Exhibit No. __

Issues: Other

Witness: John R. Idoux

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Party: Sprint Missouri, Inc. Case No. IO-2003-0281

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Investigation
Of the State Of Competition in the
Exchanges of Sprint Missouri, Inc.

Case No. IO-2003-0281

Fig. 2

JUL 0 7 2003

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

Missouri Public Service Commission

OF

JOHN R. IDOUX III

ON BEHALF OF SPRINT MISSOURI, INC.

Q. Please state your name, title and business address. 1 My name is John R. Idoux III. I am Senior Manager - External Affairs, for Sprint A. 2 Corporation. My business address is 6450 Sprint Parkway, Overland Park, KS 3 66251. 4 5 6 Q. Are you the same John R. Idoux III that filed Direct Testimony in this case on April 25, 2003? 7 Yes I am. 8 A. 9 What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony in this Case? 10 Q. 11 Α. In my Direct Testimony, I outline Sprint's request for competitive classification for the Norborne, Kearney, Platte City, Rolla, and St. Robert exchanges for the 12 following services from Sprint's General Exchange Tariff: 13 14 1. Directory Listings; Extension Service (Teen Pak); 2. 15 3. Local Exchange Service; 16 EAS Additives; 4. 17 5. Local Measured Service; 18 Extension and Tie Line Mileage (PBX); 19 6. ISDN BRI; 20 7. ISDN PRI; 21 8. 22 9. ISDN PRI II; 23 10. Payphone; Direct Inward Dialing (PBX); 24 11. 25 12. Digital Trunking Service (PBX); Sprint Solutions; 13. 26 27 14. Busy Verification Service; 15. Custom Calling Services; 28 29 16. Express Touch; Network Services Packages; 17. 30 18. Forwarded Message Service (PBX); and 31 32 19. Metropolitan Calling Area (MCA).

1		The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal Testimony
2		of (1) Staff witness Mr. Adam McKinnie, (2) OPC witness Ms. Barbara
3		Meisenheimer, (3) ExOp of Missouri ("ExOp") witness Mr. Dennis Devoy, and
4		(4) Fidelity Communications I ("Fidelity") witness Mr. Richard Taylor. I will not
5		address each and every argument made by these witnesses; however, this is no
6		way means that Sprint agrees with aspects not specifically rebutted.
7		
8	Q.	Are there are preliminary matters you wish to address?
9	A.	Yes. My Direct Testimony included Schedule JRI-1 which listed each product
10		offered by Sprint. The schedule specifically identified which services Sprint was
11		seeking competitive classification. Sprint has made a few modifications to its
12		request and Schedule JRI-17 reflects the updated matrix. The following changes
13		were made:
14		• General Exchange Tariff: EAS Additive/Fort Leonard Wood - Sprint is
15		not seeking competitive classification for this service. The prior schedule was
16		incorrect as discussed below.
17		• General Exchange Tariff: ISDN/BRI - Sprint is no longer seeking
18		competitive classification for ISDN/BRI in the Norborne exchange. This
19		reflects a change to Sprint's Direct Testimony as discussed below.
20		Access Tariff: Special Access - Sprint is no longer seeking competitive
21		classification for any special access service. The only services Sprint seeks
22		competitive classification from its Access Tariff are (1) LIDB transport and

(2) LIDB query. This reflects a change to Sprint's Direct Testimony as
 discussed in the Surrebuttal Testimony of Sprint witness Mr. Mark Harper.

3

4

General Issues

- 5 Q. Please summarize the various positions in this case.
- Rebuttal Testimony was submitted by (a) Staff, (b) Office of Public Counsel, A. 6 (c) AT&T, (d) ExOp of Missouri, and (e) Fidelity. Staff was the only party to 7 address all aspects of Sprint's request. Overall, Staff supported a substantial 8 portion of Sprint's request for competitive classification. The remaining parties 9 address only a sub-set of Sprint's request. OPC opposes competitive designation 10 11 for (a) all aspects of Sprint's exchange-specific request and (b) statewide 12 Directory/Operator Assistance. OPC supports, on a limited basis, Sprint's request 13 for toll and has no position on all other statewide services. AT&T primarily 14 addresses special services (please refer to Sprint witness Mark Harper's 15 Surrebuttal Testimony). ExOp opposes Sprint's exchange-specific request for re-16 classification of its services in the Kearney exchange and especially in the Platte 17 City exchange but is silent on the remaining exchanges and statewide services. Fidelity also opposes Sprint's exchange specific request for competitive 18 19 designation but for the Rolla and St. Robert exchanges. Fidelity also opposes 20 Sprint's statewide competitive designation request for Directory Assistance and 21 Centex only and supports the remaining statewide request.

22

23

Q. Are there any common arguments amongst the various parties?

1	A.	Yes. There are two common themes raised by the various parties submitting
2		Rebuttal Testimony. In the first common argument, OPC, Fidelity and ExOp all
3		argue that Sprint faces competition from just one primary competitor. In the
4		second, OPC, ExOp and Fidelity all argue that Sprint has not displayed any
5		characteristics of a competitive company. I'll address each issue on a generic
6		basis but will also provide substantial discussion on the arguments of each party.
7		
8	Q.	Please comment of the common argument that Sprint faces only one
9		competitor.
10	A.	OPC, ExOp and Fidelity all argue that because Sprint faces only one facility-
11		based competitor in the five exchanges it seeks competitive designation, Sprint's
12		request should be denied. I'll address the specific arguments of each party below
13		but provide two general comments at this time.
14		
15		Sprint does not deny the fact that there is only one primary competitor in each of
16		the exchanges under review; however, this is only one of the many factors that
17		this Commission must take into consideration. As the Commission previously
18		stated, it must include all relevant factors in its review. The number of CLEC-
19		owned, facility-based competitors is absolutely a factor but it is not the sole factor
20		for this Commission to consider. My Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony provide
21		many other relevant factors for the Commission's determination.
22		

In the SBC Competition Case (Issue 6), the Commission noted the presence of up to 31 CLECs in the St. Charles and Harvester exchanges in its determination that these two exchanges face effective residential competition. These two exchanges, as well as the SBC exchanges of Kansas City and St. Louis where the Commission determined effective business competition exists, are highly urban areas. Sprint does not operate in highly urban areas and it is extremely unlikely that a large number of alternative providers in the urban area will ever reach rural areas. The fact that the number of competitive carriers will differ greatly from rural areas and urban areas does not mean that effective competition is limited to the big cities in Missouri. As my testimony has demonstrated, there are areas within Sprint's territory that face immense competition with fewer providers than 31. Further, while I was not privy to the highly confidential information of the SBC Competition Case that discussed the specific market loss, I would believe that it is highly unlikely that SBC faced the level of market loss experienced by Sprint in certain exchanges.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Sprint also urges the Commission to dismiss any discussion about "doomsday" consequences in the event the primary competitor becomes an ineffective competitor. This Commission not only has the ability to review the state of competition for exchanges it previously deemed competitive at any time of its choosing, but the Price Cap statutes also mandate that the Commission make this review at least every five years. In essence, Section 392.245 5 provides the Commission with an effective check and balance:

The commission shall from time to time, but no less than every five years, review the state of competition in those exchanges where it has previously found the existence of effective competition, and if the commission determines, after hearing, that effective competition no longer exists for the incumbent local exchange telecommunications company in such exchange, it shall reimpose upon the incumbent local exchange telecommunications company, in such exchange, the provisions of paragraph (c) of subdivision (2) of subsection 4 of section 392.200 and the maximum allowable prices established by the provisions of subsections 4 and 11 of this section, and, in any such case, the maximum allowable prices established for the telecommunications services of such incumbent local exchange telecommunications company shall reflect all index adjustments which were or could have been filed from all preceding years since the company's maximum allowable prices were first adjusted pursuant to subsection 4 or 11 of this section.

A.

Q. Please comment of the common argument that Sprint has not displayed any characteristics of a competitive company.

OPC, ExOp and Fidelity all argue that because Sprint has not displayed any characteristics of a competitive company, Sprint's request should be denied. I'll address the specific arguments of each party below but provide general comments at this time. First and foremost, Sprint is a price cap-regulated company and cannot display many of the characteristics that competitive companies enjoy. Any effort by Sprint to react to the substantial competition it faces in the Kearney, Rolla, Norborne, St. Robert, and Platte City exchanges would require Sprint to react on a statewide basis for all its similar rate groups or to seek exchange-specific pricing capability from the Commission. To illustrate the dramatic impact of the first option, assume that Sprint wanted to react to competitive pressure in its Kearney exchange by offering its customers a five percent discount. As Schedule JRI-24 illustrates, Sprint would experience a \$60,000 annual impact for the Kearney exchange. However, because this five percent

discount would have to be offered in Sprint's remaining 16 Rate Group III exchanges, the total financial impact would exceed \$2 million annually. This \$2 million inadvertent impact is more than twice the total revenue of Sprint's competitor in Kearney for that exchange assuming my simple illustration in Schedule JRI-24. Under the second option, Sprint could seek exchange specific pricing capability from the Commission under separate statutory authority; however, this is not true pricing flexibility and the many advantages enjoyed by competitive carriers would not be available to Sprint. This avenue would still require Sprint to comply with different filing requirements and potentially even additional hearings. Cleary, either option does not provide Sprint with the same playing field as competitive companies. And even if Sprint did reduce rates in Kearney by five percent, Sprint's competitor's residential rates would still be substantially lower that Sprint's. Furthermore, Sprint's competitors enjoy other benefits of being declared a competitive company that price cap-regulated carries do not enjoy such as the ability to adjust rates on shorter notice and removal of requirements associated with providing cost studies. Finally, displaying competitive characteristics is not a statutory requirement. In fact, this very issue was addressed by the Circuit Court of Cole County in Case No. 02CV323762 (SBC Competition Case Appeal). In the Appeal, the Court summarized the OPC's and Interveners arguments: Despite this laundry list of claimed deficiencies in the Commission's determination, OPC relies primarily on a single factor never mentioned in any applicable statute - its claim that the uncontroverted and substantial competitive activity has not exerted any influence on [the ILEC's] prices -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2 3 4 5		to challenge the lawfulness and reasonableness of the Commission's determination. NuVox/Worldcom also complain that [the ILEC] did not present any evidence that competition has had "any specific impact" on [the ILEC's] prices.
6		The Court rejected the above arguments and affirmed the portion of the
7		Commissions decision in the SBC Competition Case dealing with effective
8		competition.
9		
10	Q.	Has Sprint met the criteria of effective competition as established in Statute
11		for its exchange-specific competitive classification request?
12	A.	Yes. Section 386.020(13), lists the factors that the Commission should consider
13		in determining effective competition. I summarize each factor below
14 15		(a) The extent to which services are available from alternative providers in the relevant market;
16 17		It is undisputed that CLECs are providing local services - both basic
18		and non-basic - to customers in the five exchanges via a combination
19		of (a) resale of Sprint's services, (b) the use of unbundled network
20		elements, and (c) the use of the CLEC's own facilities. Sprint fully
21		acknowledges the fact that CLECs are not providing services equally
22		throughout its 80 exchanges. As a result, Sprint defined the "relevant
23		market" for this Case as the five exchanges of (1) Norborne, (2)
24		Kearney, (3) Platte City, (4) Rolla, and (5) St. Robert. My Direct
25		Testimony provides data and charts comparing Sprint's services and
26		rates to that of its primary competitors. In addition, numerous resellers
27		currently operate in Sprint's service area although the Commission has

1	deemed this factor to have a somewhat lesser weight. My Direct
2	Testimony provides substantial evidence as to the estimated minimum
3	number of lines served by alternative carriers in Sprint's exchanges.
4	
5	(b) The extent to which the services of alternative providers are
6	functionally equivalent or substitutable at comparable rates, terms
7	and conditions;
8	
9	As mentioned above, my Direct Testimony provides charts comparing
10	Sprint's services and rates to that of its primary competitors. In most
11	cases, the product or service offered by Sprint's competitors is exactly
12	the same as the product or service offered by Sprint. No party to this
13	case challenged the fact that CLECs are providing functional
14	equivalent or substitutable service although there is some disagreement
15	as to whether wireless and/or cable providers are providing equivalent
16	or substitutable service. Nonetheless, as my Direct Testimony
17	demonstrates, the alternative carriers are providing functionally
18	equivalent or substitutable services at comparable rates.
19	
20	
21	(c) The extent to which the purposes and policies of Chapter 392,
22	RSMo, including the reasonableness of rates, as set out in Section
23	392.185, RSMo, are being advanced;
24	
25	
26	As the Commission noted in the SBC Competition Case, the purpose
27	of this criteria is the ensuring that customers pay only reasonable
28	charges for the telecommunication service. My Direct Testimony

clearly demonstrates that the rates charged by Sprint's competitors are

substantially lower than Sprint's. Green Hills offers its Norborne residential and business customers with savings of 44 percent and 25 percent, respectively, from basic Sprint rates for access line service. In addition, Green Hills offers its customers with savings of up to 80 percent on other product offerings when compared to Sprint's rates. ExOp also offers its Kearney and Platte City customers with substantial savings when compared to Sprint's rates. ExOp is offering a single residential access line with metropolitan calling area service for \$21.99 per month. This compares to Sprint's rate of \$25.27. Rates for most line related services are 20 percent to 30 percent lower than Sprint's. Finally, in the Rolla and St. Robert exchanges, Fidelity provides its customers with substantial savings when compared to Sprint's rates by not assessing federal subscriber line charges and a local number portability surcharges resulting in savings of up to 31 percent when compared to Sprint's rates.

(d) Existing economic or regulatory barriers to entry;

As mentioned in my Direct Testimony, Sprint has identified 59 CLECS that have (a) certificates of service authority, (b) interconnection agreements, and/or (c) tariffs allowing them to offer local services in Sprint exchanges. Additionally, Sprint has identified 16 wireless providers that it has approved interconnection agreements. Furthermore, Sprint enters into numerous amendments and/or renegotiations on a monthly basis. To date, all of these agreements have

been negotiated within the standard timeframes as allowed by the 1 2 Telecommunications Act of 1996 and none of these agreements have required arbitration before this Commission. In approving these 3 agreements, the Commission generally notes that: 4 The Commission concludes that the Agreement meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not discriminate against a nonparty carrier and implementation of the Agreement is not 7 inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.1 8 9 10 Sprint has not introduced any barrier to entry or regulatory hurdle and 11 has consistently worked with other carriers to mutually agree to 12 13 interconnection terms. Further, the testimony clearly reflects there are facility-based competitors in Sprint's exchanges. 14 15 (e) Any other factors deemed relevant by the Commission and 16 necessary to implement the purposes and policies of Chapter 392, 17 RSMo. 18 19 20 In the SBC Competition Case, the Commission defined what other factors it 21 will consider when implementing the purpose and policies of Chapter 392. 22 Specifically, in the SBC Competition Case, the Commission noted that under that statutory criteria, it will consider "alternative communications that are not 23 regulated by the Commission, such as e-mail, cable broadband, and mobile 24 phones" as other relevant factors. Sprint addresses these alternative carriers 25 where appropriate with the full knowledge that the Commission places less 26 weight on these factors. 27

Surrebuttal of Staff Witness Mr. Adam McKinnie

2	Q.	Please summarize the issues raised by Staff witness Mr. McKinnie?
3	A.	As I stated above, overall Staff supported a substantial portion of Sprint's request
4		for competitive classification. Specifically, Staff's witness Mr. McKinnie
5		supported competitive designation for the following exchange-specific requests:
6		1. Local Exchange Service - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
7		2. Local Operator Service - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
8		3. Directory Listings - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
9		4. Extension Service (Teen Pak) - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
10		5. Extended Local Service Additives-Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla
11		exchanges;
12		6. Local Measured Service- Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
13		7. PBX Service (Extension and Tie Line Mileage; Direct Inward Dialing;
14		Digital Trunking Service; and Forwarded Message Service - Norborne,
15		Kearney, and Rolla exchanges
16		8. Sprint Solutions; - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
17		9. Busy Line Verification - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
18		10. Custom Calling Services - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
19		11. Express Touch - Norborne, Kearney, and Rolla exchanges;
20		12. Network Service Packages - Norborne, Keamey, and Rolla exchanges;
21		13. MCA 4 Service - Kearney exchange; and
22		14. ISDN - Kearney and Rolla exchanges

¹ Findings of Fact, Case No. TK-2003-0444.

1		
2	Q.	Did Staff support all of Sprint's request?
3	A.	No. Staff did not support Sprint's request for competitive designation for the
4		following exchange-specific requests:
5		1. ISDN Service – Norborne;
6		2. Payphone Service – all exchanges;
7		3. MCA Optional Service - exchanges other than Kearney;
8		4. EAS Additive - Fort Leonard Wood;
9		5. St. Robert exchange services (see list above); and
10		6. Platte City exchange services (see list above).
11		I will specifically address each of the five services.
12		
13	Q.	Starting on page 19, Line 20 of his Rebuttal Testimony, Staff witness Mr.
14		McKinnie states that Sprint is seeking competitive classification for its
15		Optional MCA service. Is Sprint seeking competitive classification for its
16		Optional MCA service?
17	A.	Yes; however, Sprint is only seeking competitive classification for its optional
18		MCA service for those exchanges where the Commission has deemed the overall
19		exchange to be competitive. Sprint believes its position is consistent with Mr.
20		McKinnie's rebuttal testimony. To further clarify, Sprint seeks competitive
21		classification for the exchanges of (1) Kearney, (2) Platte City, (3) Rolla, (4) St.
22		Robert, and (5) Norborne exchanges; however, Sprint only offers its optional
23		MCA service in Keamey and Platte City eychanges. Thus Sprint only seeks

competitive classification for optional MCA service in the Kearney and Platte

City exchange. Sprint is not seeking competitive classification for optional MCA

service in any other exchange. This is consistent with the Commission's ruling in
the SBC Competition Case.

0.

Α.

Starting on page 19, line 13, Staff witness Mr. McKinnie discusses why Staff does not support Sprint's request for competitive classification for payphone services. Do you agree with Mr. McKinnie's assessment?

No. Generally speaking, three things are needed to provide payphone service: (1) an access line, (2) coin control and (3) answer supervision. A payphone operator may obtain the access line from the ILEC or any CLEC providing access lines.

