Exhibit No.:

Issues: Revenues

Uncollectibles Customer Deposits

Witness: John P. Cassidy

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: GR-2000-512

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

OF
JOHN P. CASSIDY

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

CASE NO. GR-2000-512

Jefferson City, Missouri August 2000

Date O Case No. Se 2000 So Reporter XX

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR		
2	JOHN P. CASSIDY DIRECT TESTIMONY		
3	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY		
4	d/b/a AmerenUE		
5	CASE NO. GR-2000-512		
6	·		
7	REVENUES		
8	UNCOLLECTIBLE EXPENSE12		
9	CUSTOMER DEPOSITS12		
10			

1			
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY	
3		OF	
4		JOHN P. CASSIDY	
5		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY	
6		d/b/a AmerenUE	
7		CASE NO. GR-2000-512	
8			
9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
10	A.	John P. Cassidy, 815 Charter Commons, Suite 100B, Chesterfield,	
11	Missouri 63017.		
12	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
13	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)	
14	as a Regulatory Auditor.		
15	Q.	Please describe your educational background.	
16	A.	I graduated from Southeast Missouri State University, receiving a	
17	Bachelor of	Science degree in Business Administration, with a double major in	
18	Marketing an	d Accounting in 1989 and 1990, respectively.	
19	Q.	What has been the nature of your duties while in the employ of this	
20	Commission?		
21	A.	Since joining the Commission Staff (Staff) in 1990, I have assisted with	
22	audits and ex	aminations of the books and records of utility companies operating within	

the state of Missouri. I have also conducted numerous audits of small water and sewer companies in conjunction with the Commission's informal rate proceedings.

3

Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?

4

5

A. Yes, I have. Please refer to Schedule 1, which is attached to my direct testimony, for a list of cases in which I have previously filed testimony.

6

Q. With reference to Case No. GR-2000-512, have you made an examination of the books and records of AmerenUE (UE or Company)?

7

8

A. Yes, in conjunction with other members of the Staff.

9

Q. What test year has the Staff used in this case?

10

A. The Staff, as ordered by the Commission, has used a test year ending June 30, 1999. The test year was updated for certain material items (plant, depreciation reserve, customer levels, payroll and rate of return/capital structure) through April 30,

12

13

11

2000, based on actual information available during the audit. Updating specific, material

14

test year amounts enables the Staff to make its recommendation based on the most recent

15

Q. What is a test year?

auditable information available.

16 17

A. The test year is a 12-month period used as the basis for the audit of any

18

rate filing or complaint case. This period serves as the starting point for analyses and review of the utility's operations to set the reasonableness and appropriateness of the rate

19

version of the anniety of operations to the new restriction of the appropriate of the second of the

20

filing. The test year forms the basis for any adjustments necessary to remove

21

abnormalities that may have occurred during the period and to reflect any increase or

22

decrease to the financial records of the utility. Adjustments are made to the test year level of revenues, expenses and investment to determine a reasonable level of earnings.

23

After the recommended rate of return which the utility is permitted to earn is determined, a comparison to existing rates is made to see if any additional revenues are necessary. If the Commission concludes that the utility's earnings are deficient, it will authorize the Company to increase its rates. Sometimes, existing rates will generate earnings in excess of authorized levels which may suggest the need for rate reductions. In summary, the test year is the vehicle used to evaluate and determine the proper relationship between revenue, expenses and investment. This relationship is essential to determine the appropriate level of earnings for a utility.

- Q. What are your areas of responsibility in this case?
- A. My areas of responsibility in this case include the revenues, unbilled revenues, Purchase Gas Adjustment (PGA) revenues and gas costs, gross receipts taxes, uncollectibles and customer deposits.
 - Q. Please identify the Accounting Schedules you are sponsoring.
 - A. I am sponsoring the following Accounting Schedules:

Accounting Schedule 1 Revenue Requirement

Accounting Schedule 9 Income Statement

Accounting Schedule 10 Adjustments to Income Statement

- Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 1.
- A. Accounting Schedule 1 is the calculation of revenue requirement for the rate of return range sponsored by Staff witness Roberta A. McKiddy of the Commission's Financial Analysis Department. The rates of return determined by Staff witness McKiddy are applied to the Company's rate base, which is presented on Accounting Schedule 2, Rate Base, to determine the net income requirement. Please refer to the

1 d in 3 tl 4 1 S S 6 S

direct testimony of Staff Accounting witness James D. Schwieterman for further information regarding the development of rate base. The gross revenue requirement is then determined by adding the required income taxes, calculated on Accounting Schedule 11, to the net income requirement. The testimony of Staff Accounting witness Schwieterman also explains the calculation of income taxes found on Accounting Schedule 11.

