Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Incentive Comp - RSU Witness: Kelly S. Hasenfratz
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
File No.: GR-2021-0241

Date Testimony Prepared: October 15, 2021

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FILE NO. GR-2021-0241

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KELLY S. HASENFRATZ

 \mathbf{ON}

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI

St. Louis, Missouri October 15, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	RESTRICTED SHARE UNITS	3
III.	SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN	6

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KELLY S. HASENFRATZ

FILE NO. GR-2021-0241

1	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q. Please state your name and business address.
3	A. My name is Kelly S. Hasenfratz. My business address is One Ameren Plaza
4	1901 Chouteau Ave., St. Louis, Missouri.
5	Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?
6	A. I am employed by Ameren Services Company ("AMS") as Director
7	Compensation & Performance.
8	Q. Please describe your educational background and employmen
9	experience.
10	A. I was awarded a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from th
11	University of Missouri-Columbia. I have over 25 years of experience with Ameren is
12	increasingly responsible roles - 18 of which were focused on total rewards and huma
13	resources-related activities. I have been in my current role since December 2015.
14	In addition to my education and experience as described above, I also atten-
15	continuing education programs related to human resources, total rewards, and
16	compensation. I have participated in the Compensation & Benefits Council for Th
17	Conference Board, a network of professionals focused on trends, metrics, and challenge
18	in compensation and benefits. I also participate in the Willis Towers Watson Compensation
19	Affinity Group, which allows compensation professionals to share ideas and stay current

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- on relevant trends. Further, throughout my career, I have successfully managed many
- 2 strategic projects, including compensation structure design and implementation, incentive
- 3 plan redesign, benefit plan redesign, total rewards strategy, benefits administration
- 4 outsourcing, and technology implementations.

Q. What are your responsibilities in your current position?

6 A. As Director, Compensation & Performance, I am responsible for overseeing 7 the strategy, design, and delivery of broad-based compensation and executive 8 compensation programs and processes for Ameren Corporation and its subsidiary 9 companies (collectively, "Ameren"), including AMS, Ameren Illinois Company, and 10 Ameren Missouri ("Ameren Missouri" or the "Company"). This includes base pay 11 infrastructure, merit, short- and long-term incentive programs, paid time off, and 12 recognition programs. I am also responsible for providing relevant information and 13 supporting materials for the Human Resources Committee of the Ameren Board of 14 Directors. In addition, I am responsible for the executive compensation portions of the 15 annual proxy statement for Ameren and its subsidiary information statements.

Q. To what testimony or issues are you responding?

A. I am responding to the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff Cost of Service Report ("COS Report") regarding short-term and long-term incentive compensation. Specifically, I will address why the Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") in the Ameren Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") reflect prudent and reasonable compensation for employees and should not be excluded from the revenue requirement to be set in this case. Additionally, Staff's COS Report discusses the 2019 Short-Term Incentive Plan for Officers ("STIP") and the associated metrics and weighting; however, the STIP metrics

- and weightings have changed since 2019 and the plan that will be in effect once rates are
- 2 reset in this case is the 2021 STIP, which only ties 70% of the payout to earnings per share.
- 3 Therefore, I will explain the changes to ensure that the appropriate costs are reflected in
- 4 the revenue requirement.

5 II. <u>RESTRICTED SHARE UNITS</u>

- Q. Please explain your understanding of Staff's recommendation related to the cost of RSU awards.
- 8 A. Staff recommends that the entire cost of the RSUs be assigned to
- 9 shareholders, rather than reflecting them in the revenue requirement used to set Ameren
- 10 Missouri's electric rates.

13

- 11 Q. What is the basis for the adjustment?
- 12 A. Staff claims that **"

14

- 15 Q. Do you agree with the basis for this adjustment?
- 16 A. No. I do not believe that Staff's characterization accurately describes RSU
 17 awards and how the RSUs fit into the employees' total compensation package.
- 18 Q. What are Restricted Stock Units?
- A. RSUs represent the right to receive stock depending solely on an employee's continued employment with Ameren through a defined vesting period. They
- are awarded to encourage retention and longevity with Ameren.

P

¹ File NO. ER-2021-0240, Staff Cost of Service Report, p. 67, ll. 13-16)

1	Q.	Why were RSUs added to the LTIP?
2	A.	RSUs were added to the LTIP in **
3		
		**
	Q.	Who is eligible for RSUs?
	A.	Members of the **
		,** are eligible for
	RSUs, which	are granted under the LTIP.
	Q.	Do Restricted Stock Units vest over a defined period of time based
	solely on cor	ntinued employment?
	A.	Yes, RSUs vest over a 38-month period, as long as the employee is still
	employed at	the time the award is paid.
	Q.	How do RSUs differ from other forms of compensation?
	A.	RSUs are a component of the total compensation package offered **
	**how	ever, RSUs are different insofar as they are of no value to the employee unless
	the employee	e remains employed for 38 months after the RSUs are awarded, at which time
	they vest. Ba	ase pay and short-term incentive compensation, while necessary components

6

- 1 of Ameren's total compensation package, do not require an employee to remain employed
- 2 for 38 months before receiving payment. So, while all compensation incentivizes
- 3 employment itself, RSUs specifically incentivize continued employment for an established
- 4 duration. That established duration promotes a stable and experienced workforce, which
- 5 benefits customers as I discuss further below.

