## In the Matter of:

## The Application of Spire Missouri Inc.

## GO-2018-0309 & GO-2018-0310, VOL 4

April 20, 2020



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	Procedural Conference
7	April 20, 2020
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 4
10	
11	
12	
13	In The Matter Of The Application) Of Spire Missouri Inc. To
14	Establish An Infrastructure ) File No. System Replacement Surcharge ) GO-2018-0309
15	In Its Spire Missouri East ) Service Territory )
16	In The Matter Of The Application)
17	Of Spire Missouri Inc. To ) Establish An Infrastructure ) File No.
18	System Replacement Surcharge ) GO-2018-0310 In Its Spire Missouri West )
19	Service Territory )
20	NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding
21	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES
2	JOHN CLIZER, Senior Counsel PO Box 2230
3	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751-5324
4	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
5	BOB BERLIN, Deputy Counsel KAREN BRETZ, Associate Counsel
6	200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.526.7779
8	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
9	GOLDIE BOCKSTRUCK, In-House Counsel MATTHEW APLINGTON, In-House Counsel
10	700 Market Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101
11	FOR: Spire
12	RICK E. ZUCKER, Attorney at Law 14412 White Pine Ridge Lane
13	Chesterfield, Missouri 63017-6301 FOR: Spire
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDIN	G			5
-----------	---	--	--	---

JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead and go on the record. This is Case No. GO-2018-0309, In The Matter Of The Application of Spire Missouri Inc. To Change Its Infrastructure System Replacement Surcharge In Its Spire Missouri East Service Territory and Case No. GO-2018-0310, In The Matter Of The Application Of Spire Missouri Inc. To Change Its Infrastructure System Replacement Surcharge In Its Spire Missouri West Service Territory. If you have a different caption on your case file, that is because I did update those captions to remove the Laclede name from all of the ISRS cases that are pending. That one may have been Spire to start with.

I'm going to begin then by letting you all make entries of appearance, and I'll begin with Spire.

Ms. Bockstruck?

MS. BOCKSTRUCK: Yes, appearing on behalf of Spire Missouri, Goldie Bockstruck, Matt Aplington and Rick Zucker, 700 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.

JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. And for Staff?

MR. BERLIN: Appearing on behalf of the Staff
of the Missouri Public Service Commission are Bob Berlin
and Karen Bretz located at the Commission's offices in

Jefferson City, 200 Madison Street.

2.5

JUDGE DIPPELL: And for Public Counsel?

MR. CLIZER: Appearing on behalf of Office of Public Counsel, John Clizer, also at the Madison Street address in Jeff City.

JUDGE DIPPELL: All right then. I'm getting a little feedback. So if you aren't speaking, I'll ask you to go ahead and mute your microphone. When you get ready to talk, you can just unmute. In fact, I will just go ahead and mute everybody now. There we go.

Okay. So as you all are aware, we sent out an order on Wednesday denying the motion to consolidate and directing filings and directing you all to be here today for a procedural conference. I appreciate -- I guess I should have stated on the record that we are holding this procedural conference via WebEx video and telephone call. I appreciate you all indulging me in this video part of this conference. This is our Covid-19 pandemic procedures for now. We're all isolating in our various locations to prevent the spread of the virus that we're currently experiencing.

Let's see. I guess I'll just start by asking if you all have any new information or anything you would like to share with regards to, I saw that there was a settlement in the current ISRS cases that are

1 pending.

2.5

Mr. Berlin, do you have any updates you'd like to share about the status of things in general?

MR. BERLIN: Judge, with regard to this case or are you talking about the ones that we just settled on last week?

JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, with regard to how that might play into this case. Maybe I should have asked Spire that question. I saw that they had stated in their original motion to consolidate that you all were continuing to talk, and so forth, and I didn't know where things stood today after the Commission's order and after your settlement in the current cases, and so forth. Is this case still open and moving forward toward what looks like maybe a hearing will be necessary?

