas
14 appliances.

15 **Q. Yes.**

16 A. Yes.

17 MR. ZUCKER: I think that's all I have,
18 Your Honor.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

20 Dr. Marke, I have just a couple
21 questions for you.

22 So, in your rebuttal testimony on page
23 13, you discuss empirical data to support the link
24 between income and natural gas consumption.

25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Can you reconcile your
2 testimony there with that of Mr. Stahlman in his,
3 his figure on page 12 of his rebuttal?

4 THE WITNESS: I don't -- maybe if I have
5 a copy of Mr. Stahlman's testimony.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Here, I can let you
7 borrow this one.

8 THE WITNESS: So, I'll make my best
9 effort with this. I know -- I remember this now as
10 we both used rex data.

11 You know, the problem with looking at
12 data like this is the parameters that you set around
13 it. There's a lot of noise, I guess, is generally
14 the term they talk about, you know, when reading
15 statistical methods like this.

16 I'm not going to speak to Mr. Stahlman's
17 analysis too much, but I will try to speak to mine.

18 The DOE study that I cited -- and this
19 witness actually came up in the collaborative
20 discussions that were result of the last rate case
21 between parties. There are a lot of different
22 elements that contribute to how much natural gas
23 somebody would use, right, and trying to control for
24 those is difficult to do. We can control for it for
25 income. We can control for the size of the home,

1 amount of heating degree days, other external,
2 weather, demographics even. Right. Seniors might
3 be prone to using more if they're at home more.

4 All of these variations are going to
5 have an impact on overall usage, and the number of
6 appliances that you have. What the DOE study did,
7 what I thought was impressive is, it really -- it
8 basically carved out each of those components and
9 was able to go ahead and isolate it based off of
10 their rex data to show overall usage consumption.

11 And what I included -- and I included
12 the entirety of the document if, if the issue did
13 come up, but I did isolate it just for figure 3 to
14 show that, on a whole, income and natural gas usage
15 is, is directly correlated. The more income that
16 you have, the more gas that you use and that's,
17 that's also consistent with electricity and water.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. So, did you have
19 more?

20 THE WITNESS: No. I mean, I guess the
21 only other thing that I would offer up, and this is
22 why when I look at, you know, Mr. Stahlman's
23 testimony, and again, I can't speak to this
24 without -- I think we probably just need to have a
25 conversation about it, but the larger end use of

1 customers, so low-income, high-usage customers, it's
2 been our experience that some of that noise is a
3 result of LIHEAP and other subsidized programs which
4 essentially say, we got your bills covered for the
5 month, don't worry.

6 So, what you have is very low income
7 customers that have a higher usage, but we'd say
8 that it's being distorted by that subsidy.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And then on, on
10 page 15 of your rebuttal, you talk about -- let's
11 see. At the top there on line 5, you talk about
12 such a departure could produce some negative
13 consequences.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Could you expand on that
16 a little bit more?

17 THE WITNESS: I'm glad you asked. So,
18 that's the inclining block rate and, you know, I
19 know we just heard Staff offer up an alternative,
20 and I'm not sure I actually heard Staff say that
21 they endorse that alternative. Our concern for
22 Public Counsel is, if you went to an inclining block
23 rate and if it was extreme enough and we offset that
24 with a higher customer charge, essentially, what you
25 would be doing is pushing customers towards

1 electricity. I mean, at the end of the day, I think
2 some of Spire's efforts not only to, to come down
3 with a lower customer charge, but to offer that
4 customer charge pilot program for low-income
5 customers in the summer months, is essentially to
6 keep them on as natural gas customers.

7 If we're sending a price signal that gas
8 is very expensive and, you know, the more you use,
9 the more you should pay, depending on how far you go
10 down that path, the natural reaction for most
11 customers would be, is this worth it? Do I need to,
12 you know, consider alternative methods to start
13 heating my home?

14 So, I'm speaking in generalities here.
15 I mean, the devil, again, would be in the details,
16 but my knee-jerk reaction is that's, that's the fear
17 we would have going down that route.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, the Chairman asked
19 some questions of the other witnesses about, and I'm
20 going to ask your opinion on this, about whether it
21 would be possible, or in your opinion, advisable
22 maybe to do a level -- a level rate in the winter
23 and an inclining block in the summer on those
24 customer charges.

25 Or I'm sorry, not on the customer

1 charge.

2 THE WITNESS: On the volumetric charge?

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. Sorry.

4 THE WITNESS: You know, I would direct
5 you to Staff witness Kliethermes' billing analysis
6 that breaks down the amount of therms a customer
7 uses and how that would impact based off of what the
8 proposed customer charges are and the volumetric.
9 And what, what you'll see -- ultimately, this is
10 really one of the reasons why Public Counsel has
11 taken the position that we have taken is because,
12 historically, we've come across that we want a very
13 low customer charge. We feel like low income and
14 low usage customers are, again, a vulnerable group.
15 But in this case, we've tried to mitigate that by
16 saying, we're going to take away that low income,
17 low customer charge through the pilot design.

18 So, what a larger volumetric rate does
19 in that sense -- or I'm sorry, a lower volumetric
20 rate does in that sense is, it gives a bill
21 reduction to both those -- both sets of customers
22 essentially. So, we feel like that's a very -- I
23 guess we're in agreement with Staff and that might
24 not be entirely clear from my testimony.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you for

1 that answer.

2 Would there be any additional
3 cross-examination based on my questions from Staff?

4 MR. THOMPSON: No. Thank you, Judge.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: From Midwest Energy
6 Consumers?

7 MR. WOODSMALL: No questions.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: From Spire?

9 MR. ZUCKER: No questions.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Ms. Shemwell, do you
11 have redirect?

12 MS. SHEMWELL: I do. I would like to
13 insist on a break.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: I was about to ask if we
15 should take a break first. We've been here two
16 hours and I think that is called for.

17 So, let's take a brief ten-minute break
18 and come back at five minutes till 4:00.

19 Let's go off the record.

20 (A short break was taken.)

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead and go
22 back on the record.

23 Okay. We are going to take the redirect
24 from OPC on Dr. Marke.

25 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you, Judge.

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. SHEMWELL

3 Q. Dr. Marke, you were asked a question, I
4 think it was from Staff counsel about what
5 depravation is.

6 Would you, please, explain that.

7 A. So, depravation would result from loss
8 of usage due to financial means. The example that I
9 would give is, you have to shut your service off
10 because you can't afford to pay the bill.

11 Q. And that's different than conservation?

12 A. Right. So, this is a big concern,
13 obviously, for seniors, you know, who use it eat,
14 medication, heating. You know, we've, we've talked
15 a lot about that. We've been -- legislative, you
16 know, laws have been tried to -- you know, that have
17 been enacted to help mitigate that, you know,
18 through the cold weather rule and such, but energy
19 efficiency conservation and depravation all result
20 in less therm usage, but how you get there from
21 Public Counsel standpoint matters.

22 Q. Mr. Zucker asked a question about RSM,
23 how it helps customers by reducing risk in specific
24 scenarios.

25 How does -- can you discuss how RSM or

1 **whether RSM helps customers?**

2 A. So, when Mr. Zucker was asking me that
3 question, the -- I think my knee-jerk reaction is to
4 think of this in terms of residential customers.
5 And, you know, what I just caution the Commission
6 with is that we have a large concern for SGS
7 customers. And to the extent that there's rate
8 switching as a result of the RSM, that's a very real
9 issue. It would be an issue that plagues any given
10 rate case.

11 **Q. Plagues. Can you explain what plagues a**
12 **rate case means?**

13 A. Well, I mean, then that goes to the
14 heart of the class cost of service study. So, when
15 you do actually perform the class cost of service
16 that you're trying to link cost causation in the
17 best way possible, but clearly, you know, commercial
18 customers have the ability to -- some commercial
19 customers have the ability to alter their usage if
20 it's more favorable for them. An RSM applied just
21 to the small general service would pose problems
22 moving forward because of the rate switchers.

23 **Q. But residential customers can't switch**
24 **rates, right?**

25 A. No. And, you know, we've spoken a lot

1 about risk mitigation here. The one thing I would
2 point out is, this is a risk transfer that's
3 being -- really, it's on the backs of residential
4 customers, potentially small commercial customers,
5 but not larger customers. None of that risk shift
6 is necessarily being mitigated, you know, from those
7 customers.

8 **Q. What's the tradeoff for the reduction in**
9 **the company's risk?**

10 A. More certainty. The ability to
11 essentially have one less thing to worry about.
12 It's -- you know, if you look at it in the context
13 of, you know, the PGA, ACA, having an ISRS, having
14 all of these mechanisms outside of the content of a
15 rate case where all relevant factors can be
16 considered outside of the context of just having the
17 resources to vote to that. It amounts to less
18 regulatory oversight.

19 From, from a ratepayer standpoint, you
20 know, there's some concern with not having, you
21 know, that, that protection.

22 **Q. Do you have a recommendation for the ROE**
23 **level if the Commission grants an RSM?**

24 A. So, I had recommended that the
25 Commission offer up a ten basis point reduction and

1 that's consistent with what I've seen other
2 Commissions reward utilities that have been granted
3 a weather mitigation mechanism or a decoupling
4 mechanism.

5 I know Mr. Thompson brought up the idea
6 of, you know, 100 basis points which, quite frankly,
7 forced me to rethink, you know, my ten basis points,
8 but that might be -- there should be some
9 recognition. I'll leave it at that.

10 **Q. And what other states have you looked at**
11 **to come to your conclusion?**

12 A. Portland gas and electric is, is the one
13 that jumps right out to me. California has provided
14 this. So, context matters here. And I jump on
15 other witnesses for this, too. So, I don't want to
16 fall victim to this, but the decoupling -- you
17 should take all factors into consideration here.

18 So, I am aware of ROE reductions for
19 electric companies, for gas companies and for water
20 companies. And they've been given -- and even the
21 mechanisms themselves have been rewarded for
22 different reasons. And I would just emphasize, that
23 goes back to my initial point, there are times and
24 places where a decoupling tool is appropriate and
25 places where they're not. And I'll just give one

1 example and that's -- I know American Water in
2 California.

3 The California Commission awarded a
4 decoupling mechanism for that utility. They also
5 had a 25 percent reduction and as a result of that,
6 but the reason for that was because they were in a
7 severe draught. I mean, that, that water become
8 such a precious commodity in that specific
9 jurisdiction that there needed to be a extraordinary
10 measure, and in that case, they did decoupling tool
11 to recognize that.

12 **Q. When you said a 25 percent reduction,**
13 **what were you referring to?**

14 A. To the ROE.

15 **Q. Is another factor that you would**
16 **consider weather? It just occurs to me that Oregon**
17 **and California is a big area, but they have**
18 **different weather than Missouri, for example.**

19 A. It is. And I guess the example that I
20 gave was water in California, but I don't think
21 anybody's disputing the fact that, like, weather
22 overwhelmingly is the reason that you might have
23 fluctuations in usage.

24 MS. SHEMWELL: That's all I have,
25 Dr. Marke. Thank you very much.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

3 With that, Dr. Marke, I believe that
4 concludes your testimony and you may step down.

5 MS. SHEMWELL: Judge, this is
6 Dr. Marke's last time testifying. I'd like to offer
7 his?

8 THE WITNESS: Are we going -- are you
9 going to offer me up for everything?

10 MS. SHEMWELL: No.

11 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's fine.

12 MS. SHEMWELL: It is Exhibits 415, 420,
13 and 421.

14 (A discussion was held off the record.)

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, I've had an offer of
16 Exhibits 415, 420, which is the confidential
17 version, and 421, the public version of Dr. Marke's
18 testimony. Is there any objection to those
19 exhibits?

20 Seeing none. Then I will admit those
21 into evidence.

22 (OPC's Exhibits 415, 420 and 421 were
23 admitted into evidence.)

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: And for those of you,
25 again, keeping score at home, Dr. Marke was our 99th

1 witness on the stand these last eight days, but I
2 understand that, perhaps, all the cross has been
3 waived on the final issue, which was sort of a
4 sub-issue.

5 MS. SHEMWELL: But we're not waiving the
6 issue. We will be briefing the issue.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Right.

8 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, it will be submitted
10 on the testimony in the briefs and that will
11 conclude all the substantive issues in this case --
12 in this direct case. Of course, we still have
13 true-up to come.

14 So, at this time, what I'd like to do,
15 then, is go through the exhibit list and just take
16 care of having offered and entered any testimony
17 that hasn't yet been.

18 Everyone on board with me so far?

19 MS. SHEMWELL: Uh-hmm.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Well, I will
21 begin with Spire. I have that Mr. Lobser's
22 testimony has not yet been offered.

23 Exhibits -- well, I have the previous
24 exhibits offered, but the ones I do not have offered
25 are 6, 8, 9 for Dr. Lobser.

1 MR. ZUCKER: Dr. Lobser's numbers are --

2 MR. KEEVIL: I object.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: I gave him an -- I gave
4 him an educational -- please, please. Come on.
5 Stick with me.

6 MR. ZUCKER: Your Honor, did we get in
7 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 already? I know we got --

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: We did. They have been
9 admitted.

10 MR. ZUCKER: All right. Very good. So,
11 6 -- I have 6, 7, 8 and 9. 7 being rebuttal.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Correct.

13 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. All right. And that
14 takes care of Dr. Lobser.

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: And they were actually
16 offered on December 6th.

17 Would there be any objection to Exhibits
18 6, 7, 8 and 9?

19 MR. MILLS: Judge, just I think the
20 record will reflect this, but there were objections
21 raised to his rebuttal testimony and sustained.
22 There were also objections raised to his surrebuttal
23 testimony, which were overruled.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: And you are correct and
25 I meant to mention that.

1 Any other objections to his testimony?

2 Seeing none. Then I will receive it
3 with those objections as previously reflected in the
4 record.

5 (Laclede's Exhibits 6 through 9 were
6 admitted into evidence.)

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: And we decided 11 was a
8 duplicate and did not need to be entered.

9 The next one I have for the company that
10 was not entered was Exhibit 15, 16, 17, 18 for
11 Mr. Weitzel, and then also 19, 20, 21, 22 for
12 Mr. Buck. Did you want to offer those at this time?

13 MR. ZUCKER: Yes. I want to offer
14 everything.

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
16 objection to Exhibits 15 through 22?

17 Seeing none. I will enter those into
18 evidence.

19 (Laclede's Exhibits 15 through 21 were
20 admitted into evidence.)

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then the next one --
22 25 was a mistake and, so, that one is not being
23 offered.

24 The next ones are Dean 26 and 27 and
25 Noack at 28, 29, 30, 31.

1 MR. ZUCKER: I guess 31, we might hold
2 off on. That's true-up.

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: 31 is true-up.

4 MR. ZUCKER: I put it in the list,
5 but...

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, that is 26 through
7 30 have been offered. Would there be any objection
8 to Exhibits 26 through 30?

9 Hearing none. I will receive those into
10 evidence.

11 (Laclede's Exhibits 26 through 30 were
12 admitted into evidence.)

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Next exhibits I have for
14 the company that need to be entered are numbers 48
15 through 53, which -- and I'm not going to try to
16 pronounce -- how do --

17 MR. ZUCKER: Mispagel.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mispagel. Mispagel?

19 MR. ZUCKER: Right.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Which is M-I-S-P-A-G-E-L
21 for the court reporter.

22 MR. ZUCKER: Lauber.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Lauber.

24 MR. ZUCKER: He's incentive
25 compensation.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Feldman. And that's
2 all.

3 So, would there be any objection for
4 Exhibits 48 through 53?

5 MR. ZUCKER: 53 is also true-up.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Zucker.
7 48 through 52.

8 (Laclede's Exhibits 48 through 52 were
9 admitted into evidence.)

10 MR. ZUCKER: Yeah, Mr. Buck's No. 22 was
11 true-up. Sorry, Your Honor.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's all right.

13 So, I'm going to resend my ruling on
14 Mr. Buck's true-up testimony, No. 22, and we will
15 hold that until the true-up hearing.

16 Now, does Spire have -- are there any
17 exhibits that I have not entered at this point?

18 MR. ZUCKER: Well, we had -- 54 was the
19 joint stipulation and agreement with the school
20 board.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: I believe I entered that
22 on the 6th.

23 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. All right. I have a
24 gap for 55. I don't remember what that was.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: That was also a

1 stipulation and a GM-2013-0254, and I have that it
2 was admitted also.

3 MR. ZUCKER: Okay.

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: If I, in fact, failed to
5 admit those, they are admitted now.

6 MR. ZUCKER: Thank you, Judge.

7 56 was the NASUCA resolution.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I have that that got
9 admitted on Monday morning.

10 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. 57 was a
11 demonstrative.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Which -- did that later
13 get offered?

14 MR. WOODSMALL: Do we offer
15 demonstrative exhibits, I mean, if it's not
16 evidence?

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: No, but I was thinking
18 that it later got -- well, the record will reflect
19 if that one...

20 MR. WOODSMALL: Yeah.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: I have that it got
22 admitted, so...

23 MR. ZUCKER: Yeah.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: I have that the
25 remaining exhibits for the company, 58 through 62

1 were all received.

2 MR. ZUCKER: Okay. And 57 we think --
3 Mr. Pendergast thinks was admitted on Monday with
4 the cost of capital witness.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's what I have.

6 MR. ZUCKER: All right. I think that
7 does it for us.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Moving on to
9 Staff. For Staff, the first exhibits that I have
10 that have not yet been admitted are 212 for
11 Ms. Bocklage and 213 for Ms. Dietrich.

12 MR. KEEVIL: Correct. That's what I'm
13 showing, too. I would offer them both.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any objection to
15 Exhibits 212 and 213?

16 Seeing none. I will admit those.

17 (Staff's Exhibits 212 and 213 were
18 admitted into evidence.)

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Next I have Exhibits
20 216, Hodges; 217, confidential, Karen Lyons; 218,
21 the public, Karen Lyons.

22 MR. KEEVIL: Yes. I'd offer those as
23 well, Judge.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
25 objection to 216, 217, and 218?

1 Seeing none. And, again, I'll note that
2 217 was confidential.

3 (Staff's Exhibits 216 through 218 were
4 admitted into evidence.)

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: The next exhibits I have
6 for Staff are 223, and I don't know how to pronounce
7 the last name in that one either. N-I-E-T-O.

8 Sorry. I have one of those names, too, so... 224,
9 Mr. Oligschlaeger; 225, Patterson; 226, Richter.

10 MR. KEEVIL: Yes, I offer those.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
12 objection to Exhibits 223 through 226?

13 MR. ZUCKER: No objection.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then I will receive
15 those into evidence.

16 (Staff's Exhibits 223 through 226 were
17 admitted into evidence.)

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: The next one I have is
19 228, the confidential for Taylor; and 229 the public
20 for Taylor; 230, Won; 231, Young; 232, Beck.

21 MR. KEEVIL: Offer them all, please,
22 Judge.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
24 objection to 228 through 232?

25 MR. ZUCKER: No.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: I will receive those
2 into evidence.

3 (Staff's Exhibits 228 through 232 were
4 admitted into evidence.)

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Next I have 235 for
6 Gateley.

7 MR. KEEVIL: Oh, yes. He's by himself,
8 but, yes, I offer that one.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any objection
10 to 235?

11 MR. ZUCKER: No.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then I will receive that
13 into evidence.

14 (Staff's Exhibit 235 was admitted into
15 evidence.)

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Next I have 237 for
17 Kliethermes.

18 MR. KEEVIL: That would be Sarah
19 Kliethermes.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Sarah Kliethermes.

21 MR. KEEVIL: Yeah. I would offer that
22 one, Judge.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
24 objection to 237?

25 MR. ZUCKER: No.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing none. I will
2 admit that.

3 (Staff's Exhibit 237 was admitted into
4 evidence.)

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Next I have 239 for
6 Beck; 240 for Bocklage; 241, which is -- we may have
7 already admitted 241.

8 MR. KEEVIL: Yeah. 241 should have
9 already been admitted, but if it isn't, then I would
10 offer 239, 240, and 241.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: I believe 241 was
12 already admitted, which is the confidential for
13 Crowe.

14 Would there be any objection to Exhibits
15 239 and 240?

16 MR. ZUCKER: No.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing none. Then I
18 will admit 239 and 240.

19 (Staff's Exhibits 239 and 240 were
20 admitted into evidence.)

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Next I have 245 for
22 Featherstone.

23 MR. KEEVIL: What about 243, Judge?

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Ms. Dietrich's?

25 MR. KEEVIL: Yes.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: I show it's -- ah. That
2 was supposed to be Crowe. Okay. That's the reason
3 we're going through this.

4 243 for Ms. Dietrich.

5 MR. KEEVIL: I'd offer it.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any objection
7 to Exhibit 243?

8 MR. ZUCKER: No objection.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then I will admit that
10 into evidence.

11 (Staff's Exhibit 243 was admitted into
12 evidence.)

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Next I have 245 for
14 Featherstone.

15 MR. KEEVIL: Offer that.

16 MR. ZUCKER: No objection.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: That was no objection?

18 MR. ZUCKER: Yeah.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then that is admitted
20 into evidence.

21 (Staff's Exhibit 245 was admitted into
22 evidence.)

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: 248 for Hodges.

24 MR. KEEVIL: Offer.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any objection?

1 MR. ZUCKER: No.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: It is admitted into
3 evidence.

4 (Staff's Exhibit 248 was admitted into
5 evidence.)

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: 250 and 251 for Kunst
7 and 252 for Karen Lyons.

8 MR. KEEVIL: I'm showing that two of
9 those admitted, but to the extent they aren't, I'd
10 offer all three of them.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: You're saying that Kunst
12 is admitted?

13 MR. KEEVIL: Yeah.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. I probably
15 did, but if I didn't, I'm seeing no objection, those
16 are now admitted.

17 (Staff's Exhibit 252 was admitted into
18 evidence.)

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: 256 for Miles, 257 --

20 MR. KEEVIL: Nieto.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

22 258 for Patterson.

23 MR. KEEVIL: I offer all of those.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any objection?

25 MR. ZUCKER: I would like to lodge a

1 continuing non-objection.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I will let, let you
3 do that.

4 (Staff's Exhibits 256 through 258 were
5 admitted into evidence.)

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: 261 and -- for Won; and
7 262 for the confidential for Matthew Young; and 263,
8 public for Young. I haven't already admitted those,
9 have I?

10 MR. KEEVIL: I don't show them as
11 admitted. I would offer all of them.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: And seeing no objection.
13 I will receive those into evidence.

14 (Staff's Exhibits 261 through 263 were
15 admitted into evidence.)

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then I have -- 270
17 was also a demonstrative. And then we have the
18 Staff report in AW-2011-0330, which is marked as
19 274, confidential. I am still holding that one to
20 make a ruling to see if I need a Commission order to
21 actually admit that into this case.

22 MR. KEEVIL: Okay.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, I will deal with
24 that between now and the true-up.

25 MR. KEEVIL: Okay. I'm showing a

1 bunch -- well, maybe not a bunch, but, I mean, we
2 started 26 -- for the non-premarked exhibits for
3 Staff, I'm showing 266 through 269. And then you
4 said 270 was just a demonstrative; is that right?

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. Those have all
6 been admitted.

7 MR. KEEVIL: Those have been admitted.
8 Okay. So, everything you said over to the Staff
9 report 274 has been admitted?

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.

11 MR. KEEVIL: Is that what you said?

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then all of 275
13 through 284.

14 MR. KEEVIL: 275 through 284 have all
15 been admitted?

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Or well -- okay. I take
17 that back. Through 283. 284, I'm also holding that
18 one.

19 MR. KEEVIL: Well, 284 is just a late
20 filed --

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Request from the
22 Chairman.

23 MR. KEEVIL: Right. Yeah. But to be
24 late filed, but -- so, everything has already been
25 -- okay. Thank you. That looks like everything.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, then we can go to
2 Public Counsel.

3 I am showing that the first two were
4 admitted. And we still need to admit 402 for
5 Conner; 403 Hyneman; 404 Mantle; 405 Riley; 406
6 Robinett. Would there be -- I'm assuming you're
7 offering those, Ms. Shemwell, and Mr. Ryan?

8 MS. SHEMWELL: We are.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
10 objection?

11 MR. ZUCKER: No.

12 MS. SHEMWELL: So, Amanda Conner's
13 direct is 402?

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.

15 I'm going to help the court reporter get
16 these all in order as soon as we're finished here.
17 Just make sure that you have given her a copy.

18 MS. SHEMWELL: Yeah.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: 402, 403, 404, 405 and
20 406 have all been admitted.

21 (OPC's Exhibits 402 through 406 were
22 admitted into evidence.)

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then I'm just going
24 to continue on, Ms. Shemwell, if that's okay.

25 MS. SHEMWELL: 407 is Robinett.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.

2 MS. SHEMWELL: And 408, I'm showing
3 Mr. Pitts as received.

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.

5 MS. SHEMWELL: And Amanda Conner, we're
6 offering.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, then 409 is Amanda
8 Conner.

9 MS. SHEMWELL: Yes.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: 410 is Mr. Hyneman, 411
11 Ms. Mantle.

12 MS. SHEMWELL: Yes.

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: 412, Robinett, 413 --

14 MS. SHEMWELL: Pitts should be in.

15 JUDGE DIPPELL: Should be in already,
16 but just in case it is not, I'm including it.

17 Does that mean -- did we enter
18 Mr. Gorman's?

19 MR. SMITH: We did.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And 415 is
21 Dr. Marke's?

22 MS. SHEMWELL: Yes. And I offered those
23 at the end of his testimony, I believe. So, his
24 should all be in.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. So, any

1 objection to 409 through 413 -- or 412?

2 Hearing none. I will admit those into
3 evidence.

4 (OPC's Exhibits 409 through 412 were
5 admitted into evidence.)

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: 417 is the next one I
7 have that has not been entered. That's Conner. 418
8 is Mantle. 419 is Riley. 420, confidential -- oh,
9 that was Dr. Marke's again.

10 MS. SHEMWELL: I was going to say that's
11 in.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Any objection to 417, 18
13 and 19?

14 Hearing none. I will receive those into
15 evidence.

16 (OPC's Exhibits 417 through 419 were
17 admitted into evidence.)

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: 422, Pitts. I don't
19 know if that's been entered or not.

20 423, confidential of Robinett; 424 is
21 Robinett; 425 is Hyneman; and 426 has already been
22 admitted.

23 Any objection to 422; 423, confidential;
24 424 is the public version; and 425?

25 MR. ZUCKER: I think we did have that

1 objection to Mr. Pitts offer of proof.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, we did, and I
3 admitted those. So, those should have been taken
4 care of.

5 MR. ZUCKER: Then otherwise, no.

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: I apologize. That was
7 422.

8 So, other than what we previously did
9 with Mr. Pitts' testimony, those are received.

10 (OPC's Exhibits 423 through 425 were
11 admitted into evidence.)

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: And then I have 426 was
13 admitted. 427 was demonstrative only.

14 428 and 429 were admitted. 430 was
15 demonstrative and 431 was demonstrative.

16 432, 33 and 34 were all part of the
17 offer of proof, as was 435.

18 436 was admitted and 437 was
19 demonstrative.

20 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you, Judge.

21 JUDGE DIPPELL: Was that all you had,
22 Ms. Shemwell?

23 MS. SHEMWELL: Yes, ma'am.

24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Moving right along.

25 Division of Energy, which I believe did submit their

1 exhibits to the court reporter and --

2 MR. WOODSMALL: There's no one here to
3 offer.

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: And he moved for
5 admission of Ms. Epperson's testimony on the record
6 at the beginning. And I believe there was an
7 agreement to waive cross and so forth. And I
8 believe, implied in that agreement was that the
9 testimony was admissible. And that would be
10 Exhibits 501-C, which is confidential, and 503 that
11 was of Kohl -- 503, Kroll, 506, Epperson; 507, Kohl;
12 510, Kohl; 511, Kroll with an R; and 512, Dahler.

13 Would there be any objection to any of
14 those exhibits?

15 MR. ZUCKER: No, no, no, no, no, no.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then 501-C, 503, 506,
17 507, 510, 511 and 512 are all admitted.

18 (DE's Exhibits 501-C, 503, 506, 507 and
19 510 through 512 were admitted into evidence.)

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: National Housing Trust
21 had testimony of Ms. Brink.

22 MR. THOMPSON: I know we waived cross,
23 but did we stipulate to the admission of testimony?

24 Let it in, Judge.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, I see no objection

1 to counsel's exhibits coming in, and those were 600
2 for the direct of Annika Brink; 601, direct -- oh,
3 that was with regard to rate design. Then direct
4 for revenue requirement is 601, and the rebuttal is
5 602.

6 Would there be any objection to those
7 documents coming into the record?

8 MR. PENDERGAST: None here.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing none. I will
10 admit those.

11 (NHT's Exhibits 600 through 602 were
12 admitted into evidence.)

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Environmental Defense
14 Fund already admitted.

15 MECs are already in except for the
16 demonstrative one.

17 MIEC, I have -- well, how do you have
18 your, your testimony marked, Mr. Mills? Is it 750
19 for the direct, 751 for rebuttal, and 752 for
20 surrebuttal of Collins?

21 MR. MILLS: That's correct.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: And 753 for rebuttal of
23 Myer?

24 MR. MILLS: Yes. He had both a
25 confidential and a public version.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.

2 MR. MILLS: And I provided both to the
3 court reporter, but I don't know if they were marked
4 with separate numbers or not.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, we'll just mark it
6 with the 753 and that includes both the confidential
7 version and the public version of the rebuttal
8 testimony.

9 And are you --

10 MR. MILLS: I would like to offer all of
11 those exhibits at this time.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Any objection to
13 750 through 753, confidential?

14 Hearing none. I will admit those --
15 hearing none. I will admit those into evidence.

16 (MIEC's Exhibits 750 through 753 were
17 admitted into evidence.)

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: And Consumer Council
19 already got theirs in.

20 Okay. We're still on the record here.

21 MSBA had direct testimony, but their
22 issue is already settled.

23 And Exhibit 900 is the Union's and that
24 was already entered.

25 That is all the exhibits I have.

1 MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, I'd just
2 note while everybody's here. I've circulated a
3 stipulation on the issues that we talked about last
4 night. We need to hear from the company and Staff.
5 Everybody else is okay. So, I don't expect anything
6 today obviously.

7 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, we were
8 thinking that, obviously, we've got another
9 stipulation or two to get filed, and that
10 January 3rd when we have the true-up might provide
11 an opportunity should anybody have any questions
12 about them.

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: I was going to suggest
14 that as well. That will give the Commissioners,
15 hopefully, an opportunity, hopefully, to have
16 reviewed them.

17 So, with that, I just wanted to mention
18 a couple of things about when you get to briefing
19 and, remember, that the briefing schedule after the
20 true-up happens pretty quickly.

21 So, do not think that you can sit on
22 your heels and have a great holiday break because I
23 do not want any motions for continuance of that
24 briefing time.

25 MR. KEEVIL: I was going to say, the

1 briefing has already been set, has it not, in your
2 previous --

3 JUDGE DIPPELL: It has. And I am not
4 very amenable to entertaining any motion for
5 continuance after I was kind enough to postpone this
6 hearing, which really could have used those first
7 two days.

8 MR. WOODSMALL: We knew we had it made.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: So, that being said --

10 MR. KEEVIL: If we drag this out another
11 32 minutes, we will have hit the entire allotted
12 time, so...

13 JUDGE DIPPELL: And if you will all be
14 quiet and let me talk, we will not do that.

15 So, when you are doing your briefs,
16 please clearly articulate the standards that your
17 party thinks must apply in the rate sharing or the
18 rate stabilization mechanism. That is a rather
19 novel issue and the legal guidance will be helpful.

20 MR. PENDERGAST: Your Honor, it just
21 occurred to me, and maybe the parties can discuss
22 it, but in the past, we've typically had
23 stipulations and agreements on some of the more
24 complicated issues like pension, and there's a lot
25 of accounting on how you treat it and what gets

1 deferred and so forth and so on. And I know that we
2 have some issues that need to be briefed and
3 everything, but would it be helpful to you if the
4 parties could get together and say, this is the sort
5 of template that you would use just to get the
6 accounting right and that sort of thing on the
7 pension?

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, that was going to
9 be my next -- my next suggestion, was that,
10 normally, we don't hear all of the various kinds of
11 things that we heard on the pension issues, you
12 know, especially given that you-all haven't brought
13 them to the Commission to decide for 25 years.

14 So, yes, I am open to any kind of
15 agreement on that kind of thing that you can do. I
16 would also like to ask you to -- when you are doing
17 your briefs on the pension issues, to consider your
18 audience and try to make your argument in a,
19 hopefully, chronological way before you intersperse
20 everybody else's arguments in there and try to rebut
21 everybody else's arguments, so that it's clear where
22 each party believes how those old cases all play in
23 and so forth and so on.

24 That's, that's going to help the
25 Commission make a better decision no matter what

1 decision they make.

2 MS. SHEMWELL: May I ask the dates that
3 you have for -- you have initial and reply and --

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: I don't have those in
5 front of me right now. So, it's, it's on the
6 procedural order.

7 MS. SHEMWELL: Yeah.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: I just remember that
9 they come pretty quickly because this has to be
10 decided fairly quickly. And I have to have time to
11 let the Commissioners actually make a decision.

12 So, with that, is there anything else
13 before we adjourn?

14 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. You
15 did a fine job.

16 MR. PENDERGAST: Thank you very much.

17 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: I appreciate your
19 cooperation and your continuing to talk and settle
20 things, and we can go off of the record.

21 We are adjourned.

22 (The hearing was adjourned at 4:31 p.m.)

23

24

25

1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	RSM/RATE DESIGN/CLASS COST OF SERVICE	
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Pendergast	2267
5	Opening Statement by Mr. Thompson	2277
6	Opening Statement by Mr. Keevil	2281
7	Opening Statement by Mr. Smith	2286
8	Opening Statement by Mr. Bear	2292
9	Opening Statement by Mr. Linhares	2297
10	Opening Statement by Mr. Woodsmall	2303
11	Opening Statement by Mr. Mills	2308
12	MARTIN HYMAN	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Bear	2310
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	2313
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	2317
16	Cross-Examination by Ms. Shemwell	2319
17	Questions by Chairman Hall	2322
18	Recross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	2331
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Keevil	2332
20	Recross-Examination by Ms. Shemwell	2333
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Bear	2333
22	C. ERIC LOBSER	
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Keevil	2341
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith	2341
25	Questions by Chairman Hall	2342

1	I N D E X C O N T I N U E D	
2		PAGE
3	Recross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	2355
4	Recross-Examination by Mr. Keevil	2359
5	Recross-Examination by Mr. Smith	2364
6	Recross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	2367
7	Redirect Examination by Mr. Pendergast	2373
8	TIMOTHY S. LYONS	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Pendergast	2384
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Keevil	2387
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith	2391
12	Questions by Chairman Hall	2392
13	SCOTT WEITZEL	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Zucker	2400
15	Questions by Chairman Hall	2400
16	Recross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	2406
17	Redirect Examination by Mr. Zucker	2410
18	MICHAEL STAHLMAN	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson	2416
20	Cross-Examination by Ms. Shemwell	2419
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	2421
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	2423
23	Questions by Chairman Hall	2425
24	Recross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	2428
25	Redirect Examination by Mr. Thompson	2429

1	I N D E X C O N T I N U E D	
2		PAGE
3	ROBIN KLIETHERMES	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Keevil	2439
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	2448
6	Questions by Chairman Hall	2453
7	Recross-Examination by Mr. Pendergast	2459
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Keevil	2462
9	GEOFF MARKE	
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	2466
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Woodsmall	2476
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Zucker	2482
13	Questions by Judge Dippell	2489
14	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shemwell	2496
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	ADMITTED
1		
2	Laclede's EXHIBIT 6	2504
3	Direct Testimony of Eric Lobser	
4	Laclede's EXHIBIT 7	2504
	Rebuttal Testimony of Eric Lobser	
5	Laclede's EXHIBIT 8	2504
6	Surrebuttal Testimony of Eric Lobser, Public	
7	Laclede's EXHIBIT 9	2504
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Eric Lobser, Confidential	
8	Laclede's EXHIBIT 10	2387
9	Direct Testimony of Timothy Lyons	
10	Laclede's EXHIBIT 12	2387
	Lyon's Revised Revenue Requitement Rebuttal Testimony	
11	Laclede's EXHIBIT 13	2387
12	Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony of Timothy Lyons	
13	Laclede's EXHIBIT 14	2387
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Timothy Lyons	
14	Laclede's EXHIBIT 15	2504
15	Direct Testimony of Scott Weitzel	
16	Laclede's EXHIBIT 16	2504
	Rebuttal Testimony of Scott Weitzel, Revenue	
17	Laclede's EXHIBIT 17	2504
18	Rebuttal Testimony of Scott Weitzel, Rate Design	
19	Laclede's EXHIBIT 18	2504
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Scott Weitzel	
20	Laclede's EXHIBIT 19	2504
21	Direct Testimony of Glenn Buck	
22	Laclede's EXHIBIT 20	2504
	Rebuttal Testimony of Glenn Buck	
23	Laclede's EXHIBIT 21	2504
24	Surrebuttal Testimony of Glenn Buck	
25	Laclede's EXHIBIT 26	2505

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS CONTINUED	ADMITTED
1		
2	Laclede's EXHIBIT 27	2505
3	Surrebuttal Testimony of Shaylyn Dean	
4	Laclede's EXHIBIT 28	2505
	Direct Testimony of Michael Noack	
5	Laclede's Exhibit 29	2505
6	Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Noack	
7	Laclede's Exhibit 30	2505
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Michael Noack	
8	Laclede's EXHIBIT 48	2506
9	Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Mispagel	
10	Laclede's EXHIBIT 49	2506
	Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Lauber	
11	Laclede's EXHIBIT 50	2506
12	Direct Testimony of Keri Feldman	
13	Laclede's EXHIBIT 51	2506
	Rebuttal Testimony of Keri Feldman	
14	Laclede's EXHIBIT 52	2506
15	Surrebuttal Testimony of Keri Feldman	
16	Staff's EXHIBIT 201	2446
	Direct Testimony of Jamie Myers	
17	Staff's EXHIBIT 204	2446
18	Staff Direct Cost of Service Report, Confidential	
19	Staff's EXHIBIT 205	2446
	Staff Direct Cost of Service Report, Public	
20	Staff's EXHIBIT 206	2446
21	CCOS Appendices	
22	Staff's EXHIBIT 207	2446
	Direct Testimony of Jamie Myers	
23	Staff's EXHIBIT 208	2443
24	Staff Report - Class Cost of Service, Confidential	
25	Staff's EXHIBIT 209	2443

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS CONTINUED	ADMITTED
1		
2	Staff's EXHIBIT 210	2443
3	CCOS Appendix 1	
4	Staff's EXHIBIT 211	2443
	CCOS Appendix 2	
5	Staff's EXHIBIT 212	2508
6	Rebuttal Testimony of Michelle Bocklage	
7	Staff's EXHIBIT 213	2508
	Rebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich	
8	Staff's EXHIBIT 216	2509
9	Rebuttal Testimony of Wayne Hodges	
10	Staff's EXHIBIT 217	2509
	Rebuttal Testimony of Karen Lyons, Confidential	
11	Staff's EXHIBIT 218	2509
12	Rebuttal Testimony of Karen Lyons, Public	
13	Staff's EXHIBIT 223	2509
	Rebuttal Testimony of Antonija Nieto	
14	Staff's EXHIBIT 224	2509
15	Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Oligschlaeger	
16	Staff's EXHIBIT 225	2509
	Rebuttal Testimony of Keenan Patterson	
17	Staff's EXHIBIT 226	2509
18	Rebuttal Testimony of Brooke Richter	
19	Staff's EXHIBIT 228	2510
	Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Taylor, Confidential	
20	Staff's EXHIBIT 229	2510
21	Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Taylor, Public	
22	Staff's EXHIBIT 230	2510
	Rebuttal Testimony of Seoung Joun Won	
23	Staff's EXHIBIT 231	2510
24	Rebuttal Testimony of Matthew Young	
25	Staff's EXHIBIT 232	2510

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS CONTINUED	ADMITTED
1		
2	Staff's EXHIBIT 235	2510
3	Rebuttal Testimony of Curtis Gateley	
4	Staff's EXHIBIT 236	2443
5	Rebuttal Testimony of Robin Kliethermes	
6	Staff's EXHIBIT 237	2511
7	Rebuttal Testimony of Sarah Kliethermes	
8	Staff's EXHIBIT 238	2419
9	Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Stahlman	
10	Staff's EXHIBIT 239	2511
11	Surrebuttal Testimony of Daniel Beck	
12	Staff's EXHIBIT 240	2511
13	Surrebuttal Testimony of Michelle Bocklage	
14	Staff's EXHIBIT 243	2512
15	Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich	
16	Staff's EXHIBIT 245	2512
17	Surrebuttal Testimony of Cary Featherstone	
18	Staff's EXHIBIT 248	2513
19	Surrebuttal Testimony of Wayne Hodges	
20	Staff's EXHIBIT 249	2443
21	Surrebuttal Testimony of Robin Kliethermes	
22	Staff's EXHIBIT 252	2513
23	Surrebuttal Testimony of Karen Lyons	
24	Staff's EXHIBIT 256	2514
25	Surrebuttal Testimony of Derick Miles	
26	Staff's EXHIBIT 257	2514
27	Surrebuttal Testimony of Antonija Nieto	
28	Staff's EXHIBIT 258	2514
29	Surrebuttal Testimony of Keenan Patterson	
30	Staff's EXHIBIT 260	2419
31	Surrebuttal Testimony of Michael Stahlman	
32	Staff's EXHIBIT 261	2514

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS CONTINUED	ADMITTED
1		
2	Staff's EXHIBIT 262	2514
3	Surrebutal Testimony of Matthew Young, Confidential	
4	Staff's EXHIBIT 263	2514
5	Surrebuttal Testimony of Matthew Young, Public	
6	Staff's EXHIBIT 279	2358
7	Laclede Residential Average Annual Consumption	
8	Staff's EXHIBIT 280	2358
9	MGE Residential Average Annual Use	
10	Staff's EXHIBIT 281	2437
11	Laclede Specimen Tariff Sheet	
12	Staff's EXHIBIT 282	2445
13	Table	
14	Staff's EXHIBIT 283	2445
15	Table	
16	OPC's Exhibit 402	2516
17	Direct Testimony of Amanda Conner	
18	OPC's EXHIBIT 403	2516
19	Direct Testimony of Charles Hyneman	
20	OPC's EXHIBIT 404	2516
21	Direct Testimony of Lena Mantle	
22	OPC's Exhibit 405	2516
23	Direct Testimony of John Riley	
24	OPC's EXHIBIT 406	2516
25	Direct Testimony of John Robinett	
26	OPC's EXHIBIT 409	2518
27	Rebuttal Testimony of Amanda Conner	
28	OPC's EXHIBIT 410	2518
29	Rebuttal Testimony of Charles Hyneman	
30	OPC's Exhibit 411	2518
31	Rebuttal Testimony of Lena Mantle	
32	OPC's EXHIBIT 412	2518

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS CONTINUED	ADMITTED
1		
2	OPC's Exhibit 415	2501
3	Testimony of Geoff Marke	
4	OPC's Exhibit 417	2518
5	Surrebuttal Testimony of Amanda Conner	
6	OPC's EXHIBIT 418	2518
7	Surrebuttal Testimony of Lena Mantle	
8	OPC's Exhibit 419	2518
9	Surrebuttal Testimony of John Riley	
10	OPC's EXHIBIT 420	2501
11	Testimony of Geoff Marke, Confidential	
12	OPC's EXHIBIT 421	2501
13	Testimony of Geoff Marke, Public	
14	OPC's Exhibit 423	2519
15	Surrebuttal Testimony of John Robinett, Confidential	
16	OPC's EXHIBIT 424	2519
17	Surrebuttal Testimony of John Robinett, Public	
18	OPC's EXHIBIT 425	2519
19	Surrebuttal Testimony of Charles Hyneman	
20	OPC's Exhibit 437 (Marked as demonstrative but not admitted) PowerPoint Presentation	2309
21	DE's EXHIBIT 500	2313
22	Direct Testimony of Martin Hyman	
23	DE's EXHIBIT 501	2520
24	Direct Testimony of Erin K. Kohl, Confidential and Public	
25	DE's EXHIBIT 503	2520
26	Direct Testimony of Sharlet Kroll	
27	DE's EXHIBIT 504	2313
28	Revenue Requirement Rebuttal Testimony of Hyman	
29	DE's EXHIBIT 505	2313
30	Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony of Martin Hyman	
31	DE's EXHIBIT 506	2520

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS CONTINUED	ADMITTED
1		
2	DE's EXHIBIT 507	2520
3	Direct Testimony of Erin Kohl	
4	DE's Exhibit 508	2313
5	Surrebuttal Testimony of Martin Hyman	
6	DE's EXHIBIT 510	2520
7	Rebuttal Testimony of Erin Kohl	
8	DE's EXHIBIT 511	2520
9	Rebuttal Testimony of Sharlet Kroll	
10	DE's Exhibit 512	2520
11	Direct Testimony of Daniel Dahler	
12	NHT's EXHIBIT 600	2521
13	Rate Design Direct Testimony of Annika Brink	
14	DE's EXHIBIT 601	2521
15	Revenue Requirement Direct Testimony of Annika Brink	
16	DE's Exhibit 602	2521
17	Rebuttal Testimony of Annika Brink	
18	MECG's Exhibit 701	2481
19	Spire, Inc. Form 10-K	
20	MIEC's EXHIBIT 750	2522
21	Direct Testimony of Brian Collins	
22	MIEC's EXHIBIT 751	2522
23	Rebuttal Testimony of Brian Collins	
24	MIEC's Exhibit 752	2522
25	Surrebuttal Testimony of Brian Collins	
26	MIEC's Exhibit 753	2522
27	Rebuttal Testimony of Greg Myer	
28	Confidential and Public	
29		
30	(The exhibits were retained by the Missouri Public	
31	Service Commission.)	
32		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Amanda N. Farrar, a Certified Court Reporter for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witnesses whose testimony appears in the foregoing transcript were duly sworn; the testimony of said witnesses was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.



Certified Court Reporter

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

A	2306:1	act 2338:3	2462:2	2377:1
a.m 2252:2	2308:13	2396:4	2474:6	2378:13
AARP 2270:11	2325:3,6	acted 2314:19	2481:10	2396:2
ability 2291:12	2327:11	action 2269:18	2495:2	adds 2440:15
2497:18,19	2335:7	2537:11,15	additionally	adequate
2498:10	2347:24	active 2483:13	2278:17	2373:1
2537:8	2366:8	actively 2374:1	2436:6	adjourn
able 2268:12,19	2404:15	acts 2342:13	additions	2526:13
2268:20	2429:13	2436:10	2273:24	adjourned
2300:4	accounted	actual 2289:19	2439:24	2526:21,22
2352:2	2323:23	2329:15	2442:5,6	adjourns
2374:6	2429:17	2337:1	address	2457:19
2420:13	accounting	2388:15	2254:20	adjust 2258:11
2456:2,4,6	2334:20	2426:23	2260:25	2259:20,23
2491:9	2445:9,16,17	2434:7	2262:17	2271:12
absent 2268:6	2524:25	2435:8	2267:16	2315:11
2318:14	2525:6	2437:17	2268:23	2349:11,13
absolutely	accounts	2468:18	2269:20	2419:23
2294:10	2414:14	2478:8	2271:7,24	adjusted
2300:21	2430:11	adamantly	2277:6	2275:12
2334:16	2431:3	2488:1	2278:9	2318:9
2373:3	2435:12	add 2260:11	2289:24	adjusting
2374:14	accuracies	2418:1	2297:13	2275:7
2377:22	2449:17	added 2440:14	2300:5	adjustive
2383:13	accurate	2440:19,19,21	2340:4	2481:10
absorb 2271:23	2258:21	adding 2272:1	2356:21	adjustment
absorbed	2424:8	2480:19	2363:8	2258:20
2258:8	2449:22	2481:10	2367:25	2263:25,25
ACA 2288:20	accurately	addition	2376:19,21	2264:3
2498:13	2308:12	2427:13	2377:25	2271:2
accept 2469:1	achieve	2440:2	2427:3	2274:3,4
access 2296:5	2269:17	additional	2451:6	2275:9
accommodate	2382:16	2257:11	2474:19	2288:20
2380:13	achieved	2259:19	2479:21	2289:19
accommodati...	2366:22	2263:22	addressed	2304:9 2316:1
2310:11	2374:7	2269:24	2254:20	2316:3 2318:2
accomplish	achieving	2274:22	2261:23	2318:5,7,12,14
2286:1	2366:11,11	2279:4 2291:1	2303:20	2335:12
accomplishes	acknowledging	2291:17	2335:17	2349:1,25
2348:11	2287:14	2328:20	2342:6	2365:21
accomplishm...	acknowledgm...	2351:14	2363:20,25	2366:5,10
2482:25	2290:13	2352:16,17	2364:3	2379:1
account 2271:3	acquisition	2377:7,10	2449:4	2380:11,22
2271:13	2252:23	2427:7	addressing	2396:16
2300:17	acquisitions	2440:5	2261:11	2401:11,12
2305:19	2343:10	2456:14	2268:6	2402:15,16
	acronym 2338:1	2461:10	2297:23	2420:13

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2426:22	2313:6	2378:6	2273:10	2389:3
2430:2	2340:8	2379:2	2397:2,7	2494:23
2433:16	2358:11	2380:16	ago 2269:1,14	2506:19
2434:2	2387:13	adoption	2270:4	2520:7,8
2437:24	2419:11	2374:5	2283:3	2525:15
2448:12	2437:4	adopts 2261:16	2292:13	agreements
2450:5	2443:12,19	advantages	2304:23	2255:3
2480:1,8	2444:20	2472:2	2342:19,20	2524:23
adjustments	2445:4	adverse 2273:4	2362:16	ah 2512:1
2254:13	2446:3,22	2330:12	2483:21	ahead 2253:11
2277:20	2481:22	2336:2	agree 2276:13	2253:25
2286:17,18,20	2501:23	adversely	2283:9	2255:12
2286:21,23	2503:9	2479:7,18	2298:11,24	2257:2
2287:2	2504:6,20	advisable	2300:4	2259:3
2289:4	2505:12	2493:21	2305:22	2261:17
2307:3,11,16	2506:9	advocate	2314:16	2265:13
2307:17	2507:2,5,9	2347:1,3	2316:10,14,22	2266:4
2325:9	2507:22	advocated	2326:25	2267:11
2330:15	2508:3,10,18	2483:5	2329:18	2268:20
2335:20,22	2509:4,17	advocates	2336:7	2271:22
2400:23	2510:4,14	2371:20	2361:2	2272:11
admissible	2511:3,7,9,12	affect 2295:18	2368:15,18,21	2273:9
2520:9	2511:20	2295:19,22	2370:14	2276:8
admission	2512:11,19,21	2363:4	2407:20	2309:4
2311:18	2513:2,4,9,12	2374:2,10	2472:9,13	2310:8
2340:5	2513:16,17	2479:6	2475:2	2357:21
2520:5,23	2514:5,8,11,15	2489:6	2482:22	2384:2
admit 2313:3	2515:6,7,9,15	afford 2496:10	2483:23	2398:12
2326:16	2516:4,20,22	afield 2301:3	2485:7,13	2418:8
2358:9	2518:5,17,22	afternoon	2487:23	2438:7
2419:8	2519:3,11,13,14	2400:3,17,18	2489:5,7	2444:8,10,20
2423:21	2519:18	2406:18,19	agreeable	2445:7,14
2437:3	2520:17,19	2410:3,23	2266:19	2446:15
2443:10	2521:12,14	2416:22	agreed 2253:1	2452:25
2444:23	2522:17	2419:19,20	2253:4,11	2457:10
2446:19	2530:1 2531:1	2425:2	2254:2,7	2462:1 2471:9
2481:21	2532:1 2533:1	2447:2	2298:7	2472:2 2474:1
2501:20	2534:1 2535:1	2448:6,7	2304:23	2474:5,20
2507:5	2535:16	2453:6,7	2363:2	2475:19
2508:16	2536:1	2466:18,19	agreeing	2491:9
2511:2,18	admitting	2482:6,7	2320:8	2495:21
2512:9	2340:8	AGA 2365:6,7,7	agreement	aims 2297:12
2514:21	adopt 2273:19	AGA's 2351:20	2253:20,21	Alabama
2516:4 2518:2	2376:23	agencies	2255:7	2477:16
2521:10	2452:1	2481:7	2256:2,14	Alagasco
2522:14,15	adopted	aggressively	2309:12,14,25	2262:15
admitted	2272:8	2268:8	2361:14,17	ALARIS

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2248:24	alternative	2347:23	2355:16	anymore
align 2371:21	2276:12	2348:6,12	2356:2,7,8	2323:9
aligned	2284:4,18	2353:19	2358:4,5	2446:14
2473:24	2294:15	2377:7	2401:19	Anytime
aligning 2298:4	2341:17	2389:4	2448:13	2333:20
alignment	2395:25	2391:19	2476:6	anyway
2393:21	2432:17	2401:18,22,24	2534:6,7	2325:17
alleviate	2454:12,22	2401:25	annually	2420:21
2295:12	2454:25	2402:1,23	2288:15	apart 2395:10
alleviates	2459:5	2430:11	2354:13	apologies
2458:6	2460:9	2455:21	answer 2278:9	2339:3
allocated	2492:19,21	2491:1 2494:6	2285:16	apologize
2255:9	2493:12	amounts	2290:6	2303:2,5,5
2257:14,22	alternatives	2253:13	2291:11	2415:22
2258:5	2284:25	2321:16	2308:16	2425:17
allocation	2285:3	2366:20	2311:5	2475:4,22
2258:23,25	2379:15,16	2498:17	2357:10,11	2478:18
2282:6	Amanda	analogy	2367:14,17	2519:6
2332:20	2248:23	2480:10	2396:19	apparent
2334:6	2516:12	analysis	2410:25	2378:23
allocations	2517:5,7	2357:13	2412:14	apparently
2255:7	2534:13,21	2379:8,9	2415:5	2445:12
2334:7	2535:4	2407:4	2436:15	appear 2386:17
allotted 2524:11	2537:3	2409:9	2495:1	APPEARANC...
allow 2261:25	amazing	2426:13	answer's	2249:1 2250:1
2329:22	2375:18	2450:12	2338:14	2251:1
2367:13	ameliorate	2457:4	answered	appeared
2396:15	2269:10	2458:9,15	2376:11	2465:21
2444:16	2296:16	2459:24	2412:19	appearing
allowed	amenable	2460:12	answers	2442:10
2252:24	2524:4	2461:4	2386:19,21	appears 2537:5
2296:5	Ameren's	2480:3	2412:23	appendices
2344:2	2321:5	2490:17	2418:24	2445:11,24
allowing	American	2494:5	2419:1 2447:5	2531:21
2310:10	2327:15	analyst 2310:22	anticipate	appendix
2436:8	2365:8	and/or 2271:18	2335:11	2484:8
2468:2	2401:4 2500:1	ANDREW	anticipated	2532:3,4
allows 2323:25	amount	2249:12	2279:25	appendixes
2324:1	2253:12	andrew@rene...	anticonservati...	2442:14
2325:8	2262:18	2249:14	2432:9	2443:5
2378:1	2263:20	Annika 2297:10	Antonija	apples 2347:16
2409:4	2279:4	2299:20	2532:13	2347:16
2424:10	2320:9	2521:2	2533:21	appliance
2468:3	2321:7	2536:10,12,13	anybody	2472:3
alter 2497:19	2329:16	annual 2342:12	2523:11	appliances
alternate	2336:12	2351:24	anybody's	2351:25
2294:18	2346:17	2353:3	2500:21	2489:12,14

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2491:6	2304:5	argument	aspect 2283:13	assumption
applicable	2325:4	2292:14	2362:24	2324:23
2304:3	2345:7	2294:21	2363:5	assured 2272:11
2410:15	2348:24	2298:15	2395:23	Atmos 2326:9
2434:8	2349:10	2405:6,9	aspects 2363:7	attached
application	2378:8	2472:4	assess 2343:16	2354:8
2277:18	2392:3	2525:18	2346:2	2387:22
2322:25	2393:11	arguments	2351:14	2388:2
2343:5	2394:2,4	2322:6	2366:18	2441:15,22
applied 2257:7	2403:22	2335:3,4,16	2376:13	attachment
2259:14	2410:19	2525:20,21	assets 2253:5	2342:7
2497:20	2467:15	arranged	2471:16	2484:8
apply 2271:21	2469:12	2261:22	assign 2326:1	attempt
2306:16	2474:21	2302:25	2457:10	2285:16
2373:23	2476:25	articulate	assigned	2420:21
2401:19,20	2499:24	2524:16	2252:5	attend 2309:14
2405:22	appropriaten...	articulated	assist 2268:12	attorney
2411:4,5	2327:5	2469:5	2321:14	2435:18
2524:17	2392:21	aside 2262:16	assistance	2537:13
applying	approval	2327:6,7	2293:3	attorneys
2275:19	2480:22	2386:13	2294:24	2261:5,24,25
2306:17	approve	asked 2279:11	2320:5 2321:1	2262:7
appraised	2256:14	2292:17	associated	2341:22
2480:13	2273:22	2333:25	2264:2	attractiveness
appreciate	2276:5,15	2335:2	2305:20	2298:16
2260:10,17	2277:19	2336:3	2379:6	attribute
2274:20	2304:7	2355:9	2383:2	2338:5
2294:3	approved	2367:7	2406:22,25	audience
2307:20	2270:3	2376:10	2415:4	2525:18
2453:1,1	2314:16	2382:3	2434:20	auditing 2390:1
2475:3	2364:2	2390:6 2411:1	Association	authority
2478:15	approximately	2412:18	2250:19	2298:3
2526:18	2257:21	2431:23	2365:8	authorize
approach	2282:9	2459:23	assume	2305:12
2274:17	2351:24	2462:21	2260:20	2344:25
2355:12	April 2288:10	2464:11	2324:24	authorized
2364:3 2377:1	apt 2481:13	2484:4	2347:2,4	2271:17
2377:19	area 2301:21	2492:17	2400:6	2297:16
2378:13	2375:16	2493:18	2423:9	2304:22
2432:22	2500:17	2496:3,22	2429:16	2305:1,7
appropriate	arguably	asking 2306:16	2431:23	2307:18
2255:11	2288:9	2320:21	assumes 2418:1	2396:21,23
2271:18	2476:22	2372:18	2418:3	authorizes
2274:5,18	argue 2348:13	2404:8	assuming	2277:16
2276:15	2473:16	2457:13	2356:10	2278:15
2279:17	argues	2497:2	2358:13	2435:22
2290:21	2288:24	ASLIN 2251:10	2516:6	2472:12

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

authorizing 2277:19 2325:8	2370:17 2391:4 2397:4,8	2480:2 balance 2343:22 2368:13	2459:13 2464:17 2465:5,6 2468:4	2292:2,4,5,10 2292:12 2296:23 2309:11,12
automatically 2322:10	2401:6 2446:14	balancing 2396:4	2485:6 2491:9 2494:7 2495:3	2310:6,7,9,17 2311:17 2312:10,18,20 2313:7
available 2265:22 2270:25 2289:18 2291:2,10,21 2296:18 2302:19,21 2352:21	2499:18 axis 2428:23	band 2415:2 Barb 2269:5 barely 2398:17 barrier 2268:7 base 2253:5 2258:3,12 2275:19 2354:8 2361:6 2403:11 2405:23	basement 2480:14,17 basic 2277:15 basically 2268:9 2284:21 2287:15 2296:8 2326:12 2328:22 2434:17 2435:6 2440:18 2450:1,6 2463:24 2491:8	2333:20,24 2338:23 2339:12,15,16 2527:8,13,21 beauty 2272:18 Beck 2509:20 2511:6 2533:9 bedrooms 2480:13 begets 2269:24 beginning 2342:18 2520:6 behalf 2297:2 2303:4 2320:12
Avenue 2249:17	B	based 2253:6 2260:14 2284:6 2292:19 2316:2 2320:11 2324:23 2326:9,10 2331:20 2347:17 2348:3 2349:21 2355:4 2356:1,10 2363:21 2365:15 2381:24 2397:16 2402:12,17 2403:11 2406:7 2408:7 2425:23,25 2427:21 2432:18 2440:3 2448:16 2450:11 2454:12 2455:19	basics 2277:15 basis 2257:14 2258:6 2280:11,12 2351:25 2352:3 2389:6 2394:23,24 2395:8 2401:12,13 2404:6 2425:6 2430:17 2448:19 2464:21 2474:11 2498:25 2499:6,7 bat 2468:18 bbear.deener... 2249:10 Bear 2249:8 2283:4,17	bedrooms 2480:13 begets 2269:24 beginning 2342:18 2520:6 behalf 2297:2 2303:4 2320:12 behavior 2338:16 belief 2300:22 2386:22 2419:2 believe 2255:11 2255:14 2279:10 2292:8 2295:8 2297:11,17 2298:9,15 2301:4,10 2302:1,13 2309:12,14 2312:10 2318:4 2320:19 2325:18 2334:9 2339:8 2340:13
average 2288:4 2316:5 2318:9 2326:3,3 2352:22 2353:1 2356:8,11 2358:4,5 2419:23 2420:3,9,11 2424:3 2427:15 2432:5 2435:1 2451:15,16,18 2452:5 2468:24 2476:20 2488:3 2534:6,7	back 2266:8 2288:4 2292:15,17 2294:2 2303:22 2309:8 2329:21 2336:4 2339:20,21 2345:1 2346:24 2352:12 2354:15 2372:9 2373:16 2395:6 2398:14,17,21 2427:5 2429:22 2442:18 2446:24 2450:7 2464:2 2488:3 2495:18,22 2499:23 2515:17			
avoid 2424:11 2471:15	back-to-back 2346:15			
avoided 2471:24	backs 2498:3			
avoiding 2297:23	backwards 2276:6			
AW-2011-0330 2514:18	bad 2259:24 2293:13 2468:15 2473:2			
awarded 2500:3	baked 2379:7			
aware 2300:19 2355:15				

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2342:6,8	2480:6	2386:22	2469:7	block 2284:5,19
2343:1	2484:12	2419:2	2487:14	2294:17
2344:11	2501:3	2447:8	2494:20	2315:1
2345:11	2506:21	2455:13	2496:10	2319:10
2348:23	2511:11	2490:8	billed 2318:6	2327:18
2359:20	2517:23	2497:17	billing 2260:14	2328:1
2360:4,20	2519:25	2537:8	2281:19	2341:17
2361:21,22	2520:6,8	better 2274:17	2294:23	2350:17,19
2362:7	believes	2290:4	2328:24	2351:5,12
2363:7,22	2290:17	2324:1	2334:24	2362:24
2364:11	2295:25	2326:6	2424:9,20	2363:6
2365:12	2450:2,22	2337:22	2429:20,21	2392:2
2366:7,19,21	2525:22	2343:7,12	2429:24	2395:17,18,19
2369:11	belongs 2450:4	2378:22	2430:4,5,8	2395:19
2371:23	2469:22	2393:22	2430:20	2404:24,25
2372:1,25	bench 2303:6	2450:25	2435:12	2405:1,4
2373:7	2310:3	2485:3	2454:17	2454:9,12,14
2374:19	2321:25	2525:25	2455:21,21	2454:16
2375:9	2341:24	beyond 2268:4	2456:10	2455:17,20
2380:14	2392:12	2272:6	2461:16	2455:22
2381:16,21	2400:10	2287:11,15,20	2464:21	2456:1,8,18,21
2383:4 2387:1	2424:25	2298:24	2494:5	2456:24,25
2387:23	2453:4	2301:13	bills 2268:1	2457:14,23
2390:20	benefit 2279:1	2372:1	2272:14,18	2458:5
2393:9	2297:20	2374:12	2273:7	2459:6,25
2402:2	2298:5	2422:17	2274:4	2461:10
2404:22	2317:8,20	big 2307:4,4	2283:7	2492:18,22
2405:2,15	2318:10,13	2353:2	2294:4,16	2493:23
2407:5	2353:17	2473:1,9	2295:9	blocks 2361:4,5
2408:4	2396:11,25	2496:12	2296:2	2361:6
2413:21	2471:14	2500:17	2298:18	blue 2275:6
2416:10	benefits	biggest 2468:17	2317:13	board 2351:22
2425:19	2268:14	bill 2272:25	2335:10	2502:18
2429:13	2308:3	2293:3,22	2487:9	2506:20
2435:22	2317:14	2294:11	2492:4	Boards'
2437:10	2322:22	2316:13,21	bit 2294:7	2250:19
2438:3	2330:7,10,13	2317:22	2296:10	Bob 2268:25
2439:19	2343:9	2327:23,24	2311:21 2327:1	Bocklage
2441:2	2396:10	2328:4	2333:21	2508:11
2442:10	2471:24	2336:2	2336:1	2511:6 2532:6
2443:24	best 2267:7	2360:7	2338:4	2533:10
2451:3,4	2291:11	2402:6,7	2383:25	bolded
2465:15	2322:5	2404:23	2400:23	2478:24
2466:20	2331:10	2414:21	2401:1	2479:17
2471:19	2335:2,4	2441:13,16	2468:23	Bolivar 2250:4
2472:14	2342:23	2455:2	2492:16	boom 2293:11
2474:4	2371:6	2464:24	black 2262:18	borrow 2490:7

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

bottom 2359:10 2437:13 2441:8 2479:13	2489:3 briefs 2264:19 2264:21,25 2502:10 2524:15 2525:17	2515:1,1 bundle 2391:7 burden 2270:17 2297:13,24	2416:14 2438:7,9 called 2310:13 2341:2 2384:8 2399:21 2416:17 2439:2 2466:13 2495:16	carved 2491:8 carving 2295:1 Cary 2533:13 case 2254:13 2270:14 2272:7 2282:12 2283:21 2286:25 2287:4 2289:25 2292:19 2297:11 2298:16,24 2304:14,22 2306:17 2308:7 2314:23 2315:25 2322:25 2323:9,21 2327:9,15 2333:2 2335:14 2336:14 2338:11 2342:5,18 2343:5 2345:23 2350:4 2352:13 2354:15 2361:14,19 2362:4,11,18 2363:2,10,12 2364:9,12,14 2365:20 2366:6,9 2367:18,19,23 2368:7,19 2369:3 2370:2,25 2371:24 2374:7 2377:5 2378:6,12 2381:13
bought 2415:14 2423:12	bring 2424:9 brings 2476:23 Brink 2297:10 2299:20 2302:10,18 2520:21 2521:2 2536:10,12,13	burdened 2283:8 2294:6,22 2295:13	2399:21 2416:17 2439:2 2466:13 2495:16	
Boulevard 2250:8	bring 2424:9 brings 2476:23 Brink 2297:10 2299:20 2302:10,18 2520:21 2521:2 2536:10,12,13	business 2272:3 2279:20,21 2279:23,24 2280:2,6,6 2305:20 2345:12 2405:10 2478:1 2479:20	calling 2469:3 calls 2399:12 camera 2292:7 cap 2273:24,25 2274:3 2390:18	
box 2262:18 2414:11	broader 2317:16 broke 2252:8 Brooke 2532:18 brought 2278:4 2292:14 2343:4 2388:16 2451:5 2468:22 2499:5 2525:12	businesses 2370:6	capable 2372:6 capital 2254:8 2288:13 2347:22 2366:18 2389:11 2405:20 2470:12,21 2508:4	
break 2309:5,7 2309:9 2384:1,4 2398:11,12,22 2434:10 2470:3 2495:13,15,17 2495:20 2523:22	broader 2317:16 broke 2252:8 Brooke 2532:18 brought 2278:4 2292:14 2343:4 2388:16 2451:5 2468:22 2499:5 2525:12	buy 2422:9 buying 2480:11 2487:6	capitalization 2253:24 capitalized 2253:10,14 caps 2291:6 captive 2288:1 capture 2316:5 2430:1 2433:15 2434:13 2436:16	
breaks 2494:6	BROWNLEE 2250:20	C 2249:3 2252:1 2340:12 2341:1,8 2437:14 2527:22 2528:1 2529:1	capitalization 2253:24 capitalized 2253:10,14 caps 2291:6 captive 2288:1 capture 2316:5 2430:1 2433:15 2434:13 2436:16	
Brian 2249:8 2536:16,18,19	Buck 2353:6 2399:7 2415:25 2416:3,7,11 2504:12 2530:21,22 2530:24	calculated 2449:1,9	capitalization 2253:24 capitalized 2253:10,14 caps 2291:6 captive 2288:1 capture 2316:5 2430:1 2433:15 2434:13 2436:16	
brief 2277:7,13 2280:23 2303:16 2308:5 2387:18 2433:25 2495:17	Buck's 2506:10 2506:14	calculation 2434:4 2449:20 2450:18	captured 2263:18 2432:10	
briefed 2525:2	budget 2320:17 2352:20 2405:25 2424:9,20	calculated 2449:1,9	captured 2263:18 2432:10	
briefing 2502:6 2523:18,19,24 2524:1	Buck's 2506:10 2506:14	calculation 2434:4 2449:20 2450:18	captures 2264:4	
briefly 2297:1 2298:21 2299:18 2300:9 2367:3 2390:25 2392:13 2406:14 2419:16 2421:15 2428:3 2453:5 2462:18 2475:25	Buck's 2506:10 2506:14	calculated 2449:1,9	captures 2264:4	
	budget 2320:17 2352:20 2405:25 2424:9,20	calculation 2434:4 2449:20 2450:18	captures 2264:4	
	building 2249:13 2471:15	calculated 2435:13	care 2296:9 2502:16 2503:14 2519:4	
	building 2249:13 2471:15	California 2499:13 2500:2,3,17 2500:20	care 2296:9 2502:16 2503:14 2519:4	
	buildings 2298:1	call 2262:2 2340:11 2384:5	career 2353:8 careful 2328:3	
	built 2336:18 bunch 2345:17			

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2382:8	2525:22	2318:24	2329:2,5,11,15	2404:5,18
2384:15	cash 2389:10	2334:23	2329:19	2405:5,9
2388:16	CASI 2251:10	2355:19	2330:6,14,24	2406:2 2411:1
2389:23	catch 2311:21	2361:19	2331:12,15	2415:20
2390:14	catching	2362:20,21	2332:18	2416:8
2392:18	2316:16	2467:15	2334:17	2424:25
2393:13,18	category	2477:22	2335:2	2425:1,9,15,19
2395:9	2420:8	certainly	2336:3,24	2426:3,10,18
2396:20	causation	2262:25	2337:9	2427:1,16
2405:20	2497:16	2263:8	2342:1,2,3,17	2437:7,13,21
2414:24	cause 2412:8	2266:22	2343:2	2453:5,8,19
2415:4,11	2420:3,11	2279:14	2344:5,15,19	2453:25
2418:3	2423:13	2291:1	2344:24	2454:8,19
2429:22	2426:22	2293:13,18	2346:4,8,19	2455:7,15,23
2430:1,13	2436:11	2330:5	2346:23	2456:13,17,20
2431:7 2434:3	2441:13	2334:10	2347:6,21	2457:3,12,15
2435:11,15	2461:8	2335:6	2348:5,20	2457:22
2444:25	caused 2275:16	2347:1	2349:24	2458:8,11,14
2453:22	2278:6	2393:13	2350:13,23	2458:20,24
2454:10	2310:24	2394:9,18	2351:17	2459:2,7
2467:10	2377:10	2475:5	2352:7	2475:15
2482:9	2384:15	certainty	2353:2,18,24	2493:18
2483:11,16	2411:5 2417:5	2338:16	2354:4,7,10	2515:22
2485:1	2468:7	2346:2	2354:19	2527:17,25
2490:20	2472:15	2498:10	2355:9	2528:12,15,23
2494:15	causes 2315:11	CERTIFICATE	2359:20	2529:6
2497:10,12	2315:16	2537:1	2360:24	Chairman's
2498:15	2338:5	Certified	2364:23	2331:20
2500:10	causing	2537:3,19	2365:19	2355:5
2502:11,12	2298:10	certify 2537:5	2367:7	2397:17
2514:21	caution 2497:5	cetera 2334:25	2376:14	2406:8
2517:16	caveat 2339:17	ceteris 2423:16	2378:25	2427:21
casebook	2469:25	2423:23	2392:12,13,16	2459:13
2473:2	CCF 2282:4	2436:23	2392:20	chance 2290:4
cases 2267:20	2351:2	Chairman	2393:1,6,9,23	change 2347:1
2271:22	CCOS 2442:12	2248:20	2394:10,20	2347:7,19
2284:7	2442:13,14	2292:17	2395:6,24	2348:17
2323:10,24	2531:21	2314:2,5	2396:5,8,13	2349:9,16
2324:2	2532:3,4	2322:2,4,5	2396:25	2351:3,6
2353:7,10,15	CCR 2248:23	2322:20,24	2397:10	2362:17
2353:19	ceiling 2391:22	2323:13,19	2399:6,8	2363:18,21,23
2354:16	cents 2463:8	2324:4,17	2400:14,16,19	2366:8,13,14
2368:4	CEO 2408:25	2325:2,7,13	2400:22	2366:16,17,23
2381:18	certain 2253:12	2325:15,17	2401:8,11,15,21	2377:5
2382:12	2254:9,9	2326:18,23	2402:3,10,12	2380:16
2394:9	2262:1 2278:1	2327:3,17	2402:19,22	2381:14,17
2454:13	2313:16	2328:5,8	2403:4,13,20	2418:4

