Exhibit No.:

Issue: Fuel Adjustment Witness: W. Scott Keith

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Empire District Electric

Case No.

Date Testimony Prepared: October 2009

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Direct Testimony

 \mathbf{of}

W. Scott Keith

October 2009

**Denotes Highly Confidential

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

W. SCOTT KEITH

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI CASE NO.

1 INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

2 O. 1	PLEASE	STATE YO	UR NAME AND	BUSINESS ADDRESS.
---------------	--------	----------	-------------	--------------------------

- 3 A. My name is W. Scott Keith and my business address is 602 S. Joplin Avenue,
- 4 Joplin, Missouri.

5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR JOB TITLE

6 AND WHAT ARE YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES?

- 7 A. I am presently employed by The Empire District Electric Co. ("Empire" or "the
- 8 Company") as the Director of Planning and Regulatory. I have held this position
- 9 since August 1, 2005. Prior to joining Empire I was Director of Electric
- Regulatory Matters in Kansas and Colorado for Aquila, Inc. from 1995 to July
- 11 2005.

12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND FOR THE

- 13 **COMMISSION.**
- 14 A. In August 1973, I received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree with a
- major in Accounting at Washburn University, Topeka, Kansas.

16 Q. WHAT EXPERIENCE HAVE YOU HAD IN THE FIELD OF PUBLIC

- 17 **UTILITIES?**
- 18 A. In 1973, I accepted a position in the firm of Troupe Kehoe Whiteaker & Kent as a

1

17	provided expert testimony and exhibits to be presented before regulatory
17	commissions.
17	provided expert testimony and exhibits to be presented before regulatory
16	service studies and rate design. In connection with those engagements I also
15	and continued to provide clients with analyses of revenue requirements, cost of
14	From March of 1991 until June of 1994, I was self-employed as a utility consultant
13	of 1991.
12	perform services for various utility clients with that firm until it dissolved in March
11	In March of 1978, I joined the firm of Drees Dunn & Company and continued to
10	the areas of accounting, cost of service and rate design.
9	KCC. The scope of the investigations I performed on behalf of the KCC included
8	testimony in connection with applications that were under the jurisdiction of the
7	of utility rate applications and the preparation of exhibits and presentation of
6	Commission ("KCC"). My responsibilities at the KCC included the investigation
5	
	In September 1976, I accepted a position with the staff of the Kansas Corporation
4	utility companies.
3	leading to opinions on financial statements of various types of companies including
2	exhibits for rate filings presented to various regulatory commissions and audits
1	staff accountant. I assisted in or was responsible for fieldwork and preparation of

² NP

- 1 A. Yes, I have. I have testified before regulatory commissions in the states of Kansas,
 2 Colorado, Indiana, Missouri, Oklahoma and West Virginia. I have also testified
- before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC").

4 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 5 A. My testimony will support the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") rate schedules that
- have been filed by Empire to reflect the actual energy costs that Empire has
- 7 incurred during the six-month period March 2009 through August 2009. This six-
- 8 month period is an Accumulation Period specified in Empire's FAC tariff that was
- approved by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") in its
- 10 Report and Order issued in Case No. ER-2008-0093.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

11

- 12 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN
- 13 SUPPORT OF THE FAC RATE SCHEDULES FILED BY EMPIRE.
- 14 A. The Commission's rule governing fuel and purchased power cost recovery
- mechanisms for electric utilities specifically 4 CSR 240-20.090(4) requires
- Empire to make periodic FAC filings that are designed to enable Commission
- review of the actual fuel costs, purchased power costs and off-system sales margins
- the Company has incurred during an Accumulation Period. In addition, these
- 19 periodic filings are designed to adjust the FAC rates up or down, to reflect the
- actual energy costs incurred during the Accumulation Period. Empire's FAC tariff
- calls for two annual filings: a filing covering the six-month Accumulation Period
- running from September through February and a second filing covering the
- Accumulation Period running from March through August. Any increases or