Q. Please explain Accounting Schedules 9 and 10.

A. Accounting Schedule 9, Income Statement, contains the Staff's adjusted UE Missouri jurisdictional gas revenues, expenses and net income for the test year ended June 30, 1999. Accounting Schedule 10, Adjustments to Income Statement, contains a listing of the specific adjustments that the Staff has made to the unadjusted test year income statement to derive the Staff's adjusted net income. A brief explanation for each adjustment and the name of the Staff witness sponsoring the adjustment is listed in Accounting Schedule 10.

Q. What Accounting Adjustments are you sponsoring?

A. I am sponsoring the following adjustments, which appear on the Adjustments to Income Statement, Accounting Schedule 10:

 S-1.2, S-1.4, S-1.5, S-1.6, S-1.7, S-2.2, S-2.4, S-2.5, S-2.6, S-2.7, S-2.8, S-3.2, S-3.3, S-3.4, S-3.5, S-3.6, S-3.7 and S-4.1.

Unbilled Revenues S-1.3 and S-2.3

PGA Revenues / Gas Costs S-1.1, S-2.1, S-3.1, S-5.1 and S-6.1

Uncollectibles S-9.1

Interest on Customer Deposits S-9.2

Taxes Other than Income Taxes S-15.1

3

4

REVENUES

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Please give a general description of the territories served by UE's Q. Missouri gas operations.

A. UE's Missouri gas operations consists of two regions, the Western region and the Southeast region. The Western region consists primarily of the cities of Wentzville, Columbia, Jefferson City and also includes the surrounding areas. Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company (Panhandle) serves the Western region. The Southeast region includes the city of Cape Girardeau and its surrounding areas. The Southeast region is served by the Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) and Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural Gas) pipelines.

Each region serves four classes of customers: residential, general service, interruptible and transportation customers. The Staff annualized and normalized UE's revenues for each of the above customer classes. This testimony will address the annualizations for residential and general service customer class revenues.

- Please provide a general discussion of the Staff's annualization of Q. revenues, including the Staff witnesses who performed the various revenue analyses.
- A. Company's test year revenues, like its expenses, must be annualized and normalized in order to develop a cost of service that is representative of the Company's operations. In the area of revenues, the following Staff members have performed certain analyses or annualizations:

24

1 Staff Member Area of Analysis or Adjustment 2 Dennis Patterson Thirty-Year Weather Normalization 3 Jim Gray Normalized Usage Per Customer Through Regression 4 Analysis 5 Allocation of General Service Normal Volumes to Rate 6 Henry Warren 7 Blocks Through Regression Analysis 8 9 Dan Beck Transportation, Interruptible and Special Contract Customer Annualizations 10 11 John Cassidy 12 Residential and General Service Customer Growth Annualizations and revenue adjustments to support Staff 13 14 witnesses Jim Gray and Dan Beck 15 16 The majority of the Company's revenues are affected by weather. Staff 17 witness Patterson of the Electric Department has developed normalized weather from a 18 30-year analysis. Mr. Patterson's normalized weather calculations were then given to 19 Staff witness Gray of the Gas Department. Mr. Gray used Mr. Patterson's 30-year 20 normalized weather calculations to develop normal gas usage (Ccf) by customer class and 21 also by month for the Staff's test year. 22 Mr. Beck, also of the Gas Department, analyzed the Transportation, 23 Interruptible and Special Contract customer classes by individual customer. Through his 24 analysis, Mr. Beck can determine if customers have switched rate classes, come onto the 25 system as a new customer or reduced demand on the system by a significant amount. If any of the three circumstances occurred, Mr. Beck developed an adjustment in Ccf's 26 27 from the Company's records. 28 I am sponsoring the application of the adjustments that were developed by 29 Mr. Gray and Mr. Beck. Mr. Gray and Mr. Beck will sponsor the methodology

supporting the adjustments and I will sponsor the development of the revenue

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23

adjustments and their relationship to the Staff's cost of service calculation. Please refer to Mr. Gray's and Mr. Beck's testimony for a more detailed discussion of their areas.

