1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	In the Matter of Aquila, Inc. )
6	<pre>d/b/a Aquila Networks - L&amp;P and ) Aquila Networks - MPS to ) Case No. GR-2004-0072 Implement a General Rate Increase)</pre>
7	In Electricity.
8	
9	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
10	Volume 1
11	Wednesday, September 10, 2003 Governor Office Building
12	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
13	
14	
15	VICKY RUTH, presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY:
21	Patricia A. Stewart RMR, RPR, CCR, CSR
22	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS 714 West High Street
23	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND 312 East Capitol
4	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
5	FOR: Aquila Networks - MPS and Aquila Networks - L&P.
6	STUART W. CONRAD, Attorney at Law
7	FINNEGAN, CONRAD & PETERSON 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209
8	Kansas City, Missouri 64111
9	FOR: Sedalia Industrial Energy Users' Association and Ag Processing, Inc.
10	MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law
11	NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH 601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
13	FOR: Kansas City, Missouri.
14	SHELLEY A. WOODS, Assistant Attorney General ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
15	P. O. Box 899 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
16	FOR: Missouri Department of Natural Resources
17	
18	THOMAS R. SCHWARZ, JR., Deputy General Counsel PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION P. O. Box 360
19	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
20	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
21	DOUGLAS E. MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel
22	PUBLIC COUNSEL'S OFFICE P. O. Box 7800
23	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
24	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the Public.
25	CHE FUDITC.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE RUTH: Good afternoon.
3	We are here for a prehearing conference in
4	Case No. GR-2004-0072 regarding Aquila's request for a
5	natural gas rate increase.
6	My name is Vicky Ruth, and I'm the regulatory
7	law judge assigned to this case.
8	Today's date is September 10th, and it is about
9	five minutes after 1:00.
10	I'd like to begin by taking entries of
11	appearance.
12	And, Aquila, can we start with you?
13	MR. COOPER: Dean L. Cooper, the law firm of
14	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C., P.O. Box 456,
15	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf of
16	Aquila, Inc., doing business as Aquila Networks - MPS and
17	Aquila Networks - L&P.
18	JUDGE RUTH: Thank you.
19	Public Counsel.
20	MR. MICHEEL: Douglas E. Micheel, appearing on
21	behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the
22	public, P. O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri,
23	65102-7800.
24	JUDGE RUTH: Thank you.
25	And Sedalia Industrial Users.

1	MR. CONRAD: Yes, Judge Ruth.
2	On behalf of Sedalia Industrial Energy Users'
3	Association, Stuart W. Conrad of the law firm of
4	Finnegan, Conrad and Peterson, 1209 Penn Tower Office
5	Center, 3100 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri.
6	JUDGE RUTH: Thank you.
7	And also present we have Mr. Comley.
8	MR. COMLEY: Yes, ma'am.
9	Your Honor, appearing on behalf of the
10	potential intervenor City of Kansas City, let the record
11	reflect the appearance of Mark Comley with Newman,
12	Comley & Ruth, 601 Monroe Street, Jefferson City,
13	Missouri 65101.
14	JUDGE RUTH: Thank you.
15	And is it Ms. Woods?
16	MS. WOODS: Yes.
17	Shelley A. Woods, Assistant Attorney General,
18	Post Office Box 899, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102,
19	appearing on behalf of the Missouri Department of Natural
20	Resources, intervenor in this matter.
21	JUDGE RUTH: Thank you.
22	And is someone here for Cornerstone?
23	No.
24	Okay. And I still do not see anyone from Staff

25 at this time.

1	MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, Mr. Micheel and I will
2	endeavor to represent the interest of the public here,
3	along with the abled assistance of the Attorney General.
4	JUDGE RUTH: Okay.
5	If Staff comes in, I will try and make a note
6	that they are present. I imagine they are just running a
7	few minutes behind and hopefully should be here soon.
8	Okay. To begin with, I just want to mention
9	that the Commission issued an order this morning. It was
10	faxed to the parties, but you may not have seen it. It's
11	an Order Granting Applications to Intervene.
12	I have lots of copies. I'll set them up here
13	if you want to come and get them.
14	It granted the intervention of the Sedalia
15	Industrial Energy Users' Association, Cornerstone Energy
16	and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.
17	It did not address the application to intervene
18	the City of Kansas City. That will be addressed in a
19	separate order.
20	I would like to note, however, that the
21	Commission had issued an order earlier in the week
22	directing that any response to Aquila's objection to
23	Kansas City's intervention be filed by noon today.
24	MR. COMLEY: And it was filed at about ten
25	until 11:00.

