Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Pensions and OPEBs Expense /

Incentive Compensation /

Revenues

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Roth/Surrebuttal/

True-Up Direct

Sponsoring Party: Public Counsel **Case No**.: GR-2018-0013

SURREBUTTAL/TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KERI ROTH

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

LIBERTY UTILITIES (MIDSTATES NATURAL GAS) CORP. D/B/A LIBERTY UTILITIES'

FILE NO. GR-2018-0013

May 9, 2018

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff Revisions Designed)) File No. GR-2018-0013
to Implement a General Rate Increase for)
Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company)
in eas of the company	,
<u>AFFIDAVIT (</u>	OF KERI ROTH
STATE OF MISSOURI)	an e

Keri Roth, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is Keri Roth. I am a Public Utility Accountant III for the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my surrebuttal/true-up direct testimony.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Keri Roth

Public Utility Accountant III

Subscribed and sworn to me this 9th day of May 2018.

NOTATIVE SEAL ST

COUNTY OF COLE

JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 23, 2021 Cole County Commission #13754037

Jerene A. Buckman Notary Public

My Commission expires August 23, 2021.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testimony	Page
Introduction	1
Pensions and OPEBs Expense	1
Incentive Compensation	4
Revenues	5

SURREBUTTAL/TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KERI ROTH

LIBERTY UTILITIES (MIDSTATES NATURAL GAS) CORP. D/B/A LIBERTY UTILITIES

CASE NO. GR-2018-0013

I.	INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. Keri Roth, P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230.
- Q. Are you the same Keri Roth who has filed rebuttal testimony on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") in this case?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal/true-up direct testimony?
- A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the rebuttal testimony and true-up direct testimony of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities ("Liberty Utilities" or "Company") witnesses Mr. James A. Fallert, Ms. Jill Schwartz, and Mr. Charles Evans, regarding pension and other post-employment benefits ("OPEBs") and incentive compensation.

II. PENSION AND OPEBS

- Q. Has Liberty Utilities offered testimony as to the balance of a regulatory asset or liability to be included in its rate base for pensions and OPEBs in the current case?
- A. Yes, Mr. James A. Fallert provided rebuttal testimony and Mr. Charles Evans provided trueup direct testimony.

1

What was OPC's position in rebuttal testimony regarding this issue? Q.

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

15 16

17

18

19

14

A. Since the Company's data, provided in response to OPC data request 1101, did not appear to compare the difference between what customers have paid in rates and what actual contributions payments have been made to either fund, as agreed to in the Stipulation in case numbered GR-2014-0152, OPC proposed no regulatory asset/liability be included in rate base in the current case until the appropriate data was provided. Also, the Company made no proposal of a regulatory asset/liability be included in rate base.

Q. Has OPC's position changed since reviewing the updated testimony provided by Liberty **Utilities?**

A. Yes. The Company has provided updated information in its rebuttal testimony, which includes a proposed calculation of a regulatory asset to be included in rate base.

Q. What is Liberty Utilities proposed regulatory asset balance for pension and OPEBs to be included in rate base?

In rebuttal testimony, Company witness Mr. Fallert, is sponsoring regulatory asset balances A. of \$788,502 for pensions and \$533,372 for OPEBs to be included in rate base. However, in the excel workpaper provided to OPC, titled WP-ADJ1 Pension-OPEB Rebuttal True UP, on the Tracker Balance tab, the Company has calculated a pension regulatory asset of \$122,143 and an OPEB regulatory asset of \$333,011, as of December 31, 2017. See attached Schedule KNR-S-1 attached to this testimony.

¹ Liberty Utilities witness Mr. James A. Fallert, rebuttal testimony, page 10, lines 10 – 12, and Schedules JF-R2 and JF-R3.

- The adjustments are based on 2018 projections. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Does OPC agree with the true-up adjustments proposed by Liberty Utilities?
- No. OPC proposes to use actual known 2017 data recently developed Liberty Utilities retirement plan. By using 2017 actuarial data, OPC proposes an increase of \$67,779 in

² Liberty Utilities Revenue Requirement True-Up Period Schedules

Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct Testimony of Keri Roth Case No. GR-2018-0013

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

pension expense and an increase of \$140,868 in OPEBs expense, with a total pensions and OPEBs expense adjustment of \$208,647.

