Exhibit No.:

Issue: Policy, Revenue Deficiency,

Introduction of Witnesses

Witness: Kevin Akers

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Atmos Energy Corporation

Case No.: GR-2010-

Date Testimony Prepared: December 17, 2009

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. GR-2010-

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KEVIN AKERS

ON BEHALF OF

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

INDEX TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF KEVIN AKERS

WITNESS ON BEHALF OF

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

I.	POSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	ATMOS' OPERATIONS IN MISSOURI	3
IV.	PRINCIPAL FACTORS FOR THIS RATE CASE APPLICATION	4
V.	INTRODUCTION OF WITNESSES	9
VI.	CONCLUSION	10
VII	AFFIDAVIT	12

BEFORE THE

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. GR-2010-____

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

Kevin Akers

On Behalf of ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

I. POSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Kevin Akers. I am President of the Kentucky/Mid-States Division of Atmos
4		Energy Corporation ("Atmos" or "Company"). My business address is 810 Crescent
5		Centre Drive, Suite 600, Franklin, Tennessee 37067.
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
8		BACKGROUND, AND CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.
9	A.	I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering from The University of
10		Alabama in 1987. From 1988 to August of 1991, I worked for the Indiana Utility
11		Regulatory Commission as a Gas Engineer. I joined Atmos Energy Corporation, in our
12		Kentucky Division, in August of 1991 as an Engineer. I held positions of increasing
13		responsibility before being named Regional Vice President of Operations in 1997. In that
14		position, I was responsible for safety, maintenance, construction, and customer service.
15		From 1999 to 2001, I also served as Chairman of the Atmos Utility Operations Council
16		which has the responsibility for developing and executing the Company's best practices
17		regarding environmental safety compliance technical supply chain and operating

standards. From 2001 to 2002, I was Regional Vice President of Operations for our northern region in the Louisiana Division. In December 2002, I was named the President of Mississippi Valley Gas Company, now the Mississippi Division. As President of Atmos' Mississippi Division, I had responsibility for customer services, operations, regulatory and community relations and the financial performance of this division. In May of 2007, I was named the President of the Kentucky/Mid-States Division. My responsibility covers customer services, operations, regulatory and community relations and the financial performance of the seven (7) states that make up this division.

Q. HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION?

11 A. No. However, I have provided testimony before the Georgia Public Service Commission, 12 the Tennessee Regulatory Authority and the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

A.

II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

My direct testimony has three primary purposes. First, I will briefly describe the Company's operations in Missouri and the recent history of its rate proceedings before this Commission. Second, I will describe the principal factors requiring Atmos to file this rate application and the key issues in the case. Finally, I will introduce the other witnesses who will be providing support for the requested rate increase and other proposed tariff changes.

III. ATMOS' OPERATIONS IN MISSOURI

2	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE THE COMMISSION WITH A GENERAL DESCRIPTION
3		AND BACKGROUND OF ATMOS' OPERATIONS IN MISSOURI?

A. Yes. We have a Missouri based work force of approximately 71 employees providing safe and reliable service to a customer base of residential, commercial and industrial consumers of over 56,000. Our regional offices are located in Jackson, Sikeston, Malden, Caruthersville, Hannibal, Kirksville and Butler. Our utility plant in Missouri includes over 2,100 miles of transmission and distribution lines.

A.

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ATMOS ENERGY'S CORPORATE STRUCTURE.

Atmos is the largest pure natural gas distribution company in the United States. It delivers gas to approximately 3.1 million residential, commercial, industrial and public-authority customers in 12 states. Atmos has six gas utility operating divisions. They are located in Denver, Colorado (Kansas and Colorado division); Baton Rouge, Louisiana (Louisiana division); Jackson, Mississippi (Mississippi division); Lubbock, Texas (West Texas division); Dallas, Texas (Mid-Tex division); and Franklin, Tennessee (Kentucky/Mid-States division). In addition, Atmos has an operating division consisting of a regulated intrastate pipeline that functions only within the state of Texas. Atmos' corporate offices are located in Dallas, Texas.

IV. PRINCIPAL FACTORS FOR THIS RATE APPLICATION

2 O. WHY DID THE COMPANY FILE THIS CASE?

this case is to establish new distribution rates.

A. The Company is requesting that the Commission approve new distribution rates that will provide revenues equal to our cost of service, including a reasonable return on investment. As the Commission is aware, the actual costs of the natural gas consumed by our customers are collected through a gas cost adjustment mechanism. The purpose of

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A.