The other two items needed for payphone service (coin control and answer

an access line, (2) coin control and (3) answer supervision. A payphone operator may obtain the access line from the ILEC or any CLEC providing access lines. The other two items needed for payphone service (coin control and answer supervision) are available from the ILEC or can be made available via customer premises equipment (CPE). Many vendors sell payphones which include the coin control and answer supervision functionality. These phones are generically referred to as a "smart phone". Thus, a payphone provider has multiple options. First, the payphone provider can bypass the ILEC completely by purchasing an access line from a CLEC and purchasing the appropriate CPE. A second option for the payphone provider is to purchase an access line from the ILEC as well as the monthly service for coin control and answer supervision. If this option is chosen, the payphone provider would still be required to purchase a payphone although it would be somewhat cheaper than a smart phone. A third option would be a combination of the two – depending upon the CLEC's business plans –

1		whereas the payphone provider purchases an access line with coin control and
2		answer supervision from the CLEC and the CLEC uses resale and/or UNE based
3		offerings from the ILEC. ILECs are required to make coin control and answer
4		supervision available to CLECs.
5		
6		Mr. McKinnie did support Sprint's request for Speed Dial 8 and Speed Dial 30 on
7		the basis that these functions faced effective competition from CPE-based
8		features. This is a similar situation with payphone service.
9		
0	Q.	Starting on page 19, line 3 of his rebuttal testimony, Staff witness Mr.
.1		McKinnie discusses why ISDN should not be deemed competitive for the
2		Norborne exchange. Do you agree?
13	A.	Yes. Sprint agrees with Mr. McKinnie's analysis that ISDN is not available as a
4		competitive offering in Norborne and therefore modifies its request. This change
15		has been reflected in JRI-17.
16		
17	Q.	Starting on page 18, line 18, Staff witness Mr. McKinnie indicates that Staff
8		does not support competitive classification for the Fort Leonard Wood EAS
9		additive. Does Sprint agree?
20	A.	Yes. Sprint did not seek competitive classification for the Fort Leonard Wood
21		EAS additive in its Direct Testimony although there was one inadvertent
22		reference to that affect in Schedule JRI-1. The inadvertent reference has been
) 2		properly reflected in Schedule TRI-17

1		
2	Q.	Starting on page 23, line 13, Staff witness Mr. McKinnie indicates that Staff
3		does not support competitive classification for the Platte City exchange. Does
4		Sprint agree?
5	A.	No. Mr. McKinnie makes two arguments against Sprint's request for competitive
6		classification for the Platte City exchange. First, Mr. McKinnie argues that Sprint
7		has not yet lost enough market share. Second, Mr. McKinnie states that ExOP's
8		parent company faces a tight capital market. I will address both arguments.
9		
10	Q.	Does Sprint agree that because it has not lost substantial market share in
11		Platte City that it does not face effective competition?
12	A.	No. The Commission must review all relevant factors. The Commission may
13		determine how much weight it gives a particular relevant factor over other factors
14		but it nonetheless must consider all relevant factors and cannot rely solely on one
15		element. The Commission may also vary its weight of one particular factor from
16		issue to issue but once again, all relevant factors must be considered. As Sprint
17		stated in its Direct Testimony, it is not yet facing the access line loss in Platte City
18		that it faces in Kearney; however, Sprint also offers the Commission the
19		following additional relevant factors for consideration:
20		(1) The Direct Testimony of ExOp witness Mr. Dennis Devoy confirms that
21		ExOp of Missouri is providing service in Platte City and a review of
22		ExOp's annual reports also confirms that ExOp of Missouri is providing

service in Platte City;

1	(2)	During the first six months of 2003, Sprint lost an additional 116 access
2		lines in the Platte City exchange. This represents a six percent annualized
3		decrease in access lines for that exchange - three times the annualized
4		access line decrease of the nearby Sprint exchanges which only had a two
5		percent annual access line decrease (Sprint exchanges of Dearborn,
6		Weston, Missouri City, Buckner, Camden Point, Edgerton, Orrick, and
7		Holt). Sprint fully anticipates its decrease to accelerate.
8	(3)	ExOp stated in Case No. CO-2003-0252 that "ExOp currently provides
9		basic local telecommunications service exclusively through the use of its
10		own facilities in the Kearney and Platte City, Missouri exchanges"
11		(emphasis added).
12	(4)	ExOp also states in Case No. CO-2003-0252 that "ExOp now currently
13		offers and advertises local exchange service in the Platte City exchange.
14		As a fully facilities-based competitive local exchange company ("CLEC"),
15		ExOp embodies the main goal of the universal service provisions of the
16		Act by providing advanced telecommunications services to customers
17		through the exclusive use of its own lines and equipment." (emphasis
18		added).
19	(5)	Again in Case No. CO-2003-0252 ExOp states that "through its own
20		facilities, offers in the Platte City, Missouri exchange all of the services
21		supported by federal universal support" "Specifically, ExOp offers the
22		following services:
23		a. Voice grade access to the public switched network;

1		b. Local usage;
2		c. Dual tone multi-frequency signaling or its functional equivalent;
3		d. Single-party service or its functional equivalent;
4		e. Access to emergency services;
5		f. Access to operator services;
6		g. Access to interexchange service;
7		h. Access to directory assistance; and
8		i. Toll limitation for qualifying low-income consumers;
9		(6) ExOp recently won a contract with the City of Platte City to provide basic
10		service (See Schedule JRI-21);
11		(7) ExOp is eligible for federal high cost support;
12		(8) ExOp's prices are substantially below Sprint's. This was demonstrated in
13		my Direct Testimony under the Kearney discussion. (Platte City and
14		Kearney are in the same rate group; therefore, the Sprint rates are the same
15		for both exchanges. According to ExOp's tariff, its rates for Kearney and
16		Platte City are the same.)
17		
18	Q.	Does Sprint agree that because Everest Connections faces a tight capital
19		market that Sprint does not face effective competition in Platte City?
20	A.	No. Sprint has multiple concerns with this argument. First, Mr. McKinnie's
21		conclusion was reached after reviewing only one article from the Kansas City
22		Star. The fact of the matter is that Sprint, along with the entire telecom industry,
23		faces a tight capital market. Second Mr. McKinnie states that Everest

Connections controls Unite. According to the annual report filed by ExOp with the Commission, however, "Utilicorp Communications Services now controls 100% of [ExOp]. Finally, Mr. McKinnie makes an enormous jump to conclude that because Everest faces a tight capital market, Unite cannot expand its Platte City network to provide effective competition. There is absolutely no showing by Staff that ExOp faces a funding issue nor that ExOp has not already built out its network. As demonstrated above, ExOp's own admissions indicate that it currently has its own facilities to provide service throughout the Platte City exchange. Furthermore, as recently as April, 2003, ExOp was using its financial strength to win over customers in Platte City. In winning a contract to provide basic local service with the City of Platte City, ExOp alleviated all the concerns the City had with potential financial difficulties. ExOp explained how it operated independently of Aquila and that is no longer receives any funding from Aquila. (See Schedule JRI-21).

Q. On page 3 of his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. McKinnie lists four criteria for a competitor's service to be considered "substitutable" for a service provided by an ILEC. Has ExOp's service in Platte City met these four criteria?
A. Yes. Without commenting on the appropriateness of Mr. McKinnie's four part test, ExOp absolutely meets all four criteria. Mr. McKinnie's first criteria states that a service must be easily found by an average telephone customer. ExOp demonstrated this criteria in its Application for ETC status in Case No. CO-2003-0252 where it included phone book references as well as advertisements placed in

the local newspaper. I have included copy of ExOp's Application as Exhibit JRI-19. Mr. McKinnie's second criteria states that the service must produce the same outcome as the ILEC's service. A review of the tariff as well as the statements made by ExOp in the ETC Case clearly demonstrate that the services are compatible. Mr. McKinnie's third criteria is that the service must be well-known by the average telephone customer. Once again, through the use of phone book and newspaper advertisements, as well as the fact that Unite won the City of Platte City, awareness has been demonstrated. And finally, Mr. McKinnie's fourth criteria is that the competitive service be comparatively prices with the ILEC's service. As mentioned above, this fact was demonstrated in my Direct Testimony where ExOp's basic access line rate is 13 percent less than Sprint's and some custom calling features are 25 percent or more cheaper. Starting on page 26, line 1, Staff witness Mr. McKinnie indicates that Staff does not support competitive classification for the St. Robert exchange. Does Sprint agree? No. Mr. McKinnie makes the same argument as with his discussion on Platte City regarding that Sprint has not demonstrated a sufficient market share decrease. Given the presence of other relevant factors, however, Sprint believes effective competition does exist in the St. Robert exchange. As Sprint stated in its Direct Testimony, it is not yet facing the access line loss in St. Robert that it faces in Rolla; however, Sprint also offers the Commission the following additional

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q.

A.

relevant factors for consideration:

1		During the first six months	of 2003, Sprint experienced an additional access
2		line decrease of 186 lines in	n the St. Robert exchange which represents an
3		annualized decrease of six	percent. For the nearby Sprint exchanges, the
4		annualized access line decr	ease was only four percent.
5		Fidelity has substantial exp	erience in the state of Missouri as a telecom
6		provider and an overall con	npetitor. Fidelity Telephone Company, Inc., an
7		ILEC affiliate, has been pro	oviding local telephone service in Missouri since at
8		least 1957. Fidelity Cables	vision, Inc. has been providing service in Rolla for
9		approximately eight years.	Fidelity Communications Co holds cellular
10		partnerships, operates a lon	g distance company (Fidelity Long Distance) and
11		an internet access service (Fidelity Networks).
12		Fidelity has broad name rec	cognition in the Rolla area which includes St.
13		Robert.	
14		It is Sprint's understanding	that Fidelity has won the contract to provide local
15		telephone service to the Cit	ry of St. Roberts.
16			
17	Q.	egarding Mr. McKinnie's i	four part test discussed on page 3 of his Rebuttal
18		stimony, how does Fidelity	fare?
19	A.	nce again, without commenti	ng on the appropriateness of Mr. McKinnie's four-
20		rt test, Fidelity absolutely me	eets all four criteria.
21			

- Q. Are there any other aspects of Mr. McKinnie's Rebuttal Testimony that you wish to address?
- A. Yes. Mr. McKinnie suggests that the Commission should disregard any
 competition or competitive affects associated with companies that are not
 regulated by the Commission specifically services provided by wireless
 providers. Mr. McKinnie makes the same recommendation regarding resellers. I
 will address each separately below.

A.

- Q. What are Sprint's concerns regarding how Mr. McKinnie characterized wireless service?
 - The Commission has previously ruled that all relevant factors must be used in its consideration to determine if an exchange faces effective competition, including services provides by non-regulated providers. Starting on page 5 of his testimony, Mr. A. McKinnie argues that wireless telephone service "is complementary to, not substitutable for, wireline telephone service" and should not be used as a factor in the Commission's review. Staff states that because wireless customers must sacrifice quality, it is not substitutable with wireline service. Staff offered no evidence on wireless quality and if wireless quality was a significant issue, there would not be the massive use of wireless phones that this country is currently experiencing. Staff also states that the Missouri-specific information provided by Sprint in its Direct Testimony would not cause Staff to change its mind; however, as Sprint's evidence demonstrates, Mr. McKinnie's four part test for substitutability has been met.

1		
2		No reasonable individual can argue the fact that <u>certain</u> consumers use wireless
3		service(s) provided by wireless carriers instead of an ILEC's wireline service.
4		Nor can any reasonable individual argue that certain consumers use cable-
5		modems provided by a cable operator instead of wireline service. The only
6		arguable point is how these two facts play a role in the overall level of
7		competition faced by an ILEC. As I stated in my direct testimony, the level of
8		weight the Commission should give non-traditional forms of competition is left
9		up to the Commission to decide and should be made on a case-by-case basis.
10		
11	Q.	What are Sprint's concerns regarding how Mr. McKinnie characterized
12		resellers?
13	A.	On page 15 of his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. McKinnie states that he disagrees
14		with the inclusion of resellers in the discussion of effective competition. Sprint is
15		not relying upon the presence of resellers as justification for its competitive
16		classification request for any exchange or service. Sprint submits that the
17		evidence provided in Direct Testimony for its competitive designation stands on
18		its own merit. The Commission opened this investigation to examine the state of
19		competition within the Sprint Missouri exchanges and this information was
20		provided for those purposes.
21		
22	Surre	ebuttal of OPC Witness Ms. Barbara Meisenheimer

Please summarize the issues raised by OPC witness Ms. Meisenheimer?

Q.

The OPC first raises several general questions related to this type of proceeding. 1 A. Specifically, the OPC argues that this case should include a determination by the 2 Commission regarding the status of effective competition beyond Sprint's request 3 4 (e.g. for the remaining 75 exchanges). OPC also argues that February, 2004 is the operation of law date for Sprint's five year not December 15, 2003. After 5 6 addressing its generic concerns with this type of proceeding, OPC raises concerns regarding some of the data presented in my Direct Testimony. Finally, OPC 7 makes several arguments regarding Sprint's competitive designation request. 8 Specifically, OPC argues that Sprint should not receive competitive classification 9 for the Norborne, Kearney, Platte City, Rolla, and St. Robert exchanges or any 10 11 line-related services for those exchanges. OPC provides qualified support for Sprint's competitive designation request for toll products and specifically stated 12 it takes no position regarding any other request for Sprint as it relates to state-13 wide services. 14

15

16

17

Q. Please comment on OPC's general concerns regarding this type of proceeding.

Regarding Ms. Meisenheimer arguments that (1) the Commission should make a
determination of the status of effective competition beyond Sprint's request² and
(2) December 15, 2003 is not the correct operation of law date for this case³, I
believe both of these issues were raised and addressed in the SBC Competition

² Rebuttal Testimony, Page 4

³ Rebuttal Testimony, Page 7

Case. To the extent that unresolved or new issues remain, I will defer any legal discussions to the brief and reply brief phase of this case.

Ms. Meisenheimer also raises concerns regarding potential action by Sprint in seeking competitive classification for additional services and/or exchanges in the future. I must admit that I do not have a full understanding of OPC's concerns in this area but I will address the best I can. Sprint fully anticipates that it will seek additional competitive designation for other services and exchanges in the future; however, I have absolutely no way to gauge the timeframe for such request. Irrespective of the eventual date, Sprint does not deem competitive classification to be "automatic" at the five-year window. Sprint anticipates that any future request would require Sprint to make a filing with the Commission. At that time, any party wishing to oppose Sprint's request would be able to petition the Commission for intervention. Sprint's request would require Commission action.

- Q. Please comment of OPC's concerns regarding the data Sprint provided in Direct Testimony.
- Ms. Meisenheimer raises concerns regarding the accuracy of data I presented in

 Direct Testimony. Specifically, OPC challenges a claim that 25 carriers are

 providing, or have provided, service in Sprint's area⁴. Obviously, I have concerns

 when a direct challenge to seemingly simply data is made so I re-verified the

 statements made in my Direct Testimony. In my Direct Testimony, I made the

⁴ Rebuttal Testimony, page 20 and BAM Rebuttal Schedule 4

statement that Sprint was aware of 25 CLECs providing some level of service in 1 Sprint's exchanges. After a re-verification, I would make no changes to that 2 statement. 3 Three of the 25 carries are facility based CLECs operating in Sprint's 4 territory; (1) Fidelity, (2) Green Hills and (3) ExOp. This is an undisputed 5 fact in this case. 6 Two of the 25 carriers appeared to have halted operations within the Sprint 7 exchanges in late 2000: (1) National Phone which operated in one Sprint 8 9 exchange and (2) Texas Teleconnect which operated in 19 Sprint exchanges. As a point of clarification, I included all carriers that have provided some 10 level of service since December 15, 1998, which is the start date of the five 11 year review for this case. 12 13 The remaining 20 carriers were all purchasing loops and/or unbundled 14 network elements from Sprint as of May, 2003. 15 The following chart lists all 25 carriers and includes a reference to the PSC Case 16 that approved the interconnection agreement between Sprint and the carrier as 17 well as the PSC Case approving the carrier's certificate of service. 18 DCC Core Carrena

Carrier		PSC Case	Certificate	
		Approving IAC	Reference	
1.	Buy-Tel Communications Inc	TO-98-586	13 – JRI 3	
2.	Delta Phones Inc	TO-2002-382	21 – JRI 3	
3.	DPI Teleconnect	TO-99-585	23 – JRI 3	
4.	EZ Talk Telecommunications	TO-99-213	27 – JRI 3	
5.	Fidelity Communications Svcs	TO-2000-351	29 – JRI 3	
6.	Local Line America	TO-2002-221	41 – JRI 3	
7.	Max-Tel Communications	TO-2002-413	45 – JRI 3	
8.	Metro Teleconnect Companies	TO-2002-417	49 – JRI 3	
9.	Missouri Comm So.	TO-2000-620	50 – JRI 3	

10. Navigator Telecommunications	TO-99-311	53 – JRI 3
11. Payroll Advance Inc	TO-2001-190	58 – JRI 3
12. Phone-Link	IK-2003-0146	60 – JRI 3
13. Reitz Rentals	TO-2000-621	Cancelled
14. Snappy Phone of Texas Inc	TO-2001-526	69 – JRI 3
15. Missouri State Discount Telephone	TO-2001-552	51 – JRI 3
16. Sterling International Funding	TO-98-476	1 – JRI 3
17. Tel-Link LLC	TO-2001-185	77 – JRI 3
18. Texas Hometel Inc	TO-2002-410	TA-2001-380
19. Universal Telecom	TO-2001-188	80
20. Missouri Network Alliance	TO-2001-632	TA-2001-348
21. New Edge Network Inn	TO-2001-189	TA-2000-311
22. Green Hills	TO-2001-253	33
23. ExOp	TO-98-382	26

^{24.} National Phone (appears to have merged with Incomnet who merged with Ciera Network). Operated in one Sprint exchange.

On page 21 of her Direct Testimony, Ms. Meisenheimer explains how she had difficulty confirming the availability of service by Max-Tel and Metro Teleconnect specific to the Norborne exchange. On June 26, 2003, I again contacted both carriers via their published toll free number -- I actually made two contacts to both carriers. As in the case above, I make no changes to statements in my Direct Testimony regarding this issue. In all four cases, the CLEC representative first asked who the major telephone company was for the area. When I responded "Sprint", the representative indicated that yes their company services the area; however, when I responded "Green Hills", since Green Hills is the major phone company for Norborne, the representative indicated that their company did not service the area. There have been no resale agreements filed with the Commission between these two carriers and Green Hills.

^{25.} Texas Teleconnect (appears to have merged with Reitz Rentals)
Operated in 19 Sprint exchanges.

Sprint strongly disagrees with Ms. Meisenheimer's statement that Sprint relied upon these two prepaid resellers – Max-Tel and Metro Teleconnect — to seek classification in each of the five exchanges. Ms. Meisenheimer's testimony indicates she only had difficulty with the Norborne exchange and not the other four exchanges. But more importantly, Sprint is not relying on the presence of these two prepaid resellers, or any other prepaid reseller for that matter, in its request for competitive designation. From a policy perspective, Sprint does not consider the presence of prepaid resellers to constitute effective competition. However, they are present in Sprint's area and the Commission is free to give their presence the consideration it is due. The more important fact for the Commission's analysis is the presence of facility-based providers. No where in my Direct Testimony did I state, or even suggest, that Sprint is relying on prepaid resellers to constitute an effective competitor.

Irrespective of any concerns OPC may have regarding the exact number of resellers, the fact of the matter is Sprint has yet to experience any serious competitive threat from resellers. As I stated clearly above, Sprint is <u>not</u> relying upon the presence of resellers as justification for its competitive classification request for any exchange or service. Had Sprint intended for this Commission to take into account as a relevant fact the market share of resellers and other UNE-based carriers, Sprint would have included that data in its direct testimony.

Furthermore, Sprint would have sought to join additional carriers as parties to this case. As stated in its Motion of Joinder of Parties dated February 11, 2003, Sprint

1		identified 75 carriers that have certificates of service authority, interconnection
2		agreements, and/or tariffs allowing them to offer local services in Sprint
3		exchanges. However, Sprint sought only to join three parties - the three facility
4		based CLECs. Clearly Sprint is not relying on the level of service provided by
5		resellers as justification for its competitive classification request for any exchange
6		or service.
7		
8	Q.	Please comment on OPC's specific concerns regarding Sprint's request for
9		competitive classification for the Norborne, Kearney, Platte City, Rolla, and
10		St. Robert exchanges.
11	A.	OPC makes one primary argument against Sprint's competitive designation
12		request for the five exchanges in question Sprint faces only a single competitor.
13		OPC provides its version of an overview of a two firm market using the
14		Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI). I am not an economist nor have any
15		knowledge related to the use of the HHI or even if it is appropriate in this
16		proceeding. As a result, I will defer all discussion related to the HHI to Sprint
17		witness Brian Staihr.
18		
19	Surre	buttal of Fidelity Witness Mr. Richard Taylor
20	Q.	Please summarize the issues raised by Fidelity Witness Mr. Taylor?
21	A.	Fidelity opposes Sprint's request for competitive classification for the Rolla and
22		St. Robert exchanges. Fidelity's lone argument against Sprint's request for Rolla
23		is that Sprint faces competition from only one competitor. Fidelity adds two

additional arguments for Sprint's St. Robert request. In addition to the single competitor argument, Fidelity also argues that the Commission should deny Sprint's competitive designation request because (a) it has only been competing in St. Robert since February and (b) Fidelity cannot offer cable TV service in St. Robert and therefore does not have the same advantages it enjoys in Rolla. Mr. Taylor also argues against Sprint's competitive designation request for Centrex and Directory Assistance. I will address Mr. Taylor's arguments relating to the local exchanges and Sprint witness Mr. Mark Harper will address the Directory Assistance and Centrex issues.

A.

- Q. On page 3 of his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. Taylor indicates that Fidelity is not
 a 100 percent facilities-based competitor. Please comment.
 - I referred to Fidelity as a 100 percent facilities-based carrier in my direct testimony; however, as Mr. Taylor points out, Fidelity does in fact purchase unbundled network elements from Sprint. Based on a data request response from Fidelity which was not marked highly confidential or proprietary, as of December 31, 2002, Fidelity indicated it had (a) three access lines that were resold Sprint facilities, (b) six access lines that were Sprint UNE facilities and 4,438 access lines that were full facility based, or CLEC owned access lines. A facilities based CLEC is generally defined as a carrier that uses either its own facilities or an ILEC's UNEs but does not use resale. If this definition is used, Fidelity is a 99.9325 percent facilities based CLEC. Another way to view it is that Fidelity is

1 a 99.7976 percent facility-based, CLEC-owned, provider. Either way, I clarify 2 my previous statement to be more precise.