decreases in rates that are approved by the Commission, or that take effect by
operation of law, are then collected from or refunded to customers over two six-
month Recovery Periods: June through November and December through May.
Since the conclusion of Empire's last rate case, the variable costs of fuel and
purchased power used to meet the demand for electricity by the Company's
Missouri customers has varied from the base cost established in the last general rate
case. For the Accumulation Period March 2009 through August 2009, Empire's
actual variable fuel and purchased power costs less off-system sales margins, have
declined from the base energy costs included in the Company's Missouri rates in
Case No. ER-2008-0093 by approximately \$.8 million. In accordance with the
FAC tariff, Empire has absorbed 5% of the overall decrease in Missouri variable
fuel and purchased power costs during the six-month period March 2009 through
August 2009. Therefore, in accordance with the Commission's FAC rule and
Empire's approved FAC tariff, the Company has filed FAC rate schedules that are
designed to refund energy cost decreases of approximately \$.8 million from its
Missouri jurisdictional customers. As reflected in the rate schedules filed by the
Company, Empire has developed two Cost Adjustment Factors ("CAF") of
\$(0.00039) for primary service and a CAF of \$(0.00040) for secondary service.
These CAFs will enable Empire to refund the difference between base costs of fuel
and purchased power built into its rates in the last general rate and the fuel and
purchased power costs that were actually incurred during the Accumulation Period
over a Recovery Period running from December 2009 through May 2010.

Q. DOES THE EMPIRE FAC TARIFF INCLUDE PROVISIONS THAT ARE

DESIGNED TO LIMIT EMPIRE'S FAC RECOVERYS TO THE ACTUAL

2 **COST OF ENERGY?**

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

A. Yes. The Empire FAC and the Commission's rule governing FACs include two safeguards that limit FAC recovery to the actual, prudently-incurred fuel and purchased power costs. The first safeguard is a true-up process that ensures that the FAC collections during the Recovery Period do not exceed actual fuel and purchased power costs incurred during the Accumulation Period. The second safeguard involves a requirement that Empire's energy costs be subjected to periodic Prudence Reviews, which will ensure that only prudently-incurred energy are passed through to customers using the FAC. The staff of the Commission has recently opened a formal Prudence Review of Empire's past energy costs during its operation of the FAC. This case has been designated EO-2010-0084.

THE PROPOSED FAC RATE ADJUSTMENT

TIME?

vear:

Q. WHY HAS EMPIRE FILED FAC-RELATED RATE SCHEDULES AT THIS

16 A. The Commission's rules – specifically 4 CSR 240-20.090(4) – and Empire's FAC
17 tariff require the Company to make periodic FAC filings that enable the
18 Commission to review Empire's actual fuel and purchased power costs and off19 system sales margins so that Empire's FAC rates can be adjusted to reflect the
20 actual energy costs the Company incurs to provide electric service to its Missouri
21 customers. Empire's Missouri FAC tariff calls for two FAC adjustment filings per

a filing covering the six-month Accumulation Period running from

September through February and a second filing covering the Accumulation Period

5

running from March through August. The Missouri FAC rate schedules related to
my testimony are Empire's second filing under the FAC that was approved by the
Commission in ER-2008-0093. Empire is seeking a decrease in its FAC rates to
reflect 95% of the difference between the base energy costs built into its base
Missouri rates and Empire's actual Missouri energy costs for the Accumulation
Period. This decrease in FAC rates will be reflected on the Missouri customers
bills over the six-month Recovery Period running from December 2009 through
May 2010.

HAVE EMPIRE'S AVERAGE ENERGY COSTS INCREASED OVER THE COST INCLUDED IN BASE RATES DURING THE SECOND

ACCUMULATION PERIOD?

Q.

A.

No. Empire's average energy costs per kilowatt-hour ("kWh") have decreased over the level built into its base electric rates, which is why the FAC rate schedules filed by the Company seek a decrease in the rates charged to the Missouri customers. More specifically, Empire's Missouri base rates included an average cost of energy per kWh of net system production of \$0.02887 during the Accumulation Period of March 2009 through August 2009. Empire actually incurred average energy costs of \$0.02846 per kWh during the Accumulation Period. This represents an overall decrease in average energy costs of \$0.00041 per kWh during the Accumulation Period, or about 1.4 percent lower than the average cost built into base rates. Pursuant to Empire's FAC tariff, Empire is requesting to refund to its Missouri customers 95 percent of this cost decrease or \$0.00037 per kWh sold during the Recovery Period.

Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE REASONS FOR THE DECREASE IN 1 THE AVERAGE COST OF ENERGY DURING THE ACCUMULATION 2 **PERIOD?** 3 4 A. A number of factors caused the average fuel and energy cost to decrease during the 5 Accumulation Period. For example, the weather during July and August 2009 was abnormally cool, which limited the level peaking generation needed to meet the 6 7 demands of our customers. This peaking generation is fired using natural gas, 8 which is generally a higher priced fuel than coal, for example. In addition to using less natural gas than normal, the spot prices for natural gas that was used were very low during the Accumulation Period as was the spot price of power purchased on 10 11 the open market. These lower spot prices for power also reduced the level of offsystems sales margins that flow through the FAC. 12 WHAT IS THE MONTHLY FAC DECREASE FOR A TYPICAL 13 Q. **RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER?** 14 15 Α. For Missouri residential customers using 1,000 kWh per month, the electric bill 16 will decrease by approximately \$1.27 per month over the six-month period December 2009 through May 2010, when the current FAC credit is applied to their 17 bill and the previous positive FAC factor of \$0.00087 is eliminated from their bill. 18 WAS THE AVERAGE ENERGY COST IN LINE WITH EXPECTATIONS? 19 Q. A. Yes. Our budget anticipated an average fuel and purchased power energy costs 20 during the current Accumulation Period of \$29.75 per megawatt-hour of net system 21 production. The actual results were very close to and slightly below the budget 22 coming in at \$28.46 per megawatt-hour. 23

⁷ NP

1	Q.	DO YOU EXPECT THE AVERAGE COST OF FUEL AND PURCHASED
2		POWER TO INCREASE DURING THE NEXT ACCUMULATION PERIOD
3		FROM SEPTEMBER 2009 THROUGH FEBRUARY 2010?
4	A.	That's hard to predict. Our updated budget anticipates average energy costs of
5		**** per megawatt-hour during the next Accumulation Period. Empire's
6		Missouri fuel adjustment clause includes a seasonal base cost factor that takes the
7		lower winter energy costs into account. If the current trend of lower natural gas
8		and spot power prices continues during the upcoming Accumulation Period, the
9		average energy cost could be below the energy cost built into our updated budget.
10	Q.	DO YOU BELIEVE EMPIRE'S FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE IS
11		REASONABLE AND APPROPRIATE?
12	A.	Yes. The design of the Commission's rule governing the FAC was the subject of
13		much discussion and debate prior to being approved by the Commission. In
14		addition, there was much discussion and debate concerning Empire's FAC tariff in
15		Case No. ER-2008-0093. This was the case in which the Commission ultimately
16		approved Empire's FAC tariff. Empire's FAC filing is being made in accordance
17		with the Commission's rules governing the FAC and in accordance with the FAC
18		tariff approved for Empire.
19	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW EMPIRE IS PROPOSING TO REFUND THE
20		ENERGY COST DECREASES EXPERIENCED DURING THE MARCH
21		2009-AUGUST 2009 ACCUMULATION PERIOD?
22	A.	The FAC rate schedule filed by Empire will refund the energy cost decrease
23		actually incurred during the Accumulation Period by applying two Cost

Adjustment Factors or CAFs of \$(0.00039) for primary service and \$(0.00040) for secondary service to the actual Missouri kWh sales that take place from the December 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010 Recovery Period. The proposed CAFs were calculated in accordance with Empire's authorized FAC tariff. I have attached to my testimony as Schedule WSK-1 a copy of one of Empire's approved FAC tariff sheets. In addition to the tariff sheet, I have included as page 2 of Schedule WSK-1 a monthly analysis of the energy costs and energy cost recovery that has taken place during the Accumulation Period. Schedule WSK-1 contains the basic information and FAC formula that Empire used to calculate the CAFs that have been included in the proposed revised FAC rate schedule sheet 17c. The Empire FAC tariff and the formula included therein were approved by the Commission in Case No. ER-2008-0093.