Q. Are RSUs based on shareholder-oriented goals?

- 7 A. No. Staff states that employees who receive RSUs are directly incentivized
- 8 to increase Ameren's stock price, which is a shareholder benefit. This is an incorrect
- 9 characterization/assumption. RSUs are not awarded based on financial performance or
- 10 other corporate performance measures that incentivize decisions that benefit shareholders.
- 11 Instead, RSUs are awarded solely on an employee's continued employment with Ameren
- 12 through the vesting period. By Staff's logic, merit increases based upon employee
- performance that increases profitability, such as being more productive, reducing costs, or
- increasing revenues, should be excluded from the revenue requirement because the stock
- price increases caused by their work is a "shareholder benefit." It is illogical to conclude
- that productivity, reduced costs, or increased revenues does not benefit customers. As
- 17 addressed below, RSUs also benefit customers.

Q. Are RSUs different than Performance Share Units ("PSUs")?

- 19 A. Yes. The award of RSUs is not tied to shareholder return, whereas the award
- of PSUs, which are also part of the LTIP, is primarily tied to shareholder return. Ameren
- 21 Missouri is not requesting recovery of PSUs tied to the Total Shareholder Return ("TSR")
- 22 performance measure in this proceeding.

1	Q.	You noted above that RSUs benefit customers. How?					
2	A. RSUs are a common component of total compensation for **						
3							
4		** which will provide more					
5	effective and	efficient management, leading to lower overall costs and better service, but					
6	also by avoidi	ng the productivity loss and replacement costs associated with turnover.					
7	Q.	How does a stable workforce create cost savings?					
8	A.	Significant personnel turnovers should be avoided from a pure operations					
9	standpoint, for obvious reasons. Further, losing an employee can be costly, given not only						
10	the lost investment in employee training and temporary productivity, but also the costs						
11	associated wi	th replacing talent, including separation, recruiting, productivity, and					
12	onboarding	costs. **					
13							
14							
15		**					
16		III. SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN					
17	Q.	Has the Ameren Short-Term Incentive Plan been updated since 2019?					
18	A.	Yes, the Ameren STIP, which applies **,** was updated in 2020					
19	and again in 2	021.					
20	Q.	What changes were made to the STIP?					
21	A.	The STIP metrics and associated weightings were adjusted in 2020, and					
22	again in 2021.	In particular, the weighting associated with earnings per share ("EPS") was					
23	reduced from	80% in 2019 to 75% in 2020. Also in 2020, two customer satisfaction					

- 1 measures were added, with a weighting of 2.5% each. In 2021, the weighting associated
- 2 with EPS was further reduced from 75% to 70% and two Diversity, Equity & Inclusion
- 3 metrics were added to the plan, with a weighting of 2.5% each.

4 Q. What are the metrics for the STIP for 2021?

5 A. The 2021 STIP metrics and associated weightings are as follows:

Metric	Weight
Financial Performance: Earnings Per Share (EPS)	70%
Safety: Safety c2c Participation rate Safety Coaching c2c	7.5% 2.5%
Operational Performance: Callaway Performance Index (CPI)	5%
Customer: SAIFI (Reliability) JD Power Ranking (Customer Perception) Ameren Listens After Call Survey (Customer Satisfaction)	5% 2.5% 2.5%
<u>Diversity, Equity & Inclusion</u> – <i>New in 2021</i> Supplier Diversity Workforce Diversity	2.5% 2.5%

6 Q. Should the revenue requirement for the STIP be adjusted as Staff

7 proposes?

- 8 A. Given that the Staff Report states that this is based on the assumption that
- 9 the STIP was based 80% on EPS, when in fact the 2021 plan that will be in effect once
- rates are reset in this case only ties 70% to earnings per share, the revenue requirement
- should be increased such that 30% of the payout is included² before consideration of the

² File No. GR-2021-0241, Staff's Cost of Service Report, p. 124, l. 19

- 1 allocation of costs to the Company's gas and electric operations as reflected in Company
- 2 witness Mitchell Lansford's rebuttal testimony.
- 3 Q. Staff also proposed that the three customer performance metrics be
- 4 excluded from the revenue requirement for gas operations. Is the adjustment reasonable?
- 5 A. No. Staff takes no exception to the Company's allocation of the STIP costs to
- 6 gas operations.³ However, Staff then subsequently removes costs from gas operations (in a
- 7 manner consistent with a disallowance) while stating those costs do not relate to gas operations.
- 8 If further adjustment were deemed appropriate, Staff failed to include any amounts removed
- 9 from the Company's gas revenue requirement in its electric revenue requirement. Consequently,
- any adjustment must reflect the corresponding adjustment to the electric revenue requirement.
- 11 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.

³ The Company included only 4.72% of STIP costs that are not tied to earnings per share in the gas revenue requirement. *See* File NO. GR-2021-0241, Company workpaper MJL-WP-094.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

1 ,	Case No. GR-2021-0241							
)								
AFFIDAVIT OF KELLY S. HASENFRATZ								
Kelly S. Hasenfratz, being first duly sworn on her oath, states:								
My name is Kelly S. Hasenfratz and on her oath declare that she is of sound mind and								
foregoing Rebut	ttal Testimony; and further, under the per	ıalty						
of perjury, that the same is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.								
_	/s/ Kelly S. Hasenfratz							
]	Kelly S. Hasenfratz							
/ n	sworn on her oan stratz and on her eforegoing <i>Rebu</i> correct to the best	Case No. GR-2021-0241) TIT OF KELLY S. HASENFRATZ The sworn on her oath, states: Infratz and on her oath declare that she is of sound mind the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and further, under the performance to the best of my knowledge and belief.						

Sworn to me this 15th day of October, 2021.