In the Matter of:

Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs

GR-2019-0077 VOL. II

March 06, 2019



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	Discovery Conference
7	March 6, 2019
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 2
10	
11	
12	
13	In The Matter Of Union) Electric Company d/b/a Ameren)
14	Missouri's Tariffs To Increase) File No. GR-2019-0077 Its Revenues For Natural Gas)
15	Service)
16	
17	JOHN T. CLARK, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
18	RECOLATORI LAW CODCE
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY:
23	Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	L. RUSSELL MITTEN, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC
3	PO Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
4	573.635.7166 FOR: Ameren Missouri
5	LERA SHEMWELL, Senior Public Counsel
6	CALEB HALL, Senior Counsel PO Box 2230
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.5558
8	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
9	ROBERT S. BERLIN, Deputy Counsel RON IRVING, Legal Counsel
10	200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
11	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.751.4140
12	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
13	By phone:
14	TIMOTHY OPITZ, Staff Attorney Renew Missouri
15	409 Vandiver Drive Building 5, Suite 205
16	Columbia, Missouri 65202 FOR: Renew Missouri
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE CLARK: It is 10:30 so why don't we go Today's date is March 6, 2019, and the 3 on the record. current time is 10:30 a.m. We're in Room 305 of the 4 5 Governor Office Building. The Commission has set aside 6 this time for a Discovery Conference in the case 7 captioned as In The Matter Of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs To Increase Its Revenues 8 9 For Natural Gas Service, File No. GR-2019-0077. 10 My name is John Clark. I'm the Regulatory Law 11 Judge in this matter, and I'm going to begin by having 12 the attorneys enter their appearance starting with Ameren Missouri. 13 14 MR. MITTEN: L. Russell Mitten, Brydon, 15 Swearengen & England appearing on behalf of Union 16 Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri. 17 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Mitten. On behalf of Staff Counsel's office? 18 MR. BERLIN: Thank you, Judge. Appearing on 19 20 behalf of the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Robert S. Berlin. I've given the contact 21 22 information for the Commission's offices to the court 23 reporter. 24 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Berlin. From the 25 Office of the Public Counsel? I'm sorry. Go ahead, Mr.

Irving. 1 2 MR. IRVING: Ron Irving, Staff Counsel. I've given my information to the court reporter as well. 3 4 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Irving. From the 5 Office of the Public Counsel? 6 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you, Judge, and good 7 morning. Let the record reflect the appearance of Lera 8 Shemwell and Caleb Hall representing the Office of the Public Counsel and the public. The court reporter has 9 10 my information. Thank you. 11 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you. Missouri Division of 12 Energy? Hearing no one from the Missouri Division of 13 Energy. 14 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers? Doesn't 15 appear we have an attorney on the line in regard to them 16 either. 17 The Missouri School Board Association e-mailed 18 the parties, I believe, and indicated they would not be 19 participating in today's discovery conference. 20 The National Housing Trust? Do we have 21 anybody on the line right now? 22 MR. OPITZ: Tim Opitz with Renew Missouri is 23 on the line. 24 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you very much. For Renew 25 Missouri?

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	MR. OPITZ: On behalf of Renew Missouri, I'm
2	Tim Opitz, and my address is 409 Vandiver Drive,
3	Building 5, Suite 205, Columbia, Missouri 65202.
4	JUDGE CLARK: And on behalf of Spire Missouri?
5	Nobody here from Spire. If they join in late, we'll
6	address them at that time. Is there anybody I've
7	missed? Hearing no responses.
8	I'm just going to kind of jump right in.
9	Staff, you requested that we maintain this discovery
10	conference today because you indicated in your e-mail to
11	all the parties that you were having some discovery
12	issues. What's the issue?
13	MR. BERLIN: Judge, if I may, I'd like to just
14	take a moment to give a few overview comments as to why
15	we are here, why staff has asked for this discovery
16	conference. I will also pass to you the most current
17	handout that I have given to counsel that are in
18	attendance today at the discovery conference. So we'll
19	work through that particular handout.
20	What I'd like to mention
21	JUDGE CLARK: Let me ask real quick, is there
22	any objection to me looking at this handout from any
23	party?
24	MR. BERLIN: It's going to be the road map
25	that we talk about. That's all it is.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

MS. SHEMWELL: We're fine. 1 2 MR. MITTEN: I don't have a problem. 3 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I will ask, because we are on the record, I will ask that if there's any 4 confidential information that's going to be presented, 5 6 try and give me a head's up so that we can at least go 7 in camera for the purpose of keeping the transcript 8 confidential. 9 Go ahead, Mr. Berlin. 10 MR. BERLIN: I don't anticipate any 11 confidential material being discussed at this point. 12 Thank you, Judge. 13 Staff has called this discovery conference 14 because we do have some concerns about the 15 responsiveness of the company to our DRs. There have 16 been quite a few objections to our DRs, including our supplemental DRs. The total DRs right now as of March 5 17 18 at 5:30 p.m. that have been objected to are 108 out of a 19 total number of 253 DRs submitted. So the percentage of 20 DRs that have been objected to is 43 percent of our DRs. 21 And the percentage of total DRs that Ameren has sought 22 additional time to respond to is 142 out of 253 or 56 23 percent. 24 The total number of DRs objected and requested

25

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

additional time but gave no specific date when provided

Г

1	are 12. And then the total number of DRs that only
2	requested additional time regardless of specific or
3	open-ended type questions is 90. The specific DRs that
4	were answered late, and we distinguish late as after 20
5	days and late after the requested additional time, are
б	85 out of the 253 or 34 percent, which means that those
7	DRs were late and exceeded their own requested extension
8	of time and then there's 157 out of 253 or 63 percent of
9	all DRs, answered DRs, were late and that they exceeded
10	the 20-day limit with or without extension.
11	So what I'd like to do is by way of comparison
12	is to look at Ameren Missouri's last electric rate case,
13	which was a much more complicated case, much more
14	complex with many more DRs than what we have issued in
15	this case. That was Case ER-2016-0179. In the electric
16	rate case, Ameren objected to 10 percent of the DRs
17	issued. In this case, the gas case, Ameren has objected
18	to 43 percent of our DRs that have been issued.
19	In the electric rate case, 23 percent of the
20	DRs required greater than 20 days for response. In the
21	gas case, the percentage is 63 percent of DRs that
22	require greater than 20 days for response. And we have
23	all the documentation on every DR and the timing, and so
24	forth.
25	What we find interesting are that, to frame

Г

25

1	this concern, is that we have specific DRs that have
2	been answered inadequately. We have unresolved DRs,
3	unresolved DR No. 5 it did not provide October 2016 to
4	current board of director committee or Ameren Missouri
5	agendas, presentations or minutes. Unresolved is DR 6.
6	It did not provide October 2016 to current officer
7	meeting agendas, presentations or minutes. DR 30 did
8	not provide the reports showing the other wages, bonus,
9	overtime, et cetera, as was provided in the electric
10	rate case DR 56. And DR 60 we asked for environmental
11	cleanup lists for October 1, 2010 through true-up, and
12	we received the third quarter 2017 forward.
13	Unresolved is DR 61. I'm not even going to go
14	through and explain each one of these. But DR 61 was
15	unresolved, DR 65 is unresolved, DR 95 is unresolved.
16	There are some DRs that were resolved. We resolved 58,
17	68 and 69, 93, 118 and 147. But again to highlight
18	again our concern is we've got 43 specific DRs that were
19	asked and answered without objection in prior cases but
20	were objected to this time. That's 43. And so we have
21	a concern.
22	And we have quite a bit of the documentation
23	here. And then we have some specific DRs that we asked
24	

case were for a five-year period but only received one

year of data and then had to bargain with the company
for the entire five years that we had provisionally
asked for. That was in DR 5, DR 6, DR 65, DR 68, DR 118
and DR 147 and DR 190.

5 I do want to just mention -- And that pretty 6 much concludes my overview comments before I was to get 7 to what I have as the handout list of DRs -- but we also 8 have some inadequate DR responses to our financial 9 analysis group. 82 to 82.1, 83, and then we have a 10 reasonable accommodation request such as in DR 81 11 financial analysis. I'd like to mention this. That 12 involves information on equity analysts' reports that were provided on DVD during the financial analysis 13 on-site visit February 25. Staff believes it's a 14 15 reasonable request such DVD be provided without having to review something like that on site. Anyway, I've 16 17 given you a very broad overview of our concerns.

18 Staff has framed our concerns really with the 19 specific DRs in the handout that I just handed to you 20 and to the other counsel. So we're prepared to go down 21 this list. Note, too, that we crossed out certain DRs: 22 204, 191 and I think 80.2 was just answered as well. So 23 we've updated this as again as of March 5 at 5:30 p.m. 24 So I would like to turn this over and go

25

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

forward with discussion of the rest of the DRs that we

have concerns over as may be needed. And I have staff 1 2 present as well that can offer any supporting detail on 3 questions that you or any other counsel may have. JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I've got a couple quick 4 5 questions and then we'll start to go through that. 6 These numbers were probably in large numbers that were 7 thrown out. If they were. I didn't catch them. 8 How many data requests have been answered by the company so far? That would include data requests 9 10 answered even unsatisfactorily. 11 MR. BERLIN: I've got to go back to my 12 overview sheet. Lisa, if you could step up here and 13 help guide me through this sheet. 14 JUDGE CLARK: I just want to know how many of 15 the 253 were answered without regard to whether they were late, outside the 20 days or later. 16 17 MS. FERGUSON: It looks as if 239 have been 18 answered whether it was inadequate or not inadequate. 19 JUDGE CLARK: That gets to my next question. 20 Would you identify yourself for the court reporter? 21 MS. FERGUSON: I'm sorry. My name is Lisa Ferguson. I'm an auditor with the Missouri Public 22 23 Service Commission. Thank you. Of those 239 that 24 JUDGE CLARK: were answered, do you know how many of those were 25

answered satisfactorily or conversely unsatisfactorily 1 2 in terms of staff's perspective? MS. FERGUSON: Now there are approximately 3 seven that I have unresolved, but are you asking for 4 5 prior to discussion and negotiation for extra information? 6 7 JUDGE CLARK: I'm asking at this point in time 8 how many data requests have been satisfactorily answered 9 from staff's perspective. If that information is 10 unavailable right now, that's an acceptable answer. 11 MS. FERGUSON: Approximately 244 have been 12 answered satisfactorily. 13 JUDGE CLARK: Just a second ago you told me 239 were answered. Now we're at 244. 14 15 MS. FERGUSON: The reason it's 244 is I did not include Mr. Murray's financial analysis DRs in my 16 17 first response. I apologize for that. 18 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Did you say those have been answered to staff's satisfaction? 19 20 MR. MURRAY: I'm sorry. We had some 21 confusion. I was just telling her to add to whatever 22 the number is that's not satisfactory. I apologize. Ι 23 have two that are unsatisfactory in my staff's. 24 MS. FERGUSON: And we have seven for auditing so that would be nine that were unsatisfactory after 25

negotiation of information. 1 2 JUDGE CLARK: And Mr. Murray, would you identify yourself? 3 MR. MURRAY: I'm sorry. David Murray, 4 5 M-u-r-r-a-y. 6 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. If you want to go into 7 the specifics, Mr. Berlin. 8 MR. BERLIN: It seems that probably the most economic thing to do here would be to go down through 9 each of the nine DRs. 10 11 MR. MITTEN: Which nine DRs are we talking 12 Let me also say something for the record. about? In advance of the meeting, Mr. Berlin was kind enough to 13 14 provide us a list of DRs that staff had concerns about. 15 In his opening today, I heard about at least three DRs 16 that were not on the list. That's one of the problems 17 that we have is that prior to receiving that list 18 certainly the attorneys representing Ameren had no 19 inkling that there were problems that staff had with any 20 of our responses or any of our objections or anything 21 else. 22 So it's difficult to resolve problems that 23 you're not aware of. Going forward, we would offer to 24 staff and to public counsel the opportunity to contact 25 Ameren's attorneys directly or the people who are making Г

1	the responses directly to register their concerns about
2	the, quote, end quote, adequacy of the responses or the
3	request for additional time. But again, if we don't
4	hear about those things, we can't know there's a
5	problem.
б	MS. FERGUSON: Mr. Mitten, I've had several
7	discussions with Laura Moore about the adequacy of the
8	information that was provided.
9	MR. MITTEN: That's fine. If you dispute the
10	adequacy of the information, that's fine, but there's a
11	way to bring that to a head if you're not getting
12	information that you're satisfied with.
13	MS. FERGUSON: Well, you just said that I
14	didn't contact the company or let them know about the
15	inadequacy of the information and I did.
16	MR. BERLIN: If I could just interject a
17	comment. I understand Mr. Mitten's comments. I would
18	just say, Judge, as you well know, we have three
19	discovery conferences that are scheduled in our
20	procedural schedule. I requested that we hold this
21	particular discovery conference because, as you know, we
22	have an enormous number of DRs involved here and quite a
23	large percentage that are being answered late and so we
24	have some real concerns about the timeliness of the
25	response and the adequacy of the response given that we

are under a procedural schedule and we do have to file
direct testimony and the staff witnesses require this
information for their direct testimony.

So what I would offer to do then is, and I would ask the auditors to help me with this, is to just lay out the concerns on the nine DRs if we can whittle it down to that.

8 MR. MITTEN: Let me also make one other 9 comment that we did object to a large number of DRs. 10 The fact that there were more objections made in this 11 case than were made in the last electric case probably 12 has to do with the fact they're different lawyers 13 working on this case than there were in the electric 14 case.

15 In each and every instance where we objected, we also said that we would be providing a response 16 17 subject to the objection and we, in fact, did that. Ιf there are concerns about the, quote, adequacy, close 18 19 quote, of the response, that can be raised in a motion 20 to compel, it can be raised in a phone call to the 21 lawyers, but again we haven't heard any of that prior to 22 receiving the list from Mr. Berlin prior to this 23 discovery conference.

The purpose of the discovery conference, as I understand it, is to really resolve issues that can't be

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1 resolved otherwise. But again, if we don't know about 2 the problem, and I'm talking now about the attorneys, we 3 can't resolve those issues in advance of the discovery 4 conference and that certainly would be our preference to 5 not have to waste your time, to waste our time through a 6 discovery conference and try and resolve the issues 7 outside that.

8 MR. BERLIN: Judge, let me make a reply to 9 that is that the purpose of the discovery conference at 10 least from staff's viewpoint is that this is intended to 11 address discovery issues on the record that may crop up 12 during the course of discovery in this rate case. And 13 so we have the opportunity to bring them out, surface all of our concerns and talk to specific DRs and that we 14 15 are requesting responses to so that we can be prepared to do our direct testimony, prepare our direct 16 17 testimony; and then if the company pushes back on 18 responses to certain DRs, that then allows us in this 19 discovery conference to make a motion to compel to the 20 Regulatory Law Judge who can then issue an order either 21 at the discovery conference or direct the attorney to 22 file a motion to compel to take it in front of the 23 Commission.

This is intended to be a tool to more efficiently manage discovery throughout the rate case

Г

1	process. That's pretty much staff's view of it.
2	MR. MITTEN: Well, again, I think this is a
3	last resort. In terms of the motion to compel, if you
4	want to file a motion to compel, by all means do so, but
5	let's give us an opportunity to resolve the problem
6	beforehand. And I'm simply saying that we haven't heard
7	about it. I'm talking again about the lawyers. We
8	haven't heard about any complaints that you have prior
9	to receiving the document that I got two or three days
10	ago. If you will give us the opportunity to do that, it
11	may obviate filing a motion to compel or even bringing
12	the issue up at the discovery conference.
13	If staff's concern is to get timely responses,
14	it would seem to me raising the issues at the time they
15	become issues is the most efficient way to deal with
16	that rather than waiting for the discovery conference
17	which is only scheduled once a month.
18	MR. BERLIN: Well, this is all part of the
19	process.
20	JUDGE CLARK: Okay, stop. What I don't want
21	to do with this discovery conference is sit around
22	debating what the purpose of the discovery conference
23	is. What I do want to do with the discovery conference
24	is try to as amicably as possible resolve this so all
25	reasonable discovery requests get dealt with.

Г

1	I don't see it as a waste of my time to be
2	here. What one side may see as not being an issue
3	another side may see as being an issue. At the same
4	time, I do understand what you're saying. I have a
5	limited grasp of how discovery works between the parties
6	when you're dealing with companies. So it sounds like
7	to me that discovery requests are issued to the company
8	and then the company responds. And what I'm gathering
9	from you, Mr. Mitten, is that that all kind of happens
10	behind the scenes and unless it's brought to your
11	attention you're unaware of issues; is that correct?
12	MR. MITTEN: We file a response. And unless
13	somebody brings it to my attention, I assume that the
14	response we filed was adequate and satisfactory.
15	JUDGE CLARK: In regard to, just to get this
16	out of the way in regards to while there appears to be a
17	substantial number of objections, two things. I mean, I
18	don't know what the specific requests are that are being
19	objected to that may be reasonable, it may not. You
20	indicated it may just have to do with different
21	attorneys working different cases.
22	What I am immediately interested in is if
23	there are by comparison 43 answered DRs in a prior case
24	that were objected to in this case, do those encompass
25	any of the nine that we're going to be talking about?

MR. BERLIN: I'd have to ask the auditors that 1 2 question. Hold on a minute, Judge. 3 MS. FERGUSON: I would say yes. I don't have a specific number, but DR 5 and DR 6 definitely are ones 4 5 that have been answered in the past --6 JUDGE CLARK: In the prior electric? 7 MS. FERGUSON: -- that were objected to. Yes. 8 MR. MITTEN: And again, the fact we objected 9 doesn't mean we didn't answer them. 10 MS. FERGUSON: That's correct. 5 and 6 we 11 considered inadequate. 12 JUDGE CLARK: Hold on. Why don't we start with this nine and see if there's any that you can agree 13 14 need to be answered. 15 MS. FERGUSON: Let me clarify first, before we go to that spot. Earlier when you were asking, there 16 17 are 14 unanswered DRs currently. That is in the list that is in front of you. There are nine inadequate 18 19 responses. So just so that there is no confusion. 20 JUDGE CLARK: So for a total of 23? 21 MS. FERGUSON: Yes. 22 MR. BERLIN: Just to clarify, that's seven 23 unresolved from auditing and there's two unresolved from 24 finance. 25 MS. FERGUSON: From Mr. Murray, yes.

1 MR. BERLIN: I would propose maybe we go 2 through each one of those DRs, we go through nine. 3 JUDGE CLARK: Yes, go ahead. MR. BERLIN: The first unresolved DR was DR 5, 4 5 did not provide the October 2016 --6 JUDGE CLARK: Do I have a list of this? 7 MS. FERGUSON: It's on the second page. 8 JUDGE CLARK: DR 5 and 6? 9 MS. FERGUSON: Yes. What is listed under DR 5 10 and 6 are specific items that we have typically seen in 11 audits of their electric utility. However, these are 12 committees that are for the Ameren board and Ameren officers which oversees both electric and gas. We were 13 14 not provided any of these committee minutes, agendas or 15 presentations for the dates listed, as well as any of 16 their meetings for their executive leadership team, 17 their senior leadership team or their Ameren leadership 18 team. We believe that this is -- this relates to gas 19 20 operations because they review such things as 21 compensation for not only their executives but also the 22 people below their executives that have control over not 23 only electric but gas operations. 24 MR. MITTEN: May I respond? 25 JUDGE CLARK: Yes.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	MR. MITTEN: First of all, information
2	regarding the board of directors has to go through one
3	person, Lou Brislane. When the DR was submitted, she
4	reviewed the DR to come up with responsive information
5	but subsequent to that she had carpal tunnel surgery and
6	as a result of that surgery developed pneumonia. So she
7	was out sick a lot longer than we expected.
8	I will tell you that DR No. 5 specifically
9	asked for information regarding the board of directors
10	that pertains to Ameren Missouri gas operations and
11	Ameren service operations. We believe the information
12	that we gave responds to that specific request. To say
13	it's less information than was provided in response to
14	similar requests for an electric operation ignores the
15	fact that Ameren Missouri's electric operations are
16	much, much larger and much, much more comprehensive than
17	are its gas operations.
18	And it's distinctly possible that some of
19	those board minutes and other materials that they
20	requested don't have anything to do with the gas
21	operations or Ameren services operations. I will tell
22	you that in response to staff's notification that they
23	had a problem with that. We're going to go back and
24	review all the board materials to make sure nothing that
25	was requested was left out. But again, the request was

very specific and very limited to the gas operations and Ameren services. And we will provide that information. But to say that our response was inadequate because we didn't provide information that was not requested I think is unfair.