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2420:2,3,14	characterize	2435:12	2428:6,7,15	2258:6,12,13
2430:14,23	2348:19	2440:5,13	2428:22	2259:12,14,17
2462:9	2349:5	2441:17	2456:14	2281:1 2282:5
2464:10,10	2486:13	2447:13	charts 2355:15	2283:22
2479:2,4	charge 2259:13	2451:6	2356:1	2285:14
2489:11	2259:15,18,19	2453:10	2358:3	2333:1 2334:1
changed	2259:23	2454:7	2365:2	2374:6
2304:25	2260:13	2456:15	cheap 2478:19	2420:12
2343:5	2270:5,6	2457:14,25	cheaper	2427:5,10
2349:13,20	2281:3,6,7,9,9	2458:2,5,16	2464:5,7	2439:14
2481:1	2281:14,17,22	2458:22	check 2452:2	2443:5
changes	2281:24	2460:2,7,9,12	check-in	2446:11
2267:21	2282:3,8,13	2460:24,25	2330:9	2452:9
2268:1 2271:4	2282:14,24	2461:3	Chesterfield	2464:17,19
2275:8	2283:14,16	2462:5	2415:19	2497:14,15
2289:7	2284:3,10,12	2463:8,23	child 2266:12	2531:24
2311:15	2284:15	2464:4,10,15	choose 2315:23	class's 2401:18
2315:12,12,17	2290:19,20	2464:17	chose 2301:14	classes 2255:9
2315:20	2294:9,11	2465:3,9	chronological	2257:4,7,16
2316:5	2295:10	2492:24	2525:19	2286:22
2322:9	2296:10,12	2493:3,4	circulated	2427:8,12
2326:11	2297:6	2494:1,2,13,17	2523:2	classic 2335:8
2338:6	2298:22,23	charged 2371:9	circumstances	classical
2345:17	2300:1,12,24	2390:19	2272:23	2335:8
2350:9	2300:25	charges	2343:4	clause 2360:24
2362:11,24	2301:5,7,9,9	2261:15	2393:25	clear 2326:19
2363:1,4,25	2301:15,25	2270:13	cite 2468:19	2347:22
2380:19,20	2319:1,6,11,13	2272:9	2474:15	2374:15
2381:12	2322:17	2276:7	cited 2490:18	2404:14
2400:5	2328:9	2282:17,18,19	City 2248:9	2420:25
2404:11	2329:6,18	2283:1	2249:9,22	2422:3,5
2419:23	2333:11	2293:22	2250:5,11,13	2425:24
2430:12	2334:15,22	2295:24	2250:17,21	2431:11
2431:18	2335:25	2296:1	2251:6,14	2458:14
2444:4,7,12	2343:18	2299:2,17,22	clarification	2473:23
2469:7	2348:16	2314:14,24	2339:1	2481:7
changing	2351:8,9	2328:11,15,17	clarified	2494:24
2350:8	2359:22	2332:1,4	2366:20	2525:21
chaotic	2360:6,10,15	2368:3	clarify 2262:15	clearly 2302:3
2302:22	2360:18	2393:18	2308:20,25	2358:16
Chapter 2298:3	2377:17	2462:22	2339:2	2360:5
characteristics	2379:19,22	2493:24	2374:21	2378:20
2386:5	2380:17	2494:8	2385:4	2497:17
2480:16	2383:12	Charles 2341:9	2387:3	2524:16
2486:1	2395:18	2534:15,22	2457:13	climate 2479:2
characterizati...	2402:18	2535:15	class 2257:14	2479:4
2461:13	2425:4	chart 2372:9	2257:22,25	close 2279:15

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2293:17	2469:20	2502:13	2498:4	2382:7
2305:7	collect 2271:19	2503:4	Commission	2395:9
2325:15	2409:5	2526:9	2248:2	2396:14,20
closely 2338:8	collecting	comes 2294:1	2251:8,13	2396:23
closer 2289:14	2275:13	comfort 2307:1	2256:13	2399:11
2300:12	2328:24	2317:14	2261:15	2403:21,23
closing 2291:13	2334:25	comfortable	2262:10	2404:21
2299:24	collectively	2275:24	2265:11	2408:1 2416:6
clothes 2487:16	2480:25	2329:12	2266:24	2417:3
co- 2448:25	Collins 2521:20	2332:3,7	2267:17	2431:10
coefficient	2536:16,18,19	2450:5,13,17	2268:18	2440:6
2435:9	Columbia	coming	2271:1	2444:14
2448:13,14,15	2249:14	2254:18	2273:19,22	2451:25
2448:23	combination	2336:4	2274:22	2454:13
2449:1,21,22	2343:19	2339:23	2275:5	2455:24
coefficients	2365:9	2340:1	2276:4,13,21	2457:22
2449:2,6,8,8	combine	2378:16	2277:5,19	2469:2
2449:17	2262:7	2458:19	2279:8	2480:8,22
COFFMAN	2295:17	2521:1,7	2284:6,8,13	2497:5
2250:8	2451:9	command	2284:20	2498:23,25
cold 2272:20	combined	2483:11	2285:1,22	2500:3
2272:20	2260:24	commenced	2289:12,20	2514:20
2346:16	2451:9	2252:2	2290:12,25	2525:13,25
2375:12,13	come 2262:11	commend	2291:14,15	2536:24
2424:12,14	2267:16	2274:13	2293:1,8,18	Commission's
2429:24	2270:15,16	comment	2293:20	2284:5,22
2434:13,18	2272:24	2306:24	2294:2,15,20	2300:20
2485:5,14,16	2273:5	2408:23	2295:2,11,16	2336:19
2486:17	2274:21	2424:21	2296:4,15	2474:16
2487:2	2275:12	2462:25	2300:11,11,14	Commissioner
2488:12	2286:24	2480:2	2303:19,20	2256:9
2496:18	2295:18	2488:8	2304:7	2258:15,17
colder 2270:7	2309:10	comments	2305:19	2263:2,5,10,11
2272:16	2329:21	2289:23	2306:4	2276:23
2275:10	2335:22	2290:2	2308:12	2296:22
coldest 2375:15	2345:1	2307:19	2310:10	2300:7,8,22
Coleman	2362:7	2360:9	2329:23	2301:8,23
2248:21	2393:20	2383:11	2333:9	2302:6
2263:3,5,10	2398:13,17	2424:19	2336:22	2308:18
2276:23	2401:19	commercial	2337:21	2322:3
collaborative	2415:20	2259:7	2344:25	2328:13
2269:18	2433:3	2277:23	2345:6	2331:17
2483:14	2491:13	2306:7,9,12	2349:7,18,25	2332:19
2490:19	2493:2	2306:18,21	2355:17	2354:25
collaboratives	2494:12	2429:15	2356:2	2397:13
2421:4,25	2495:18	2468:25	2364:6	2406:4,21
2422:24	2499:11	2497:17,18	2376:18,23	2413:23

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2414:3,6,8,14	company	2353:12	2320:15	2394:18
2414:19,23	2248:15	2356:3	2323:18	compensation
2415:1,7,10,13	2263:24	2362:10	2346:24	2253:18,24
2415:16,21	2264:20	2369:17	2347:7	2254:1
2416:9	2268:11	2371:23	2348:9	2505:25
2427:18	2269:9,11	2372:15,20	2350:13,16	competitive
2459:10	2278:4	2373:13	2353:4	2288:1,6
Commissioners	2279:10	2374:11	2366:18	complained
2248:22	2283:21,24	2375:17	2369:4	2270:22
2523:14	2286:16,24	2378:2,21	2371:17	complaint
2526:11	2287:14,16,20	2380:3,4	2380:1,7,19	2288:10
Commissions	2288:4,8,23	2381:23	2381:2,7	complaints
2499:2	2289:5,13	2382:15,25	2460:3	2296:5,7
committed	2290:6,9,23	2392:3,17	2477:19	2311:13
2298:23	2293:11,11,14	2396:16,24	2489:6	2337:5
committing	2297:19	2398:23,25	2498:9	complement
2297:18	2298:9,22	2399:12	company-frie...	2300:2
commodity	2300:1,13	2403:21,24	2288:19	completely
2500:8	2302:2	2405:13	comparable	2268:4
common	2304:14,16,21	2413:24	2331:3	2385:17
2279:11	2304:23	2449:2	2480:14	2455:1
2280:4,8	2305:1,6,13	2455:17	comparatively	2465:6
2470:8	2306:4,11,14	2456:2	2299:14	complex
communicate	2306:15,24	2468:19	compare	2258:20
2274:8	2306:25	2470:5	2349:17	2349:12
community	2307:6,8,9,16	2474:5	2463:2,3	2351:4
2344:1,23	2308:9	2477:22	2480:11	complexity
2353:13	2313:19	2480:21	2484:20	2270:21
compact	2314:8 2316:2	2481:2,9	compared	compliant
2287:25	2322:9	2484:24	2279:12	2472:11
companies	2324:2	2504:9	2352:13	complicated
2278:19	2327:10	2505:14	2441:18	2524:24
2279:13	2329:21	2507:25	2464:23	complimented
2282:16	2330:16	2523:4	comparing	2482:12
2288:2,6	2331:5,15	company's	2327:3	component
2331:7	2335:19	2248:12	2347:15,16	2360:25
2342:14	2337:1,2	2253:4	2348:4	2361:2
2343:20	2338:19	2259:22	2379:9	2402:9
2347:12,14	2339:21,22	2266:21	2426:7	2479:9
2355:16	2340:11	2286:15	2436:3	components
2359:3	2341:16	2287:6,10,10	comparison	2491:8
2364:5	2343:23	2288:17	2386:4	comprehensive
2389:11	2344:21	2289:2	2441:18	2260:17
2480:7	2345:1 2346:1	2291:5	compelled	compute
2499:19,19,20	2346:21	2298:19	2483:18	2434:6
companion	2348:1	2305:22,23	2487:14	conceiving
2380:10	2351:18	2318:8	compelling	2337:10

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

concept	2427:13	2521:25	2279:2	2411:21
2275:18	2430:25	2522:6,13	2293:16	2423:14
2317:11	2449:16,21	2530:7	2298:13	consider
2434:5,12	2450:9	2531:18,24	2299:3	2288:18
concepts	2451:15	2532:10,19	2301:6	2290:12,25
2338:8	2456:11	2534:3	2306:8	2291:1,18
concern	2458:4	2535:9,12,19	2315:17,25	2307:14
2275:15	2469:5	2536:22	2316:10,15,22	2411:15
2287:5,6	2488:2	conform	2325:9,22	2432:11
2306:15	conclude	2435:21	2326:15,21,24	2493:12
2360:17	2276:14	confused	2337:22	2500:16
2374:4	2285:16	2438:15	2338:7,18	2525:17
2377:25	2502:11	confusing	2351:10	consideration
2396:2	concluded	2395:21	2359:10	2284:6,20
2420:1	2254:16	Congratulatio...	2397:3,7	2285:4
2426:18	concludes	2267:9	2403:3,7,17	2395:13
2427:3	2339:9	connect	2404:2,12	2499:17
2455:15	2438:3	2354:10	2409:6,8,11,14	considerations
2456:1	2501:4	2451:11	2410:7,21	2268:23
2458:6	conclusion	Connecticut	2411:2,5,16,20	2396:7
2460:2	2404:7	2249:17	2412:2,10,15	considered
2468:17	2499:11	connection	2413:10,16	2422:15
2469:10,11	conditioned	2332:4	2418:4	2498:16
2492:21	2313:16	2441:12	2420:20,24	considering
2496:12	conditions	connects	2421:6,20	2283:23
2497:6	2315:13,20,22	2451:18	2422:13,18,23	2366:1
2498:20	2326:20,24	Conner 2516:5	2423:10,15,20	consist 2384:17
concerned	2337:17	2517:5,8	2429:13,17	consistent
2294:21	2411:8,19,24	2518:7	2430:24	2286:25
2295:8 2381:1	2479:2,19	2534:13,21	2431:12,15,16	2314:20
concerning	confidence	2535:4	2431:19,24	2362:22
2277:8	2276:18	Conner's	2432:2,8,11	2491:17
2426:21	confident	2516:12	2436:7	2499:1
concerns	2335:15	consensus	2450:3,9,10	consistently
2270:12	confidential	2301:11	2450:16,22	2305:7
2274:16	2388:7,9	consequences	2450:23	consists 2281:6
2284:12	2442:13	2492:13	2451:1	2282:3
2286:14	2443:3	Consequently	2455:25	consolidation
2287:19,20	2445:23	2473:6	2472:16	2452:9,11
2293:8	2466:3	conservation	2473:14,17	constructed
2335:6,16	2501:16	2267:23	2476:25	2278:5
2343:14,18	2508:20	2270:8	2496:11,19	constructive
2349:15	2509:2,19	2271:5,14	conservation-...	2269:18,22
2350:7	2511:12 2514:7	2274:19	2435:25	2273:18
2365:24	2514:19	2275:8	conserve	2482:16
2377:11,20	2518:8,20,23	2277:24	2316:7	consultant
2420:5	2520:10	2278:7,21,22	2402:5	2388:16

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

consultants 2388:23 2389:25 2391:4,8	2375:3,22,24 2376:6 2394:22 2401:12,22	2250:1 2251:1 2531:1 2532:1 2533:1 2534:1 2535:1 2536:1	copy-out 2331:7 corporate 2415:3 corporation 2277:18 2322:6 2405:22 2409:5	2410:16 2411:22 2413:4 2419:2 2419:25 2420:16 2422:11,12 2426:24,25 2432:19 2436:14 2439:14,17 2440:22 2441:3 2442:3 2447:7,14,15 2448:20 2450:6 2452:7 2456:18 2457:15 2460:5 2463:15 2466:21 2468:11,12 2486:11 2503:12,24 2508:12 2521:21
consulting 2388:19	2402:23 2403:6,7,8,10 2403:14,15 2404:1 2412:3	continuing 2288:25 2514:1 2526:19	2277:18 2322:6 2405:22 2409:5	2420:16 2422:11,12 2426:24,25 2432:19 2436:14 2439:14,17 2440:22 2441:3 2442:3 2447:7,14,15 2448:20 2450:6 2452:7 2456:18 2457:15 2460:5 2463:15 2466:21 2468:11,12 2486:11 2503:12,24 2508:12 2521:21
consumer 2295:5 2296:5,7 2337:11 2522:18	2403:6,7,8,10 2403:14,15 2404:1 2412:3 2425:21,23 2426:1,8,23 2436:3 2489:24 2491:10 2534:6	2514:1 2526:19 contract 2389:7 contractions 2290:11 2291:8 contractor 2484:14	2405:22 2409:5 corporations 2342:4 2393:11 2394:4,12 2395:1,11 correct 2260:4 2260:22 2264:16 2280:11 2308:22,23 2311:23 2315:17 2317:22,25 2318:23 2319:7,9 2347:5 2350:14 2355:21 2356:12,13 2361:7,8 2362:18 2369:4,19 2370:9 2371:8,15 2373:19,20 2374:24 2377:17 2386:21 2388:17 2392:18,19 2394:12 2400:13 2402:2,17 2403:1 2405:18 2406:23 2407:1,11,15,17 2409:15 2410:8,10,12	2432:19 2436:14 2439:14,17 2440:22 2441:3 2442:3 2447:7,14,15 2448:20 2450:6 2452:7 2456:18 2457:15 2460:5 2463:15 2466:21 2468:11,12 2486:11 2503:12,24 2508:12 2521:21
Consumer's 2320:12	2491:10 2534:6	2291:8 contractor 2484:14	2394:4,12 2395:1,11 correct 2260:4 2260:22 2264:16 2280:11 2308:22,23 2311:23 2315:17 2317:22,25 2318:23 2319:7,9 2347:5 2350:14 2355:21 2356:12,13 2361:7,8 2362:18 2369:4,19 2370:9 2371:8,15 2373:19,20 2374:24 2377:17 2386:21 2388:17 2392:18,19 2394:12 2400:13 2402:2,17 2403:1 2405:18 2406:23 2407:1,11,15,17 2409:15 2410:8,10,12	2442:3 2447:7,14,15 2448:20 2450:6 2452:7 2456:18 2457:15 2460:5 2463:15 2466:21 2468:11,12 2486:11 2503:12,24 2508:12 2521:21
consumers 2249:20 2250:2,7 2270:11 2294:6,18 2295:13 2296:9 2303:11 2321:22 2367:2 2392:9 2397:24 2406:13 2421:14 2427:25 2447:24 2459:17 2475:24 2495:6	contain 2355:19 contained 2311:4 2356:1 2447:3,5 content 2498:14 context 2273:8 2287:3,4 2294:21 2323:14 2344:13 2350:10 2351:14 2421:23 2432:13 2451:24 2462:4 2469:12 2498:12,16 2499:14	control 2268:4 2289:2 2375:16 2481:13 2487:8,8,14 2490:23,24 2490:25 controlled 2286:6 controverted 2361:20 conversation 2491:25 converse 2335:3 convey 2369:24 convinced 2273:13 cooperation 2313:18 2526:19 coordination 2375:18 copied 2437:16 copy 2356:14 2356:20 2490:5 2516:17	2395:1,11 correct 2260:4 2260:22 2264:16 2280:11 2308:22,23 2311:23 2315:17 2317:22,25 2318:23 2319:7,9 2347:5 2350:14 2355:21 2356:12,13 2361:7,8 2362:18 2369:4,19 2370:9 2371:8,15 2373:19,20 2374:24 2377:17 2386:21 2388:17 2392:18,19 2394:12 2400:13 2402:2,17 2403:1 2405:18 2406:23 2407:1,11,15,17 2409:15 2410:8,10,12	2442:3 2447:7,14,15 2448:20 2450:6 2452:7 2456:18 2457:15 2460:5 2463:15 2466:21 2468:11,12 2486:11 2503:12,24 2508:12 2521:21
consuming 2402:6	2462:4 2469:12 2498:12,16 2499:14	converse 2335:3 convey 2369:24 convinced 2273:13 cooperation 2313:18 2526:19 coordination 2375:18 copied 2437:16 copy 2356:14 2356:20 2490:5 2516:17	2371:8,15 2373:19,20 2374:24 2377:17 2386:21 2388:17 2392:18,19 2394:12 2400:13 2402:2,17 2403:1 2405:18 2406:23 2407:1,11,15,17 2409:15 2410:8,10,12	2442:3 2447:7,14,15 2448:20 2450:6 2452:7 2456:18 2457:15 2460:5 2463:15 2466:21 2468:11,12 2486:11 2503:12,24 2508:12 2521:21
consumption 2323:14,24 2324:5,20 2325:22 2338:6 2351:19,23 2352:3 2353:3,19 2354:13,16 2355:10,22 2356:8,11 2358:4 2374:16	contingent 2330:16 continuance 2523:23 2524:5 continue 2271:22 2273:10 2473:11 2474:6 2516:24 CONTINUED	converse 2335:3 convey 2369:24 convinced 2273:13 cooperation 2313:18 2526:19 coordination 2375:18 copied 2437:16 copy 2356:14 2356:20 2490:5 2516:17	2371:8,15 2373:19,20 2374:24 2377:17 2386:21 2388:17 2392:18,19 2394:12 2400:13 2402:2,17 2403:1 2405:18 2406:23 2407:1,11,15,17 2409:15 2410:8,10,12	2442:3 2447:7,14,15 2448:20 2450:6 2452:7 2456:18 2457:15 2460:5 2463:15 2466:21 2468:11,12 2486:11 2503:12,24 2508:12 2521:21
			correction 2311:7,8,22 2435:25 corrections 2384:25 2386:14,16 2387:4 2417:17,18 2418:6,11,22 2439:25 2442:5,6 correctly 2285:10 2321:8 2323:11 2326:10 2358:19 2433:20 correlated 2434:16	

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2491:15	2253:3	2289:23	2396:9	2399:2
correlation	2255:8	2290:22	2398:10	2447:17,22
2425:20	2262:14,20	2319:21	2401:9	2451:13
2426:4	2263:17,19,21	2320:3,4	2408:14	2465:25
corresponding	2263:23	2325:19	2436:1	2474:13
2440:14	2264:1,4,15	2330:3	2444:2	2475:24
correspondin...	2270:5	2333:6	2448:8	2502:2
2280:8	2271:21	2336:6	2471:9	2520:7,22
cost 2252:22	2272:22	2341:12	2489:20	cross-examin...
2255:1,6	2275:11,13	2344:9	2523:18	2302:11,14
2264:5	2300:16,18	2356:20,25	course 2294:7	2308:21
2271:21,23	2301:10,14,16	2364:18,23	2346:23	2309:16
2272:15	2301:19	2370:9,11	2362:14,18	2313:9,11
2281:1 2282:5	2307:5	2371:8,9,13	2363:2	2317:2,6
2282:6,7	2328:15,17,19	2379:18,21	2395:24	2319:23
2283:22,25	2329:1	2380:10	2469:7	2331:20
2284:9,14,17	2332:20,21	2383:7	2502:12	2339:13
2285:14	2334:18,19,19	2390:24	court 2505:21	2340:3,22
2300:13,23	2334:21,23	2397:21	2516:15	2341:5,12,14
2301:19	2350:9	2406:10	2520:1	2355:4
2317:17	2353:10	2413:24	2522:3	2387:2,15,20
2328:16,19,25	2376:22	2419:15	2537:3,19	2390:24
2333:1 2334:1	2377:16	2427:22	cover 2253:13	2391:1
2334:6,6,15	2381:14,24	2443:7	2255:2	2397:16
2347:22	2390:12	2447:20,21	2262:3	2398:24
2381:25	2393:19	2459:14	2413:17	2400:12
2386:3	2405:15,21,21	2492:22	covered	2406:7
2389:9	2415:4	2494:10	2288:14	2419:13,15,17
2402:9	2424:11	2496:4,21	2492:4	2421:13,17
2417:10,13	2454:25	2516:2	covering	2423:7
2439:10,14	2455:1,11,11,12	2537:9,13	2328:17	2427:21
2440:6	2471:24	counsel's	covers 2253:15	2447:19
2443:5	2489:6	2286:16	create 2271:6	2448:4
2445:10	Council 2250:7	2371:14	2357:18	2459:13
2446:10,11	2270:11	2465:20	created 2271:9	2466:9,16
2450:24	2295:5	2521:1	creates 2393:18	2474:1 2476:2
2453:14,18	2320:13	count 2467:1	credit 2268:16	2482:2,4
2464:17,19,20	2522:18	country	2268:21	2495:3
2465:5,6,8	counsel 2251:2	2395:17	2272:25	2527:14,15,16
2470:14	2251:5	counts 2253:7	2290:5	2527:23,24
2471:15	2268:21	couple 2303:23	2401:17	2528:10,11,20
2497:14,15,16	2269:8,15	2325:19	2481:4,6	2528:21,22
2508:4	2270:11	2342:19	2482:24	2529:5,10,11
2527:3	2282:23	2346:9	credits 2485:11	2529:12
2531:18,19,24	2283:4	2359:16	cross 2265:13	cross-examini...
costs 2252:22	2285:20	2373:15	2266:22	2317:4
2252:25	2287:8	2388:13	2340:15	crossing 2451:8

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

Crowe 2511:13 2512:2 cruise 2289:2 2481:13 cuff 2415:2,7 current 2281:20 2287:10 2289:2 2318:22 2319:11 2321:5 2343:3 2352:13 2353:24 2354:2,14 2363:5,11 2397:5 2408:5,7,10 2420:12 2425:4 2440:12 2453:9 2454:5 2462:25 2463:3,6,21 2464:4,14,15 2464:23 currently 2281:5,15,22 2282:3 2286:17 2342:4 2350:21 2408:6 2420:7 2485:17,20 Curtis 2533:3 curved 2429:1 customer 2253:7 2259:13,15,18 2259:22 2261:15 2267:21 2268:1 2269:12 2270:5,13 2271:2,3	2272:9,18,24 2273:1,9 2274:4 2275:8 2276:7 2277:23 2281:3,6,14 2281:22,23 2281:25 2282:3,8,9,13 2282:14,17,24 2283:1,14,16 2284:3,10,12 2284:15 2290:19,20 2291:4 2293:22 2294:9,11 2295:10,24 2295:25 2297:6,23 2298:22,23 2299:2,22 2300:1,12,24 2301:9,15,25 2306:7 2311:13 2314:14,24 2316:3,6,8,12 2316:20 2317:12 2319:1 2322:17 2326:4,12 2328:9,11,15 2328:17,20 2329:6 2332:1,4,21 2333:11 2334:15,21,24 2338:6,10 2343:18 2348:16 2351:9 2353:11 2359:22 2360:2,6,10 2360:15,18,20	2368:3 2370:23 2371:22 2373:12 2374:6,9 2377:17 2379:19,22 2380:3,4,17 2383:12 2394:9,15 2401:17 2402:4,7,14 2402:24 2419:24 2429:15 2430:10,14 2435:11 2440:5,13 2441:16 2447:13 2451:6 2454:6 2456:15 2457:14,25 2458:1,5,15 2458:22 2460:2,7,9,12 2460:24,25 2461:3 2462:5,22 2463:8,22 2464:4,10,15 2464:17,21 2465:3,9 2470:6,7,22 2471:14,24 2472:15 2479:5 2486:1 2488:19 2492:24 2493:3,4,24 2493:25 2494:6,8,13,17 customer's 2487:4 customer-frie...	2323:12 customer-rela... 2328:19 2334:18 customers 2268:5,10,13 2269:3 2270:13,15,17 2271:19 2272:1,1,4,13 2273:7,13 2274:8 2279:22 2281:18,18 2286:19,22 2287:13 2294:22 2297:24 2298:5,17 2299:8 2300:21 2306:18 2307:17 2315:2,23 2316:4 2317:8 2317:17,19 2318:2,9 2319:10 2321:13,14 2322:18 2330:12 2335:10,23 2336:1 2337:4 2343:25 2344:22 2345:13 2346:21 2351:23 2352:2,21,23 2359:11,21,24 2360:5 2368:11,15,19 2368:22,24 2369:2,8,16 2370:1,5,6,15 2370:17 2371:4,7,10,11	2371:24 2372:3,18,19 2372:22 2373:1,22,23 2374:2,5,10 2374:13 2377:12,21 2378:21 2380:21 2382:23,25 2383:12,16 2394:1 2420:4,7,9,10 2424:10,19 2427:8,14,14 2431:14 2453:16 2454:16 2460:20 2464:5,7,16 2468:25 2469:8 2477:4 2485:11,14 2486:8,18 2487:1,2,8,10 2487:11 2488:6 2492:1,1,7,25 2493:5,6,11 2494:14,21 2496:23 2497:1,4,7,18 2497:19,23 2498:4,4,5,7 customers' 2348:18 2431:3 2489:10 cut 2261:21 2400:25 2405:14 2474:22 cycle 2435:12 <hr/> D <hr/> D 2250:12
--	--	--	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2252:1 2527:1	DE 2293:4	2333:9	2298:2	2349:16
2528:1,1	2328:10	2504:7	2331:10	2382:2
2529:1,1	DE's 2292:22	2526:10	2342:9,11,13	2431:18
D-4 2388:4	2313:5	decides 2276:5	2347:11	definition
d/b/a 2248:15	2520:18	2293:18	2392:22,24	2423:15
Dahler 2520:12	2535:17,19,20	2316:6	2393:7,10,21	2432:4
2536:9	2535:22,23	decision	2394:3,25	degree 2270:7
dais 2256:10	2535:25	2256:14	2431:4	2270:21
damn 2258:18	2536:2,4,5,7	2296:19	2467:14,19,24	2326:12
Daniel 2248:20	2536:8,11,13	2408:23	2467:25	2345:14
2533:9	deal 2267:19	2483:11	2469:1,3,13	2377:25
2536:9	2294:16	2525:25	2473:2,4	2378:1 2434:7
data 2336:12	2295:14	2526:1,11	2488:1	2434:8,11,12
2355:19,22	2346:1 2421:3	decisions	2499:3,16,24	2434:17,20
2355:22	2421:24	2284:6	2500:4,10	2434:23
2356:1	2457:21	decline	decrease	2435:7,8
2404:23	2514:23	2394:22	2257:12	2489:11 2491:1
2405:3	dealing 2269:1	2395:4	2258:12	degrees
2413:9 2426:1	2271:6	2402:23	2297:5	2434:19
2448:16	2422:2	2406:22	2298:25	demand
2461:16	deals 2414:24	2408:18	2381:9	2272:21
2489:23	Dean 2482:19	2410:6	2403:15	2297:23
2490:10,12	2482:23	declines	2426:23	2386:5
2491:10	2483:17	2323:23	decreases	2485:5,7
database	2504:24	2324:2,10	2271:24	demographics
2296:6	2531:3	declining	2277:22	2491:2
date 2254:9	Dean's 2482:8	2267:22	2306:6	demonstrative
dates 2526:2	debit 2401:17	2323:14	2325:21	2309:20,22
DAVID 2249:21	decade	2324:5,14,15	default 2283:15	2477:11
david.woods...	2267:18	2324:23	2480:17	2507:11,15
2249:22	decades	2350:24	defend 2287:16	2514:17
day 2252:6	2275:10,14	2353:3,19	2329:21	2515:4
2263:9	2351:22	2354:12,16	Defense	2519:13,15,15
2361:18	December	2358:15	2249:16	2519:19
2375:14	2248:8	2375:24	2362:6	2521:16
2434:11,12,17	2252:6	2376:5	2521:13	2535:16
2434:20,23	2414:11,17	2394:8,15,17	defer 2330:3	demotion
2435:1,7,8	2503:16	2407:14	2425:11	2256:10
2489:11	decent 2336:12	2409:14	deferred	departure
2493:1	2346:17	2477:4	2366:8	2492:12
days 2326:12	decide 2293:9	decouple	2525:1	depend
2362:16	2293:25	2429:20	define 2432:1	2372:13
2410:24	2411:13	2430:4,7	2436:7	dependent
2434:7,8	2457:23	decoupling	defined	2364:10,11
2491:1 2502:1	2525:13	2290:3,16,18	2306:13,14	depending
2524:7	decided	2290:25	2421:2	2335:13
DC 2249:18	2295:16,16	2297:19,20	definitely	2351:1 2352:4

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2364:6	2314:22	2468:18	2376:8	2275:7
2425:13	2319:12,20	2488:15	determine	different 2261:1
2485:25	2323:7,10,12	2494:17	2329:24	2261:2,5,5
2493:9	2328:16	2521:3	2330:23	2284:24,25
depends	2331:9	2530:12,18	2345:6	2286:22
2327:21	2332:5	2535:24	2346:10	2289:4
2390:14	2334:8,11	2536:10	2349:23	2343:8,8,11
2421:23	2335:14	DESIGN/CLASS	2364:2	2344:17
2432:13	2341:17,25	2527:3	2383:1	2350:20
depravation	2348:11,17	designed	2403:22	2365:3,18
2473:16,16,19	2350:8,21	2271:2,24	2405:3	2369:5,14
2473:19	2353:22,25	2304:10	2434:14	2412:23
2496:5,7,19	2354:1,2	2307:1	2436:13	2413:25
depression	2360:12	2367:8	determined	2420:8
2473:1	2363:21	2393:16	2271:18,18	2440:11
depressions	2364:2,5	2455:20	2430:12	2452:20
2291:9	2376:19,24	2456:9	determines	2480:18
Derick 2533:19	2377:12,16	2474:1	2349:18	2490:21
descriptions	2378:5,13	2485:8,17,20	determining	2496:11
2385:7	2379:16	designing	2325:3	2499:22
deserve	2380:19	2455:4	detrimental	2500:18
2482:24	2384:20	designs 2313:17	2359:9	differentiate
design 2255:1	2385:23	2322:16	2468:15	2360:5
2258:25	2386:3	destabilize	develop	difficult 2337:15
2259:4,7,8	2387:7	2268:1	2269:9	2337:24
2260:19	2395:13,14,16	detached	developed	2349:22
2261:12,14	2395:19,21	2299:11	2276:17	2373:6
2265:25	2396:1	detailed	2382:16	2436:6,16
2266:5	2404:20,20	2291:17,19	devil 2485:24	2471:8
2269:10,13,25	2405:3	details 2485:25	2493:15	2490:24
2270:3,19	2408:5,10	2487:23	Dietrich 2508:11	digress 2415:24
2276:9	2417:13	2493:15	2512:4	diminished
2277:8	2425:4,6,8,14	determinant	2532:7	2280:14,15
2278:11 2281:1	2429:18	2429:20,21	2533:12	Dippell 2248:19
2281:16,17,20	2431:2	2430:20	Dietrich's	2252:3,4,16
2282:1,12	2440:12,13,13	2454:18	2511:24	2252:20
2284:5,19	2451:22,23	determinants	difference	2253:16
2285:8,9,12	2453:9,13	2260:14	2258:5	2254:22
2285:15	2454:1,3,6	2404:23	2261:3	2255:17,22
2288:22	2455:16	2429:25	2316:17	2255:25
2295:17,22	2457:14	2430:4,6,8	2360:15	2256:6,11,18
2297:11,11	2459:3	2455:21,22	2412:3,8	2256:21,25
2299:20	2461:14	2456:10	2430:1	2258:15
2311:9,25	2463:1,2,3,6	determination	2432:15	2259:3,24
2312:15	2463:22	2293:2	2435:7,13,16	2260:7,10,16
2313:17,17,24	2464:13,14,23	2330:16	2436:4,5	2261:7,20
2314:4,10,14	2466:11	2336:19	differences	2263:2,8,12

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2263:16	2375:1	2475:3,14,18	2517:25	2516:13
2264:6,9,17	2383:23	2475:23	2518:6,12,18	2521:2,2,3,19
2264:23	2385:4,25	2477:10	2519:2,6,12,21	2522:21
2265:2,6,9,15	2387:3,8,14,17	2481:17	2519:24	2527:13
2265:20,23	2387:24	2482:1	2520:4,16,20	2528:9,14,19
2266:3,10,14	2390:23	2488:21,25	2520:25	2529:4
2267:6,14	2392:7,11	2489:4,19	2521:9,13,22	2530:3,9,15
2276:20,24	2393:3	2490:1,6	2522:1,5,12,18	2530:21
2277:2,11	2397:15,21,23	2491:18	2523:13	2531:4,12,16
2280:17	2398:2,5,9,21	2492:9,15	2524:3,9,13	2531:18,19,22
2285:18,25	2399:5,10,14	2493:18	2525:8	2534:13,15,16
2286:4,8,13	2399:17	2494:3,25	2526:4,8,18	2534:18,19
2291:22	2400:11	2495:5,8,10	2529:13	2535:18,19,21
2292:3,6	2406:6,10,12	2495:14,21	direct 2257:20	2536:3,9,10
2296:21,23	2409:22	2501:2,15,24	2281:21	2536:12,16
2300:6	2413:2,20	2502:7,9,20	2297:10	direction
2302:7,9,18	2414:7	2503:3,8,12	2299:19	2279:7
2303:7,10	2415:24	2503:15,24	2310:16,25	2298:13,20
2307:21,24	2416:2,6,10	2504:7,15,21	2312:14	2299:1
2308:17,19,24	2419:6,14	2505:3,6,13	2317:11	2300:2
2309:8,18	2421:12	2505:18,20	2331:12	2351:11 2361:6
2310:5,8	2423:3	2505:23	2336:22	2469:1
2311:20	2424:24	2506:1,6,12,21	2353:20	directly 2366:17
2312:1,4,7,12	2427:20,24	2506:25	2354:17,19	2446:8
2312:19,22	2428:2,9	2507:4,8,12,17	2366:13	2491:15
2313:8 2317:1	2429:3,11	2507:21,24	2384:11,17	disagree
2317:5	2432:25	2508:5,8,14	2385:10	2262:25
2319:16,20	2433:4	2508:19,24	2386:18	2346:11
2321:19,21	2436:21,25	2509:5,11,14	2387:22	2377:9
2331:19	2437:22	2509:18,23	2388:3	2487:23
2332:11	2438:2,6,11,14	2510:1,5,9,12	2389:15	disastrous
2333:5,14,16	2438:20	2510:16,20,23	2390:13	2283:8
2338:25	2441:2,6,20	2511:1,5,11,17	2391:23	disconnected
2339:4,7,15,17	2442:3,18,25	2511:21,24	2400:1,20	2460:21
2339:25	2443:9,13,20	2512:1,6,9,13	2416:20	discount 2391:6
2340:6,10,16	2444:16,22	2512:17,19,23	2425:7	2391:12,17
2340:20,25	2445:19	2512:25	2426:5	discounted
2341:11,21	2446:6,16	2513:2,6,11,14	2439:5	2391:11
2354:24	2447:18,23	2513:19,21,24	2440:12,16	discrepancies
2355:2,13	2448:2	2514:2,6,12,16	2446:10	2445:1
2356:19,24	2453:3	2514:23	2451:4	discuss 2252:9
2357:3,7,23	2457:7,9,17	2515:5,10,12	2453:24	2291:2
2358:2,8,24	2459:12,16,19	2515:16,21	2457:2	2335:22
2359:14	2462:16	2516:1,9,14,19	2472:1 2473:8	2448:10
2364:17	2465:14,19	2516:23	2473:22	2451:17
2367:1 2373:9	2466:5,8	2517:1,4,7,10	2494:4	2489:23
2374:21	2474:8,24	2517:13,15,20	2502:12	2496:25

