

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Ann Thompson
Court Executive

Reply To:

- 400 E. 9th Street
Kansas City, MO 64106
 80 Lafayette Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101
 222 John Q. Hammons Pkwy.
Springfield, MO 65806

December 22, 2011

Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360



Re: Remand of our Civil Case No. 11-4221-CV-C-NKL
Alma Communications Company, et al. v. Halo Wireless, Inc., et al.

Remand of our Civil Case No. 11-4218-CV-C-NKL
Alma Communications Company, et al. v. Halo Wireless, Inc.

Remand of our Civil Case No. 11-4220-CV-C-NKL
BPS Telephone Company, et al. v. Halo Wireless, Inc., et al.

To Whom It May Concern::

The above cases have been remanded to you pursuant to an order by the Honorable Nanette K Laughrey. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 28 § 1447 we are enclosing a certified copy of the order of remand.

Sincerely,

By: /s/ J Russel

Enc.

MIME-Version:1.0

From:ecfMOW.notification@mow.uscourts.gov

To:cmecf_atynotifications@mow.uscourts.gov

Bcc:

--Case Participants: Louis A Huber, III (dyoung@schleehuber.com, lhuber@schleehuber.com, lsprouse@schleehuber.com), Jennifer Heintz (cassie.melloway@psc.mo.gov, dawn.carafeno@psc.mo.gov, jennifer.heintz@psc.mo.gov, kristy.westrich@psc.mo.gov), Craig S. Johnson (cj@cjaslaw.com), Missouri Public Service Commission (jennifer.heintz@psc.mo.gov)

--Non Case Participants:

--No Notice Sent:

Message-Id:<3638160@mow.uscourts.gov>


Subject:Activity in Case 2:11-cv-04218-NKL Alma Communications Company et al v.

Halo Wireless, Inc. Order on Motion to Remand

Content-Type: text/html

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.**

I HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON: 12/22/11
THAT THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.
ANN THOMPSON
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
BY  DEPUTY

U.S. District Court

Western District of Missouri

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 12/21/2011 at 9:43 AM CST and filed on 12/21/2011

Case Name: Alma Communications Company et al v. Halo Wireless, Inc.

Case Number: [2:11-cv-04218-NKL](#)

Filer:

Document Number: 35(No document attached)

Docket Text:

ORDER entered by Judge Nanette Laughrey. Plaintiffs' motion to remand this case to the Missouri Public Services Commission ("the Commission") [Doc. # 7] is GRANTED for the reasons expressed in Orders granting remand in similar cases by the Middle District of Tennessee [Doc. # 32-1] and the District of South Carolina Bankruptcy Court, Bellsouth Telecomms., LLC v. Halo Wireless, Inc., No. 11-80162-dd (Bankr. D. S.C. filed Nov. 30, 2011). The Commission has the authority to regulate the subject matter of this dispute, and the Court does not have jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims until the Commission has rendered a decision for the Court to review. To the extent Defendant argues that Plaintiffs' claims should first be decided by the FCC, this argument is mooted by the FCC's recent rulemaking decision rejecting Defendant's position and reaffirming that the power to regulate these issues lies with state agencies. In the Matter of Connect America Fund, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed

Rulemaking, WC Docket No. 10-90, et al., FCC 11-161 (rel. Nov. 18, 2011). Plaintiffs' request for costs and attorney fees for wrongful removal is DENIED. The propriety of removal was a complicated issue of law that Defendant appears to have pursued in good faith. **This is a TEXT ONLY ENTRY. No document is attached.**(Kanies, Renea)

2:11-cv-04218-NKL Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Louis A Huber, III lhuber@schleehuber.com, dyoung@schleehuber.com, lsprouse@schleehuber.com

Craig S. Johnson cj@cjlaw.com

Jennifer Heintz jennifer.heintz@psc.mo.gov, cassie.melloway@psc.mo.gov,
dawn.carafeno@psc.mo.gov, kristy.westrich@psc.mo.gov

2:11-cv-04218-NKL It is the filer's responsibility for noticing the following parties by other means: