

LAW OFFICES
BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DAVID V.G. BRYDON
JAMES C. SWEARENGEN
WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III
JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON
GARY W. DUFFY
PAUL A. BOUDREAU
SONDRA B. MORGAN
CHARLES E. SMARR

312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
P.O. BOX 456
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456
TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166
FACSIMILE (573) 635-0427

DEAN L. COOPER
MARK G. ANDERSON
GREGORY C. MITCHELL
BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY
DIANA C. FARR
JANET E. WHEELER

OF COUNSEL
RICHARD T. CIOTTONE

March 21, 2003

Secretary
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102


Re: Case No. IO-2003-0213

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation, please find an original and eight copies of a Motion for Correction.

Please see that this is filing is brought to the attention of the appropriate Commission personnel. If there are any questions regarding this matter, please direct them to me at the above number. Otherwise, I thank you in advance for your attention to and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,


Brian T. McCartney

WRE/da
Enclosure
cc: Parties of Record

FILED²
MAR 21 2003
Missouri Public
Service Commission

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED²
MAR 21 2003
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Application of Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation)
for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement) Case No. IO-2003-0213
under the Telecommunications Act of 1996)

MOTION FOR CORRECTION

COMES NOW Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation ("Grand River") and
for its *Motion for Correction* states to the Commission as follows:

1. On December 20, 2002, Grand River filed its *Application for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement*. On February 13, 2003, Grand River filed an Amended Application to correct the mistaken use of the word Company instead of Corporation.

2. On March 17, 2003, the Commission issued an *Order Approving Interconnection Agreement* in this case. The title of the Commission's *Order* refers to the agreement between Grand River and Verizon Wireless as an "Interconnection" Agreement rather than a "Traffic Termination" Agreement.

3. Grand River requests that the Commission issue a corrected *Order* with the following changes:

- (A) The title changed to *Order Approving Traffic Termination Agreement*.
- (B) The first sentence revised to read Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation.
- (C) The deletion of footnote 1.

4. **This Commission has issued other orders approving Traffic**

Termination Agreements. See e.g. *Application of BPS Telephone Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IO-2003-0207, *Order Approving Traffic Termination Agreement*, issued Feb. 3, 2003; *Application of Rock Port Telephone Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IK-2003-0259, *Order Approving Traffic Termination Agreement*, issued Mar. 4, 2003.

5. **The Commission has issued numerous orders approving "Resale"**

Agreements.¹ In these cases, the Commission referred to the agreements as "resale" agreements rather than "interconnection" agreements. These orders demonstrate that

¹ *Application of Spectra for Approval of Resale Agreement with Phone Connection*, Case No. TK-2003-0221, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued Feb. 6, 2003; *Application of CenturyTel for Approval of Resale Agreement with Delta Phones*, Case No. IK-2003-0192, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued Jan. 6, 2003; *Application of Local Line America for Approval of Resale Agreement with Southwestern Bell*, Case No. CK-2002-1047, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued June 7, 2002; *Application of Sprint for Approval of Resale Agreement with Global Connection*, Case No. TO-2002-418, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued April 11, 2002; *Application of Sprint for Approval of Resale Agreement with Texas Hometel*, Case No. TO-2002-410, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued April 11, 2002; *Application of Sprint for Approval of Resale Agreement with Metro Teleconnect*, Case No. TO-2002-417, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued April 9, 2002; *Application of Spectra for Approval of Resale Agreement with Cat Communications International*, Case No. TO-2002-230, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued Jan. 10, 2002; *Application of Verizon for Approval of Resale Agreement with Preferred Carrier Services*, Case No. TO-2002-166, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued Nov. 26, 2001; *Application of Local Line America for Approval of Resale Agreement with ALLTEL*, Case No. TO-2002-166, *Order Approving Resale Agreement*, issued Oct. 31, 2001.

the Act does not require the Commission to refer to every agreement it approves in accordance with Section 252 of the Act as an "interconnection" agreement.