I have also developed the revenue adjustments to reflect customer growth. The Company and Staff's test year was the 12 months ending June 30, 1999. The Staff has updated the test year through April 30, 2000. I have calculated the customer growth adjustments to reflect the increases in customers through April 30, 2000. adjustments were again based on normal gas usage per customer as developed by Mr. Gray. A more complete discussion of the Staff's customer growth adjustments is included later in this direct testimony.

In summary, the Staff's annualized revenues generally reflect the effects of the following conditions:

- 1. Normalized Weather
- 2. Customer switching customer classes (rate switching)
- 3. Customer Growth
- What is the basis for pricing the revenue adjustments? Q.
- A. All revenue adjustments in the Staff's cost of service were priced on the margin (the total rate excluding PGA gas cost) included in the Company's tariffs. Therefore, no gas cost adjustments were made associated with the revenue adjustments.
 - Why is it appropriate to adjust revenues for normalized weather? Q.
- Because a principal use of natural gas is for space heating, temperature A. levels experienced during any 12- month period could have a significant impact on the Company's revenues. If the overall temperature was very cold during the test period, the Company's revenues would be overstated in relation to normal weather. Conversely, if

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the overall temperature was warm during the test period, the Company's revenues would be understated in relation to normal weather. Therefore, since the test year was warmer than normal, the Staff normalized revenues for weather to include the effects of above normal temperatures during the test year.

- Q. What methodology did the Staff use to normalize weather?
- A. The methodology and weather stations used by the Staff to normalize revenues for weather is discussed in the testimony of Staff witness Patterson. Based on that analysis, the Staff has adjusted revenue to reflect the normalization of weather.
 - Q. Please describe the Staff's adjustments relating to weather normalization.
- A. Staff witness Gray developed the monthly weather normalized Ccf sales per customer for the weather-sensitive customer classes during the Staff's test year. Generally, these classes consisted of the residential and general service customers. The weather normalized Ccf sales per customer were developed for each of the above customer classes for both the Southeast and Western regions of the Company. Mr. Gray adjusted the actual monthly Ccf sales from the test year to reflect normalized weather. Staff adjustments S-1.5 and S-2.5 reflect the effect of weather normalization on revenues.
- Q. Please describe the phenomenon of customers switching customer classes or rate switching.
- A. Customers switching customer classes, or rate switching, can occur for several reasons. The nature of a customer's operations may have changed and another customer class is now more appropriate. The customer may find it to be economical to switch to another customer class. Finally, the customer may decide to procure its own gas, which would also make a rate switch necessary.

- Q. Please explain Staff adjustments S-2.7, S-2.8, S-3.4 and S-3.5.
- A. Adjustments S-2.7 and S-3.5 reflect Staff witness Beck's analysis for customer rate switching which occurred for the Company's general service and special contract customer classes. Adjustments S-2.8 and S-3.5 reflect Staff witness Beck's analysis for customer rate switching as well as for customer growth or loss which occurred for the Company's interruptible and transportation customer classes. Mr. Beck's analysis is performed on a customer-by-customer basis and reflects ongoing levels of revenue.
 - Q. Please explain adjustment S-3.6.
- A. Adjustment S-3.6 represents Staff witness Beck's inclusion of revenues related to special contract terms. Please refer to Mr. Beck's direct testimony for a more complete discussion regarding this adjustment.
 - Q. Please explain adjustment S-3.7.
- A. Adjustment S-3.7 reflects Staff witness Beck's inclusion of additional revenues related to the Company's proposed electronic metering rental charge. Please refer to Mr. Beck's direct testimony for a more complete discussion regarding this adjustment.
- Q. Please explain what adjustments were made to test year ending June 30, 1999 per book revenues.
- A. The Staff made several adjustments to the starting point of Company's per book revenues. Adjustments S-1.2, S-2.2 and S-3.2 remove the test year gross receipt taxes from the operating revenues. Gross receipts taxes are not operating revenues for

the Company. The Company acts merely as a collecting agent and remits the taxes to the appropriate taxing entities. The Staff also made adjustment S-15.1 to remove gross receipts taxes from the Taxes Other Than Income Taxes line item of the expense portion of the income statement. Gross receipts taxes are reported as both a revenue and expense item on the Company's books. Therefore, both revenue and expense adjustments are necessary.

