BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the First Prudence Review)	
of Costs Subject to the Commission-)	
Approved Fuel Adjustment Clause of)	Case No. EO-2010-0255
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE.)	

JOINTLY PROPOSED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

COME NOW Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (AmerenUE), the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff), the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC), the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC), and the Missouri Energy Group (MEG), and hereby submit this Jointly Proposed Procedural Schedule, and in support thereof state as follows:

- 1. During the Prehearing Conference held by the Commission on September 21, 2010, the Regulatory Law Judge presiding over this case directed the parties to file a proposed procedural schedule (if agreement on such schedule could be reached) by September 27, 2010. The parties have reached agreement on a proposed procedural schedule, which is reflected herein. The parties request that the Commission adopt the procedural schedule set forth in paragraph 2 below, and also adopt the related procedural items that have been agreed upon, as reflected in paragraph 3 below.²
 - 2. The agreed-upon Proposed Procedural Schedule is as follows:

Procedural Schedule

Direct Testimony of AmerenUE

October 20, 2010

¹ The undersigned counsel for AmerenUE attempted to confirm with counsel for the Missouri Retailers' Association (MRA) that MRA also desired to join in this joint filing, but was unable to reach Mr. Schwarz, who is believed to be out-of-town. The undersigned counsel for AmerenUE would note that Mr. Schwarz did participate in the discussion of the dates contained in the Procedural Schedule outlined below, and was in agreement with them, but it cannot be confirmed at this time whether MRA agrees with this joint filing in its entirety.

² The parties agree that this case cannot be completed before the recovery period which begins February, 2011, without depriving parties of sufficient time to develop and present their positions.

Direct/Rebuttal** Testimony of Staff, OPC & Intervenors

November 24, 2010

Surrebuttal Testimony of AmerenUE &

Cross-Surrebuttal Testimony December 22, 2010

List of Issues, List of Witnesses, Order Of Cross-Examination, & Order of

Opening Statements January 4, 2011

Statements of Position January 7, 2011

Evidentiary Hearings January 10-11, 2011

** Those filing direct/rebuttal testimony on November 24, 2010 may support the Staff's proposed disallowance arising from AmerenUE's contracts with the American Electric Power Operating Companies and Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc., as outlined in the Staff's Prudence Report and Recommendation filed in this docket, with testimony that is in the nature of "direct" testimony (as defined by the Commission's procedural rules), and may also rebut the direct testimony filed by AmerenUE with "rebuttal" testimony (as defined by the Commission's procedural rules).

3. The parties also have agreed to the following procedures and request the

Commission order these agreed to procedures be included in the Commission's Order setting the

Procedural Schedule for this case:

- (a) All parties shall provide copies of testimony (including schedules), exhibits and pleadings to other counsel by electronic means and in electronic form essentially concurrently with the filing of such testimony, exhibits or pleadings where the information is available in electronic format (.PDF, .DOC, .WPD, .XLS, etc.). Parties are not required to put information that does not exist in electronic format into electronic format for purposes of exchanging it.
- (b) Counsel for each party shall receive electronically from each other party serving a data request, an electronic copy of the text of the "description" of that data request contemporaneously with service of the data request. Regarding Staff-issued data requests, if the description contains highly confidential or proprietary information, or is voluminous, a hyperlink to the EFIS record of that data request shall be considered a sufficient copy. If a party desires the response to a data request that has been served on another party, the party desiring a copy of the response must request a copy of the

response from the party answering the data request. Data requests, objections to data requests, and notifications respecting the need for additional time to respond to data requests shall be sent by e-mail to counsel for the other parties. Counsel may designate other personnel to be added to the service list for data requests, but shall assume responsibility for compliance with any restrictions on confidentiality. Data request responses shall be served on counsel for the requesting party, unless waived by counsel, and on the requesting party's employee or representative who submitted the data request, and shall be served electronically, if feasible and not voluminous as defined by Commission rule. In the case of AmerenUE data request responses, AmerenUE shall post its data request responses on its Caseworks Extranet site; however, in the case of responses to data requests Staff issues to it, AmerenUE shall also submit the responses to Staff data requests in EFIS, if feasible, or in electronic format on compact disc or by other means agreed to by Staff counsel, if infeasible.)