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2524:21 discussed 2285:9 2365:19 2422:4 2433:18 discussing 2254:12 2455:12 discussion 2300:20 2301:18,22 2303:14 2332:22,25 2342:18 2344:8,12 2347:10 2359:19 2360:24 2378:24 2473:15 2501:14 discussions 2268:18 2284:7,22 2344:13 2490:20 disentangle 2327:2 dishing 2483:10 disincentive 2397:2 2405:10 disincentives 2297:21 dispense 2385:20 dispute 2424:5 disputing 2500:21 distinct 2432:14 distinction 2307:4,4 2421:8 distinguish 2326:20 2421:20	2422:22,25 distinguishme... 2421:5 2422:1 2422:6 distorted 2492:8 distribute 2457:4 distribution 2272:15 2319:13 2395:18 2405:19 2453:17 2455:13 divide 2401:18 divided 2430:18 Division 2249:7 2269:7 2274:24 2282:25 2283:4,12 2291:25 2292:19 2293:17 2295:25 2310:1,23 2313:15 2333:17 2344:11 2519:25 document 2433:10,11 2457:6 2477:17 2491:12 documents 2311:4,6 2358:6 2521:7 DOE 2490:18 2491:6 doing 2276:18 2278:14 2289:6 2304:18	2305:10 2337:12,15 2369:17 2372:10 2395:23 2430:9 2477:8 2487:19 2492:25 2524:15 2525:16 dollar 2329:16 2366:20 2401:17 dollars 2471:9 donate 2321:13 DORITY 2249:4 dots 2354:11 doubt 2347:25 2356:4 DOWNEY 2250:4 downturn 2411:13 2426:14 downward 2274:4 2357:19 dozens 2275:20 Dr 2289:18,22 2290:5,16 2291:2,10,18 2405:6 2465:21 2466:18 2475:6 2482:6 2486:19 2489:20 2495:24 2496:3 2500:25 2501:3,6,17 2501:25 2502:25 2503:1,14	2517:21 2518:9 draft 2388:7,8 drag 2524:10 dramatic 2324:10 draught 2500:7 draw 2357:17 2404:8 drawing 2404:7 drawn 2421:8 Drive 2249:13 2250:12 driving 2375:14 drop 2379:22 dropped 2351:24 2379:19 dropping 2471:8 drops 2351:2 due 2267:21,22 2268:3 2271:3,4,4,13 2271:14 2277:23 2281:15,20 2290:11 2306:7 2316:4 2322:9 2430:14 2450:16 2496:8 duly 2310:14 2341:3 2384:9 2399:22 2416:18 2439:3 2466:14 2537:6 duplicate 2504:8	2250:16 2252:1,1 2482:17 2527:1 2528:1 2528:1 2529:1 2529:1 earlier 2289:1,3 2289:5 2295:3 2354:3 2372:10 2374:3 2390:7 2394:14 2396:19 2407:6 2410:5 2432:16 2467:14 2479:25 2481:8 early 2252:8 2359:19 2362:15 earn 2304:11,17 2305:7,9 2488:12 earned 2304:21 earning 2305:1 2307:18 2337:16 earnings 2268:2 2273:5 2307:7,9 2366:23 earnings-relat... 2254:5,8 easily 2477:5 easy 2278:15 2437:16 eat 2496:13 echoed 2426:20 economic 2291:8 2315:12,20,22
---	--	--	--	---

E
E 2249:3,21

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2326:20,23	2297:12,22	2422:14	2381:25	2305:6
2337:17	2298:6,8,17	2490:9	2450:23	2343:22
2411:8,18,23	2300:3	efforts 2269:22	2477:1	enables
2479:19	2313:18	2352:17	elements	2322:11,16
2480:23	2314:9,15,21	2493:2	2287:17	enacted
economics	2315:25	eight 2286:20	2362:20,22	2496:17
2334:20	2317:9	2304:25,25	2363:14,16	encompassed
2466:23	2322:10,11,14	2376:7	2381:22	2278:2
economist	2323:4	2410:23	2490:22	encourage
2417:2	2324:3	2476:7	eliminate	2289:21
2466:20	2326:15	2502:1	2397:1	2305:24
economy	2335:24	either 2268:5	else's 2525:20	endorse
2290:11	2337:3	2271:25	2525:21	2404:21
2315:21	2338:2,6,11,18	2277:24	EM&V 2483:20	2492:21
2411:13	2338:22	2306:8	2484:8	endorsing
2472:25	2344:4	2327:18	embarrass	2273:23
educational	2359:8	2342:10	2471:5	ends 2306:22
2503:4	2367:14	2350:17	embodied	2418:17
EDWARD	2368:2	2361:18	2470:17	energy 2249:7
2250:4	2405:11	2366:15,24	emphasis	2249:20
EEC's 2482:25	2421:3,5,21	2411:4 2414:4	2351:7,16	2250:2
EECs 2482:13	2421:24	2476:1	emphasize	2267:23
2482:17	2422:16,23	2509:7	2499:22	2268:8
effect 2269:13	2432:12	elasticity	empirical	2269:7
2281:23	2436:10,15	2326:13	2489:23	2270:4
2282:20	2455:5	2473:10	employ 2328:6	2273:11
2359:10	2467:17,21	electric 2284:7	2329:12	2274:24
2366:23	2469:14,18	2284:23	2395:9,25	2282:25
2412:1	2470:1,17	2301:20	2396:15	2283:4,8,12
effective	2471:14	2324:13,16	employed	2292:1,19,21
2366:14,24	2473:17	2327:4,10	2310:21	2293:15,17
2470:14	2479:5	2338:3	2404:21	2294:5,22
Effectively	2480:23	2454:13	2417:1	2295:13,25
2480:21	2483:4,6,12	2467:16	2537:10,13	2297:12,13,19
effects 2268:3	2484:21	2470:2	employee	2297:22,24
2269:11	2496:19	2471:13,17	2388:15	2298:6,8,16
2277:21	efficient	2472:5	2537:12	2299:3,10,12
2306:6	2351:25	2499:12,19	employees	2300:3
2479:2	2352:25	electricity	2343:25	2303:11
effectuate	2405:15	2323:15	2344:22	2310:22,23
2273:6	2422:9,10	2324:6,21	2368:12	2313:15,17
efficiency	2470:19	2434:16	employing	2314:15,21
2267:23	2471:10	2491:17	2323:16	2316:7,21
2268:8	2472:3	2493:1	EMV 2338:21	2317:9
2273:11	efficiently	element	2339:1	2321:21
2292:21	2261:11	2363:19,20	enable 2273:10	2333:17
2293:16	effort 2279:2	2368:13	enabled	2337:18

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2338:2,18	2293:11	envision	2430:9	2387:11,13
2344:4,11	enlighten	2377:16	2433:21	2419:9,11
2351:10	2471:12	envisioned	2434:1	2437:5
2352:25	enormous	2329:25	2463:21	2443:12,17,19
2356:3	2288:17	Epperson	2467:25	2445:4
2359:8	ensure 2325:21	2520:11	2477:2	2446:1,3,20
2367:2,13	2338:15	Epperson's	2492:4,24	2446:22
2368:2	2345:10	2520:5	2493:5	2474:17,17
2392:9	2382:14,18	equal 2257:14	2494:22	2481:23
2397:7,23	2396:22	2258:6	2498:11	2484:2,2,5
2406:12	ensures 2427:6	2281:24	establish	2501:21,23
2421:3,5,14	enter 2445:25	2423:24	2308:12	2504:6,18,20
2421:24	2504:17	2484:23	2382:8	2505:10,12
2423:19	2517:17	2485:4	establishment	2506:9
2425:23	entered 2323:5	equally	2308:6	2507:16
2426:1,9	2335:15	2257:23	et 2334:25	2508:18
2427:24	2445:21	equation	evaluate	2509:4,15,17
2432:11	2484:2	2280:4	2380:24	2510:2,4,13,15
2434:16	2502:16	2293:24	evaluation	2511:4,20
2436:10,15	2504:8,10	equity 2279:11	2338:4	2512:10,12,20
2447:23	2505:14	2280:4,8,14	2339:5	2512:22
2455:5,25	2506:17,21	2304:12,18	2451:20	2513:3,5,18
2459:16	2518:7,19	2305:10,21,24	event 2375:7,17	2514:5,13,15
2467:17,21	2522:24	2306:1	2376:1	2516:22
2469:14,18,25	entertain	2308:13	eventually	2518:3,5,15,17
2470:17	2299:25	2380:12	2333:19	2519:11
2471:13	entertaining	equivalent	everybody	2520:19
2475:23	2524:4	2432:12	2388:1	2521:12
2479:4,6	entire 2293:12	equivocated	2454:6	2522:15,17
2483:4,6,12	2391:12	2413:5	2469:22,23	evidentiary
2484:20	2413:17	Eric 2340:12	2523:5	2248:7
2495:5	2524:11	2341:1	2525:20,21	2340:2
2496:18	entirely	2437:14	everybody's	exact 2321:15
2519:25	2494:24	2527:22	2353:16	2326:1 2331:4
Energy's	entirety 2491:12	2530:3,4,6,7	2474:18	2436:13
2248:16	entitled 2306:11	Erin 2320:20	2523:2	exactly 2255:13
2310:1	envelope	2535:19	evidence	2294:19
engage	2352:2	2536:3,6	2256:3	2301:18
2296:13	envelopes	especially	2278:18	2313:20
engaged	2414:12,16	2525:12	2304:15,20	2343:12
2274:20	environment	espouses	2308:11	2365:7
engagement	2305:6	2469:13	2313:6	2367:11
2390:10	2467:11	essential	2339:24	2383:1 2436:7
engendered	Environmental	2297:22	2346:10	2452:4,18,21
2377:19	2249:16	essentially	2357:4	Examination
enhance 2276:1	2362:6	2342:13	2358:9,11	2310:16
enjoy 2292:12	2521:13	2405:16	2386:25	2333:23

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2373:10	exchange	2481:16,18,22	2536:17,19,20	2320:16
2384:11	2309:15	2484:7	exhibits 2312:19	exists 2287:25
2400:1 2410:1	exclude	2502:15	2312:23	expand
2416:20	2430:24	2504:10	2313:1,4,5	2492:15
2429:7	excluded	2510:14	2358:10	expect 2523:5
2439:5	2427:10	2511:3 2512:7	2374:22	expenditure
2462:19	excludes	2512:11,21	2384:23	2288:13
2496:1	2271:25	2513:4,17	2387:9,12	2424:14
2527:13,21	excluding	2522:23	2419:5,10	2470:22
2528:7,9,14,17	2263:21	2530:2,4,5,7	2442:16	expense
2528:19,25	exclusive	2530:8,10,11	2443:10,11,18	2253:11
2529:4,8,14	2296:2	2530:13,14,16	2443:22	2415:11
examined	excuse	2530:17,19,20	2444:19,23	2464:21
2310:14	2282:23	2530:22,23	2445:3	expensed
2341:3	2285:6	2530:25	2446:2,17,21	2253:10
2384:9	2356:7	2531:2,4,5,7,8	2447:1,4	expenses
2399:22	2382:24,25	2531:10,11,13	2476:4,5,18	2253:13
2416:18	2388:13	2531:14,16,17	2501:12,16,19	2345:17
2439:3	2461:15	2531:19,20,22	2501:22	2405:25
2466:14	2464:12	2531:23,25	2502:23,24	expensive
exemplar	excused	2532:2,4,5,7	2503:17	2464:8,8
2468:21	2267:10	2532:8,10,11	2504:5,16,19	2470:11
example	2339:10,13	2532:13,14,16	2505:8,11,13	2487:12
2269:17	2398:7	2532:17,19,20	2506:4,8,17	2493:8
2270:2	2438:6	2532:22,23	2507:15,25	experience
2278:24	2465:17	2532:25	2508:9,15,17	2343:20
2315:21	exhibit 2303:1	2533:2,4,5,7	2508:19	2360:8
2322:17	2309:20,22	2533:8,10,11	2509:3,5,12	2436:9
2324:3	2340:7	2533:13,14,16	2509:16	2492:2
2326:8	2357:24,24	2533:17,19,20	2510:3	expert 2280:9
2376:21,23	2385:8,8,11	2533:22,23	2511:14,19	2347:22
2379:18	2387:5 2417:6	2533:25	2514:4,14	2422:21
2381:15 2411:9	2417:7 2419:7	2534:2,4,5,7	2515:2	experts
2424:12	2419:7	2534:8,10,11	2516:21	2280:10
2436:11	2433:5,11	2534:13,14,16	2518:4,16	2348:5
2461:2	2436:19,22	2534:17,19,20	2519:10	explain 2255:13
2467:16	2437:3,4,23	2534:22,23	2520:1,10,14	2313:21
2471:3 2473:2	2439:20,21	2534:25	2520:18	2315:19
2496:8	2440:24,25	2535:2,4,5,7	2521:1,11	2323:19
2500:1,18,19	2441:7	2535:8,10,11	2522:11,16,25	2343:3
examples	2442:13	2535:13,14,16	2530:1 2531:1	2401:15
2472:24	2443:3,15	2535:17,19,20	2532:1 2533:1	2425:3
exceed 2391:14	2445:8,15,22	2535:22,23	2534:1 2535:1	2433:23
exception	2457:10,11,18	2535:25	2536:1,24	2444:8
2379:12	2466:2	2536:2,4,5,7	existence	2453:8
excess 2307:6	2476:19	2536:8,10,11	2303:18	2483:9
2307:9	2477:7,8,11,14	2536:13,14,16	existing 2282:1	2496:6

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2497:11	2318:1,3,11	2361:5	2304:11,17	fear 2493:16
explaining	2323:22	2374:2	2305:10	Featherstone
2338:2	2324:11	2378:4,11	2334:13	2511:22
explanation	2325:20	2401:2	2338:13	2512:14
2255:21	2335:9,10	2402:6	2383:10	2533:13
2263:6,14	2336:25	2438:22	2420:23	feature 2450:6
2435:3	2337:2	2457:9	2450:20	February
2464:2	2338:21	2472:11	2452:23	2349:18
explicitly	2371:17	2482:15	2474:4	fee 2389:5,7
2306:20	2372:22	2500:21	2487:18	2391:13,14
expose 2272:12	2373:21	2507:4	fairly 2329:8	feel 2275:24
2272:13	2375:21	factor 2325:2	2398:13	2335:15
exposed	2427:15	2347:24	2526:10	2343:12
2290:7,10	2431:10	2348:7	fairness 2335:1	2450:13,17
2408:14	2444:3	2353:2,5	fall 2440:16	2487:14
2469:9	2486:7	2354:18,19	2481:3,4	2494:13,22
exposure	2487:10	2361:2 2378:7	2499:16	feels 2450:4
2380:1,2,20	2497:7	2382:2	familiar	Feldman
2460:3	2513:9	2394:17	2300:10	2506:1
2473:6	external 2491:1	2411:19	2334:10	2531:12,13,15
2485:14,16,21	extra 2411:14	2426:21	2477:19	felt 2360:19
2485:23	2420:14	2477:5	2480:5	2383:1
2486:2	2477:1 2486:3	2500:15	families 2370:6	2483:18
express 2289:9	2486:4,5,5,5	factors 2268:4	family 2297:25	fewer 2341:22
2290:13	2486:6	2278:6,11	2299:11,14	2368:4
2359:24	extraordinary	2287:5	2352:24	field 2375:20
2360:2	2327:25	2325:6	2424:3	fight 2353:14
expressed	2500:9	2335:7	far 2255:6	figure 2292:7
2284:12	extreme 2376:1	2349:11	2301:3	2336:9,23
2343:17	2492:23	2366:1	2327:15	2337:10
2359:22		2394:7,7	2383:18	2376:4
2360:17	F	2404:1	2384:3	2386:4
2368:25	F 2250:4	2409:13,17	2445:20	2388:8
2369:6,8,9,12	FAC 2327:12	2431:12	2446:14	2403:5
2370:4	faced 2297:13	2451:16	2451:25	2426:6
2448:19	2382:15	2477:23,25	2457:1	2428:12,13
2450:19	fact 2278:11	2479:5	2493:9	2490:3
2451:15	2287:25	2489:9	2502:18	2491:13
expressing	2288:2,9,18	2498:15	Farrar 2248:23	figures 2374:16
2451:19	2294:14	2499:17	2537:3	file 2248:12,16
extend 2276:10	2301:11,24	facts 2290:9	faster 2288:14	2354:15
2306:18	2304:15	factual 2404:6	2324:1,25	2483:4,12,15
2374:12	2306:11	fail 2272:3	faulty 2294:7	filed 2257:20
extensive	2307:2	failed 2308:10	favor 2322:6	2281:21
2414:24	2348:8	2507:4	favorable	2282:11
extent 2252:25	2350:23	failing 2397:6	2467:4	2288:10
2296:11,13	2354:12	fair 2298:9	2497:20	2310:24

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2361:14,18	2425:12	2414:14	2290:10	2469:16
2362:10,16	2452:14	2495:18	2468:3,7	forward 2269:4
2384:15	2501:11	fix 2303:13	2500:23	2270:15
2388:12	2526:15	fixed 2270:2,5	focus 2281:3	2274:21
2440:12,16	fine-tune	2270:17	2298:3	2275:24
2446:9	2404:15	2272:22	2464:13	2292:14
2453:24	finish 2334:3	2275:11	focused	2293:9
2483:4	2480:17	2298:23	2253:22	2314:9
2515:20,24	finished	2299:16	2254:3,5	2339:23
2523:9	2516:16	2300:13,16,17	2298:17	2345:7
files 2355:16	firm 2388:19	2300:23	2360:10	2359:7
filing 2389:15	2389:23	2301:7,9,10,14	folks 2262:17	2378:8,16
2390:13	first 2266:17	2301:16,18	2375:19	2461:9,14
filings 2288:11	2281:8,12	2323:8,10	2389:24	2497:22
2389:9	2297:15	2328:15,17,19	follow 2296:8	found 2282:6
filter 2450:25	2303:22,25	2328:25	followed	2326:17
final 2306:23	2309:15	2331:9	2367:6	2448:15
2314:22	2310:14	2332:20	follows 2310:15	2464:21
2479:24	2341:3	2334:15,19,19	2341:4	foundation
2502:3	2342:22	2335:25	2384:10	2357:6
finally 2443:20	2360:12	2342:25	2399:23	four 2258:7
financial	2376:10,17	2366:21	2416:19	2271:12
2268:3	2377:13	2376:22,24	2439:4	2286:17,25
2280:5	2384:9	2377:6,15,16	2466:15	2289:4
2397:2	2399:22	2389:4,7	foot 2299:10	2291:6
2479:7	2414:15	2391:13	footer 2437:16	2304:22
2496:8	2416:18	2460:22	force 2482:17	2312:19
financially	2417:16,20	flat 2281:17	forced 2499:7	2313:4
2268:12	2439:3,9	2282:4	FORCK 2251:11	2335:20
2537:14	2444:1	2284:3,10	foregoing	2346:5
find 2299:19	2453:15	2324:15	2537:6	2391:11,13,17
2306:20	2456:24	2389:1	forge 2437:20	four-year
2313:25	2463:9,14	2428:25	forgot 2390:7	2469:8
2388:3	2466:14	2430:17	form 2267:17	fraction
2401:16	2477:9	2455:3,7	2477:16	2404:16,16
2421:1 2452:8	2478:25	2459:5	2481:19	fractions
2452:11	2495:15	2476:22	2536:15	2409:16
finding 2291:17	2508:9	flexibilities	forms 2298:2	framework
2307:15	2516:3	2322:16	formula 2296:8	2254:11
2430:22	2524:6	flexibility	2448:19	frankly 2276:11
finds 2304:8	firsthand	2272:8	forth 2262:1,6	2345:13
fine 2252:20	2343:11	2378:2	2304:9	2499:6
2256:12	fiscal 2288:15	flexible 2265:4	2308:7 2311:5	free 2484:10,17
2260:3	2481:19	2314:13	2373:16	2484:22,23
2264:20	FISCHER	Floor 2249:5	2520:7	frees 2293:14
2277:11	2249:4	flora 2337:5	2525:1,23	Friday 2410:23
2309:18	five 2414:12,13	fluctuations	fortunate	friendly 2474:6

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2474:12	2364:15	2288:20	Gateley 2510:6	2535:3,9,10
front 2294:2	2366:25	2290:2	2533:3	geography
2452:24	2373:8	2297:17	gather 2346:4	2352:4
2476:10	2383:22,25	2299:20,22	gears 2310:2	getting 2258:12
2526:5	2386:11	2301:20	2372:17	2258:13
fuel 2257:19	2392:6	2304:3	general 2253:6	2266:7
2287:12	2397:16	2305:13	2257:18	2279:13
2487:6	2406:2	2315:23	2277:20	2284:17
full 2297:3	2409:20	2317:10	2283:5	2301:8
2320:16	2413:19	2322:6	2300:20	2316:13
2336:9	2423:2	2323:15	2306:16,22	2326:4
2440:6,7	2427:16,20	2324:7,11,17	2314:18	2330:10
fully 2429:19	2436:18	2327:3,9	2317:11	2438:15
fully-consider...	2442:6	2331:2,6	2324:8	giant 2276:6
2444:14	2448:10	2337:18	2334:11,12	gift 2415:17
fun 2449:24	2458:6	2342:3	2337:8	give 2255:20
function	2459:12	2351:23	2345:12	2263:14
2293:12	2465:7	2356:3,3	2374:13	2268:16
2403:16	2475:13	2360:7	2375:24	2272:24,24
functions	2481:14,24	2361:24	2393:15	2274:22
2285:1	2537:12	2365:8,9	2420:24	2276:17
Fund 2249:16	future 2253:12	2372:5	2452:10	2279:18
2362:6	2253:25	2373:12	2454:9	2280:13
2521:14	2254:16	2375:16	2497:21	2289:13
funding	2293:19	2393:11	generalities	2307:1 2310:3
2295:13	2345:23	2394:4,12	2493:14	2329:23
2323:5	2352:19	2395:1,10	generally	2336:4,8
2352:18	2427:7	2402:9	2274:5	2344:19
funky 2478:10	2429:21	2409:5	2290:3	2345:3,5,24
furnace 2422:8	2471:16	2426:8,11	2316:11,23	2346:1
2422:9,11		2429:15	2319:9	2349:2
2423:13	G	2430:24	2320:22	2357:11,11
2470:9,13,16	G 2252:1	2432:8	2328:11	2367:8
2470:18,19,25	2484:8	2434:17	2329:7	2433:25
2471:4,10	gains 2421:21	2454:25	2333:12	2441:4
furnaces	gap 2366:23	2455:1 2461:2	2420:9	2446:5
2421:21	2506:24	2470:2,9	2421:4,24,25	2469:10
2470:11	gas 2248:12,13	2471:7,23,25	2423:19	2480:11
further 2267:9	2248:15,16,17	2485:5,6	2432:14	2496:9
2298:24	2257:18	2487:3,17	2460:4	2499:25
2307:11	2270:4	2489:13,24	2462:23	2523:14
2319:15	2272:20	2490:22	2490:13	given 2276:1
2331:19	2273:3	2491:14,16	generation	2298:7
2332:9	2275:9	2493:6,7	2471:16	2302:22
2341:20	2277:18	2499:12,19	Geoff 2290:5	2303:21,21
2344:3	2279:5,13,21	gaslight 2257:5	2466:12	2334:14
2355:4	2280:1	2257:19	2529:9	2335:13

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2348:15	2292:14,15	2516:1	2372:9 2381:1	2322:3,4
2374:1 2378:4	2293:19	2526:20	2388:9,22	2326:2
2378:11	2303:22	goal 2296:1	2395:6	2342:1,2
2430:18	2309:4,6,15	2367:12	2398:12,13,24	2353:11,12,12
2444:5	2310:8	God 2286:10	2401:24	2371:1
2480:21	2313:23	goes 2279:20	2402:15	2384:13
2481:2	2327:15	2283:14	2403:10,25	2399:14
2488:15	2339:21	2287:11	2405:23	2400:3,17,18
2497:9	2340:7	2315:21	2407:6	2406:18,19
2499:20	2349:21	2379:23	2408:11	2410:3,25
2516:17	2357:21	2422:17	2413:4 2415:5	2416:22
2525:12	2361:5,6	2432:6	2421:19	2418:5
gives 2454:16	2369:25	2497:13	2423:21	2419:19,20
2455:20	2372:1 2375:3	2499:23	2433:3,7	2425:2
2480:9	2378:8	going 2255:2	2444:20	2448:6,7
2494:20	2384:2	2257:7	2450:5,7	2453:6,7
giving 2256:3,4	2396:7	2260:24	2451:2,12	2466:18,19
2350:5	2398:12,19	2262:4,25	2452:24	2467:16
glad 2291:5	2405:22	2264:18,19	2454:16	2482:6,7
2436:25	2418:8	2272:12	2456:5	2484:24
2469:18	2420:12	2273:9	2457:1 2458:7	2485:2
2492:17	2422:9	2277:2,6,7	2461:12	2503:10
Glenn 2530:21	2424:15,18	2279:2,4,21	2463:7	Gorman
2530:22,24	2425:14	2279:22,25	2473:11,21	2279:16
GM-2013-0254	2427:5	2280:1 2293:1	2488:18	2289:15
2507:1	2429:22	2293:9,20,24	2490:16	Gorman's
gnashing	2438:7	2295:22	2491:4	2517:18
2436:12	2444:8,10	2301:22	2493:17,20	gotten 2305:14
go 2253:11,25	2445:7,14	2302:10,23	2494:16	2343:12
2255:12,15,23	2446:14	2302:24	2495:23	GR-2017-0215
2257:2,10	2452:10,24	2308:3	2501:8,9	2248:12
2258:25	2454:6,17,17	2312:24	2505:15	2252:7
2259:3	2457:10,25	2317:20,21	2506:13	GR-2017-0216
2261:16,17,25	2458:5	2324:25	2512:3	2248:16
2265:13,19	2462:1	2325:1	2516:15,23	2252:7
2266:3,17	2464:2	2327:22,23	2518:10	gradualism
2267:11	2465:7	2329:13	2523:13,25	2328:3
2268:13,20	2469:2	2338:14	2525:8,24	2351:7
2269:19	2471:9	2340:6	good 2252:3	gradually
2271:22	2472:2 2474:1	2345:7	2256:25	2284:14
2272:2,11,19	2474:5,20	2346:14,15,22	2269:13	graduation
2272:21	2478:19	2349:8,14	2270:19	2309:13
2273:9	2488:14	2351:17	2303:15	grant 2306:5
2276:8	2491:9	2355:14	2313:13,14,24	2333:10
2279:8	2493:9	2357:4	2314:25	granted
2283:15,16	2495:19,21,21	2359:7	2319:25	2292:22
2287:15	2502:15	2363:12	2320:1	2499:2

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

granting 2289:10 2305:20	2330:22 2343:6,19 2356:21	2325:15,17 2326:18,23 2327:3,17	2425:9,15,19 2426:3,10,18 2427:1,16	2395:19 2452:13
grants 2291:16 2498:23	2357:1,13 2360:3	2328:5,8 2329:2,5,11,15	2437:7,13,21 2453:5,8,19	header 2437:16 health 2317:15
graph 2425:22 2477:2	2364:1,13 2368:24	2329:19 2330:6,14,24	2453:25 2454:8,19	healthy 2455:21
great 2346:1 2378:1 2403:18 2462:14 2523:22	2371:10 2372:11 2374:7 2376:12 2394:13	2331:12,15 2332:18 2334:17 2342:1,3,17 2343:2	2455:7,15,23 2456:13,17,20 2457:3,15,22 2458:8,11,14 2458:20,24	hear 2264:18 2283:17 2291:5 2388:1 2523:4 2525:10
greatly 2348:9 2348:13,19	2426:19 2441:1 2442:1	2344:5,15,19 2344:24	2459:2,7 2527:17,25	heard 2259:9 2270:14 2283:4
Greg 2308:8 2536:21	2468:20,22 2476:5,7	2346:4,8,19 2346:23	2528:12,15,23 2529:6	2289:5,23 2290:22 2296:6
gross 2290:4	2479:25	2347:6,21	HAMPTON 2251:4	2297:16
ground 2375:15 2398:17	2480:1 2484:25	2348:5,20 2349:24	hand 2273:2 2356:22	2308:9 2321:4,8,12
group 2249:20 2287:23 2299:23 2331:6 2343:16 2344:14 2347:13,15 2365:9 2371:20 2374:9 2375:19 2480:19 2481:1,3,4 2494:14	2487:22,24 2488:14 2490:13 2491:20 2494:23 2500:19 2505:1	2350:13,23 2351:17 2352:7 2353:2,18,24 2354:4,7,10 2354:19 2376:14 2378:25 2392:12,13,16 2392:20 2393:1,6,9,23 2394:10,20 2395:6,24 2396:5,8,13 2396:25 2397:10 2399:8 2400:16,19,22 2401:8,11,15,21 2402:3,10,12 2402:19,22 2403:4,13,20 2404:5,18 2405:5,9 2406:2,21 2411:1 2415:20 2416:8 2425:1	Hang 2310:5 happen 2286:19,22 2291:8 2346:14 2365:20,25 2417:9,12 2461:2 happened 2362:15 2375:7,13 2485:10 happens 2523:20 happy 2255:15 2266:25 2278:9 2299:24 2300:5 2302:25 2308:15 2333:21 hard 2357:12 2370:3 2393:12 harm 2299:16 head 2395:17	2299:22 2296:6 2297:16 2308:9 2321:4,8,12 2328:13 2349:4 2383:11,15 2388:23 2394:20 2420:19 2432:16 2471:16 2473:15 2492:19,20 2525:11 hearing 2248:7 2252:2,7 2254:24 2302:23 2321:4 2339:14 2340:2 2348:6 2360:1,21 2383:11 2389:17,18 2390:17 2444:9,24 2448:11 2449:24 2457:19
grow 2272:3	H			
grown 2288:14	H-Y-M-A-N 2310:20			
growth 2288:18 2324:16 2343:9 2402:24 2424:2	half 2252:24 2253:2,3 2267:18 2299:13			
GSIP 2362:21	Hall 2248:20			
guess 2255:25 2265:11 2292:13 2313:23 2319:17 2323:21,25	2314:2,5 2322:2,5,20 2322:24 2323:13,19 2324:4,17 2325:2,7,13			

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2474:12	2376:12	high-use	2532:9	2473:21
2477:8	2382:14,15,18	2487:11	2533:15	2475:17
2505:9	2385:6	high-volatility	hold 2292:3	2477:6
2506:15	2389:25	2294:4	2505:1	2482:3
2518:2,14	2403:20	2295:9	2506:15	2489:18
2522:14,15	2487:20	higher 2270:12	holding 2514:19	2503:6
2524:6	2496:17	2276:7	2515:17	2506:11
2526:22	2516:15	2281:22	holiday	2523:1,7
2537:11	2525:24	2282:17	2523:22	2524:20
hearings	helped 2269:15	2320:9	holistically	hooker 2414:5
2270:14	helpful 2263:13	2352:5	2295:20	hope 2252:6
2296:7	2263:16	2390:2	home 2299:14	2254:15
2360:9	2264:10	2420:9	2480:11,12,20	2276:12
2424:16,18	2269:10	2432:7	2490:25	2322:13
heart 2293:17	2294:25	2456:24	2491:3	2487:13
2347:10	2345:14	2457:25	2493:13	hoped 2279:5
2349:4	2444:1	2458:1,5	2501:25	2383:25
2476:14	2524:19	2460:2,6,9,12	homeowner	hopefully
2497:14	2525:3	2461:3 2481:4	2278:23	2523:15,15
heartache	helping 2359:11	2484:25	2279:1	2525:19
2436:12	helps 2272:5	2492:7,24	homes 2299:7	hoping 2266:17
heat 2487:15	2317:16	highest 2279:14	2299:11	2330:11
heaters	2322:18	2299:21	2352:1	horizon 2294:1
2421:22	2351:3,8	highlights	2480:15	host 2296:15
heating	2385:25	2252:18	honestly	hosted 2334:8
2326:12	2393:21	highly 2436:11	2488:15	hot 2434:13,18
2434:7,8,11,12	2396:22	highly-efficient	Honor 2255:6	hour 2398:11,16
2434:17,20	2496:23	2423:13	2262:13	hourly 2388:24
2434:22	2497:1	Hills 2360:21	2267:13	2389:19
2435:7,8	hesitation	hire 2391:7,16	2292:2	2390:9
2479:6	2410:23	historical	2309:9	hours 2398:10
2487:6 2491:1	hierarchy	2301:11,18	2311:19	2495:16
2493:13	2327:4	historically	2312:18,20	house 2422:7
2496:14	high 2249:9,21	2304:21	2313:10	2423:17
heels 2523:22	2250:16	2376:18	2331:22	2471:4
held 2303:14	2283:7,8	2494:12	2339:12	households
2501:14	2294:5,16,22	historically-fa...	2367:3	2283:9
Hello 2400:4	2295:12	2288:22	2374:25	2297:14
2410:4	2299:16	hit 2273:12	2386:24	2299:9,12,15
help 2268:2,9	2348:15	2274:19	2398:4	housing
2269:9	2365:5	2353:20	2406:15	2249:11
2271:7	2395:17	2398:17	2409:24	2274:24,25
2295:12	2462:22	2524:11	2421:16	2296:25
2352:21,22	high-level	hoax 2372:11	2423:6	2297:3,9
2353:14	2351:22	Hodges	2428:3	2321:23
2359:8	high-usage	2508:20	2436:23	2424:3
2373:13	2492:1	2512:23	2444:21	2520:20

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

huge 2473:6	2283:12	implemented	2338:10	2263:20,23
hunt 2452:25	III 2250:20	2326:1	2411:14	2264:5
hurt 2268:2	illustrative	2328:2	2505:24	2288:12
Hutchinson	2461:2	2475:7	incentives	2344:15
2320:12	imagine	2488:3	2298:4,17	2402:25
hydrostatic	2485:18	implied 2520:8	2405:14	2479:21
2253:9	impact 2270:12	imply 2333:1	incentivize	2491:11,11
Hyman 2309:13	2273:5,8	2375:12	2299:2	includes
2310:12,20	2287:11,18	implying	incentivizing	2284:19
2312:9	2330:12	2273:22	2301:6	2409:11
2313:13	2354:17,20	important	incline 2454:18	2420:20
2319:25	2359:10	2267:24	2455:5	2522:6
2322:1	2371:4 2376:1	2269:3	2456:23	including
2331:25	2377:11,20	2283:23	inclining	2297:25
2332:17	2378:15,20	2291:5	2284:5,19	2404:1
2333:9	2381:6	2292:15	2301:1,4	2405:4
2334:4	2451:16	2293:1,7,10	2327:18	2441:19
2339:9	2452:6	2293:20,25	2328:1	2476:25
2527:12	2460:12	2295:2,11,14	2341:17	2517:16
2535:18,22	2473:13	2295:19	2350:17,19	income
2535:24	2477:3	2304:6	2351:5,12	2292:25
2536:4	2479:7	2328:22	2358:16	2295:12
Hyman's	2489:10	2348:7	2392:2	2425:20
2294:17	2491:5	impossible	2404:24	2426:7
Hyneman	2494:7	2337:25	2405:4	2432:6
2516:5	impacted	impression	2454:9,12	2489:24
2517:10	2401:23	2303:22	2455:17	2490:25
2518:21	2435:16	impressive	2456:1,8,21	2491:14,15
2534:15,22	impacts 2269:2	2491:7	2457:13,23	2492:6
2535:15	2271:25	improper	2458:4	2494:13,16
hypothetically	2327:23,24	2451:13	2459:6,25	incorporate
2350:1	2328:4	improve 2368:1	2461:10	2293:9
	2336:2	2430:21	2492:18,22	2294:17
I	2380:1	improved	2493:23	increase
idea 2390:8	2382:15	2317:14	include 2254:7	2248:13,16
2499:5	2441:14,16	improvement	2263:25	2257:6,13,22
ideally 2329:10	2450:2,24	2422:16	2278:5	2258:1,3,10,14
identified	2464:24	improvements	2281:22	2259:11,12,14
2287:9	implement	2444:4	2288:19	2298:15
2447:2	2304:14	in-depth	2290:8	2304:24
identifies	2305:25	2349:14	2291:17	2307:11
2477:22	2306:19	incent 2324:2	2331:9 2349:1	2311:11,13
identify 2477:13	2307:14	incentive	2355:22	2312:3
identity 2415:3	2308:14	2253:17,23	2435:24	2379:25
ignored	implementation	2254:1,4,8	2477:25	2380:6,20
2472:20	2303:20	2272:2	included	2381:8
ignores	2314:8	2298:13,19	2262:20,22	2401:24

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2403:15	indicates	initiatives	2359:22,25	2436:10
2431:13	2304:21	2290:3	2368:6,25	2470:12
2460:15,20	indifferent	2479:3	2369:6,9,18	investments
increased	2268:12	innovative	2370:25	2298:8
2257:23	2322:9	2293:15,21	2374:8	2300:3
2259:18,19	individual	input 2343:19	2454:12	involved
2320:5	2278:22	inquire 2474:2	2455:4	2271:15
2380:13	2391:15	inquiries 2311:13	interested	2344:10,12
2479:4	2470:15	2337:5	2262:11	2353:7 2374:1
2485:5,7	2480:12,16,20	inserted 2312:2	2537:14	isolate 2491:9
2486:17,18	individually	insignificant	interesting	2491:13
2487:2,3	2391:15	2426:15	2299:5	isolation
increases	industrial	insist 2495:13	2330:5	2295:15
2271:21	2250:2	instance	2431:9	ISRS 2258:4
2277:22	2259:8	2290:16,19	interests	2259:17
2306:6	2371:4	2397:5	2368:8	2287:1
2323:23	industries	instances	2371:5,18,21	2288:20
2432:5	2334:7	2290:17	2372:1,3	2498:13
2485:6	inefficient	institute	2473:24	issue 2252:23
increasing	2471:4	2329:20	interim 2282:19	2253:8,9,17
2274:1	Inefficiently	2334:9	interject	2254:19
2323:4	2471:2	2348:24	2380:5	2260:21
2381:3	infinitely	2454:23	interjected	2261:11,14
2405:21	2476:24	instituting	2270:20	2262:12,16
2428:17	influence	2455:25	interprets	2263:22
incremental	2327:23	insubstantial	2287:13	2266:16
2444:7	2479:5	2472:19	interrelations...	2267:16,19,24
incurred	information	insufficient	2261:14	2269:16
2281:25	2255:18,19	2308:11	interrogatories	2271:7
2317:17	2274:22	2359:4,6	2390:15	2276:17
2334:23	2331:5	intend 2340:4	interrupted	2277:6,9
2393:19	2345:16	2400:6	2357:10	2281:2,4
Index 2288:4	2346:17,25	intended	2435:3	2283:13
2530:1 2531:1	2351:15	2330:10	interruptible	2293:7
2532:1 2533:1	2393:14	2345:10	2257:18,24	2303:19,22
2534:1 2535:1	2447:8	2346:3	2258:2	2305:15
2536:1	infrastructure	2385:9	intersperse	2311:18 2315:1
indicate	2288:21	intent 2337:22	2525:19	2317:4
2305:11	inherently	2338:17	intervenor	2320:19
indicated	2393:16	intention	2309:2	2321:3
2253:19	initial 2292:15	2437:19	2370:4	2329:23
2254:15	2347:9	2475:5	introducing	2345:3
2287:8	2391:10	interchangea...	2460:24	2350:3
2359:21	2426:14	2468:1	inventory	2361:22
2373:17,21	2499:23	interest 2261:10	2361:25	2362:4,8
2374:17	2526:3	2285:15	investment	2374:1 2377:2
2460:1	initially 2362:10	2328:3	2338:3	2383:19

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2408:24	2478:25	2254:22	2338:25	2428:2,9
2427:11	2479:16,17	2255:17,22	2339:4,7,15,17	2429:3,6,11
2438:4	items 2254:8	2255:25	2339:22,25	2432:22,25
2444:15	2448:18	2256:6,11,18	2340:6,10,16	2433:4,6
2447:12	2474:7	2256:21,25	2340:20,25	2436:19,21,25
2451:7		2258:15	2341:11,21	2437:22
2468:24	J	2259:3,24	2354:24	2438:2,6,10,11
2474:19	J 2248:21	2260:7,10,16	2355:2,6,12	2438:14,20
2488:4	2249:12	2260:23	2355:13	2440:25
2491:12	JAMES 2249:4	2261:7,20	2356:19,23	2441:2,6,20
2497:9,9	Jamie 2446:9	2263:2,8,12	2356:24	2442:1,3,9,18
2502:3,6,6	2531:16,22	2263:16	2357:3,7,21	2442:25
2522:22	January	2264:6,9,17	2357:23	2443:9,13,20
2524:19	2254:23	2264:23,24	2358:2,8,12	2444:16,22
issued 2437:13	2349:18	2265:2,6,9,15	2358:22,24	2445:5,19
2473:3	2429:23,25	2265:20,23	2359:13,14,16	2446:4,6,16
issues 2252:10	2523:10	2266:2,3,10	2364:17,19	2446:23
2252:15	JARRETT	2266:14	2367:1 2373:9	2447:16,18,23
2255:2,4	2250:16	2267:6,14	2374:21	2448:2
2261:2,6,19	JEFF 2251:12	2276:20,24	2375:1	2451:2
2264:12	Jefferson	2277:2,4,11	2383:23	2453:3
2265:24	2248:9	2280:17,22	2385:4,25	2457:7,9,17
2269:20	2249:9,22	2285:18,25	2387:3,8,14,16	2459:12,16,19
2271:8	2250:5,13,17	2286:3,4,8,13	2387:17,24	2462:16
2277:10	2250:21	2291:22	2390:23	2465:13,14,19
2280:25,25	2251:6,14	2292:3,6	2392:7,11	2466:5,8,10
2292:25	job 2256:10	2295:16	2393:3	2474:8,23,24
2295:15,21	2526:15	2296:21,23	2397:15,19,20	2475:3,14,18
2302:2,4	John 2250:8	2297:1	2397:21,22	2475:22,23
2336:10,13	2534:18,19	2300:6	2397:23	2477:10
2359:15,20	2535:7,12,13	2302:7,9,10	2398:2,5,9,21	2481:17
2361:15	john@johncof...	2302:18	2399:5,10,14	2482:1
2362:19	2250:9	2303:7,10	2399:17	2488:21,25
2376:19	Johnson	2307:21,24	2400:11	2489:4,19
2380:25	2251:10	2308:17,19,24	2406:6,9,10,11	2490:1,6
2381:12	2255:20	2309:8,18	2406:12	2491:18
2383:3	2256:4,8	2310:5,8	2409:22	2492:9,15
2431:3	2263:13,17	2311:20	2412:18	2493:18
2449:4	2264:10	2312:1,4,7,12	2413:2,20	2494:3,25
2451:10	joined 2295:7	2312:19,22	2414:7	2495:4,5,8,10
2457:20	joint 2506:19	2313:8 2317:1	2415:24	2495:14,21,25
2465:21	Joseph 2250:11	2317:3,5	2416:2,6,10	2501:2,5,15
2502:11	Joun 2532:22	2319:16,19,20	2419:6,14	2501:24
2523:3	Judge 2248:19	2321:19,21	2421:12	2502:7,9,20
2524:24	2252:3,4,16	2331:19	2423:3	2503:3,8,12
2525:2,11,17	2252:20	2332:11,12,13	2424:24	2503:15,19,24
item 2411:3	2253:16	2333:5,14,16	2427:20,24	2504:7,15,21

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2505:3,6,13	2440:7	2303:12	2258:17	2461:8
2505:18,20	2499:14	2319:19	2263:11	2524:5
2505:23	jumps 2499:13	2332:13,16	2296:22	2525:14,15
2506:1,6,12,21	jurisdiction	2333:4	2300:7,8,22	kinds 2275:22
2506:25	2500:9	2340:25	2301:23	2525:10
2507:4,6,8,12	justice 2345:20	2341:6,10	2302:6	Kliethermes
2507:17,21,24	justification	2358:22,25	2308:18	2255:20,23
2508:5,8,14	2323:16	2359:14,16,18	2328:13	2256:1
2508:19,23	justifications	2364:15	2331:17	2257:2,3
2508:24	2322:21,25	2387:17,18,21	2332:19	2258:19,24
2509:5,11,14	justify 2252:25	2387:24	2354:25	2259:2,6,25
2509:18,22	2471:8	2388:2	2397:13	2260:4,8,9,12
2509:23		2390:21	2406:4	2278:12
2510:1,5,9,12	K	2397:20	2413:23	2285:14
2510:16,20,22	K 2535:19	2438:9,21,22	2414:3,6,8,14	2420:6
2510:23	KARAS 2249:17	2439:6	2414:19,23	2425:8
2511:1,5,11,17	Karen 2508:20	2440:4,25	2415:1,7,10,13	2426:20
2511:21,23,24	2508:21	2441:4,7,25	2415:16,21	2438:10,11
2512:1,6,9,13	2513:7	2442:4,9,22	2416:9	2439:1,8
2512:17,19,23	2532:10,12	2445:5	2427:18	2441:20
2512:25	2533:18	2446:4,7,23	2459:10	2442:1,4
2513:2,6,11,14	Keenan	2446:24	Keri 2531:12,13	2446:24
2513:19,21,24	2532:16	2447:16	2531:15	2447:11
2514:2,6,12,16	2533:22	2451:2	KEVIN 2251:12	2451:4
2514:23	keep 2252:24	2457:12,16	key 2298:3,6	2453:4
2515:5,10,12	2280:23	2461:12,18,21	kicked 2390:9	2459:23
2515:16,21	2283:13	2462:18,20	kind 2274:10	2461:14
2516:1,9,14,19	2298:17	2465:12	2294:13	2463:13
2516:23	2312:24	2503:2	2303:21	2465:15
2517:1,4,7,10	2475:1	2508:12,22	2305:16	2468:20
2517:13,15,20	2493:6	2509:10,21	2324:5,9,13	2510:17,19,20
2517:25	keeping	2510:7,18,21	2326:13	2529:3
2518:6,12,18	2278:23	2511:8,23,25	2327:1	2533:4,6,16
2519:2,6,12	2321:5	2512:5,15,24	2328:21	Kliethermes'
2519:20,21,24	2464:24	2513:8,13,20	2334:14	2442:10,15
2520:4,16,20	2501:25	2513:23	2337:8	2443:14
2520:24,25	keeps 2307:8	2514:10,22,25	2350:25	2494:5
2521:9,13,22	Keevil 2251:12	2515:7,11,14,19	2351:9 2352:1	knee-jerk
2522:1,5,12,18	2256:16,19,22	2515:23	2352:21	2493:16
2523:13	2257:1	2523:25	2357:17	2497:3
2524:3,9,13	2259:5	2524:10	2375:6,12	knew 2524:8
2525:8	2260:23	2527:6,19,23	2380:25	know 2254:1
2526:4,8,14	2266:9,10,11	2528:4,10	2381:21	2255:7
2526:18	2266:15	2529:4,8	2383:7,18	2260:14
2529:13	2277:7	Kenney	2395:21	2261:4,4,10
July 2444:25	2280:21,22	2248:21	2404:6,6	2262:14
jump 2357:14	2285:19	2256:9	2454:16	2266:23

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2268:9,15,22	2367:10	2481:8,11,12	2479:14	2469:17
2269:5,6,13	2373:17	2484:21	lack 2324:1	2476:6
2269:24	2376:6,18	2485:24	Laclede	2482:12
2270:1,7,8,9	2377:4	2487:5,6	2248:12,15	2483:20,24
2270:18	2378:18	2490:9,11,14	2252:23	2488:11
2271:22	2381:25	2491:22	2253:2,6	2534:6,9
2272:17,21	2382:21,22	2492:18,19	2257:16	Laclede's
2273:4,12,16	2382:24	2493:8,12	2259:10,11,14	2258:4
2273:24	2383:6,8,18	2494:4	2276:10	2281:5,14
2274:15	2390:15	2496:13,14,16	2279:5	2321:14
2275:5,6,7,11	2396:3	2496:16,17	2282:9,12,21	2356:7
2275:18	2403:24	2497:5,17,25	2282:24	2387:12
2276:5	2404:3,9,13	2498:6,12,13	2284:8	2407:6
2283:2	2404:15	2498:20,21	2285:8	2419:22
2286:10	2405:19,21,24	2499:5,6,7	2286:19	2425:3
2290:1,24	2408:15	2500:1	2287:23	2453:9
2296:4	2412:6 2413:7	2503:7	2293:21	2464:12
2300:10	2413:9,10,12	2509:6	2297:7	2477:4
2315:24	2414:10,21	2518:19	2299:20	2504:5,19
2320:15,21,24	2422:3	2520:22	2305:4	2505:11
2321:2,3,6	2423:16,21	2522:3	2315:2 2319:9	2506:8
2322:13	2427:9	2525:1,12	2321:13	2530:2,4,5,7
2323:4	2428:25	knowledge	2332:6	2530:8,10,11
2324:11,24,24	2432:6	2261:13	2342:21,25	2530:13,14,16
2325:25	2444:12	2275:14	2350:25	2530:17,19,20
2326:5,20	2445:12	2342:24	2356:3	2530:22,23
2327:21	2448:8,18	2343:11	2358:1,4,23	2530:25
2328:23	2449:10,11	2371:7	2363:22	2531:2,4,5,7,8
2330:4,19,21	2450:2	2380:18	2368:6	2531:10,11,13
2330:24	2451:21	2386:22	2370:15	2531:14
2331:1,4,5	2452:3,5,5,8	2419:2	2372:22,25	laid 2285:2
2334:12,13	2452:12,17,18	2447:8	2373:12	2297:10
2336:15,20	2452:19,21	2449:5	2388:15	2405:20
2337:15,16,18	2453:17,24	2474:14	2389:10	language
2337:25	2453:25	Kohl 2320:20	2394:1,19,23	2314:1 2362:11
2338:7,13,21	2460:19,23	2520:11,11,12	2397:1,6	2362:17
2342:3	2461:9,25	2535:19	2408:1,2,8,9	2363:3 2421:1
2343:14,16,18	2462:10,12	2536:3,6	2408:18,22	laptop 2312:11
2343:21,22	2463:10	Kroll 2520:11,12	2420:13	large 2257:5,8
2345:13	2467:13	2535:21	2424:2	2257:9,11,17
2346:12,13,21	2468:23	2536:7	2433:15	2257:24
2351:7	2469:6,8	Kunst 2513:6,11	2437:8,25	2258:1
2352:2,18	2470:11		2440:5	2259:9,11,16
2353:6,9,13	2471:6	L	2454:5	2259:21
2359:9	2473:13	L 2416:25	2458:16,17,18	2274:2
2360:18	2474:20	labeled 2386:4	2463:1,22	2279:12,19
2366:20	2480:10,15,24	2386:6	2464:22	2287:19

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2294:16	2458:2	2369:25	2386:9,11	listed 2280:25
2370:15	2499:9	2371:2 2411:8	2396:11	2445:13
2393:17	leaving 2423:17	2424:11	2397:1	2451:7
2470:11,21	2427:14	2442:25	2417:24	2476:18
2473:5	2454:17	2445:15	2418:2,7,16	2479:17,17
2497:6	leeway 2261:25	2485:18,19,19	2419:21	listened
large-use	left 2259:9	2485:19	2428:17	2343:13
2294:18	2321:24	2492:10	2440:20,21	literature
larger 2301:17	2415:2	2495:17,19,21	2452:3,19	2469:13
2402:16	2420:23	level 2258:13	2456:14	litigating
2420:5	2428:7,22	2322:13	2476:21	2336:13
2469:11	2430:15,16	2324:5,19	2492:11	LITIGATION
2491:25	2431:16,17	2365:5	lines 2315:7,8	2248:24
2494:18	legal 2404:3	2366:21	2421:7	litigious 2436:11
2498:5	2422:20,22	2396:21	2435:5	little 2252:8
largest 2286:14	2474:10	2404:25	2464:20	2270:23
2477:5	2524:19	2405:1	Linhares	2288:5
LARRY 2249:4	legislation	2450:2	2249:12	2294:7
lasted 2372:12	2413:18	2456:17	2297:1	2311:21
late 2308:3	legislative	2493:22,22	2300:19	2323:25
2515:19,24	2479:3	2498:23	2301:2 2302:1	2324:25
Latin 2423:22	2496:15	levels 2288:13	2302:8,12,17	2327:1,13
latitude 2436:8	legislature	lever 2294:12	2302:21	2333:21
Lauber	2275:25	levers 2294:8	2303:5,8,9	2352:9
2505:22,23	Lena 2534:16	Lewis 2250:3	2527:9	2383:25
2531:10	2534:24	2260:2	link 2337:22	2398:16
Law 2248:19	2535:6	lewis.mills@br...	2489:23	2400:23,25
2252:4	length 2336:7	2250:5	2497:16	2428:17,24
laws 2496:16	Lera 2251:3	LGS 2257:4,8,9	linked 2302:2,3	2436:4,5
lawsuit	2320:2	2257:11,17	2302:4	2468:23
2403:25	lera.shemwell...	2259:21	2338:8	2473:22
lawyer 2318:16	2251:6	2386:5,6	liquid 2257:18	2478:4
laymen's	less-predicta...	2452:10	list 2261:2	2492:16
2422:21	2335:10	life 2269:23	2280:25	LLC 2250:15
layperson	lessen 2296:12	2288:4	2281:2	load 2324:15
2467:22	let's 2259:10	lifetime 2283:3	2302:19	loaded 2360:13
lead 2335:9	2278:21	LIHEAP 2492:3	2312:25	lobby 2365:11
2420:12	2279:9	limit 2409:7	2340:7	lobbying
2429:10	2290:15	limited 2290:9	2344:16,19	2365:13
leads 2318:1,11	2305:3	2296:17	2385:8	Lobser 2340:12
leaning	2309:4,6	2325:22	2433:1	2340:13,17,23
2301:24	2316:8	limiting 2431:11	2445:8,14	2341:1,7,25
leap 2275:6	2318:18	line 2289:14	2446:7,12	2355:2,15
learn 2437:1	2335:3	2311:10,22	2468:14	2357:6,20
learning 2446:6	2336:6	2315:7	2481:11	2359:1,19
leave 2422:1	2355:3	2357:17	2502:15	2373:12
2449:25	2357:7	2359:10	2505:4	2376:4

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2383:24	2375:2	losses 2272:12	2299:3,14	2351:8
2437:14	2380:5	2372:14	low-income	LP 2257:18
2444:11	2381:8	2460:4	2292:20	lunch 2384:1,4
2502:25	2428:6,17,24	lost 2431:20	2293:3	2398:11,12,20
2503:14	2428:25	2442:20	2297:14,25	2398:22
2527:22	2429:24	lot 2254:4	2297:25	LV 2257:17
2530:3,4,6,7	2437:17	2272:8,20	2299:6,15	2258:2
Lobser's	2448:9	2274:10	2300:15,17,21	2259:13
2502:21	2457:5	2292:20	2320:5,16,25	Lyon's 2530:10
2503:1	2481:9	2324:12	2322:18	Lyons 2384:6,7
local 2360:1,8	2491:22	2327:23	2343:16	2384:13,14
2360:21	2498:12	2343:21	2344:8	2387:1,19
2424:16,18	looked 2334:5	2349:4,20	2352:23	2388:2
lodge 2473:22	2341:21 2421:1	2350:7	2492:1	2390:21
2513:25	2424:17	2362:17	2493:4	2393:3
long 2288:3,7	2460:18	2375:15	low-usage	2398:6
2317:18	2477:17	2383:11,15	2343:17	2479:25
2329:1	2499:10	2396:6	low-use	2508:20,21
2334:20	looking	2420:6	2270:13,17	2513:7
2353:10	2252:10	2428:25	2344:8	2528:8
2372:13	2318:25	2431:2	2377:12,21	2530:9,12,13
2401:4	2320:19	2436:12	lower 2270:16	2532:10,12
2410:23	2323:4,6,11	2452:20	2273:7	2533:18
long-standing	2336:20	2462:21	2295:25	
2268:17	2350:10	2469:5	2299:2	M
longer 2267:18	2368:1,2,4,12	2473:14	2301:15,15,25	M 2249:4
2288:6	2378:21	2490:13,21	2316:13	2250:16
2353:15	2431:9	2496:15	2318:20	M-I-S-P-A-G-E-L
look 2254:2	2444:3	2497:25	2319:6	2505:20
2261:7	2446:7	2524:24	2322:17	ma'am 2320:1
2296:14	2450:23	lotto 2433:3	2328:10	2339:11
2299:5	2452:18,19	Louis 2249:5	2329:9,13	2519:23
2305:3	2454:14	2250:9	2333:10	Madison
2312:11	2456:23	low 2278:23	2352:5	2250:21
2314:22	2482:15	2283:1,14	2359:22,25	2251:5,13
2326:11	2490:11	2289:14	2368:3	magnitude
2327:24	looks 2292:23	2292:25	2383:12	2284:13
2336:22,25	2357:16	2295:12	2390:3,4,5	MAIDA 2248:21
2337:2,3,6	2370:23	2322:19	2391:14	main 2362:5,5
2338:9	2388:4	2329:8	2402:5,7	Maine 2473:2,3
2345:3,4,4	2515:25	2401:22	2456:24	2473:3
2349:6,8,10	lose 2272:4	2462:22	2460:9	maintain
2352:12	2279:1	2471:7	2493:3	2276:9
2356:6	losing 2272:1	2492:6	2494:19	maintaining
2359:14	loss 2402:24	2494:13,13,14	lowering	2382:11
2368:8	2473:7	2494:16,17	2297:24	major 2301:21
2374:20	2496:7	low-energy	2298:18,23	2322:21,24

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2394:7	2273:20	match 2259:20	2434:24	2399:3
majority	2274:7,11	2287:7	2436:4	MECG's
2304:15	2289:18,22	2320:25	2443:22	2330:15
2347:14	2290:5,16	2326:6	2445:13	2370:24
2379:12	2291:18	matches	2455:9	2481:22
2403:18	2405:6	2321:13	2460:15	2536:14
2412:16,23	2465:21	material 2389:6	2462:4	mechanism
2413:7	2466:12,18	2391:25	2467:12	2255:1
Majors 2266:11	2475:6	math 2407:20	2472:25	2260:21
2266:23	2482:6	2410:24	2473:19	2268:6,11
2267:8	2486:19	matter 2248:12	2476:15,20	2271:2,6,11,12
making 2256:1	2489:20	2248:15	2481:7	2271:15
2274:13	2495:24	2252:5	2485:24	2272:5,8,19
2292:8	2496:3	2270:7	2488:16	2272:23
2349:25	2500:25	2294:1	2491:20	2273:19
2362:21	2501:3,25	2438:22	2493:1,15	2274:9
2376:8	2529:9	2442:23	2497:13	2275:2,9
2404:7	2535:3,9,10	2445:2	2500:7	2276:15
Mall 2415:19	Marke's 2291:2	2525:25	2507:15	2278:20,25
manage	2291:10	matters 2278:9	2515:1 2517:17	2279:19
2353:10	2501:6,17	2287:12	meaningful	2288:24
managed	2517:21	2362:18	2351:11	2290:1
2269:16	2518:9	2473:18	2353:5	2291:15,16
mandatory	marked	2496:21	means	2293:5
2467:17	2309:22	2499:14	2260:20	2297:4,16
Mantle 2483:5	2356:6	Matthew 2514:7	2361:9	2304:9
2516:5 2517:11	2384:22	2532:24	2423:10	2306:20
2518:8	2387:5 2417:6	2534:3,4	2431:24	2345:20
2534:16,24	2417:7	mean 2275:10	2451:25	2368:20,23
2535:6	2433:10	2286:20,23	2496:8	2369:10
manuals	2439:20,21	2293:6	2497:12	2371:3
2334:6	2442:17	2300:14	meant 2375:11	2373:23
MARCELLA	2443:21	2302:22	2413:18	2376:16
2251:11	2446:13	2315:19	2420:25	2377:24
margin 2274:2	2477:11	2326:18	2503:25	2379:6,7
margins 2290:4	2514:18	2332:25	measure	2381:2
mark 2251:10	2521:18	2334:5	2434:18	2383:2
2309:20	2522:3	2336:12	2470:8	2392:22
2385:9	2535:16	2365:11	2500:10	2393:7,10,21
2418:10,17	market 2249:5	2368:9	measurement	2394:2,4
2441:5	2488:16	2369:21	2338:4	2396:22
2457:17	markets 2349:8	2374:16	2339:6	2419:23
2477:7	Martin 2310:12	2376:21	measures	2427:6
2522:5	2310:20	2389:1	2267:23	2430:2
2531:9,10	2527:12	2403:4	MECG 2370:14	2431:5
2532:15	2535:18,24	2426:16	2370:20	2432:18
Marke 2258:22	2536:4	2430:7	2396:14	2433:17

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2447:14	2285:7	2363:23	2387:25	2333:15
2462:11	2295:3	2364:4	2393:4	2339:22
2467:19	2336:24	2370:16	midpoint	2340:1,9
2473:4	2360:23	2376:23	2289:16	2503:19
2481:10	2368:5	2378:6,12	midwest	2521:18,21,24
2499:3,4	2391:10,24	2389:10	2249:20	2522:2,10
2500:4	2396:9	2394:1,19,24	2299:23	2527:11
2524:18	2420:4	2397:6	2303:11	mind 2333:18
mechanisms	2483:18	2408:9	2321:21	2357:13
2275:3	MERS 2251:11	2414:9,15	2367:2	2360:5,19
2288:19,25	met 2378:22	2438:1	2392:9	2363:19
2294:15	2467:18	2458:17,22	2397:23	2370:24
2343:8	meter 2259:19	2459:3	2406:12	2376:11
2347:14,19	2301:19	2460:20,23	2421:14	2418:23
2379:11,13	2328:23,24	2460:25	2427:24	2422:19
2380:5	metering	2465:3	2447:23	2464:24
2498:14	2334:24	2469:17	2459:16	2469:24
2499:21	meters	2476:6	2475:23	mine 2490:17
MECs 2521:15	2464:20	2477:4	2495:5	minor 2287:18
medication	method 2434:5	2482:12	midwestern	2311:7
2496:14	2434:6	2483:20,24	2299:7,9,13	minute 2310:2
MEEIA 2326:17	methodology	2485:19	MIEC 2267:4	minutes
2327:11	2255:10	2487:1,2	2308:1,6	2495:18
2337:25	2449:4	2488:12	2321:19	2524:11
meet 2369:18	methods	2534:7	2333:14	mirrors 2488:16
2378:2	2490:15	MGE's 2282:2	2371:2	misalignment
2468:3	2493:12	2397:1	2392:8	2393:19
meeting 2351:9	metrics 2254:3	2464:12,15	2521:17	Mispagel
2371:18	2254:6	2485:20	MIEC's 2522:16	2505:17,18,18
2373:4	2290:5	mic 2446:5,5	2536:16,17,19	2531:9
Meisenheimer	2366:4,12	Michael 2249:3	2536:20	misremember...
2269:5	MGE 2252:23	2278:8	Miles 2513:19	2361:22
member	2253:2,6	2287:8	2533:19	missed 2311:10
2483:13	2257:3,15	2289:15	million 2253:3	missing 2266:1
members	2259:16,22	2416:14,16,25	2257:21	mission
2437:12	2276:10	2417:4	2258:1	2469:24
memo 2484:14	2282:2,10,13	2451:18	2259:17	Missouri 2248:1
memory 2260:1	2282:22,25	2528:18	2295:6,7	2248:9,15
mention	2284:2,21	2531:4,6,7	2320:6,8,11	2249:5,7,9,14
2274:23	2286:19	2532:19,21	2366:21	2249:22
2276:3	2297:8	2533:7,24	2370:5	2250:2,5,7,9
2283:20	2299:21	Michelle	2408:8,11	2250:11,13,17
2503:25	2305:4	2532:6	Mills 2250:3	2250:19,21
2523:17	2323:8	2533:10	2260:3,5,11	2251:6,8,13,14
mentioned	2332:6	Michigan	2267:4	2270:3
2264:13	2342:21,25	2334:10	2308:2,19,23	2276:2
2268:23	2358:1,5	microphone	2321:20	2287:23

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2305:5	mixing 2410:20	2448:19,23	2488:20	2252:1 2527:1
2338:2	modeled	2448:25	moved 2464:24	2528:1,1,1
2356:3	2401:3,7	2449:1,2,6,7,8	2520:4	2529:1,1,1
2393:25	modeling	2449:21	movement	2537:3
2395:23	2389:25	months 2281:19	2314:25	N-I-E-T-O
2401:4	modifications	2294:24	moves 2300:1	2509:7
2436:10	2287:3	2336:15	2314:8	name 2310:18
2477:15	2361:19	2342:19	moving	2416:23,23
2500:18	modified	2424:14	2275:24	2509:7
2536:24	2285:9	2448:24	2323:6	names 2509:8
2537:4	modify	2493:5	2350:21	Nancy 2248:19
misstate	2395:25	Moody's	2364:4,5	2252:4
2420:18	MoGas 2250:15	2290:2	2365:18	narrow 2485:19
mistake	moment	morning	2378:12	NARUC 2334:9
2504:22	2268:15	2252:3,11	2420:8	NASUCA
mitigate	2278:22	2262:10	2465:7	2507:7
2468:22	2292:4	2267:16	2497:22	NATALIE
2487:16,19	2310:3,5	2285:21	2508:8	2249:17
2494:15	2434:10	2297:2	2519:24	Natelle 2532:7
2496:17	Monday	2303:15	MSBA 2522:21	2533:12
mitigated	2279:10	2313:13,14	multifamily	NATHAN
2281:16	2342:20	2319:25	2297:14	2251:4
2285:7,11	2391:3	2320:1	2298:1	national
2319:12	2507:9	2322:3,4	2299:6,7,9,15	2249:11
2323:7	2508:3	2342:1,2	2352:24	2274:24,25
2335:17	money 2293:2	2384:13	multiple 2261:17	2275:2
2336:2	2295:3	2392:14,15	2261:24,25	2296:24
2348:11,16	2316:12,20	2438:16	2367:25	2297:2,9
2360:12	2317:13,21	2440:3	2373:4	2301:17
2364:4	2318:18	2447:2	2378:2	2321:23
2395:14	2320:23	2507:9	2389:9	2352:7,22,25
2463:1	2335:24	motion 2524:4	2474:18	2395:3
2498:6	2337:16	motions	multiply 2435:8	2520:20
mitigating	2360:13	2523:23	2435:11	nationality
2480:22,23	2405:25	motivation	Murray 2279:16	2351:18
2480:23	2411:21	2354:14	mutually	2394:21
mitigation	2420:14	mouth 2450:15	2296:2	natural 2317:9
2269:9,12	month 2281:23	move 2272:6	Myer 2308:8	2351:23
2270:19	2414:15	2284:9,14,16	2308:20	2372:5
2276:9	2429:25	2293:19	2521:23	2426:8
2287:11	2492:5	2311:18	2536:21	2434:17
2360:25	month-to-mo...	2328:14	Myers 2446:9	2470:1,9
2361:1	2319:4	2348:22	2531:16,22	2471:7,25
2476:24	monthly	2352:22,25	Myers' 2446:17	2487:17
2498:1	2270:17	2371:2 2378:5		2489:13,24
2499:3	2296:9	2398:23	N	2490:22
mix 2342:10	2435:15	2464:25	N 2248:23	2491:14

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2493:6,10 nature 2302:22 2346:20 near 2254:16 2472:5 necessarily 2293:13 2315:24 2337:25 2363:16 2431:1 2452:15 2498:6 necessary 2308:10 2363:23 2444:5 2480:8 need 2256:13 2261:24 2262:6 2263:6 2264:18 2268:23 2276:7,8 2278:19 2285:11 2287:20 2288:23 2295:7 2304:17 2305:9 2311:15 2320:11 2336:11,20 2347:18 2349:7 2353:4 2354:20 2357:1 2359:3 2363:22 2364:3 2367:22 2380:12,22 2394:25 2398:10	2412:14,14 2413:23 2422:8 2440:25 2442:1 2448:10 2467:18 2491:24 2493:11 2504:8 2505:14 2514:20 2516:4 2523:4 2525:2 needed 2264:12 2278:18 2336:8 2500:9 needs 2254:17 2254:20 2273:1 2287:7 2344:2 2357:3 2367:25 2368:13 2373:4 2378:2,22 negative 2258:6,7 2492:12 negatives 2343:13 neighborhood 2280:11 2342:15 2352:11 neither 2316:19 2537:9 neutral 2257:8 2467:4,20 2468:2 never 2271:16 2333:18 2370:22 2446:5	new 2271:6 2287:12 2295:21 2297:23 2427:14,14 2431:22 2437:1 2447:4 2458:15 2471:15 newborn 2266:12,19 NHT's 2302:4 2521:11 2536:10 nice 2275:2 NICOLE 2251:11 Nieto 2513:20 2532:13 2533:21 night 2523:4 nine 2253:2 nkaras@edf.org 2249:18 Noack 2504:25 2531:4,6,7 nobody's 2270:22 noise 2490:13 2492:2 non-average 2287:13 2431:13 non-efficient 2470:18 non-gas 2277:21 2306:5 2455:2,12 non-objection 2293:5 2514:1 non-premarked 2515:2 non-weather 2356:11 noncapital 2263:21 nonenergy	2317:14 nonexpert 2337:10 nonparticipants 2472:4 nonrecurring 2263:21 normal 2346:15 2394:22 2434:7 2435:7 2479:1 normalization 2274:17 2326:11 2342:11,12 2347:13 2358:18 2382:14 2433:16 2434:2 2435:10 2437:24 2448:12 2450:11 2461:8 normalize 2349:11 2351:21 2375:25 2433:15 normalized 2352:14 2356:11 2358:14 2374:18 2376:6 2394:23 2430:12 normally 2463:18 2525:10 note 2253:8 2299:18 2444:23 2478:4,13,16 2509:1 2523:2	noted 2445:1 notice 2291:4 noticeable 2311:12,12 2312:6 notify 2274:9 noting 2466:1 novel 2303:19 2524:19 Nowadays 2288:6 nuance 2369:23 number 2267:25 2269:13 2270:14 2273:21 2279:12,14,17 2315:23 2316:4 2317:15 2331:5 2340:2 2342:8,14 2343:17 2357:2,3,24 2360:9 2382:3 2385:9 2386:6,7 2390:15 2391:20 2395:3 2430:25 2432:23 2433:1,2 2434:20 2435:11 2441:1 2441:21 2442:2 2457:10 2459:24 2460:16,20 2489:12 2491:5 numbers
--	---	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2254:18	2512:25	2440:8	2371:12	2319:14,21
2345:4	2513:15,24	2442:12	2383:6	2329:2
2357:21	2514:12	2445:7,14	2414:11	2333:4
2394:14,18	2516:10	2446:15	2465:20	2338:23
2466:2,6	2518:1,12,23	2467:15	2487:25	2340:9
2503:1	2519:1	2469:11	office's 2331:11	2341:19
2505:14	2520:13,25	2481:16	2487:7	2348:20
2522:4	2521:6	2483:18	offset 2351:3	2354:23
NW 2249:17	2522:12	2491:21	2492:23	2357:25
<hr/>	objections	2492:19	oh 2259:5,9	2358:21,25
O	2358:6	2493:3	2264:13	2359:12,24
O 2252:1	2443:9	2498:25	2266:14	2360:3,11,23
2528:1 2529:1	2503:20,22	2501:6,9,15	2312:4,13	2361:9
oath 2340:21	2504:1,3	2504:12,13	2329:14	2362:3
2399:18	obtain 2367:16	2507:14	2333:16	2363:9,18,24
2438:18	obvious	2508:13,22	2339:3	2364:8,15
object 2293:4	2306:10	2509:10,21	2362:3	2365:18
2451:2,12	obviously	2510:8,21	2392:8	2366:3,25
2461:12	2268:10	2511:10	2393:5	2367:22
2503:2	2273:16	2512:5,15,24	2475:18	2368:5
objecting	2329:11	2513:10,23	2478:15	2369:2,16
2444:20	2443:25	2514:11 2519:1	2485:18	2370:24
objection	2496:13	2519:17	2510:7 2518:8	2371:2,6,14,23
2312:23	2523:6,8	2520:3	2521:2	2372:25
2313:3 2358:7	occur 2267:20	2522:10	okay 2252:16	2374:11,15
2358:8	2375:19	offered 2356:16	2252:21	2375:21
2387:9,10	occurred	2358:3	2253:16	2376:14
2419:7	2270:8	2442:24	2254:22	2377:9,23
2436:21,24	2524:21	2502:16,22	2256:6,18,21	2378:4,24
2437:2	occurs 2500:16	2502:24,24	2257:3	2379:14
2443:2,8,14	October	2503:16	2259:2,6	2380:9
2443:16,21,25	2282:13,15,16	2504:23	2260:16,18	2381:11
2445:22,25	2282:20,22	2505:7	2263:2	2382:10,18,21
2446:17	2414:18	2507:13	2264:6,9,11	2383:6,14
2473:22	off-ramp	2517:22	2264:24	2385:19
2474:11,25	2350:5	offering 2440:4	2265:20,23	2387:8
2481:18,21	off-system	2516:7 2517:6	2275:6	2388:13
2501:18	2362:21	office 2251:2,5	2303:9	2389:14,21
2503:17	offer 2265:13	2268:21	2309:18,24	2390:2
2504:16	2293:15	2269:8	2310:8 2311:17	2392:1,5
2505:7	2297:3	2270:10	2311:20	2394:10
2506:3	2302:25	2282:23	2312:4,7	2395:6
2508:14,25	2356:18	2286:15	2313:21	2398:16
2509:12,13,24	2357:4,5,22	2319:21	2314:12,19	2399:10
2510:9,24	2386:25	2333:5	2315:3,7,9	2400:8
2511:14	2419:5	2341:12	2316:6	2401:10
2512:6,8,16,17	2436:19	2344:9	2318:19,21	2402:4,11