7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |

Staff adjustments S-1.3 and S-2.3 represent adjustments to eliminate unbilled revenues from the test year. The unbilled revenue adjustment is made to reflect the Company's revenues on a billed basis for the test year. In the Staff's test year, there will exist gas sales to customers, at both the beginning and end of the test year, which either relate to usage periods outside the test year or which won't be recognized on the bills. To recognize this usage, companies generally book an unbilled adjustment to revenues. The purpose of the adjustment is to reflect an estimate of what the actual revenues are for that month. For purposes of a rate case, the adjustment for unbilled revenues must be eliminated from the Company's books, in order to reflect revenues during the test year on a billed basis.

Staff adjustments S-1.1, S-2.1, S-3.1 and S-5.1 reduce test year revenues to eliminate recovery of gas costs. Similarly, Staff adjustment S-6.1 reduces expense to eliminate the amount of gas purchased during the test year. These adjustments allow the revenues to be calculated on a margin basis as detailed in the Company's tariffs.

Once the above adjustments were made to per book revenues, the Staff restated test year revenues based on actual customers and actual usage. Staff adjustments

A.

S-1.4, S.2.4 and S-3.3 restate the per book revenues to reflect actual customers and actual usage for the test year.

3

Q. Please explain how the Staff annualized gas operating revenues for the residential and general service class customers.

The Staff's annualization of residential customer revenues contains two

5

6

7

8

9

10

components, the base charge and the commodity charge. The base charge is the minimum monthly charge that UE assesses to a customer for supplying the gas service. The monthly base charge revenue is calculated by multiplying the base charge by the Staff's monthly level of customers. The Staff's annualized base charge revenue is the sum of the 12 monthly base charge revenues. The commodity charge is the rate UE charges a customer for each Ccf of gas usage. Residential customers have only one

11 12 13

commodity charge rate block, while general service customers have two commodity charge rate blocks. For general service customers, block one represents usage of 0

14

15

To annualize the residential and general service commodity charge

through 7,000 Ccf and block two represents usage over 7,000 Ccf.

16

revenues, the monthly level of customers were multiplied by Staff's normal usage per

17 18 customer, based on normal weather, to derive monthly usages. Please refer to Staff

10

witness Gray's direct testimony for the development of normal usage per customer based

19

on normal weather. The residential normal monthly usages were then multiplied by the

20

commodity charge to determine the monthly commodity charge revenues. For general

21

service customers, the Staff allocated normal monthly usages to the Company's rate

22

blocks based on the Company's bill frequency analysis for the 12 months ending June 30,

23

996. This bill frequency analysis was completed during the Company's last rate

proceeding in 1997. The sum of the 12 months was the Staff's annualized commodity revenue. The total annualized revenue for the residential and general service class was calculated by adding the annualized base charge revenues to the annualized commodity charge revenue.

Q. Please explain Staff adjustments S-1.6 and S-2.6 to the Company's Missouri gas operating revenues.

A. Staff adjustments S-1.6 and S-2.6 reflect the dollar impact of customer growth that UE experienced through the update period of April 30, 2000. These adjustments reflect overall customer growth in the residential and general service customer class for both the Western and Southeast regions. Test year Ccf sales and customer charge revenues were subtracted from the annualized Ccf sales and annualized customer charge revenues to derive the customer growth adjustments. Annualized customer charge and customer base revenues are derived after the annualized level of customers is determined.

Q. Please explain how the annualized level of customers was determined.

The annualized level of customers was determined by multiplying the

A.

