

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Prehearing Conference

February 5, 2013
Jefferson City, Missouri
Volume 1

In the Matter of Summit)
Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.'s)Case No. GO-2013-0360
Proposed Conversion Rebate Program)

RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR
Midwest Litigation Services
3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
Jefferson City, MO 65109
(573) 636-7551

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2 For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:

3 Mr. Jeffrey Keevil
Public Service Commission
4 P.O. Box 360
5 Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 526-4887

6
7 For Office of Public Counsel and the Public:

8 Mr. Marc Poston
Office of Public Counsel
9 200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 2230
10 Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-5558

11
12 For Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.:

13 Mr. Dean L. Cooper
Brydon, Swearngen & England, PC
14 P.O. Box 456
312 East Capitol Avenue
15 Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 635-7122

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you. Good
3 morning. We are on the record. This is the prehearing
4 conference in File No. GO-2013-0360 in the Matter of
5 Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.'s Proposed Conversion
6 Program Extension.

7 I'm Ron Pridgin. I'm the Regulatory Law Judge
8 assigned to preside over this prehearing conference being
9 held on February 7th, 2013, in the Governor Office
10 Building in Jefferson City, Missouri.

11 The time is 10 a.m. I'd like to get entries of
12 appearance from Counsel, please, beginning with Summit.

13 MR. COOPER: Dean Cooper on behalf of Summit
14 Natural Gas and Missouri, Inc. The court reporter has the
15 -- has the address. And with me today are Martha Wankum,
16 and on the telephone Michelle Moorman, both of the
17 company.

18 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Cooper, thank you. On
19 behalf of the Staff of the Commission, please.

20 MR. KEEVIL: Appearing on behalf of the Staff of
21 the Public Service commission, Jeff Keevil, P.O. Box 360,
22 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. And we also -- we have
23 several Staff members here for the -- when the prehearing
24 commences.

25 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Keevil, thank you. On

1 behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel, please.

2 MR. POSTON: Thank you. Mark Poston appearing
3 for the Office of Public Counsel and the public.

4 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Poston, thank you. Is there
5 anyone else here wishing to enter an appearance? All
6 right. Hearing none, I am going to try to get out of your
7 way as quickly as I can, the reason being is, at least as
8 I understand it, Summit would like to file direct
9 testimony today, and so I expect the parties have a lot to
10 talk about and need to get to pretty quickly.

11 Could I trouble the parties, or at least one
12 party, to file something by 5:00 today, at least let me
13 know whether we have an objection to the proposed
14 procedural schedule or kind of let me know what's going
15 on, if nothing else?

16 MR. COOPER: Well, your Honor, I think --
17 really, the reason I file that had proposed procedural
18 schedule was we have had some conversations, or at least
19 some communication, prior to today. And at least, in my
20 opinion, Staff and OPC had other ideas as to how the case
21 should proceed.

22 So they may say differently, but -- but my
23 impression is that we -- we probably are going to need
24 responses and -- and your ruling to -- to resolve that
25 issue.

1 Now, Mr. Keevil and Mr. Poston may have other --
2 other points of view on that.

3 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Right.

4 MR. COOPER: But that was my impression and the
5 reason for filing that.

6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I understand.

7 MR. KEEVIL: Judge, let me jump in here. I
8 honestly think there are some procedural matters which the
9 Ninth Floor, either you or the Commission, probably needs
10 to address early on here because that, to me -- the -- if
11 you've read the pleadings, like you said, Mr. Cooper filed
12 a -- filed a proposed schedule this morning.

13 Yesterday afternoon -- or no. Yesterday
14 morning, I guess, I filed a Request for Order Directing
15 Notice, and, also, I guess it was really in the
16 alternative, for two things.

17 One, that Summit be ordered to file an
18 application and then serve that according to the
19 Commission's application rules, or, in the alternative, at
20 least notice be issued to other public utilities in the
21 service territory as well as other parties that the
22 Commission might deem in need of notice.

23 And I -- I think -- and then I saw in
24 Mr. Cooper's pleading this morning, he, rather than filing
25 an application, suggested filing a Motion for Variance,

1 which while -- I mean, you could accomplish the notice --
2 I guess some of the notice issues there. There would also
3 be -- you know, technically speaking, I still don't think
4 -- I'm sure Mr. Cooper would disagree with me. I don't
5 think that would still comply with the -- the rules.

