FILED³

MAY 2 1 2012

Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.:

Issues: AAO

Witness: Lynn M. Barnes

Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case No.: EU-2012-0027

Date Testimony Prepared: October 20, 2011

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EU-2012-0027

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

LYNN M. BARNES

 \mathbf{ON}

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri

> St. Louis, Missouri October, 2011

> > Date 5 03-12 Reporter KF
> > File No. Eu- 2012 - 3027

OF LYNN M. BARNES

CASE NO. EU-2012-0027

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
2	A.	My name is Lynn M. Barnes. My business address is One Ameren Plaza,	
3	1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.		
4	Q.	Please describe your educational background and qualifications.	
5	A.	I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Millikin	
6	University, Decatur, Illinois. I am also a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the		
7	states of Missouri and Illinois.		
8	Q.	By whom and iu what capacity are you employed?	
9	A.	I am employed by Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	
0	("Ameren Missouri" or the "Company") as Vice President, Business Planning and		
1	Controller.		
2	Q.	Please describe your employment history.	
3	A.	After 11 years in public accounting with Deloitte & Touche as an auditor	
4	and, subsequently, 16 months with the Boeing Company (formerly McDonnell Douglas		
5	Corporation), as Manager of Financial Reporting, I joined the Company in 1997 as		
5	General Supervisor of Financial Communications. I was promoted to Manager of		
7	Financial Communications in 1999, and my responsibilities included managing the		
3	financial reporting department, the regulatory accounting department, and investor		
)	relations duri	ng the period of transition from a single utility to a public utility holding	

1	company with multiple operating companies. I directed financial management functions		
2	including preparation and analysis of monthly/quarterly financial statements and external		
3	reports for all Ameren Corporation subsidiaries. In 2002, I transferred to Ameren		
4	Services Company's Energy Delivery Department as Controller, and in 2005 I was		
5	promoted to Director of Energy Delivery Business Services. In July 2007 I was		
6	promoted to Controller for the Company and in October 2007 I was promoted to Vice		
7	President, Business Planning and Controller for the Company.		
8	Q. Please describe your duties and responsibilities as Vice President,		
9	Business Planning and Controller for Ameren Missouri.		
10	A. In my current position as Vice President, Business Planning and		
11	Controller, I supervise the Company's financial affairs, including nearly \$2 billion of		
12	annual operations and maintenance expenses and capital expenditures. I direct Ameren		
13	Missouri's financial management functions, including analysis of monthly/quarterly		
14	financial statements, financial forecasting, budget development and management, and		
15	management of the customer accounts department. I also coordinate the performance		
16	management reporting and the business planning process used throughout the Company.		
17	I interact with Ameren Missouri's Chief Executive Officer and senior leadership		
18	concerning strategic initiatives, financial forecasts and reports. I also serve as liaison		
19	between Ameren Missouri's management and the Ameren Corporation controller		
20	function.		
21	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?		
22	A. The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the facts supporting Ameren		
23	Missouri's request for an accounting authority order ("AAO") to address the Company's		

2₽

accounting for fixed costs it was unable to recover due to an extraordinary, unanticipated and devastating ice storm that struck Southeast Missouri in late January 2009.

Q. Please describe the events that resulted in this request.

A. Certainly. On January 27, 2009, an extraordinary, unanticipated and unusually devastating ice storm struck Southeast Missouri. In addition to impacting service to approximately 95% of Ameren Missouri's customers in six counties (approximately 36,500 customers), the storm also resulted in the loss of approximately 3,800 electric distribution poles, the most Ameren Missouri has ever lost in a storm. Governor Nixon declared a state of emergency for the area of Missouri affected by the ice storm, and it was many weeks before service was restored to all customers in the area. Noranda Aluminum, Inc. ("Noranda"), Ameren Missouri's largest customer by far, was seriously impacted by the storm.

Q. How did the ice storm impact Noranda?

A. Noranda lost power due to the ice storm taking down the electric transmission lines of Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("AECI"), which delivers Ameren Missouri's power to Noranda's smelter located in New Madrid, abruptly cutting off power to the plant. As a consequence, Noranda's smelter was shut down in midcycle, and molten aluminum "froze" throughout the plant, rendering the facility inoperable. Ultimately the frozen aluminum had to be jack-hammered out to restore the plant to full service. The plant was completely out of service immediately following the ice storm and approximately two-thirds of Noranda's electrical load was lost for many months. At the time, it was unclear whether Noranda would ever be able to restore its smelter to full service.

1	Q.	In your opinion, was the impact of the ice storm on Noranda unusual	
2	and extraordinary?		
3	A.	Yes. The impact of ice storms on customers is typically much less severe.	
4	When servic	e is restored customers typically resume taking service immediately and	
5	there is mini	mal impact on the recovery of the Company's fixed costs through the rates	
6	paid by the a	ffected customers. Damage is typically limited to lost refrigerated food or in	
7	a worst case.	frozen water pipes. The damage that Noranda suffered in the 2009 ice	
8	storm is mor	e than unusual and extraordinary. It is completely unprecedented in my	
9	experience.		
10	Q.	What was the impact to Ameren Missouri of Noranda's loss of	
11	service?		
12	A.	The loss of load to Noranda had an immediate and devastating financial	
13	impact on th	e Company. Sales to Noranda represent approximately 11% of the	
14	Company's	system-wide native load sales. Annual revenues from Noranda, which do not	
15	vary signific	antly due to Noranda's 98% load factor, were approximately \$139 million at	
16	the time of t	he ice storm.	
17	Addi	tionally, as described in Mr. Wills' Direct Testimony, approximately \$36	
18	million of th	e Company's fixed costs were not recovered as they represented the portion	
19	of those cos	ts that were allocated to the Large Transmission Service class (of which	
20	Noranda is t	he only member). Thus, without Noranda's revenue contribution, the	
21	Company ha	ad no opportunity to recover these fixed costs because while the ice storm	
22	caused the (Company's kilowatt-hour sales to be reduced by approximately 11%, its fixed	
23	costs were n	ot reduced at all. Noranda was not able to restore its service to pre-storm	

levels for more than 14 months, and during that entire period the Company was unable to recover the fixed costs that had been allocated to Noranda.

Q. Did Ameren Missouri take any steps to mitigate this devastating financial loss?

- A. Yes. To mitigate the material financial loss it was facing due to the drastically lower sales without an accompanying reduction in its fixed costs, the Company entered into contracts with AEP Operating Companies ("AEP") and Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. ("Wabash") for sales of the power which Noranda was no longer able to take. Ameren Missouri believed that these contracts reflected long-term partial requirements sales with these counter-parties that were thus excluded from the Company's fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") tariff. Based on this assumption, the Company would have received revenues through those contracts that would offset its inability to recover the fixed costs that had been allocated to Noranda.
- Q. Was the Company able to offset through revenues from the AEP and Wabash contracts its inability to recover the fixed costs resulting from the extraordinary loss of sales?
- A. No. In Case No. EO-2010-0255, Ameren Missouri's first FAC prudence review, the Staff and other parties challenged the Company's classification of the AEP and Wabash contracts as long-term partial requirements sales, and thus challenged the exclusion of said contracts from the FAC. The Commission ultimately concluded that under the terms of the Company's FAC tariff these contracts do not reflect long-term partial requirements sales. As a consequence, the Commission determined that all of the

I	costs and revenues associated with these contracts will have to be flowed through to		
2	customers via the FAC.		
3	Q.	Exactly what accounting authorization is the Company requesting?	
4	A.	We are requesting authority to defer the lost fixed costs, which are	
5	precisely quantified in the Direct Testimony of Ameren Missouri witness Steve Wills, in		
6	Account 182.3, Other Regulatory Assets, to permit the Company to seek recovery of		
7	these costs in a future rate proceeding commencing no later than two years after the		
8	effective date of the Commission's order approving the requested AAO.		
9	Q.	Please summarize your testimony and conclusions.	
10	A.	Ameren Missouri's inability to recover the fixed costs that had been	
11	allocated to Noranda was attributable to an extraordinary, unanticipated and unusual ice		
12	storm. Despite attempts to mitigate the loss resulting from this event, the Company was		
13	unable to successfully recover those lost costs with income from other sources.		
14	Ultimately, the Company's inability to recover these fixed costs would have a significant		
15	and detrimental financial impact on Ameren Missouri, absent the granting of an AAO by		
16	the Commission. As a consequence the Company is requesting that the Commission		
17	issue an AAO that allows it to defer the unrecovered fixed costs attributable to the loss of		
18	the Noranda load.		
19	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?	
20	Α.	Yes it does.	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for the Issuance of an Accounting Authority Order Relating to its Electrical Operations.) Case No. EU-2012-0027					
AFFIDAVIT OF LYNN M. BARNES						
STATE OF MISSOURI						
CITY OF ST. LOUIS) ss						
Lynn M. Barnes, being first duly sworn on l	her oath, states:					
1. My name is Lynn M. Barnes	. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri,					
and I am employed by Union Electric Comp	oany d/b/a Ameren Missouri as Vice President					
Business Planning and Controller.						
2. Attached hereto and made a	part hereof for all purposes is my Direct					
Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Com	pany d/b/a Ameren Missouri consisting of					
6 pages, all of which have been prepared	l in written form for introduction into					
evidence in the above-referenced docket.						
3. I hereby swear and affirm the	at my answers contained in the attached					
testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.						
	Gun M. Barnes					
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20	day of Detober 2011.					
My commission expires: Debra K. Patterson Notary Seal Missouri - St. L Commission f My Commission Exp	, State of a county to the cou					