April 30, 2000 level of customers by 12 to reach an annualized customer level. The Staff determined, through their audit, that the April 30, 2000 level of customers was very accurate in predicting the ongoing level of customers. Based on a six-year historical

analysis of April customers to calendar year average customers, the Staff found that the

average level of customers for any calendar year was very close to the level of customers

at April. In fact, the analysis revealed that the April level of customers was over 99%

accurate in predicting calendar year average level of customers. Please refer to Schedule

- 2, attached to this direct testimony, to see a depiction of customer levels for the past six years.
- Q. How were the annualized level of customers distributed over a 12-month period?
- A. The annualized customer level was multiplied by a six-year average percentage of monthly customer levels to total customers in a calendar year.
- Q. Why was it necessary to distribute the customers through a 12-month period?
- A. As can be seen from Schedule 2 of my direct testimony, customer levels fluctuate during any calendar year. Generally, customer levels are higher in the winter months and decrease during the summer months. Likewise, normal usage per customer is greater in the winter months than in the summer months. Distributing customers through the 12-month period enables the Staff to more accurately annualize revenues. Once the annualized level of customers was determined, the Staff then developed the annualized level of Ccf's for each district.
 - Q. How were the annualized levels of Ccf's developed?
- A. The Staff multiplied the monthly customer levels by the normal Ccf sales per customer by month to develop monthly Ccf sales levels. The Staff then summed the monthly Ccf sales to develop an annualized level of Ccf sales.
 - Q. How was the customer charge annualized?
- A. The Staff multiplied the annualized level of customers by the monthly customer charge to develop the annualized customer charge revenues.

- Q. Please explain Staff adjustment S-1.7 to the Company's residential revenues.
- A. Staff adjustment S-1.7 includes the annualized revenues for UE's yard light customers. Revenues for yard lights were annualized based on the number of yard light customers at April 30, 2000 and the tariffed usage per customer.
 - Q. Please explain Staff adjustment S-4.1 to other revenues.
- A. Staff adjustment S-4.1 increases other revenues to eliminate the book provision for rate refunds that are applicable to prior periods.

UNCOLLECTIBLE EXPENSE

- Q. Please explain Staff adjustment S-9.1.
- A. Staff adjustment S-9.1 represents its adjustment to the Company's level of test year uncollectible expense. During the test year ending June 30, 1999, the Company accrued \$942,000 related to uncollectible expense. The Staff adjusted uncollectible expense by using the actual net write-off level of \$925,439 (at the end of the update period ending April 30, 2000) for the Company's test year level of uncollectible expense. The Staff believes that actual net write-off levels which occurred through the 12 months ending April 30, 2000 most accurately reflect ongoing levels of uncollectible expense.

CUSTOMER DEPOSITS

- Q. Please explain how the customer deposit balance was derived in Staff's rate base.
- A. The customer deposits are recorded on the books of UE in total for gas and electric customers. The balance was derived by allocating the 13-month average customer deposit balance at April 30, 2000, based on the billed revenues for the gas and

Direct Testimony of John P. Cassidy

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

electric operations of UE. The allocation methodology used by the Staff is consistent with the Company's method.

- Q. Please explain Staff adjustment S-9.2.
- A. Staff adjustment S-9.2 annualizes interest expense related to customer deposits. The Staff's adjustment is calculated by multiplying the 13-month average customer deposit balance at April 30, 2000 by 9 ½ % which represents the prime interest rate of 8 ½ % at December 31, 1999 plus 1%.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony at this time?
 - A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In The Matter of Union Electric)
Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, For)
Authority To File Tariffs Increasing Rates) Case No. GR-2000-512
For Gas Service Provided To Customers	
In The Company's Missouri Service Area)
AFFIDAVIT O	F JOHN P. CASSIDY
STATE OF MISSOURI)	
COUNTY OF COLE) ss	
preparation of the foregoing written testimor pages of testimony to be presented in the al	his oath states: that he has participated in the my in question and answer form, consisting of 15 bove case, that the answers in the attached written owledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and knowledge and belief.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	John P. Cassidy 4 th day of August, 2000.
NOTARY PU	COLE COUNTY
My commission expires	ISSION EXP. AUG. 23,2002 Notary Public

RATE CASE PROCEEDING PARTICIPATION

JOHN P. CASSIDY

<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>CASE NO.</u>
Missouri Cities Water Company	WR-91-172
Missouri Cities Water Company	SR-91-174
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-91-361
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company	TC-93-224
Laclede Gas Company	GR-94-220
Empire District Electric Company	ER-95-279
Imperial Utility Corporation	SC-96-247
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-97-382
Laclede Gas Company	GR-98-374
United Water Missouri, Inc.	WR-99-326
Union Electric Company	EC-2000-795