MS. BOCKSTRUCK: Judge, we are still having discussions. We actually haven't really started having discussions yet regarding the remand cases, but it's something that we plan to do. So it's a bit early to say at this point, but right now we are planning on a hearing in absence of a settlement on these cases.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. I will ask if you'll identify yourselves when you speak so the court reporter knows. I think she knows that was Ms. Bockstruck.

1 MS. BOCKSTRUCK: I'm sorry. 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's fine. Just have to get used to a new way of doing things here. Okay. Well, 3 maybe I'll ask then do you all have questions for me? 4 5 MR. BERLIN: I do, Judge. 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Berlin. 7 MR. BERLIN: I'm confused on what you want 8 filed on the 22nd versus what you want filed on the 9 23rd. The 22nd talked about a proposal or a position on how the cases should move forward and then on the 23rd 10 11 you're talking about a proposed procedural schedule. 12 Can you tell me what the difference is there? JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, I was trying to give you 13 14 the opportunity to maybe see what everybody else has to 15 say before you finalize actual dates and places and 16 method, and so forth. So the 22nd would be the general. And if you know the dates that you would propose, and so 17 18 forth, that would be fine on the 22nd as well. But I 19 was just envisioning that each party might have a 20 different position on the actual substance of what 21 needed to happen and then the 23rd was meant to be 22 actual dates for how those things would proceed. That 23 may be that's something that you need -- If you can't, 24 the three parties can't reach an agreement about what

needs to come into the record and what's still needed in

2.5

this case, then it may be that you'll need the 1 2 Commission to say that before you can say well, testimony is going to be due on this day or whatever. 3 But if that's the case, then I'll just need you to tell 4 5 I was just trying to give you an extra day to 6 pull those dates and things so that you could talk to 7 each other. Does that make sense? 8 MR. BERLIN: I think so. 9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Other questions about that? 10 Anything else that anybody wants to tell me about? 11 Would you like me to, I don't know, I can go away and 12 let you all have the conference to yourselves off the 13 record if you want to talk to each other. Judge, this is John Clizer. 14 MR. CLIZER: Ι 15 just want to make sure that I understood what you had 16 said just now. 17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. 18 MR. CLIZER: If on the 22nd there's competing 19 filings that describe what we believe need to be 20 introduced at an evidentiary hearing, if an evidentiary 21 hearing, in fact, were to exist and there was 22 disagreement among the parties as to whether or not 23 there should, in fact, be an evidentiary hearing such 24 that we would want a Commission decision prior to

issuing dates, that on the 23rd we would expect to file

2.5

something that says basically that --1 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. MR. CLIZER: -- or how would you like to 3 4 proceed on the 23rd? 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes, I would expect that 6 either on the 23rd or if you know that when you make 7 your filing on the 22nd to just include that on that 8 filing. I would hope that even if you all disagree to 9 exactly what is needed in the record that you would 10 still be able to come up with a proposed procedural 11 schedule amongst yourselves for what dates and filing 12 dates, and so forth, should look. You know from 13 experience that myself and the Commissioners do not always accept the dates that you give us. That could 14 15 very well be the case. 16 Here again, we are under a time limit in these 17 remand cases as well, but I think the statute says that 18 an order has to be issued and not effective on that 19 120th day. So that gives us a little more time for the 20 Commission to do its thing. But obviously these are 21 cases that have gone on for many, many years and you 22 would like to get them all towards some kind of 23 finality. Are there other questions? 24 MR. APLINGTON: Judge, this is Matt Aplington for Spire. Just a quick question. With the Covid 2.5

situation, is there a date at which the Commission at this point anticipates reopening for in-person hearings versus a WebEx hearing?

2.5

TUDGE DIPPELL: Right now we are just under the Governor's order through May 1 or May 3, I have to look at the calendar, the first part of May for the state-wide stay-at-home order. I don't know how quickly after that state offices will reopen for this kind of thing. So I would go under the presumption that a hearing on this case will probably need to be held in May and we'll need to go under the assumption that we may have to end up holding a video hearing or some kind of telephone hearing. Obviously we'll have to work out the details if that's the case, but I'm not -- I'd say it's about 50/50 right now whether we would be able to actually get into a state office building in May.