6 Now, if the Ninth Floor wants to proceed in that
7 way, as long as we get the notice issue accomplished, I
8 suppose I can -- if you guys say, Okay, we don't need an
9 application, you can waive -- waive the application rule,
10 waive the -- all the other rules that would also be
11 required in addition to the -- the promotion to practice
12 rule.

13 You know, if you want to proceed that way, we
14 can proceed that way. However, I -- I still think there's
15 a question as to which way we're going to proceed on this,
16 which could, in turn, affect, you know, whether we get any
17 Intervenors.

18 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Right.

19 MR. KEEVIL: Which would also, obviously, effect
20 the procedural schedule. So there's that procedural issue
21 kind of hanging out there because they haven't filed an
22 application, which I think may need to be resolved before
23 we can actually come to the procedural schedule with
24 three-way agreement.

25 Obviously, they want to move as fast as

1 possible. We wouldn't be opposed to moving faster than
2 normal, but I don't think we want to move as fast as they
3 want to move. So -- and part of that, like I said, a lot
4 of it, actually, hinges on whether we end up trying the
5 issue of procedure as well as the issue of, you know, the
6 ultimate issue of should the promotional practice tariff
7 be extended another two years or whatever. So I just
8 mention that to make sure you're aware of all that's
9 hanging out there.

10 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Poston, did you have
11 comments?

12 MR. POSTON: Yeah. I concur with Mr. Keevil
13 that the first step, we think, needs to be an application
14 with the proper notice going out and then move forward
15 from there.

16 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. And -- and I -- you know,
17 I think I understand the parties' positions. And if -- if
18 the parties really have the dispute that it appears that
19 you do, it's something I would want to take to the full
20 Commission to get their ruling on how they want to move
21 forward.

22 And so I guess that's why I'm -- I'm trying to,
23 more or less, get out of your way and let you continue
24 talking like you've been talking -- like you told me,
25 which is kind of what I assumed you'd be talking about is

1 what can we -- can we agreed on how to proceed?

2 And if not, then you need let me know something
3 today so I can give the Commission some notice, you know,
4 put something on the agenda as quickly as possible, give
5 them as much notice, and say, How do you want to proceed?

6 MR. KEEVIL: Right. That's kind of what I was
7 saying.

8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Right.

9 MR. KEEVIL: How we wind up -- how we wind up
10 proceeding -- you know, if we get the notice issue
11 accomplished, the how then kind of, to my way of thinking,
12 becomes a Ninth Floor issue assuming --

13 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Right.

14 MR. KEEVIL: -- they remain where they are. The
15 other thing I want to throw out here, when you initially
16 suspended the tariff for 60 days, I was not clear -- and
17 not saying it was something you had to put in the Order.
18 But when -- I mean, I've seen that done before for two
19 purposes.

20 No. 1 is to kind of allow the parties to get
21 together and propose something, or that -- that may have
22 been -- I mean, I've also seen, I mean, as a result of
23 that, parties come together and say, okay, suspend it
24 another X number of days and then fit procedural schedule
25 into the slightly extended period, or whether you were

1 trying to, you know, say, Okay, we -- we have to get this
2 thing done in 60 days because that's, you know, what it
3 was suspended for or whether you were contemplating
4 finishing the case in 60 days or the potential of further
5 suspension beyond the 60 days, or whether you even
6 thought --

7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: My thought was instead of just
8 randomly suspending it for, you know, six months plus 120
9 days or whatever, just -- just like maybe a lot of other
10 tariffs, just suspend it for a short amount of time.
11 Let's get this case moving.

12 If -- if it can't get resolved within the 60
13 days, we can deal with that later. It's just -- I just
14 wanted kind of a brief, immediate suspension, and that's
15 not to say it wouldn't get suspended further.

16 Again, I would want to take that to the
17 Commission as well because I think 60 days is fairly
18 brief. And anything beyond that, I would want the
19 Commission's input on.

20 Do we really want to keep dragging this out into
21 the spring or summer, or do we want this resolved quickly?
22 I hope that answers your question.

23 MR. COOPER: Judge, just to respond to a couple
24 of things that Mr. -- Mr. Keevil, you know, in terms of
25 how the case gets started, the prior pleadings have

1 outlined that the company believes that I think it's
2 30.255 kind of provides an alternate way to start this
3 variance waiver process.