3 Q. 4

- Does Fidelity argue that Sprint has not experienced substantial market share loss in the Rolla exchange? 5
- No. Fidelity simply states that the definition of "substantial" loss is up to the 6 A. 7 Commission to determine. Sprint agrees. Sprint also agrees with Mr. Taylor's correction if a small math error regarding Sprint's decrease in access lines; 8 however, this is now irrelevant due to the fact that Sprint continues to lose access 9 lines in Rolla and Fidelity continues to substantially increase its market share. On 10 May 13, 2003, Fidelity announced (a) it had added over 2000 access lines in the 11 last nine months and over 700 access lines in 2003 alone, (b) now has more than 12 5,000 access lines in Rolla, and (c) is adding 70 access lines a week (Please refer 13 14 to Schedule JRI-23.) This represents an annualized growth rate of over 30 percent⁵. In comparison, as of June 30, 2000, Sprint's annualized access line 15 decrease for the Rolla exchange was 18 percent. At the beginning of May, Sprint 16 had 14,589 access lines in Rolla which represents 74% of the market (when 17 compared with Fidelity's 5000 access lines). 18

19

20

- Fidelity recommends the Commission deny Sprint's request for competitive Q. designation in Rolla. Please comment.
- A. 22 Sprint disagrees with Mr. Taylor's conclusion. This conclusion is based on one 23 factor alone – that Sprint has only one competitor in Rolla. While Sprint

1	acknowledges it has only one facilities-based competitor, Sprint conclusion that it
2	faces effective competition includes a consideration of all the required and
3	relevant factors. Some of the additional factors ignored by Mr. Taylor but
4	included in Sprint's analysis include the following:
5	
6	1. Fidelity gained over 5000 access lines which represents over 25 percent of
7	total access lines in Rolla. Fidelity continues to add access lines and at a
8	faster pace that their own expectations. A May 13, 2003 press release by
9	Fidelity stated (see Schedule JRI-23):
10	Our growth has been exceeding our expectations and continues to do so as
11	we keep reaching milestones ahead of schedule," said John Paul, director
12	of sales. "We've seen exceptional growth and expanding service areas.
13	That allows us to offer a wide variety of services to the residents of the
14	community."
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	Fidelity hooked up its first Rolla test customers in 2000, and then became
17	a full service provider for the entire city in July 2001. Last fall, it
18	completed the extension of facilities into the Oak Meadow Country Club
19	area. Its hybrid fiber coax backbone provides telephone, digital cable TV
20	and high-speed Internet access.
21	
22	The company currently has nearly 3,300 residential lines and over 1,700
23	business lines. "We added over 700 lines this year already," said Mark
24	Diehl, Rolla installation and repair supervisor. "So it's been a pretty
25	exciting deal. This week alone, we have probably 70 lines going in."
26	
27	Fidelity crews have been working some nights and Saturdays to keep pace
28	with the demand. The installation calendar is filled up until the end of the
29	month, he said.
30	
31	2. Fidelity has substantial experience in the state of Missouri as a telecom
32	provider and an overall competitor. Fidelity Telephone Company, Inc., an
33	affiliate, has been providing local telephone service in Missouri since at least

⁵ Year-end access line count of 4438 (700/4438 = 15.8% for five months)

1	1957. Fidelity Cablevision, Inc. has been providing service in Rolla for
2	approximately eight years. Fidelity Communications Co holds cellular
3	partnerships, operates a long distance company (Fidelity Long Distance) and
4	an internet access service (Fidelity Networks).
5	3. Fidelity has broad name recognition in Rolla and a local business office.
6	4. Sprint cannot offer all the services provided by Fidelity in that it cannot
7	package cable TV service with any of its offerings.
8	5. Fidelity's prices are substantially lower than Sprint's (see Direct Testimony).
9	6. The Commission has granted Fidelity ETC status for federal USF purposes
10	and Fidelity currently receives federal high cost loop monthly support.6
11	
12	Fidelity also mentions that "Sprint has provided no evidence of any market place
13	actions it has taken to meet competition in Rolla". Whether or not Sprint has
14	taken any reactive market place actions is irrelevant to the determination that the
15	Commission must make. Does Sprint face effective competition in Rolla? That
16	basic question absolutely can be determined without any competitive response
17	because as a price cap regulated company, Sprint's reactive options are extremely
18	limited. For starters, Fidelity enjoys exchange-specific pricing flexibility whereas
19	Sprint does not.
20	

⁶ Source: Universal Service Administrative Company – High Cost Support Projected by Study Area – Third Quarter, 2003.

1	Q.	Fidelity recommends the Commission deny Sprint's request for competitive
2		designation for St. Robert. Please comment.
3	A,	Fidelity's primary arguments regarding Sprint's request for competitive
4		designation for St. Robert are that (a) it has only recently begun offering service
5		and (b) it does not have a cable offering. I will address both arguments.
6		
7		Fidelity does not deny the fact that it is currently offering local exchange
8		telephone service via its owned facilities in St. Robert. As mentioned above in
9		the surrebuttal of Staff witness Mr. McKinnie, Sprint is experiencing a substantial
10		access line decrease during the first six months of 2003 in St. Roberts. Many of
11		the factors identified above for Rolla also apply to St. Robert including the
12		following:
13		1. Given the close proximity to Rolla, consumers are well aware of Fidelity
14		and there is substantial advertising overlap between Rolla and St. Robert.
15		2. Fidelity's prices are substantially lower than Sprint's.
16		3. It is Sprint's understanding that Fidelity has won the contract to provide
17		local telephone service for the City of St. Roberts.
18		4. Fidelity has substantial experience in the state of Missouri as a telecom
19		provider and an overall competitor.
20		
21		Mr. Taylor also claims that because Fidelity cannot provide cable TV service in
22		St. Robert, Sprint should not receive competitive designation for St. Roberts.
23		Obviously there are no statutory requirements for competitive alternative

1		providers to provide cable TV service before an ILEC can be deemed
2		competitive. Fidelity is currently competing in the St. Robert exchange based
3		upon other factors such as quality of service, local presence, price and product
4		differences. Fidelity also offers high speed internet access providing yet another
5		avenue for Fidelity to bundle and compete in St. Roberts. In addition, Fidelity has
6		the ability to offer long distance packages with its local offering in St. Roberts.
7		Based upon the Fidelity press release:
8 9 10 11		"Fidelity offers both local and long distance service, including four different long distance plans. About 85 percent of its residential customers use Fidelity as their long-distance carrier."
12		Finally, Sprint does not offer cable TV service in any of its exchanges. Therefore,
13		Fidelity's lack of a cable TV offering in St. Robert makes it no less an alternative
14		carrier providing competitive services.
15		
16	Q.	Do CLECs, such as Fidelity, have a self-motivating interest to see that ILECs
17		do not receive competitive classification?
18	A.	Absolutely. Fidelity's defense of the status-quo is not surprising. Fidelity is able
19		to currently offer exchange-specific pricing as a competitive provider without
20		much, if any, scrutiny by the Commission Fidelity has had years of regulatory
21		advantages which have allowed them to grow into an effective competitor. If
22		Sprint were to receive competitive classification, it would be able to launch
23		initiatives specifically targeted for the Rolla and St. Robert exchanges -
24		something Fidelity has not had to deal with in the past.

2 Surrebuttal Testimony of ExOp Witness Mr. Dennis Devoy

- 3 Q. Please summarize the issues raised by ExOp witness Mr. Devoy?
- 4 A. "Simply put, ExOp opposes Sprint's exchange-specific request for re-
- 5 classification of its services in the Kearney exchange and especially in the Platte
- 6 City exchange." ExOp does not address any other aspect of Sprint's competitive
- 7 designation request.

8

9

10

1

- Q. What reasons does ExOp provide regarding Sprint's request for competitive designation in the Kearney exchange?
- ExOp provides three basic reasons in its opposition of Sprint's request. First, 11 A. 12 ExOp argues that there are portions of the Kearney exchange that it does not 13 serve. Specifically, ExOp argues that "[t]here are areas of the city of Kearney where ExOp has not constructed facilities..." and "potential customers located 14 15 outside the City of Kearney, but within the Kearney exchange, currently do not have ExOp's services available to them." Second, Mr. Devoy claims that Sprint 16 will (a) offer lower rates only to those individuals within the city limits of 17 Kearney¹⁰ and (b) raise rates throughout the state to make up for the reduced rates 18 in Kearney¹¹. Finally, Mr. Devoy argues that because Sprint has not taken any 19 20 steps to respond to competition in the Kearney and Platte City exchanges a

⁷ Mr. Dennis Devoy, Rebuttal Testimony, page 1.

⁸ Mr. Dennis Devoy, Rebuttal Testimony, page 3

⁹ Mr. Dennis Devoy, Rebuttal Testimony, page 4

¹⁰ Mr. Dennis Devoy, Rebuttal Testimony, page 4

¹¹ Mr. Dennis Devoy, Rebuttal Testimony, page 5

competitive environment does not exist. 12 I will address each argument separately.

3

Q. ExOp argues that there are portions of the Kearney exchange that it does not serve therefore Sprint should not be given competitive designation. Please comment.

7 A. E7
8 th
9 se
10 No

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ExOp's statement is both troubling and irrelevant. Troubling from the standpoint that ExOp's attorneys and officers have represented to this Commission that it serves all of the Kearney exchange with its own facilities. Repeatedly, in Case No. TA-2001-251 ExOp stated and/or stipulated to the fact that ExOp, through its own facilities, offers the services throughout the Kearney, Missouri exchange. In addition, ExOp repeatedly in Case No. TA-2001-251 ExOp stated and/or stipulated to the fact that ExOp advertises the availability of and charges for its telecommunications services in media of general distribution throughout the Kearney, Missouri exchange. Furthermore, Kevin Anderson, Chairman of the Board of ExOp of Missouri, certified with an affidavit that was filed with this Commission to those facts (see Schedule JRI-20). ExOp defines the Kearney, Missouri exchange in its tariff by "concurring with the exchange boundary maps of Sprint/United's tariff". In this case, ExOp now claims the opposite in an effort to deny Sprint's competitive designation request.

21

¹² Mr. Dennis Devoy, Rebuttal Testimony, page 4 and 5

¹³ ExOp of Missouri tariff, original sheet 32, section 3 - Exchange Area

1 Q. ExOp argues that Sprint will not offer reduced rates to customers within the 2 Kearney exchange not served by ExOp. ExOp also argues that Sprint will 3 raise rates throughout the state to make up for any reduction in rates 4 associated with the Kearney exchange. Please comment. A. Not only are these statements made my Mr. Devoy not substantiated but they are 5 flat out wrong. Just because Sprint receives competitive classification for 6 7 Kearney, or any other exchange for that matter, Sprint would not be able to engage in the type of activities claimed by Mr. D. Devoy. The Price Cap statutes 8 dealing with competitive classification does not provide Sprint the ability to price 9 below the exchange level. Also, the Price Cap Statutes provide ILECs with only 10 11 limited options to raise rates for basis and non-basic services. Mr. Devoy's claim that Sprint will raise rates in non-competitive exchanges cannot occur under the 12 13 law. 14 15 Q. ExOp argues that because Sprint has increased rates and has not taken any 16 steps to respond to competition in the Kearney and Platte City exchanges, a 17 competitive environment must not exist. Please comment. 18 A. As mentioned above, as a price cap ILEC Sprint can only raise rates as specified 19 by the price cap statutes. As such, Sprint has adjusted prices annually based on 20 the consumer price index. Additionally, Sprint has rebalanced its access rates. 21 All of Sprint's rate adjustments was a direct result of the price cap statute - and a direct result of regulated actions. Sprint has not acted like a competitive company 22 23 due to the fact that its rates are highly regulated. As discussed above and

1		confirmed by Mr. Devoy, any effort by Sprint to react to the substantial
2		competition it faces in certain exchanges would require Sprint to react on a
3		statewide basis for all similar rate groups.
4		
5	Q.	What reasons does ExOp provide to deny Sprint's request for competitive
6		designation in the Platte City exchange?
7	Α.	ExOp's argument against Sprint's request for competitive classification for Platte
8		City are nearly identical to the arguments raise by Fidelity witness Mr. Taylor in
9		that (a) ExOp has just begun providing service in Platte City and (b) ExOp does
10		not yet have a cable TV franchise for Platte City. Rather than repeat my above
11		Surrebuttal Testimony, I will simply make a reference to the statements made
12		above.
13		
14	Q.	Are there other factors the Commission should consider regarding Sprint's
15		request for competitive classification for Platte City?
16	A.	Yes. As stated above in my surrebuttal of Staff witness Mr. McKinnie, ExOp
17		request and received ETC status for Platte City. In addition, Mr. Devoy makes
18		claims regarding the tight capital structure for Everest Connections. This topic is
19		also thoroughly addressed above in my surrebuttal of Mr. McKinnie. Again, I
20		will not repeat arguments previously made but will simply make reference to the
21		above statements. Finally, Mr. Devoy states that ExOp may soon be sold. This
22		statement is in no way substantiated and the fact of the matter is any company
23		may be sold, acquired, or merged. Irrespective of the potential sale status, ExOp

1		owns its own switch (which is located at 303 N. Jefferson, Kearney, Missouri) as
2		well as the cable needed to provide service to its substantial market share. When
3		companies merge, sell, or are acquired, the strategic assets are not abandoned.
4		Rather, the new entity continues to use those assets. Mr. Devoy's statement is
5		nothing but a red herring.
6		
7	Q.	Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
8	A.	Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

State o	Matter of the Investigation of the of Competition in the Exchanges of Missouri Inc. Case No. IO-2003-0281
	AFFIDAVIT OF
STAT	E OF KANSAS)) ss:
COUN	TTY OF JOHNSON)
the fol	I, John Idoux, being of lawful age and duly sworn, dispose and state on my oath lowing:
1.	I am presently Manager, Regulatory Affairs External Affairs for Sprint Missouri, Inc.
2.	I have participated in the preparation of the attached Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form to be presented in the above entitled case;
3.	The answers in the attached Surrebuttal Testimony were given by me; and,
4.	I have knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers and that such matters are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
	JOHN IDOUX Subscribed and sworn to before me on this day of July, 2003.
	harm L. Yancey NOTARY PUBLIC

My Appointment Expires:



Index of Schedules - Surrebuttal

JRI-17	REVISED Proposed Classification for All Sprint Services – Detail
JRI-18	Intentionally Left Blank
JRI-19	ExOp's Application for ETC Status for the Platte City, Missouri Exchange Case No. CO-2003-0252
JRI-20	ExOp's ETC case for the Kearney, Missouri Exchange Case No. TA-2001-251
JRI-21	ExOp News Article Regarding the City of Platte City, Missouri
JRI-22	Intentionally Left Blank
JRI-23	Fidelity Press Release Regarding its 5000th Customer
JRI-24	Illustration of Financial Impacts Associated with Rate Groups

Index of Schedules – Surrebuttal

JRI-17	REVISED Proposed Classification for All Sprint Services - Detail
JRI-18	Intentionally Left Blank
JRI-19	ExOp's Application for ETC Status for the Platte City, Missouri Exchange Case No. CO-2003-0252
JRI-20 -	ExOp's ETC case for the Kearney, Missouri Exchange Case No. TA-2001-251
JRI-21	ExOp News Article Regarding the City of Platte City, Missouri
JRI-22	Intentionally Left Blank
JRI-23	Fidelity Press Release Regarding its 5000th Customer
JRI-24	Illustration of Financial Impacts Associated with Rate Groups

Schedule JRI-17 Case No. IO-2003-0281

REVISED Proposed Classification for All Sprint Services – Detail (revised Schedule JRI-1)

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specification	faile
Service	Classification	e Competitive	Reference
Telephone Answering Service Pick-Up			
Extension terminating in TAS Concentrator	Non-Basic	No	4.II.C.2.a.
INFO-LINK			
INFO-LINK service – Residential	Non-Basic	No	5.III.
INFO-LINK service – Business	Non-Basic	No	5.III.
Service Discounts			
MO School Discounted Program for standard monthly access			
line rates	Non-Basic	No	6.B.
Directory Listings			
Private Telephone Number	Non-Basic		9.V.
Additional Listing	HOIPDASIC		J. V ,
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	9.V.
Residence	Non-Basic		9.V.
Alternate Listing		The second secon	0.0.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	9.V.
Residence	Non-Basic		9.V.
Duplicate Listing			
Business	Non-Basic		9.V.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	9.V.
Foreign Listing		Matternality look marks receive (40) Types Marys 27	
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	9.V.
Residence	Non-Basic		9.V.
Office Hours			
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	9.V.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	9.V.
Extension Service			
Teen Pak	Non-Basic	Yes	11.111.
Foreign Central Office Service			•
First .25 mile	Non-Basic	No	12.II.A.
Additional .25 mile	Non-Basic	No	12.II.A.
Emergency Reporting Telephone Service			
Basic fire reporting common equipment	Non-Basic	No	13.I.B.1.a.
Fire reporting system equipment multiples	Non-Basic	No	13.I.B.1.b.
Tellabs Fire Reporting System			
20 volunteer lines for Kearney, MO	Non-Basic	No	13.I.B.2,a.
20 volunteer lines for Kearney, MO - NRC	Non-Basic	No	13.I.B.2.a.
Additional volunteer lines for Kearney, MO	Non-Basic	No	13.I.B.2.b
Additional volunteer lines for Kearney, MO - NRC	Non-Basic	No	13.l.B.2.b
6 volunteer lines for Harrisonville, MO - Tier A	Non-Basic	No	13.I.B.2.c
6 volunteer lines for Harrisonville, MO - Tier B	Non-Basic	No	13.I.B.2.c
Joint User Service	Non-Basic	No	14.B.1.

Local Exchange Service Rate Groups

	Statewide Searce	Exchange Specific	la de
Service	Gestinetion	ecompetitive:	Reference
Business One-Party Rate			- TOTOLOGIO
Group !	Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Group II	Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Group III	Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Group IV	Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Business Trunk Rate	Casic		10.11.74.
Group I	Non-Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Group II	Non-Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Group III	Non-Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Group IV	Non-Basic	Yes	16.II.A.
Residence One-Party Rate	NOII-Dasic		10.II.A.
	Posis	an ≓ui¥yes ing a	16.II.B.
Group I	Basic	Yes	
Group II	Basic	CONTRACTOR OF BUILDING TO SERVICE OF THE PROPERTY OF	16.II.B.
Group III	Basic	Yes	16.II.B.
Group IV	Basic	Yes	16.II.B.
Residence Trunk Rate	Non-Book		40 U.D.
Group I	Non-Basic	Yes	16.II.B.
Group II	Non-Basic		16.II.B.
Group III	Non-Basic	Y5Ş	16.II.B.
Group IV	Non-Basic		16.II.B.
EAS Additives - Business (Rate Group I) Brazito			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Centertown			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Centerview			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Coal			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Eugene	=		
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Ionia			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Kingsville			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
New Bloomfield			••••
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Norborne	and desired a fine		
One-Party	Basic	Yes and	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	Yes	16.IV.B.
Pickering	Dasic	1 60	10.17.5.
One-Party	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
		110	, W.I Y.W.
October 2002	2 of 32		•

		Statewide	Exchange	
		Service =	Specific	tariff
Service	Gi Gi	assification		Reference
St. Thomas			•••	46 0 / 5
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Taos				
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
EAS Additives - Residence (Rate Group I)				
Brazito				
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Centertown				
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Centerview				
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Coal				
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Eugene		2 40,0		
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Ionia		Dasjo	110	(0,111.2.
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
		Dasic	110	10.17.5.
Kingsville		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
One-Party			No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	NO	10.14.0.
New Bloomfield		Desis	No	16.IV.B.
One-Party		Basic		16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	10.14.0.
Norborne		Dania		46 IV/D
One-Party		Basic	Yes	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	Yes.	16.IV.B.
Pickering			Ma	40 N/ B
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
St. Thomas			N 1	40 N/D
One-Party	•	Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Taos				40.045
One-Party		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.IV.B.
EAS Additives - Business (Rate Group II)				
Cole Camp				
One-Party		Basic	No	16.V.A.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.V.A.
Holden				
One-Party		Basic	No	16.V.A.
Trunk		Basic	No	16.V.A.
October 2002	3 of 32			
COLODO EUVE	V 0: V2			•

	Statevide	Exchange :	
Service	Sepice	, Spelcific	Tariff Reference
Lake Lotawana			in the second se
One-Party	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Russellville	5000	110	10.7.7
One-Party	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.V.A.
EAS Additives - Residence (Rate Group II)			
Cole Camp			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Holden		,	
One-Party	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Lake Lotawana	_		
One-Party	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.V.A.
Russellville			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.V <i>.</i> A.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.V.A.
EAS Additives - Business (Rate Group III)			
Clinton			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Ferrelview		110	10.11.0.
One-Party	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Ft. Leonard Wood	-4010	110	10.11.0.
One-Party	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Maryville	Daoio	140	10.41.5.
One-Party	Basic	No	16,VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Platte City		140	10. VI.D.
One-Party	Basic	AATTY STATES	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	Yes	16.VI.B.
St. Robert	Basio		10. VI,D.
One-Party	Basic	Yes	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic		16.VI.B.
Warrensburg	240.0		(O. VI.D.
One-Party	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Waynesville	Dasio	140	10.VI.D.
One-Party	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
EAS Additives - Residence (Rate Group III)			
Clinton			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.Vl.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Ferrelview			•
October 2002	of 32		

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	iant :
Service One-Party	Classification Basic	i Gompetitive No	Reference
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Ft. Leonard Wood	Dasic	INO	16.VI.B.
One-Party	Basic	Na	40 V// D
Trunk	Basic	No No	16.VI.B.
Maryville	Dasic	No	16.VI.B.
One-Party	Basic	No	46 \ 0 D
Trunk	Basic	No No	16.VI.B.
Platte City	Dasic	No	16.VI.B.
One-Party	Basic		40 \ / I D
Trunk		Yes	16.VI.B.
St. Robert	Basic	yes.	16.VI.B.
One-Party	Desir	V	401 // D
Trunk	Basic	Yes	16.VI.B.
	Basic	Yes	16.VI.B.
Warrensburg	5 ·		
One-Party Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Waynesville	. .		
One-Party One-Party	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VI.B.
trunk			
EAS Additives - Business (Rate Group IV)			
Jefferson City			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.VII.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VII.B.
EAS Additives - Residence (Rate Group IV)			
Jefferson City			
One-Party	Basic	No	16.VII.B.
Trunk	Basic	No	16.VII.B.
Local Measured Service (LMS)			
Simple customers	Basic	Yes	16.XI.A.3.
Complex customers	Basic	yes .	16.XI.A.3.
Basic access line rate			
Residential One- Party Rate			
Group 1	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 2	Basic	Ϋ́es	16.XI.C.1.
Group 3	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 4	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Business One-Party Rate		1803年中央4年2011年10月10日	70
Group 1	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 2	Basiç	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 3	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 4	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Residential Trunk Rate			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Group 1	Non-Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 2	Non-Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 3	Non-Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 4	Non-Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Business Trunk Rate			
0-11 0000			

	Statewide.	Exchange	
Service		Specific 1-1	
Group 1	Classification Non-Basic	Competitive Yes	Reference 16.XI.C.1.
Group 2	Non-Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 3	Non-Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Group 4	Non-Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.1.
Calls within exchange	NOII-Dasic		10.XI.C. I.
1st MOU	Basic	Z Yes Till	16.XI.C.3.a.
Add'i MOU	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.a.
Calls 1 - 14 miles	243.0		10.741.0.0.4.
1st MOU	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.a.
UQM l'bbA	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.a.
Calls 15 - 28 miles	240.0		10.24.0.0.4.
1st MOU	Basic	Nes les	16.XI.C.3.a.
Add'I MOU	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.a.
Calls Over 28 miles			10.541.0.0.0.
1st MOU	Basic	ENTRY SET OF	16.XI.C.3.a.
Add'l MOU	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.a.
Time of Day Discounts			
Evening	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.b.
Night	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.b.
Weekend	Basic	Yes	16.XI.C.3.b.
		1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	. 0.3 11. 0.10.0.
Extension and Tie Line Mileage			
Per One-tenth mile	Non-Basic	Yes	17.II.B.1.(a)
Per One-tenth mile - Minimum Charge	Non-Basic	Yes	17.II.B.1.(a)
Extension Lines			
First 1/4 mile	Non-Basic	Yes	17.II.B.2.a.
Additional 1/4 mile	Non-Basic	Yes	17.II.B.2.b.
Tie Lines		. STATE OF THE STA	
First 1/4 mile	Non-Basic	Yes	17.II.B.2.c.
Additional 1/4 mile	Non-Basic	Yes	17.II.B.2.c.
Trouble Isolation Charge			
Simple Business/Residence			
Working Hours	Non-Basic	No	18.A.
After Working Hours	Non-Basic	No	18.A.
Complex Business			
First 1/4 hour - Working Hours	Non-Basic	No	18.A.
First 1/4 hour - After Working Hours	Non-Basic	No	18.A.
Add'l 1/4 hour - Working Hours	Non-Basic	No	18.A.
Add'i 1/4 hour-After Working Hours	Non-Basic	No	18.A.
Misselfenesus Emiliament 9 Oct.			
Miscellaneous Equipment & Service			
Special Billing Codes 1-49 codes, each	N D:-	M	40.5
In groups of 50 codes	Non-Basic	No	18.B.
Returned Check Charge	Non-Basic	No	18.B.
Subscriber Transfer Service	Non-Basic	No No	18.C.
Toll Restriction	Non-Basic	No No	18.D.
Private Line Signaling Unit	Non-Basic	No No	18.E.
Selective Class of Call	Non-Basic	No No	18.G.
Rotary Hunt Service	Non-Basic	No No	18.H.2
Data Bridging Service - Per channel	Non-Basic	No No	18.1.1
	Non-Basic	No	18.J.2.
October 2002	6 of 32		•

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	raiff
Service	Classification	Competitive.	Reference
Data Bridging Service - Per channel - NRC	Non-Basic	No	18.J.2.
Private Branch Exchange Service - Toll Trunks	Non-Basic	No	18.L.1.b
Special Trunk Termination	•		
Small PBX	Non-Basic	No -	18.L.2.a.
Large PBX, Centrex	Non-Basic	No	18.L.2.b.
Private Line Access Terminal, Large PBX, Centrex	Non-Basic	No	18.L.2.c.
Direct Inward Dialing			
Central Office Common			
Equipment for each 10 DID Trunks	Non-Basic	Yes	18.L.3.B.a.
Equipment for each 10 DID Trunks - NRC	Non-Basic		18.L.3.B.a.
Equipment for up to 16 DID Trunks	Non-Basic	Yes	18.L.3.B.b.
DID Trunk Circuit Plate	11011 22010		.0.2.0.0.0.
Non-digital – each	Non-Basic	Yes, I	18.L.3.B.c.
Non-digital – each - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	18.L.3.B.c.
Digital – each	Non-Basic	Yes I	18.L.3.B.d.
DID Numbers	110.1 20.10		10.2.0.5.0
Per 100	Non-Basic	e-e-e-ayes	18.L.3.B.e.
Increments of 10, per 10 DID numbers	Non-Basic		18.L.3.B.f.
Interconnecting DID Access Trunk	Non-Basic		18.L.3.B.g.
Remote Call Forwarding	Non-Basic	Yes	18.N.3.a.
Remote Call Forwarding - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	18.N.3.a.
	•		
Asynchronous Transfer Mode			
1.544 Mbps (DS1 UNI)			
Month to month	Competitive	Yes Mark	20.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	* Yes	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive *	Yes	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months	- Competitive	. Yes	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive		20.VIII.A.
*3 Mbps (2xDS1 UNI)			
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Compelitive:	Yes	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive ::	Yes -	20.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Compelitive	Yes and	20.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Compelitive	THE YES	20.VIII.A.
*6 Mbps (4xDS1 UNI)			
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	:::Competitive.::/	Maran Yesi in an	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes⊪	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive 4	Yes	20.VIII.A.
*9 Mbps (6xDS! UNI)			

	Statewice	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	Finite 1
Service Service	Classification	Competitive	Reference
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes.	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	s Yest	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes -	20.VIII.A.
*45 Mbps (DS3 UNI) Telephone Company Provided Fiber Op	Compelitive	Xesignation (20.VIII.A.
Terminal	DIIC		
Month to month			
0-3 miles			00 1 //// 4
0-3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive	S Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles - NRC	Gompetitive	PYes #	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months			
0-3 miles	Gompelitive	Yes -	20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles - NRC	Gompetitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	=Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A
Over 3 miles - NRC	Competitive	es es es es	20.VIII.A
24-35 months			
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles - NRC	Competitive	n Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Transporter to the second second		
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A
60-84 months			
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
*155 Mbps (OC3 UNI) Customer Provided Fiber Optic Termin	nal		
Month to month			
0-3 miles	Competitive +	Yes	20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles - NRC	Gompelitive		20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes III	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
12-23 months			20,4111,74.
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A
Over 3 miles - NRC	Competitive	γės	20.VIII.A.
24-35 months			20. VIII.A.
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20,VIII.A.
0-3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Sompetitive."		20. V III.A.
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A 20.VIII.A
60-84 months			2V. Y III./\(\)
_ · · · · · ·			

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	arifi
Service	Classification	Competitive	Reference
0-3 miles	Competitive	¥₹¥₹¥₹	20.VIII.A
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A
*155 Mbps (OC3 UNI) Telephone Company Provided Fiber			
Optic Terminal			
Month to month		. Ny propinsi fisia ny manin'i Salai de Mandri de La d	
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes -	20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yeşiril	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive.	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles - NRC 12-23 months	Competitive	Yes Fee	20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles			00.1/111.4
0-3 miles - NRC	Competitive Competitive	Yes Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Compelitive		20.VIII.A. 20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles - NRC	Competitive		20.VIII.A. 20.VIII.A.
24-35 months			20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
0-3 miles - NRC	Competitive		20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
Over 3 miles - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A.
36-59 months			20.4111.71.
0-3 miles	Competitive	i de la Vosa de la Composition della Composition de la Composition de la Composition de la Composition della Composition	20.VIII.A
Over 3 miles	Competitive	Tayos III	20.VIII.A
60-84 months			,
0-3 miles	Competitive	Yes all	20.VIII.A
Over 3 miles	2 Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.A
*45 Mbps (DS3 UNI) Additional ATM Access Line	man and the both 100, 100, by \$1,000, make at less and the party of the second control of	Commence of the state of the st	
Month to month	Competitive	re Yes	20.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	**Competitive	Yes	20.VIII,B.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive = =	Yes :	20.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
24-35 months - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes the	20.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
**155 Mbps (OC3 UNI) Customer Provided Fiber Optic Terminal			
Additional ATM Access Line			
Month to month			00.1/11.0
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
12-23 months	Competitive Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
12-23 months - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.B. 20.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
**155 Mbps (OC3 UNI) Telephone Company Provided Fiber			20.411.2.
Optic Terminal			
Additional ATM Access Line			
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
12-23 months	-Competitive	.≟ Yes	20.VIII.B.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.B.
Optobor 2002			

October 2002 9 of 32

	Statewide Exchange	
	Service Specific	- Tariff
Service	Glassification Competitive	Reference
24-35 months	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.B.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.B.
36-59 months	- Competitive - Yes	20.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.B.
1.544 Mbps (DS1 UNI)	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	:
Month to month	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months	Gompetitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C,
24-35 months - NRC	Compelitive - Service	20.VIII.C.
36-59 months	Competitive :: Yes	20.VIII.C.
60-84 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
*3 Mbps (2xDS1 UNI)	District Constitution of the Constitution of t	
Month to month	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months	Gompetitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months - NRC 36-59 months	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
60-84 months	Compellive Yes	20.VIII.C.
*6 Mbps (4xDS1 UNI) Month to month		
Month to month - NRC	Competitive Yes :	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months	Gompetitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive Yes.	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
36-59 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
60-84 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
*9 Mbps (6xDS1 UNI)	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
Month to month		20.740.0
Month to month - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C. 20,VIII.C.
12-23 months	Competitive Yes	•
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C. 20.VIII.C.
24-35 months	Competitive Yes Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C. 20,VIII.C.
24-35 months - NRC	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
36-59 months	Gompetitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
60-84 months	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
45 Mbps (DS3 UNI)		20.411.0.
Month to month	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months	Compeniive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
36-59 months	Competitive	20.VIII.C.
60-84 months	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
155 Mbps (OC3 UNI)	S	
Month to month	Gompetitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
October 2002	10 of 32	•••
COLODGI ZUUZ	10 01 32	•

	Statewide Exchange	
	Specific :	Tariff
Service	Glassification Competitive	References
12-23 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive Yes-	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive - Yes -	20.VIII.C.
36-59 months	Competitive Yes 1	20.VIII.C.
60-84 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.C.
Over 0 thru 2.944 Mbps (Per increments of 64 Kbps)		00.1411.5
CBR CBR - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.D.
VBR-nrt	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.D.
VBR-nrt - NCR	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.D.
Over 2.944 Mbps (Per increments of 1 Mbps)	Competitive	20.VIII.D.
CBR		20.7411.52
CBR - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.D.
VBR-nrt	Competitive The Yes	20.VIII.D.
VBR-nrt - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.D.
UBR	- Competitive Yes	20.VIII.D.
Per DS1 Connection	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.D.
Per DS1 Connection - NCR	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	20.VIII.D.
Per NxDS1 Conn.	Competitive Yes Yes	20.VIII.D.
Per NxDS1 Conn NCR		20.VIII.D.
Per DS3 Connection	Compelitive Yes Yes	20.VIII.D.
Per DS3 Connection - NCR	Compellity Compellity	20.VIII.D.
Per OC3 Connection	Gompetitive	20.VIII.D.
Per QC3 Connection - NCR	Competitive at a vest of	20.VIII.D.
Over 0 thru 2.944 Mbps (Per increments of 64 Kbps)	(1999年) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	20.411.0.
CBR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
CBR - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
VBR-nrt	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
VBR-nrt - NCR	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
Over 2.944 Mbps (Per Increments of 1 Mbps)	The second of th	2017111.2.
CBR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
CBR - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
VBR-nrt	Competitive Xes	20.VIII.E.
VBR-nrt - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
UBR		
Per DS1 Connection	Competitive - Yes	20.VIII.E.
Per DS1 Connection - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
Per NxDS1 Conn.	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
Per NxDS1 Conn NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
Per DS3 Connection	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
Per DS3 Connection - NCR	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
Per OC3 Connection	Competitive 5. Yes	20.VIII.E.
Per OC3 Connection - NCR	Compelitive Yes	20.VIII.E.
*1.544 Mbps ATM-NL		
Month to month	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.F.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.F.
12-23 months	Competitive Yes Yes	20.VIII.F.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.F.
24-35 months	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.F.
24-35 months - NRC 36-59 months	Competitive Yeshell	20.VIII.F.
	Competitive Yes	20.VIII.F.
October 2002	44 - 500	

	Statewide	Exchange	
Para line and the state of the	Service +	Specific	, taiff
Service		Competitive	Reference
36-59 months - NRC	Gompetitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
60-84 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
*45 Mbps ATM-NL Within CO			
Month to month			00 1 /// 5
Month to month - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
12-23 months	©ompelitive ©ompelitive	Yes Tu	20.VIII.F. 20.VIII.F.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive		20.VIII.F. 20.VIII.F.
24-35 months	Gompelitive	Yes .	20.VIII.F.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
36-59 months - NRC	Gombelilye	Yes	20.VIII.F.
60-84 months	i en la compania de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del compania	Yes	20.VIII.F.
60-84 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
*45 Mbps ATM-NL			
0-3 miles			
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes -	20.VIII.F.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
24-35 months - NRC	Compelitive .	Yes	20.VIII.F.
36-59 months	Compelitive	Yes	20,VIII.F.
36-59 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
60-84 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
*45 Mbps ATM-NL			
Over 3 miles			
Month to month	- Competitive -	Yes	20.VIII.F.
Month to month - NRC 12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
12-23 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
24-35 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
24-35 months - NRC	<u> Competitive</u>	Yes	20.VIII.F.
36-59 months	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
36-59 months - NRC	Competitive	Yes Yes	20.VIII.F. 20.VIII.F.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.F.
60-84 months - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	20.VIII.F. 20.VIII.F.
Administrative Charge	e empelitive	Yes	20.VIII.G.
			20.411.0.
Over 0 thru 2.944 Mbps (Per increments of 64 Kbps)			
CBR	Competitive -	w Yes	20.VIII.H.
CBR - NCR (Per PVC)	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.H.
VBR-nrt	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.H.
VBR-nrt - NRC (Per PVC)	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.H.
Over 2.944 Mbps (Per increments of 1 Mbps)	 sycrymac waterout at a machine and a continued at the control of an extension of the control of th		
CBR	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.H.
CBR - NCR (Per PVC)	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.H.
VBR-nrt	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.H.
VBR-nrt - NRC (Per PVC)	Competitive	Yes	20.VIII.H.
UBR			

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service 27	Specific	
Per DS1 Connection	Classification	Competitive	Reference
Per DS1 Connection - NCR	Competitive Competitive	Yes Yes	20.VIII.H.
Per NxDS1 Conn.	Competitive	***** ********************************	20.VIII.H.
Per NxDS1 Conn NCR	Compelitive	Yes Yes	20.VIII.H.
Per DS3 Connection	The state of the s		20.VIII.H.
Per DS3 Connection - NCR	Competitive	Yes I	20.VIII.H.
Per OC3 Connection	Competitive	Yes a	20.VIII.H.
Per OC3 Connection - NCR	Competitive Competitive	Yes Yes	20.VIII.H. 20.VIII.H.
Frame Relay Service			
Frame Relay Access Line (56 Kbps)			
Month to month	Ecompetitive.	Yes	21.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	A Version	21,VIII.A.
12-23 months	Gempetilive		21.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Compelitive		21.VIII.A. 21.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Compelitive		21.VIII.A. 21.VIII.A.
Frame Relay Access Line (64 Kbps)		Yos	21.VIII.A.
Month to month			04 7 411 7
Month to month - NRC	Competitive -	Yes	21.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Compelitive -	Yes	21.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive		21.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive:	yes .	21.VIII.A.
	Competitive	Yés	21.VIII.A.
Frame Relay Access Line (128 Kbps)			643300.4
Month to month	Competitive	es e	21.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Ecompetitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
Frame Relay Access Line (256 Kbps)	ATTIONS AND	Constitution of the second second second	
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive :	Yes	21.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
Frame Relay Access Line (384 Kbps)	Z.agrania in the same of the s		
Month to month	Gompelitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	HI Yes II III	21.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
Frame Relay Access Line (512 Kbps)	ng and designs policies (1, 2000). I dobt to compare youngs part person recommended as a second	T	
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	- Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.

	in is Statewide	Exchange	
Service	Service Service Classification	Specific Competitive	Tanif Reference
Frame Relay Access Line (1.544 Kbps)			
Month to month	Competitive	PERYES PER	21.VIII.A.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	v ves	21.VIII.A.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
24-35 months	Competitive	Par Yes (Par	21.VIII.A.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.A.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yesi	21.VIII.A.
Frame Relay Port (56 Kbps)			
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Frame Relay Port (64 Kbps)			
Month to month	Competitive	Yes we	21.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII,B.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Compelitive	Yës	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Frame Relay Port (128 Kbps)	Harting the statement of a commence of the statement of t		
Month to month	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21,VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yės	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Frame Relay Port (256 Kbps)	The Market State of the State o	aros e i salvida de libito, peraja	_,,,,,,,,,
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes Pres	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes Yes	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Frame Relay Port (384 Kbps)	ALT CATTLE AND A SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY SECURIT	THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21,VIII.B.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes Yes	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Frame Relay Port (512 Kbps)	The state of the s	Act of \$100 and \$100	
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive :	Yes	21.VIII.B.
12-23 months	- Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes-	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes Yes	21.VIII.B.
Frame Relay Port (1.544 Kbps)		1976	
Month to month	Competitive	Yes	
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	≝u⊹ Yes⊯.	21.VIII.B.