And I don't know. Your companies may have its own guidelines, and so forth. So it may be that even if the state office building opens up your company says no, we think you need to stay home, and we'll certainly work with people if that's the case.

MR. APLINGTON: Thank you.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Any other questions? Would you all like to stay on the line? I can take my -- I've got this trusty headset on because it cuts down on

```
1
    background noise and is a better microphone than my
 2
     computer, but I can take that off and minimize my screen
    and go away and let you all have this call if you want
 3
 4
     it to talk.
 5
               MR. ZUCKER: Yes, I think --
 6
               MR. CLIZER: Judge, John Clizer --
 7
               JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. I didn't hear what
 8
    you said, Mr. Clizer.
 9
               MR. CLIZER: You had said something previously
10
    and I must express my ignorance just to be clear.
                                                        With
11
    regard to the 120-day time limit imposed, which statute
12
    were you referring to?
                               That is 386.520.
13
               JUDGE DIPPELL:
14
               MR. CLIZER: That's what I assumed. I just
15
    wanted to verify that. Thank you.
16
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Zucker, you were about to
17
     say that you'd like to talk to everybody?
18
               MR. ZUCKER: Yeah, I think that would be a
19
     good opportunity consistent with the order from last
20
     Wednesday when you directed us to meet in a procedural
     conference and I think that's sort of normal business
21
    practice for the formal part of the procedural
22
23
     conference to be pretty short and then the parties get
24
     together and discuss the issues.
2.5
               JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, if you all are
```

## GO-2018-0309 & GO-2018-0310, Vol 4

comfortable with doing that, then I can end this and Ms. Bentch and I can drop off and you can continue and then somebody could just email me when you're finished and I will shut down the conference at that time. Is there anything else then before we go off the record? Okay. Seeing none, I appreciate you all appearing here today electronically and good luck with your discussions. We can go off the record. Thank you. (Off the record.) 

1	CERTIFICATE	OF	REPORTER
2			

3 I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640, Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court 4 Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby 5 6 certify that I was personally present at the proceedings 7 had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place 8 set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and 9 there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct 10 11 transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time 12 and place.

Beverly Jean Bentch

Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640

16 17

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

	ahead 581:2 582:8,10	captions 581:11	<b>court</b> 583:24 590:4
1	584:6	<b>case</b> 581:3,6,10	<b>Covid</b> 586:25
<b>1</b> 587:5	anticipates 587:2	583:4,8,14 585:1,4 586:15 587:10,14,21	Covid-19 582:18
<b>120-day</b> 588:11	<b>Aplington</b> 581:19 586:24 587:22	cases 581:12 582:25	current 582:25
<b>120th</b> 586:19	appearance 581:16	583:13,19,22 584:10 586:17,21	583:13 <b>cuts</b> 587:25
2	appearing 581:18,23	CCR 590:3,15	
	582:3 589:7	CERTIFICATE 590:1	D
<b>200</b> 582:1	Application 581:4,7	Certified 590:4	<b>date</b> 587:1
<b>22nd</b> 584:8,9,16,18 585:18 586:7	assumed 588:14 assumption 587:11	certify 590:6	dates 584:15,17,22
<b>23rd</b> 584:9,10,21	aware 582:11	Change 581:4,8	585:6,25 586:11,12,14
585:25 586:4,6		City 582:1,5	day 585:3,5 586:19
	В	<b>clear</b> 588:10	decision 585:24
	background 588:1	<b>Clizer</b> 582:3,4 585:14,	denying 582:12
<b>3</b> 587:5	basically 586:1	18 586:3 588:6,8,9,14	describe 585:19
<b>386.520</b> 588:13	begin 581:15,16	comfortable 589:1	details 587:14
	behalf 581:18,23	<b>Commission</b> 581:24 585:2,24 586:20 587:1	difference 584:12
5	582:3	Commission's	<b>DIPPELL</b> 581:2,22 582:2,6 583:7,23
<b>50/50</b> 587:15	<b>Bentch</b> 589:2 590:3,	581:25 583:12	584:2,6,13 585:9,17
	15	Commissioners 586:13	586:2,5 587:4,23 588:7,13,16,25
6	<b>Berlin</b> 581:23,24 583:2,4 584:5,6,7	companies 587:17	directed 588:20
<b>63101</b> 581:21	585:8	company 587:19	directing 582:13
<b>640</b> 590:3,15	<b>Beverly</b> 590:3,15	competing 585:18	disagree 586:8
7	bit 583:20	computer 588:2	disagreement
	Bob 581:24	conference 582:14,	585:22
<b>700</b> 581:20	<b>Bockstruck</b> 581:17, 18,19 583:17,25 584:1	16,18 585:12 588:21, 23 589:4	discuss 588:24
	Bretz 581:25	confused 584:7	<b>discussions</b> 583:18, 19 589:8
	<b>building</b> 587:16,19	consistent 588:19	<b>drop</b> 589:2
above-entitled 590:7	business 588:21	consolidate 582:12	<b>due</b> 585:3
absence 583:22		583:10	
accept 586:14	c	continue 589:2	E
actual 584:15,20,22	calendar 587:6	continuing 583:11	early 583:20
address 582:5	<b>call</b> 582:17 588:3	correct 590:10	<b>East</b> 581:6
agreement 584:24	caption 581:10 590:8	Counsel 582:2,4	effective 586:18