4 Now that the tariff has been suspended, and,
5 certainly, we think that's an issue before the Commission.
6 We think it was T'd up by the tariff filing. In the
7 pleading we filed this morning, we state that we don't
8 object to -- to notice being provided.

9 You know, whether that changes what the
10 procedural schedule can be, I think, depends on whether
11 anybody shows up --

12 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Right.

13 MR. COOPER: -- and where they fit in the
14 process. But -- but this tariff is -- is -- the
15 conversion program is very important to the company
16 because of where it is with -- with that -- that Branson
17 area expansion.

18 And, you know, to a certain extent, a long delay
19 is essentially taking it away because of the timing. So
20 -- so the timing is very important to the company. And
21 that's why we -- we certainly tried to propose a schedule
22 that would allow the Commission to make a decision one way
23 or the other within that 60-day period.

24 And just so everybody knows, you had mentioned
25 that the company wants to file direct testimony. I think,

1 actually, as we were walking over here, the company did go
2 ahead and file the direct testimony. So I think it's
3 filed and available for -- for folks to see at this point
4 in time. And, obviously, the significance of that will be
5 decided at some later date. But -- but it has been filed.

6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.

7 MR. POSTON: Can I just jump in here?

8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Sure.

9 MR. POSTON: Just on whether we should try to
10 squeeze in the 60 days. Ideally, this should have been
11 filed back in August, September. You know, the program
12 expired December 31st, and they filed this on December
13 28th.

14 It didn't really give anybody a whole lot of
15 time to do anything before the program would be suspended.
16 So I just want to throw that out there that -- that I
17 don't feel like we should have to crunch, you know, our
18 time frame because they didn't file it when they should
19 have.

20 MR. KEEVIL: I would concur with that. They
21 knew the thing was going to expire December 31st, and they
22 waited until December 28th, 2012, to file the thing. So
23 if they wanted -- if this was really that important to
24 them, there was to reason they couldn't have filed in June
25 of 2012, and we wouldn't have this crunch.

1 And I would, also, just mention a, quote,
2 promotional practice to encourage conversion from other
3 heating sources than natural gas, the thing has been in
4 effect since 1994. I have never in my -- I'm not saying
5 it's never happened, but I have personally never seen a
6 conversion promotional practice in effect for 20 years
7 when the purpose is to help the -- a start-up company get
8 started.

9 You know, this thing should -- I don't know why
10 this thing is still here after 20 years, but it is, and we
11 have to deal with it. But to say that this is somehow
12 because of Branson that they now need this conversion, I
13 mean, it's -- it's been there for 20 years.

14 It would seem to me if they were going to be
15 viable or not, it should have taken place sometime over
16 the past 20 years.

17 MR. COOPER: Of course, Mr. Keevil wasn't
18 involved in that Branson certificate case or any of the
19 issues that went on there. I think my memory is that the
20 Staff kind of threw up its hand and said, Well, if
21 somebody's willing to loan the money, we guess it's
22 viable.

23 I mean, it -- it was known early on that that
24 was -- it was a service that Branson wanted badly, but it
25 was something that was going to have to be worked hard at

1 to get sufficient penetration to make that system --

2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And if I can interrupt, we're
3 getting into substance, and I'm not going to be able to
4 help you resolve any substance issues today. And I
5 understand, you know, everyone's got -- got some
6 disagreement and some frustration, but I can't help you
7 resolve that today.

8 And I want to refocus, really, on the procedure.
9 And that may be more difficult to pull apart from each
10 other than they would be in normal cases, and I understand
11 that. But I -- I guess I'm kind of wanting to wrap up my
12 bit and at least give you time to say -- either talk --
13 either reach an agreement or don't and then let me know
14 something today so that I can at least let the Commission
15 know we've had a dispute -- we've got a procedural dispute
16 that we need the Commission to resolve quickly, so I can
17 give them some time to chew on it for agenda next week.

18 So is there -- and -- and, obviously, you know,
19 any pleadings that you wanted to file to give the
20 Commission guidance on why the Commission should -- you
21 know, motions, objections or whatever, I don't have to
22 tell you this, but feel free to do so. It makes my job
23 easier. It makes the Commission's job easier.