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	de Galife
Service	Glassification	Competitive	Reference
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive ::	Yes -	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
Frame Relay Port (44.210 Kbps)			
Month to month	- Competitive	YPS T	21.VIII.B.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yest	21.VIII.B.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.B.
60-84 months	Sempetitive	es Yesie	21.VIII.B.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 0 thru 8 Kbps)			
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes T.	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	ECompetitive	ar May establish	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive #	Yes .	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive :	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 8 thru 16 Kbps)		•	
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 16 thru 24 Kbps)	A 1 12 2 mm and a 1 mm	man the same frage part of the same state of the	
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive -	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes .	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	765	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 24 thru 32 Kbps)	The state of the s	yes people in the management of all 1971 202 (1), 1, 27 1 1 2 - sec.	
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 32 thru 40 Kbps)	16 James 1940 1941 1941 1941 1941 1941 1941 1941	Man the second of the second o	
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	TOTAL YES	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	YBS	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 40 thru 48 Kbps)	4- No. 2440 CONTRACTOR STATE TOWN THE CONTRACTOR OF	a commence of the Comment of the American Section (1995)	
Frame for LAN	- Competitive	#-F-Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive.	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.

	Statewide	Exchange	
Service	Service Classification	# Specific Competitive #	Taritt Reference
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 48 thru 56 Kbps)		Control of the state of the sta	
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	- Competitive	JERYES :	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 56 thru 64 Kbps)	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		04.1411.0
Frame for LAN Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive. Competitive		21.VIII.C. 21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	- Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Traine for Voice 1470			21.411.0.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 64 thru 128 Kbps)			
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes E	21,VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 128 thru 256 Kbps)	•		
Frame for LAN	Competitive.	Yesita	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive		21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Compellive	v Y⊛	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	···· Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Demonstrativities County to the LATA (One OFO II. ON AND II.			
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 256 thru 384 Kbps) Frame for LAN	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		0.11.00
Frame for LAN - NRC	Compelitive)(S)	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Competitive	III Yes	21.VIII.C. 21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes Yes	21.VIII.C. 21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
			2 ,. •
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 384 thru 512 Kbps)	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA		
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 512 thru 768 Kbps)	 		
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	∵Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.C.
October 2002 16 of 32	maken and the companying continues or	The state of the s	
	-		

	. Statewide - Sxchange	
	Service Specific	a decide to
Frame for SNA - NRC	Classification competitive	Reference
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	The second secon	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 768 thru 1.536	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Mbps)		
Frame for LAN	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Compelitive	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Compelitive (1) Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive: The Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Compelitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
		21.4111.0.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 1,536 thru 4 Mbps)	·	
Frame for LAN	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 4 thru 10 Mbps)		
Frame for LAN	Compelitive Table Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Compelitive A. A. Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes "	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
B 450 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 10 thru 16 Mbps)	23 D 25 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C 3 C	
Frame for LAN	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Gompetitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 16 thru 34 Mbps)		
Frame for LAN	- Competitive - Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - IntraLATA (Over 34 thru 44.210		21.711.0.
Mbps)		
Frame for LAN	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Compelitive	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice	Compelitive	21.VIII.C.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.C.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 0 thru 8 Kbps)	The state of the second st	
Frame for LAN	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
October 2002 17 of 32		
17 01 32	•	•

	stateWite:	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	
Service	==Gassification=		Reference
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	- Yes	21.VIII.C.
Frame for SNA	Gompetitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive:	Yes	21.VIII.D,
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 8 thru 16 Kbps) Frame for LAN			04 1 201 1 10
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes and	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 16 thru 24 Kbps)	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN			04.1/111.0
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive		21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Competitive	(es	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC		Yes	21,VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 24 thru 32 Kbps)	Gompetitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN			04 1700 15
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	e eyes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Gompetitive -	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D. 21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive		21.VIII.D. 21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 32 thru 40 Kbps)			∠1.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	res	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC		Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 40 thru 48 Kbps)			21.111.5.
Frame for LAN	Competitive	iYes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 48 thru 56 Kbps)			
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	- Competitive -	Yes -	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 56 thru 64 Kbps)			
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21,VIII.D.
Frame for SNA NDC	- Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes =	21.VIII.D.
October 2002 18 of 32	<u>)</u>		•

	•	
	Statewide Exchange	
	Service Specific	Tariff
Service	Classification Competitive	Reference
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 64 thru 128 Kbps)	ST MOMENT TO THE PROPERTY OF T	
Frame for LAN	Compelitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA NDC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	:: Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for voice - INCC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 128 thru 256 Kbps)		
Frame for LAN	Compelitive Tres	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Compelitive	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 256 thru 384 Kbps)		
Frame for LAN	Compelitive Yes:	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Gompetitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive Yes	` 21.VIII.D.
Dames and Victoria Circuit Ind. LATA (O. COARL BARKER)		
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 384 thru 512 Kbps) Frame for LAN	Democratic Communication of the Communication of th	04.5/11/10
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Gompetitive Yes Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D. 21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC		21.VIII.D. 21.VIII.D.
Francisco - Milo	Compelitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 512 thru 768 Kbps)		
Frame for LAN	Compelitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive Yes Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 768 thru 1.536	The second secon	
Kbps)		
Frame for LAN	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive : Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	21.VIII.D.

Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 1.536 thru 4 Mbps)

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	Tariff
Service	Classification	Competitive	Reference -
Frame for LAN	- Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive :	+ - Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Gompetitive =	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 4 thru 10 Mbps)			04.5.00.0
Frame for LAN Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 10 thru 16 Mbps)			
Frame for LAN	Competitive	Yes a	21.VIII.D.
Frame for LAN - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	:Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 16 thru 34 Mbps)			
Frame for LAN	Competitive		04.1/111.70
Frame for LAN - NRC	The state of the s	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Competitive	rs yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Gompetitive	Yes Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive		21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Permanent Virtual Circuit - InterLATA (Over 34 thru 44.210	Compelitive		21.VIII.D.
Mbps)			
Frame for LAN		- Maria di Ariangan di Ari	04 1/111 15
Frame for LAN - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for SNA - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice	Competitive	Yes:	21.VIII.D.
Frame for Voice - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.D.
Frame to: Voice - NRC	Competitive .	maaayesaanaa	21.VIII.D.
Configuration Change -Administrative Charge	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.E.
Private Network-to-Network Interface (1.544 Mbps)			
Month to month	Competitive.	Yes	21.VIII.F.
Month to month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.F.
12-23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.F.
24-35 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.F.
36-59 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.F.
60-84 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.F.
Inter-Networking Link CIR, per PVC		aus de Hille Affertatione de la Contra	
Over 0 thru 256 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 0 thru 256 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 256 thru 384 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 256 thru 384 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
October 2002 20 of 20	market and mark the property of the state of	. Andrewsgroup procession of the Light	i

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specific	Tailt.
Ouer 294 thru 512 Khoo	Cassification:	Competitive	Reference
Over 384 thru 512 Kbps Over 384 thru 512 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	ie Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 512 thru 768 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
•	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 512 thru 768 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	r yeyya Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 768 thru 1.536 Mbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 768 thru 1.536 Mbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes -	21.VIII.G.
Over 1.536 thru 4 Mbps	— Competitive —	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 1.536 thru 4 Mbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 4 thru 10 Mbps	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 4 thru 10 Mbps - NRC	Gompetitive	⊯, yYes,	21.VIII.G.
Over 10 thru 16 Mbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 10 thru 16 Mbps - NRC	Gompetitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 16 thru 34 Mbps	© Competitive	Yes List	21.VIII.G.
Over 16 thru 34 Mbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 34 thru 44.736 Mbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Over 34 thru 44.736 Mbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.G.
Gateway Service CIR, per PVC			
Over 0 thru 8 Kbps			04 \ / / / / / / /
Over 0 thru 8 Kbps - NRC	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 8 thru 16 Kbps	Compelitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 8 thru 16 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 16 thru 24 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 16 thru 24 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 24 thru 32 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 24 thru 32 Kbps - NRC	Competitive Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 32 thru 40 Kbps	Compelitye	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 32 thru 40 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 40 thru 48 Kbps	The state of the s	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 40 thru 48 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 48 thru 56 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 48 thru 56 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 56 thru 64 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 56 thru 64 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes in the	21.VIII.H.
Over 64 thru 128 Kbps	© Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- Competitive		21.VIII.H.
Over 64 thru 128 Kbps - NRC Over 128 thru 256 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
■· -	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 128 thru 256 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 256 thru 384 Kbps	Competitive	e e Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 256 thru 384 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 384 thru 512 Kbps	<u>Compelitive</u>	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 384 thru 512 Kbps - NRC	e Compeliive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 512 thru 768 Kbps	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 512 thru 768 Kbps - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Over 768 thru 1.536 Mbps Over 768 thru 1.536 Mbps - NRC	Competitive	repending Yes	21.VIII.H.
075: 700 dild 1.550 MDPS - MRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.H.
Utilization Reports, per Port			
Month to Month	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.I.
Month to Month - NRC	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.I.
12 - 23 months	Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.I.
12 - 23 months - NRC	- Competitive	Yes	21.VIII.I.
Ostahov 2002	interest (every mercus pro 10 Mar 14 Confidence of the 14 Confidence of	The second of the second of the second	

24 - 35 months		Statewicie Service	A Exchange	Tarin.
24 - 35 months	Sepice	Market Committee of the	The second secon	The second secon
24 - 35 months - NRC 36 - 59 months 36 - 59 months 36 - 59 months 36 - 59 months - NRC 37 - 50 months - NRC 38 - 59 months - NRC 39 - 44 months - NRC 30 - 44 months - NRC 30 - 44 months - NRC 30 - 50 months - NRC 30 - 50 months - NRC 30 - 50 months - NRC 31 / IIII. Private Line Mileage Each local Channel or Network First 1/4 mile or traction thereof Each additional 1/4 mile Carpetitive Each additional 1/4 mile Integrated Services Data Network - Basic Rate Interface (ISDN-BRI) Service Capability Packages Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - Nan-Basic Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Loop Extension Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Call Pickup Piexible Calling Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Authomatic Callback Additional Call Offering Call Forwarding Additional Call Offering Non-Basic Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (New Telephone System) Non-Basic Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (New Telephone System) Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (New Telephone System) Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (New Telephone System) Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (New Telephone System) Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (New Telephone System) Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (New Telephone System) Non-Basic Ves. (Iess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package Stan				
36 - 59 months NRC Compatible Xe 21.VIII.1		The state of the s	and the second s	
36 - 84 months - NRC		and the state of t	The state of the s	
60 - 84 months - NRC Private Line Mileage Each local Channel or Network First 1/4 mile or fraction thereof Each additional 1/4 mile Integrated Services Data Network - Basic Rate Interface (ISDN-BRI) Service Capability Packages Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Call Pickup - Non-Basic Called Calling - Non-Basic Non-Basic - Non-Ba				
Private Line Mileage Each local Channel or Network First 1/4 mile or fraction thereof Each additional 1/4 mile Integrated Services Data Network - Basic Rate Interface (ISDN-BRI) Service Capability Packages Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Cupp Extension Optional Features Calling Number IDCalling Name ID Call Pickup - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.1. Optional Features Calling Number IDCalling Name ID Call Pickup - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.2. Six-Way Confer-ence Calling - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Orlering - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.2. Additional Directory Number - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.2. Change Charges Closed User Group - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.2. Configuration Group - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.2. Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.3. Change Charges Closed User Group - Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor) - 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic - Yes (less Nor)		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AD	
Each local Channel or Network First 1/4 mile or fraction thereof Each additional 1/4 mile Integrated Services Data Network - Basic Rate Interface (ISDN-BRI) Service Capability Packages Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business Nnc-Basic Yes (Jess Nor) Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business NnC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) NnC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) NnRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) NnC Calling Number (D/Calling Name ID Call Pickup Flexible Calling Non-Basic Vas (Jess Nor) Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Automatic Callback Non-Basic Vas (Jess Nor) Non-Basic Vas (Jess Nor) Non-Basic Vas (Jess Nor) Va		17 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MILES T. L. C.	
First 1/4 mile or fraction thereof Each additional 1/4 mile Competitive 22.III.A.1.	Private Line Mileage			
Integrated Services Data Network - Basic Rate Interface (ISDN-BRI)	Each local Channel or Network			
Integrated Services Data Network - Basic Rate Interface (ISDN-BRI) Service Capability Packages Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Coptional Features Calling Number IDCalling Name ID Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.1. Coptional Features Calling Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Call Pickup Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Additional Callback Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Additional Callback Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Differing Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Directory Number Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Directory Number Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.2. Additional Group Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.4. Change Charges Closed User Group Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.4. Change Charges Closed User Group Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.4. Change Charges Non-Basic Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.4. Change Charges Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.4. Change Charges Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.4. Change Charges Yes (ies No) 23.I.D.4. Change Ch	First 1/4 mile or fraction thereof	Competitive	Yes	22.III.A.1.
Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence NRC Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.1.	Each additional 1/4 mile	- Competitive	Yes	22.III.A.1.
Service Capability Packages Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence Non-Basic Yes ([ess Not] 23.I.D.1.	-			
Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence				
Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Residence - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business NRC Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Flexible Calling Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Automatic Callback Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Offering Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Call Forwarding Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Additional Directory Number Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Additional Directory Number Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Feature Package 1 Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Change Charges Closed User Group Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Change Charges Closed User Group Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Non-Basic Yes (1888 Not) 23.I.D.4. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Non-Basic Yes (1888 Not) 23.I.F.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Non-Basic	Yes (less Nor)	23.I.D.1.
Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business NRC Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.1. Optional Features Calling Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Call Pickup Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Offering Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Offering Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Additional Directory Number Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Additional Directory Number Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Feature Package 1 Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Change Charges Closed User Group Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Configuration Group Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Configuration Group Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Configuration Group Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.2. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.4. Addit Facility Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.4. Sal.I.F.1. Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Not) 23.I.D.4. Y				
Standard ISDN-BRI Package-Business - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - Non-Basic Non-Basic Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - Non-Basic Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - Non-Basic			The state of the s	
Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) NRC Non-Basic Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - NRC Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) NRC Loop Extension Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Call Pickup Flexible Calling Non-Basic Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Additional Call Offering Non-Basic Additional Directory Number Moltand Directory Number Multi-line Hunt Group Non-Basic Multi-line Hunt Group Non-Basic Configuration Group Non-Basic Non-B			or her woman of the first way of bases of the property of the	
Standard ISDN-BRI Package - H (Key Telephone System) - Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.1. Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.1. Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.1. Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.1. Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Call Pickup Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Flexible Calling Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Automatic Callback Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Additional Call Offering Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Additional Directory Number Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Multi-line Hunt Group Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Feature Package 1 Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Change Charges Closed User Group Non-Basic Yes (fess Nor) 23.I.D.2. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Non-Basic Yes (23.II.F.1. Month to month	·			
Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) NRC Loop Extension Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Call Pickup Flexible Calling Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (Jess Nor) Non-Basic Yes (Jess Nor) Non-Basic Yes (Jess Nor) Non-Basic Yes (Jess Nor) Non-Basic	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Non-Basic	Yes (less Nor)	23.I.D.1.
Standard ISDN-BRI Package - L (Key Telephone System) - NRC Loop Extension Non-Basic Loop Extension Non-Basic Ves (less Nor) 23.I.D.1. Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Non-Basic Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Non-Basic Calling Non-Basic Calling Non-Basic Calling Non-Basic Calling Non-Basic Calling Non-Basic Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic	NRC	Non-Basic	Yes (fess Nor)	23.l.D.1.
Loop Extension Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.l.D.1.	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Non-Basic	Yes (less Noi)	23.I.D.1.
Optional Features Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Non-Basic Call Pickup Non-Basic Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Non-Ba	NRC	Non-Basic	Yes (less Nor)	23.I.D.1.
Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Call Pickup Flexible Calling Non-Basic Flexible Calling Non-Basi	Loop Extension	Non-Basic	Yes (less Nor)	23.I.D.1.
Calling Number ID/Calling Name ID Call Pickup Flexible Calling Non-Basic Flexible Calling Non-Basi	Optional Features			
Call Pickup Flexible Calling Non-Basic Ves (less Nor) Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Ves (less Nor) Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic N	· · · · ·	Non-Basic	Yes (less Nort	23.LD.2.
Flexible Calling Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Non-Basic	-	•	TO BE A THE PERSON OF THE PERS	
Six-Way Confer-ence Calling Automatic Callback Additional Call Offering Call Forwarding Additional Directory Number Anon-Basic Assignment Non-Basic Assignment Assignment Non-Basic Assignment A	·		and the second s	
Automatic Callback Additional Call Offering Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic				
Additional Call Offering Call Forwarding Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic Call Forwarding Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Pes (less Not) Pes		–		
Call Forwarding Additional Directory Number Non-Basic Multi-line Hunt Group Non-Basic Feature Package 1 Non-Basic Closed User Group Configuration Group Database Change Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) Ves			CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	
Additional Directory Number Multi-line Hunt Group Feature Package 1 Change Charges Closed User Group Configuration Group Database Change Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Month to month Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.2. Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. 23.I.F.1. 23.II.F.1.				
Multi-line Hunt Group Feature Package 1 Non-Basic Ves (less Nor) Passic Ves (less Nor) Change Charges Closed User Group Configuration Group Database Change Non-Basic Non-Basic Ves (less Nor) Non-Basic			I Same at a state of the second state of the second	
Change Charges Closed User Group Configuration Group Database Change Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Month to month Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. 23.II.F.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Closed User Group Configuration Group Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4.	•			
Closed User Group Configuration Group Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4.	Change Charges			
Configuration Group Database Change Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. 23.I.D.4. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. 23.II.F.1. 23.II.F.1. Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. 23.II.F.1.		Non-Basic	Yes (tess Nor)	23.I.D.4.
Database Change Non-Basic Yes (less Nor) 23.I.D.4. Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate Interface (ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. 23.II.F.1.	·	Non-Basic		23.I.D.4.
(ISDN-PRI) Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Month to month Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1.	- ,		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	
Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange) First Facility Add'l Facility Month to month Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1.				
First Facility Add'l Facility Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Add'l Facility Month to month Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1. Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Non-Basic	YES THE YES	23.II.F.1.
Month to month Non-Basic Yes 23.II.F.1.			The state of the second st	
California space print and the second and the secon	•			
			Laurenmen edition promise that the second	•

	Statewide	e santonie	
	Service	Specific	A STATE OF THE STA
Selvice	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Reference :
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.1.
24-35 months	Non-Basic	A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	23.II.F.1.
36-59 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.1.
60-84 months	Non-Basic		23.II.F.1.
Primary Rate Interface, each	Non Doo!	hamanal est primitable but bil	00 11 5 6
Month to month Month to month - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.a.
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.a.
12-23 months 12-23 months - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.a.
24-35 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.a.
24-35 months - NRC	Non-Basic		23.II.F.3.a.
36-59 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.a.
60-84 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.a.
Primary Rate Channels (One-Way Channel)	Non-Basic	West Yes	23.II.F.3.a.
Month to month	Non Posis		02 II E 2 b /4\
Month to month - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.b.(1)
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes - J. s.	23.II.F.3.b.(1)
12-23 months - NRC	Non-Basic Non-Basic	Upras Yes	23.ll.F.3.b.(1)
24-35 months - NRC	Non-Basic	###YES	23.II.F.3.b.(1)
36-59 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.b.(1) 23.II.F.3.b.(1)
60-84 months	Non-Basic	TO TAKE	23.II.F.3.b.(1)
Primary Rate Channels (Two-Way Channel)	NOI - Dasic		23.11.17.3.0.(1)
Month to month	Non-Basic	Yes Sales	23.II.F.3.b.(2)
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.b.(2)
24-35 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.b.(2)
36-59 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.b.(2)
60-84 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.b.(2)
Incoming Call ID	Non Basic	Vallet Contract Contract Contract	20.11.1 .0.0.(2)
Number	Non-Basic	weekyese en e	23.II.F.3.c.
Name and Number	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.d.
D-Channel Backup	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.e.
D-Channel Backup - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.3.e.
Optional Features	11011 54510	The second second	20.11.1 .0.6.
Call-by-Call	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.4.a.
Call-by-Call - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.4.a.
Network Ring Again	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.4.b.
Termination Service Charge			20
Physical	Non-Basic	THE PAYER PAYER	23.II.F.6.d.(1)(a)
Programming	Non-Basic	Yes	23.ll.F.6.d.(1)(b)
Premises Visit Charge	Non-Basic	Yes	23.II.F.6.d.(2)
· ·			
Integrated Services Data Network - Primary Rate I (ISDN-PRI) II	nterface		
Primary Rate Access Line (Intraexchange)			
Month to month	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
Month to month - NRC (Charge First)	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
Month to month - NRC (Charge Add'I)	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
12-23 months - NRC (Charge First)	Non-Basic	Yes	23.lll.G.1.(a)
12-23 months - NRC (Charge Add'!)	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
24-35 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
24-35 months - NRC (Charge First)	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
October 2002	23 of 32		•