6 JUDGE CLARK: Let me ask a quick follow up question. When you say answered questions regarding gas 7 8 which can be limitedly construed to when they mention the word gas or something broader where you have the 9 10 oversight of both gas and electric operations where 11 you're talking about overall executive compensation, 12 would you say that the latter would be information that you would not include in relation to that DR request? 13

14 If it relates to costs that were MR. MITTEN: 15 either directly assigned or allocated to gas operations, 16 we believe that's within the scope of the request. 17 And we're not saying -- and we believe that we have 18 provided all that information, but again we're going to go back and review it and we're going to make sure that 19 20 everything that could be construed as responsive to that 21 request is being provided.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. I think that kind of skirts my question about say, for example, executive compensation.

25

MR. MITTEN: Executive compensation to the

1	extent some of it is allocated to gas, yes, that's
2	relevant and we don't know that we haven't provided that
3	information. Again, we're going to go back and recheck
4	to make sure.
5	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. How long do you think it
6	will take to provide that information?
7	MR. MITTEN: The person who has access to that
8	information will not be back on the job until next week.
9	MR. BERLIN: Judge, if I could interject.
10	There's two points I'd like to make. I believe that
11	staff's concern is yes, obviously we are concerned about
12	Ameren Missouri gas because this is an Ameren Missouri
13	gas rate case. But auditors need to have access to the
14	entire board of directors minutes so that they can see
15	what is and what is not included in those minutes as it
16	may or may not affect the gas company. So they need to
17	be able to look at the entire scope of the minutes and
18	then make that determination as to whether it is
19	relative to the gas company or not.
20	MR. MITTEN: Judge, that's not what they asked
21	for. We're required to give them what they asked for,
22	not try and guess what they want and give them that. I
23	mean, I'll show you what the DR specifically says and we
24	believe we responded to that. If they want more than
25	that, then they're going to have to ask for more than

Г

1	that. It may be subject to an objection as to relevance
2	because just because they want to see information that
3	may be relevant to electric operations or something
4	other than is the subject of this rate case doesn't
5	necessarily mean that they're going to get to see it.
6	MR. CASSIDY: Judge Clark, my name is John
7	Cassidy. I'm an auditor with the Missouri Public
8	Service Commission staff. And let me just speak to a
9	few of the things that Mr. Mitten has commented on
10	today.
11	With regard to DR 5 and 6, staff just recently
12	completed its review of that information, and so we just
13	became aware of all of the missing documents that were
14	provided by Ameren. So this was really our first
15	opportunity to address this with you and we didn't want
16	to miss presenting this to you at the discovery
17	conference because the next one is April 2 and it would
18	be much too late for us to deal with that matter at that
19	time for our testimony.
20	So with regard to all of these committees that
21	we have listed on this second page, all of those
22	committees are applicable to gas because there are
23	employees that do work for both gas and electric. For
24	instance, compensation studies not only for executives
25	but for managers and lower level employees. So there's

a whole list of things here that we've provided here 1 2 that are applicable to gas. MS. FERGUSON: That also applies to Mr. 3 Murray's information because when they develop the cost 4 5 of capital and the return on equity that has to do with 6 utility as a whole which applies to electric and gas. 7 MR. CASSIDY: And also I just follow up on 8 Mr. Mitten's comment about Lou Brislane being out. I 9 find it unreasonable that only one person in the entire 10 company of Ameren can provide this information, and I 11 would point Mr. Mitten to a response to DR 21.1 in 12 ER-2016-0179 where Ameren's internal audit group did an 13 audit of the rate case process and that rate case 14 process was revamped and streamlined so that if people 15 were out or key people retired it would not delay their 16 response to staff data requests in rate cases. 17 MR. MITTEN: Judge, I don't know anything about that internal audit report. It's really not 18 19 germane to what we're talking about here. Board of 20 directors information is very, very sensitive, and it's 21 not at all unreasonable for Ameren or any other company 22 to restrict access to that to a very small number of 23 people. I'm sorry Ms. Brislane was out ill, but that 24 couldn't be avoided. But again, we believe we have provided staff the information that it requested in DRs 25

Г

1	5 and 6. We're going to go back and double check to
2	make sure. But again, if you ask for information that
3	pertains to Ameren Missouri gas operations or Ameren
4	services activities, that's what you're going to get.
5	You're not going to get information regarding electric
6	operations or operations other than gas and Ameren
7	services.
8	JUDGE CLARK: I guess my problem here is there
9	is a substantial amount of what I'm going to say is
10	overlap between those two and the issue seems to be
11	you're saying that the way the DR is framed is such as
12	to limit that information and your view is that the
13	company gets to be the redactor or editor of said
14	information and your view is that the staff gets to be
15	the redactor or editor of such information. I think in
16	regard to this
17	MR. BERLIN: Judge, if I could interject.
18	It's not that staff is doing any redactions. Staff is
19	
20	JUDGE CLARK: Is deciding what's relevant.
21	MR. BERLIN: undertaking discovery to
22	determine what's relevant to the gas operations.
23	JUDGE CLARK: Without going further into this,
24	relevance is an extraordinarily low bar. Do we have
25	somebody else on the line?

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

MR. OPITZ: This is Tim Opitz. I got 1 disconnected briefly. Sorry about that. 2 3 JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Opitz. I don't 4 think you've missed anything. 5 Let me ask this. I understand that we're on a 6 tight timeline here and I don't want to restrict the timeline, but I do see Mr. Mitten's point in regard to 7 8 how the question is phrased. Is there a way that the 9 data request could be phrased, and it might draw an 10 objection which might necessitate a motion to compel an 11 argument, but could a broader data request be designed 12 to get this or is the company willing to just give this up? I don't really -- I'm trying hard to understand the 13 14 harm to the company here and I'm not seeing it. 15 MR. MITTEN: Again, it's not a harm issue. 16 This discovery conference is to bring before you 17 complaints about our alleged failure to respond to staff 18 data requests. 19 JUDGE CLARK: I'm less inclined in any of 20 these discovery conferences to really get in to blame or 21 fault. My interest is in getting proper requests 22 answered. 23 MR. MITTEN: Judge, I understand that. But again, we responded to the request we got. We're now 24 25 told that they want more information. We're going to go

Г

1	back and look and maybe we can provide additional
2	information. But again, to say our response was
3	inadequate because we gave them what we believe they
4	asked for doesn't seem to be fair to me.
5	JUDGE CLARK: Again, I'm not here to establish
б	blame and I do see your point of view. So I guess my
7	question is, I think it's clear the information they're
8	requesting now does the company need a new DR with a
9	broader base or is the company willing to provide the
10	information that they've requested.
11	MR. MITTEN: Let me go back to my folks and
12	ask. I will let Mr. Berlin know either by the end of
13	the day today or tomorrow.
14	JUDGE CLARK: Is that satisfactory for now?
15	MS. FERGUSON: I would just like to comment
16	that DRs 5 and 6 are similar if not probably verbatim
17	the same as data requests that we've requested in the
18	past eight Ameren rate cases. So I don't know as it's
19	really a surprise what we're requesting here.
20	MR. MITTEN: But again, electric operations
21	are much larger than gas operations.
22	MS. FERGUSON: I'm sorry. Number two, yes,
23	but they're also overlap as we have already established.
24	MR. MITTEN: We don't know that we haven't
25	provided information that is overlapping.

I am notifying you right now 1 MS. FERGUSON: 2 that you have not. MR. CASSIDY: We know that you haven't. 3 We have specific documents that we know are embodied in 4 every electric case that pertain to compensation 5 6 studies, you know, numerous things that are equally 7 applicable to electric and gas and none of that was 8 provided to us in response to DRs 5 and 6. 9 MS. FERGUSON: Judge Clark, I believe if we 10 request another data request that puts us back another 11 20 days and we may or may not get the information that 12 we're still requesting. MR. MITTEN: Again, let us go back and see 13 14 what we have and what we can provide them and I will let 15 Mr. Berlin know today or tomorrow what we're willing to 16 provide to staff in response to these DRs. 17 MR. BERLIN: Judge, if I could interject, and 18 I want to just cut to the chase and offer a way out or a 19 solution here hopefully that would resolve all parties' 20 concerns. Before I go on to the other DRs, we'll talk 21 about nine of them, two from finance and then the 22 balance after 5 and 6 of these. What I was going to 23 suggest at the end of this conference is that we set up 24 a follow up discovery conference for March 18 which is a Monday where we can follow up on the concerns that have 25

been presented here at today's discovery conference and if anything new were to arise between now and then. So that's how I would propose that we go forward to allow the company to go back through the problem DRs, the unresolved DRs, and then we can hopefully have these matters wrapped up on or by the 18th.

7 JUDGE CLARK: That actually goes along the 8 lines of something I was thinking if we need to, basically if the company says they need to look back and 9 10 see which of these can be answered and then provide a 11 response, we need some check on the adequacy of those 12 responses and I don't want to wait until the 20 days in regards to objections, handle it if it's going to 13 14 substantially impact the timeline of getting things that 15 need to be done before testimony is submitted.

16 MR. MITTEN: We don't have a problem with a follow up conference. We'd also like to have an interim 17 18 process between now and then so we can try and figure 19 out what it is you want and what we can provide you so 20 that if we have to come back to you on the 18th we're 21 limiting ourself to issues that staff has asked for 22 something and we have said no for some particular 23 reason.

24 JUDGE CLARK: That would be fantastic. That's 25 ideally what I want.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	MR. MITTEN: But again, we can't I can't
2	know about issues if they're not brought to my
3	attention.
4	JUDGE CLARK: You've covered that point
5	several times and I do get it that you're walking into
6	this and you're unaware of some of these issues. So I
7	understand that. Like I said, I'm not here in any way
8	to play the blame game. Nobody in my mind yet has asked
9	or requested any kind of sanctions.
10	It sounds like you can go back and look
11	through these and decide what needs to be answered, get
12	with Mr. Berlin, and if it's unsatisfactory we can
13	revisit again on the 18th. So I don't have a problem
14	with that if nobody else has an objection to that.
15	MR. MITTEN: That's fine.
16	MS. FERGUSON: I don't. I will clarify I have
17	been working closely
18	JUDGE CLARK: Stop for just a second.
19	MS. FERGUSON: I apologize.
20	JUDGE CLARK: It sounds like there's a
21	breakdown in what I would call informal communication
22	between parties. I do think if you're not being
23	notified that stuff is not being answered then that's a
24	problem. I don't know if that's a problem between you
25	and the company or I don't know if that's a problem

between you and the other parties. That needs to be
resolved somehow.

MR. BERLIN: I would just point out, Judge, I 3 4 did give this handout. When I e-mailed you on Monday 5 saying we wanted to go forward with the discovery 6 conference, I did provide Mr. Mitten a list of our 7 concerns that we wanted to address so that the company 8 would not be blindsighted. The company does have to 9 understand too that when you have such a high number of 10 objections and such a high number of requests to extend 11 even indefinitely some due date for a response, that's 12 kind of a witch's brew of problems that is developing.

So I don't think there's any surprises there at all. But I think that we have again nine unresolved, two from finance, seven from auditing, DRs that I think Mr. Mitten can go back to the company on and hopefully we meet here again on the 18th we'll all be able to be happy with the results. That would be my goal.

19 JUDGE CLARK: What day is the 18th? 20 MR. BERLIN: That's a Monday. I would suggest 21 the afternoon for a follow up discovery conference. 22 MR. MITTEN: Judge, if I was unclear, 23 Mr. Berlin did provide this information to me on Monday

25 items that we were going to discuss today. In fact, I

so that there was no surprise in terms of a list of

24

called him last week when I found out that he wanted to 1 2 move forward with the discovery conference to see if we could begin a resolution process then. We're interested 3 in resolving as many of these outside a formal discovery 4 5 conference as we can. We'll try and do that before the 6 18th. 7 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Do we want to go through 8 the rest of these or do we want to try and handle them? 9 Do they all want to be umbrellaed in the same way? 10 MR. BERLIN: I would like to at least, at 11 least from staff's perspective, just go over the nine 12 Mr. Mitten may or may not be able to respond at DRs. 13 this point. But just for the record we already talked about 5 and 6. I won't belabor that. DR 30 we are 14 15 looking for reports to be provided showing the other 16 wages, the bonus, overtime, et cetera, as was provided in the electric rate case ER-2016-0179 and I'll refer 17 the electric rate case DR 56. 18 19 MR. HALL: Bob, is there some other document 20 you're looking at regarding the DR 30? 21 JUDGE CLARK: I'm trying to catch up with you 22 here. 23 MR. MITTEN: It's not on the list. There's a difference. 24 MS. FERGUSON: The list that you have in front of you are unanswered DRs. 25 Those

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	are beyond the due date or extension that need to be
2	answered.
3	JUDGE CLARK: You're talking about an
4	unsatisfactory answer.
5	MS. FERGUSON: What he's discussing is an
6	unsatisfactory answer of which I just wanted to say that
7	I have been working with Laura Moore of the company and
8	she has been helpful in trying to provide information.
9	Now, I don't know whether she has discussed this with
10	Mr. Mitten or not. That may be the communication
11	breakdown.
12	MR. MITTEN: This is not limited to DRs that
13	haven't been responded to because some of the ones on
14	the latter pages have to do with what staff considers to
15	be inadequate. We will be happy to look in to DR 30 and
16	see what information can be provided.
17	MS. FERGUSON: I apologize. He is correct. 5
18	and 6 is on your document as well. I do have a few more
19	that had inadequate responses or we believe did and I
20	can go through.
21	JUDGE CLARK: I just want to go out of this
22	conference today with a comprehensive list of what needs
23	to be addressed so that when we come back on the 18th
24	we've limited it down to the ones where there's truly we
25	don't think we should answer this, we think this should

1 be answered.

25

2 MS. FERGUSON: I think that's covered in the 3 document that everybody has with the exception of five 4 DRs.

5 MR. HALL: Just so we can follow along, just 6 glancing over the table I see Bob's paper has an Excel 7 spreadsheet on it. I see Russ has a paper with track 8 changes. But the document that Your Honor and public 9 counsel has does not have either the table or the track 10 changes. I'm not sure what you're all looking at.

11 MR. MITTEN: I can fill you in on the track 12 changes and would be happy to do that before we leave to 13 make sure everybody is up to speed on what has been 14 provided and what is still outstanding.

JUDGE CLARK: I don't care how it's done as long as you can all agree on the list of disputed ones. And any party can have a dispute as to one and then it goes on the list.

MR. MITTEN: Can we go over my updated information on the list of DRs that haven't been answered and maybe eliminate some of the discussion? MR. BERLIN: All right. Understand that what I was trying to do is to focus us down to nine DRs. MR. MITTEN: I want to get those nine DRs

before we leave. If some of those nine DRs are dealt

with in some of the information I'm going to provide, 1 2 that will take them off the list. MR. BERLIN: I'm certainly willing to discuss 3 DRs and give you an opportunity to talk to them. 4 5 MR. MITTEN: I don't know how the list that 6 I'm speaking from --JUDGE CLARK: We're looking at 23 DRs is my 7 8 understanding. 9 MS. FERGUSON: Yes. 10 JUDGE CLARK: So why don't -- I normally 11 prefer the moving party to go ahead and normally, Mr. 12 Berlin, I'd let you go through this; but if we can eliminate some right now, I'd just as soon eliminate 13 them from the list. Give me the numbers of the 23. 14 15 MR. MITTEN: DR 204, that response was filed 16 on the 4th. 17 JUDGE CLARK: Just the numbers. Let's just go through the numbers. Get the 23 numbers down and then 18 19 we'll address them individually. 20 MR. MITTEN: 23 numbers. MS. SHEMWELL: The number of the DR. 21 JUDGE CLARK: Yeah, the DR numbers. 22 23 MS. FERGUSON: The 14 that have now been 24 answered and the 9 that are inadequate. 25 JUDGE CLARK: That's correct.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

204. That response was filed on 1 MR. MITTEN: 2 the 4th. 198 was filed today. MS. SHEMWELL: Just want the number. 3 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. 4 5 MR. MITTEN: 195 has not been filed, but we 6 believe that answer will be provided by Friday. 193, 7 the same, the answer will be provided by Friday. Same 8 with 192. 191, that answer was published yesterday. 9 186, we believe the answer will be provided by Friday. 10 162, the answer was filed yesterday. 153, the 11 answer was filed yesterday. 151, the answer was filed 12 yesterday. 150, the answer was filed yesterday. 80.2, 13 the answer was filed yesterday. And 47.2 has not been 14 responded yet but I expect it will be filed before the 15 end of business today. 16 MR. BERLIN: Which one did you just mention? 17 MR. MITTEN: 47.2. 18 MS. FERGUSON: There are approximately four more on that list. You may have an older one. 19 20 MR. MITTEN: I have an older list and I don't 21 have those, Lisa, and I can't respond to the ones that 22 are on the list Bob passed out. 23 JUDGE CLARK: That's 14. What are the remaining ones? I don't really care who tells me. 24 25 MS. FERGUSON: 200, 185, 181 --

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

JUDGE CLARK: You mentioned 185, didn't he? 1 2 No, he did not. Go ahead. MS. FERGUSON: 181 and 173. 3 MR. MITTEN: 173 is outstanding, you're right. 4 5 JUDGE CLARK: What are the others? 6 MS. FERGUSON: So out of the ones that he did 7 not mention I have, there is 200, 185, 181 and 173. The 8 remainder of the ones that we haven't discussed outside 9 of what Russ has talked about were the inadequate ones. 10 MS. SHEMWELL: 204 may I ask the status. 11 MR. MITTEN: 204 was filed on the 4th. 12 JUDGE CLARK: The inadequate ones, list those 13 ones for me. 14 MS. FERGUSON: The inadequate? 5 and 6 which 15 we've already discussed, 30, 60, 61, 65, 95. MR. BERLIN: 16 There's also some finance. 17 MS. FERGUSON: Correct. MR. MURRAY: Financial analysis, although I --18 19 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear. 20 MR. MURRAY: I'm sorry. It's 82 through 82.2 21 that we're requesting a document each time. I got an 22 explanation as to why they didn't think it was relevant, 23 I believe. Then the other one is DR 83 which could be 24 resolved if DRs 5 and 6 are resolved with auditing as 25 far as having access to Ameren and Ameren Missouri's

board of director materials because Ameren Missouri and 1 2 Ameren obviously they do their financing for all their operations together and they're interrelated and that's 3 4 just another explanation as to why we're used to seeing 5 If I can address the ones 6 MR. MITTEN: 7 Mr. Murray just discussed, 82 through 82.2, we did 8 respond to each one of those. 9 JUDGE CLARK: I understand that. I've got 10 that on my they're considering an inadequate response. 11 MR. MITTEN: Can I explain to you the basis 12 for I quess the dispute? 13 JUDGE CLARK: I don't think we need to go there yet, because I think that that's something that 14 15 maybe can be worked out before the 18th. 16 MR. MITTEN: That's fine. 17 JUDGE CLARK: If it comes back, it comes back. 18 Right now I'm interested in what's going on with 200. 19 MS. SHEMWELL: May I ask about 181 or 81? 20 JUDGE CLARK: I'm getting to 181. 21 MS. SHEMWELL: 81. 22 MR. MITTEN: 200 I have no explanation as to 23 why that is still outstanding but we are running it down 24 to find out and try to get it responded to as quickly as possible. 25

JUDGE CLARK: 185. 1 2 MR. MITTEN: That one is with the lady who has been out and we won't be able to respond to that until 3 4 she returns next week. 5 JUDGE CLARK: That's outstanding as well. 6 181. 7 MR. MITTEN: 181, again, I don't have an 8 explanation as to why they hasn't been filed yet other 9 than we are working to get it done as quickly as 10 possible. 11 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. So it sounds like in 12 looking at this list, it looks like some answers have 13 been filed by today, some will be filed by Friday, some 14 were filed yesterday and it looks like there are four or 15 five on the unanswered list that are outstanding, one of 16 them 47.2 you indicated you believe will be answered 17 before the end of the day. We've just gone over the 18 list. And to me this totals more than 23. It looks 19 like we have some that the company believes that are 20 inadequately answered. And so we can go over specific 21 ones if there are specific objections that need to be 22 handled now or what we can do is you can take this 23 rather comprehensive list and try and work it out 24 informally and then I can rule on any ones that come 25 back on the 18th.

1 MR. MITTEN: So that I've got my notes 2 correct, Bob and Lisa, the ones that you believe were inadequately answered are 5 and 6, 30, 60, 61, 65, 95 3 4 and then Dave Murray had 82 through 82.2. 5 JUDGE CLARK: And I believe he said 83 but he said that would not be an issue if 5 and 6 were 6 7 appropriately answered. 8 MR. MURRAY: Yes. 9 MS. FERGUSON: Correct. JUDGE CLARK: And then Ms. Shemwell mentioned 10 11 Is that an inadequately answered or is that an 81. 12 outstanding one? 13 MS. SHEMWELL: You're asking for a DVD that 14 was provided to them on site that it be provided 15 physically I think. 16 MR. MURRAY: It was a reasonable accommodation 17 request in my opinion. All the reports were on DVD and 18 I had to go on site to look at them. I think it would 19 be reasonable for them to send it to staff and whoever 20 else. The information doesn't belong to 21 MR. MITTEN: 22 It belongs to a company called AlphaSense. And Ameren. 23 the agreement with AlphaSense does not allow that to be 24 provided to third parties which is why we have to make 25 it available for review on site.

JUDGE CLARK: I believe that standard court 1 2 discovery rules say that you have to make it available 3 and not unreasonable to get to. MR. MITTEN: And they're there on site. 4 Ιf 5 they prefer to have it in Jefferson City, I can make it 6 available in my office in Jefferson City, but we are prevented by the agreement with giving them a copy of 7 that information. 8 9 JUDGE CLARK: Would that be tenable? MR. MURRAY: That would be much more 10 11 acceptable. 12 JUDGE CLARK: Okav. That sounds like that 13 would at least resolve that one. 14 MR. MURRAY: So it would be available in your 15 office? 16 MR. MITTEN: Yes. 17 JUDGE CLARK: Would that be tenable for you, Ms. Shemwell? 18 19 MS. SHEMWELL: Yes. 20 JUDGE CLARK: Did you want to go over any 21 other specific ones? I know I kind of deviated from 22 what you wanted to do. 23 MR. BERLIN: No, Judge. I think we've got all 24 of them from auditing and finance. I think that 25 addresses them at this point in time.

Г

1	JUDGE CLARK: I think for this discovery		
2	conference I think an informal resolution is better		
3	where the parties try and work it out and then if these		
4	are outstanding issues next time it may merit a		
5	Commission order of some kind. I will say just from my		
6	perspective, and I'm sorry you're hamstrung a little bit		
7	by precedent, but if a DR request has been previously		
8	answered in another rate case by this company, I would		
9	be hard pressed to say that it shouldn't be answered in		
10	this one.		
11	MR. MITTEN: Well, the only problem is I think		
12	you're comparing apples and oranges in terms of the DR		
13	request that was made in the last electric rate case		
14	versus the one here.		
15	JUDGE CLARK: And that's an argument that can		
16	come up on the 18th.		
17	MS. SHEMWELL: Public counsel has two.		
18	JUDGE CLARK: Go ahead, Ms. Shemwell.		
19	MS. SHEMWELL: 1201 and 1202. I have been		
20	visiting with Mr. Mitten and I think that I was under		
21	the impression that it was Laura Moore who had been out		
22	of the country and has been ill.		
23	MR. MITTEN: She was ill and she was out for		
24	about a week early in February. So that has certainly		
25	delayed that. Lera, are those still outstanding because		

the list that I have of outstanding DRs does not show 1 2 those two? I will double check when I get back to my office. 3 MS. SHEMWELL: I just asked Amanda this 4 5 morning. She doesn't have -- Let me say that Amanda 6 feels the information she has gotten is that Ameren Gas 7 does not have any direct employees and so she is 8 concerned that there is potentially some real overlap in 9 terms of expense reports particularly for Ameren 10 officers but that she get all of them. 11 MR. MITTEN: Again, I don't know what DR that 12 -- we'll be happy to talk you about that. I will also check on 1201 and 1202. 13 MS. SHEMWELL: Okay. That's fine. 14 15 JUDGE CLARK: So possibly outstanding 16 possibly. 17 MR. MITTEN: We will certainly do everything 18 we can to get them responded to as quickly as possible 19 if they are outstanding. 20 JUDGE CLARK: My preference at this point is 21 to go with Mr. Berlin's idea of holding another 22 discovery conference on the 18th to see what issues have 23 been cleared up. I haven't got my calendar in front of 24 me. So I'm going to assume that the 18th is a good day. 25 It's usually a day I telecommute. I shouldn't have

1	anything scheduled then. I'll get to you in just a
2	second.
3	Does anybody have any problems with March 18
4	at two o'clock?
5	MR. MITTEN: I don't.
6	MS. SHEMWELL: I don't have my calendar but I
7	don't think so. Caleb is good.
8	JUDGE CLARK: Why don't we plan on that at
9	this point. You were going to say something?
10	MR. CASSIDY: Judge Clark, John Cassidy again.
11	Mr. Mitten, I would just offer we can submit a
12	supplement to DR 5 and 6 that is identical to what we
13	submitted in the electric case if you're you seem to
14	be interpreting that DR differently in this case than
15	the way it's been interpreted in all the other previous
16	electric cases. We can work towards resolving that by
17	wording it in a way that you think it needs to be worded
18	in order to provide the information that we seek.
19	MR. MITTEN: John, I'm going to have to plead
20	ignorance because I don't know what you asked for in the
21	electric case. Let's see if we can't resolve this
22	without having to do that. If you need to submit a
23	supplemental request, I will let you know.
24	MR. CASSIDY: Okay.
25	JUDGE CLARK: I think that's reasonable.

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Okay. Are there any other issues or matters that need 1 2 to be addressed by the Commission at this time? Staff counsel? 3 Thank you. 4 MR. BERLIN: No, Judge. JUDGE CLARK: Does that adequately address 5 6 what you wanted to address today? 7 MR. BERLIN: Yes, it is. I appreciate the 8 time and opportunity to bring these forward, and I 9 believe or hopefully believe we can get them all resolved by the 18th. 10 11 JUDGE CLARK: Ameren? MR. MITTEN: Yes, I think we're satisfied, 12 13 Judge. JUDGE CLARK: Office of the Public Counsel? 14 15 MS. SHEMWELL: We have had a question outside of this as to local public hearings. 16 17 JUDGE CLARK: I believe those are right now, 18 and I'm just going off the top of my head, I believe 19 those were scheduled from June 10 to the 14th. Does 20 that sound correct? 21 MS. SHEMWELL: I think that sounds right. 22 JUDGE CLARK: What was your particular 23 question? As to where? MS. SHEMWELL: Where the Commission is in the 24 25 process of.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

1	JUDGE CLARK: I have submitted those to my
2	administrative assistant a few weeks ago. I can check
3	on it today in regards to getting available locations
4	and security for those locations. I believe that I had
5	expressed between Columbia and Jefferson City having
6	one. I believe we settled on having one in Columbia.
7	MS. SHEMWELL: Judge, when you say June, it
8	does seem like a long way out. There's a long time to
9	get that done. So we won't worry about it.
10	JUDGE CLARK: It has been submitted to my
11	administrative assistant. I did ask to have locations
12	basically reserved for that.
13	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you.
14	JUDGE CLARK: I believe we settled on four.
15	MS. SHEMWELL: I believe so.
16	JUDGE CLARK: Anything else from the Office of
17	the Public Counsel?
18	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you, Judge.
19	JUDGE CLARK: Mr. Opitz, do you have anything
20	you'd like to add?
21	MR. OPITZ: I do not. Thank you, Judge.
22	JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Opitz. Okay.
23	Again, and I will issue an order to the effect that we
24	will have another discovery conference on March 18, 2019
25	at 2:00 p.m. here in Room 305 at which time we'll

1	address any of the issues that the parties were unable
2	to informally resolve.
3	Hearing no other issues before the Commission,
4	we will adjourn this discovery conference.
5	(Off the record.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
12	and place.
13	Beverly Jean Bentch
14	
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

GR-2019-0077 Vol. II Index: 1..afternoon

		4th 51:16 52:2 53:11	85 23:6
1	2		
1 24:11	2 39:17	5	9
10 23:16 61:19	20 23:4,20,22 26:16	5 21:3 22:17 24:3 25:3,	9 51:24
108 22:18	44:11 45:12	3 21.3 22.17 24.3 25.3, 23 34:4,10 35:4,8,9	90 23:3
	20-day 23:10	36:8 39:11 41:1 43:16 44:8,22 48:14 49:17	90 23.3 93 24:17
10:30 19:2,4	200 52:25 53:7 54:18,	53:14,24 56:3,6 60:12	
118 24:17 25:3	22	56 22:22 24:10 48:18	95 24:15 53:15 56:3
12 23:1	2010 24:11	58 24:16	Α
1201 58:19 59:13	2016 24:3,6 35:5	5:30 22:18 25:23	
1202 58:19 59:13	2017 24:12		a.m. 19:4
14 34:17 51:23 52:23	2019 19:3 62:24	6	above-entitled 64:7
142 22:22	204 25:22 51:15 52:1	6 19:3 24:5 25:3 34:4,	acceptable 27:10 57:11
147 24:17 25:4	53:10,11	10 35:8,10 39:11 41:1	access 38:7,13 40:22
14th 61:19	205 21:3	43:16 44:8,22 48:14 49:18 53:14,24 56:3,6	53:25
150 52:12	21.1 40:11	60:12	accommodation
151 52:11	23 23:19 34:20 51:7, 14,18,20 55:18	60 24:10 53:15 56:3	25:10 56:16
153 52:10	239 26:17,24 27:14	61 24:13,14 53:15	activities 41:4
157 23:8	244 27:11,14,15	56:3	add 27:21 62:20
162 52:10	25 25:14	63 23:8,21	additional 22:22,25
173 53:3,4,7	253 22:19,22 23:6,8	640 64:3,15	23:2,5 29:3 43:1
18 44:24 60:3 62:24	26:15	65 24:15 25:3 53:15	address 21:2,6 31:11 39:15 47:7 51:19 54:6
181 52:25 53:3,7 54:19,20 55:6,7	2:00 62:25	56:3 65202 21:3	61:5,6 63:1
185 52:25 53:1,7 55:1	3	68 24:17 25:3	addressed 49:23 61:2
186 52:9		69 24:17	addresses 57:25
18th 45:6,20 46:13	30 24:7 48:14,20 49:15 53:15 56:3		adequacy 29:2,7,10,
47:17,19 48:6 49:23 54:15 55:25 58:16	305 19:4 62:25	8	25 30:18 45:11
59:22,24 61:10	34 23:6	80.2 25:22 52:12	adequate 33:14
190 25:4	34 23.0	81 25:10 54:19,21	adequately 61:5
191 25:22 52:8	4	56:11	adjourn 63:4
192 52:8	409 21:2	82 25:9 53:20 54:7 56:4	administrative 62:2, 11
193 52:6	43 22:20 23:18 24:18,	82.1 25:9	advance 28:13 31:3
195 52:5	20 33:23	82.2 53:20 54:7 56:4	affect 38:16
198 52:2	47.2 52:13,17 55:16	83 25:9 53:23 56:5	afternoon 47:21