24 So is there anything else that the parties want
25 to bring to my attention? Mr. Poston?

1 MR. POSTON: I do have a question. In your --
2 one of your Orders, you stated that the Commission needed
3 more evidence, I think it was, or -- just what were you
4 thinking? Are you thinking in lines of the -- the
5 promotional practices rule and -- and the good cause
6 showing? Is that what you were referencing when you --

7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: More -- well, just more so that
8 we -- that the Commission didn't have any kind of record.
9 It just had a tariff filing and had parties objecting to
10 the tariff. So the Commissioners wanted to suspend the
11 tariff and see if the parties needed to go to hearing or
12 not, basically.

13 MR. POSTON: So that wasn't necessarily a
14 statement as to what procedural you think needs to be
15 filed?

16 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Correct. Correct. Anything
17 else I can do for the parties before I go off the record?
18 All right.

19 Ms. Moorman, please stay on the line. I'm going
20 to wrap up here in a minute and leave the room. And if
21 you'll stay on the line for them, please.

22 MS. MOORMAN: Thank you.

23 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. If there's nothing
24 further from the parties? All right. We will go off the
25 record in the prehearing in Case No. GO-2013-0360. Thank

1 you. We are off the record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3 STATE OF MISSOURI)

) ss.

4 COUNTY OF OSAGE)

5

6 I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
7 Certified Court Reporter #0538, and Registered
8 Professional Reporter, and Notary Public, within and for
9 the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was
10 personally present at the proceedings as set forth in the
11 caption sheet hereof; that I then and there took down in
12 stenotype the proceedings had at said time and was
13 thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately
14 set forth in the preceding pages.

15

16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
17 seal on February 7, 2013.

18

19

20

21

Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0539

22

Registered Professional Reporter

23

24

25

A	B			
able 13:3	back 11:11	commences 3:24	course 12:17	encourage 12:2
accomplish 6:1	badly 12:24	comments 7:11	court 3:14 16:7	England 2:13
accomplished 6:7 8:11	basically 14:12	commission 1:1	crunch 11:17	enter 4:5
accurately 16:13	beginning 3:12	2:2,3 3:19,21	11:25	entries 3:11
addition 6:11	behalf 3:13,19	5:9,22 7:20	CSR 1:20	essentially 10:19
address 3:15	3:20 4:1	8:3 9:17 10:5	16:21	everybody 10:24
affect 6:16	believes 10:1	10:22 13:14	D	everyone's 13:5
afternoon 5:13	beyond 9:5,18	13:16,20,20	D 1:13 3:1	evidence 14:3
agenda 8:4	bit 13:12	14:2,8	date 11:5	expansion 10:17
agreed 8:1	Boulevard 1:21	Commissione... 14:10	days 8:16,24	expect 4:9
agreement 6:24	Box 2:4,9,14	Commission's 5:19 9:19	9:2,4,5,9,13	expire 11:21
13:17	3:21	13:23	9:17 11:10	expired 11:12
agree 8:1	Branson 10:16	communicati... 4:19	deal 9:13 12:11	extended 7:7
agreement 6:24	12:12,18,24	company 3:17	Dean 2:13 3:13	8:25
13:13	brief 9:14,18	10:1,15,20,25	December 11:12,12,21	Extension 3:6
ahead 11:2	bring 13:25	11:1 12:7	11:22	extent 10:18
allow 8:20	Brydon 2:13	comply 6:5	decided 11:5	
10:22	Building 3:10	concur 7:12	decision 10:22	F
alternate 10:2	C	11:20	deem 5:22	fairly 9:17
alternative 5:16,19	C 2:1 3:1	conference 1:5	delay 10:18	fast 6:25 7:2
amount 9:10	Capitol 2:14	3:4,8	depends 10:10	faster 7:1
answers 9:22	caption 16:11	contemplating 9:3	differently 4:22	February 1:7
anybody 10:11	case 1:10 4:20	continue 7:23	4:22	3:9 16:17
11:14	9:4,11,25	conversations 4:18	difficult 13:9	feel 11:17
apart 13:9	12:18 14:25	conversion 1:11 3:5	direct 4:8	13:22
appearance 3:12 4:5	cases 13:10	10:15 12:2,6	10:25 11:2	file 3:4 4:8,12
appearing 3:20	cause 14:5	12:12	Directing 5:14	4:17 5:17
4:2	CCR 1:20	Cooper 2:13	disagree 6:4	10:25 11:2,18
appears 7:18	16:21	3:13,13,18	disagreement 13:6	11:22 13:19
application 5:18,19,25	certain 10:18	4:16 5:4,11	dispute 7:18	filed 5:12,14
6:9,9,22 7:13	certainly 10:5	6:4 9:23	13:15,15	6:21 10:7
area 10:17	10:21	10:13 12:17	dragging 9:20	11:3,5,11,12
assigned 3:8	certificate 12:18 16:1	Cooper's 5:24	E	11:24 14:15
assumed 7:25	Certified 16:6	14:16	E 2:1,1 3:1,1	filing 5:5,24,25
assuming 8:12	16:7	Counsel 2:7,8	early 5:10	10:6 14:9
attention 13:25	certify 16:9	Correct 14:16	12:23	finishing 9:4
August 11:11	changes 10:9	3:12 4:1,3	easier 13:23,23	fired 5:11
available 11:3	chew 13:17	COUNTY 16:4	East 2:14	first 7:13
Avenue 2:14	City 1:7,21 2:5	couple 9:23	effect 6:19 12:4	fit 8:24 10:13
aware 7:8	2:10,15 3:10		12:6	Floor 5:9 6:6
a.m 3:11	3:22		either 5:9	8:12
	clear 8:16		13:12,13	folks 11:3
	come 6:23 8:23			forth 16:10,14