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2403:20	2464:11	2474:18	2525:14	2467:6
2404:5,18	2465:2,11,19	one's 2404:11	opening	2468:13
2405:8	2467:3	one-for-one	2262:5	opposed
2406:2	2468:5,10	2318:5	2266:4	2290:15
2407:5,9,23	2471:11,23	2486:14,15	2267:12	2313:25
2408:17	2472:9,24	one-time	2277:3,7	2314:7 2327:8
2409:10,20	2478:12,24	2263:20	2283:5	2331:25
2409:22	2479:12,16,24	2304:19	2285:7	2349:24
2410:14	2480:6	ones 2254:2	2291:25	2369:15,21
2411:10,23	2481:14	2283:24	2292:13,16	2381:2
2412:15	2485:4	2293:10	2296:24	2447:13
2413:2,13	2486:3,10,22	2442:23	2306:24	2467:3
2414:22	2486:25	2502:24	2309:3,21	opposes
2415:1,20,24	2487:18	2504:24	2374:3	2308:6
2416:10	2488:5,10	online 2286:4	2440:3	opposing
2417:15,19	2489:4	OPC 2253:11	2469:6	2371:15
2420:17	2491:18	2265:1	2477:12	opposite
2422:17,21	2492:9	2267:2	2527:4,5,6,7	2361:6
2423:1,11,12	2494:25	2287:5,13,19	2527:8,9,10,11	opposition
2423:25	2495:23	2288:10,11,24	operating	2369:4,5,12
2424:15	2501:11	2289:12,22	2275:15	option 2440:4
2427:16	2503:13	2290:11,15,17	operational	2440:5,9,15
2428:14,24	2506:23	2399:1 2416:4	2254:3	options
2429:2,2	2507:3,10	2483:3,5	operations	2296:15
2430:3	2508:2,8	2495:24	2479:8	orange 2414:12
2431:6,21	2512:2	OPC's 2290:19	opinion 2364:11	2415:2,2,3
2435:18	2514:22,25	2290:24	2365:16	order 2276:5
2438:19	2515:8,16,25	2291:13	2392:1	2289:9
2439:13,16,19	2516:24	2473:24	2413:16	2304:17
2439:24	2517:20	2501:22	2461:25	2305:9
2441:7 2442:4	2522:1,12,20	2516:21	2475:7	2309:25
2442:18,25	2523:5	2518:4,16	2493:20,21	2367:16
2447:16	old 2464:13	2519:10	opportunities	2401:25
2448:18	2471:6	2534:13,14,16	2335:23	2474:12
2449:7,11,15	2525:22	2534:17,19,20	opportunity	2514:20
2449:23	Oligschlaeger	2534:22,23	2272:24	2516:16
2451:19,23	2509:9	2534:25	2304:11	2526:6
2452:23	2532:15	2535:2,4,5,7	2329:24	ordered 2328:1
2454:19	omits 2316:3	2535:8,10,11	2335:21	orders 2364:7
2455:7	once 2261:18	2535:13,14,16	2345:4,6	Oregon
2456:13	2262:3	open 2291:5	2377:24	2500:16
2457:3,16	2266:12	2296:20	2444:5,10	organically
2458:11,11,20	2273:15,17	2326:5	2448:9	2272:2
2460:11,19	2308:2	2420:24	2469:19	organize
2461:7,23	2377:9	2422:1	2474:5	2253:25
2462:14	2450:14	2431:17	2523:11,15	original
2463:6,17,21	2463:9	2486:12	oppose 2467:5	2385:20

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

outcome	2324:18	2440:10	2460:3	2309:13,25
2364:12	2352:3	2441:9,10,21	2469:21	2310:10
2376:2	2357:16	2478:3,6,8,9	2472:6	2329:22
2418:3	2363:21	2479:13,14	2477:22	2335:22
2484:24	2420:3	2489:22	2519:16	2336:22
2485:2,3	2426:16	2490:3	participant	2337:9
2537:15	2473:7 2491:5	2492:10	2472:2	2343:17
outside	2491:10	2527:2	2482:18,20	2345:3
2277:20	overcharged	2528:2	participant's	2349:16
2287:3,4	2299:4	2529:2	2317:12	2350:7
2344:13	overpaying	pagination	particular	2362:5,16
2350:11	2464:16	2478:11	2274:25	2377:5,11,20
2365:20	overrule	paragraph	2275:13	2379:1,15
2366:5	2474:25	2478:25	2281:3	2411:12 2416:2
2374:7	overruled	parameters	2313:25	2444:8
2431:14	2503:23	2490:12	2321:3	2445:1
2434:19	oversight	paribus	2334:23	2454:2
2498:14,16	2498:18	2423:16,23	2335:11	2457:5
outstanding	overspend	2436:24	2375:7,22	2490:21
2261:13	2405:25	parody 2301:12	2377:2,10	2524:21
2269:17	overwhelmin...	part 2256:19	2381:1	2525:4
2276:16	2500:22	2258:23	2444:15,17	2537:10,13
2292:25		2259:7	particularly	parts 2255:4
2295:20	P	2264:14	2299:16	2266:5
2315:1 2361:15	P 2248:21	2266:22	2314:14	party 2344:10
over- 2376:22	2252:1	2275:9	2378:8,18	2373:3
over-collect	p.m 2526:22	2279:19,23	2474:4	2380:18
2485:8	package	2279:24	parties 2252:9	2454:4
over-earning	2391:12	2313:18	2252:21	2474:6
2304:16	page 2311:10,22	2319:13	2253:1,20	2524:17
2307:10,12,16	2314:2,3	2322:15	2255:11	2525:22
2330:17	2315:5,6	2338:20	2261:4,23	pass 2484:4
2396:16	2385:3	2350:4	2262:22	passed
2468:6	2386:3	2352:18	2264:22	2483:24
over-earnings	2388:6	2360:3	2265:12,22	path 2273:15
2288:10	2396:9	2361:13,23	2266:23	2493:10
2330:23	2400:19	2366:11	2273:17	patience
2336:24	2402:19	2370:3	2282:11	2292:11
2349:5	2404:18	2377:13	2283:21	patterns
over-recoveri...	2405:5,16,17	2379:11	2284:11	2299:5
2272:22	2417:22	2415:3,10	2289:24	2376:6
over-sufficient	2418:7,16	2422:14	2291:19	Patterson
2382:19	2419:21	2431:8	2298:7	2509:9
overall 2254:11	2420:21,23	2442:19	2300:3	2513:22
2290:5	2424:9	2450:17	2302:13,13	2532:16
2295:22	2426:6,6	2455:2	2303:17	2533:22
2317:17	2428:6,10	2457:19	2304:1	pay 2272:14

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2279:2,4,22	2444:21	2407:7,9,11,14	2317:22	2250:15
2318:22	2448:3,5	2407:16,21	perspective	2275:11
2361:10,11	2451:3,14	2408:14,17	2261:10	Pitts 2517:3,14
2414:20	2459:20,22	2409:7,10	2262:21	2518:18
2493:9	2461:15,19,22	2410:6,14,15	2263:15,19	2519:1
2496:10	2461:24	2410:19,20	2264:3	Pitts' 2519:9
paying 2273:9	2462:14	2412:2,8,9,16	2273:25	place 2273:6
2317:19	2464:11	2412:23	2275:3	2278:25
2318:2	2473:21	2426:17	2286:16	2364:10
2486:3,5,8	2474:15	2436:4,6	2302:4	2395:14
PAYNE 2251:9	2475:5,21	2450:12	2317:12,16	2453:20,21
2264:24	2508:3	2453:16	2319:8	2453:23
2266:2	2521:8	2456:5	2322:8	2472:3
peer 2299:23	2523:7	2484:18	2323:18	2473:5
2347:13,15	2524:20	2500:5,12	2330:4,7	places 2313:23
peers 2347:18	2526:16	percentage	2455:24	2499:24,25
2347:20	2527:4	2457:2	pertains	plagues 2497:9
2348:4,4	2528:7,9	perceptible	2467:20	2497:11,11
2349:17	2529:5,7	2358:17	2468:25	planned
2379:10,10	penny 2307:5	perception	PGA 2288:19	2280:2
pen 2415:2,8,9	pension	2263:1	2307:2,3,5	plant 2455:13
Pendergast	2524:24	perfectly	2319:8 2351:3	play 2304:2
2249:3	2525:7,11,17	2263:18	2360:24	2378:6,16
2253:19	pensions	2470:25	2361:12,15,20	2382:10
2255:5	2381:14	perform	2362:11,17,23	2394:12
2256:7	people 2261:16	2497:15	2362:24	2395:10
2261:7,9	2273:3	performance	2363:3,5,6,10	2525:22
2262:13	2345:24	2366:4,12	2363:14,17,19	playing 2311:20
2263:18	2349:4	period 2254:9	2395:19	please 2252:17
2264:13,16,20	2411:13	2288:16	2402:9	2277:5
2266:21	2422:4	2336:21	2441:19	2285:21
2267:13,14,15	2460:13,16	2345:19	2454:25	2301:2
2276:22,25	2473:10,11	2372:13	2498:13	2310:19
2277:1	percent	2375:22	PGA/ACA	2356:20
2285:6	2257:14	2418:10,18	2361:22	2378:10
2340:11	2258:6	2430:19	2362:4	2381:4 2413:3
2373:11	2273:25	2448:17	Ph.D 2413:11	2418:13
2374:24	2279:12	2463:7	2466:23	2496:6
2375:2	2288:15	2469:8	phrases 2468:1	2503:4,4
2383:21	2299:10	2482:25	pick 2402:15	2509:21
2384:5,12	2321:9	periodic	piece 2380:11	2524:16
2385:6,10,13	2351:24	2277:20	2401:20	plenty 2456:10
2385:19	2352:5,11	permits 2271:1	pieces 2304:20	plus 2284:3,10
2386:13,24	2390:12	person 2255:12	2312:8 2440:1	2284:16
2387:6	2394:22	2389:21	pilot 2493:4	point 2254:23
2398:3	2404:11,13,16	2463:18	2494:17	2256:3,7,8
2443:24	2406:21,25	personal	pipeline	2260:19

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2262:3	2361:12	possibility	2330:20	2467:9
2273:20	2365:12,14,15	2323:6	prefer 2255:16	president
2274:2	2390:9	2330:5	2425:11	2408:25
2283:3	2393:17	2336:4,18	2487:7	Presiding
2294:6	2430:15	possible 2267:1	Preferably	2248:19
2298:11	2431:5	2268:8	2433:2	press 2275:21
2302:14	portions 2334:6	2322:22	preference	pressure
2326:2	Portland	2348:23	2261:21	2296:12
2328:21	2499:12	2353:11	2262:2	presumably
2330:23	pose 2497:21	2404:24	2265:4,5,6,19	2286:18,21
2345:23	posed 2337:7	2433:24	2266:20	pretty 2255:8
2347:16	position	2493:21	2346:6	2275:1
2359:2	2283:11	2497:17	preferred	2302:3
2360:2	2287:17	possibly	2276:11	2306:10
2378:16	2290:19	2286:20	prefiled 2311:18	2349:12
2392:3,4	2292:18,24	2320:5	2389:4	2351:6
2474:21	2293:6	2466:11	preliminaries	2375:18
2498:2,25	2294:19	post 2414:10	2340:14	2395:4
2499:23	2314:17	post-October	premature	2431:11 2481:7
2506:17	2327:18	2282:18	2470:24	2523:20
pointed 2295:6	2328:5,9	post-rebuttal	prematurely	2526:9
2298:19	2329:6,19	2444:17	2470:13	prevent 2289:6
2354:2	2330:15	postpone	premise 2294:7	2294:10
2421:2	2346:24	2524:5	preparation	2299:3
2467:13	2347:4,7	potential	2389:18	preventing
2483:17	2350:14,16	2374:4	2390:13	2294:4
points 2280:11	2359:3	potentially	prepared	previous
2280:12	2402:23	2293:14	2265:15	2253:13
2304:15	2403:14	2320:11	2277:9	2332:18
2375:3	2450:1	2345:18	2391:15	2502:23
2499:6,7	2454:8,21	2381:19	2398:17	2524:2
polar 2375:10,11	2467:3	2469:6	2417:5,5	previously
policy 2293:8	2479:7	2498:4	2437:8,9,11	2285:10
2294:1	2487:7	PowerPoint	2441:13	2340:17
2296:15	2494:11	2285:24	present	2361:20
2310:22	positions	2309:21	2256:16	2384:15,22
2330:4	2262:6	2535:16	2309:2	2388:23
2408:24	2284:1	practice	2374:12	2399:18
2409:3	positive	2474:13	presentation	2438:17
2425:6	2273:24	practicing	2481:9	2439:7
2453:9	2274:13	2317:9	2535:16	2445:21
2466:24,25	2307:15	precedent	presented	2465:22
poor 2255:12	2471:14	2301:11	2389:22	2504:3
pop 2296:10	positives	precious	2467:9	2519:8
popped 2376:11	2343:13	2500:8	presenting	price 2473:9,10
portion 2360:6	possibilities	precisely	2285:5,13	2473:10
2360:7	2480:24	2317:23,24	presently	2485:6

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2486:2	2448:22	2435:10	2455:24	2259:22
2487:3,11	2490:11	produce	2469:14,25	2262:23
2493:7	problematic	2381:20	prone 2491:3	2270:24
priced 2486:8	2268:3	2492:12	pronounce	2271:10
prices 2272:21	2350:12	product	2505:16	2272:7,10
2471:7 2473:11	problems	2268:10	2509:6	2276:8
2486:18	2269:24	2273:14	proof 2519:1,17	2282:12,14,17
primarily	2270:10	productive	propane	2282:21,22
2253:22	2272:6	2469:21	2257:19	2282:24
2294:20	2275:17,22	professional	proper 2420:11	2284:4,9,18
2308:8	2287:9	2392:1	properly	2284:21,24
2447:12	2432:5	professionals	2278:5	2297:4,5
principle	2497:21	2269:15	2297:21	2298:21,25
2253:21,21	procedural	profit 2297:19	2427:2	2314:24
2255:8	2339:23	program	properly-cons...	2315:11 2316:2
principles	2526:6	2294:24	2278:3	2332:4
2334:11	procedure	2295:4	proposal	2352:17
prior 2257:6	2350:3	2300:16	2286:15	2362:11
2282:16	proceed	2320:16	2287:7,10,10	2379:15,18,21
2342:24	2292:11	2321:5	2287:15,18	2381:13
2395:7	proceeding	2333:18	2289:3,8	2403:24
privileged	2310:25	2338:19	2290:12	2408:5,10
2388:7,9	2350:11	2485:2	2294:17,25	2420:15
probably	2357:10	2493:4	2295:1,8	2431:6
2262:21	2367:18	programs	2297:6	2432:17
2270:23	2373:18	2254:5	2299:25	2448:11
2295:6	2382:24	2268:9	2307:1 2318:8	2454:20
2324:17,25	2396:20	2292:21	2335:18	2468:15,19
2327:8	2403:12	2293:15	2366:7	2472:10
2330:3	2405:24	2297:13	2374:12	2485:11
2336:11,15	2438:12	2321:1 2337:3	2380:10,15	2487:24
2351:13	2442:11	2338:22	2391:10	2494:8
2352:11	proceedings	2359:9	2435:21	proposing
2357:18	2248:5	2483:6,21,24	2452:10	2270:20
2365:25	2277:21	2484:21	2463:3	2367:16
2400:9,25	2404:4	2492:3	proposals	2374:9
2457:1	2438:18	progress	2292:22	2400:24
2491:24	process	2292:8	2335:25	2401:3
2513:14	2274:21	progression	2381:7,8,9,16	proposition
problem	2276:2	2476:21	propose	2296:17
2283:9,11	2308:3	prohibit	2273:5	2334:13
2296:16	2323:23,25	2474:12	2284:15	2337:8
2303:7	2336:16	project 2389:2	2366:16	propositions
2304:13	2344:7	2390:10	2367:24	2296:3
2346:13	2345:7,9,22	2391:21	2380:16	protected
2376:22	2349:12,14	promote	2454:11	2408:6,6
2408:15	2390:1	2397:2,7	proposed	protecting

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2290:4 protection 2461:10 2462:2 2498:21 provide 2279:21 2304:10 2346:17 2359:7 2363:5 2372:4 2373:1 2377:24 2395:8 2444:8 2461:9 2462:2 2485:11 2523:10 provided 2262:19 2304:8 2391:11 2396:19 2404:10 2450:8 2456:13 2469:4 2476:5 2499:13 2522:2 providing 2294:23 2372:7 provision 2304:4 2306:3 proxy 2480:7,18 2481:1,3,4 PSC 2474:13 public 2248:2 2251:2,5,8,13 2268:21 2269:8,14 2270:10,14 2282:23 2285:20	2286:16 2293:7,25 2296:7 2319:21 2320:3 2333:5 2334:9 2341:12 2344:9 2355:17 2360:1,8,21 2364:17 2370:8,11 2371:8,9,12,14 2379:18,21 2380:9 2383:7,11 2390:24 2397:21 2406:10 2417:2 2419:15 2424:16,18 2427:22 2442:14 2443:4,7 2447:20,21 2459:14 2465:20 2466:4,24,25 2492:22 2494:10 2496:21 2501:17 2508:21 2509:19 2514:8 2516:2 2518:24 2521:25 2522:7 2530:6 2531:19 2532:12,21 2534:4 2535:10,13,20 2536:22,24 pulled 2414:11	purchased 2275:9 2288:20 purchasing 2342:25 pure 2392:24 purpose 2289:8 purposes 2253:24 2306:21 2309:23 2340:21 2451:20 pursue 2269:25 2273:11 2285:1 2343:21 2344:1 2412:6 pursuing 2254:2 2268:7 2298:6 2376:15 push 2293:20 2338:18 pushing 2492:25 put 2253:12 2260:12 2261:18 2278:25 2293:2 2295:4 2296:11 2329:8,15 2377:7 2426:14 2450:15 2453:14,19 2461:8 2474:6 2505:4 puts 2357:17 putting 2327:5 2351:7	2408:12 2461:14 2480:18 2487:15 puzzled 2371:5 <hr/> Q <hr/> qualification 2444:19 qualify 2487:25 quantified 2409:12,18 quantify 2289:19 2450:11 quarter 2258:9 question 2260:23 2262:1 2266:7 2288:23 2300:7 2301:7 2329:3,5 2330:22 2331:13 2332:17,18 2339:24 2341:7,13 2347:10 2348:2,8,22 2360:3 2361:16 2362:25 2367:6,9,10 2367:15 2369:11 2371:1 2376:10,17 2377:14 2378:10 2381:4 2387:19 2395:7 2396:13 2407:12 2410:18 2412:21	2413:14,24 2414:24 2418:10,17 2420:24 2421:19 2422:2,20 2426:9 2431:21 2437:7 2445:6 2454:20 2474:23 2479:24 2484:3 2486:20 2488:18 2496:3,22 2497:3 questioned 2261:18 questions 2256:23 2258:16 2263:3 2265:12 2266:24 2267:9 2276:21 2278:10 2280:10,18 2285:16,18 2289:18,21 2290:6 2291:11,21,22 2296:20,21 2296:22 2299:25 2300:5 2307:22 2308:16,18 2311:4,5 2316:17,25 2319:15,17,19 2321:20,25 2325:19 2326:19 2331:17,20
---	--	---	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2333:15	2527:17,25	2484:17	2323:24	2396:1,20
2337:7	2528:12,15,23	rank 2324:9	2327:15	2397:5
2338:24	2529:6,13	ranks 2381:24	2328:2,16	2401:19,25
2341:20,24	quibble	rate 2253:5	2331:9	2402:13
2355:1,5,10	2354:20	2254:25	2332:5	2404:20,20
2359:13	2370:19	2255:1	2334:8,11	2404:24,25
2364:22	quick 2398:13	2257:4	2335:13	2405:3
2365:19	quickly 2489:9	2258:10,25	2336:14	2408:5,10
2366:25	2523:20	2259:3,7,8	2341:17,25	2414:24
2373:8,15	2526:9,10	2260:19,20	2345:23	2415:4,11
2376:15	quiet 2524:14	2261:12,14	2347:11	2417:13
2382:4,22	quite 2274:12	2265:25	2348:11,16	2418:3
2383:22	2276:11	2266:4	2350:4,8,11	2420:4,8,11
2386:17	2296:10	2267:20	2350:20,21	2425:4,6,8,14
2388:14,22	2326:4	2269:9,12,25	2352:13	2426:21
2392:6,10,11	2336:1	2270:3,19,21	2353:7,10,15	2427:8
2397:13,17,25	2338:4	2276:9	2353:18,22	2429:20,22
2398:3	2425:24	2277:8,19,20	2353:24	2430:1,1,5,8
2399:6,11	2499:6	2277:20	2354:1,2,14,15	2430:13,17,19
2400:9,12,15	quote 2288:13	2278:11 2281:1	2354:16	2430:20,25
2401:9	2304:8	2281:5,16,17	2359:25	2431:13
2406:3,5,8	2305:5,5	2281:20,21	2360:12,16,22	2434:3
2406:20	2306:5	2282:1,3,4,11	2361:6	2435:10,14,15
2409:21	2334:18	2284:3,5,10	2363:11,21	2440:12,12,13
2413:19 2416:1	2349:5	2284:16,19	2364:2,4,9,12	2451:17,21,22
2416:3,7	2480:2	2285:8,9,11,15	2365:20	2451:23,24
2418:24		2286:17,18,24	2366:6,9	2452:6
2423:2,3	R	2287:2,3,3,12	2367:18,19,23	2453:9,13,22
2424:24	R 2252:1	2288:22	2368:4,7	2454:1,3,5,7
2427:17,18,21	2426:16	2289:4	2374:7	2454:10,12
2428:1	2520:12	2291:6	2376:19,24	2455:3,4,14
2436:19	raise 2294:11	2293:4	2377:12,15	2455:16
2444:2,18	2425:16	2295:17	2378:5,13	2456:8,21,25
2447:3,20,25	2432:7	2297:11,11	2379:16	2457:14,24
2448:8	2473:11	2298:15	2380:19	2458:3
2453:3	raised 2270:4	2299:20	2381:18	2459:2,5,25
2459:10,13,18	2270:11	2306:11	2382:8,11	2460:8,10
2459:24	2274:15	2311:9,24	2384:20	2461:11,14
2462:21	2275:15	2312:15	2385:23	2462:9
2464:12	2411:12	2313:16,17,17	2387:7	2463:1,1,3,6
2475:13,15,16	2426:19,19	2313:23	2388:24	2463:22
2479:25	2503:21,22	2314:3,10,13	2389:1,19	2464:13,14,23
2481:15,24	range 2279:17	2314:22	2390:9	2466:11
2489:21	2289:15	2318:23	2391:7	2468:24
2493:19	2352:15	2319:10,12,20	2392:2	2488:15
2495:3,7,9	2389:13	2322:16	2395:13,14,15	2490:20
2523:11	2390:12	2323:7,10,12	2395:21	2492:18,23

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2493:22	2454:9	2497:8	2472:6	2439:16,19
2494:18,20	2455:17	realize	2500:6,22	2442:7,15
2497:7,10,12	2456:1,18	2295:20	2512:2	2449:4
2497:22	2485:17,20	realized 2337:1	reasonable	2466:2
2498:15	2497:24	2396:24	2372:8	2473:23
2521:3	rating 2305:4	really 2253:22	2373:2	2482:11
2524:17,18	2481:5	2253:25	2440:8	2489:22
2530:12,18	ratings 2481:6	2259:5	2454:15	2490:3
2535:24	rationale	2261:13	2455:3,6,8	2492:10
2536:10	2425:3	2269:16	reasonably	2503:11,21
ratemaking	2453:9,13	2270:6,22	2304:10	2521:4,19,22
2335:9	rbrownlee@rs...	2272:13,25	reasonably-pr...	2522:7
ratepayer	2250:22	2273:2	2372:5	2530:4,10,12
2498:19	re-branding	2275:17	reasons	2530:16,18,22
ratepayers	2262:12,20	2279:7	2267:25	2531:6,9,10,13
2287:22	2263:19,22	2296:9	2290:8	2532:6,7,9,10
2288:1 2473:7	2263:23	2305:12	2301:13,13	2532:12,13,15
rates 2253:12	2264:2,4	2325:25	2308:7,9	2532:16,18,19
2258:3,12	2415:4	2334:22	2315:24	2532:21,22
2259:20,23	reached	2336:8	2436:1 2467:7	2532:24
2271:12	2253:20	2342:20	2469:15	2533:3,4,6,7
2274:1	2254:14	2347:18	2494:10	2534:21,22
2275:19	2255:7	2356:24	2499:22	2534:24
2282:20	2302:12,15	2360:13	rebut 2525:20	2535:22,24
2285:2,10	reaction	2362:9	rebuttal	2536:6,7,13
2294:9	2396:17	2388:8	2282:12	2536:18,21
2295:10	2493:10,16	2396:18	2308:8	recall 2268:25
2300:15	2497:3	2411:19	2310:25,25	2294:4
2304:24	read 2275:21	2420:20	2311:9,24	2295:5
2327:18	2311:12	2422:3	2312:13,14,15	2320:4,7,10
2350:17	2424:16	2427:9	2313:23	2321:8,15
2351:12,16	2467:23	2469:14	2314:3	2323:11
2352:19	2478:24	2473:9 2491:7	2384:18,19,19	2332:22
2354:9	2482:8,11	2494:10	2385:2,5,11,12	2337:12
2359:6,7	reading	2498:3	2385:14,14,20	2355:9
2366:8	2328:24	2524:6	2385:22,23	2364:22
2372:8	2490:14	realm 2480:24	2386:2,18,18	2365:22
2373:2	reads 2418:2	reappear	2389:17	2366:3
2382:16	ready 2265:22	2416:11	2390:15,16	2367:9,10
2390:2	2398:22	reason 2276:4	2407:3 2417:5	2375:6
2393:16	2414:25	2284:20	2417:20	2379:3
2403:12	2465:19	2312:25	2419:21	2380:9
2405:4,23	real 2300:8	2337:12	2420:21	2382:5
2408:7	2406:14	2356:4	2424:10	2389:8
2430:10	2428:3	2370:19	2426:6	2424:21
2440:14,16	2429:23	2424:5	2428:11	2426:8
2441:19	2475:25	2457:24	2433:18	2431:24

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2439:8	2314:18	2501:14	2367:4	2268:14
2452:7	2325:12	2503:20	2406:16	2272:9
2461:4	2332:7	2504:4	2428:4	2296:1
recalling	2379:14	2507:18	2459:21	2402:7
2326:10	recommend	2520:5	2527:18,19,20	2480:25
receive 2253:2	2429:18,19	2521:7	2528:3,4,5,6	2487:21
2317:8	recommendat...	2522:20	2528:16,24	2496:23
2387:10	2281:21	2526:20	2529:7	reduction
2443:16	2322:12	recover 2271:16	redirect	2300:16,17
2504:2	2326:8	2271:16	2333:16,17,21	2305:20
2505:9	2332:1	2350:9	2333:23	2308:12,13
2509:14	2458:21	2381:23	2373:9,10	2352:14
2510:1,12	2459:3	2401:25	2398:2	2465:3,9
2514:13	2498:22	2420:13	2409:23	2488:6,11
2518:14	recommendat...	2430:16	2410:1 2429:3	2494:21
received	2274:14	2455:11,18,22	2429:7	2498:8,25
2445:10,12	2290:24	2456:2,12	2462:17,19	2500:5,12
2446:9	2291:2,10,18	2458:7	2495:11,23	reductions
2508:1 2517:3	2291:19	recovered	2496:1	2499:18
2519:9	2314:9	2259:12	2527:21	refer 2305:18
recess 2398:20	recommended	2270:6	2528:7,17,25	reference
recession	2366:5	2282:7,8	2529:8,14	2294:3
2473:5,8	2396:14	2377:17	reduce 2272:10	2306:25
recessions	2498:24	2381:14	2280:6	2380:14
2473:1	recommending	2393:17	2305:22,23	referred
recognition	2284:2	2458:3	2317:16,20	2281:16
2254:14	2454:22	2460:7	2348:17,21	2297:5
2284:11,13	2465:2,8	recovering	2352:3	2375:9
2289:9,9	recommends	2301:14	2377:6	referring
2290:23	2285:8	2328:18,23	2380:6	2307:2
2334:22	reconcile	2328:25	2381:2	2361:24
2499:9	2490:1	2405:19	2460:10	2362:9
recognize	reconciled	recovery	2462:13	2365:2,4
2289:13	2254:17	2328:15	2468:5	2374:22
2433:11	2271:16	2372:14	2486:10,16	2476:17
2500:11	record 2276:16	2382:1	reduced	2500:13
recognized	2303:14	2453:13,14,17	2280:8	refers 2306:21
2268:22	2308:11	2458:4	2403:6,7,8,9	reflect 2277:21
2269:1	2309:6,9	2462:5,7	2460:25	2279:4
2284:25	2310:19	recross 2355:3	2475:8	2280:5
recognizes	2335:1,4	Recross-Exa...	2488:19	2293:22
2361:1	2398:19,22	2331:23	2537:8	2301:9
recognizing	2444:14	2332:15	reduces	2306:5
2289:25	2445:10	2333:7	2347:25	2444:12
2315:10	2458:12	2355:7	2348:9	2503:20
recollection	2474:7,20	2359:17	2487:3	2507:18
2312:21	2495:19,22	2364:20	reducing	reflected

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2283:6 2316:1 2504:3 reflecting 2301:16 reflection 2293:23 regard 2324:13 2363:19 2452:15 2459:25 2521:3 regarding 2281:2 2305:4 2362:17 2363:3 2462:25 2480:1 regards 2330:13 2362:24 regression 2357:13,18 2426:16 2436:2 regular 2323:24 regulated 2372:12 regulation 2289:2 2294:8 regulators 2464:20 regulatory 2248:19 2252:4 2269:18 2271:5 2276:1 2287:25 2305:6 2323:22 2417:2 2467:11 2479:3 2481:13 2498:18	reject 2291:14 related 2288:17 2332:21 2348:3 2350:8 2365:13 2366:4 2367:17 2369:1 2374:4 2380:15 2391:12 2402:24 2405:13 2446:8 2460:4 2537:10 relates 2441:8 relative 2537:12 relatively 2348:15 2351:4 relevant 2287:5 2299:18 2334:5 2335:7 2341:11 2366:1 2498:15 reliable 2372:4 2372:7 2471:7 relief 2287:21 relying 2288:11 remain 2319:1 2340:20 2399:18 2438:18 2467:20 2468:2 remainder 2309:17 2339:14 2390:14 remaining 2252:10 2277:8 2423:24 2507:25	remains 2260:20,21 remember 2348:14 2392:25 2414:19 2461:13 2462:23 2484:15 2490:9 2506:24 2523:19 2526:8 remembered 2333:20 remind 2484:9 reminded 2445:6 remiss 2274:23 2276:3 remove 2350:3 removed 2280:3 2293:23 removing 2297:21 2350:1 reoccurring 2264:1 repeat 2316:17 2361:16 2362:25 2377:13 2378:10 2381:4 2407:12 2412:21 2418:12,14 2486:24 repeatedly 2270:12 repetitive 2474:17 2475:1 2476:1 replace 2385:17 2395:22 2422:8	replaced 2386:10,12 replacement 2288:21 replacing 2422:11 2470:18 reply 2526:3 report 2355:17 2417:10,13 2439:11,14 2442:13,15,20 2443:6 2445:8,11,13 2446:10,12 2514:18 2515:9 2531:18,19,24 REPORTED 2248:23 reporter 2416:24 2505:21 2516:15 2520:1 2522:3 2537:1,4,19 reports 2288:11 2355:19 2356:2 2445:18,20 2446:8 represent 2300:23 2355:25 2365:10 2372:2,19 representatio... 2314:20 represented 2314:13 2369:2 2370:1,8 2371:7,8,11,12 2392:21 representing 2320:2	2371:10,22 2372:21 2373:1,18 2382:22 represents 2298:25,25 2300:13 2301:25 2365:9 2370:14,21 2371:24 2372:22 2373:22 request 2248:12,16 2291:13 2377:5 2515:21 requesting 2286:17 require 2470:21 requirement 2257:20 2258:1,10 2295:21 2312:15 2327:21,25 2353:20 2381:12 2384:19 2385:22 2417:10 2430:13,16 2439:10,12 2440:17 2455:18 2456:3 2468:4 2521:4 2535:22 2536:12 requirements 2277:17 2467:18 requiring 2329:20 2345:1
---	---	--	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2530:10	respect 2277:14	2257:22	2367:8,12,16	2485:8
resend 2506:13	2317:9 2318:11	retained	2367:18,20	reverse
resets 2342:12	2326:13	2536:24	2367:23	2298:12
residential	2381:11	rethink 2499:7	2368:7,19,22	reversing
2257:4,17	2417:15	retire 2470:13	2368:25	2345:18
2258:9	respectively	2470:16	2369:6,9	review 2342:12
2259:8	2257:12	retirement	2372:14,15	2345:9
2260:19	respond	2470:24	2377:23	2348:25
2277:22	2328:12	return 2279:11	2381:12	reviewed
2281:2,5	2375:17	2280:4,7,14	2382:4,8,11,16	2345:22
2282:2,7	2473:10,12	2289:11,24	2383:1	2523:16
2290:20	2474:9	2290:1	2384:18	reviewing
2297:6	responded	2304:11,17,22	2385:22	2336:16
2298:21	2332:18	2305:2,10,21	2396:21	revise 2309:25
2299:8,21	responding	2305:23	2401:23	revised 2384:19
2306:6,9,10	2344:6	2306:1	2405:13	2385:12,13,21
2306:12	2405:6	2307:18	2408:6	2530:10
2351:23	response	2308:13	2417:10	revisions
2356:7,8	2332:17	2309:5	2419:22	2385:15
2358:4,5	2406:20	2380:12	2430:13,16	revisit 2444:18
2360:4	2479:3	returns 2305:8	2433:17	2444:24
2425:23	responsibilities	revenue	2439:10,12	reward 2488:11
2426:1	2368:10	2248:13	2440:17	2499:2
2427:11,12	2483:11	2257:8,20,23	2450:2,24	rewarded
2429:14	responsibility	2257:25	2453:13	2499:21
2435:14	2257:23	2258:10,22	2455:18	rex 2490:10
2447:13	2343:24	2258:24	2456:3,12	2491:10
2451:6	2344:22	2268:6	2458:2,4,7	RICHARD
2476:20	responsive	2270:1 2271:11	2460:7	2250:20
2497:4,23	2344:2	2271:25	2462:5,6	Richter 2509:9
2498:3	2383:15	2275:7	2468:3,4	2532:18
2534:6,7	2448:16	2277:21	2521:4	RICK 2249:3
resolution	rest 2283:14	2278:19,24	2530:10,16	rick.zucker@s...
2362:7,17	2423:23	2279:18	2535:22	2249:6
2363:14	result 2267:21	2288:23	2536:12	ride 2484:22
2364:9	2363:1	2289:7	revenues	rider 2433:16
2507:7	2403:6,7,8,16	2291:14,16	2248:16	2437:24
resolve 2361:19	2418:4 2473:8	2297:4,15,20	2259:21	2448:12
2431:1	2473:17	2306:5	2267:20	ridership
resolved	2490:20	2312:14	2268:1	2484:11,17,23
2361:15	2492:3	2315:12	2271:13,17,20	right 2255:22
2363:10	2496:7,19	2322:9	2353:21	2255:24
resource	2497:8	2327:21,25	2354:17	2264:9
2297:22	2500:5	2342:9,14	2393:20	2267:6,11
2298:6	results 2281:17	2353:20	2396:23	2276:18,24
resources	2479:8	2354:6	2401:23	2292:10
2498:17	retail 2257:6,13	2359:2,4,6	2473:7	2299:1