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	≓#Specific###	
Service	EClassification	Competitive	Reference
24-35 months - NRC (Charge Add'l)	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
36-59 months	Non-Basic	the parents of the second	23.III.G.1.(a)
60-84 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.1.(a)
Primary Rate Access Line (Interexchange)			
Primary Rate Interface One-Way Channel			
Month to month	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(a)
Month to month - NRC	Non-Basic	i Yes	23.III.G.2.(a)
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(a)
12-23 months - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(a)
24-35 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(a)
24-35 months - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes tr	23.III.G.2.(a)
36-59 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(a)
60-84 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(a)
Primary Rate Interface (Two-Way Channel)			
Month to month	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(b)
Month to month - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes Ha	23.III.G.2.(b)
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes - 1	23.III.G.2.(b)
12-23 months - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(b)
24-35 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(b)
24-35 months - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(b)
36-59 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(b)
60-84 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.2.(b)
B-Channel Charge, each channel		2.51.54.25.4	
Month to month	Non-Basic	THE YES	23.III.G.3.(a)
Month to month - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes -	23.III.G.3.(a)
12-23 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.3.(a)
12-23 months - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.3.(a)
24-35 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.3.(a)
36-59 months	Non-Basic	###Yes	23.III.G.3.(a)
60-84 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.3.(a)
D-Channel Charge, each channel			
Month to month	Non-Basic	Yes Yes	23.III.G.3.(b)
Month to month - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.3.(b)
12-23 months	Non-Basic		23.III.G.3.(b)
12-23 months - NRC	Non-Basic	E Yes E	23.III.G.3.(b)
24-35 months	Non-Basic		23.III.G.3.(b)
36-59 months	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.3.(b)
60-84 months	Non-Basic	- Yes	23.III.G.3.(b)
Optional Features			
D-Channel Backup	Non-Basic	i Alexandra	23.III.G.4.(a)
D-Channel Backup - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(a)
Network Ring Again	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(b)
Call-by-Call	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(c)
Call-by-Call - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes H	23.III.G.4.(c)
Incoming Call ID (Name and Number)	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(d)
2 B-Channel Transfer Per Primary Rate Interface	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(e)
2 B-Channel Transfer Per Primary Rate Interface-NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(e)
Circular Hunt Per Primary Rate Interface	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(f)
Circular Hunt Per Primary Rate Interface	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(f)
National ISDN-2 Protocol Per Primary Rate Interface	Non-Basic	Warter Yes	23.III.G.4.(g)
National ISDN-2 Protocol Per Primary Rate Interface-NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(g)
October 2002 24 of 32			

		Statewide.	ale skines	-marcallet 2015-2018 and market by
		Service	Specific	Fariff
Service		Classification		Reference
E911 Call Screening Per Primary Rate Interface		Non-Basic) (EST-1941)	23.III.G.4.(h)
E911 Call Screening Per Primary Rate Interface-NRC		Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.4.(h)
Optional Features Package		Non-Basic	Yes Yes	23.iii.G.5.(a)
Optional Features Package-NRC		Non-Basic	Yes	23.iii.G.5.(a)
Termination Service Charge			- Andrew College Street	
Physical		Non-Basic		23.III.G.7.d.(1)(i)
Programming		Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.7:d.(1)(ii)
Premises Visit Charge		Non-Basic	Yes	23.III.G.7.d.(2)
Restoration of Service		Basic	No	24.1.A.
Delinquent Account Charge		Non-Basic	No	25.VI.D.
Derived Channel Services				
Individual Voice Channels for Sprint Custom Access So	olutions	Non-Basic	Yes	27.1.F.1
United SwitchlinkSM Services				
United SwitchlinkSM		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.A.
United SwitchlinkSM - NRC		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.A.
United SwitchlinkSM Plus		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.B.
United SwitchlinkSM Plus - NRC		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.B.
United SwitchlinkSM - Mileage				
Fixed		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.E.1.
Per mile		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.E.1.
United SwitchlinkSM Plus -Mileage				
Fixed		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.E.2.
Per mile		Non-Basic	No	28.IV.E.2.
Payphone				
Access Line Two-Way		Non-Basic	Yes	29.III.D.4.
Line Service One-Way		Non-Basic	Yes	29.III.D.5.
Coin Control, each line		Non-Basic	Yes	29.III.D.6.
Answer Supervision, each line		Non-Basic	Yes	29.III.D.7.
Service Connection Charges				
Record Order Charge				
Complex Business		Non-Basic	No	30.II.A.
Simple Business/ Residence		Basic	No	30.II.A.
Service Order Charge				
Complex Business		Non-Basic	No	30.II.B.
Simple Business/ Residence		Basic	No	30.II.B.
Access Line Work Charge				
Complex Business		Non-Basic	No	30.II.C.
Simple Business/ Residence		Basic	No	30.II.C.
Premise Visit Charge				00.11.5
Complex Business		Non-Basic	No	30.II.D.
Simple Business/ Residence		Basic	No	30.II.D.
Flat Rate Interconnecting Service		–	12-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	
Paging DID Numbers		Non-Basic	Yes	32.II.A.2.
Mobile DID Numbers		Non-Basic	Yes	32.II.A.3.
October 2002	25 of 32			

Paging-Direct Inward Dialing Numbers Paging-Direct Inward Dialing Numbers Non-Basic Paging-Direct		Statewide	Exchange.	
Peging-Direct Inward Dialing Numbers Mobile-Direct Inward Dialing Functionality DSO, each NRC DSO, each CRC DSO, e		the same of the sa		Faiff
Mobile-Direct Inward Dialing Numbers Non-Basic Salika.5.			7701- 81-	Reference
Direct Inward Dialing Functionality DSO, each NRC	-		West, Marian	
DSC, each NRC	Mobile-Direct Inward Dialing Numbers	Non-Basic	Yes III	32.II.A.5.
DSD, each - NRC Non-Basic Yes 32.II.B.5.a 20.II.B.5.a 20.II.	Direct Inward Dialing Functionality			
DS1, each, 24 DID Trunks via DS1 facilities Non-Basic Yes 32.II.B.5.a. DS1, each, 24 DID Trunks via DS1 facilities - NRC Non-Basic Yes 32.II.B.5.a. DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services Nn-Basic Yes 32.II.B.6.a. DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC Non-Basic Yes 32.II.B.6.a. DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC Non-Basic Yes 32.II.B.6.a. Digital Trunking Service Per 24 Channel DTS Facility - NRC Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. DTS Trunk With DID - Section of Yes 35.IV. DTS Trunk With DID - FBX Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. DID - Key Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. DID - Repetitive Yes 39.I.C.1.a. DID - Repetitive Yes 39.I.C.1.a. DID - Repetitive Yes Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.a. DID - Repetitive Yes Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1	DSO, each	Non-Basic	Yes 1214	32.II.B.5.a.
DS1, each, 24 DID Trunks via DS1 facilities - NRC DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC Per 24 Channel DTS Facility Per 24 Channel DTS Facility - NRC DTS Trunk With DID - PSX With DID - PBX With DID - PBX With DID - Key With DID - Key With DID - Key Non-Basic Ves Sprint Solutions ^{em} Sprint Solutions ^{em} Sprint Solutions ^{em} Sprint Solutions - Non-Basic Connected Solution Custom Solution Non-Basic Connected Solution Custom Solution Non-Basic Connected Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Cornected Solution Non-Basic Ves SplinC.1.a. SplinC.1.b. Sprint Solutions Non-Basic Ves SplinC.1.b. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Ves SplinC.1.b. SplinC.1.b. Sprint SplinC.1.b. Sprint SplinC.1.b. Sprint SplinC.1.b. Sprint SplinC.1.b. Sprint SplinC.1.b. Sprin	DSO, each - NRC	Non-Basic	President of the second	32.II.B.5.a.
DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services Nnc Non-Basic Yes 32.II.B.6.a. DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC Non-Basic Yes 32.II.B.6.a. Digital Trunking Service Per 24 Channel DTS Facility Nnc Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. DTS Trunk With DID - PBX Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. With DID - PBX Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. With DID - Key Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions** Sprint Solutions** Sprint Solutions** Sprint Solutions Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Sprint Solutions Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Clear Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Clear Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Sprint Solutions** Sprint Solutions** - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Clear Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Sprint Solutions** - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Blied to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling Card National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2.		Non-Basic	Yes .	32.II.B.5.a.
DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC Digital Trunking Service Per 24 Channel DTS Facility Per 24 Channel DTS Facility - NRC DTS Trunk With DID - PBX With DID - PBX With DID - Key With DID - Key With DID - Key With DID - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution I Preferred Solution Custom Solution I Clear Solution Clear Solution Clear Solution Clear Solution Proferred Solution Proferred Solution Preferred Solution Non-Basic Connected Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.a. 39.I.C.1.a. 39.I.C.1.b. Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solutions*** Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solutions** Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solutions** Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Competitive Ves 40.I.B.1.(a) 40.I.C.1. Competitive Ves 40.I.C.1. Competitive Ves 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Ves 40.II.C.3.	•	Non-Basic	Yes	32.II.B.5.a.
Digital Trunking Service Per 24 Channel DTS Facility	DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services	Non-Basic	Yes	32.II.B.6.a.
Per 24 Channel DTS Facility Per 24 Channel DTS Facility - NRC PTS Trunk With DID - PBX With DID - PBX With DID - Key Without DID Sprint Solutions - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Custom Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Care Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Core Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Sprint Solutions - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.f. Sprint Solutions - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Sprint Solutions - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling Card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin	DS1, each, DID Trunks pro-visioned with mixed services - NRC	Non-Basic	: ::::Yes	32.II.B.6.a.
Per 24 Channel DTS Facility - NRC DTS Trunk With DID - PEX With DID - Rey With DID - Key Without DID Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. Sprint Solutions - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution Custom Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Cres Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Sprint Solutions - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.f. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 30.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 30.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 30.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 30.II.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes Non-Basic No	Digital Trunking Service			
DTS Trunk With DID - PBX With DID - Key With DID - Key Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. Without DID Non-Basic Yes 35.IV. Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions Sprint Solution Custom Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Custom Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solutions** Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solutions** Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solutions** Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Priority Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 30.II.C.1.c. Non-Basic Yes 30.II.C.1.c. Non-Basic Yes 30.II.C.1.c. Non-Basic Non-Basic	Per 24 Channel DTS Facility	Non-Basic	Yes	35.IV.
With DID - PBX With DID - Key Without DID Non-Basic With Solutions - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution I Non-Basic Custom Solution I Non-Basic Custom Solution I Non-Basic Classic Solution Non-Basic With Solutions - Without Did	Per 24 Channel DTS Facility - NRC	Non-Basic	The state of the s	35.IV.
With DID - Key Without DID Non-Basic Ves 35.IV. Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions*** - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution I Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.a. Custom Solution I Non-Basic Core Solution Non-Basic Core Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Core Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.c. Sprint Solutions*** - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Classic Solution Non-Basic Casic Solution Casic Solution Non-Basic Casic Solution Casic S	DTS Trunk		CYTERON AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	
Without DID Non-Basic Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions Sprint Solution Custom Solution Custom Solution Custom Solution Preferred Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Core Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.e. Clear Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.I.C.1.e. Sprint Solutions*** - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Connected Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.b. Non-Basic Ves 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Ves 39.II.C.1.b. 40.II.C.1.c. Priority Solution Solution Non-Basic Ves 40.II.C.1. Cornected Call Ves 40.II.C.1. Cornected Call		Non-Basic	Yes	35.IV.
Sprint Solutions*** Sprint Solutions*** - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution 1 Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Classic Solution Core Solution Classic Solution Classic Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Sprint Solutions*** - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.f. Sprint Solutions*** - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Priority Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.d. Busy Verification Service Line Status Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.d. Busy Verification Service Line Status Non-Basic Yes 40.II.B.1.(a) Non-Basic Yes 40.II.C.1. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.1. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)		Non-Basic	Yes	35.IV.
Sprint Solutions - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution I Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Custom Solution I Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.c. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Core Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.e. Clear Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.e. Sprint Solutions - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.e. Sprint Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.e. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.a. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.1. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Without DID	Non-Basic		35.IV.
Sprint Solutions - Residence Connected Solution Custom Solution I Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Custom Solution I Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Core Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Core Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.d. Sprint Solutions - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.f. Sprint Solutions - Business Connected Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.a. Classic Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.b. Priority Solution Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.d. Directory Assistance Line Status Non-Basic Yes 40.II.C.1. Busy Verification Service Line Status Non-Basic Yes 40.II.C.1. Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Sprint Solutions sm			
Connected Solution Custom Solution I Preferred Solution Classic Solution Classic Solution Core Solution Core Solution Core Solution Core Solution Classic Solution Core Solution Colessic Solution Classic Solution Classic Solution Classic Solution Classic Solution Core	•			
Custom Solution I Preferred Solution Non-Basic Preferred Solution Non-Basic Core Solution Non-Basic Non-Ba		Non-Basic	SIND YES BUT	391C1a
Preferred Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Core Solution Non-Basic Clear Solution Non-Basic Clear Solution Non-Basic Clear Solution Non-Basic Clear Solution Non-Basic Connected Solution Non-Basic Connected Solution Non-Basic Classic Solution Non-Basic Classic Solution Non-Basic Preferred Solution Non-Basic Preferred Solution Non-Basic Pres Non-Basic Ves Ves Ves Vol.I.C.1. Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Vol.I.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Ves Ves Ves Ves Vol.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Ves Ves Ves Ves Vol.II.C.2.				
Classic Solution Core Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes			The state of the s	
Core Solution Clear Solution Sprint Solutionsem - Business Connected Solution Classic Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 39.I.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.b. Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. Non-Basic Yes 40.II.C.1.d. Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 40.II.B.1.(a) Non-Basic Yes 40.II.C.1. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Classic Solution		The state of the s	
Clear Solution Sprint Solutions Business Connected Solution Classic Solution Preferred Solution Priority Solution Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic No	Core Solution	Non-Basic	The same of the sa	
Sprint Solutions Business Connected Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Priority Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.d. Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 40.I.B.1.(a) 40.I.B.1.(b) Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Clear Solution	Non-Basic		
Connected Solution Classic Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.a. 39.II.C.1.b. Preferred Solution Priority Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.d. Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 40.I.B.1.(a) Non-Basic Yes 40.II.C.1. Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Sprint Solutions sm - Business		Mary Committee of the C	
Classic Solution Preferred Solution Preferred Solution Priority Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.d. Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 40.I.B.1.(a) Non-Basic Yes 40.I.B.1.(b) Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.1. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	·	Non-Basic		39 II C 1 a
Preferred Solution Priority Solution Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 39.II.C.1.c. 39.II.C.1.d. Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 40.I.B.1.(a) 40.I.B.1.(b) Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.1. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3.				
Priority Solution Non-Basic Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Ves 40.I.B.1.(a) 40.I.B.1.(b) Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Competitive Ves 40.II.C.2. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Ves 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Preferred Solution		The second secon	
Busy Verification Service Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 40.I.B.1.(a) 40.I.B.1.(b) Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Non-Basic Yes 40.II.C.1. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)		- · ·		
Line Status Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Non-Basic Yes 40.I.B.1.(a) 40.I.B.1.(b) Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.1. 40.II.C.2. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)				
Busy Interrupt Non-Basic Ves 40.I.B.1.(b) Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.1. 40.II.C.2. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3.				
Directory Assistance Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3.			r Yes	, ,
Direct Dialed Call Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card National Directory Assistance Charge per call Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.II.C.1. 40.II.C.1. 40.II.C.2. 40.II.C.2.	Busy Interrupt	Non-Basic	E WEYES TO STORY	40.l.B.1.(b)
Via an operator Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.II.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)				
Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling card Competitive Yes 40.II.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)		Competitive	Yes	40.JI.C.1.
Competitive Yes: 40.II.C.3. National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Yes: 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes: 40.IV.B.3.(a)	•	Competitive	Yes	40.II.C.2.
National Directory Assistance Charge per call Competitive Competitive Competitive 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Billed to 3rd no.; special billing no., or a Telephone Co. calling			
Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	card	Competitive	Yes	40.II.C.3.
Charge per call Competitive Yes 40.III.C.2. Directory Assistance Call Completion Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	National Directory Assistance			
Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Charge per call	Competitive	Yes	40.III.C.2.
Per call sent non-coin Competitive Yes 40.IV.B.3.(a)	Directory Assistance Call Completion			
	Per call sent non-coin	Combelitive	Yes	40.IV.B.3.(a)
	Per call sent paid payphone telephone		and the second s	

			ercentriculum independent
	Statewide Service	Exchange	laiff
Service	Classification		Reference
Local Operator Assistance			
Station-to-Station calls with automatic recording equipment	Competitive	Yes	40.V.B.1.
Station-to-Station calls with operator assistance	Competitive	Yesi II Yesi II Y	40.V.B.2.
Person-to-Person calls	Competitive	Yes	40.V.B.3.
1 5/55/. 15 1 5/55// 54//5		HODINARIA (CO) TARAMININI DI MARINI DI	10.11.510.
Custom Calling Services			
Call Waiting			
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.1I.
Call Forwarding			
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II.
Business	Non-Basic	Yeś	43.II.
Three-Way Calling			
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes -	43.II.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II.
Three-Way Calling-Per Activation		AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P	
Residence	Non-Basic	The Yes	43.II
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II
Speed Calling 8 Code Capacity		amazista in di Santi a di Santi	
Residence	Competitive	Yes	43.II
Business	Competitive	Yes	43.II
Speed Calling 30 Code Capacity			
Residence	Competitive	Yes	43.II
Business	Competitive	Yes	43.il
Directory Number Transfer			
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.11
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II
Hot Line/Warm Line		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW	
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.11
Business	Non-Basic	za karantaria da karantari	43.11
Intercom Service	11017 54515		70.11
Residence	Non-Basic		43.11
Business	Non-Basic		43.11
Call Screening and Transfer	11011 50010		70.11
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.11
Business	Non-Basic		43.11
Call Forwarding Universal	11011-04310		70.11
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.11
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II
Signal Ring®	Non-Dasic		40,11
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II
Call Forwarding-Busy	14011-0430		70,11
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes The	43.li
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II
Call Forwarding-No Answer	1401-0430		FO.II
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.11
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.li
Enhanced Call Forwarding	HUIFDANC		70.11
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.11
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.II
— ——····	HUITDASIC	**************************************	70.11

	Statewide	Exorabee ===	
Service	Service Classification	Specific Competitive	na painian v Skelerence
ExpressTouch®	- viassineador -	Samben West.	Veleience
Return Call			
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes a	43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	y Yes	43.III.A.
Centrex	Gompetitive	Yes	43.III.A.
Return Call - Per Activation			70.III.57.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Caller ID			40.111.7 (.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Trunk	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Centrex	Competitive	''- Yes	43.III.A.
Caller ID With Name			70.m3 t.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Trunk	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Repeat Dialing	Miles		-70.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic	"Yes"	43,III,A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Centrex	Non-Basic	Yes -	43.III.A.
Repeat Dialing - Per Activation	Non-Dasic E		45,111,74.
Residence	Non-Basic		43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes Yes	43.III.A.
Call Trace	Non-Dasic		45.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Ye.	43.III.A.
Call Trace - Per Activation	1401-Dasje		45.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic		AO III A
Business	Non-Basic	Yes :	43.III.A.
Centrex	- C	Yes	43.III.A.
Selective Call Ring	Competitive		43.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic		40 111 4
Business	Non-Basic	Yesin	43.III.A.
Selective Call Forward	NUII-DASIC		43.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic		40 111 4
Business	Non-Basic	yeYas FirYas	43.III.A.
Selective Call Rejection	NOII-DASIC		43.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Selective Call Acceptance	Nor-pasic		45.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A.
Caller ID - Repeat Dialing	Non-pasic		43.III.A.
Centrex	Competitive		43.III.A.
Repeat Dialing/Return Call	a compenive		43.III.A.
Centrex	Non Rosio		43.III.A.
Caller ID (PBX Equip.)	Non-Basic	Yes-	43.III.A.
Centrex	Competitive		43.III.A.
Package II	- compenive	Yes	45.III.A.
Residence	Non-Basic		43.III.A.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.A. 43.III.A.
•		≆i⊶Yes∶∷-∞.	43.III.A.
October 2002	28 of 32		•

	Statewicie Service	Exchange Specific	
Sarviçe	Glassification	The second secon	Reference
Natural Continue Protection			
Network Services Packages In Touch with Call Forwarding			
Residence	Non-Basic	a veryes	43.111.
Business	Non-Basic		43.III.
In Touch with SignalRing®	14011-Dasic		45.III.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	43.111.
In Touch with Return Cali	14011-08310		45.111.
Residence	Non-Basic	A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	· 43.III.
Call Manager	TON Buolo		70.III.
Residence	Non-Basic		43.111.
Call Manager Plus	11011 20010		
Residence	Non-Basic		43. I II.
Sprint Essentials sm	11011 24010		10
Residence	Non-Basic		43.III.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.
Sprint Elite sm	TON BUCIO		
Residence	Non-Basic		43.111.
Advantage	NOTI-Dasic		40.111.
Residence	Non-Basic		43.HI.
Advantage With Name	14011-04310		40.III.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yesi	43.III.
Sprint Classics sm Calling Package	HOIT DOOL		TQ.III.
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes J	43.111.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.
Sprint Priority Package	HOII-Dagic		40.111.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	43.III.
Centrex Basic Service			
Month-to-Month			
2-10 lines, each	Competitive		44.IV.A.
11-20 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
21-50 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
51-100 lines, each	. Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
101-200 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
36 Month			, ,
2-10 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
11-20 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
21-50 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
51-100 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
101-200 lines, each	- Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
60 Month	and the state of t		
2-10 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
11-20 lines, each	- Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
21-50 lines, each	= Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
51-100 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
101-200 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.
Centrex Discontinued Service			
36 Month (Customers existing prior to 11-7-93)			
2-20 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(a)

		i de la companiona de l	
	Statewide Setvice	Excitation	
Service		Specific :: **********************************	Reference
21-50 lines, each	Competitive		44.IV.A.(1)(a)
51-100 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(a)
101-200 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(a)
60 Month (Customers existing prior to 11-7-93)	(1885年1992年1995年1995年1995年1995年1995年1995年199		
2-20 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(a)
21-50 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(a)
51-100 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(a)
101-200 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(a)
36 Month (Customers existing between 11-7-93 and 11-26-99)			
2-10 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
11-20 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
21-50 lines, each	Gompelitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
51-100 lines, each	Competitive	T.Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
101-200 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
	FIRST CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CASE OF CHIEF CASE OF CA	20 Partie Britain Commission of the Commission o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
60 Month (Customers existing between 11-7-93 and 11-26-99)	See destruction and the second section (see a second section (see a second section)	L. M. B. D. C.	
2-10 lines, each	Compelitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
11-20 lines, each	Compelitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
21-50 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
51-100 lines, each	Competitive.	w Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
101-200 lines, each	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.A.(1)(b)
Centrex Services			
Music on Hold w/Source			
2-20 lines	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.I
21-50 lines	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.I
51-100 lines	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.I
101-200 lines	Competitive	Yes	44.IV. i
Music on Hold Interface Only	The state of the s		
2-20 lines	Competitive	Yes	44.IV. I
21-50 lines	Competitive	Yes	44.IV.I
51-100 lines	- Competitive	Yes	44.IV.I
101-200 lines	Compeniye	Yes	44.IV.I
Multiple Directory Number terminating on Meridian service			
instrument	Competitive	ZZYes	44.IV.J.
Mini-Console package	Competitive.	Yes	44.IV.K.
Call Forwarding-Remote Activation	Competitive 4	Yes	44.IV.L.
Centrex Discontinued Service-1			
Basic per line equipped			
First 10 lines	Competitive	Yes	44.V.B.1,
11 lines and over	- Competitive		44.V.B.1.
Optional Features			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Automatic Line	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.1.
Call Forward-Don't Answer	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.2.
Call Forward-Busy	Competitive	[⊯] Yes	44.V.C.3.
Call Forward	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.4.
Call Park	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.5.
Call Waiting	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.6.
Class of Call Restriction	and the second of the second o	na inggi ettity tirk a tekka ana angani kinada a tigib sa sa	
Station Controlled Conference	Competitive	Yes :	44.V.C.8.

October 2002 30 of 32

	Statewide	Exchange	
	Service	Specific 1	Tarm
Service		competitive:	Reference
Meet-Me Con-ference	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.9.
Speed Call Station Short List	Competitive		44.V.C.10.a.
Long List I	Compenive	Yes	44.V.C.10.a. 44.V.C.10.b.
Long List II	Competitive	Yes 3	44.V.C.10.c.
Long List III	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.10.d.
Speed Call Group			44. V.O. 10.d.
Long List I	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.11.a.
Long List II	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.11.b.
Long List III	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.11.c.
Station Hunting	Competitive	Yes	44.V.C.12
Software Change Charge	Competitive	Yes.	44.V.F.
Centrex Discontinued Service-2			
Month-to-Month-20-200 Lines	•		
Access Line	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.III.A.1.
Public Network Access-EAS additive	Sompetitive	Yes	44.VI.A.2.
Special Services Access - Special Services Additive	= Sompetrive	Yes	44.VI.A.3.
Rate Stability Plan-20-200 Lines			
Centrex Access Line	Compelitive	Yes	44.VI.B.1.
Public Network Access - EAS additive	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.B.2.
Special Services Access - Special Services Additive	Competitive	Yes	44,VI.B.3.
Centrex "Plus" Features		BIG BETTIN BETT TITLE SAME SAME STATE STATE OF C	
Automatic Line	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.1.
Call Forward-All Calls	Competitive	γes.	44.VI.D.2.
Call Forward-No Answer	Competitive	Yes.	44.VI.D.3.
Call Forward-Busy	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.4.
Call Forward-No Answer	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.5.
Call Park	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.6.
Call Waiting	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.7.
Distributed Line Hunting	Competitive :	Yes	44.VI.D.8.
Meet-Me-Conference	Compelitive	Yes :	44.VI.D.9
Ring Again	Gompetitive 4	Yes	44.VI.D.10
Speed Call-Station			
Short List	Compelitive	E S	44.VI.D.11.a.
Long List I	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.11.b.
Long List III Long List III	Compelitive	Yes	44.VI.D.11.c.
Speed Call-Group	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.11.d.
Long List I	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.12.a.
Long List II	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.12.b.
Long List III	Competitive	Yes	44.VI.D.12.c.
Long List III			77. VI.D. 12.0.
Centrex Service II			
Business Centrex Service II Access Line	process processes to \$1000 for a major foregoing to the same of	AACTERTEE TO THE STATE OF THE S	
Month to Month	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.A.
1 Year	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.A.
Service Establishment Translation Charge	Competitive	Yes⊿	49.VI.B.
Feature Change Charge Per Line		Harring Aleksenskalika	40.1/1.0
Maximum per order charge	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.C. 49.VI.C.
Optional Features	Competitive	Yes	43.VI.U.
- p. o. i. i. o. i. i. o. i.			

The second secon	Statewide Service	Specialitie	T Tarif
Service	Classification	The second secon	Reference
Automatic Call Distribution	Compelitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Automatic Line	Competitive	Yes	49,VI.E.
Call Park/Call Pick-Up	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Class of Service Restrictions	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Distinctive Ringing/Ring Again	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Meet-Me-Conference	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Multiple Appearance Directory Number	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Music On Hold	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Secondary Directory Number	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Speed Call Long (30)	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Uniform Call Distribution	Competitive	Yes	49.VI.E.
Forwarded Message Information Common Equipment, per data channel termination	Non-Basic		46.I.C.A.
Common Equipment, per data channel termination - NRC	Non-Basic	Yes	46.I.C.A.
Metropolitan Calling Area (MCA)			
Cansas City MCA-3	Alle Double		40374
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	48.V.1.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	48.V.1.
Kansas City MCA-4			
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes	48.V.2.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	48.V.2.
Cansas City MCA-5			40.146
Residence	Non-Basic	Yes T	48.V.3.
Business	Non-Basic	Yes	48.V.3.

	Statewine Service	
Service	Classification	THE THE PARTY OF T
Two Point Service		
Initial Minute		
1-10 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
11-14 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
15-18 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
19-23 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
24-28 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
29-33 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
34-40 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
41-50 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
51-60 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
61-80 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
81-100 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
101-125 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
126-150 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
151-190 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
191-300 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
301-430 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
Over 430 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
Each Additional Minute		
1-10 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
11-14 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
15-18 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
19-23 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
24-28 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
29-33 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
34-40 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
41-50 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
51-60 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
61-80 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
81-100 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
101-125 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
126-150 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
151-190 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
191-300 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
301-430 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
Over 430 miles	Competitive	4.8(A)
0 . 0	·	
Service Charges		
Customer Dialed Calling Card Station-to-Station	Competitive	4.9(C)
Operator Handled Station-to-Station	Competitive	4.9(C)
Person-to-Person	Competitive	4.9(C)
Line Status Verification	Competitive	4.9(C)
Busy Interrupt	Competitive	4.9(C)
Conference Service		
Set-Up Charge for each main service point	Commetition	E 4(D)
Per Minute Charge for each main service point	Competitive	5.4(D)
0-18 miles	Compositivo	E A/E\
19-60 miles	Competitive Competitive	5.4(E)
61-150 miles	Competitive	5.4(E)
	•	5.4(E)
October 2002	1 of 2	

Sprint - Missouri, Inc. Revised Tariff Service - Classification

Message **Telecommunications** Service

Service Classification Reference Over 150 miles Competitive 5.4(E) Special Reversed Charge Special Reversed Toll, per exchange Competitive 6.2(B) Outstate Calling Area (OCA) Two-hour block of time Residential Competitive 8.3A. Business Competitive 8.3B. Five-hour block of time Residential Competitive 8.3B. Business Competitive 8.3B. Per Additional Minute Residential Competitive 8.3B. Sprint Sense Local Toll sm Service Per minute Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$550-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)		S Salevide	
Competitive 5.4(E) Special Reversed Charge Special Reversed Toll, per exchange Competitive 6.2(B) Outstate Calling Area (OCA) Two-hour block of time Residential Competitive 8.3A. Five-hour block of time Residential Competitive 8.3B. Five-hour block of time Residential Competitive 8.3B. Per Additional Minute Residential Competitive 8.3B. Per Additional Minute Residential Competitive 8.3B. Sprint Sense Local Toll*** Service Per minute Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll*** Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)			The state of the s
Special Reversed Toll, per exchange Outstate Calling Area (OCA) Two-hour block of time Residential Business Competitive Residential Resi			
Special Reversed Toll, per exchange Outstate Calling Area (OCA) Two-hour block of time Residential Business Competitive Residential Competitive Residential Business Competitive Residential R	Special Powerend Charge		
Two-hour block of time Residential Residen		Competitive	6.2(B)
Two-hour block of time Residential Residen	Outstate Calling Area (OCA)		
Residential Business Competitive Residential Residenti	_ ` ` ` <i>`</i>		
Business Five-hour block of time Residential Resident		Competitive	8.3A.
Residential Business Competitive Business Per Additional Minute Residential Residential Business Competitive Residential Resident	Business		
Business Competitive 8.3B. Per Additional Minute Residential Competitive 8.3B. Business Competitive 8.3B. Sprint Sense Local Toll sm Service Per minute Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	Five-hour block of time	•	
Per Additional Minute Residential Business Competitive Residential Competitive Residential Business Competitive Residential Competitive Residential Re	Residential	Competitive	8.3B.
Residential Business Competitive 8.3B. Sprint Sense Local Toll sm Service Per minute Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	Business	Competitive	8.3B.
Business Competitive 8.3B. Sprint Sense Local Toll***Service Per minute Peak Rate Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll***Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)			
Sprint Sense Local Toll sm Service Per minute Peak Rate Ompetitive Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)			
Per minute Peak Rate Competitive Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive \$13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	Business	Competitive	8.3B.
Per minute Peak Rate Competitive Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive \$13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	Carint Conce Level Toll ^{5M} Consider		
Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Off-Peak Rate Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	-		
Off-Peak Rate Competitive Competitive 12(D)(1) Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)		Compositivo	12/0\/4\
Business Sense Local Toll sm Service Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) \$0 - Non-Term \$50 - Non-Term \$200 - Non-Term \$0 - Non-Term \$200 - Non-Term \$0 - Competitive \$13.(D)(1) \$0 - One Year \$0 - One Year \$13.(D)(1) \$0 - One Year \$13.(D)(1) \$0 - One Year \$13.(D)(1) \$200 - One Year \$13.(D)(1) \$200 - One Year \$13.(D)(1) \$200 - Two Year \$13.(D)(1)		<u>-</u>	, , , ,
Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	on roak reac	Competitive	12(D)(1)
Monthly Minimum Level (Per Minute) Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	Business Sense Local Toll sm Service		
\$0 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)			
\$50 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)		Competitive	13.(D)(1)
\$200 - Non-Term Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	\$50 - Non-Term		
\$50-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	·	Competitive	
\$200-One Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	·	Competitive	13.(D)(1)
\$0-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)		Competitive	
\$50-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1) \$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Competitive	13.(D)(1)
\$200-Two Year Competitive 13.(D)(1)	•	•	13.(D)(1)
	•	•	
800-210 Local Toll Calling Blon	\$200-Two Year	Competitive	13.(D)(1)
	800-210 Local Toll Calling Plan		
Rate Per Minute Competitive 14.(D)(1)		Competitive	14.(D)(1)
Surcharge Competitive 14.(D)(1)	Surcharge	-	

	Statewide	
	Service	rariff
Service	Classification	PER PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON OF TH
IntraLATA Interexchange Type 102		
Local Channel, each, per first termination on a premises	Competitive	2.2.1.D.1.
Local Channel, each, per first termination on a premises - NRC	Competitive	2.2.1.D.1. 2.2.1.D.1.
Interexchange Channel, per V-H mile or fraction thereof	Competitive	2.2. I.D. I.
1-250 miles	Competitive	2.2.1.D.2.
Over 250 miles	Competitive	2.2.1.D.2.
415. 400 11,1160	Competitive	2.2. (.1.2.
Interexchange Channel terminal, each	Competitive	2.2.1.D.3.
Each additional point of termination		
First 1/10 mile	Competitive	2.2.1.D.4.
First 1/10 mile - NRC	Competitive	2.2.1.D.4.
Additional 1/10 mile	Competitive	2.2.1.D.4.
Each additional point of termination, same building	Competitive	2.2.1.D.5.
Each additional point of termination, same building - NRC	Competitive	2.2.1.D.5.
Sub-Voice Grade Service-Series 200		
Local Channel		
Type 250, each, per termination - Half Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Type 250, each, per termination - Half Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Type 250, each, per termination - Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Type 250, each, per termination - Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Type 251, each, per termination - Half Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Type 251, each, per termination - Half Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Type 251, each, per termination - Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Type 251, each, per termination - Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.1.
Interexchange Channel		
Type 250, 0-250 miles, each mile	Competitive	22202
Type 250, over 250 miles, each mile	Competitive	2.2.2.D.2.
Type 251, 0-250 miles, each mile	Competitive	2.2.2.D.2.
Type 251, over 250 miles, each mile	Competitive Competitive	2.2.2.D.2.
Interexchange Channel Terminal	Combenuve	2.2,2.D.2.
Type 250, per terminal - Half Duplex	Compositivo	22202
Type 250, per terminal - Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.3.
Type 251, per terminal - Half Duplex	Competitive Competitive	2.2.2.D.3.
Type 251, per terminal - Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.3. 2.2.2.D.3.
Additional Point of Termination	Competitive	<i>2.2.2.</i> 0.3.
Type 250, first 1/10 mile, Half Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.4.
Type 250, first 1/10 mile, Half Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.4.
Type 250, first 1/10 mile, Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.4.
Type 250, first 1/10 mile, Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.4.
Type 250, additional 1/10 mile, Half Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.4.
Type 250, additional 1/10 mile, Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.4.
Type 250, same building, Half Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.5
Type 250, same building, Half Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.5
Type 250, same building, Duplex	Competitive	2.2.2.D.5
Type 250, same building, Duplex - NRC	Competitive	2.2.2.D.5
	·	.

Voice Grade Service-Series 300 and 400

Local Channel

October 2002

	Statewide	
	Service Service	Tariff
Service	= Classification	Reference
Type 311	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 311 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 312	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 312 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 314A	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 314A - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 320	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 320 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 414B	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 414B - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 414C	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 414C - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 415	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 415 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 417A	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 417A - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 417B	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 417B - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 420	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 420 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 422	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 422 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 423	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 423 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 424	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 424 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 425	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 425 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 428	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 428 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 432	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 432 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 435	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Type 435 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.3.E.1.
Interoffice Channel, each V-H mile or fraction thereof	Competitive	2.2.3.E.2.
Interoffice Channel Terminal	Competitive	2.2.3.E.3.
Interexchange Channel, each V-H mile or fraction therec		
0-250 miles	Competitive	2.2.3.E.4.
Over 250 miles	Competitive	2.2.3.E.4.
Interexchange Channel, per terminal		444
Type 311	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 312	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 314A Type 320	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 414B	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 414C	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 417A	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 417A	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 420	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 422	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 422	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 424	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
-,	Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
October 2002	2 of 4	

		Statewide	
		Service	Taiff
Service		=Glassification=	Reference
Type 425		Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 428		Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 432		Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Type 435		Competitive	2.2.3.E.5.
Additional Point of Termination- First 1/10 Mile			
Type 311		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 311 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 320		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 320 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 420		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 420 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 422		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 422 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 423		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 423 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 425		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 425 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 435		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 435 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Additional Point of Termi-nation - Additional 1/10 Mile		Compount	L.L.V.E.V.
Type 311		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 320		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 420		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 422		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 423		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 425		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Type 435		Competitive	2.2.3.E.6.
Additional Point of Termi-nation - Same Building		Competitive	2.2.5.2.0.
Type 311		Compositivo	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 311 - NRC		Competitive	
Type 320		Competitive Competitive	2.2.3.E.7. 2.2.3.E.7.
Type 320 - NRC		Competitive	
Type 420		•	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 420 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 422		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 422 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 423		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7. 2.2.3.E.7.
Type 423 - NRC		Competitive	
••		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 425		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 425 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
Type 435 Type 435 - NRC		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
• •		Competitive	2.2.3.E.7.
Bridging charge per bridged channel		Competitive	2.2.3.E.8.
Private Line Service			
		O	204
Restoration Priority Change Charge		Competitive	2.2.4.
Signaling			
Signaling Options			
IntraLATA		Camanatti	22654
IntraLATA - NRC		Competitive	2.2.6.B.1.
Type 420		Competitive	2.2.6.B.1.
••		Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
October 2002	3 of 4		

	Statewida	
	Service	Parit -
Service	Classifications	
Type 420 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type 422	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type 422 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type423	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type423 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type424	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type424 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type 425	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type 425 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type 428	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Type 428 - NRC	Competitive	2.2.6.B.2.
Channel Conditioning Charges		
Type C1		
Two point	Competitive	2.2.7.A.
Two point - NRC	Competitive	2.2.7.A.
Multi-point	Competitive	2.2.7.A.
Multi-point - NRC	Competitive	2.2.7.A.
Type C2		
Two point	Competitive	2.2.7.B.
Two point - NRC	Competitive	2.2.7.B.
Type D1		
Two point	Competitive	2.2.7.C.
Two point - NRC	Competitive	2.2.7.C.
Foreign Exchange Service		
Interexchange Channel Terminal	Competitive	3.1.4.C.1.
Point of Termination		
One foreign exchange, 1-20 miles apart	Competitive	3.1.4.C.2
One foreign exchange, 1-20 miles apart - NRC	Competitive	3.1.4.C.2
One foreign exchange, over 20 miles apart	Competitive	3.1.4.C.2
One foreign exchange, over 20 miles apart - NRC	Competitive	3.1.4.C.2
Interexchange Channel, each V-H mile or fraction thereof		
0-250 miles	Competitive	3.1.4.C.3.
Over 250 miles	Competitive	3.1.4.C.3.
Off-premises extensions, local channel		
Type 415	Competitive	3.1.4.C.4
Type 415 - NRC	Competitive	3.1.4.C.4

	Statewide	
1955 1937 1948	Service	Tariff
Service	Classification	
800 IntraLATA Access Line	Competitive	4.4.(A)(1)
800 IntraLATA Usage Rate		
Business Day	A 400	4.443763
First 9 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Next 9 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Next 17 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Over 35 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Evening	_	
First 9 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Next 9 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Next 17 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Over 35 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Night/Weekend		
First 9 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Next 9 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Next 17 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Over 35 hours	Competitive	4.4(A)(2)
Outward WATS		
Outward IntraLATA Access Line	Competitive	4.4/D\/4\
Outward IntraLATA Monthly Usage - Business Day	Competitive	4.4(B)(1)
First 10 hours	Compolitivo	4.4/D)(O)
Next 10 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Next 18 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Over 38 hours	Competitive	4:4(B)(2)
	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Outward IntraLATA Monthly Usage - Evening First 10 hours	O	4.4(0)(0)
	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Next 10 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Next 18 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Over 38 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Outward IntraLATA Monthly Usage - Night/Weekend		
First 10 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Next 10 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Next 18 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Over 38 hours	Competitive	4.4(B)(2)
Installation		
Outward WATS - NRC	Competitive	4.8(A)
800 Service - NRC	Competitive	4.8(A)
Opportunity 800 ^{sм} Service		
Opportunity 800° Number	Competitive	11.3(G)
IntraLATA Opportunity 800SM Service Calls (per minute of use)	Compeliave	11.3(0)
0-5 Hours	Compositivo	11 2/11
5-25 Hours	Competitive	11.3(H)
25+ Hours	Competitive	11.3(H)
Eo. Hours	Competitive	11.3(H)