6. **Other state commissions have issued orders approving Traffic Termination Agreements.** For example, the Florida Public Service Commission has issued a number of orders that approve traffic termination agreements. See e.g. Attachment A (*In re: Request by Vista-United Telecommunications for Approval of an Interim Traffic Termination and Billing Agreement with 2nd Century Communications*, Docket No. 000188-TP, *Order Approving Interim Traffic Termination and Billing Agreement*, issued April 21, 2000).

7. **Agreement Reached and Relief Sought.** Grand River' *Application* seeks Commission approval of a "Traffic Termination" Agreement, and the Agreement between Grand River and Verizon Wireless is clearly marked in boldfaced, underlined type as a **TRAFFIC TERMINATION AGREEMENT**. The express terms of the Agreement explain that it is a traffic termination agreement executed pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 251(b)(5) and "**is not an interconnection agreement under 47 U.S.C. 251(c).**" See Agreement, Section 20.1 (page 17 of 20) (emphasis added).

8. **Alternative.** If the Commission does not want to refer to the agreement as a Traffic Termination Agreement, then Grand River respectfully requests that the title of the *Order* simply be changed to *Order Approving Agreement*.

WHEREFORE, Grand River respectfully requests the Commission to issue an *Order* that makes the corrections listed above and grants such other relief as is reasonable in the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

By Brian T. McCartney

W.R. England, III Mo. #23975
Brian T. McCartney Mo. #47788
BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND P.C.
312 East Capitol Avenue, P.O. Box 456
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456
trip@brydonlaw.com
bmccartney@brydonlaw.com
(573) 635-7166
(573) 634-7431 (FAX)

Attorneys for Grand River

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, or hand-delivered on this 21st day of March, 2003, to the following parties:

General Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Michael F. Dandino
Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Verizon Wireless
Regulatory Counsel
1300 I (Eye) Street, N.W.
Suite 400 West
Washington, D.C. 20005

Verizon Wireless
John L. Clampitt
2785 Mitchell Drive, MS 7-1
Walnut Creek, CA 94598

Brian T. McCartney
Brian T. McCartney

In re: Request by Vista-United Telecommunications for approval of interim traffic termination and billing agreement with 2nd Century Communications, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 000188-TP; ORDER NO. PSC-00-0790-FOF-TP

Florida Public Service Commission

2000 Fla. PUC LEXIS 497

00 FPSC 4:330

April 21, 2000

[*1] The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter: JOE GARCIA, Chairman, J. TERRY DEASON, SUSAN F. CLARK, E. LEON JACOBS, JR., LILA A. JABER

OPINION: ORDER APPROVING INTERIM TRAFFIC TERMINATION AND BILLING AGREEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

On February 15, 2000, Vista-United Telecommunications (Vista-United) and 2nd Century Communications, Inc. (2nd Century Communications) filed a request for approval of an interim traffic and billing agreement pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). The agreement is incorporated by reference herein. A copy of the agreement may be obtained from the official docket file by contacting our Division of Records and Reporting.

Both the Act and Chapter 364, Florida Statutes, encourage parties to enter into negotiated agreements to bring about local exchange competition as quickly as possible. Under the requirements of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e), negotiated agreements must be submitted to the state commission for approval. Section 252(e)(4) requires the state to reject or approve the agreement within 90 days after submission or it shall be deemed approved.

This agreement covers a two-year [*2] period and governs the relationship between the companies exchange of local traffic and toll traffic pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 251. Under 47 U.S.C. § 252(a)(1), the agreement shall include a detailed schedule of itemized charges for interim traffic and billing and each service or network element included in the agreement.

Upon review of the proposed agreement, we believe that it complies with the Act; thus, we hereby approve it. Vista-United and 2nd Century Communications are also required to file any subsequent supplements or modifications to their agreement with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the interim traffic and billing agreement between Vista-United Telecommunications and 2nd Century Communications, Inc., is incorporated by reference in this Order, and is hereby approved. A copy of the agreement may be obtained as specified in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that any supplements or modifications to this agreement must be filed with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). It is further

ORDERED that this Docket shall [*3] be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 21st day of April, 2000.

BLANCA S. BAYO, Director

Division of Records and Reporting