forward 7:14 7:21 frame 11:18 free 13:22 frustration 13:6 full 7:19 fully 16:13 further 9:4,15 14:24	held 3:9 help 12:7 13:4 13:6 hereof 16:11 hereunto 16:16 hinges 7:4 honestly 5:8 Honor 4:16 hope 9:22	14:23 jump 5:7 11:7 June 11:24	Matter 1:10 3:4 matters 5:8 Mealy 1:20 16:6,21 mean 6:1 8:18 8:22,22 12:13 12:23 members 3:23 memory 12:19 mention 7:8 12:1 mentioned 10:24 Michelle 3:16 Midwest 1:20 minute 14:20 Missouri 1:1,7 1:10 2:2,12 3:5,10,14,22 16:3,9 MO 1:21 2:5,10 2:15 money 12:21 Monnie 1:20 16:6,21 months 9:8 Moorman 3:16 14:19,22 morning 3:3 5:12,14,24 10:7 Motion 5:25 motions 13:21 move 6:25 7:2 7:3,14,20 moving 7:1 9:11	need 4:10,23 5:22 6:8,22 8:2 12:12 13:16 needed 14:2,11 needs 5:9 7:13 14:14 never 12:4,5,5 Ninth 5:9 6:6 8:12 normal 7:2 13:10 Notary 16:8 notice 5:15,20 5:22 6:1,2,7 7:14 8:3,5,10 10:8 number 8:24
G G 3:1 gas 1:10 2:12 3:5,14 12:3 getting 13:3 give 8:3,4 11:14 13:12,17,19 go 11:1 14:11 14:17,24 going 4:6,14,23 6:15 7:14 11:21 12:14 12:25 13:3 14:19 good 3:2 14:5 Governor 3:9 GO-2013-0360 1:10 3:4 14:25 guess 5:14,15 6:2 7:22 12:21 13:11 guidance 13:20 guys 6:8	I Ideally 11:10 ideas 4:20 immediate 9:14 important 10:15,20 11:23 impression 4:23 5:4 initially 8:15 input 9:19 interrupt 13:2 Intervenors 6:17 involved 12:18 issue 4:25 6:7 6:20 7:5,5,6 8:10,12 10:5 issued 5:20 issues 6:2 12:19 13:4	K keep 9:20 Keevil 2:3 3:20 3:21,25 5:1,7 6:19 7:12 8:6 8:9,14 9:24 11:20 12:17 kind 4:14 6:21 7:25 8:6,11 8:20 9:14 10:2 12:20 13:11 14:8 knew 11:21 know 4:13,14 6:3,13,16 7:5 7:16 8:2,3,10 9:1,2,8,24 10:9,18 11:11 11:17 12:9,9 13:5,13,15,18 13:21 known 12:23 knows 10:24	N N 2:1 3:1 natural 1:10 2:12 3:5,14 12:3 necessarily 14:13	O O 3:1 object 10:8 objecting 14:9 objection 4:13 objections 13:21 obviously 6:19 6:25 11:4 13:18 Office 2:7,8 3:9 4:1,3 okay 6:8 7:16 8:23 9:1 11:6 OPC 4:20 opinion 4:20 opposed 7:1 Order 5:14 8:17 ordered 5:17 Orders 14:2 OSAGE 16:4 outlined 10:1
H hand 12:20 16:16 hanging 6:21 7:9 happened 12:5 hard 12:25 hearing 4:6 14:11 heating 12:3	J Jeff 3:21 Jefferson 1:7 1:21 2:5,10 2:15 3:10,22 Jeffrey 2:3 job 13:22,23 Judge 1:14 3:2 3:7,18,25 4:4 5:3,6,7 6:18 7:10,16 8:8 8:13 9:7,23 10:12 11:6,8 13:2 14:7,16	L L 2:13 Law 1:14 3:7 leave 14:20 Let's 9:11 line 14:19,21 lines 14:4 Litigation 1:20 loan 12:21 long 6:7 10:18 lot 4:9 7:3 9:9 11:14	M Madison 2:9 Marc 2:8 Mark 4:2 Martha 3:15	P P 2:1,1 3:1 pages 16:14

