## CASEY, GENTZ & MAGNESS, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

98 San Jacinto Blvd., Ste. 1400 Austin, Texas 78701-4286 Phone 512-480-9900 Fax 512-480-9200

Writer's Direct Dial: 225-0019

Robin A. Casey Susan C. Gentz Diane M. Barlow Valerie P. Kirk Bill Magness Bradford W. Bayliff

September 15, 2006

Ms. Colleen M. Dale Secretary of the Commission Missouri Public Service Commission Suite 100 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

> Re: Case No. TO-2006-0299; Petition of Socket Telecom, L.L.C. for Compulsory Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements with CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Spectra Communications, LLC Pursuant to Section 251(b)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Joint Filing of Conforming Interconnection Agreements

Dear Ms. Dale:

Pursuant to the <u>Final Commission Decision</u> and subsequent orders in this docket extending the time to file conformed interconnection agreements, CenturyTel of Missouri, L.L.C and Spectra Communications, L.L.C. (together "CenturyTel") and Socket Telecom, L.L.C. ("Socket") today are jointly filing copies of the conformed interconnection agreements between CenturyTel and Socket. The Socket signature page is attached to this letter. CenturyTel has informed Socket that CenturyTel will not file its executed signature pages concurrently with Socket, but will file them as soon as possible thereafter.

Socket and CenturyTel have conformed the interconnection agreement to the Commission's orders issued in Case No. TO-2006-0299. With the final disputes over conformed language resolved in the <u>Order Regarding Disputed Language in Interconnection Agreement and Order Denying Motion to Strike</u>, the parties have reached agreement on the conformed contract language. The conformed contract language complies with the Commission's decisions on the issues presented for arbitration pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, and with the Commission's interpretation of disputed provisions regarding §§ 251 and 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act, Missouri statutes and the Commission's rules. The submission of the conformed interconnection agreements does not indicate Socket's voluntary agreement to contract provisions that Socket disputed before the

Ms. Colleen M. Dale September 15, 2006 Page 2

Commission in the arbitration proceeding. Socket reserves its rights, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(6), to contest determinations made by the Commission in this docket.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Bill Magness

Attorney for Socket Telecom, L.L.C.

Attachment cc: Parties of Record