GR-2019-0077 Vol. IIndex: agendas..cetera

agendas 24:5,7 35:14	approximately 27:3,	basis 54:11	Brislane 36:3 40:8,23
agree 34:13 50:16	11 52:18	begin 19:11 48:3	broad 25:17
agreement 56:23	April 39:17	behalf 19:15,18,20	broader 37:9 42:11
57:7	argument 42:11 58:15	21:1,4	43:9
ahead 19:25 22:9		belabor 48:14	brought 33:10 46:2
35:3 51:11 53:2 58:18	arise 45:2	believes 25:14 55:19	Brydon 19:14
alleged 42:17	assigned 37:15	belong 56:21	Building 19:5 21:3
allocated 37:15 38:1	assistant 62:2,11	belongs 56:22	business 52:15
Alphasense 56:22,	Association 20:17	Bentch 64:3,15	
23	assume 33:13 59:24	Berlin 19:19,21,24	C
Amanda 59:4,5	attendance 21:18	21:13,24 22:9,10	Calch 20.8 60.7
Ameren 19:8,13,16 22:21 23:12,16,17	attention 33:11,13	26:11 28:7,8,13 29:16 30:22 31:8 32:18 34:1,	Caleb 20:8 60:7
24:4 28:18 35:12,17	46:3	22 35:1,4 38:9 41:17,	calendar 59:23 60:6
36:10,11,15,21 37:2 38:12 39:14 40:10,21	attorney 20:15 31:21	21 43:12 44:15,17 46:12 47:3,20,23	call 30:20 46:21
41:3,6 43:18 53:25 54:1,2 56:22 59:6,9	attorneys 19:12 28:18,25 31:2 33:21	46:12 47:3,20,23 48:10 50:22 51:3,12 52:16 53:16 57:23	called 22:13 48:1 56:22
61:11	audit 40:12,13,18	61:4,7	camera 22:7
Ameren's 28:25	auditing 27:24 34:23	Berlin's 59:21	capital 40:5
40:12	47:15 53:24 57:24 Be	Beverly 64:3,15	caption 64:8
amicably 32:24	auditor 26:22 39:7	bit 24:22 58:6	captioned 19:7
amount 41:9	auditors 30:5 34:1	blame 42:20 43:6	care 50:15 52:24
analysis 25:9,11,13	38:13	46:8	carpal 36:5
27:16 53:18	audits 35:11	blindsighted 47:8	case 19:6 23:12,13,
analysts' 25:12	avoided 40:24	board 20:17 24:4	15,16,17,19,21 24:10,
answers 55:12	aware 28:23 39:13	35:12 36:2,9,19,24 38:14 40:19 54:1	25 30:11,13,14 31:12, 25 33:23,24 38:13
anticipate 22:10			39:4 40:13 44:5 48:17,
apologize 27:17,22	B	Bob 48:19 52:22 56:2	18 58:8,13 60:13,14, 21
46:19 49:17	back 24:24 26:11	Bob's 50:6	cases 24:19 33:21
appearance 19:12 20:7	31:17 36:23 37:19	bonus 24:8 48:16	40:16 43:18 60:16
appearing 19:15,19	38:3,8 41:1 43:1,11 44:10,13 45:4,9,20	breakdown 46:21 49:11	Cassidy 39:6,7 40:7
	46:10 47:16 49:23	49.11 brew 47:12	44:3 60:10,24
appears 33:16	54:17 55:25 59:2		catch 26:7 48:21
apples 58:12	balance 44:22	briefly 42:2	CCR 64:3,15
applicable 39:22 40:2 44:7	bar 41:24	bring 29:11 31:13 42:16 61:8	CERTIFICATE 64:1
applies 40:3,6	bargain 25:1	bringing 32:11	Certified 64:4
appropriately 56:7	base 43:9	brings 33:13	certify 64:6
	basically 45:9 62:12		cetera 24:9 48:16

GR-2019-0077 Vol. Index: chase..directors

chase 44:18	46:21 49:10	confidential 22:5,8,	40:16 42:9,11,18 43:17 44:10
check 41:1 45:11	companies 33:6	confusion 27:21	data 10.2 22.25 47.11
59:2,13 62:2 City 57:5,6 62:5	company 19:7,16 22:15 25:1 26:9 29:14	34:19	date 19:3 22:25 47:11 49:1
clarify 34:15,22 46:16	31:17 33:7,8 38:16,19	considered 34:11	dates 35:15
Clark 19:2,10,17,24	40:10,21 41:13 42:12, 14 43:8,9 45:4,9 46:25	considers 49:14	Dave 56:4
20:4,11,24 21:4,21	47:7,8,16 49:7 55:19	construed 37:8,20	David 28:4
22:3 26:4,14,19,24	56:22 58:8	Consumers 20:14	day 43:13 47:19 55:17
27:7,13,18 28:2,6	comparing 58:12		59:24,25
32:20 33:15 34:6,12, 20 35:3,6,8,25 37:6,22	comparison 23:11	contact 19:21 28:24 29:14	
38:5 39:6 41:8,20,23	33:23	-	days 23:5,20,22 26:16 32:9 44:11 45:12
42:3,19 43:5,14 44:9	compel 30:20 31:19,	control 35:22	
45:7,24 46:4,18,20 47:19 48:7,21 49:3,21	22 32:3,4,11 42:10	conversely 27:1	deal 32:15 39:18 dealing 33:6
50:15 51:7,10,17,22,	compensation 35:21	сору 57:7	5
25 52:4,23 53:1,5,12	37:11,24,25 39:24	correct 33:11 34:10	dealt 32:25 50:25
54:9,13,17,20 55:1,5, 11 56:5,10 57:1,9,12,	44:5	49:17 51:25 53:17	debating 32:22
17,20 58:1,15,18	complaints 32:8	56:2,9 61:20 64:10	decide 46:11
59:15,20 60:8,10,25	42:17	cost 40:4	deciding 41:20
61:5,11,14,17,22 62:1, 10,14,16,19,22	completed 39:12	costs 37:14	delay 40:15
cleanup 24:11	complex 23:14	counsel 19:25 20:2,5,	delayed 58:25
clear 43:7	complicated 23:13	9 21:17 25:20 26:3 28:24 50:9 58:17 61:3,	designed 42:11
cleared 59:23	comprehensive	14 62:17	detail 26:2
	36:16 49:22 55:23	Counsel's 19:18	determination 38:18
close 30:18	concern 24:1,18,21 32:13 38:11	country 58:22	determine 41:22
closely 46:17	concerned 38:11	couple 26:4	develop 40:4
Columbia 21:3 62:5,6	59:8	court 19:22 20:3,9	developed 36:6
comment 29:17 30:9 40:8 43:15	concerns 22:14	26:20 53:19 57:1 64:4	•
commented 39:9	25:17,18 26:1 28:14 29:1,24 30:6,18 31:14	covered 46:4 50:2	developing 47:12
comments 21:14	44:20,25 47:7	crop 31:11	deviated 57:21
25:6 29:17	concludes 25:6	crossed 25:21	difference 48:24
Commission 19:5,21	conference 19:6	current 19:4 21:16	differently 60:14
26:23 31:23 39:8 58:5	20:19 21:10,16,18	24:4,6	difficult 28:22
61:2,24 63:3	22:13 29:21 30:23,24	cut 44:18	direct 30:2,3 31:16,21
Commission's 19:22	31:4,6,9,19,21 32:12, 16,21,22,23 39:17	cutoff 24:24	59:7
committee 24:4	42:16 44:23,24 45:1,		directly 28:25 29:1
35:14	17 47:6,21 48:2,5	D	37:15
committees 35:12	49:22 58:2 59:22 62:24 63:4		director 24:4 54:1
39:20,22		d/b/a 19:8,16	directors 36:2,9
communication	conferences 29:19 42:20	data 25:1 26:8,9 27:8	38:14 40:20

GR-2019-0077 Volndex: disconnected..front

disconnected 42:2	59:1	ER-2016-0179 23:15	35:7,9 40:3 43:15,22
discovery 19:6 20:19	due 47:11 49:1	40:12 48:17	44:1,9 46:16,19 48:24 49:5,17 50:2 51:9,23
21:9,11,15,18 22:13	DVD 25:13,15 56:13,	establish 43:5	49:5,17 50:2 51:9,23 52:18,25 53:3,6,14,17
29:19,21 30:23,24 31:3,6,9,11,12,19,21,	17	established 43:23	56:9
25 32:12,16,21,22,23,		exceeded 23:7,9	figure 45:18
25 33:5,7 39:16 41:21 42:16,20 44:24 45:1	E	Excel 50:6	file 19:9 30:1 31:22
47:5,21 48:2,4 57:2	e-mail 21:10	exception 50:3	32:4 33:12
58:1 59:22 62:24 63:4 discuss 47:25 51:3	e-mailed 20:17 47:4	executive 35:16 37:11,23,25	filed 33:14 51:15 52:1, 2,5,10,11,12,13,14
discussed 22:11	Earlier 34:16		53:11 55:8,13,14
49:9 53:8,15 54:7	early 58:24	executives 35:21,22 39:24	filing 32:11
discussing 49:5	economic 28:9	expect 52:14	fill 50:11
discussion 25:25	editor 41:13,15	expected 36:7	finance 34:24 44:21 47:15 53:16 57:24
27:5 50:21	effect 62:23	expense 59:9	financial 25:8,11,13
discussions 29:7	efficient 32:15	explain 24:14 54:11	27:16 53:18
dispute 29:9 50:17 54:12	efficiently 31:25	explanation 53:22	financing 54:2
disputed 50:16	electric 19:7,16	54:4,22 55:8	find 23:25 40:9 54:24
distinctly 36:18	23:12,15,19 24:9 30:11,13 34:6 35:11,	expressed 62:5	fine 22:1 29:9,10
distinguish 23:4	13,23 36:14,15 37:10	extend 47:10	46:15 54:16 59:14
Division 20:11,12	39:3,23 40:6 41:5 43:20 44:5,7 48:17,18	extension 23:7,10 49:1	firm 64:4
document 32:9 48:19	58:13 60:13,16,21	49.1 extent 38:1	five-year 24:25
49:18 50:3,8 53:21	eliminate 50:21 51:13		focus 50:23
documentation	embodied 44:4	extra 27:5	folks 43:11
23:23 24:22	employees 39:23,25	extraordinarily 41:24	follow 37:6 40:7
documents 39:13 44:4	59:7	·	44:24,25 45:17 47:21 50:5
44:4 double 41:1 59:2	encompass 33:24	F	foregoing 64:10
	end 29:2 43:12 44:23 52:15 55:17	fact 30:10,12,17 34:8	formal 48:4
draw 42:9	Energy 20:12,13,14	36:15 47:25	forward 24:12 25:25
Drive 21:2	England 19:15	failure 42:17	28:23 45:3 47:5 48:2
DRS 22:15,16,17,19, 20,21,24 23:1,3,7,9,	enormous 29:22	fair 43:4	61:8
14,16,18,20,21 24:1,2,	enter 19:12	fantastic 45:24	found 48:1
16,18,23 25:7,19,21, 25 27:16 28:10,11,14,	entire 25:2 38:14,17	fault 42:21	frame 23:25
15 29:22 30:6,9 31:14,	40:9	February 25:14 58:24	framed 25:18 41:11
18 33:23 34:17 35:2 40:25 43:16 44:8,16,	environmental 24:10	feels 59:6	Friday 52:6,7,9 55:13
20 45:4,5 47:15 48:12,	equally 44:6	Ferguson 26:17,21,	front 31:22 34:18 48:25 59:23
25 49:12 50:4,20,23, 24,25 51:4,7 53:24	equity 25:12 40:5	22 27:3,11,15,24 29:6, 13 34:3,7,10,15,21,25	