7

1

Q. WHEN DID THE COMPANY'S CURRENT RATES BECOME EFFECTIVE?

The Company's current rates were established by the Commission in Case No. 2006-0387 filed on April 7, 2006, and decided by the Commission's Order dated February 22, 2007. The Commission found that it would be just and reasonable and in the public interest to implement a straight fixed variable rate design. The Commission also found that no net additional revenue requirement was necessary. Therefore, the last revenue increase granted to the Company was in 1995, for the natural gas properties previously served by United Cities Gas Company, for \$903,000. The last rate increase granted for the properties previously served by Associated Natural Gas was in 1997 for approximately \$1.5 million.

19

20

Q. ARE THE DISTRIBUTION RATES CURRENTLY IN EFFECT PROVIDING

21 SUFFICIENT REVENUES?

A. No. Although Atmos operates very efficiently and safely, our current rates are not providing a fair return on the Company's investments. In fact, we have experienced a

negative return on equity the last two fiscal years. Atmos Energy has invested over \$54 million in infrastructure in Missouri since the above mentioned rate cases and approximately \$24 million of that total being invested since the test year in Case No. GR-2006-0387. More specifically we have completed the copper service replacement program and have also completed the small diameter cast iron replacement program.

7 Q. WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY FACTORS DRIVING THE COMPANY'S 8 REQUEST FOR AN INCREASE IN RATES?

A. There are two primary factors contributing to the current revenue deficiency. First, because of changes in market conditions, our authorized rates are not producing a level of revenues equal to that anticipated in our previous rate case. I will discuss these changes later in my testimony. Second, the cost of providing service has increased. Consequently, we are seeking timely and adequate rate relief in order to maintain the current high-quality, safe and reliable service our customers expect.

Q. WHAT RATE OF RETURN ON RATE BASE IS ATMOS REQUESTING IN THIS RATE APPLICATION?

A. Atmos is asking the Commission to approve new rate schedules that would increase revenues to provide an overall rate of return on rate base of 8.66% on the test year rate base of \$66,458,687.

Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF THE RATE INCREASE THAT ATMOS IS SEEKING IN THIS RATE APPLICATION?

A. Atmos is seeking approval to increase its rates to recover approximately \$6.4 million in additional revenues. For an average residential customer, the total bill increase would be \$8.81 per month.

A.

5 Q. HOW DO YOU SUGGEST THAT NEW RATES RECOVER THE 6 DISTRIBUTION REVENUE INCREASE?

I recommend that the monthly charge pursuant to the current straight fixed variable rate design be increased to reflect the revenue requirement requested. Although rate design issues are addressed in greater detail in the testimony of others, it is very important that this Commission not increase the amount of revenue that is at risk of recovery through volumetric rate components. Virtually all of a natural gas local distribution company's costs of service (other than the costs of gas, which are not recovered in base distribution rates) are fixed, as opposed to variable. By fixed, I mean that the costs do not increase or decrease as the volume of natural gas delivered to our customers increases or decreases.

Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE CHANGES IN MARKET CONDITIONS THAT GIVE RISE TO THIS RATE FILING?

A. Yes. We have experienced a decline in both the amount of natural gas used by our customers and in the number of customers served. Most natural gas distribution companies in this country are experiencing similar declining use per customer and declining number of customers. The number of residential customers on our system continues to decline and has dropped by nearly 3,000 customers from when Case GR-2006-0387 was filed. The number of commercial customers on our system has declined

by more than 400 customers during the same period. As more fully described by Company witness Mr. Gary Smith, we also continue to experience a declining use-percustomer trend for residential, commercial and public authority customers. Thus, even if our costs of providing service were as low today as the Commission determined to be appropriate in Case No. GR-2006-00464, our existing rates would cause the Company to under recover.

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

YOU STATED THAT MOST NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES IN THIS COUNTRY ARE EXPERIENCING SIMILAR DECLINING USE-PER-CUSTOMER AND DECLINING NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS. HAVE OTHER COMMISSIONS APPROVED RATE MECHANISMS OR RATE DESIGNS THAT ADDRESS THESE ISSUES?

13 Α. 14 15

Yes. Various innovative rate mechanisms and rate designs have been adopted across the nation to address these issues. In this case, Atmos is requesting the continuation of the straight fixed variable rate structure which helps mitigate the effects of weather and declining usage levels. Decoupling mechanisms, which are rate mechanisms that permit a natural gas distribution company to collect authorized levels of revenue without regard to the volume of natural gas delivered, have been approved in 18 jurisdictions. Similar proposals are currently pending in two jurisdictions as of the date of this filing. Moreover, eight companies within six jurisdictions have approved rate stabilization plans, wherein adjustments are made to address the differences, if any, between the authorized level of revenues and the amounts actually collected.

23

O. HAVE SUCH MECHANISMS BEEN SUCCESSFULL IN JURISDICTIONS

THAT ATMOS SERVES?