A.

13 Q. HOW WERE THE VARIOUS VALUES USED TO DETERMINE THE 14 PROPOSED CAFS THAT ARE SHOWN ON SCHEDULE WSK-1 15 DEVELOPED?

The data upon which Empire based the values for each of the variables in the approved CAF formula are included on the schedule, and came from Empire's books and records. Schedule WSK-1 contains all of the basic information that is required to calculate the proposed change in the CAF. In addition, I have filed the detailed information required by 4 CSR 240-3.161(7)(A) with this testimony as a separate set of supporting workpapers. In addition, as required by 4 CSR 240-3.161(7)(B), I have separately provided to all parties of record in Case No. ER-2008-0093 with a set of these work-papers.

1	Q.	IS EMPIRE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE
2		COMMISSION'S FAC RULE CONCERNING PERIODIC REPORTING
3		AND SURVEILANCE?
4	A.	Yes. Empire has complied with all of the Commission's rules, 4 CSR 240-3.161(5)
5		and (6), governing periodic reports and surveillance using the Commission's
6		electronic filing system and provided all of the parties to ER-2008-0093 with
7		copies of the periodic compliance reports and copies of surveillance reports at the
8		same time they were filed with the Commission.
9	Q.	IF REVISED FAC SHEET 17C IS APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION,
10		WHAT SAFEGUARDS EXIST TO ENSURE THAT THE FAC REVENUE
11		RECOVERED BY EMPIRE DOES NOT EXCEED THE ACTUAL ENERGY
12		COST INCURRED BY EMPIRE DURING THE ACCUMULATION
13		PERIOD?
14	A.	As I mentioned earlier, Empire's FAC and the Commission's rules provide two
15		mechanisms designed to limit the FAC amounts recovered from customers to
16		Empire's actual, prudently-incurred energy costs. First, at the end of each
17		Recovery Period the Company is required to true-up the amounts recovered from
18		customers through the CAF with the energy costs that were actually incurred
19		during the Accumulation Period to which the CAF applies. In addition, Empire's
20		energy costs will be subjected to periodic Prudence Reviews to ensure that only
21		prudently-incurred energy costs are collected from customers through the FAC.
22		These two mechanisms serve as checks that ensure that Empire's Missouri
23		customers pay only the prudently-incurred, actual cost of energy used to provide

¹⁰ NP

- electric service in Missouri (less the 5% Empire is absorbing) no more and no less.
- 3 Q. WHAT ACTION IS EMPIRE REQUESTING FROM THE COMMISSION
- 4 WITH RESPECT TO THE FAC RATE SCHEDULE THAT THE
- 5 **COMPANY HAS FILED?**
- A. As provided by 4 CSR 240-20.090(4), Staff has thirty (30) days from the date the 6 7 FAC rate schedule is filed to conduct a review and to make a recommendation to the Commission as to whether the rate schedule complies with the Commission's 8 FAC rules, the requirements of Section 386.266, RSMo, and Empire's approved FAC. The Commission has sixty (60) day from the date of Empire's filing to either 10 approve the rate schedule or to allow it to take effect by operation of law. Empire 11 believes its FAC filing satisfies all of the requirements of applicable statutes, the 12 Commission's rules, and Empire's approved FAC, Empire requests that, following 13 Staff's review, the Commission approve FAC sheet 2nd revised sheet 17c to be 14 effective as of December 1, 2009, which is the first day of the Recovery Period 15 prescribed in Empire's FAC tariff. 16
- 17 Q. IS EMPIRE REQUESTING ANY OTHER CHANGES TO THE FAC
 18 TARIFF AT THIS TIME?
- 19 A. No other changes to the FAC tariff sheets are being requested at this time.
- 20 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY AT THIS TIME?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.