GR-2019-0077 Vol. II Index: full..June

full 64:10	25:7,19 47:4	inadequately 24:2	involves 25:12
	happy 47:18 49:15	55:20 56:3,11	Irving 20:1,2,4
G	50:12 59:12	inclined 42:19	issue 21:12 31:20
game 46:8	hard 42:13 58:9 harm 42:14,15	include 26:9 27:16 37:13	32:12 33:2,3 41:10 42:15 56:6 62:23
gas 19:9 23:17,21 35:13,19,23 36:10,17,	head 29:11 61:18	included 38:15	issued 23:14,17,18
20 37:1,7,9,10,15	head's 22:6	including 22:16	33:7
38:1,12,13,16,19 39:22,23 40:2,6 41:3,	hear 29:4 53:19	Increase 19:8	issues 21:12 30:25 31:3,6,11 32:14,15
6,22 43:21 44:7 59:6	heard 28:15 30:21	indefinitely 47:11	33:11 45:21 46:2,6
gathering 33:8	32:6,8	individually 51:19	58:4 59:22 61:1 63:1,3
gave 22:25 36:12 43:3	Hearing 20:12 21:7	Industrial 20:14	items 35:10 47:25
germane 40:19	63:3	informal 46:21 58:2	J
get all 59:10	hearings 61:16	informally 55:24 63:2	
give 21:14 22:6 32:5,	helpful 49:8	information 19:22	Jean 64:3,15
10 38:21,22 42:12 47:4 51:4,14	high 47:9,10	20:3,10 22:5 24:24 25:12 27:6,9 28:1	Jefferson 57:5,6 62:5
·	highlight 24:17	29:8,10,12,15 30:3	job 38:8
giving 57:7	hold 29:20 34:2,12	36:1,4,9,11,13 37:2,4, 12,18 38:3,6,8 39:2,12	John 19:10 39:6
glancing 50:6	holding 59:21	40:4,10,20,25 41:2,5,	60:10,19
goal 47:18	Honor 50:8	12,14,15 42:25 43:2,7,	join 21:5
good 20:6 59:24 60:7	Housing 20:20	10,25 44:11 47:23 49:8,16 50:20 51:1	Judge 19:2,11,17,19, 24 20:4,6,11,24 21:4,
Governor 19:5		56:21 57:8 59:6 60:18	13,21 22:3,12 26:4,14
GR-2019-0077 19:9		inkling 28:19	19,24 27:7,13,18 28:2, 6 29:18 31:8,20 32:20
grasp 33:5	idea 59:21	instance 30:15 39:24	33:15 34:2,6,12,20
greater 23:20,22	ideally 45:25	intended 31:10,24	35:3,6,8,25 37:6,22 38:5,9,20 39:6 40:17
group 25:9 40:12	identical 60:12	interest 42:21	41:8,17,20,23 42:3,19
guess 38:22 41:8 43:6 54:12	identify 26:20 28:3	interested 33:22 48:3	23 43:5,14 44:9,17 45:7,24 46:4,18,20
guide 26:13	ignorance 60:20	54:18	47:3,19,22 48:7,21
guide 20.10	ignores 36:14	interesting 23:25	49:3,21 50:15 51:7,10 17,22,25 52:4,23 53:1
н	ill 40:23 58:22,23	interim 45:17	5,12 54:9,13,17,20
	immediately 33:22	interject 29:16 38:9 41:17 44:17	55:1,5,11 56:5,10 57:1,9,12,17,20,23
Hall 20:8 48:19 50:5	impact 45:14	internal 40:12,18	58:1,15,18 59:15,20
hamstrung 58:6	impression 58:21	interpreted 60:15	60:8,10,25 61:4,5,11, 13,14,17,22 62:1,7,10
handed 25:19	inadequacy 29:15	interpreting 60:14	14,16,18,19,21,22
handle 45:13 48:8	inadequate 25:8	interrelated 54:3	jump 21:8
handled 55:22	26:18 34:11,18 37:3	involved 29:22	June 61:19 62:7
handout 21:17,19,22	43:3 49:15,19 51:24 53:9,12,14 54:10		

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

GR-2019-0077 VolIndex: keeping..objection

	GR-2019-00	77 VolInđex: kee	epingobjection
	59:1	meetings 35:16	22 32:3,4,11 42:10
К	listed 35:9,15 39:21	mention 21:20 25:5,	move 48:2
keeping 22:7	lists 24:11	11 37:8 52:16 53:7	moving 51:11
key 40:15	LLC 64:5	mentioned 53:1 56:10	Murray 27:20 28:2,4
kind 21:8 28:13 33:9	local 61:16	merit 58:4	34:25 53:18,20 54:7 56:4,8,16 57:10,14
37:22 46:9 47:12 57:21 58:5	locations 62:3,4,11	mind 46:8	Murray's 27:16 40:4
	long 38:5 50:16 62:8	minute 34:2	
L	longer 36:7	minutes 24:5,7 35:14	N
lady 55:2	lot 36:7	36:19 38:14,15,17	National 20:20
large 26:6 29:23 30:9	Lou 36:3 40:8	missed 21:7 42:4	Natural 19:9
larger 36:16 43:21	low 41:24	missing 39:13	necessarily 39:5
late 21:5 23:4,5,7,9	lower 39:25	Missouri 19:13,16,20 20:11,12,14,17,22,25	necessitate 42:10
26:16 29:23 39:18	M	21:1,3,4 24:4 26:22	needed 26:1
Laura 29:7 49:7 58:21		36:10 38:12 39:7 41:3 54:1 64:5	negotiation 27:5
Law 19:10 31:20	M-U-R-R-A-Y 28:5	Missouri's 19:8	28:1
lawyers 30:12,21 32:7	made 30:10,11 58:13 64:11	23:12 36:15 53:25	Note 25:21
32.7 lay 30:6	maintain 21:9	Mitten 19:14,17 22:2	notes 56:1 64:11
leadership 35:16,17	make 30:8 31:8,19	28:11 29:6,9 30:8 32:2 33:9,12 34:8 35:24	notification 36:22
leave 50:12,25	36:24 37:19 38:4,10,	36:1 37:14,25 38:7,20 39:9 40:11,17 42:15,	notified 46:23
left 36:25	18 41:2 50:13 56:24 57:2,5	23 43:11,20,24 44:13	notifying 44:1
Lera 20:7 58:25	making 28:25	45:16 46:1,15 47:6,16, 22 48:12,23 49:10,12	number 22:19,24 23:1 27:22 29:22 30:9
level 39:25	manage 31:25	50:11,19,24 51:5,15,	33:17 34:4 40:22
limit 23:10 41:12	managers 39:25	20 52:1,5,17,20 53:4, 11 54:6,11,16,22 55:2,	43:22 47:9,10 51:21 52:3
limited 33:5 37:1	map 21:24	7 56:1,21 57:4,16	numbers 26:6 51:14,
49:12,24	March 19:3 22:17	58:11,20,23 59:11,17 60:5,11,19 61:12	17,18,20,22
limitedly 37:8	25:23 44:24 60:3	Mitten's 29:17 40:8	numerous 44:6
limiting 45:21	62:24 material 22:11	42:7	0
lines 45:8	materials 36:19,24	moment 21:14	
Lisa 26:12,21 52:21 56:2	54:1 54:1	Monday 44:25 47:4, 20,23	object 30:9
list 25:7,21 28:14,16,	matter 19:7,11 39:18	month 32:17	objected 22:18,20,24 23:16,17 24:20 30:15
17 30:22 34:17 35:6	matters 45:6 61:1	Moore 29:7 49:7	33:19,24 34:7,8
40:1 47:6,24 48:23,24 49:22 50:16,18,20	means 23:6 32:4	58:21	objection 21:22
51:2,5,14 52:19,20,22	meet 47:17	morning 20:7 59:5	24:19 30:17 39:1 42:10 46:14
53:12 55:12,15,18,23	meeting 24:7 28:13	motion 30:19 31:19,	