- (c) The response time for all data requests is 10 calendar days to provide the requested information, and 5 business days to object or notify that more than 10 calendar days will be needed to provide the requested information. If a data request has been responded to, a party's request for a copy of the response shall be timely responded to, considering that the underlying data request has already been responded to (except that, with the exception of responses to Staff, responses will not be needed for AmerenUE's data request responses posted on the Company's Caseworks Extranet site).
- (d) Workpapers prepared in the course of developing a witness' testimony shall not be filed with the Commission, but shall be submitted to each party within 2 business days following the filing of the particular testimony, unless a party has indicated that it does not want to receive some or all of the workpapers. Workpapers containing highly confidential or proprietary information shall be appropriately marked.
- (e) Where workpapers or data request responses include models or spreadsheets or similar information originally in a commonly available format where inputs or parameters may be changed to observe changes in inputs, if available in that original format, the party providing the workpaper or response shall provide this type of information in that original format with formulas intact. With the exception of workpapers provided to Staff, AmerenUE may provide workpapers by posting the same on its Caseworks Extranet site, with e-mail notification to counsel for the parties to be provided essentially concurrently with the posting of workpapers on the Extranet site. AmerenUE shall provide its workpapers to Staff in electronic format by e-mailing or by delivery of a compact disc or other electronic storage media.
- (f) For purposes of this case, the parties request the Commission waive 4 CSR 2402.045(2) and 2.080(11) with respect to prefiled testimony, and treat prefiled testimony or other filings to be made in this case that are made in the Commission's Electronic Filing and Information System (EFIS) as timely filed if filed before midnight on the date the filing is due.

(g) Documents filed in EFIS shall be considered properly served by serving the same on counsel of record for all other parties via e-mail.

WHEREFORE, the parties file the Jointly Proposed Procedural Schedule set forth in paragraph 2 above, and request that the Commission adopt the same and include in its order its adoption of the procedural items requested by the parties in paragraph 3 above.

Respectfully submitted,

SMITH LEWIS, LLP

/s/James B. Lowery

James B. Lowery, #40503 Suite 200, City Centre Building 111 South Ninth Street P.O. Box 918 Columbia, MO 65205-0918 Phone (573) 443-3141 Facsimile (573) 442-6686 lowery@smithlewis.com

Attorneys for Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE

SANDBERG PHOENIX & von GONTARD P.C.

/s/ Lisa C. Langeneckert

Lisa C. Langeneckert, #49781 600 Washington Avenue – 15th Floor St. Louis, MO 63101-1313 Phone (314) 446-4238 Facsimile (314) 241-7604 <u>llangeneckert@sandbergphoenix.com</u>

Attorney for Missouri Energy Group

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

Thomas M. Byrne, #33340 Managing Associate General Counsel 1901 Chouteau Avenue, MC-1310 P.O. Box 66149, MC-1310 St. Louis, MO 63101-6149 (314) 554-2514 (Telephone) (314) 554-4014 (Facsimile) tbyrne@ameren.com

BRYAN CAVE, LLP

/s/ Diana M. Vuylsteke

Diana M. Vuylsteke, #42419 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102 Phone (314) 259-2543 Facsimile (314) 259-2020 dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

Attorney for The Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

/s/ Lewis R. Mills, Jr.

Lewis R. Mills, Jr., #35275 P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Phone (573) 751-1304 Facsimile (573) 751-5562 lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

Attorney for the Office of the Public Counsel

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Nathan Williams

Nathan Williams, #35512 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Phone (573) 751-8702 Facsimile (573) 751-9285 nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served via e-mail on all counsel of record this 27th day of September, 2010.

/s/James B. Lowery