1	JUDGE RUTH: Because I have not yet seen it.
2	Did you file that in paper copy?
3	MR. COMLEY: Yes, we did.
4	JUDGE RUTH: I have a feeling that it hasn't
5	been scanned on yet because it wasn't on the EFIS system
6	at about five until 1:00. So I'll make sure that I get a
7	copy of that later.
8	During the prehearing conference, if you feel a
9	need to recap, that I'll give you an opportunity, and if
10	we need some responses, we'll address that too.
11	Okay.
12	This early prehearing conference was scheduled
13	to allow the parties to meet and discuss the issues,
14	perhaps even resolving some of them.
15	In addition, it's to give the parties an
16	opportunity to work on a proposed procedural schedule,
17	which currently the Commission has directed that it be
18	filed no later than September 15th.
19	I understand that there are some issues with
20	hearing dates, and if the parties feel that that date
21	needs to be extended, I'll need someone to file a motion
22	to that effect. Otherwise, I'll expect to receive a
23	proposed procedural schedule by the 15th.
24	The case is currently scheduled for hearing
25	from January 20th through 23rd of 2004 and the 26th

- 1 through 30th, with a true-up hearing set, if necessary,
- for February 3 to 4.
- 3 The Sedalia Industrial Energy Users'
- Association has filed a request for the hearing to be
- 5 rescheduled. That motion, however, also asks that the
- 6 Commission not rule on it until after the pre-- this
- 7 early prehearing conference. So the Commission has not
- 8 yet ruled on it.
- 9 I had hoped that the Aquila electric and steam
- 10 case might free up some dates for this hearing, and it's
- 11 my understanding that the proposed procedural schedule
- that the parties filed for that case did free up the week
- of February 17th through 20th.
- 14 Ideally, I would have liked for it to have
- 15 freed up some dates in March. I think that would be
- 16 better. But I understand at this point that's what the
- 17 parties have proposed.
- Judge Jones is assigned to that case, and he
- 19 has not ruled on the parties' motion, but it looks like
- that might be a week that would be available.
- 21 I understand that is not a lot later, but it is
- an option for the party to keep in mind, and that's
- February 17th through 20th.
- 24 Now I'd like to mention that there has been
- some interest in scheduling a hearing as late as the

- beginning of March, and you're free to file whatever
- 2 proposed procedural schedule you want and propose any
- 3 changes, but I don't expect that the Commission will
- 4 approve any proposed procedural schedule that has a
- 5 hearing ending any later than May.
- 6 So if it begins in March, it would probably
- 7 have to end in March. But I, of course, can only guess
- 8 what the Commissioners will do. You're free to file
- 9 whatever you want.
- 10 I did also want to mention that the Commission
- 11 is considering holding local public hearings for this
- case in conjunction with the local public hearings in the
- 13 electric and steam cases.
- 14 The proposed procedural schedule for those
- 15 cases, I believe, suggested December 2nd and 3rd as
- 16 possible dates. You might want to keep that in mind for
- 17 this case.
- 18 Mr. Micheel.
- 19 MR. MICHEEL: Essentially, though, the service
- 20 territories are not contiguous for some of the electric
- 21 operations as it relates in the gas operations.
- JUDGE RUTH: And I might be wrong, but I
- thought there was some overlap.
- MR. MICHEEL: Well --
- 25 MR. COOPER: I think that's --

1	MR. MICHEEL: Raytown, they don't serve in
2	Raytown, gas in Raytown, Missouri. And they don't serve
3	gas in St. Joseph, Missouri. That's all Missouri Gas
4	Energy.
5	In looking at the proposed schedule, that's the
6	two local public hearings for the electric case.
7	MR. COOPER: Although I think St. Joe might be
8	a central location for some of those properties that are
9	up in that area, but I think Mr. Micheel's point is well-
10	taken.
11	JUDGE RUTH: The Commissioners have expressed
12	that interest to see if they could be done jointly, but
13	you might want to point that out
14	MR. MICHEEL: We will do so.
15	JUDGE RUTH: in the proposed procedural
16	schedule if it's not going to work to have them jointly,
17	because that idea has been tossed around.
18	And the last thing I want to mention about the
19	proposed procedural schedule is a request that the last
20	thing that you file that you propose to file, whether
21	it's a reconciliation of issues or whatever, be filed
22	approximately one week before the hearing starts.
23	And that is simply because the Commissioners
24	always have a prehearing briefing agenda before the
25	hearing begins. So they like to have everything in front

- of them when they have their last agenda session to talk
- 2 about it.
- 3 Sometimes that doesn't work out, but that's a
- 4 request I'll make, if you can keep that in mind.
- 5 Even if something is filed on Wednesday, it
- 6 might not go into the memo that the RLJ prepares, but at
- 7 least the memo would have it before their Thursday agenda
- 8 meeting before the hearing were to start on Monday, as
- 9 how it might fall out.
- 10 Are there any additional matters that need to
- 11 be addressed at this time?
- I don't see any -- I will note that several
- minutes ago Staff's attorney came in, and I didn't break
- 14 at that time.
- 15 It would be a good time for you to give your
- 16 entry of appearance.
- MR. SCHWARZ: Tim Schwarz, P. O. Box 360,
- 18 Jefferson City, Missouri, appearing for the Staff of the
- 19 Missouri Public Service Commission.
- JUDGE RUTH: I asked if there were any other
- 21 issues, and I am not seeing any.
- MR. CONRAD: I'm just looking for the first
- 23 time, Your Honor, at the Order Granting Applications to
- 24 Intervene.
- 25 It strikes me that perhaps it is well for me to

	1	mention,	that	in	vour	footnote	one	
--	---	----------	------	----	------	----------	-----	--

- JUDGE RUTH: Yes.
- 3 MR. CONRAD: -- you have correctly listed the
- 4 current members of the intervention group, but I did want
- 5 to call to your attention, as well as to others, if it's
- 6 necessary, that two of those listed, EnerSys, Inc., the
- 7 second line of the footnote, and ThyssenKrupp Stahl
- 8 Company, both do business in Warrensburg, Missouri.
- 9 Stahl also has a Kingsville location.
- 10 But those two companies, although they are
- 11 members of the intervention group, are not served by this
- 12 utility for their gas needs, but by Missouri Gas Energy,
- Warrensburg.
- And I mentioned that in our application.
- 15 JUDGE RUTH: Yes. Your application was quite
- 16 clear on that. I decided not to go into the details on
- 17 this.
- 18 MR. CONRAD: Well, that's fine. I just didn't
- 19 want somebody to be misled, you know.
- 20 JUDGE RUTH: And I'll also mention that when I
- 21 issued this, I was aware that the normal ten-day period
- 22 for filing objections to at least one of the applications
- 23 to intervene, I believe DNR's, the ten days had not yet
- 24 run. I wanted to go ahead and issue this to facilitate
- 25 today's prehearing conference.

1	If some party still has an objection to and
2	requests for intervention and the ten days has not run,
3	you may still file something and the order could be
4	addressed or amended as necessary.
5	Anything further? Okay.
6	I did bring down a copy of the Commissioners'
7	hearing calendar, if that is of any assistance. You're
8	free to look at it when you're looking at dates, but I'd
9	appreciate it, when you finish, if you would either give
10	it to Jo Ann or somebody, take it upstairs, so it's not
11	laying down, because it also has my name is on it.
12	And it lists the hearings. And then I penciled
13	out one set of dates in March where the Commissioners are
14	not available, and I noted that, which is why March 8th
15	through the 10th, that wasn't scheduled for any Aquila
16	case. It's because several, if not most, of the
17	Commissioners are going to be gone.
18	Otherwise, the Commission's hearings and
19	prehearing conferences are all listed on here.
20	And as you know, if something is listed in 305,
21	then 310 is still available, but we can't have two actual
22	hearings going on. We can have a prehearing conference
23	and a hearing but not two evidentiary hearings.
24	So here is this.
25	There is copies of the intervention, or order

1	granting intervention, if you want.
2	And that will conclude the on-the-record
3	portion of the prehearing conference.
4	We'll go off the record.
5	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the
6	Prehearing Conference was concluded.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	