electronically 589:7	586:14	ISRS 581:12 582:25	<b>Market</b> 581:20
<b>email</b> 589:3	<b>GO-2018-0309</b> 581:3	<b>issued</b> 586:18	<b>Matt</b> 581:19 586:24
<b>end</b> 587:12 589:1	<b>GO-2018-0310</b> 581:7	<b>issues</b> 588:24	<b>Matter</b> 581:3,7
<b>entries</b> 581:16	<b>Goldie</b> 581:19	<b>issuing</b> 585:25	meant 584:21
envisioning 584:19	<b>good</b> 588:19 589:7		meet 588:20
evidentiary 585:20,	Governor's 587:5	J	method 584:16
23	guess 582:14,22	<b>Jean</b> 590:3,15	microphone 582:8
exist 585:21	guidelines 587:18	<b>Jeff</b> 582:5	588:1 <b>minimize</b> 588:2
<b>expect</b> 585:25 586:5 <b>experience</b> 586:13	Н	Jefferson 582:1	Missouri 581:4,6,8,9,
experiencing 582:21		<b>John</b> 582:4 585:14	19,20,24 590:5
express 588:10	<b>happen</b> 584:21	588:6	<b>motion</b> 582:12 583:10
express 566.10	headset 587:25	<b>Judge</b> 581:2,22 582:2,6 583:4,7,17,23	<b>move</b> 584:10
	hear 588:7	584:2,5,6,13 585:9,14, 17 586:2,5,24 587:4,	moving 583:14
F	<b>hearing</b> 583:15,22 585:20,21,23 587:3,	23 588:6,7,13,16,25	<b>mute</b> 582:8,10
fact 582:9 585:21,23	10,12,13	K	N
feedback 582:7	hearings 587:2		
file 581:11 585:25	held 587:10	<b>Karen</b> 581:25	<b>needed</b> 584:21,25 586:9
<b>filed</b> 584:8	<b>holding</b> 582:15 587:12	kind 586:22 587:8,12	<b>noise</b> 588:1
filing 586:7,8,11	home 587:20	L	normal 588:21
<b>filings</b> 582:13 585:19	hope 586:8		<b>notes</b> 590:11
finality 586:23		Laclede 581:12	
finalize 584:15	I	letting 581:15	O
fine 584:2,18	identify 583:24	limit 586:16 588:11	<b>office</b> 582:3 587:16,
finished 589:3	ignorance 588:10	LLC 590:5	19
firm 590:4	imposed 588:11	located 581:25	<b>offices</b> 581:25 587:8
foregoing 590:10	in-person 587:2	Louis 581:20	<b>open</b> 583:14
formal 588:22	include 586:7	luck 589:7	<b>opens</b> 587:19
<b>forward</b> 583:14 584:10	indulging 582:17		opportunity 584:14 588:19
<b>full</b> 590:10	information 582:23 Infrastructure 581:5,	M	<b>order</b> 582:12 583:12 586:18 587:5,7 588:19
G	8	<b>made</b> 590:11	original 583:10
manaral 500:0 504:40	introduced 585:20	Madison 582:1,4	_
general 583:3 584:16 give 584:13 585:5	isolating 582:19	<b>make</b> 581:16 585:7,15 586:6	

	Public 581:24 582:2,4	sense 585:7	
P	pull 585:6	<b>Service</b> 581:6,9,24	T
pandemic 582:18		<b>set</b> 590:8	talk 582:9 583:11
part 582:18 587:6	Q	settled 583:5	585:6,13 588:4,17
588:22	question 583:9	settlement 582:25	talked 584:9
parties 584:24 585:22	586:25	583:13,22	talking 583:5 584:11
588:23	questions 584:4 585:9 586:23 587:23	<b>share</b> 582:24 583:3	telephone 582:16 587:13
party 584:19 pending 581:13	quick 586:25	<b>sheet</b> 590:8	Territory 581:6,10
583:1	quickly 587:7	<b>short</b> 588:23	testimony 585:3
<b>people</b> 587:21	quickly 567.7	<b>shut</b> 589:4	thereof 590:8
personally 590:6	R	situation 587:1	thing 586:20 587:9
<b>place</b> 590:7,12		<b>sort</b> 588:21	_
<b>places</b> 584:15	reach 584:24	<b>speak</b> 583:24	<b>things</b> 583:3,12 584:3,22 585:6
<b>plan</b> 583:20	ready 582:9	speaking 582:7	Tiger 590:4
planning 583:21	record 581:3 582:15 584:25 585:13 586:9	<b>Spire</b> 581:4,5,7,9,13, 16,19 583:9 586:25	time 586:16,19 588:11 589:4 590:7,11
<b>play</b> 583:8	589:6,8,9	<b>spread</b> 582:20	today 582:13 583:12
point 583:21 587:2	referring 588:12	<b>St</b> 581:20	589:7
<b>position</b> 584:9,20	regard 583:4,7 588:11	<b>Staff</b> 581:22,23	transcript 590:11
practice 588:22	remand 583:19	<b>start</b> 581:13 582:22	true 590:10
present 590:6	586:17	started 583:18	trusty 587:25
presumption 587:9	remove 581:12	<b>state</b> 587:8,16,19	
pretty 588:23	reopen 587:8	590:5	U
prevent 582:20	reopening 587:2	state-wide 587:7	understood 585:15
previously 588:9	Replacement 581:5,	<b>stated</b> 582:15 583:9	unmute 582:9
<b>prior</b> 585:24		status 583:3	<b>update</b> 581:11
<b>procedural</b> 582:14, 16 584:11 586:10	reporter 583:24 590:1,4	<b>statute</b> 586:17 588:11	updates 583:2
588:20,22	Reporting 590:5	stay 587:20,24	
procedures 582:19	Rick 581:20	stay-at-home 587:7	V
<b>proceed</b> 584:22 586:4	<b>RPR</b> 590:3,15	<b>Stenotype</b> 590:9,11 <b>stood</b> 583:12	<b>verify</b> 588:15
proceedings 590:6,9		<b>Street</b> 581:20 582:1,4	versus 584:8 587:3
proposal 584:9		substance 584:20	<b>video</b> 582:16,17 587:12
propose 584:17	<b>schedule</b> 584:11 586:11	Surcharge 581:5,9	virus 582:20
<b>proposed</b> 584:11 586:10	screen 588:2	<b>System</b> 581:5,8	

w
wanted 588:15
Webex 582:16 587:3
Wednesday 582:12
588:20
week 583:6
<b>West</b> 581:9
work 587:13,20
Υ
years 586:21
z
<b>Zucker</b> 581:20 588:5,
16,18