GR-2019-0077 Volnder: objections..quickly

objections 22:16 28:20 30:10 33:17	oversees 35:13	physically 56:15	procedural 29:20 30:1
45:13 47:10 55:21	oversight 37:10	place 64:7,12	
obviate 32:11	overtime 24:9 48:16	plan 60:8	proceedings 64:6,9
October 24:3,6,11	overview 21:14 25:6,	play 46:8	process 32:1,19 40:13,14 45:18 48:3
35:5	17 26:12	plead 60:19	61:25
offer 26:2 28:23 30:4 44:18 60:11	P	pneumonia 36:6	proper 42:21
office 19:5,18,25 20:5,8 57:6,15 59:3 61:14 62:16	p.m. 22:18 25:23 62:25 pages 49:14	point 22:11 27:7 40:11 42:7 43:6 46:4 47:3 48:13 57:25 59:20 60:9	propose 35:1 45:3 provide 24:3,6,8 28:14 35:5 37:2,4 38:0 40:10 43:1,9 44:14,16
officer 24:6	paper 50:6,7	points 38:10	45:10,19 47:6,23 49:8 51:1 60:18
officers 35:13 59:10	part 32:18	possibly 59:15,16	
offices 19:22	participating 20:19	potentially 59:8	provided 22:25 24:9 25:13,15 29:8 35:14
older 52:19,20	parties 20:18 21:11	precedent 58:7	36:13 37:18,21 38:2
on-site 25:14	33:5 46:22 47:1 56:24	prefer 51:11 57:5	39:14 40:1,25 43:25 44:8 48:15,16 49:16
open-ended 23:3	58:3 63:1	preference 31:4	50:14 52:6,7,9 56:14,
opening 28:15	parties' 44:19	59:20	24
operation 36:14	party 21:23 50:17 51:11	prepare 31:16	providing 30:16
operations 35:20,23	pass 21:16	prepared 25:20 31:15	provisionally 25:2
36:10,11,15,17,21 37:1,10,15 39:3 41:3,	passed 52:22	present 26:2 64:6	public 19:20,25 20:5, 9 26:22 28:24 39:7
6,22 43:20,21 54:3	past 34:5 43:18	presentations 24:5,7 35:15	50:8 58:17 61:14,16
opinion 56:17	•	presented 22:5 45:1	62:17
Opitz 20:22 21:1,2	people 28:25 35:22 40:14,15,23	presenting 39:16	published 52:8
42:1,3 62:19,21,22	percent 22:20,23	pressed 58:9	purpose 22:7 30:24 31:9 32:22
opportunity 28:24 31:13 32:5,10 39:15	23:6,8,16,18,19,21	pretty 25:5 32:1	pushes 31:17
51:4 61:8	percentage 22:19,21	prevented 57:7	puts 44:10
oranges 58:12	23:21 29:23	previous 60:15	
order 31:20 58:5	period 24:25	previous 80.15	Q
60:18 62:23	person 36:3 38:7 40:9		
ourself 45:21	personally 64:6	prior 24:19 27:5 28:17 30:21,22 32:8 33:23	quarter 24:12
outstanding 50:14	perspective 27:2,9 48:11 58:6	34:6	question 26:19 34:2 37:7,23 42:8 43:7
53:4 54:23 55:5,15 56:12 58:4,25 59:1,15,	pertain 44:5	problem 22:2 29:5	61:15,23
19	pertains 36:10 41:3	31:2 32:5 36:23 41:8 45:4,16 46:13,24,25	questions 23:3 26:3
overlap 41:10 43:23 59:8	phone 30:20	58:11	5 37:7
	phrased 42:8,9	problems 28:16,19,	quick 21:21 26:4 37:6
overlapping 43:25	P.114004 12.0,0	22 47:12 60:3	quickly 54:24 55:9 59:18

GR-2019-0077 Vol. IIIndex: quote..showing

quote 29:2 30:18,19	remainder 53:8	responded 38:24	running 54:23
	remaining 52:24	42:24 49:13 52:14 54:24 59:18	Russ 50:7 53:9
R	Renew 20:22,24 21:1	responds 33:8 36:12	Russell 19:14
raised 30:19,20	reply 31:8	response 23:20,22	S
raising 32:14	report 40:18	27:17 29:25 30:16,19	
rate 23:12,16,19 24:10	reporter 19:23 20:3,9	33:12,14 36:13,22 37:3 40:11,16 43:2	sanctions 46:9
31:12,25 38:13 39:4 40:13,16 43:18 48:17,	26:20 53:19 64:1,4	44:8,16 45:11 47:11 51:15 52:1 54:10	satisfaction 27:19
18 58:8,13	Reporting 64:5	responses 21:7 25:8	satisfactorily 27:1,8,
real 21:21 29:24 59:8	reports 24:8 25:12 48:15 56:17 59:9	28:20 29:1,2 31:15,18	12
reason 27:15 45:23	representing 20:8	32:13 34:19 45:12 49:19	satisfactory 27:22 33:14 43:14
reasonable 25:10,15 32:25 33:19 56:16,19	28:18	responsive 36:4	satisfied 29:12 61:12
60:25	request 25:10,15 29:3 36:12,25 37:13,	37:20	scenes 33:10
received 24:12,25	16,21 42:9,11,24 44:10 56:17 58:7,13	responsiveness 22:15	schedule 29:20 30:1
receiving 28:17	60:23	rest 25:25 48:8	scheduled 29:19
30:22 32:9	requested 21:9 22:24	restrict 40:22 42:6	32:17 60:1 61:19
recently 39:11	23:2,5,7 29:20 36:20, 25 37:4 40:25 43:10,	result 36:6	School 20:17
recheck 38:3	17 46:9	results 47:18	scope 37:16 38:17
record 19:3 20:7 22:4 28:12 31:11 48:13	requesting 31:15	retired 40:15	security 62:4
63:5	43:8,19 44:12 53:21	return 40:5	seek 60:18
redactions 41:18	requests 26:8,9 27:8 32:25 33:7,18 36:14	returns 55:4	send 56:19
redactor 41:13,15	40:16 42:18,21 43:17	revamped 40:14	senior 35:17
refer 48:17	47:10	Revenues 19:8	sensitive 40:20
reflect 20:7	require 23:22 30:2	review 25:16 35:20	service 19:9,20 26:23 36:11 39:8
regard 20:15 26:15	required 23:20 38:21	36:24 37:19 39:12	services 36:21 37:2
33:15 39:11,20 41:16 42:7	reserved 62:12	56:25	41:4,7
register 29:1	resolution 48:3 58:2	reviewed 36:4	set 19:5 44:23 64:8
Regulatory 19:10	resolve 28:22 30:25 31:3,6 32:5,24 44:19	revisit 46:13	settled 62:6,14
31:20	57:13 60:21 63:2	road 21:24	sheet 26:12,13 64:8
relates 35:19 37:14	resolved 24:16 31:1	Robert 19:21	Shemwell 20:6,8
relation 37:13	47:2 53:24 61:10	Ron 20:2	22:1 51:21 52:3 53:10 54:19,21 56:10,13
relative 38:19	resolving 48:4 60:16	Room 19:4 62:25	57:18,19 58:17,18,19
relevance 39:1 41:24	resort 32:3	RPR 64:3	59:4,14 60:6 61:15,21, 24 62:7,13,15,18
relevant 38:2 39:3	respond 22:22 35:24 42:17 48:12 52:21	rule 55:24	show 38:23 59:1
41:20,22 53:22	54:8 55:3	rules 57:2	showing 24:8 48:15
			J

GR-2019-0077 Vol. Index: sick..unresolved

sick 36:7	start 26:5 34:12	32:7 33:25 37:11 40:19 49:3	told 27:13 42:25
side 33:2,3	starting 19:12	Tariffs 19:8	tomorrow 43:13 44:15
similar 36:14 43:16	State 64:5		-
simply 32:6	status 53:10	team 35:16,17,18	tool 31:24
sit 32:21	Stenotype 64:9,11	telecommute 59:25	top 61:18
site 25:16 56:14,18,25	step 26:12	telling 27:21	total 22:17,19,21,24 23:1 34:20
57:4	stop 32:20 46:18	tells 52:24	totals 55:18
skirts 37:23	streamlined 40:14	tenable 57:9,17	track 50:7,9,11
small 40:22	studies 39:24 44:6	terms 27:2 32:3 47:24	
solution 44:19	stuff 46:23	58:12 59:9	transcript 22:7 64:11
sought 22:21	subject 30:17 39:1,4	testimony 30:2,3 31:16,17 39:19 45:15	true 64:10
sound 61:20	submit 60:11,22	thereof 64:8	true-up 24:11,24
sounds 33:6 46:10,20	submitted 22:19 36:3	thing 28:9	Trust 20:20
55:11 57:12 61:21	45:15 60:13 62:1,10	things 29:4 33:17	tunnel 36:5
speak 39:8	subsequent 36:5	35:20 39:9 40:1 44:6	turn 25:24
speaking 51:6	substantial 33:17	45:14	type 23:3
specific 22:25 23:2,3	41:9	thinking 45:8	typically 35:10
24:1,18,23 25:19 31:14 33:18 34:4	substantially 45:14	thrown 26:7	
35:10 36:12 37:1 44:4	suggest 44:23 47:20	Tiger 64:4	U
55:20,21 57:21	Suite 21:3	tight 42:6	umbrellaed 48:9
specifically 36:8 38:23	supplement 60:12	Tim 20:22 21:2 42:1	unable 63:1
specifics 28:7	supplemental 22:17 60:23	time 19:4,6 21:6 22:22,25 23:2,5,8	unanswered 34:17 48:25 55:15
speed 50:13	supporting 26:2	24:20 27:7 29:3 31:5	unavailable 27:10
Spire 21:4,5	surface 31:13	32:14 33:1,4 39:19 53:21 57:25 58:4 61:2,	
spot 34:16	surgery 36:5,6	8 62:8,25 64:7,11	unaware 33:11 46:6
spreadsheet 50:7	surprise 43:19 47:24	timeline 42:6,7 45:14	unclear 47:22
staff 19:18,20 20:2	•	timeliness 29:24	understand 29:17 30:25 33:4 42:5,13,23
21:9,15 22:13 25:14, 18 26:1 28:14,19,24	surprises 47:13	timely 32:13	46:7 47:9 50:22 54:9
30:2 39:8,11 40:16,25	Swearengen 19:15	times 46:5	understanding 51:8
41:14,18 42:17 44:16	Т	timing 23:23	undertaking 41:21
45:21 49:14 56:19 61:3		today 21:10,18 28:15	unfair 37:5
staff's 27:2,9,19,23	table 50:6,9	39:10 43:13 44:15	Union 19:7,15
31:10 32:1,13 36:22	talk 21:25 31:14 44:20	47:25 49:22 52:2,15 55:13 61:6 62:3	unreasonable 40:9,
38:11 48:11	51:4 59:12	today's 19:3 20:19	21 57:3
standard 57:1	talked 48:13 53:9	45:1	unresolved 24:2,3,5,
	talking 28:11 31:2		13,15 27:4 34:23 35:4
	l	l	I

GR-2019HOODA: Woodsatlsfactorily..yesterday

45:5 47:14	55:23 58:3 60:16
unsatisfactorily	worked 54:15
26:10 27:1	working 30:13 33:21
unsatisfactory 27:23,25 46:12 49:4,6	46:17 49:7 55:9
	works 33:5
updated 25:23 50:19 utility 35:11 40:6	worry 62:9
unity 35.1140.0	wrapped 45:6
V	Υ
Vandiver 21:2	
verbatim 43:16	year 25:1
versus 58:14	years 25:2
view 32:1 41:12,14	yesterday 52:8,10,
43:6	11,12,13 55:14
viewpoint 31:10	
visit 25:14	
visiting 58:20	
w	
wages 24:8 48:16	
wait 45:12	
waiting 32:16	
walking 46:5	
wanted 47:5,7 48:1 49:6 57:22 61:6	
waste 31:5 33:1	
week 38:8 48:1 55:4 58:24	
weeks 62:2	
whittle 30:6	
witch's 47:12	
witnesses 30:2	
word 37:9	
worded 60:17	
wording 60:17	
work 21:19 39:23	