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2300:2	2473:9	2381:2,7,8,9	2348:3,7	2303:21
2303:3,7	2476:15,19,23	2381:20,23	2349:1,9,19,21	2304:3,14,17
2309:1 2311:3	2480:12,14,17	2382:1	2349:25	2305:14,21,22
2311:11 2312:5	2480:19	2408:12	2365:20	2305:25
2313:8,19	2482:15	2456:7	2366:5,11,13	2306:5
2316:24	2484:19	2462:11,12,13	2366:14,16,17	2307:8,10,14
2317:5 2319:3	2488:17	2473:6	2366:24	2308:7
2319:4,5	2490:23	2477:22,25	2379:2,8,9	2313:16
2321:16	2491:2	2478:1	2380:14,16,22	2314:8,15
2322:23	2496:12	2479:20	2475:7	2315:11 2316:1
2331:2,16	2497:24	2480:24	2480:1,2	2317:4,20
2334:15	2499:13	2485:14,16	2498:22	2318:1,7,11,14
2339:4,8,20	2502:7,20	2486:11,12,16	2499:18	2322:6
2340:10	2503:10,13	2487:4,21	2500:14	2323:1,16,24
2346:19	2505:19	2488:6,19	role 2378:16	2325:4,8
2351:11	2506:12,23	2496:23	2382:10	2326:1
2353:21	2508:6	2498:1,2,5,9	2482:24	2327:5,8,19
2354:7,7,21	2513:14	risk-reward	roll 2367:13	2327:19
2355:2	2515:4,23	2488:13	room 2249:9	2328:6
2357:23	2517:25	risks 2280:3	2266:8	2329:12,20
2361:11,13	2519:24	2295:12	2268:17	2331:3
2365:16	2525:6	RK 2441:24	2341:22	2332:5
2369:13	2526:5	Robin 2255:20	2348:6	2333:10
2388:22	rights 2347:3	2278:12	rounds 2474:18	2335:3,5,11,19
2396:5	Riley 2516:5	2285:13	route 2493:17	2336:5,23
2398:5,9	2518:8	2438:10	row 2386:5	2337:23
2399:5	2534:18	2439:1	RRA 2288:14	2340:24
2400:11	2535:7	2529:3	2381:22	2341:25
2404:2	risk 2272:3	2533:4,16	RSM 2261:12,16	2342:5,21
2408:6	2279:9,20,21	Robinett	2262:17	2343:1 2345:1
2409:13,18	2279:23,24	2288:12	2265:19,25	2347:8,25
2410:15,21,22	2280:2,5,5,6	2516:6,25	2266:5	2348:9,17,25
2412:4 2414:4	2280:7,13	2517:13	2270:20	2349:2
2414:5	2289:10,13,19	2518:20,21	2274:18	2350:1,3,17,18
2417:21	2289:24,25	2534:19	2275:1	2350:20
2425:18	2290:7,14	2535:12,13	2276:5	2351:15
2437:2,21	2293:12,24	robust 2294:23	2277:6,14	2353:4
2441:11,25	2299:16	2298:8	2278:3,4	2354:1
2442:9	2305:20,23	2338:18	2280:13	2359:3,5,8
2444:22	2308:14	robustly	2289:3	2364:5
2449:12	2339:18	2273:13	2292:18,23	2367:7,16,23
2450:20	2347:23	2295:13	2293:9,12,19	2367:24
2458:9,25	2348:1,4,6,9	ROE 2289:14	2293:23	2368:6
2463:12	2348:13,17,18	2291:17	2295:17	2369:4,17
2467:2,10	2348:21	2337:1,1	2297:5	2370:12
2468:18	2379:5	2347:7,15,17	2298:12	2371:15,18
2471:3,8	2380:6,6,7,13	2347:25	2301:24	2372:8,16

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2373:2,13	2488:1	2479:6	2488:7	2297:17
2374:5,12	2489:6	Sarah 2510:18	scenarios	2304:2
2378:9,17	2496:22,25	2510:20	2496:24	sector 2299:6
2379:2,15,23	2497:1,8,20	2533:6	Schallenberg	see 2266:19
2380:15	2498:23	satisfied	2268:25	2280:3
2382:10,14	RSM/RATE	2408:2	sched 2441:24	2283:18
2383:14	2527:3	2409:2	schedule	2285:25
2387:16	RSMs 2331:7	save 2316:12	2306:11	2291:24
2392:17,22	rule 2496:18	2316:20	2387:23	2292:6
2393:2,7,10	rules 2474:17	2335:24	2388:4,4	2314:22
2393:14	ruling 2506:13	2337:18,18	2441:24	2315:13
2394:1,4,25	2514:20	2411:14,21	2445:17	2317:21
2395:9,22,25	run 2275:22	2449:24	2523:19	2318:10,12
2396:10,15	2317:18	saved 2298:11	scheduled	2323:21
2397:6,18	2328:25	saving 2317:12	2254:23	2329:8,9
2400:24	2329:1	2317:21	schedules	2336:1
2401:2,3	2334:19,20	2318:13	2277:19	2340:7
2402:13,25	2336:5,9	savings 2253:1	2304:9	2351:15
2403:10,22	2339:18	2265:25	2445:9,17	2356:9,14,20
2403:25	2403:10	2319:12	school 2250:19	2356:25
2404:21	rundown	saying 2283:13	2334:8	2357:16
2405:10,13,22	2433:25	2287:17	2506:19	2375:3,16
2405:23	running	2320:7	scoped 2366:17	2384:3,3
2407:10	2398:18	2325:24	score 2426:16	2424:1,19
2408:1,17	RUPP 2322:3	2334:16	2501:25	2437:17
2411:6	Ryan 2251:3	2337:4	Scott 2399:12	2445:15
2420:15	2516:7	2350:2	2399:20	2466:25
2426:22		2403:9	2528:13	2470:8
2427:2,9	S	2405:16	2530:15,16,18	2475:20
2432:10,18	S 2250:20	2408:19	2530:19	2483:20
2433:21	2252:1	2411:18 2412:1	ScottMadden	2484:7,13,16
2447:14	2384:6,7,14	2412:3	2388:20,21	2492:11
2451:7,11,20	2528:8	2487:25	2391:4,7	2494:9
2452:1,16	S-1 2441:24	2488:5	screen 2286:5	2514:20
2461:7,14	S-T-A-H-L-M-...	2494:16	se 2315:25	2520:25
2462:3	2416:25	2513:11	2328:18	seeing 2255:17
2466:10	S&P 2288:4	says 2304:7,8	seasonal	2309:4
2467:4,25	2305:3,4	2305:3,19	2281:7	2313:3
2468:10,16,19	safe 2372:4,7	2306:4	SEC 2288:11	2324:4,6,6,10
2472:11	2373:1	2386:9	second 2287:6	2341:23
2475:7	safety 2317:15	2388:6	2319:10	2358:8
2479:10,22	sales 2257:18	2437:13	2394:8	2387:10
2480:7,8,9	2257:25	2450:16,21	2396:11	2400:14
2481:2	2258:2	scale 2366:19	2441:4	2414:20
2485:10,15	2297:19	scenario	2444:11	2419:8
2486:10,16,17	2324:15	2464:16	2478:24	2437:2
2487:3,20,24	2362:21	2485:1	section 2277:17	2443:9,16,25

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2445:25	2290:18	2374:13	2490:12	2497:6
2446:19	2327:9	2389:10	2524:1	share 2337:21
2477:3	2330:18,22	2402:9	sets 2367:19,23	2402:16
2481:21	2334:20	2417:3,10,13	2396:21	shareholder
2488:9	2343:21	2439:11,14	2494:21	2321:9
2501:20	2345:8,11	2440:6	setting 2285:2	2369:18
2504:2,17	2346:2	2443:5	2305:21	shareholders
2508:16	2351:13	2445:11	2306:1	2320:25
2509:1 2511:1	2487:13,17	2446:10,11	2327:7	2343:25
2511:17	2494:19,20	2452:11	2347:24	2344:16,23
2513:15	sent 2266:25	2460:13	2348:7	2368:11
2514:12	sentence	2464:18,19,20	2359:6	2372:2,21
2521:9	2418:9,17	2465:5,7,8	2374:7	sharing 2321:4
seek 2254:7	Seoung	2485:5	settings	2321:7,9
2307:17	2532:22	2496:9	2423:17	2524:17
seeks 2289:3	separate	2497:14,15,21	settle 2302:24	Sharlet 2535:21
seemingly	2395:10	2527:3	2526:19	2536:7
2372:20	2411:19	2531:18,19,24	settled	Shaylyn 2482:8
seen 2266:12	2522:4	2536:24	2252:22	2531:3
2269:25	separately	service/rate	2256:20	she'll 2256:17
2335:19	2260:25	2386:3	2281:1	2256:22
2352:12	September	SERVICES	2304:24	sheet 2433:14
2370:22	2414:18	2248:24	2314:10	2437:18,20
2378:20	2481:20	servicing	2364:13	2437:23
2395:16,20	series 2268:18	2287:22	2522:22	2438:1
2449:11	serious 2330:12	2301:19	settlement	2534:9
2476:9	2415:22	set 2261:1	2252:9	Shemwell
2499:1	serve 2317:17	2262:16	2254:14	2251:3
seize 2271:5	2328:19	2270:9	2255:3	2319:22,24
seizing	2334:23	2281:15	2262:12	2320:2
2275:25	2370:7	2285:10	2264:12	2321:17
sell 2268:13	service 2248:2	2298:8	2304:23	2333:8,13
2279:5	2248:13,17	2303:13	2322:13	2399:1
2280:1,2	2251:8,13	2304:9	settlements	2406:11
2470:1,4,5,6	2255:2,6	2308:7 2311:5	2262:23	2412:20
2472:7	2264:5	2344:17	seven 2376:7	2416:4
sending	2279:3 2281:1	2345:15	severe 2500:7	2418:12,15,19
2487:12	2282:5	2347:17	severity	2419:16,18
2493:7	2283:22,25	2348:3	2394:15	2421:10
SENIOR	2284:9,15,17	2349:17	SGS 2257:4,10	2427:23
2248:19	2285:14	2367:18	2257:10,17,25	2443:7,23
seniorly	2306:12,16,22	2368:7	2258:9	2447:21
2255:12	2328:16	2393:21	2386:4	2459:15
seniors 2491:2	2333:1 2334:1	2403:12	2420:7,12	2465:23,24
2496:13	2355:17	2405:23,24	2427:10	2466:7
sense 2261:11	2372:5,7	2429:22,25	2451:17	2467:13
2274:11	2373:2	2430:10	2452:9	2484:1,6

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2486:19,22	2491:10,14	2380:2	2297:25	2497:21
2495:10,12,25	2512:1	2395:4,5	2299:10,13	2498:4
2496:2	2514:10	2449:3,14,19	2348:7	Smith 2251:3
2500:24	showed 2376:11	significantly	2349:7,22	2264:8
2501:5,10,12	showing	2273:4	2350:3	2265:2,3,7,11
2502:5,8,19	2433:10	2280:7,14,15	2352:24	2265:18,21
2516:7,8,12,18	2476:6	2282:17	2368:12	2267:2
2516:24,25	2508:13	2348:14,19	2371:22	2285:21
2517:2,5,9,12	2513:8	2350:20	2383:13	2286:2,14
2517:14,22	2514:25	2352:10	2424:2	2291:23
2518:10	2515:3 2516:3	2375:4	2477:5	2305:16
2519:20,22	2517:2	2381:13	singular	2341:13,15,19
2519:23	shown 2372:10	2396:1 2479:1	2344:21	2358:7
2526:2,7,17	shows 2288:3	significantly-h...	sir 2311:16	2364:19,21
2527:16,20	shut 2423:18	2272:14	2313:14	2366:25
2528:20	2460:16	similar 2275:18	2332:23	2390:25
2529:14	2496:9	2323:3	2400:4	2391:2
shift 2257:8	side 2271:25	2342:4	2406:18	2392:5
2258:7,7	2272:15	2347:19	2466:22	2397:22
2310:2	2276:10	2379:13	sit 2411:2,3	2469:5
2498:5	2284:23	2382:13	2412:11	2481:8
shifted 2257:25	2338:3	2393:7	2523:21	2517:19
2258:8	2402:10	2434:2	sitting 2273:11	2527:7,24
2372:19	2405:13	simple 2277:25	situation	2528:5,11
shifting 2261:21	2408:12	2278:13	2304:20	Smith's
2408:5	2411:11,11	2285:3	situations	2309:20
shifts 2255:10	2428:7,22	2318:15	2467:15	snow 2375:15
2255:13	2451:1	2421:21	2469:13	soft 2273:25
2258:11	2469:17	simpler 2431:2	2473:20	solely 2450:5
shop 2415:17	2470:2,9	simplest	Sixth 2249:5	solution
short 2263:14	2471:13,17,23	2455:9	size 2490:25	2269:23
2265:7	2471:25	simplification	skipping	2377:10
2304:19	2472:5	2270:23	2475:19	2378:5
2309:5,7	sides 2323:21	simply 2263:20	slide 2288:3	solutions
2328:25	sight 2375:18	2270:4	slides 2309:21	2270:1
2334:19	signal 2487:11	2277:9	slight 2425:25	2378:21
2345:15,19	2493:7	2282:25	slightly 2426:15	solve 2462:12
2346:5	signals 2351:10	2283:13	2456:24,24	solved 2270:9
2400:25	significance	2298:18	slippery 2289:1	somebody
2474:23	2452:16	2301:14	slope 2289:1	2255:14
2495:20	significant	2350:2	2357:19	2376:3
show 2308:10	2267:25	2368:10	slow 2430:3	2470:12
2355:14	2272:10,12	2372:2	small 2306:16	2490:23
2358:20	2289:6	2394:3	2306:22	somewhat
2425:25	2347:24	2422:17	2365:14,15	2326:16
2433:7	2348:12	2474:21	2374:12	soon 2266:25
2476:13	2351:6	single 2289:4	2429:14	2516:16

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

<p>sorry 2257:2 2266:7 2291:9 2312:13,23 2316:16 2318:17 2327:16 2329:4 2333:17 2338:1 2348:14 2351:2 2362:8 2392:9 2398:14,15 2410:17 2415:22 2428:9 2429:10 2440:23 2442:20 2475:18,19 2486:23 2493:25 2494:3,19 2506:11 2509:8 sort 2254:10 2330:8 2346:18 2352:19 2356:8 2371:4 2378:12 2390:18 2391:6 2395:21 2413:5 2444:17 2459:24 2471:17 2473:1 2477:1 2480:15 2502:3 2525:4,6 sought 2342:5 2342:21</p>	<p>2343:1 sounded 2374:8 sounds 2256:25 2260:16 2267:8 2309:18,24 2321:16 2484:19 south 2315:22 southeast 2299:23 space 2293:14 span 2288:4 speak 2330:20 2360:14 2490:16,17 2491:23 speaking 2493:14 speaks 2326:5 specific 2254:12 2255:8 2263:3 2306:3 2363:7,14 2374:8 2376:20 2393:25,25 2395:8 2401:22 2411:7 2448:22 2496:23 2500:8 specifically 2254:3 2263:18 2264:2 2292:25 2294:5 2304:3 2305:18 2336:22 2348:25</p>	<p>2369:1 2391:21 2397:9 2420:4 2426:7,20 specified 2345:2 specimen 2433:14 2437:23 2534:9 spell 2416:23 spend 2405:24 spending 2322:14 spent 2390:10 Spire 2249:2 2266:6 2288:18 2293:21 2297:18 2313:9 2314:12,19,23 2331:21 2352:8 2394:1 2395:1 2395:8 2415:17 2423:4 2428:2 2448:2 2454:10 2459:19 2469:17 2477:15,15,16 2481:3,18 2482:2 2485:7,11 2495:8 2502:21 2506:16 2536:15 Spire's 2287:22 2288:13 2297:4 2314:16 2325:19</p>	<p>2332:3 2493:2 split 2253:5 spoil 2449:23 spoke 2481:8 spoken 2468:23 2497:25 spokesperson 2252:12 spread 2424:10 2428:15 spreading 2424:13 square 2299:10 squeeze 2450:3 St 2249:5 2250:9,11 stability 2345:14 stabilization 2255:1 2260:21 2271:11 2272:17 2278:19,25 2279:19 2288:24 2291:15,16 2293:5 2297:4,15 2342:9,14 2347:12 2377:24 2383:2 2419:22 2433:17 2524:18 stack 2299:7 staff 2251:8 2255:15 2261:3,6 2262:21 2263:24 2264:24 2268:19</p>	<p>2269:14 2274:15 2277:2,9 2278:3 2283:21,24 2284:2,4,9,15 2284:18 2285:5,8,13 2287:7,9 2289:24 2298:11 2317:2 2319:17 2326:2,8 2329:8 2332:11 2333:25 2340:23 2344:9 2355:5 2364:23 2387:15 2397:17 2399:4 2404:9,14 2406:8 2407:3 2416:5,14 2417:10,13 2429:4 2431:6 2432:17 2433:10,18 2434:6 2437:10,12 2438:7,9 2440:8 2442:12,20 2443:5 2445:8,9 2448:10 2449:1,3 2450:4,22 2453:25 2454:11,24 2456:9 2457:4,18</p>
---	---	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2458:19,21	2509:3,16	stakeholder	2515:2	stating 2320:4
2459:3	2510:3,14	2368:10	starting 2313:1	station 2434:9
2462:17	2511:3,19	2369:3,18	2378:5	statistical
2464:24	2512:11,21	2371:18	state 2248:1	2413:9
2465:2,8	2513:4,17	stakeholders	2310:18	2490:15
2466:9	2514:4,14	2268:16	2338:13	statistician
2476:5,19,23	2531:16,17,19	2343:20	2409:4	2413:11
2492:19,20	2531:20,22	2344:3,6,18	2416:23	statistics
2494:5,23	2531:23,25	2344:21	2419:22	2364:24
2495:3	2532:2,4,5,7	2345:11,21	2441:21	status 2256:10
2496:4	2532:8,10,11	2359:21	2452:4	statute 2277:15
2508:9,9	2532:13,14,16	2368:1,9,14,16	2467:17	2277:25
2509:6	2532:17,19,20	2373:5	2473:3	2278:13,14
2514:18	2532:22,23	2378:3,23	2537:4	2287:1,7,16
2515:3,8	2532:25	stakeholders'	state's 2381:24	2303:18,23
2523:4	2533:2,4,5,7	2343:14	stated 2289:3	2303:24
2531:18,19,24	2533:8,10,11	2353:17	2313:4	2304:2,5
Staff's 2257:20	2533:13,14,16	2368:5	2367:11,12	2305:11,18
2263:15,25	2533:17,19,20	stand 2255:24	2379:10	2306:13,21
2264:3	2533:22,23	2256:17,22	2406:21	2325:8
2281:21	2533:25	2270:23	2407:5,8	2326:5,7
2282:5	2534:2,4,5,7	2290:3	statement	2327:6
2294:3	2534:8,10,11	2340:12	2256:1,5	2409:4 2411:2
2296:6	Stahlman	2341:8	2262:5	2413:15 2421:1
2329:18	2278:8	2384:3,6	2264:7	2421:2
2332:1	2287:8	2412:11	2265:1,7,9	2422:2,18
2341:16	2416:15,16,22	2502:1	2277:3,8	2431:11
2357:23,24	2416:25	standards	2283:5,10	2435:22
2358:10	2417:1,4	2467:18	2292:16	2450:15,21
2374:22	2418:20,23	2524:16	2306:25	2472:11
2416:12,12	2419:13,19	standpoint	2309:3,21	statutory
2419:10	2424:23	2272:18	2374:4	2270:25
2420:1	2429:9,12	2343:7	2407:13	stay 2253:14
2434:4	2433:8,9	2496:21	2438:15	2298:23
2437:4	2437:6	2498:19	2452:12,22	2353:10,15
2439:10	2438:2	stands 2268:7	2477:12	2454:5
2440:4,12,16	2450:7	start 2259:10	2489:8	steep 2454:18
2442:13	2461:20,21,22	2277:15	2527:4,5,6,7	STEINMEIER
2443:11,18	2468:20,21	2298:25	2527:8,9,10,11	2250:12
2445:3	2472:10	2325:24	statements	step 2276:6,13
2446:2,21	2490:2	2336:16	2266:4	2351:11,12
2450:1,9	2528:18	2431:22	2267:12	2383:24
2452:10	2533:7,24	2442:25	states 2275:20	2398:6
2463:2	Stahlman's	2476:21	2277:16	2413:22
2464:4,17,19	2490:5,16	2493:12	2343:8,12	2438:4
2473:24	2491:22	started 2256:12	2394:3	2465:16
2508:17	stake 2325:25	2378:12	2499:10	2501:4

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

stepped 2269:4	2472:4	2264:19	2280:7,10	2313:16
Stick 2503:5	structure 2254:1	2356:2	2320:9	2333:10
sticky 2478:4,13 2478:16	2270:21	2502:9	2336:6	2341:16
stipulate 2520:23	2318:23	submitting 2264:21,25	2432:17	2344:3
stipulation 2323:5	2351:4	subsequent 2304:4	2444:6	2359:8
2361:13,17,23	2354:14	2318:7	2523:13	2373:13
2362:15	2363:11	2364:8	suggested 2273:21	2393:14,15
2506:19	2366:18	2390:16	2275:22	2454:1
2507:1	2380:17	subsequently 2483:15	2289:1	2479:4
2523:3,9	2397:5	subsidized 2492:3	2358:14	2489:23
stipulations 2335:14	2403:23	subsidy 2492:8	2380:22	supporting 2300:25
2524:23	2404:24,25	substantial 2379:25	suggesting 2380:19	2337:3
stop 2301:3	2455:16	2472:22	2444:13	2369:16,20
2327:14	2456:21	substantially 2272:16	suggestion 2525:9	2370:11
2463:12	2457:24	substantiates 2477:2	2273:18	2392:17
stopped 2375:16	structured 2427:2	substantive 2502:11	2274:7,11	2446:10,11
stopping 2460:13	struggled 2469:25	subtle 2328:21	2326:6	2454:3
straight 2270:2	studies 2283:25	subtract 2434:7	suggests 2278:3,15	supportive 2275:1
2323:8,10	2351:20	successfully 2273:13	Suite 2249:13	2314:15
2331:9	2389:22	sudden 2481:1	2249:21	2393:2,6
2334:15	2391:9,11,13	suddenly 2279:20	2250:4,16	supports 2328:10
2342:25	study 2282:5	sufficiency 2359:2	2251:5,13	supposed 2280:5
2376:24	2283:22	2367:8,13,17	summarize 2467:8	2336:5
2377:6,15	2333:2	2367:18,20	summarizing 2436:14	2512:2
2431:4	2389:11	2367:23	summer 2281:11	surcharge 2273:6
2460:22	2391:19	2368:7,20,23	2404:25	2288:21
straightforward 2278:1,14	2490:18	2368:25	2424:14	surcharges 2481:11
street 2249:5,9	2491:6	2369:7,9	2460:14,16,21	sure 2261:1
2249:21	2497:14	2382:4,8,11,17	2493:5,23	2264:22
2250:4,16	stuff 2286:11	sufficient 2301:10	Sunset 2360:21	2274:1
2251:5,13	2314:10	2304:11	supplement 2474:20	2275:4
2422:5	sub-issue 2502:4	2359:7	supplemental 2474:3	2276:6
strict 2282:6	subject 2277:17	suggest 2261:17	supply 2272:21	2285:23
2347:11	2452:15	2277:14	2297:24	2292:5,12
strictly 2326:4	2472:19		support 2283:1	2298:18
2326:15	2473:5		2284:1	2302:23
strike 2330:25	2477:19		2297:3,12	2310:7 2315:5
strong 2426:2	2478:18		2301:23	2321:15
2426:3	2519:25			2322:2
	submitted			2330:9
				2334:5

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2342:1	2402:20	2468:24	2395:18,19	2306:2,23
2344:20	2404:10,19	2497:22	2456:25	2315:10
2345:19	2417:6 2418:7	switching	take 2268:15	2318:18
2348:18	2418:16	2282:2	2273:6	2380:11
2356:13,24	2433:19	2287:12,12	2276:6	2381:6
2357:12	2439:17,20	2420:4	2289:20	2387:25
2374:15	2440:2,10	2426:21	2298:2	2404:19
2376:21	2441:10,16,23	2427:8	2300:16	2451:21,23,24
2385:7	2442:7,16	2430:25	2305:19,25	2452:5
2390:22	2449:5	2451:17,21,24	2309:5	2463:7
2393:5	2466:3,3	2452:6	2310:2	2471:17
2405:7,17	2473:23	2497:8	2325:3,6	2490:14
2407:24	2474:3	sworn 2310:4,14	2329:22	2492:10,11
2408:14	2503:22	2340:17	2335:7	2524:14
2412:22	2521:20	2341:3	2347:24	2526:19
2450:14	2530:6,7,13	2384:9	2349:2,6,8,10	talked 2274:16
2452:17	2530:19,24	2399:18,22	2352:12	2303:17
2453:21,24	2531:3,7,15	2415:23	2364:10	2304:1
2467:9	2533:9,10,12	2416:18	2384:1	2305:17,17
2484:1	2533:13,15,19	2438:17	2410:19	2354:3
2485:3	2533:24	2439:3	2411:8 2424:11	2360:21
2486:13	2534:4	2465:22	2425:16	2452:9,14,20
2488:13,21,23	2535:4,6,7,12	2466:14	2430:14,17	2496:14
2489:13	2535:13,15	2537:6	2434:5	2523:3
2492:20	2536:4,19	symmetry	2435:6	talking 2264:14
2516:17	surveillance	2381:6	2452:25	2273:17
surging	2288:11	synergy 2253:1	2455:8	2275:17
2288:15	survey 2382:25	2262:15	2457:5	2315:15,16
surprise	2383:4,7,9,19	2264:14	2494:16	2332:20
2414:10	2425:24	2265:25	2495:15,17,23	2366:13,14
surprised	2426:1	2266:16,16,18	2499:17	2371:3
2283:17	surveys	system 2288:21	2502:15	2372:17,21
Surrebutal	2338:10	2317:16	2515:16	2401:4
2533:16,18,21	survive 2288:3	systems	taken 2309:7	2408:9,13
2533:22	2372:15,20	2345:18	2347:4	2410:5
2534:3	survived		2388:12	2428:12
surrebuttal	2288:5	<u>T</u>	2398:20	2456:6
2311:1 2312:16	sustained	T 2249:8	2455:1	2470:17
2315:4 2318:4	2503:21	2528:1 2529:1	2494:11,11	2479:10
2342:7	swear 2256:15	table 2386:4	2495:20	target 2259:21
2366:19	switch 2427:12	2408:8	2519:3 2537:7	tariff 2433:14
2384:20	2497:23	2440:11,15,19	2537:11	2437:17,20,23
2385:24	switched	2440:20,21	takes 2503:14	2438:1
2386:18	2372:17	2441:8,13	talk 2252:14,19	2444:11
2389:17	2420:10	2534:10,12	2303:23,25	2450:19
2390:16	switchers	tail 2294:17	2304:6	2461:17,18,19
2396:9	2431:13	2315:1	2305:5,15	2468:21

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2476:24	term 2339:1	2416:18	2385:22,23	2520:5,9,21
2534:9	2375:11	2438:12	2385:24	2520:23
tasked 2373:4	2422:22	2439:3,7	2386:2,19	2521:18
Taylor 2509:19	2423:22	2462:1	2387:22	2522:8,21
2509:20	2473:13	2466:14	2388:3	2530:3,4,6,7
2532:19,21	2481:12	2489:2	2389:4,15	2530:9,10,12
technical	2490:14	testifies 2387:1	2391:23	2530:13,15,16
2255:15	termed 2282:19	testify 2308:21	2394:21	2530:18,19,21
technically	terms 2254:18	2447:12	2417:6,7,20	2530:22,24
2331:8	2256:2	testifying	2420:5	2531:3,4,6,7,9
teeth 2436:12	2274:16	2256:2	2424:1,10	2531:10,12,13
television	2301:5,6,8	2446:14	2429:9	2531:15,16,22
2303:13	2314:23	2488:20,24	2431:17	2532:6,7,9,10
tell 2262:21	2317:21	2489:1 2501:6	2434:15	2532:12,13,15
2278:16,17	2324:13,19	testimonies	2438:3	2532:16,18,19
2290:17	2330:1	2311:1 2385:1	2440:1,3,11	2532:21,22
2344:5	2334:24	2390:16	2441:9	2532:24
2350:15	2336:13	2417:16	2442:7	2533:3,4,6,7
2369:22	2339:24	testimony	2443:14	2533:9,10,12
2370:3 2411:3	2345:12	2256:13	2446:8,12,17	2533:13,15,16
2433:13	2351:6	2273:21	2446:25	2533:18,19,21
2478:4,21	2353:3	2287:14	2447:3,5	2533:22,24
2479:16	2358:15	2288:12	2449:5	2534:3,4,13
temperature	2368:24	2297:10	2450:8	2534:15,16,18
2423:17	2389:3,25	2299:20	2451:5,12	2534:19,21,22
2432:7	2390:13	2303:1	2452:4,21,24	2534:24
2435:1	2391:9,23	2308:8 2311:9	2453:1	2535:3,4,6,7
template	2392:24	2311:19 2312:8	2465:16	2535:9,10,12
2525:5	2393:15	2312:13,14	2472:10	2535:13,15,18
ten 2498:25	2394:14,17	2313:22	2473:23	2535:19,21,22
2499:7	2422:22,25	2315:4 2318:4	2474:3,19	2535:24
ten-minute	2432:14,15	2320:12	2475:10	2536:3,4,6,7
2495:17	2468:21	2321:6,12	2480:4	2536:9,10,12
tenants 2335:8	2497:4	2325:18	2482:8	2536:13,16,18
tend 2272:21	territory 2414:9	2329:16	2483:4,5,13	2536:19,21
2274:6	TERRY 2250:16	2331:6	2483:16,19	2537:5,7
2305:11	terry@healya...	2336:6	2489:22	testing 2253:9
2322:18	2250:17	2337:14	2490:2,5	thank 2260:7,9
tender 2313:7	test 2263:23	2339:9,24	2491:23	2264:8,9
2340:14	2264:3	2340:5	2494:24	2267:13
2387:2	2336:5,9,21	2366:4,12	2501:4,18	2276:19,20
2419:12	testified	2379:5	2502:10,16,22	2276:24
2447:17	2310:14	2384:17,18,18	2503:21,23	2277:1,4,11
2465:24	2313:15	2384:19,20	2504:1	2280:16,17,20
tends 2424:2	2341:3	2384:20	2506:14	2280:22
2476:13	2384:9	2385:2,10,11	2517:23	2285:19
tenure 2300:11	2399:22	2385:12,14,21	2519:9	2286:2,2,8,10

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2286:13	2418:19,19	thereto 2445:11	2474:13	2265:3,4,18
2291:20,23	2420:17	2537:13	2491:21	2265:21
2292:10	2421:11,12	therm 2260:13	2498:1,11	2266:3
2296:23	2423:1,5,25	2281:7,11	2525:6,15	2267:7,24
2300:4,6	2424:23	2318:6,6	things 2253:22	2269:16,24
2302:6,7,8,9	2427:19,23	2496:20	2269:2	2270:10,19
2303:8,8	2429:2,5,11	thermostat	2273:21	2271:1
2307:21,23	2433:6	2278:23	2274:10	2272:10
2307:24	2437:6,21	2316:8,9,14,21	2291:4	2273:17,23
2308:17	2438:2,20	2318:20	2292:21	2274:5,10,18
2310:9 2312:7	2441:11	2337:11	2293:25	2275:1,2,23
2313:10	2446:23	2338:17	2294:13	2276:16
2314:6	2447:10,18,22	thermostats	2303:23	2278:21
2316:25	2447:25	2411:15	2307:13	2279:9
2317:3	2453:2	therms 2281:8	2317:15	2283:22
2319:14,22	2459:8,11,15	2281:9,10,12	2325:1	2290:22
2320:14	2459:20	2281:12,24	2328:23	2292:15
2321:10,18	2462:14,16	2298:10	2334:24	2293:7
2331:16,18	2465:11,14,15	2351:2	2336:17,20	2296:16
2332:9,12	2465:18	2360:12	2337:6	2298:4,22
2333:4,13	2466:7	2425:5	2338:9,12	2299:25
2338:24	2475:12,14	2453:10,16	2343:11	2301:7
2339:7,11,16	2481:15,25	2456:9	2349:20	2302:2,15
2340:9,16	2484:6	2463:9,10,14	2373:5	2304:5
2341:10,19	2489:19	2463:17,19	2420:2	2309:1
2354:21,24	2494:25	2464:6	2421:22	2314:21,24,25
2355:6	2495:4,25	2473:18	2423:18	2318:24
2357:20	2500:25	2494:6	2431:18	2322:7,15
2358:12,25	2501:1,2	they'd 2456:4	2436:8,9	2323:17,22
2359:13	2502:8	thing 2258:18	2452:20	2324:12,22
2364:16	2506:6	2266:18	2468:7,14	2325:5,18,20
2373:8,14	2507:6	2269:3	2469:10	2326:2,5,9
2375:1	2513:21	2271:23	2472:15,18	2327:10,14,20
2383:21,23	2515:25	2274:19	2473:12	2327:22
2388:1	2519:20	2276:18	2479:21	2328:1,2
2390:21	2526:14,16,17	2283:19	2484:22	2329:8,9
2392:5,7	thankfully	2293:13	2523:18	2330:2,4,13
2393:4	2292:23	2295:2	2525:11	2330:14
2397:11,12,14	2296:4	2296:9	2526:20	2331:6
2397:15,18,20	2300:4	2303:25	think 2252:18	2332:7
2397:22,25	thanks 2285:17	2306:2,23	2253:5,15	2333:12
2398:5,8	2323:5	2310:7 2327:1	2254:10,15,19	2336:25
2399:2	2457:16	2336:8	2255:6	2338:11
2406:3,5,6,9	2459:9	2357:14	2260:6	2339:20
2406:11	theirs 2522:19	2380:25	2261:9,20	2342:24
2413:20,21	theoretically	2382:23	2262:2,14,20	2343:15
2416:4	2330:21	2450:3	2263:7	2345:14,25

