Exhibit No.:

Issue: Gas Inventory Storage Levels

Witness: James A. Busch

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: GR-99-315

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

FILED

JUN 28 1999

JAMES A. BUSCH

Missouri Public Service Commission

CASE NO. GR-99-315

Jefferson City, Missouri June, 1999

NP

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY				
2	OF				
3	JAMES A. BUSCH				
4	CASE NO. GR-99-315				
5	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY				
6					
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.				
8	A. My name is James A. Busch and my business address is P.O. Box 360,				
9	Jefferson City, MO 65102.				
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?				
11	A. I am a Regulatory Economist with the Missouri Public Service				
12	Commission (Commission).				
13	Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.				
14	A. In June 1993, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics from				
15	Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville (SIUE), Edwardsville, Illinois. In May				
16	1995, I received a Master of Science degree in Economics also from SIUE. I am				
17	currently a member of the American Economic Association and Omicron Delta Epsilon,				
18	an honorary economics society. Prior to joining the Commission, I spent a year at the				
19	Missouri Department of Economic Development. I accepted my current position with the				
20	Commission in April 1997.				
21	Q. What has been the nature of your duties at the Commission?				
22	A. The nature of my duties at the Commission has been to follow the natural				
23	gas industry. This includes the futures and options markets for natural gas. I also am				

involved with studying other forms of regulation. These include incentive mechanisms and unbundling. I also assist in matters involving the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Furthermore, I participate in rate cases to determine the proper volumes of storage a Local Distribution Company should include in rate base.

- Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
- A. Yes, I have previously filed testimony in Union Electric Company, Case No. GR-97-393; Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company, Case No. GR-98-140; Laclede Gas Company, Case No. GO-98-484; Laclede Gas Company, Case No. GR-98-374; St. Joseph Light & Power Company, Case No. GR-99-246 and Laclede Gas Company, Case No. GT-99-303.
 - Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

- A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to address the storage inventory volume levels (inventory levels) used by Staff to develop the balances in Staff Accounting Schedule 2, Rate Base. More specifically, my testimony shows the average storage inventory levels for Laclede Gas Company (Laclede or Company), according to a historical average. Pricing of these storage inventory levels will be addressed by Staff witness Michael J. Wallis in his direct testimony.
- Q. How did you approach the analysis of the Company's storage inventory levels?
- A. My analysis of the Company's storage inventory levels consisted of reviewing the direct testimony and supporting analysis of Staff witness Randy L. Flowers' in Case No. GR-98-374, the Company's responses to Staff Data Information Request (DR) No.5001, the Reliability Report provided to Staff in association with

- Laclede's Gas Supply Incentive Plan, and DR No. 11 from the Actual Cost Adjustment audit, Case No. GR-98-297.
 - Q. Briefly describe the natural gas storage utilized by Laclede?

- A. Laclede has two sources of natural gas storage available. The first is storage on Mississippi River Transmission Corporation's (MRT) pipeline. This is a Firm Storage Service (FSS) contract. The second source is Laclede's own underground storage aquifer.
 - Q. How did you calculate the storage inventory levels to be used?
- A. I started with the injections, withdrawals, and end-of-month balances as developed by Staff witness Flowers contained on Highly Confidential schedules 2-1, 2-2, 3-1, 3-2, and 4 from his direct testimony in the prior rate case. To that, I then added the actual injections and withdrawals provided by the Company in Staff DR No. 5001 in this case, and Staff DR No. 11 in ACA Audit Case No. GR-98-297. Then, starting with the end-of-month balance for March 1998, I added the overall net injections through February 1999 for both the Company's own storage and MRT. This gave me five years of monthly data for each storage facility except for the month of March for the Company's own storage and the months of March and April for the MRT storage. Then I calculated the appropriate end-of-month balance based on averaging this historical data.
- Q. Was an historical average appropriate for calculating all end-of-month balances?
- A. No. In the 1998-1999 Reliability Report submitted to the Staff on October 15, 1998, the maximum end-of-month balances for May, June, September, and October

were lower than the averages calculated for those months. Therefore, I substituted the maximum allowable level according to Injection Schedules for these months.

- Q. What are the balances you are recommending?
- A. My recommended balances are shown on Schedule 1. Schedule 2 is a comparison of my recommended balances with the historical volumes.
 - Q. Please summarize your direct testimony?
- A. My direct testimony discusses the methodology I used to calculate the end-of-month storage inventory levels I am recommending to be used to determine this component in Staff Accounting Schedule 2, Rate Base. These levels are attached to my testimony as Schedule 1. Staff witness Michael J. Wallis in his direct testimony will address pricing of these inventory levels. I believe that the inventory levels I have calculated for the Company's storage contracts are representative of normal operations and should be used for establishing rates. It is therefore my recommendation that the inventory levels I have calculated for the Company's storage resources should be used in calculating the 12-month average inventory balances which (1) appear in the direct testimony of Staff witness Michael J. Wallis, and (2) are used to determine this component in Staff Accounting Schedule 2, Rate Base.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

iff))	(Case No. GR-99-315	
AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES A. BUSCH				
)))	SS.			
		AFFIDAVIT OF) AFFIDAVIT OF JAME)	

James A. Busch

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

_day of June 1999.

Notary Public, State of Missouri

County of Callaway

My Commission Expires June 24, 2000

SCHEDULE 1

HAS BEEN DEEMED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY

SCHEDULE 2

HAS BEEN DEEMED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY