BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

In the Matter of the Spire Missouri, Inc.'s Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service

File No. GR-2017-0215 Tariff No. YG-2017-0195

In the Matter of the Spire Missouri, Inc.'s Request to Increase Its Revenues for Gas Service

File No. GR-2017-0216 Tariff No. YG-2017-0196

NOTICE CORRECTING EXHIBIT 44

Issue date: September 24, 2018

Due to a scanning error, pages 4 and 5 of Exhibit 44, Rebuttal Testimony of James A. Fallert (File No. GR-2017-0215, EFIS No. 338; and File No. GR-2017-0216, EFIS No. 336) were omitted in the official record of the Commission. The Commission hereby corrects this omission by inserting those pages into Exhibit 44. Those pages are also attached to this notice.



Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 24th day of September, 2018.

Dippell, Senior Regulatory Law Judge

BY THE COMMISSION

orris L

Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

contributions to the pension plans during those years, rather than the amounts expensed on the Company's books pursuant to FAS 87 and FAS 88.

3

1

2

Q. HOW ARE RATES NORMALLY ESTABLISHED IN MISSOURI RATE CASES?

A. The Commission requires that Missouri companies use the Uniform System of Accounts
(USOA) for its books. The USOA specifies that companies comply with Generally
Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Ratemaking starts with a test year based on
the company's books prepared pursuant to GAAP. Since GAAP requires pension accruals
pursuant to FAS 87 and FAS 88, it logically follows that rates are based on expense
calculated according to these standards unless there is evidence that the Commission has
specifically authorized a different methodology.

11 Q. HAS THE COMMISSION PROVIDED SUCH AUTHORIZATION THAT 12 WOULD BASE RATES ON CONTRIBUTIONS RATHER THAN GAAP 13 EXPENSE?

14 A. No. There is nothing in the record to support such a position.

Q. SINCE THIS DISPUTE INVOLVES BALANCES ACCRUED DECADES AGO,
 PLEASE PROVIDE SOME HISTORICAL BACKGROUND THAT WOULD
 PROVIDE THE COMMISSION WITH SOME ADDITIONAL CONTEXT FOR
 THIS ISSUE.

A. Certainly. Prior to October 1, 1987, Laclede calculated pension expense accruals using an
 aggregate cost method which complied with the GAAP accounting rules in place at the
 time. Laclede pension expense as calculated under this method was used for ratemaking
 purposes as it would have been included in the test years of the pertinent rate cases. In
 December, 1985, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FAS 87 and

4

FAS 88, which replaced the previous GAAP accounting standards for calculation of pension expense. The FASB expressed a desire to improve comparability of pension reporting among public companies. Laclede adopted these standards on October 1, 1987, at which time it changed the methodology for calculation of pension expense accruals under GAAP from the aggregate cost method to that prescribed in FAS 87 and FAS 88.

6 Q. WHAT WAS THE RATEMAKING TREATMENT OF PENSION EXPENSE 7 SUBSEQUENT TO THE ADOPTION OF FAS 87 AND FAS 88?

A. The two rate cases subsequent to the adoption of these standards (Case Nos. GR-90-120
and GR-92-165) were settled and there was no reference to pensions in the Stipulation &
Agreements. Since expense recognition under normal ratemaking practice starts with the
amounts of expense on the company's books, it follows that rates continued to be based
on expense since there is no indication to the contrary.

13 Q. PLEASE CONTINUE.

14A.In Laclede's next rate case (Case No. GR-94-220), the first specific references were made15to basing rates on FAS 87 calculations. This is likely the genesis for Staff's contention

16 that FAS 87 was only implemented for ratemaking in this case.

Q. WHY WAS FAS 87 DISCUSSED IN THE STIPULATION & AGREEMENT OF CASE NO. GR-94-220?

A. In that case, the parties agreed to change several of the key underlying assumptions in the FAS 87 calculations. For instance, the amortization period for gains and losses was changed from the average remaining service life of covered employees (about 15 years at the time) to 10 years. The accounting rules in this circumstance would not normally allow such a significant change. Therefore, specific Commission authorization was

5

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 24th day of September 2018.



Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

September 24, 2018

File/Case No. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216

Missouri Public Service

Commission Staff Counsel Department 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov

Consumers Council of Missouri

John B Coffman 871 Tuxedo Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63119-2044 john@johncoffman.net

Environmental Defense Fund

David R Wooley 436 14th Street, Suite 1305 Oakland, CA 94612 dwooley@kfwlaw.com

KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company

Robert Hack 1200 Main, 19th Floor P.O. Box 418679 Kansas City, MO 64141-9679 rob.hack@kcpl.com

Missouri Division of Energy

Brian T Bear 301 W. High St., Room 680 P.O. Box 1766 Jefferson City, MO 65102 bbear.deenergycases@ded.mo.gov

Missouri Public Service

Commission Mark Johnson 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 mark.johnson@psc.mo.gov Office of the Public Counsel Hampton Williams 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 opcservice@ded.mo.gov

City of St. Joseph, Missouri William D Steinmeier 2031 Tower Drive P.O. Box 104595 Jefferson City, MO 65110-4595 wds@wdspc.com

Environmental Defense Fund Natalie Karas 1875 Connecticut Ave. NW Washington, DC 20009-2000 nkaras@edf.org

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Robert Hack 1200 Main, 19th Floor P.O. Box 418679 Kansas City, MO 64141-9679 rob.hack@kcpl.com

KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company

Roger W Steiner 1200 Main Street, 16th Floor P.O. Box 418679 Kansas City, MO 64105-9679 roger.steiner@kcpl.com

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC)

Lewis Mills 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101 Jefferson City, MO 65101-1574 lewis.mills@bclplaw.com

Missouri Public Service Commission

Whitney Payne 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 whitney.payne@psc.mo.gov

Environmental Defense Fund Maxine Lipeles 1 Brookings Dr - CB 1120 St. Louis, MO 63130-4899 milipele@wustl.edu

Kansas City Power & Light Company Roger W Steiner 1200 Main Street, 16th Floor P.O. Box 418679

P.O. Box 418679 Kansas City, MO 64105-9679 roger.steiner@kcpl.com

Midwest Energy Consumers Group

David Woodsmall 308 E. High Street, Suite 204 Jefferson City, MO 65101 david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

Missouri Industrial Energy

Consumers (MIEC) Diana M Vuylsteke 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102 dmvuylsteke@bclplaw.com

Missouri School Boards' Association

Richard S Brownlee III 121 Madison Jefferson City, MO 65101 rbrownlee@rsblobby.com **MoGas Pipeline LLC** Terry M Jarrett 514 E. High Street, Suite 22 Jefferson City, MO 65101 terry@healylawoffices.com

Spire James M Fischer 101 Madison Street, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101 jfischerpc@aol.com

USW Local 11-6 Sherrie Hall 13205 Manchester Rd., Suite 210 St. Louis, MO 63131 sahall@hammondshinners.com

National Housing Trust

Andrew J Linhares 3115 S. Grand Ave Suite 600 St. Louis, MO 63118 Andrew@renewmo.org

Spire

Michael C Pendergast 423 Main Street St. Charles, MO 63301 mcp2015law@icloud.com

USW Local 11-6

Emily Perez 13205 Manchester Rd. Suite 210 St. Louis, MO 63131 eperez@hammondshinners.com Spire

Larry W Dority 101 Madison, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Iwdority@sprintmail.com

Spire Rick E Zucker 14412 White Pine Ridge Ln Chesterfield, MO 63017-6301 zuckerlaw21@gmail.com

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).

Sincerely,

orris Z Woodu

Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.