Q. Why is OPC's proposal reasonable?

A. OPC's proposal captures the most recent, actually known data, from Liberty Utilities 2017 actuarial report for its pension and OPEBs plan. The data was recently provided as an update to Staff data request 74 on April 20, 2018. By using actual data, customers have the most accurate and reliable costs included in the cost of service to calculate customer rates.

III. INCENTIVE COMPENSATION

- Q. Is Liberty Utilities under the impression that Staff is reversing an adjustment made to incentive compensation in its Direct Cost of Service Report?
- A. Yes. Ms. Schwartz explains in her rebuttal testimony, Staff has indicated its intention to withdraw its adjustments related to financial or earnings-related incentive compensation paid to union employees participating in the Company's VVP Program.³
- Q. Did OPC support Staff's adjustments made in its Direct Cost of Service Report?
- 15 A. Yes, this is shown in my rebuttal testimony.
 - Q. Did Staff produce rebuttal testimony verifying Ms. Schwartz's claim previously mentioned?
 - A. Not at this time. However, OPC would be supportive of removing the adjustment related to financial or earnings-related incentive compensation paid to union employees participating in the Company's VVP program, due to the nature of the union contract.

³ Liberty Utilities witness Ms. Jill Schwartz, rebuttal testimony, page 20, lines 11 - 13

Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct Testimony of Keri Roth Case No. GR-2018-0013

IV. REVENUES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Q. Has Liberty Utilities provided an update for revenues in its true-up direct testimony?

- A. No. Mr. Evans explains in his true-up direct testimony the Company has reflected its operating revenues as of December 31, 2017 and no additional modifications have been made, other than those adjustments proposed by Staff's direct testimony and accepted by the Company in its rebuttal testimony.⁴
- Q. Does OPC possibly have concerns updating other components of the cost of service through March 31, 2018 and leaving revenues as of December 31, 2017?
- A. Yes. OPC is still reviewing this issue and reserves its right to respond in true-up rebuttal testimony.
- Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal/true-up direct testimony?
- 12 A. Yes.

⁴ Liberty Utilities witness Mr. Charles Evans, true-up direct testimony, page 6, lines 1 - 4

Est	imate	of	Pensio	n	Tracker	•

Partial Year (Months) 12 3

Pension:				
	2015	2016	2017	2018
Total Exp per Actuarial Reports	309,285.00	369,898.00	349,891.00	19,535.00
Exp %	57.00%	57.00%	57.00%	-
MO Portion	65.14%	66.36%	65.85%	65.64%
Actual MO Exp	114,836.90	139,914.66	131,329.84	12,822.77
Allowed in Rates	154,350.00	154,350.00	154,350.00	38,587.50
Multiplied by Expense Portion 57%	87,979.50	87,979.50	87,979.50	21,994.88
Pension Tracker Asset/(Liab)	26,857.40	51,935.16	43,350.34	(9,172.10)

2015 2016 2017 2018
Total Exp per Actuarial Reports 1,133,138.00 1,000,527.00 917,781.00 184,718.
Exp % 57.00% 57.00% -
MO Portion 65.14% 66.36% 65.85% 65.6
Actual MO Exp 420,731.87 378,451.34 344,484.51 121,249.3
Allowed in Rates 474,068.00 474,068.00 474,068.00 118,517.0
Multiplied by Expense Portion 57% 270,218.76 270,218.76 270,218.76 67,554.6
Pension Tracker Asset/(Liab) 150,513.11 108,232.58 74,265.75 53,694.5

Estimated Asset (Liability) 499,676.77

Pension cost for 2018

Service Cost	502,000
Interest Cost	397,000
EROA	(594,000)
Amort. Of Prior Service Cost	(11,000)
Total	294,000
Less:	
Capitalization (SC) 43%	(215,860)
Total Company Expense	78,140

OPEB cost for 2018

Service Cost	777,493
Interest Cost	451,990
EROA	(214,076)
Amort. Of Prior Service Cost	57,789
Total	1,073,196
Less:	
Capitalization (SC) 43%	(334,322)
Total Company Expense	738,874

Note: The MidStates pension and OPEB trackers were recorded in the same regulatory asset account. This calculation presents the split of that balance between the two trackers.