part 7:3	8:13 9:7	put 8:4,17	responses 4:24	11:4
parties 4:9,11	10:12 11:6,8	P.O 2:4,9,14	result 8:22	six 9:8
5:21 7:17,18	13:2 14:7,16	3:21	right 3:2 4:6	slightly 8:25
8:20,23 13:24	14:23	<hr/> Q <hr/>	5:3 6:18 8:6,8	somebody's
14:9,11,17,24	prior 4:19 9:25	question 6:15	8:13 10:12	12:21
party 4:12	probably 4:23	9:22 14:1	14:18,24	sources 12:3
PC 2:13	5:9	quickly 4:7,10	Ron 3:7	speaking 6:3
penetration	procedural	8:4 9:21	RONALD 1:13	spring 9:21
13:1	4:14,17 5:8	13:16	room 14:20	squeeze 11:10
period 8:25	6:20,20,23	quote 12:1	RPR 1:20	ss 16:3
10:23	8:24 10:10	<hr/> R <hr/>	rule 6:9,12 14:5	Staff 2:2 3:19
personally 12:5	13:15 14:14	R 2:1 3:1	rules 5:19 6:5	3:20,23 4:20
16:10	procedure 7:5	randomly 9:8	6:10	12:20
place 12:15	13:8	reach 13:13	ruling 4:24	start 10:2
pleading 5:24	proceed 4:21	read 5:11	7:20	started 9:25
10:7	6:6,13,14,15	really 4:17 5:15	<hr/> S <hr/>	12:8
pleadings 5:11	8:1,5	7:18 9:20	s 1:10,20 2:1	start-up 12:7
9:25 13:19	proceeding	11:14,23 13:8	3:1,5 16:6,21	state 1:1 10:7
please 3:12,19	8:10	reason 4:7,17	saw 5:23	16:3,9
4:1 14:19,21	proceedings	5:5 11:24	saying 8:7,17	stated 14:2
plus 9:8	1:3 16:10,12	Rebate 1:11	12:4	statement
point 11:3	process 10:3,14	record 3:3 14:8	schedule 4:14	14:14
points 5:2	Professional	14:17,25 15:1	4:18 5:12	stay 14:19,21
positions 7:17	16:8,22	referencing	6:20,23 8:24	stenotype
possible 7:1 8:4	program 1:11	14:6	10:10,21	16:12
Poston 2:8 4:2	3:6 10:15	refocus 13:8	seal 16:17	step 7:13
4:2,4 5:1 7:10	11:11,15	Registered	see 11:3 14:11	Street 2:9
7:12 11:7,9	promotion 6:11	16:7,22	seen 8:18,22	substance 13:3
13:25 14:1,13	promotional	Regulatory	12:5	13:4
potential 9:4	7:6 12:2,6	1:14 3:7	SENIOR 1:14	sufficient 13:1
practice 6:11	14:5	remain 8:14	September	suggested 5:25
7:6 12:2,6	proper 7:14	REPORTED	11:11	Suite 1:21
practices 14:5	propose 8:21	1:20	serve 5:18	summer 9:21
preceding	10:21	reporter 3:14	service 1:1 2:2	Summit 1:10
16:14	proposed 1:11	16:6,7,8,22	2:3 3:21 5:21	2:12 3:5,12
prehearing 1:5	3:5 4:13,17	REPORTER'S	12:24	3:13 4:8 5:17
3:3,8,23	5:12	16:1	Services 1:20	suppose 6:8
14:25	provided 10:8	Request 5:14	set 16:10,14,16	sure 6:4 7:8
present 16:10	provides 10:2	required 6:11	sheet 16:11	11:8
preside 3:8	public 1:1 2:2,3	resolve 4:24	short 9:10	suspend 8:23
Presiding 1:13	2:7,7,8 3:21	13:4,7,16	Shorthand	9:10 14:10
pretty 4:10	4:1,3,3 5:20	resolved 6:22	16:6	suspended 8:16
Pridgin 1:13	16:8	9:12,21	showing 14:6	9:3,15 10:4
3:2,7,18,25	pull 13:9	respond 9:23	shows 10:11	11:15
4:4 5:3,6 6:18	purpose 12:7		significance	suspending 9:8
7:10,16 8:8	purposes 8:19			suspension 9:5