Yes. Specific examples of actions taken in other jurisdictions where the Company operates would include Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas and Virginia. In Mississippi and Louisiana, utilities file prescribed information based on an annual period for review. Depending on the utility, its respective tariffs and the Commission Staff's findings, a rate stabilization factor is adjusted to provide for additional revenue or to return additional revenue to the customer. In most of the Company's Texas service territory, the Company makes an annual filing with the regulatory authority pursuant to a Rate Review Mechanism (RRM) tariff. The regulatory authority reviews the Company's filing and rates are subsequently adjusted. The RRM is currently in the second year of a three year trial period. Similar to the approaches in Mississippi, Louisiana and Texas, Virginia requires utilities to file prescribed information based on an annual period for review. Rates are adjusted asymmetrically downward only. If a utility is under-earning, it can file an expedited case for relief as an alternative to a general rate application implementing interim rates under bond thus reducing lag.

A.

Q. DO YOU BELIEVE A SIMILAR MECHANISM WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE COMPANY'S MISSOURI OPERATIONS?

A. Yes. A process similar to those I described in some of the other jurisdictions in which the Company operates would provide for a regularly scheduled rate review that will be less costly and adjust the rates annually in a more expedited manner to actually achieve the result contemplated by the Commission's rate orders.

2 V. <u>INTRODUCTION OF WITNESSES</u>

3	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE OTHER WITNESSES SPONSORING TESTIMONY IN
4		THIS PROCEEDING?

A. In addition to my testimony, Atmos will present the direct testimony and exhibits of eight witnesses.

Gary L. Smith, Director of Rates & Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporation, is filing testimony providing an overview of the Company's customer base in Missouri and market trends since 2006; describing the methods used to adjust Company's revenues and volumes and customer counts as they relate to the base period and test period in this case; and presenting the test period adjustment of revenues and volumes.

Thomas H. Petersen, Rates Director for Atmos Energy Corporation, is filing testimony in support of the Company's rate base calculation, the calculation of depreciation expense and the Company's class cost of service study

Mark A. Martin, Vice President of Rates & Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporation's Kentucky/Mid-States Division is presenting testimony to explain how the Company has satisfied the Commission's minimum filing requirements; to sponsor special contracts with two industrial customers, Noranda Aluminum and General Mills; and to support the rate design, rates and tariff changes proposed in this filing, including the recovery of bad debt gas costs through the Gas Cost Adjustment mechanism.

Robert E Hassen, Senior Rate Analyst for Atmos Energy Corporation is presenting testimony to support the adjustments to Operations and Maintenance Expense

located in Schedule 4 of the cost of service study as well as to support the payroll tax adjustment located in Schedule 5 of the cost of service study.

Daniel Meziere, Director of Accounting Services for Atmos Energy Corporation, is filing testimony regarding the historic books and records of the Company and the integrity of the financial information in this case. He also provides testimony concerning the Company's Cost Allocation Manual, which describes the methodology for shared services cost allocations.

Rob Smith, Assistant Treasurer of Atmos Energy Corporation, sponsors the Company's capital structure and cost of debt for use in setting rates in this proceeding.

Dr. James Vander Weide testifies regarding Atmos' cost of capital and recommends a rate of return that is appropriate to be used in setting rates for Atmos in this proceeding.

A.

VI. CONCLUSION

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY CLOSING REMARKS?

Yes. It is my opinion that the rates requested in this filing are just, reasonable, and in the public interest and I respectfully request the Commission to provide prompt and adequate rate relief. The costs of providing service in Missouri have increased, along with the costs of other goods and services since our last rate filing. At the same time, both the distribution revenue per customer and the number of customers continue to decline. The Company's ability to continue to provide safe, reliable distribution service requires new rates that will produce revenue, including a reasonable return on the Company's investment, consistent with the requests contained in this application.

- 2 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 3 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Atmos Energy Corporation's Tariff Revision Designed to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Area of the Company.)) Case No. GR-2010-)
AFFIDAVIT OF KEV	IN AKERS
STATE OF TENNESSEE) ss	
) ss COUNTY OF WILLIAMSON)	
Kevin Akers, being first duly sworn on his oath	ı, states:
1. My name is Kevin Akers. I work in Fra	anklin, Tennessee, and I am employed by
Atmos Energy Corporation, as the President for Atmos	Energy Corporation's Kentucky/Mid-
States Division.	
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof	for all purposes is my Direct Testimony
on behalf of Atmos Energy Corporation consisting of e	eleven (11) pages, all of which having
been prepared in written form for introduction into evid	dence in the above-captioned docket.
3. I have knowledge of the matters set fort	h therein. I hereby swear and affirm that
my answers contained in the attached testimony to the	questions therein propounded, including
any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the be	est of my knowledge, information and
\mathcal{L}	exist there
Subscribed and sworn before me thisday of Dec	cember, 2009. Paris Public
My commission expires: 10-29-12	PAMELA L PERRY My Commission Expires October 29, 2012