Service	Statewide Service Classification	Tatiff Reference
Carrier Common Line Access Service		
InterLATA		
Terminating	Basic	3.8
Originating	Basic	3.8
IntraLATA	2	
Terminating	Basic	3.8
Originating	Basic	3.8
Ordering Options for Switched and Special Access		
Service		
Service Date Change Charge per order - NRC	Non-Basic	5.2.2(A)
Design Change Charge, per order - NRC	Non-Basic	5.2.2(C)
Switched Access Service		_
Local Transport - Call Miles		
0-4 miles	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Over 4-8 miles	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Over 8-16 miles	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Over 16-25 miles	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Over 25-50 miles	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Over 50-100 miles	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Over 100-200 miles	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Over 200	Basic	6.8.2(A)
Local Switching	Basic	6.8.3.
End office to Tandem Rearrangement Charge - NRC TFC Access Service	Non-Basic	6.8.3(A)
TFC Access Service Data Base Query	Non-Basic	6.8.4(A)
TFC Data Base Optional Service Features - Query 900 Access Service	Non-Basic	6.8.4(B)
Assembly of Router Pattern - NRC	Non-Basic	6.8.5
900 Code Activation or Deactivation - NRC	Non-Basic	6.8.5
Information Surcharge	14011-02310	0.0.0
Rate Per Access Minute	Basic	6.8.6
Interim 500 Access Service	200.0	3.3.5
Assembly of Route Pattern - 1+ Dialing - NRC 500 NXX Code Activation or Deactivation - 1 +	Non-Basic	6.8.7
Dialing - NRC	Non-Basic	6.8.7
Assembly of Route Pattern - 0+ Dialing - NRC	Non-Basic	6.8.7
500 NXX Code Activation or Deactivation - 0 + Dialing - NRC	Non-Basic	6.8.7
•		
Voice Grade Service Channel Termination		
Two-wire	Non-Basic	7.5.3(A)
Two-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(A)
Four-wire Four-wire	Non-Basic	7.5.3(A)
Four-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(A)
Channel Mileage		` '
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.3(D)
October 2002	1 of 7	· •
	. 41 /	

	Statewide	
	Service	Tarif
Service	m and a second s	Reference
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.3(D)
Voice Bridging		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Four-wire	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(1)(a)
Four-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(1)(a)
Data Bridging		. ,, ,,
Two-wire	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(1)(b)
Two-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(1)(b)
Four-wire	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(1)(b)
Four-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(1)(b)
Conditioning		. , , , , ,
C-Type	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(2)
C-Type - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(2)
Improved Return Loss		` '/\ '
Two-wire Transmission	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(3)
Two-wire Transmission - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(3)
Four-wire Transmission	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(3)
Four-wire Transmission - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(3)
Data Capability		
Per point of termination	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(6)
Per point of termination - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(6)
Signaling Capability		
Per point of termination	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(8)
Per point of termination - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.3(E)(8)
•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Digital Data Service		
Channel Termination		
2.4 kbps	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
2.4 kbps - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
4.8 kbps	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
4.8 kbps - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
9.6 kbps	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
9.6 kbps - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
19.2 kbps	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
19.2 kbps - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
56 kbps	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
56 kbps - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
64 kbps	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
64 kbps - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(A)
Channel Mileage	V - 4-1	
2.4 kbps		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(1)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(1)
4.8 kbps		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(2)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(2)
9.6 kbps		(-)(-)
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(3)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(3)
19.2 kbps		· \— J\~ J
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(4)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(4)
56 kbps		V 18.7
October 2002	2 of 7	
	2011	

	Statewide	
	Service	railt
Service	Classification	Reference #
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(5)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(5)
64 kbps		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(6)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.8(D)(6)
Optional Features and Functions	No. Bart.	7.5.0/5//4/
Bridging Per Port	Non-Basic	7.5.8(E)(1)
Bridging Per Port - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(E)(1)
Data Amplification	Non-Basic	7.5.8(E)(2)
Data Amplification - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.8(E)(2)
High Capacity Service		
Channel Termination	Nes Desig	7.5.0(4)
1.544 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
1.544 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
128 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
128 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
256 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
256 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
384 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
384 Mbps	Non-Basic	7.5.9(A)
Channel Mileage		
1.544 Mbps		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(1)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(1)
128 kbps		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(2)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(2)
256 kbps		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(2)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(2)
384 kbps		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(2)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.9(C)(2)
Clear Channel Capability - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.9(D)(3)
	7.5.0 2.6.0	,
Special Access Surcharge	M- B :	7.5.40
Per Voice Equivalent	Non-Basic	7.5.12
WATS Access Line Service (WAL)		
Channel Termination	Non Boots	7 5 40(4)
Two-wire	Non-Basic	7.5.13(A)
Two-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.13(A)
Four-wire	Non-Basic	7.5.13(A)
Four-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.13(A)
Chanлel Mileage		
Two-Wire/Four-Wire		
Fixed	Non-Basic	7.5.13(B)(1)
Per Mile	Non-Basic	7.5.13(B)(1)
WAL Bridging		
Four-Wire	Non-Basic	7.5.13(C)(1)
October 2002	3 of 7	
	•	

Service	Statewide Service Classification	ladif Reference
Four-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	
Improved Transmission Specifications	11011 24010	
Two-Wire	Non-Basic	7.5.13(C)(2)
Two-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.13(C)(2)
Four-Wire	Non-Basic	7.5.13(C)(2)
Four-wire - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.13(C)(2)
Signaling Capability	Non-Basic	7.5.13(C)(3)
Signaling Capability - NRC	Non-Basic	7.5.13(C)(2)
Special Access Term Discount Plan Channel Termination	rion basis	7.0.10(0)(2)
1.544 Mbps,		
Three (3) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(A)(1)(a)
Five (5) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(A)(1)(b)
56 & 64 kbps		
Three (3) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(A)(2)(a)
Five (5) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(A)(2)(b)
Channel Mileage		7,47,47
1.544 Mbps - Fixed		•
Three (3) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(1)(a)
Five (5) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(1)(a)
1.544 Mbps - Per Mile		()()()
Three (3) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(1)(b)
Five (5) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(1)(b)
56 & 64 kbps - Fixed		
Three (3) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(2)(a)
Five (5) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(2)(a)
56 & 64 kbps - Per Mile		. ,, ,, ,
Three (3) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(2)(b)
Five (5) Year	Non-Basic	7.5.14(B)(2)(b)
Additional Engineering Additional Engineering Periods - First Half Hour or Fraction Thereof	Non Posis	42.4.44
Basic Time Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.1.1(A)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.1.1(A)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.1.1(B)
Additional Labor	Non-Basic	13.1.1(B)
Installation or Repair - First Half Hour or Fraction Thereof		
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.2.6(A)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.2.6(A)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.2.6(A)
Premium Time - NRC Stand by	Non-Basic	13.2.6(A)
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.2.6(B)
Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.2.6(B)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.2.6(B)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.2.6(B)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.2.6(B)
October 2002	4 of 7	

	Statewide	
_	Service	Tarif
Service	Classification	Z Reference
Premium Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.2.6(B)
Testing and Maintenance		40.044
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.2.6(C)
Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.2.6(C)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.2.6(C)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.2.6(C)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.2.6(C)
Premium Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.2.6(C)
Miscellaneous Services		
Maintenance of Service		
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.3.1(C)
Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.1(C)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.3.1(C)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	, ,
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.3.1(C)
Premium Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.1(C)
	Non-pasic	13.3.1(C)
Change in IntraLATA /InterLATA Presubscription Per Line or Trunk - NRC	Non Dools	40.0.0(=)
Additional Cooperative Acceptance Testing - First Half	Non-Basic	13.3.3(E)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Hour or Fraction Thereof Basic Time	Mar Bart	40.0.0(0)(4)(.)
	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(a)
Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(a)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(a)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(a)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(a)
Premium Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(a)
Automatic Scheduled Testing (AST)		
Basic Tests # (Per test ordered, per transmission pat	•	
1004 Hz Loss test	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(b)(l)
C-Message Noise tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(b)(l)
Return Loss test	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(b)(I)
Additional Tests		
Gain-Slope Tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(b)(II)
C-Notched Noise Tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(b)(II)
Cooperative Scheduled Testing		
Basic Tests #		
1004 Hz Loss test	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(c)(l)
C-Message Noise tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(c)(I)
Return Loss test	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(c)(I)
Additional Tests		
Gain-Slope Tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(c)(II)
C-Notched Noise Tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(c)(II)
Manual Scheduled Testing (MST)		
Basic Tests #		
1004 Hz Loss test	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(d)(I)
C-Message Noise tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(d)(I)
Return Loss test	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(d)(l)
Additional Tests		
Gain-Slope Tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(d)(II)
C-Notched Noise Tests	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(d)(ii)
Nonscheduled Testing (NST)		
October 2002	5 of 7	
	V. 1	

		TENERAL PLANT PLE WESSESSES TREASURE FREIGHT FROM CONTROL TO THE
	Statewide	
S	Service Classification	
Service Cooperative Testing	Classification	Reference
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Premium Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Manual Testing	11011 500.0	10.0.0(0)(1)(0)
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Premium Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Automatic Testing (Per test performed)	TTOTT DUOID	10:0:0(0)(1)(0)
1004 Hz Loss test - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
C-Message Noise tests - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Return Loss test - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
Gain-Slope Tests - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
C-Notched Noise Tests - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(e)
0 110101100 110100 11010 - 11110	14011-Dasic	10.0.5(0)(1)(0)
Provision of AST Test Results		
Each trunk tested - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(1)(f)
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Additional Cooperative Acceptance Testing (ACAT) -		
First Half Hour or Fraction Thereof		
Testing Periods		
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(a)
Basic Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(a)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(a)
Overtime - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(a)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(a)
Premium Time - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(a)
Special Access - Nonscheduled Testing (NST) - First		
Half Hour or Fraction Thereof		
Testing Periods		
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(b)
Basic Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(b)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(b)
Overtime	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(b)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(b)
Premium Time	Non-Basic	13.3.5(C)(2)(b)
Access Service Billing Information		
Additional Copies - monthly bill	Non-Basic	13.3.6(D)(1)
Additional Copies - monthly bill - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.6(D)(1)
·		, ,, ,
Provision of Standard Billing detail and/or Information	n	
in Magnetic Tape Format# per tape - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.6(D)(2)
		•
Telecommunications Service Priority (TSP) System	•	
Rates and Charges	N1am D==*=	40.0.0(5)(4)
Priority Installation - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.9(B)(1)
October 2002	6 of 7	

Sprint - Missouri, Inc. Revised Tariff Services - Classification

Access Service

Service	Statewide Service Classification	jariit Reference
Priority Restoration Implementation - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.9(B)(2)
Priority Restoration Charge - NRC	Non-Basic	13.3.9(B)(3)
Priority Restoration Maintenance & Administration	Non-Basic	13.3.9(B)(4)
Common Channel Signaling/SS7		
Line Information Data Base (LIDB) - Per Query		
Query Transport	Competitive	15.1.6(A)
Query	Competitive	15.1.6(B)

Schedule JRI-19 Case No. IO-2003-0281

ExOp's Application for ETC Status for the Platte City, Missouri Exchange Case No. CO-2003-0252

STEWART & KEEVIL, L.L.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CHARLES BRENT STEWART JEFFREY A. KEEVIL

1001 CHERRY STREET **SUITE 302** COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65201-7931

TELEPHONE (573) 499-0635 FACSIMILE (573) 499-0638

January 27, 2003

FILED²

Missouri Public Service Commission Attn: Secretary of the Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 100 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360

Missouri Public Service Commission

JAN 2 7 2003

Re:

ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite Request For USF Eligibility Designation

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Please find enclosed for filing an original and eight (8) copies of the Application of ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite for Designation As Eligible Carrier Pursuant to Section 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 with respect to the Platte City, Missouri exchange.

Copies of this filing have been sent this date to the General Counsel's Office and the Office of the Public Counsel. Thank you.

Sincerely,

CBS/bt

Enclosures

CC:

General Counsel's Office Office of the Public Counsel Rachel Reiber

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



			SalviiSSOuri Dut
In the Matter of the Application of))		Service Commission
ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite for))		03 0
Designation as a Telecommunications)	}		
Company Eligible for Federal Universal)	Case	No.	
Service Support in the Platte City Exchange))	<u> </u>	·
Pursuant to § 254 of the)		
Telecommunications Act of 1996	1		

APPLICATION FOR DESIGNATION AS ELIGIBLE CARRIER PURSUANT TO § 254 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

Comes now ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite ("ExOp" or "Applicant") pursuant to § 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"), Federal Communications Commission ("the FCC") regulations 47 C.F.R. § 54.201, et seq., and 4 CSR 240-2.060, and hereby requests that the Missouri Public Service Commission ("the Commission") designate Applicant as a telecommunications carrier eligible under the provisions of 47 C.F.R. 54.201(d) to receive federal universal service support for the telecommunications services it provides in the Platte City, Missouri exchange. In support of its application, Applicant respectfully states as follows:

1. Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.060(1)(A) and (H), ExOp is a Missouri corporation with its principal place of business located at 303 North Jefferson, P.O. Box 891, Kearney, Missouri 64060, telephone number (816) 903-3967, fax number (816) 903-3900. ExOp also is a "telecommunications company", as that term is defined by section 386.020(51) RSMo 2000, certificated by the Commission in Case No. TA-97-193 to provide competitive basic local telecommunications services within ExOp's certificated service area. ExOp received Commission approval of ExOp's interconnection agreement with incumbent carrier Sprint Missouri, Inc. in Case No. TO-98-382, and pursuant to its

Commission-approved tariffs, ExOp currently provides basic local telecommunications service exclusively through the use of its own facilities in the Kearney and Platte City, Missouri exchanges.

- Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.060(1)(B) and (E), relevant documents from the Missouri Secretary of State's Office are attached hereto and incorporated herein as Appendix A.¹
- 3. Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.060(1)(I), all correspondence, communications, pleadings, notices, orders and decisions relating to this application should be addressed to:

Charles Brent Stewart STEWART & KEEVIL, L.L.C. 1001 Cherry Street, Suite 302 Columbia, MO 65201 (573) 499-0635 (573) 499-0638 (fax) Stewart499@aol.com

with a copy sent to:

Rachel Lipman Reiber Vice President of Regulatory and Government Affairs ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite 4740 Grand, Suite 200 Kansas City, Missouri 64112-2254

4. Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.060(1)(K), Applicant states that it has no pending action or final unsatisfied judgments or decisions against it from any state or federal agency or court which involve customer service or rates, which action, judgment or decision has occurred within three (3) years of the date of this Application.

¹ On January 23, 2003 Applicant applied to the Commission pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.060(16) for recognition of its change of name from ExOp of Missouri, Inc. to ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite. The adoption notices and revised title sheets submitted with that filling bear an effective date of February 24, 2003.

- 5. Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.060(1)(L), Applicant states that it has no annual reports or assessment fees overdue.
- Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.060(1)(M), the notarized verification of Rachel
 Lipman Reiber, Applicant's Vice President of Regulatory and Governmental Affairs, is attached hereto.
- 7. Section 214(e)(1) of the Act states that a carrier may be designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier ("ETC") and therefore receive universal service support so long as the carrier throughout its service area:
 - a. Offers the services that are supported by federal universal service support mechanisms under 254(c) of the Act.
 - Offers such services using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services, including the services offered by another ETC; and
 - Advertises the availability of and charges for such services using media of general distribution.
- 8. Section 54.201(b) of the FCC's rules² states that the Commission shall on its own motion or upon request, designate a common carrier as an "eligible telecommunications carrier" so long as the carrier meets the requirements of 47 C.F.R. 54.201(d).
- 9. On May 15, 2001, the Commission in Case No. TA-2001-251 designated ExOp as an eligible carrier under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254 and 47 C.F.R. 54.201(d) to receive federal universal service fund support with respect to ExOp's Kearney, Missouri exchange. In its Order, the Commission limited ExOp's designation

² 47 C.F.R. 54.201.

as an ETC to the Kearney exchange, where ExOp was providing qualifying telecommunications services at the time the application was made to the Commission. In limiting its ETC designation to the Kearney exchange, the Commission concluded that Section 214(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act requires that a carrier both offer and advertise the services in question throughout its designated service area upon designation.

- 10. In addition to the Kearney, Missouri exchange, ExOp now currently offers and advertises local exchange service in the Platte City exchange. As a fully facilities—based competitive local exchange company ("CLEC"), ExOp embodies the main goals of the universal service provisions of the Act by providing advanced telecommunications services to customers through the exclusive use of its own lines and equipment.
- 11. Section 54.201 of the FCC's rules state that a commission shall designate more than one common carrier as an ETC for a service area designated by the state commission so long as the additional requesting carrier meets the requirements of 54.201(d) and the state commission finds that designation is in the public interest.
- 12. ExOp, through its own facilities, offers in the Platte City, Missouri exchange all of the services supported by federal universal service support under § 254(c) of the Act. Specifically, ExOp offers the following services:
 - a. Voice grade access to the public switched network;
 - b. Local usage;
 - c. Dual tone multi-frequency signaling or its functional equivalent;
 - d. Single-party service or its functional equivalent;
 - e. Access to emergency services;
 - f. Access to operator services;

- g. Access to interexchange service;
- h. Access to directory assistance;
- i. Toll limitation for qualifying low-income consumers.
- 13. ExOp advertises the availability of and charges for such services using media of general distribution within its Platte City, Missouri service area, as evidenced by the documentation attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Appendix B**.
- 14. Applicant acknowledges that 47 C.F.R. § 54.405 requires all eligible telecommunications carriers to make Lifeline Services (as defined in 47 C.F.R. § 54.401) available to qualifying low-income consumers. Lifeline consists of a retail, local telephone service offering to qualifying low-income consumers under which the consumer pays a reduced charge for telephone service. The federal assistance program providing for the 50 percent waiver of the initial installation charge, up from \$30.00 and the interest free deferred schedule of payment for the remaining installation charge is known as "Link-Up." Applicant hereby states that it will provide Lifeline and Link-Up service to qualifying low-income consumers in its service area in accordance with the Commission's rules.
- 15. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.401(c), the FCC has stated that eligible telecommunications carriers may not collect a service deposit in order to initiate Lifeline service, if the qualifying low-income consumer voluntarily elects toll blocking from the carrier, where available. If toll blocking is unavailable, the carrier may charge a service deposit. If designated by the Commission as an eligible telecommunications carrier within the Platte City exchange, ExOp will comply with this rule.

WHEREFORE, on the basis of the foregoing, Applicant ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite respectfully requests that the Commission designate Applicant as a telecommunications carrier eligible under the provisions of 47 C.F.R. 54.201(d) to receive federal universal service support with respect to the Platte City, Missouri exchange, find that such designation is in the public interest, and for such other orders as are deemed reasonable and necessary in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Brent Stewart, MoBar#34885

Charles Breut Stewart

STEWART & KEEVIL, L.L.C. 1001 Cherry, Street, Suite 302

Columbia, MO 65201

(573) 499-0635

(573) 499-0838 fax

Stewart499@aol.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the foregoing Application, with Appendices, was sent to the General Counsel's Office and the Office of the Public Counsel by placing same in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, or by hand-delivery, this 27^{r_H} day of January, 2003.

AFFIDAVIT

State of Missouri)
County of Jackson)

I, Rachel Lipman Reiber, of lawful age, duly sworn, state and allege the following:

I am the Vice President of Regulatory and Government Affairs for ExOp of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Unite.

I have read the Application for Designation as Eligible Carrier Pursuant to Section 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

The facts contained within the Application for Designation as Eligible Carrier Pursuant to Section 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Rachel Lipman Raiber

Subscribed and sworn before me, this 24^{+4} day of January, 2003.

Notary Public

STACY SHIPMAN
Notory Public - Notory Seal
State of Missouri
Platte County
My Commission Expires July 31, 2005