9:14 Swearengen 2:13 system 13:1	13:12,17 16:12 timing 10:19 10:20 today 3:15 4:9 4:12,19 8:3 13:4,7,14 told 7:24 transcribed 16:13 TRANSCRI... 1:3 tried 10:21 trouble 4:11 Truman 1:21 try 4:6 11:9 trying 7:4,22 9:1 turn 6:16 two 5:16 7:7 8:18 T'd 10:6	8:5,15 9:16 9:18,20,21 11:16 13:8,24 wanted 9:14 11:23 12:24 13:19 14:10 wanting 13:11 wants 6:6 10:25 wasn't 12:17 14:13 way 4:7 6:7,13 6:14,15 7:23 8:11 10:2,22 week 13:17 went 12:19 we're 6:15 13:2 we've 13:15,15 WHEREOF 16:16 willing 12:21 wind 8:9,9 wishing 4:5 WITNESS 16:16 worked 12:25 wouldn't 7:1 9:15 11:25 wrap 13:11 14:20	10 3:11 120 9:8 1994 12:4 <hr/> 2 <hr/> 20 12:6,10,13 12:16 200 2:9 2012 11:22,25 2013 1:7 3:9 16:17 207 1:21 2230 2:9 28th 11:13,22 <hr/> 3 <hr/> 30.255 10:2 31st 11:12,21 312 2:14 3432 1:21 360 2:4 3:21 <hr/> 4 <hr/> 456 2:14 <hr/> 5 <hr/> 5 1:7 5:00 4:12 526-4887 2:5 573 1:22 2:5,10 2:15 <hr/> 6 <hr/> 60 8:16 9:2,4,5 9:12,17 11:10 60-day 10:23 635-7122 2:15 636-7551 1:22 65102 2:5,10,15 3:22 65109 1:21 <hr/> 7 <hr/> 7 16:17 7th 3:9 751-5558 2:10
<hr/> T <hr/> take 7:19 9:16 taken 12:15 talk 4:10 13:12 talking 7:24,24 7:25 tariff 7:6 8:16 10:4,6,14 14:9,10,11 tariffs 9:10 technically 6:3 telephone 3:16 tell 13:22 terms 9:24 territory 5:21 testimony 4:9 10:25 11:2 thank 3:2,18 3:25 4:2,4 14:22,23,25 thing 8:15 9:2 11:21,22 12:3 12:9,10 things 5:16 9:24 think 4:16 5:8 5:23 6:3,5,14 6:22 7:2,13 7:17 9:17 10:1,5,6,10 10:25 11:2 12:19 14:3,14 thinking 8:11 14:4,4 thought 9:6,7 three-way 6:24 threw 12:20 throw 8:15 11:16 time 3:11 9:10 11:4,15,18	<hr/> U <hr/> ultimate 7:6 understand 4:8 5:6 7:17 13:5 13:10 utilities 5:20 <hr/> V <hr/> variance 5:25 10:3 viable 12:15,22 view 5:2 Volume 1:8 <hr/> W <hr/> W 1:21 waited 11:22 waive 6:9,9,10 waiver 10:3 walking 11:1 Wankum 3:15 want 6:13,25 7:2,3,19,20	<hr/> X <hr/> X 8:24 <hr/> Y <hr/> Yeah 7:12 years 7:7 12:6 12:10,13,16 Yesterday 5:13 5:13 <hr/> # <hr/> #0538 16:7 #0539 16:21 <hr/> 1 <hr/> 1 1:8 8:20	