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2346:2	2449:2,3	2286:10	2414:18	2327:10
2347:9	2450:4,8,10	2302:10,15	2420:19	2336:8
2349:3,7,14	2451:18	2303:3	2425:9	2342:22
2350:2,6,7,11	2453:22	2305:16	2431:10,17,20	2345:2,19,24
2351:5,6,10	2454:4,6	2317:3,7	2432:16	2351:1 2357:8
2352:13,15,17	2456:4,8	2319:14	2438:14	2372:13
2353:6,11,12	2459:7	2332:12	2451:14	2378:8
2353:12,16	2460:1 2461:8	2340:24	2491:7	2389:5,18
2357:5	2464:6,22	2355:6,8,12	thoughts	2390:8,10,17
2359:5	2465:12	2355:14	2337:21	2391:25
2360:14	2467:10	2356:16,19,22	2476:12	2401:5 2413:2
2362:5	2468:15,17	2357:1,5,9,20	thousand	2416:11 2419:4
2365:5	2469:19	2357:25	2471:9	2430:19
2367:15,17	2472:18,22	2358:3,12,13	three 2391:7,16	2442:10
2369:5,14	2474:16,19	2359:1,12	2392:24,25	2444:1 2445:7
2371:13	2476:14	2367:6	2393:2	2452:25
2372:6	2477:7,9	2387:16	2415:15	2457:11,19
2374:3,17	2481:12,13	2397:18	2480:13	2483:3
2376:9	2484:14,22	2399:4	2513:10	2486:24
2378:25	2484:23	2406:9	three-fourths	2487:5
2382:3	2486:12	2412:18 2413:1	2258:9	2501:6
2383:14	2487:22	2416:5,14,21	thrilled 2274:12	2502:14
2384:1	2488:8,19	2418:14,22	throat 2297:3	2504:12
2385:16,20	2489:7,17	2419:4,12	throwing	2522:11
2385:25	2491:24	2429:5,8,12	2338:1	2523:24
2386:25	2493:1	2432:21	thrown 2394:14	2524:12
2391:3,22	2495:16	2433:2,6,9	2473:13	2526:10
2392:2,4	2496:4	2436:18	thrust 2298:14	timeframe
2393:15	2497:3,4	2437:6,9,19	tie 2415:3,8,8,9	2345:12,15
2394:6	2500:20	2445:5	2415:14,15	times 2261:17
2395:12,16,22	2503:19	2461:20	tied 2338:17	2271:12
2396:7,18	2508:2,6	2466:10,17	2404:11	2272:20
2411:24	2518:25	2474:8,10,22	2420:20	2291:6
2412:7	2523:21	2474:25	tightening	2340:4
2414:17	thinking	2475:2,4,6,12	2352:1	2386:10
2420:23	2361:25	2475:21,22	till 2265:16	2407:20,20
2421:23	2507:17	2495:4	2495:18	2499:23
2422:20	2523:8	2499:5	time 2254:10,21	timing 2291:7
2424:22	thinks 2508:3	2520:22	2271:7	Timothy 2384:5
2427:4,10	2524:17	2526:14	2272:25	2384:7,14
2428:24	third 2306:2	2527:5,15	2274:2	2528:8
2436:7	2396:25	2528:3,19,25	2285:15	2530:9,12,13
2440:19	Thompson	2529:10	2287:2,24	title 2356:10
2441:23,23	2251:12	thought 2334:4	2292:20	2358:5
2442:11	2260:22	2344:1,15	2299:11	today 2252:12
2444:1,4	2277:4,12	2348:2	2307:20	2255:2
2445:19	2280:19	2378:7	2324:20	2259:9

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2260:15	2442:21	2524:25	Trust 2249:11	2400:8
2264:18	tracked 2307:5	treated 2381:17	2274:24,25	2411:14
2269:6,21	2307:6	trend 2356:9	2296:25	2478:3
2283:19	tracking	2357:15	2297:3,9	2479:12
2293:25	2407:10	2358:15	2321:23	turning 2316:7
2302:19,22	tracks 2408:17	2375:24	2520:20	2338:16
2307:10	tradeoff	2376:2,5,10	try 2255:13	2396:8
2308:9,20	2289:11,24	2426:13	2268:9,13	2400:19
2314:11	2290:1	trending	2272:2	2402:19
2372:10	2498:8	2476:22	2277:12	2404:18
2386:17	traditional	trends 2355:10	2280:23	2426:15
2395:14	2299:13	2376:13	2292:6	2487:15,15
2411:3	2323:22	trickier 2326:16	2338:5	turns 2316:9,13
2418:24	2336:14	2327:13	2404:15	2316:21
2432:17	train 2431:20	tricky 2327:1	2421:1	2337:11
2437:1	transcript	tried 2274:22	2433:25	Tuxedo 2250:8
2447:12	2248:5	2376:18	2434:14	two 2252:14
2464:7	2283:6	2494:15	2476:1	2253:22
2474:14	2537:6	2496:16	2487:14	2266:13
2523:6	transcripts	tries 2382:7	2490:17	2279:14
told 2266:11	2424:16,17	trigger 2326:24	2505:15	2292:13
2279:16	transfer	true 2284:9,14	2525:18,20	2294:8
2303:4	2289:13	2294:6,10	trying 2269:10	2302:1
2348:6	2290:14	2301:19	2300:12,15	2316:17
2370:20	2498:2	2348:8	2313:25	2323:10
tool 2270:25	transition	2355:23	2328:14	2338:7
2271:5	2252:22,22	2371:11,19	2337:10,17	2345:2
2275:25	2252:24	2386:21	2348:13	2346:7,15,24
2499:24	2253:3	2401:2 2419:1	2367:25	2349:2,19,25
2500:10	2262:14	2447:7	2368:13	2355:15,16
tools 2296:18	2263:17	2471:19,20,21	2369:23	2358:3,6
2296:18	2264:15	true-up 2254:18	2388:3,8	2361:4
top 2388:6	2282:20	2254:22	2393:23	2362:24
2389:19	transparent	2444:9,18,24	2402:5,5	2363:5
2452:12	2467:19	2448:11	2403:5	2392:23,25
2492:11	2469:23	2449:24	2433:15	2393:1
topic 2365:18	transport	2457:6,20	2434:13	2394:7,10
total 2299:12	2257:17,24	2502:13	2436:12	2412:23
2389:12	2258:2,8	2505:2,3	2437:16	2417:18
2390:18	2259:13	2506:5,11,14	2450:15	2421:8
2430:18	transportation	2506:15	2452:8,11	2422:25
touched 2406:1	2370:15	2514:24	2474:20	2432:15
tough 2470:1,4	2373:22	2523:10,20	2486:21	2442:16
2470:5,6	travel 2302:25	true-ups	2490:23	2483:21
2472:7	treat 2253:23	2402:3	2497:16	2495:15
Tower 2250:12	2297:22	2468:4	TSL 2388:4	2513:8 2516:3
track 2339:21	2336:14	truism 2371:13	turn 2315:3	2523:9

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2524:7	2298:14	unfinished	usage 2267:21	2273:14
two-thirds	2318:16	2480:13	2267:22	2281:24
2415:6	2345:24	unintended	2268:14	2287:13
two-year	2360:14	2271:8	2271:2,4	2294:15
2348:25	2377:14	Union's	2275:8	2299:9,12
type 2348:24	2381:23	2522:23	2277:23	2307:10
2349:1	2393:24	unique 2297:13	2278:1	2315:23
2382:23	2400:22	2395:7,15,22	2281:25	2318:5
2391:19	2405:7	universal	2299:4	2322:19
2392:22	2433:20	2393:13	2306:7	2326:3,3
2393:10	2447:11	unlawful	2316:5	2352:21
2395:13,15	2454:19	2287:17	2324:3	2358:5
2404:20	2461:1	unmetered	2326:12	2361:9,10
types 2299:8	2467:23	2257:19	2352:14	2393:4
2338:12	2486:20	unnoticed	2358:16,16	2394:8,15,17
2405:3	2502:2	2269:20	2364:23	2419:24
typewriting	understandably	unpack 2301:21	2377:7	2420:3
2537:9	2376:14	unquote	2401:19	2423:10,16,19
typically	understanding	2304:12	2402:7,18	2424:3
2524:22	2256:20	2480:3	2403:11	2431:13,24
	2264:25	unreasonable	2406:22,24	2432:5,14
	2280:24	2291:7	2407:6,14	2434:1,3
	2304:13	unrelated	2408:18	2451:15,16,18
	2320:22	2404:1	2409:15	2451:20
	2324:8,19	unweather	2420:10,14	2463:18
	2325:7,10	2376:5	2429:15,17	2464:9
	2326:14	update 2252:10	2430:11,18	2468:1,24
	2328:16	updated	2434:16	2486:9
	2343:7	2264:12	2452:6	2487:17
	2362:23	2441:17	2454:16	2488:4
	2363:4,9,13	2447:4	2464:8	2489:10,10,11
	2373:25	updates	2468:7	2490:23
	2392:16	2262:9	2472:15	2491:16,25
	2405:11,12	upfront 2453:14	2476:6,20	2493:8
	2439:22	2470:12	2477:4	2496:13
	2440:18	upper 2299:23	2479:6	2525:5
	2453:12	upset 2475:5	2486:1,4,5,6	2534:7
	2477:21	upsetting	2486:18	users 2299:3,14
	understands	2475:20	2487:3	uses 2256:9
	2275:5	upward 2274:3	2491:5,10,14	2320:16
	undertake	2318:2,12	2492:7	2402:14
	2345:16	2330:15	2494:14	2494:7
	undo 2291:24	2380:11	2496:8,20	usual 2339:17
	unexpected	2396:15	2497:19	usually 2316:8
	2267:25	2402:15	2500:23	2390:11
	2289:6	2426:22	use 2268:10	utilities 2269:2
	unfairly 2299:4	2476:22	2270:24	2290:2

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2297:18,21	2456:7	verbal 2412:14	volumes	W 2249:4,9
2320:24	2472:14	verification	2430:24	wait 2265:16
2331:2	variable 2270:3	2338:5	volumetric	2357:7
2334:10	2323:8,10	2339:6	2259:20,23	waive 2266:22
2365:9	2329:1 2331:9	version 2443:3	2260:13	2267:4,5
2392:20	2334:21	2443:4	2281:7,9	2309:16
2394:3,16	2343:1	2445:23	2282:4	2520:7
2473:4	2349:13,22	2501:17,17	2283:15,16	waived 2302:13
2479:18	2376:24	2518:24	2284:3,10,16	2303:4
2499:2	2377:6,15	2521:25	2294:9	2308:22
utility 2268:2,5	2460:22	2522:7,7	2295:10	2339:12
2288:15	variables	versus 2332:21	2300:25	2340:3
2298:5	2349:6	2343:16	2301:5 2319:6	2502:3
2299:22	2350:10	2344:8	2319:11	2520:22
2304:10	2394:11	vice 2408:25	2335:25	waiving 2502:5
2366:22	variation	victim 2499:16	2351:8,16	walk 2273:16
2393:20	2404:10,17	view 2262:23	2359:25	want 2255:23
2461:3	2406:24	2314:19	2360:7,16,19	2258:25
2467:20	variations	2327:5	2360:22	2260:25
2468:2	2267:20	2331:11	2393:18	2262:4,17
2479:6	2268:7	2344:25	2401:13,20,25	2264:7
2488:14	2270:1 2271:3	2359:2	2402:13,18	2265:12,13,16
2500:4	2271:13	2360:2	2408:12	2268:15
utility's 2468:5	2277:23	2380:24	2429:20	2269:19
	2278:2,6	2381:5,19	2430:5,8,15	2273:16
V	2289:7	2394:5	2430:20	2274:13
validity 2474:2	2306:7	2449:19	2431:5	2275:4
valuable	2316:4	views 2300:21	2435:14	2284:14
2482:20	2409:5	Virginia 2326:9	2440:14,15	2290:25
value 2258:4	2491:4	virtually 2281:18	2454:7	2295:4
2259:17	varied 2371:21	2329:1	2455:3,14	2298:18
2301:5	variety 2467:7	2334:21	2458:3	2301:21
2376:8	2469:15	2483:6	2459:5	2303:22
2480:19	various 2255:9	volatile 2294:11	2460:8,10	2309:19
values 2436:13	2262:7	volatility 2283:7	2462:5,7,8,9	2310:9
Vandiver	2298:2	2283:12	2494:2,8,18	2327:15
2249:13	2340:4	2290:10	2494:19	2339:1
variability	2345:11	2294:16	vortex 2375:10	2351:14
2324:12,14,18	2353:7 2381:7	2296:1,14	vote 2498:17	2356:22
2357:15	2381:11,14	2486:2	vulnerability	2368:22
2376:12	2525:10	volume	2468:6	2380:5
2378:15,19	vast 2304:15	2248:10	vulnerable	2400:22
2380:21	2347:13	2257:5,9,9,11	2321:14	2404:19
2429:14	2379:12	2257:17,24	2494:14	2405:7,17
2433:21	2403:18	2258:1	VV 2437:14	2409:10
2435:25	vehicular	2259:9,12,16		2412:6
2436:15	2257:19	2297:19	W	2420:18

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2425:14	2410:6	2294:14	2494:16,23	2279:25
2432:25	2425:24	wds@wdspc.c...	2502:5	2281:16
2449:23,25	water 2323:15	2250:13	2512:3	2285:7,11
2457:24	2324:6,9,21	we'll 2283:13,18	2516:16	2287:11
2469:2,14	2324:24	2357:1	2517:5	2290:10
2474:9	2327:3,13,15	2384:2	2522:20	2306:8
2494:12	2421:22	2401:16	we've 2253:12	2315:16
2499:15	2491:17	2448:9	2254:2,7,10	2319:11
2504:12,13	2499:19	2488:20	2254:14	2322:10
2523:23	2500:1,7,20	2522:5	2269:16	2323:7
wanted	waterfront	we're 2260:24	2270:24	2324:12
2256:17	2413:17	2262:24	2272:6,9	2325:9,22
2262:9	way 2261:22,23	2264:18	2284:24	2326:11,15
2265:1	2266:25	2265:4	2285:2	2342:10,11
2303:25	2272:11	2266:22,25	2297:16	2346:13
2306:2,23	2274:20,21	2270:20	2300:14	2347:12
2308:19,24	2284:17	2272:3	2342:24	2348:10,16
2309:2	2292:17,23	2274:1,12	2343:1,10,10	2351:21
2328:12	2294:10,18,22	2292:24	2343:13,24	2357:15
2336:14	2295:18,23	2293:3	2344:12	2358:14,18
2354:10	2317:24	2294:25	2347:17	2360:12,25
2356:25	2318:7	2296:13	2352:12,17	2361:2
2396:10	2325:21	2313:24	2367:24	2364:4
2451:9	2326:6,14	2314:7 2323:4	2372:10	2374:17
2455:24	2331:1,10	2323:6	2388:23	2375:7,25
2457:23	2337:10	2324:5	2398:10	2376:1,12
2523:17	2367:11	2327:8	2404:14	2378:15,19
wanting 2368:6	2393:16	2330:10	2434:3	2380:1,21
2383:12	2396:2	2340:1	2456:6	2382:13
wants 2279:8	2403:23	2341:25	2468:23	2394:8,22,23
2316:7,12,20	2414:4	2346:14	2473:13	2395:14
2415:22	2434:17	2368:12,13	2479:10	2403:2,6,16
warm 2272:13	2456:8	2371:3	2494:12,15	2404:2,11
2273:3	2465:1	2372:17,21	2495:15	2406:22,24
2346:16	2467:19	2373:3	2496:14,14,15	2406:25
warmer 2270:8	2474:21	2374:15	2497:25	2407:9,10,16
2275:10	2486:8	2376:15	2523:8	2407:19,21,25
2279:25	2497:17	2398:12,13	2524:22	2408:2,7,13
2479:1	2525:19	2404:12,13,13	weather	2409:5,14
Washington	Wayne 2532:9	2405:21	2267:22	2410:10,13,20
2249:18	2533:15	2408:5,5,11	2269:2,9,11,12	2411:1,5 2412:1
wasn't 2259:24	ways 2318:24	2422:2	2270:18	2412:9,15
2302:18	2337:20,21	2431:9	2271:3,4,14	2413:10,15
2365:24	2338:15	2443:25	2274:17,19	2418:4
2367:12	2468:22	2455:12	2276:9	2422:18
2385:7	2488:14	2460:24	2277:24	2429:13,17
2391:22	wayside	2493:7	2278:7	2430:23

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2431:11,14	2504:11	2424:12,14	2342:2,6,7,23	2438:19
2432:18	2528:13	2425:4	2343:6	2439:2
2433:15,18,21	2530:15,16,18	2453:11,15	2344:7,17,20	2441:22
2434:2,4,6,8	2530:19	2463:1,7,22	2345:9	2442:12
2434:13,14,15	Weitzel's	2485:5	2346:7,12,20	2447:17
2434:24	2424:1	2486:17	2347:2,9	2453:7,12,21
2435:10,17	welcome	2487:2	2348:2,10	2454:4,11,24
2436:3	2418:21	2488:12	2349:3	2455:10,19
2437:23	well-insulated	2493:22	2350:6,15,19	2456:4,16,19
2448:12,16	2352:1	winters 2346:15	2350:25	2456:22
2450:5,11,13	went 2262:24	wintertime	2351:20	2457:8
2450:16,17,18	2353:6	2463:18	2352:9	2458:1,10,13
2450:21	2414:10,16,18	wiped 2483:7	2353:5,6,22	2458:18,23
2456:7	2423:12	Wisely 2295:15	2354:1,5,8,18	2459:1,4,9
2460:3	2426:13	wish 2270:15	2354:23	2465:18,20
2461:7,19	2428:7,16	2285:1	2356:21	2465:24
2462:10	2460:8,21	wishes 2284:8	2384:2,8	2466:13
2463:1	2492:22	witness	2390:22	2483:5
2468:8	weren't	2265:14	2392:15,19,23	2486:21
2472:15	2302:23	2266:16	2393:5,8,12	2489:25
2476:23	2330:11	2278:8,11	2394:6,13	2490:4,8,19
2477:5	2372:12	2285:14	2395:2,12	2491:20
2479:2,9	whack 2329:23	2287:7	2396:3,6,12	2492:14,17
2480:22	what'd 2441:9	2288:12	2396:18	2494:2,4,5
2485:14,16	WHITNEY	2297:9	2397:8,12	2501:1,8,11
2489:10	2251:9	2310:2,4,13	2398:8	2502:1
2491:2	whitney.payn...	2311:24	2399:19,21	2508:4
2496:18	2251:14	2312:3,5	2400:18,21	witness's
2499:3	wild 2275:6	2313:7 2314:3	2401:6,10,14	2356:20
2500:16,18,21	WILLIAM	2314:6	2401:16	2474:2
weather's	2248:21	2319:18	2402:2,8,11,17	witnesses
2346:22	2250:12	2320:18,19	2402:21	2261:3,5
Wednesday	WILLIAMS	2321:2	2403:2,11,18	2262:1,3
2283:2,6,18	2251:4,4	2322:4,7,23	2404:3,9	2265:10
week 2342:20	willing 2266:22	2323:2,17,20	2405:2,8,18	2278:16,17
weeks 2266:13	2404:14	2324:8,22	2410:5 2413:1	2283:12,18
2292:13	windows	2325:5,11,14	2413:5,8	2309:17,19
2483:21	2423:18	2325:16,24	2416:12,13,17	2310:1
Weitzel 2342:8	winter 2272:19	2326:22,25	2418:21	2339:21,23
2399:7,9,11,13	2273:3	2327:7,20	2421:11	2340:3
2399:17,20	2278:24	2328:7,10	2425:7,8,13	2398:23,25
2400:3,9,12	2281:8,10,19	2329:4,7,14,17	2425:18,22	2493:19
2410:3	2281:23	2330:1,8,19	2426:5,12,25	2499:15
2412:25	2294:24	2331:4,14,15	2427:4	2537:5,7
2413:6,21	2315:1 2319:9	2339:3,5,11	2432:16,24	Won 2509:20
2420:19	2375:8	2340:19	2437:11,15,25	2514:6
2472:19	2405:1	2341:2	2438:5,8,13	2532:22

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

wonder 2318:15 2357:11 2432:21	2268:20 2286:11 2343:8,11	2353:14 2365:25 2374:6	2425:1 2428:20 2438:9	2346:5,10,24 2349:2,19,25 2372:11
wondering 2396:17	2365:13 2375:14	2382:19 2408:18	2445:16,19 2457:8	2376:7 2378:19
Woodsmall 2249:21 2259:1 2260:6 2267:3 2286:6,9,12 2303:15 2307:23,25 2367:3,5 2373:7,17 2392:10 2397:25 2399:3 2406:14,17 2409:20 2421:15,18 2423:1 2428:1 2447:25 2459:18 2475:16,25 2476:3 2477:6,13 2478:7,10,13 2478:17,19,21 2481:14,24 2495:7 2507:14,20 2520:2 2523:1 2524:8 2527:10 2528:6,16,21 2529:11	2404:14 2433:23 2435:4 2469:16 2482:12 worked 2254:10 2260:13 2269:8 2351:15 2389:22 2469:20 working 2329:25 2330:10 2336:23 2343:16 2344:14 2345:5,10,25 2346:3,11,18 2389:11 2470:25 works 2274:9 2278:22 2318:7 worlds 2267:7 worried 2296:14 worry 2475:20 2492:5 2498:11 worse 2298:10 2484:25 worsen 2315:22 worth 2275:3 2493:11 worthwhile 2276:13 wouldn't 2347:3 2348:12	2451:10 2456:5 2485:10 wrap 2266:17 written 2260:1 2475:10 wrong 2398:15 <hr/> X <hr/> X 2401:24 2402:1 2527:1 2528:1 2529:1 <hr/> Y <hr/> Y 2248:20 2428:23 yeah 2255:5 2260:5 2261:9 2263:10,11 2265:3,4 2266:9 2267:4 2300:8 2314:5 2318:17 2321:11 2325:14,14 2326:18,25 2331:14 2333:21 2347:21 2361:17 2362:8 2363:1 2377:15 2390:25 2391:18 2393:5 2412:20 2413:8 2414:22	2461:12 2463:5 2464:19 2478:17 2485:18 2506:10 2507:20,23 2510:21 2511:8 2512:18 2513:13 2515:23 2516:18 2526:7 year 2263:24 2264:3 2271:13 2279:14 2286:18,21,23 2289:4 2291:6 2329:21 2335:21 2343:14,15 2345:1 2346:5 2351:1 2352:3,4 2353:8 2356:12 2407:7 2481:19 year-to-year 2351:19 years 2269:1,13 2270:4 2286:25 2287:23 2303:19 2304:22,25 2305:1,13 2336:12 2345:2	2476:7 2483:1 2525:13 yesterday 2252:8,22 2253:17,20 2254:15 2361:18 yonder 2275:6 you-all 2262:4 2296:6 2310:2 2525:12 Young 2509:20 2514:7,8 2532:24 2534:3,4 <hr/> Z <hr/> zero 2304:24 2351:2 2428:16,19 2464:9 Zucker 2249:3 2252:13,14,18 2252:21 2313:10,12 2314:12 2316:24 2326:19 2331:22,24 2332:9 2356:14,18 2399:12,15 2400:2,8 2409:24 2410:2 2412:22,24 2413:13,19 2414:1,1,1,2,2 2414:3,5,6,6,8 2414:9,13,17 2414:22,25

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2415:5,9,12,14	2352:5	2384:23	15th 2252:6	2464:6,25
2415:18,23,25	2394:22	2385:21	16 2286:23	2504:11
2423:5,8	2426:6	2386:12	2479:14	2530:22
2424:22	2428:12,13	2387:9,12	2504:10	20,000
2428:3,5,9,10	2503:7	2419:21	2530:16	2428:21
2429:2	2532:3	2426:6	16.4 2259:17	200 2251:5,13
2431:23	1,100 2259:18	2428:6,10	160 2287:23	20009 2249:18
2436:23	1,500 2428:16	2490:3	17 2282:13	2005 2271:1
2478:6,9,12	2428:20,21	2530:10	2297:7	2009 2352:13
2478:15,18	1.1 2370:5	12-month	2332:5,6	201 2446:13,17
2482:3,5	1.4 2320:8	2448:17	2405:5,16,17	2446:21
2484:3,7	1.5 2295:7	121 2250:21	2440:13	2531:16
2486:23,25	2320:8	125 2281:24	2441:17	2010 2453:23
2488:22,23	1.6 2295:7	2389:12	2464:22,22	2453:24
2489:2,5,17	1:45 2398:14	13 2311:10,23	2504:10	2011 2288:16
2495:9	10 2384:23	2315:8	2530:17	2013 2374:20
2496:22	2385:11	2384:23	17.01 2282:10	2375:4
2497:2	2387:9,12	2385:3,22	17.50 2464:23	2453:22,23
2503:1,6,10,13	2530:8	2386:3	18 2478:9,11	2016 2288:10
2504:13	10-K 2477:16,19	2387:5,9,12	2504:10	2288:16
2505:1,4,17,19	2477:22	2404:19	2518:12	2017 2248:8
2505:22,24	2481:19	2419:22	2530:19	2252:6
2506:5,6,10	2536:15	2421:7	187 2408:11	2481:19,20
2506:18,23	10-Ks 2478:10	2489:23	1875 2249:17	2018 2282:13
2507:3,6,10	10.35 2279:12	2530:11	19 2397:1	2282:15,16,18
2507:23	10:40 2309:5	14 2282:24	2421:7	2282:22
2508:2,6	100 2280:11,12	2290:20	2504:11	2031 2250:12
2509:13,25	2412:2,8,9,16	2374:20	2518:13	204 2249:21
2510:11,25	2412:23	2375:4	2530:20	2442:19
2511:16	2413:6	2379:19,22	19.50 2281:6,15	2445:15,23
2512:8,16,18	2436:6	2380:16	2297:7	2446:2
2513:1,25	2456:5	2384:23	2463:8	2531:17
2516:11	2464:6	2385:23		205 2249:13
2518:25	2499:6	2387:9,12	<u>2</u>	2442:20
2519:5	101 2250:4	2530:13	2 2366:21	2445:15,23
2520:15	11 2315:8	15 2248:8	2386:4	2531:19
2527:14,18	2336:15	2342:15	2503:7	206 2445:15,24
2528:14,17,22	2360:8	2386:10	2532:4	2446:2
2528:24	2384:23	2396:11	20 2282:14	2531:20
2529:12	2385:11,21	2492:10	2284:3	207 2446:13,18
	2440:10	2504:10,16,19	2297:8	2446:21
<u>0</u>	2441:10	2530:14	2329:8,10	2531:22
07380 2282:4	2504:7	150 2281:24	2332:5,6	208 2442:13,24
	11.9 2257:20,21	2372:10	2386:11	2443:3,10,11
<u>1</u>	2258:1,5,11	150,000	2408:8	2531:23
1 2321:13	12 2303:18	2389:12	2458:23	209 2442:13,24
2351:24	2305:13	15297 2281:12	2459:4,5	2443:3,10

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2531:25 21 2248:10 2504:11,19 2530:23 210 2442:14,24 2443:4,10 2532:2 211 2442:14,24 2443:4,10,11 2532:4 212 2508:10,15 2508:17 2532:5 213 2508:11,15 2508:17 2532:7 216 2508:20 2508:25 2509:3 2532:8 217 2508:20,25 2509:2 2532:10 218 2508:20 2508:25 2509:3 2532:11 22 2250:16 2284:16 2329:8 2400:19 2440:8,15 2441:18 2456:14,20 2457:14 2458:15 2463:4 2464:4 2504:11,16 2506:10,14 221 2250:4 223 2509:6,12 2509:16 2532:13 224 2509:8 2532:14 225 2509:9	2532:16 226 2509:9,12 2509:16 2532:17 2267 2527:4 2277 2527:5 22788 2386:8 228 2509:19,24 2510:3 2532:19 2281 2527:6 2286 2527:7 229 2509:19 2532:20 2292 2527:8 2297 2527:9 23 2282:3 2297:7 2417:24 2458:24 2464:23 2484:17 23.50 2282:22 230 2509:20 2532:22 2303 2527:10 2308 2527:11 2309 2535:16 231 2509:20 2532:23 2310 2527:13 2313 2527:14 2535:17,22 2535:23 2536:4 2317 2527:15 2319 2527:16 232 2509:20 2509:24 2510:3 2532:25 2322 2527:17 2331 2527:18 2332 2527:19 2333 2527:20 2527:21 2341 2527:23	2527:24 2342 2527:25 235 2510:5,10 2510:14 2533:2 2355 2528:3 2358 2534:5,7 2359 2528:4 236 2439:20 2442:15 2443:15,18 2533:4 2364 2528:5 2367 2528:6 237 2510:16,24 2511:3 2533:5 2373 2528:7 238 2417:6 2419:5,7,10 2533:7 2384 2528:9 2387 2528:10 2530:8,10,11 2530:13 239 2511:5,10 2511:15,18,19 2533:8 2391 2528:11 2392 2528:12 240 2511:6,10 2511:15,18,19 2533:10 240-something 2439:21 2400 2528:14 2528:15 2406 2528:16 241 2511:6,7,8 2511:10,11 2410 2528:17 2416 2528:19 2419 2528:20 2533:7,23 2421 2528:21 2423 2528:22 2425 2528:23 2428 2528:24	2429 2528:25 243 2511:23 2512:4,7,11 2533:11 2437 2534:8 2439 2529:4 2443 2531:23 2531:25 2532:2,4 2533:4,16 2445 2534:10,11 2446 2531:16,17 2531:19,20,22 2448 2529:5 245 2511:21 2512:13,21 2533:13 2453 2529:6 2459 2529:7 2462 2529:8 2466 2529:10 2476 2529:11 248 2512:23 2513:4 2533:14 2481 2536:14 2482 2529:12 2489 2529:13 249 2439:22 2442:16 2443:15,18 2533:16 2496 2529:14 25 2390:12 2415:15 2500:5,12 2504:22 2525:13 25.50 2282:22 250 2513:6 2501 2535:2,8 2535:10 2504 2530:2,4 2530:5,7,14 2530:16,17,19 2530:20,22 2530:23	2505 2530:25 2531:2,4,5,7 2506 2531:8,10 2531:11,13,14 2508 2532:5,7 2509 2532:8,10 2532:11,13,14 2532:16,17 251 2513:6 2510 2532:19 2532:20,22 2532:23,25 2533:2 2511 2533:5,8 2533:10 2512 2533:11,13 2513 2533:14,17 2514 2533:19 2533:20,22 2533:25 2534:2,4 2516 2534:13,14 2534:16,17,19 2518 2534:20 2534:22,23 2534:25 2535:4,5,7 2519 2535:11,13 2535:14 252 2513:7,17 2533:17 2520 2535:19 2535:20,25 2536:2,5,7,8 2521 2536:10,11 2536:13 2522 2536:16 2536:17,19,20 256 2513:19 2514:4 2533:19 257 2513:19 2533:20 258 2513:22 2514:4 2533:22 26 2282:9
--	---	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

2284:10	2534:8	2505:1,3	2534:19	429 2519:14
2329:18	282 2441:1,6,8	31290 2281:11	407 2516:25	43 2299:9
2332:1	2442:17	32 2524:11	408 2517:2	430 2519:14
2440:6	2443:22	32.6 2258:4,5,7	409 2249:13	431 2519:15
2441:16,18	2444:23	2258:11	2517:7 2518:1	432 2519:16
2458:10,19	2445:3 2447:1	320,000	2518:4	435 2519:17
2460:24	2534:10	2257:12	2534:20	436 2519:18
2463:4	283 2442:2,17	33 2519:16	410 2517:10	437 2309:22
2464:5	2443:22,22	34 2288:15	2534:22	2519:18
2504:24	2444:23	2519:16	411 2517:10	2535:16
2505:6,8,11	2445:3 2447:1	35562 2386:7	2534:23	44 2484:18
2515:2	2515:17	386 2298:3	412 2517:13	440 2388:25
2530:25	2534:11	386.266	2518:1,4	2389:1
260 2417:7	284 2457:18	2304:2	2534:25	450,000
2419:5,7,10	2515:13,14,17	2325:13	413 2517:13	2258:8
2533:23	2515:19	386.266.3	2518:1	47 2463:10,11
261 2514:6,14	29 2504:25	2277:16	415 2466:2	2463:22
2533:25	2531:5	2297:17	2501:12,16,22	47.01 2281:17
262 2514:7		2304:4	2517:20	48 2505:14
2534:2	<u>3</u>	2306:3	2535:2	2506:4,7,8
263 2514:7,14	3 2273:25	2325:12	417 2518:6,12,16	2531:8
2534:4	2396:9	386.266.4	2535:4	49 2531:10
266 2515:3	2409:7,10	2304:6	418 2518:7	<u>5</u>
269 2515:3	2426:17	386.266.7	2535:5	5 2249:13
27 2504:24	2465:2,8	2305:16	419 2518:8,16	2295:6
2531:2	2491:13	39185 2386:7	2535:7	2320:6,11
270 2514:16	2503:7	3rd 2254:23	420 2466:2	2321:13
2515:4	30 2281:8,10,12	2444:9,25	2501:12,16,22	2402:19
274 2514:19	2281:13	2523:10	2518:8	2492:11
2515:9	2282:1 2351:1	<u>4</u>	2535:8	2503:7
275 2515:12,14	2351:2	4 2315:6	421 2466:3	50 2390:12
279 2357:24	2354:5	2503:7	2501:13,17,22	2455:20
2358:1,10,23	2360:12	4:00 2495:18	2535:10	2456:9
2374:22	2386:9,10	4:31 2526:22	422 2518:18,23	2531:11
2375:3	2425:5	40 2321:9	2519:7	50-therm
2476:5,19	2453:10,15,16	402 2516:4,13	423 2518:20,23	2454:14
2534:5	2463:9,10,14	2516:19,21	2519:10	500 2288:4
28 2504:25	2463:19	2534:13	2535:11	2312:10,13,23
2531:4	2504:25	403 2516:5,19	424 2518:20,24	2313:1,5
280 2358:1,10	2505:7,8,11	2534:14	2535:13	2535:17
2374:23	2531:7	404 2516:5,19	425 2518:21,24	501 2535:19
2375:3	30-year-old	2534:16	2519:10	501-C 2520:10
2476:5,19	2422:8	405 2516:5,19	2535:14	2520:16,18
2534:7	300 2259:19	2534:17	426 2518:21	503 2520:10,11
281 2433:4,11	301 2249:9	406 2516:5,20	2519:12	2520:16,18
2436:20,22	308 2249:21	2516:21	427 2519:13	2535:20
2437:3,4,23	31 2504:25		428 2519:14	

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Vol. 21 12/15/2017

504 2312:12,14 2313:1,5 2535:22	2503:11,11,18 2504:5 2530:2	70 2316:9,14,22 2434:19 700 2249:5 2477:12	2280:12 9.4 2289:15 9:00 2252:2 900 2522:23
505 2312:15 2313:1,5 2535:23	60 2342:8 600 2521:1,11 2536:10	701 2477:12,14 2481:16,18,22 2536:14	91 2463:8,14 91686 2281:7 95 2436:4 2450:12
506 2520:11,16 2520:18 2535:25	601 2521:2,4 2536:11 602 2521:5,11 2536:13	72 2316:9,14,22 75 2342:16 750 2521:18 2522:13,16 2536:16	97 2404:11 2406:21,25 2407:9,16,20 2407:21 2408:17 2410:14,19
507 2312:23,24 2520:11,17,18 2536:2	60s 2288:5 62 2507:25 63101 2249:5 63119 2250:9	751 2521:19 2536:17 752 2521:19 2536:19	98 2436:4 2450:12 99.9 2404:13 99th 2501:25
508 2312:16,23 2312:24 2313:2,5 2536:4	63160 2386:6 64 2287:1 2469:6 640,000 2257:11	753 2521:22 2522:6,13,16 2536:20	
509 2312:24 51 2531:13 510 2520:12,17 2520:19 2536:5	65 2434:22,25 2435:2 650 2251:5 65101 2249:22 2250:5,17,21	<hr/> 8 <hr/>	
511 2520:12,17 2536:7 512 2520:12,17 2520:19 2536:8	65102 2249:9 2251:6,14 65110 2250:13 65202 2249:14 679 2407:10,19 2407:23	8 2311:10,22 2314:2,3 2418:7,16 2424:9 2502:25 2503:11,18 2530:5	
514 2250:16 52 2506:7,8 2531:14 53 2505:15 2506:4,5 54 2506:18 55 2506:24 56 2507:7 56/44 2253:6 57 2507:10 2508:2 58 2507:25	680 2249:9 6th 2414:11 2503:16 2506:22	8.2 2280:12 8.25 2280:13 8.9 2289:15,17 80 2342:16 2453:15 800 2251:13 871 2250:8	
<hr/> 6 <hr/>	<hr/> 7 <hr/>	<hr/> 9 <hr/>	
6 2352:11 2354:13 2394:24 2395:3 2410:6 2419:21 2502:25	7 2352:11 2354:13 2386:9 2394:24 2407:7,11,14 2407:20 2410:6,15,20 2417:22 2420:21,23 2503:11,11,18 2530:4	9 2407:20 2418:7,16 2502:25 2503:11,18 2504:5 2530:7 9.2 2280:12 2289:16 9.20 2279:18 9.25 2279:18	